2022 ODA Pavement Evaluation Program Sisters Eagle Air Airport

Sisters, Oregon

December 30, 2022 (REVISED: 01/24/2023)

Prepared for

State of Oregon Department of Aviation 3040 25th Street SE Salem, OR 97303-1125

Prepared by



16520 SW Upper Boones Ferry Road, Suite 100 Tigard, OR 97224-7661 (503) 641-3478 | www.gri.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	OVER	VIEW	1
2	PAVE	MENT INVENTORY	1
3	PAVE	MENT CONDITION INSPECTION RESULTS	4
	3.1 Introd	uction	4
	3.2 Pavem	nent Condition Index Survey Results	5
4		RE PAVEMENT CONDITION ANALYSIS	
	4.1 Introd	uction	6
		Condition Analysis	
		onal Remaining Life	
5		TENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS	
		uction	
		nmended Localized Maintenance	
		Maintenance and Rehabilitation Plan	
6		ATIONS	
TΔ	BLES		
	ole 3-1:	ASTM PCI Rating Scale	
Tal	ole 5-1:	Localized Maintenance Quantities	
Tal	ole 5-2:	Global Maintenance and Rehabilitation Quantities	
FIC	GURES		
	ure 2.1:	Sisters Airport Location Map	
_	ure 2.2:	Sisters Airport Pavement Area by Surface Type	
Fig	ure 2.3:	Sisters Airport Pavement Area by Branch Use	
_	ure 2.4:	Sisters Airport Pavement Inventory	
_	ure 3.1:	2022 PCI Survey Results	
	ure 3.2:	Sisters Airport Pavement Condition Rating by Percent of Pavement Area	
_	ure 4.1: ure 5.1:	Future Pavement Condition Sisters Airport Pavement Network General Treatment Type Distribution Base	ad on
1 19	ule J. I.	PCI	5G 011
Fig	ure 5.2:	5-Year Pavement Management Plan	
AP	PENDICE	S	
Ар	pendix A:	Pavement Inventory Report and Maps	
Ар	pendix B:	Pavement Condition Index Survey Results	
Ар	pendix C:	Future Pavement Condition Analysis	
•	pendix D:	Unit Cost Data and Maintenance and Rehabilitation Plan	
Ар	pendix E:	Re-inspection Report	



APPENDICES (continued)

Appendix F: Work History Report



1 OVERVIEW

GRI assisted with updating the Oregon Department of Aviation (ODA) airport pavement management system and developing a five-year plan for global maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) and preservation work for the Sisters Eagle Air Airport (Sisters Airport) in Sisters, Oregon. This project was implemented as a part of the ODA and Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) *Oregon Continuous Aviation System Plan*. The information provided in this report ensures compliance with FAA Grant Assurance Number 11, which outlines that an airport shall have an effective airport pavement maintenance-management program in place to receive federal financial assistance for the construction, reconstruction, or repair of airport pavements.

GRI conducted surveys of the airside pavement at Sisters Airport in 2022 in accordance with the procedures of Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B and ASTM International (ASTM) D5340. We uploaded the survey data into the PAVER database and used the software to provide a rapid calculation of the pavement condition index (PCI) rating. The PCI is a numerical indicator that defines the functional condition of the pavement based on visual inspection. The scale ranges from zero to 100, where zero represents a pavement in the worst possible condition with no remaining functional life and 100 represents a pavement in the best possible condition with no defects.

2 PAVEMENT INVENTORY

Sisters Airport is located in Sisters, Oregon, and is privately owned and operated by Sisters Eagle Air, Inc. The airport consists of one runway that serves a variety of general aviation and air taxi aircraft. The general location of the airport is shown on the Sisters Airport Location Map, Figure 2.1.






Figure 2.1 – SISTERS AIRPORT LOCATION MAP

Sisters Airport contains one runway, one primary parallel taxiway, one connector taxiway and multiple aprons. The airside pavement at Sisters Airport are surfaced with asphalt concrete (AC). The airport pavement(s), delineated by surface type and branch use, are shown on the Sisters Airport Pavement Area by Surface Type, Figure 2.2 and the Sisters Airport Pavement Area by Branch Use, Figure 2.3. The pavement inventory, including work history for each pavement section, is displayed spatially on the Sisters Airport Pavement Inventory, Figure 2.4. The pavement facilities summarized by branch and section are listed in Tables 1A and 2A, respectively, in Appendix A. The sample unit layout for each section is shown on Figure 1A in Appendix A. We used the sampling rates outlined in Table 3A of Appendix A in our survey. The pavement inventory, including work history for individual airport pavement sections, is provided in the Work History Report, Appendix F.






Figure 2.2 – SISTERS AIRPORT PERCENT OF PAVEMENT AREA BY SURFACE TYPE






Figure 2.3 – SISTERS AIRPORT PAVEMENT AREA BY BRANCH USE



Abbreviations: AC = Asphalt Concrete; Agg. = Aggregate





SISTERS AIRPORT PAVEMENT INVENTORY

DEC. 2022 JOB NO. 6593-B FIG. 2.4



3 PAVEMENT CONDITION INSPECTION RESULTS

3.1 Introduction

GRI conducted a visual PCI survey of the airside pavements at Sisters Airport in March 2022. The 2022 survey work was performed on sections last inspected in 2017 in order to update the Sisters Airport inspection data. GRI performed the 2022 PCI survey in accordance with the methods described in FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C and ASTM D5340, and further discussed in Appendix B of this report.

The PCI is based on the type, severity, and quantity of each distress found in an inspected sample unit. Further discussion of distress types for flexible pavement is provided in Appendix B and summarized in Table 1B. The results of the PCI survey are displayed using a seven-category rating scale in accordance with ASTM D5340. Details of the ASTM PCI rating scale are provided in Table 3-1 below.


Table 3-1: ASTM PCI RATING SCALE

PCI Color Legend	PCI Range	PCI Rating and Definition
	86 – 100	GOOD: Pavement has minor or no distresses and should require only routine maintenance.
	71 – 85	SATISFACTORY: Pavement has scattered low-severity distresses that should require only routine maintenance.
	56 – 70	FAIR: Pavement has a combination of generally low- and medium-severity distresses. Maintenance and repair needs may range from routine to major.
	41 – 55	POOR: Pavement has low-, medium-, and high-severity distresses that probably cause some operational problems. M&R needs will be major.
	26 – 40	VERY POOR: Pavement has predominantly medium- and high-severity distresses that cause considerable maintenance and operational problems. M&R needs will be major.
	11 – 25	SERIOUS: Pavement has mainly high-severity distresses that may affect operational safety; immediate repairs are needed.
	0 – 10	FAILED: Pavement deterioration has progressed to the point that safe aircraft operations are no longer possible; complete reconstruction is required.




3.2 Pavement Condition Index Survey Results

The area-weighted average PCI for all airport pavements at Sisters Airport is approximately 90. The section PCIs ranged from a low of 84 to a high of 94. The primary distresses observed during the inspection were weathering and longitudinal and transverse cracking. Section PCIs following our pavement survey are displayed below spatially on the 2022 PCI Survey Results, Figure 3.1.





(0 - 10) FAILED





DEC. 2022 JOB NO. 6593-B FIG. 3.1



The condition distribution of the network by the percent of total pavement area is provided on the Sisters Airport Pavement Condition Rating by Percent of Pavement Area, Figure 3.2. A summary of the pavement condition results by branch and section are included in Tables 2B and 3B of Appendix B, respectively. A comparison between the previous inspection and the 2022 inspection is provided in Table 4B in Appendix B. The Re-Inspection report that includes inspection details for individual sample units is provided in Appendix E.




Figure 3.2 – SISTERS AIRPORT PAVEMENT CONDITION RATING BY PERCENT OF PAVEMENT AREA

4 FUTURE PAVEMENT CONDITION ANALYSIS

4.1 Introduction

In addition to assessing the current condition of pavement, it is very important from a planning standpoint to be able to predict with reasonable accuracy the future condition. Additional details regarding our future pavement condition analysis, including pavement condition prediction models, are provided in Appendix C. PCI performance curves developed for Sisters Airport are displayed on Figures 1C through 3C in Appendix C.

4.2 Future Condition Analysis

Using the condition prediction models discussed above, the projected condition of each pavement section was determined for 5- and 10-year periods. Based on this analysis, we project the PCI to decrease from a current value of 90 to a value of 79 in the year 2027




and 69 in the year 2032, if no maintenance or rehabilitation work is performed. The projected pavement condition in 5 years and 10 years for each pavement section at Sisters Airport is displayed spatially on the Future Pavement Condition, Figure 4.1 and listed in Table 1C in Appendix C, along with the past and present PCI values for the pavement network.

4.3 Functional Remaining Life

The functional remaining life is the practical amount of time a pavement is in service before requiring rehabilitation, as estimated based solely on visual condition. This is not to be confused with structural remaining life, which requires analysis of the structural capacity of a pavement and typically a field exploration and testing program that includes core explorations and falling weight deflectometer (FWD) deflection tests.

We calculated two forms of functional remaining life based on the current visual condition surveys of the pavement at Sisters Airport. The first type of functional remaining life is the time until rehabilitation such as an overlay is needed. The critical PCI, further discussed in Section C.3 of Appendix C, is the threshold used for this type of functional remaining life analysis. The second type of functional remaining life is the time until the pavement is no longer operational due to high foreign object debris (FOD) potential and increased safety concerns for trafficking aircraft. A PCI of 40 was set as the trigger point for the end of the pavement's functional service life with regard to FOD potential.


The two types of functional remaining life for each section at Sisters Airport are summarized in Table 2C in Appendix C.



SECTION PCI



(0 - 10) FAILED





FUTURE PAVEMENT CONDITION SISTERS AIRPORT

DEC. 2022 JOB NO. 6593-B FIG. 4.1



5 MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Introduction

We evaluated Maintenance and Rehabilitation (M&R) needs, as determined from the PAVER analysis results, in order to develop localized maintenance, global maintenance, and rehabilitation needs. Details of our M&R work priority and unit costs for work activities are provided in Tables 1D and 2D, respectively, in Appendix D.

Based on the 2022 PCI-survey results, the Sisters Airport Pavement Network General Treatment Type Distribution Based on PCI, Figure 5.1 displays a breakdown of the Sisters Airport network pavement condition by percent of pavement area and general M&R treatment categories. Approximately 100% of the area requires preservation treatments, and none of the area requires rehabilitation or reconstruction treatments.




Figure 5.1 – SISTERS AIRPORT PAVEMENT NETWORK GENERAL TREATMENT TYPE DISTRIBUTION BASED ON PCI

5.2 Recommended Localized Maintenance

Localized maintenance refers to activities such as crack sealing and patching, which should be performed annually in order to properly maintain aging pavements. Using the PAVER Localized Distress Maintenance Analysis tool, we developed a list of recommended localized maintenance. This list is shown in Table 3D in Appendix D and is independent of the global maintenance and rehabilitation projects associated with the five-year global



maintenance and rehabilitation work plan. A summary of the approximate total localized maintenance quantities is provided in Table 5-1 below.

Table 5-1: LOCALIZED MAINTENANCE QUANTITIES


Localized Maintenance Operation	Approximate Quantity
Asphalt Concrete Crack Sealing	9,651 linear feet

5.3 Global Maintenance and Rehabilitation Plan


To develop the five-year work plan, we first ran the eliminate backlog scenario with the PAVER M&R Work Planning Module in order to generate a list, organized by year, of global M&R projects. We then reviewed the project list and refined it into practical construction projects for each year. A summary of global M&R quantities is provided in Table 5-2 below, and maps of the project locations by year are shown on the 5-Year Pavement Management Plan, Figure 5.2. The complete list of recommended global M&R projects is presented in Table 4D in Appendix D.

Table 5-2: GLOBAL MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION QUANTITIES

Global Maintenance or Rehabilitation Operation	Quantity, square feet
Fog Seal	124,888
Slurry Seal	295,505









DEC. 2022 JOB NO. 6593-B

6593-B

FIG. 5.2



6 LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared to assist the ODA with pavement-related project planning for the Sisters Airport. The scope is limited to the specific pavement areas described within this report. The conclusions and recommendations provided in this report are based on information provided by ODA, estimated costs, and an understanding of the pavement conditions based solely on visual assessment. The global maintenance and rehabilitation recommendations and project selections provided in this report, as well as their corresponding cost estimates, are based on a practical grouping of projects and an estimate of the structural requirements. It is possible that recommendations based on a structural evaluation would differ materially from the recommendations given herein. Therefore, the information included in this report should be used solely for project planning purposes, and it should be understood that rehabilitation costs may vary from the cost estimates given within this report.

Because the condition of the airport pavement network is dynamic, an effective maintenance and rehabilitation program should be reviewed and updated on a regular basis. In addition to regularly surveying and updating the pavement condition, completed construction activities should be tracked in the PAVER database. If Sisters Airport would like to know more about the results presented in this report, please contact the undersigned.

Submitted for GRI,

RENEWS: 06/2023

Lindsi A. Hammond, PE

Principal

Matthew A. Haynes, PE

Project Engineer

This document has been submitted electronically.



APPENDIX A

Pavement Inventory Reports and Maps



APPENDIX A

PAVEMENT INVENTORY REPORTS AND MAPS

A.1 PAVEMENT NETWORK

Sisters Eagle Air Airport (Sisters Airport) is located in Sisters, Oregon, and is privately owned and operated by Sisters Eagle Air, Inc. The pavement network/facilities at Sisters Airport serve a variety of general aviation and air taxi aircraft. Sisters Airport consists of one runway, one primary parallel taxiway, one connector taxiways and multiple aprons. The airside pavements at Sisters Airport are comprised of asphalt concrete (AC).

The current airport pavement management system (APMS) network at Sisters Airport has an approximate area of 420,393 square feet of paved airside facilities. The pavement network has previously been divided (by others) into a hierarchical order of branches, sections, and sample units that facilitate inspection and maintenance planning. The pavement facilities summarized by branch and section are listed in Tables 1A and 2A, respectively. Pavement sections and the sample unit layout for each section are shown on Figure 1A in this appendix.

A.2 BRANCHES

A branch, as defined in the PAVER system, is a facility that is a readily identifiable part of a pavement system and has a distinct function. For airports, branches typically consist of individual runways, taxiways, and aprons. The current pavement network for Sisters Airport contains 8 branches, tabulated in Table 1A and shown on Figure 1A.

A.3 SECTIONS AND SAMPLE UNITS

A pavement section is the smallest management unit used when considering the application and selection of maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) repairs and treatments and is defined by Section 2.1.8 of ASTM International (ASTM) D5340 as "a contiguous pavement area having uniform construction, maintenance, usage history, and condition." All sections should also have the same traffic volume and load intensity. The current pavement network included in the PAVER database for Sisters Airport contains 8 sections which are tabulated in Table 2A and shown spatially on Figure 1A.

PAVER assigns a rank, which designates that pavement's prioritization in receiving maintenance and repair. The highest use or priority pavements, such as runways, taxiways, and terminal aprons, are ranked *Primary*, while the surrounding aprons and shoulders are ranked *Secondary* and low-use areas are ranked *Tertiary*. The ranks for all sections are shown on Table 2A.



To facilitate the visual survey of the airport pavement, each section is further subdivided into smaller areas called sample units. Similar sizing of these units is critical, and studies have found that maintaining the size of the sample units to within 40% of the established normal distribution reduces the standard error of the average pavement condition index (PCI) values. To meet this criterion, the ASTM method recommends sample units for flexible pavements be $5,000 \pm 2,000$ square feet and 20 slabs \pm 8 slabs for rigid pavements. The delineation of sample units for each section is displayed on Figure 1A.

A.4 SAMPLE UNIT DELINEATION

For an APMS survey, a PCI confidence level of 92% and an allowable error (e) of eight PCI points are used for all airport pavements. To determine the number of sample units that need to be inspected to achieve the required confidence level and allowable error, the following equation is used:

$$n = \frac{N \times s^2}{\left(e^2/4\right)(N-1)+s^2}$$
 (Equation 1)

where:

n = number of sample units to be inspected

N = total number of samples in the pavement sections

e = allowable error

s = section standard deviation

For the 2022 Sisters Airport PCI survey, Table 3A was used as a guideline in developing sampling rates for flexible pavement that reflect similar rates used for other large airport pavement networks. In general, this sampling rate distribution provides a 92% confidence level with a standard error of eight PCI points.

Sample unit locations at Sisters Airport were selected using a systematic random sampling model method. This technique is implemented by first determining the number of sample units needed based on the confidence interval calculated using Equation 1. The first sample unit is randomly placed in the section and then the remaining sample units are systematically spaced throughout the section at an equal distance apart.

Table 1A – SISTERS AIRPORT PAVEMENT BRANCHES

Facility Designation (Branch ID)	Branch Name	Number of Sections	Approximate Area, square feet
A01SI	Apron 01 Sisters	1	11,250
A02SI	Apron 02 Sisters	1	31,245
A03SI	Apron 03 Sisters	1	49,873
A04SI	Apron 04 Sisters	1	22,020
AHR02SI	Hold Apron Runway 02 Sisters	1	10,500
R02SI	Runway 02/20 Sisters	1	217,050
T01SI	Taxiway 01Sisters	1	62,000
T02SI	Taxiway 02 Sisters	1	16,455



Table 2A - SISTERS AIRPORT CURRENT PAVEMENT INVENTORY

									Approximate Area, square		
BranchID	Branch Name	Branch Use	SectionID	From	То	Rank	Length, feet	Width, feet	feet	LCD ¹	Surface Type
A01SI	Apron 01 Sisters	APRON	01	Apron	End	Р	225	50	11,250	9/2/2015	AC
A02SI	Apron 02 Sisters	APRON	01	Around	Hangars	Р	275	150	31,245	9/2/2015	AC
A03SI	Apron 03 Sisters	APRON	01	Runway 02 End	East	Р	430	129	49,873	6/2/2013	AC
A04SI	Apron 04 Sisters	APRON	01	West Apron	-	Р	293	94	22,020	9/2/2015	AC
AHR02SI	Hold Apron Runway 02 Sisters	APRON	01	At Runway 20 End	-	Р	150	70	10,500	9/2/2013	AC
R02SI	Runway 02/20 Sisters	RUNWAY	01	R20 END	R02 END	Р	3,560	60	217,050	6/2/2013	AC
T01SI	Taxiway 01Sisters	TAXIWAY	01	Runway 20 End	Apron	Р	3,100	20	62,000	9/2/2015	AC
T02SI	Taxiway 02 Sisters	TAXIWAY	01	Apron	Hangars	Р	235	53	16,455	9/2/2015	AC

Abbreviations:

P = Primary pavement, AC = Asphalt Concrete

Notes




¹ LCD = Last Construction Date. The date of the last major rehabilitation (e.g. overlay)



Table 3A: EXAMPLE SAMPLE RATES FOR AC PAVEMENTS


AC Sampling Rate								
Total Number of Sample Units, N	Sample Units to Survey, n							
1	1							
2-3	2							
4-6	3							
7-13	4							
14-38	5							
39+	6							

Note: AC = Asphalt Concrete





SAMPLE UNIT





DEC. 2022

FIG. 1A



APPENDIX B

Pavement Condition Index Survey Results



APPENDIX B

PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX SURVEY RESULTS

B.1 METHODOLOGY

As previously discussed, the PCI is a measure of the pavement's functional surface condition and provides a methodology for assessing the causes of distress and whether the distress is related to a load or climatic conditions. Although the PCI is not a direct measure of structural capacity, it provides a suggestion of the structural needs of the pavement.

The PCI is based on the type, severity, and quantity of each distress found in an inspected sample unit. The results are displayed using a seven-category rating scale in accordance with ASTM D5340. Flexible pavement (e.g., AC) distress types are presented in Table 1B. A summary of the pavement condition results by branch and section are included in Tables 2B and 3B of Appendix B, respectively.

Table 1B: PAVER DISTRESS CODES FOR FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT

Flexible Pavement								
PAVER Code	Pavement Distress	Related Cause						
41	Alligator Cracking	Load						
42	Bleeding	Other						
43	Block Cracking	Climate/ Durability						
44	Corrugation	Other						
45	Depression	Other						
46	Jet Blast	Other						
47	Joint Reflection Cracking	Climate/ Durability						
48	Longitudinal & Transverse Cracking	Climate/ Durability						
49	Oil Spillage	Other						
50	Patching	Climate/ Durability						
51	Polished Aggregate	Other						
52	Raveling	Climate/ Durability						
53	Rutting	Load						



	Flexible Pavement								
PAVER Code	Pavement Distress	Related Cause							
54	Shoving	Other							
55	Slippage Cracking	Other							
56	Swelling	Other							
57	Weathering	Climate/ Durability							

To obtain the section PCI, we extrapolated the PCI of each selected sample unit over the entire section area. Distresses found in sample units classified as "additional" – defined as nonrepresentative instead of random - are not extrapolated over the entire section but merely added to the extrapolated quantity. The PCI rating scale presented previously in Table 3-1 of Section 3.1 and are based on ASTM D5340.

Section 4.1 of ASTM D5340 governing PCI surveys offers this caution:

"The PCI is a numerical indicator that rates the surface condition of the pavement. The PCI provides a measure of the **present condition** of the pavement based on the distress observed on the surface of the pavement, which also indicates the structural integrity and surface operational condition (localized roughness and safety). The PCI **cannot** measure the structural capacity; neither does it provide a direct measurement of skid resistance or roughness. It provides an objective and rational basis for determining maintenance and repair needs and priorities. Continuous monitoring of the PCI is used to establish the rate of pavement deterioration, which permits early identification of major rehabilitation needs. The PCI provides feedback on pavement performance for validation or improvement of current pavement design and maintenance procedures."

Based on the limitations of the PCI method, it is imperative that engineers and planners treat the PCI as a tool that will assist them during the M&R planning process. Any major project should always be preceded by an up-to-date, detailed, 100% project-level inspection of the pavement in order to reevaluate maintenance needs prior to the project design process.

B.2 DISTRESS TYPES

Distress tends to fall into one of the following four cause categories:

 Load-related: Flexible pavement distresses include alligator/fatigue cracking, corrugation, depression, polished aggregate, rutting, and slippage cracking. Rigid pavement distresses include corner breaks, longitudinal cracking, divided slabs, polished aggregate, pumping, and joint spalling.



- Climate- and durability-related: Flexible pavement distresses include bleeding, block cracking, joint reflection cracking, longitudinal and transverse (L&T) cracking, swelling, and raveling/weathering. Rigid pavement distresses include blow-ups, durability cracking, longitudinal cracking, pop-outs, pumping, scaling, shrinkage cracks, and joint and corner spalling.
- Moisture- and drainage-related: Flexible pavement distresses include alligator/ fatigue cracking, depressions, potholes, and swelling. Rigid pavement distresses include corner breaks, divided slabs, and pumping.
- Other factors: Oil spillage, jet blast erosion, bleeding, patching, and concrete slab joint faulting.

As described above, a distress may be the result of more than one cause. For example, depressions may be caused by incorrect compaction during construction or by subgrade softening due to environmental factors. In addition, a distress may be initiated by one cause but may progress to a distress of higher severity by another cause. Therefore, engineering judgment is critical in analyzing the actual cause or causes of the distress.

B.3 PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX SURVEY RESULTS

The evaluated Sisters Airport pavement network consists of 8 branches and 8 sections. A total of 27 sample units were visually inspected in the field. Data from the inspected sample units were input into the PAVER database, and a resultant PCI for each section was computed. Additional details regarding the PCI and distress types observed for each surveyed sample unit are provided in the Re-Inspection Report, in Appendix E. Based on the 2022 PCI survey, the area-weighted average PCI for the entire pavement network at Sisters Airport is approximately 90, which corresponds to a PCI rating of Good.

To investigate the rate of deterioration of each pavement section we compared the PCI results from the 2022 survey to the PCI results from the previous inspection. The variation in PCI between inspections for Sisters Airport pavement sections is outlined in Table 4B in this appendix.

Table 2B - SISTERS AIRPORT CURRENT BRANCH CONDITION REPORT

Branch ID	Number of Sections	Approximate Area, square feet	Use	Area Weighted Average Branch PCI	PCI Category
A01SI	1	11,250	APRON	93	Good
A02SI	1	31,245	APRON	92	Good
A03SI	1	49,873	APRON	84	Satisfactory
A04SI	1	22,020	APRON	90	Good
AHR02SI	1	10,500	APRON	94	Good
R02SI	1	217,050	RUNWAY	89	Good
T01SI	1	62,000	TAXIWAY	94	Good
T02SI	1	16,455	TAXIWAY	89	Good

Use Category	Number of Sections	Total Area, square feet	Area Weighted Average PCI
APRON	5	124,888	89
RUNWAY	1	217,050	89
TAXIWAY	2	78,455	93
ALL	8	420,393	90



Table 3B - SISTERS AIRPORT 2022 PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX SURVEY RESULTS

BranchID	SectionID	Last Construction Date	Surface Type	Use	Last Inspection Date	Age at Inspection	PCI	PCI Category	PCI % Climate	PCI % Load	PCI % Other
A01SI	01	9/2/2015	AC	APRON	3/1/2022	6	93	Good	100	0	0
A02SI	01	9/2/2015	AC	APRON	3/1/2022	6	92	Good	100	0	0
A03SI	01	6/2/2013	AC	APRON	3/1/2022	9	84	Satisfactory	100	0	0
A04SI	01	9/2/2015	AC	APRON	3/1/2022	6	90	Good	100	0	0
AHR02SI	01	9/2/2013	AC	APRON	3/1/2022	8	94	Good	100	0	0
R02SI	01	6/2/2013	AC	RUNWAY	3/1/2022	9	89	Good	100	0	0
T01SI	01	9/2/2015	AC	TAXIWAY	3/1/2022	6	94	Good	100	0	0
T02SI	01	9/2/2015	AC	TAXIWAY	3/1/2022	6	89	Good	100	0	0

Abbreviations:

PCI = Pavement Condition Index, AC = Asphalt Concrete



Table 4B - SISTERS AIRPORT COMPARISON OF PREVIOUS INSPECTION AND 2022 RESULTS

			Approximate Area, square			2017 Surve	∍y	2	022 Survey			Rate of
Branch ID	Section ID	Surface Type ¹	feet	LCD ²	PCI	PCI Category	Insp. Date	PCI	PCI Category	Age ³	Δ PCI/yr ⁴	Deterioration
A01SI	01	AC	11,250	9/2/2015	100	Good	6/19/2017	93	Good	2	-1.49	NORMAL
A02SI	01	AC	31,245	9/2/2015	100	Good	6/19/2017	92	Good	2	-1.70	NORMAL
A03SI	01	AC	49,873	6/2/2013	92	Good	6/19/2017	84	Satisfactory	4	-1.70	NORMAL
A04SI	01	AC	22,020	9/2/2015	100	Good	6/19/2017	90	Good	2	-2.13	NORMAL
AHR02SI	01	AC	10,500	9/2/2013	98	Good	6/19/2017	94	Good	4	-0.85	NORMAL
R02SI	01	AC	217,050	6/2/2013	85	Satisfactory	6/19/2017	89	Good	4	0.85	NONE
T01SI	01	AC	62,000	9/2/2015	100	Good	6/19/2017	94	Good	2	-1.28	NORMAL
T02SI	01	AC	16,455	9/2/2015	100	Good	6/19/2017	89	Good	2	-2.34	NORMAL

Abbreviations:



¹ AC = Asphalt Concrete

 $^{^{2}}$ LCD = Last construction date. The date of the last major pavement rehabilitation (e.g. AC overlay)

³ Age = Pavement age in years at the time of the PCI survey in 2017

 $^{^4}$ Δ PCI/yr = Change in PCI points per year between 2017 survey and 2022 survey



APPENDIX C

Future Pavement Condition Analysis



APPENDIX C

FUTURE PAVEMENT CONDITION ANALYSIS

C.1 METHODOLOGY

In addition to assessing the current condition of a pavement, it is very important from a planning standpoint to be able to predict with reasonable accuracy its future condition. In a pavement management plan (PMP), this is done with the aid of a prediction model. When an APMS is initially implemented, the default models are typically used to predict the future condition of a pavement. However, after PCI surveys are completed, the historical data are then used to refine the models, so they better represent the deterioration of a particular class of pavement based on local climatic conditions, loading, material sources, construction procedures, etc. The importance of accurate prediction models is part of the reason it is essential to conduct periodic, routine surveys in order to track the rate of deterioration.

In PAVER, the pavement deterioration curves are developed based on the "family" model procedure. A pavement "family" is defined as a group of pavements with similar deterioration characteristics. The procedure for developing the prediction models is:

- 1. Define the pavement families.
- 2. Review the data.
- 3. Conduct a data outlier analysis.
- 4. Model the data.

C.2 PREDICTION MODELS

We developed separate condition prediction models for each pavement "family" at Sisters Airport. The delineation is based on branch use, surface type, section rank, and structural design life. We use three distinct models for the following "families" of pavements at Sisters Airport. For each model, we reviewed the data in order to filter out any suspicious or inaccurate data or any data that fall outside boundary values set by PAVER. After outliers are removed and the data are checked for accuracy and reasonableness, the PAVER program calculates a best-fit curve using a fourth-order, polynomial-constrained, least-squares analysis procedure. This best-fit curve for each family is used in the analysis to predict the average behavior of all sections within each "family." Our condition prediction models for each "family" are provided on Figures 1C through 3C below.






Figure 1C - CONDITION PREDICTION MODEL FOR CENTRAL CATEGORY 4 AC APRONS




Figure 2C - CONDITION PREDICTION MODEL FOR CENTRAL CATEGORY 4 AC RUNWAYS






Figure 3C - CONDITION PREDICTION MODEL FOR CENTRAL CATEGORY 4 AC TAXIWAYS

C.3 CRITICAL PCI

Each of the condition-prediction models have an assigned critical PCI. The critical PCI is the point at which the pavement condition begins to deteriorate more quickly over time. As the condition deteriorates to a worse state, major M&R is triggered because the cost to apply localized M&R increases significantly. Pavement sections with PCI above the critical value are given a higher priority for funding during budget analysis in order to prevent them from deteriorating to the point where more costly rehabilitation is necessary. We used the following critical PCI values at Sisters Airport:

- Runways 60
- Taxiways/Taxilanes 55
- Aprons 50

C.4 FUTURE CONDITION ANALYSIS

As previously discussed, the projected condition of each pavement section was determined for 5-year and 10-year periods. The projected pavement conditions in 5 years and 10 years for each pavement section at Sisters Airport, along with the conditions at the previous inspection, are listed in Table 1C.

C.5 FUNCTIONAL REMAINING LIFE

As mentioned above, functional remaining life is the practical amount of time a pavement is in service before requiring rehabilitation, as estimated based solely on visual condition.



This is not to be confused with structural remaining life, which requires analysis of the structural capacity of a pavement.

We calculated two forms of functional remaining life based on the current visual condition surveys of the pavement at Sisters Airport, the time until rehabilitation, and the time until the pavement is no longer operational due to high foreign object debris potential and increased safety concerns for trafficking aircraft (PCI less than 40). The results of the functional life analysis are provided in Table 2C.

Table 1C - PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE PCI

		Past Inspection PCI	Current PCI	Predicted I	uture PCI
BranchID	SectionID	2017	2022	2027	2032
A01SI	01	100	93	84	75
A02SI	01	100	92	83	74
A03SI	01	92	84	75	66
A04SI	01	100	90	81	72
AHR02SI	01	98	94	85	76
R02SI	01	85	89	77	71
T01SI	01	100	94	86	77
T02SI	01	100	89	81	72

Abbreviations:

PCI = Pavement Condition Index



Table 2C - SISTERS AIRPORT FUNCTIONAL REMAINING LIFE ANALYSIS

Branch ID	Section ID	Surface Type	Current PCI	Years to Major M&R	Major M&R Trigger PCI ¹	Years to End of Functional Service
A01SI	01	AC	93	> 20	50	> 20
A02SI	01	AC	92	> 20	50	> 20
A03SI	01	AC	84	16 - 20	50	> 20
A04SI	01	AC	90	> 20	50	> 20
AHR02SI	01	AC	94	> 20	50	> 20
R02SI	01	AC	89	> 20	60	> 20
T01SI	01	AC	94	> 20	55	> 20
T02SI	01	AC	89	> 20	55	> 20

Abbreviations:

M&R = Maintenance and Rehabilitation, AC = Asphalt Concrete



¹ Major M&R Trigger PCI = Critical PCI



APPENDIX D

Unit Cost Data and Maintenance and Rehabilitation Plan



APPENDIX D

UNIT COST DATA AND MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PLAN

D.1 ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

We evaluated the M&R needs, as determined from the PAVER analysis results, in order to develop project recommendations for the next five years. The purpose of this analysis is to determine the M&R needs of the Sisters Airport pavement network condition over time. We used PAVER v7 software to develop network-level project recommendations for the next five years.

The PAVER M&R Work Planning Module identifies when and where M&R is required and how much it will cost. M&R plans can be developed either by assuming an annual budget or by identifying specific constraints, such as a condition goal to determine the budget required to meet the goal. The M&R work planning analysis was based on a five-year period beginning on August 1, 2023. A backlog elimination analysis scenario was selected to generate a list of global maintenance and rehabilitation projects in order to optimize the allocation of capital and establish preservation-based project recommendations. The repair strategies considered for pavement sections in our analysis are as follows:

- Reconstruction Considered for pavements with a PCI less than 40.
- Flexible Overlay Considered for pavements between 40 PCI and the critical PCI, and for pavements exhibiting significant load-related distresses.
- Global Maintenance Treatments (fog seal, slurry seal, thin AC overlay) applied to an entire pavement section with the intent of slowing the rate of deterioration.
- Localized Maintenance Maintenance performed on a routine basis such as crack sealing, wide crack repair, and patching.

It should be noted that the five-year list of recommended projects only includes the highest-cost maintenance items and does not include routine localized maintenance (e.g., crack sealing) work that should also be conducted in addition to and concurrently with the five-year work plan.

D.1.1 Pavement Rank and Use Prioritization

Pavement sections are assigned a rank to establish their relative importance in the overall pavement network, which is most commonly defined by their use (e.g., Taxiway, Apron, Runway). The PAVER analysis uses the combination of the section rank and the branch use



to define the priority of each section during the M&R analysis. Table 1D displays the branch use and section rank prioritization schema we used for analysis.

Table 1D: M&R WORK PRIORITY BY BRANCH USE AND SECTION RANK

		Section Rank	
Branch Use	Primary	Secondary	Tertiary
RUNWAY	1	3	6
TAXIWAY	2	5	8
APRON	4	7	9

D.2 MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND UNIT COSTS

The distress-maintenance policies are policies that determine what type of work should be applied to a specific distress type and severity. For example, on an AC pavement, a medium-severity longitudinal/transverse crack would be repaired by crack sealing. Policies for all the distress types and severities are established by ASTM D5340.

Although our work scope does not include budget analysis, we did assign construction costs to the maintenance work so that PAVER would allocate M&R projects that were approximately equal in cost for each year of the five-year period. The anticipated cost of performing M&R is based on cost tables that relate M&R work type cost to PCI. We reviewed the unit costs from the 2017 report and updated them by reviewing the bid tabulations for recent projects within the vicinity of Sisters Airport and information provided by the project team. The costs for reconstruction are based on the existing pavement sections present within each branch use at Sisters Airport. The costs represent the fully-loaded costs and include aspects of the project such as administration, contingencies, mobilization, and striping. The cost tables used in the analysis are presented in Table 2D below.



Table 2D: SISTERS AIRPORT UNIT COST DATA

Type of M&R	Work Type	Unit Cost	Work Unit
Major MARD	Complete Reconstruction with AC	\$11.10	Sq Ft
Major M&R	Cold Mill and Overlay – 3 Inches Thick	\$4.90	Sq Ft
Clabal MOD	Surface Treatment - Slurry Seal	\$0.33	Sq Ft
Global M&R	Surface Treatment - Fog Seal	\$0.20	Sq Ft
	Crack Sealing - AC	\$2.00	Ft
	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$15.00	Ft
Localized Preventive M&R	Crack Sealing – Wide Cracks	\$33.00	Ft
Freventive MCK	AC Patching – Full Depth	\$50.00	Sq Ft
	PCC Patching – Full Depth	\$100.00	Sq Ft

D.3 RECOMMENDED LOCALIZED MAINTENANCE

In order to properly maintain aging pavements, localized M&R activities such as crack sealing and patching should be performed on a routine basis. A list of recommended localized maintenance activities is provided in Table 3D of this appendix.

D.4 RECOMMENDED GLOBAL MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PROJECTS

Global maintenance and rehabilitation projects refer to activities such as slurry seal and thin AC overlays, as well as thick AC overlays and reconstruction. A list of recommended global M&R activities is provided in Table 4D of this appendix.

Table 3D - SISTERS AIRPORT NETWORK MAINTENANCE REPORT

Network	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress	Severity	Action	Work Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost	Section Total
Sisters	A01SI	01	Long. & Trans. Cracking	Low	Crack Sealing - AC	2	Ft	\$2.00	\$4	\$4
Sisters	A02SI	01	Long. & Trans. Cracking	Low	Crack Sealing - AC	112	Ft	\$2.00	\$224	\$224
Sisters	A03SI	01	Long. & Trans. Cracking	Low	Crack Sealing - AC	1,599	Ft	\$2.00	\$3,199	\$3,199
Sisters	A04SI	01	Long. & Trans. Cracking	Low	Crack Sealing - AC	124	Ft	\$2.00	\$248	\$248
Sisters	AHR02SI	01	Long. & Trans. Cracking	Low	Crack Sealing - AC	155	Ft	\$2.00	\$310	\$310
Sisters	R02SI	01	Long. & Trans. Cracking	Low	Crack Sealing - AC	7,446	Ft	\$2.00	\$14,892	\$14,892
Sisters	T02SI	01	Long. & Trans. Cracking	Low	Crack Sealing - AC	213	Ft	\$2.00	\$426	\$426

Long. = Longitudinal; Trans. = Transverse; AC = Asphalt Concrete; Ft = Feet



Table 4D - FIVE-YEAR GLOBAL MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION PLAN

Action Year	Branch ID	Section ID	Branch Use	Surface Type	Current PCI	Action	Area, square feet	Unit Cost per square foot	Total Cost
	A01SI	01	APRON	AC	93	Fog Seal	11,250	\$0.20	\$2,250
	A02SI	01	APRON	AC	92	Fog Seal	31,245	\$0.20	\$6,249
2025	A03SI	01	APRON	AC	84	Fog Seal	49,873	\$0.20	\$9,975
	A04SI	01	APRON	AC	90	Fog Seal	22,020	\$0.20	\$4,404
	AHR02SI	01	APRON	AC	94	Fog Seal	10,500	\$0.20	\$2,100
	R02SI	01	RUNWAY	AC	89	Slurry Seal	217,050	\$0.33	\$71,627
2027	T01SI	01	TAXIWAY	AC	94	Slurry Seal	62,000	\$0.33	\$20,460
	T02SI	01	TAXIWAY	AC	89	Slurry Seal	16,455	\$0.33	\$5,430

Abbreviations:

PCI = Pavement Condition Index, AC = Asphalt Concrete

Cost Summary	
2023 Total Project Cost	\$0
2024 Total Project Cost	\$0
2025 Total Project Cost	\$24,978
2026 Total Project Cost	\$0
2027 Total Project Cost	\$97,517
Total 5-Year Project Cost	\$122,495





APPENDIX E

Re-Inspection Report

Re-Inspection Report

ODA_WOC3_9-1-2022_PostBendAnalysis

57

48

WEATHERING

L & T CR

L

5625.00 SqFt

2.00 Ft

Generated Date 9/30/2022

Page 1 of 8

Generated 1	Date		9/30/2	2022													Pa	age I of 8
Network:	Sisters						Nam	e:	Sisters 1	Eagle Air								
Branch:	A01SI		N	ame:	Aj	pron (01 Siste	rs		Use:	APRON	1	A	rea:		11,250 Sq	_l Ft	
Section:	01	(of 1		From:	A	Apron				To:	End				Last Co	onst.: 9/	/2/2015
Surface:	AC	Family:		Central C/AAC	_Cat4/5_	_Apr	Zone	: 6K:	5		Cate	egory:	L			Rank:	P	
Area:		11,250 SqFt	l	Length:			225 Ft		W	idth:		50 F	t					
Slabs:		Slab Le	ngth:			Ft		Slab Wid	th:		Ft			Joint L	ength:		Ft	
Shoulder:		Street T	ype:					Grade:	0					Lanes:	0			
Section Cor	mments:																	
Work Date:	: 9/1/2015	V	ork Typ	e: Base	e Course	e - Ag	ggregate	:		C	ode: BA	-AG		Is N	/ajor]	M&R: Fa	ılse	
Work Date:	: 9/2/2015	V	ork Typ	e: New	v Constr	uction	n - AC			C	ode: NC	-AC		Is N	Aajor 1	M&R: Tr	ue	
Last Insp. I Conditions: Inspection	: PCI:	93		Totals	Samples	s: 2	2			Surveye	ed: 2							
Sample Nu	mber: 01	Ту	pe:	R		Aı	rea:	:	5625.00	SqFt		PCI:	94					
Sample Cor	mments:	Created by In	spection	Schedul	le													
57 WE	ATHERING	G	L		5625	5.00	SqFt											
Sample Nu	mber: 02	Ту	pe:	R		Aı	rea:	:	5625.00	SqFt		PCI:	92					
Sample Cor	mments:	Created by In	spection	Schedul	le													

Network	: Sisters			Name:	Sisters Eagle Air	•		
Branch:	A02SI		Name:	Apron 02 Sisters	Use:	APRON	Area:	31,245 SqFt
Section:	01	0	f 1	From: Around		To: Hangars		Last Const.: 9/2/2015
Surface:	AC	Family:	2022_Centra on_AC/AAC	al_Cat4/5_Apr Zone:	6K5	Category: L		Rank: P
Area:		31,245 SqFt	Lengtl	h: 275 Ft	Width:	150 Ft		
Slabs:		Slab Ler	ngth:	Ft Sla	ab Width:	Ft	Joint Length	Ft Ft
Shoulder	:	Street T	ype:	Gi	rade: 0		Lanes: 0	1
Section C	Comments:							
Work Da	nte: 9/1/2015	5 W	ork Type: Ba	nse Course - Aggregate	C	Code: BA-AG	Is Major	r M&R: False
W I D.								
work Da	ite: 9/2/2015	5 W	ork Type: No	ew Construction - AC	C	Code: NC-AC	Is Major	r M&R: True
	o. Date: 3/1			ew Construction - AC	C		Is Major	r M&R: True
Last Insp	Date: 3/1						Is Majoi	r M&R: True
Last Insp	Date: 3/1	92					Is Major	r M&R: True
Last Insp Condition	o. Date: 3/1 ns: PCI:	/2022 92 s:	Tota					r M&R: True
Last Insp Condition Inspection Sample N	o. Date: 3/1 ns: PCI:	/2022 92 s:	Tota	alSamples: 6 Area:	Surveye	ed: 3		r M&R: True
Last Insp Condition Inspection Sample N Sample C	o. Date: 3/1 ns: PCI: on Comments Number: 01	/2022 92 s: 1 Tyl Created by Ins	Tota	alSamples: 6 Area:	Surveye	ed: 3		r M&R: True
Last Insp Condition Inspection Sample No.	o. Date: 3/1 ns: PCI: on Comments Number: 01 Comments:	/2022 92 s: 1 Tyl Created by Ins	Tota pe: R pection Sched	Area:	Surveye	ed: 3		r M&R: True
Last Insp Condition Inspection Sample Construction With Sample Construction With Sample Management of the Construction of the	D. Date: 3/1 ns: PCI: on Comments Number: 01 Comments:	/2022 92 s: 1	Tota pe: R spection Sched L pe: R	Area: 5750.00 SqFt Area:	Surveye 5750.00 SqFt	ed: 3 PCI: 94		r M&R: True
Last Insp Condition Inspection Sample Construction 57 Working Sample Construction	o. Date: 3/1 ns: PCI: on Comments Number: 01 Comments: //EATHERING	/2022 92 s: 1 Tyl Created by Ins G 3 Tyl	Tota pe: R spection Sched L pe: R	Area: 5750.00 SqFt Area:	Surveye 5750.00 SqFt	ed: 3 PCI: 94		r M&R: True
Last Inspection Inspection Sample M Sample C S7 W Sample M Sample C S7 W	D. Date: 3/1 ns: PCI: on Comments Number: 01 Comments: /EATHERIN/ Number: 03 Comments:	/2022 92 s: 1 Tyl Created by Ins G 3 Tyl	Tota pe: R spection Sched L pe: R spection Sched	Area: ule 5750.00 SqFt Area: ule	Surveye 5750.00 SqFt	ed: 3 PCI: 94		r M&R: True
Last Insp Condition Inspection Sample C 57 W Sample N Sample C 57 W 48 L	D. Date: 3/1 ns: PCI: on Comments Number: 01 Comments: /EATHERING Number: 03 Comments:	/2022 92 ss: Created by Ins G Created by Ins G	Tota pe: R spection Sched L pe: R spection Sched L L L	Area: ule 5750.00 SqFt Area: ule 5420.00 SqFt	Surveye 5750.00 SqFt	ed: 3 PCI: 94		r M&R: True
Last Inspection Inspection Sample Condition Sample Condit	D. Date: 3/1 ns: PCI: on Comments Number: 01 Comments: /EATHERIN Number: 03 Comments: /EATHERIN & T CR	/2022 92 ss: Created by Ins G Created by Ins G	Tota Tota Pee: R Spection Sched L Pee: R Spection Sched L L Dee: R	Area: ule 5750.00 SqFt Area: ule 5420.00 SqFt 55.00 Ft Area:	5750.00 SqFt 5420.00 SqFt	PCI: 94 PCI: 89		r M&R: True
Last Insp Condition Inspection Sample N Sample N Sample N 57 W 48 L Sample N Sample N	D. Date: 3/1 ns: PCI: on Comments Number: 01 Comments: /EATHERIN/ Number: 03 Comments: /EATHERIN/ & T CR Number: 05	/2022 92 ss: Created by Ins G Created by Ins G Created by Ins G Created by Ins	Tota Tota Pee: R Spection Sched L Pee: R Spection Sched L L Dee: R	Area: ule 5750.00 SqFt Area: ule 5420.00 SqFt 55.00 Ft Area:	5750.00 SqFt 5420.00 SqFt	PCI: 94 PCI: 89		r M&R: True

Networl	k: Sisters			N	Name: Sis	ters Eagle Air	:		
Branch:	A03SI		Name:	Apron 03 S	Sisters	Use:	APRON	Area:	49,873 SqFt
Section:	01	of	1	From: Run	way 02 End		To: East		Last Const.: 6/2/201
Surface	: AC	Family:	2022_Central on_AC/AAC	l_Cat4/5_Apr Z	Zone: 6K5		Category: L		Rank: P
Area:	•	49,873 SqFt	Length	: 43	0 Ft	Width:	129 Ft		
Slabs:		Slab Leng	gth:	Ft	Slab Width:		Ft	Joint I	ength: Ft
Shoulde	r:	Street Ty	pe:		Grade: ()		Lanes:	0
Section	Comments:								
Work D	eate: 6/1/2013	Wo	rk Type: Bas	se Course - Aggre	egate	(Code: BA-AG	Is	Major M&R: False
Work D	eate: 6/2/2013	Wo	rk Type: Ne	w Construction -	AC	C	Code: NC-AC	Is	Major M&R: True
Last Ins	sp. Date: 3/1/2	2022	Total	Samples: 9		Survey	ed: 4		
Conditio	ons: PCI:	84							
Inspecti	on Comments:								
Sample	Number: 01	Туре	e: R	Area	: 519	98.00 SqFt	PCI:	36	
_	Comments:	Created by Insp		ıle		•			
48 I	& T CR		L	72.00 Ft					
	WEATHERING	+	L	5198.00 Sql	Ft				
	& T CR		L	66.00 Ft					
Sample	Number: 03	Туре	e: R	Area	: 645	50.00 SqFt	PCI:	36	
Sample	Comments:	Created by Insp	ection Schedu	ıle					
57 V	WEATHERING	t	L	6450.00 Sql	Ft				
	& T CR		L	137.00 Ft					
	& T CR		L	24.00 Ft					
Sample	Number: 05	Туре	e: R	Area	: 645	50.00 SqFt	PCI:	32	
Sample	Comments:	Created by Insp	ection Schedu	ıle					
48 I	& T CR		L	50.00 Ft					
57 V	WEATHERING	•	L	6450.00 Sql	Ft				
	& T CR		L	25.00 Ft					
48 I	& T CR		L	205.00 Ft					
Sample	Number: 08	Турс	e: R	Area	: 560	00.00 SqFt	PCI:	34	
Sample	Comments:	Created by Insp	ection Schedu	ıle					
	& T CR		L	41.00 Ft					
48 I			-	115.00 E					
	& T CR		L	115.00 Ft					
48 I	L & T CR WEATHERING	+	L L	115.00 Ft 5600.00 Sql	Ft				

Network:	Sisters			Nan	ne: Sist	ers Eagle Air					
Branch:	A04SI		Name:	Apron 04 Sist	ers	Use:	APRON	Area:	22,0	020 SqFt	
Section:	01	o	f 1	From: West A	pron		То: -		L	ast Const.:	9/2/2015
Surface:	AC	Family:	2022_Centra on_AC/AAC	al_Cat4/5_Apr Zon	e: 6K5		Category:	L	R	Rank: P	
Area:		22,020 SqFt	Length	293 F		Width:	94 F				
Slabs:		Slab Len	gth:	Ft	Slab Width:		Ft	Join	nt Length:	Ft	
Shoulder:		Street Ty	ype:		Grade: 0			Lan	nes: 0		
Section Cor	mments:										
Work Date	: 9/1/2015	W	ork Type: Ba	se Course - Aggregat	te	Co	ode: BA-AG		Is Major M&	R: False	
Work Date	: 9/2/2015	W	ork Type: Ne	ew Construction - AC	1,	Co	ode: NC-AC		Is Major M&	R: True	
Last Insp. I	Date: 3/1/	/2022	Tota	dSamples: 5		Surveye	d: 3				
_		/2022 90	Tota	dSamples: 5		Surveye	d: 3				
Conditions	: PCI:	90	Tota	lSamples: 5		Surveyed	d: 3				
Conditions:	: PCI:	90		lSamples: 5	4700		d: 3	90			
Conditions: Inspection (Sample Nur	: PCI: Comments mber: 01	90 ::	oe: R	Area:	4700	Surveyed		90			
Conditions: Inspection (Sample Nur Sample Con	: PCI: Comments mber: 01	90 Typ Created by Ins	oe: R	Area:	4700			90			
	: PCI: Comments mber: 01 mments:	90 Typ Created by Ins	pe: R	Area:	4700			90			
Conditions: Inspection (Sample Nur Sample Con 57 WEA 48 L &	: PCI: Comments mber: 01 mments: ATHERING	90 :: Typ Created by Ins	pe: R pection Sched L L	Area: ule 4700.00 SqFt							
Conditions: Inspection C Sample Num Sample Con 57 WEA	: PCI: Comments mber: 01 mments: ATHERING T CR mber: 03	90 :: Typ Created by Ins	pee: R pection Sched L L De: R	Area: ule 4700.00 SqFt 28.00 Ft Area:		0.00 SqFt	PCI:				
Conditions: Inspection of Sample Nur Sample Cor 57 WEA 48 L & Sample Nur Sample Cor	: PCI: Comments mber: 01 mments: ATHERING T CR mber: 03	90 Typ Created by Ins G Typ Created by Ins	pee: R pection Sched L L De: R	Area: ule 4700.00 SqFt 28.00 Ft Area:		0.00 SqFt	PCI:				
Conditions: Inspection of Sample Nur Sample Cor 57 WEA 48 L & Sample Nur Sample Cor 57 WEA	: PCI: Comments mber: 01 mments: ATHERING T CR mber: 03 mments:	90 Typ Created by Ins G Typ Created by Ins	pection Sched L L De: R pection Sched	Area: ule 4700.00 SqFt 28.00 Ft Area: ule		0.00 SqFt	PCI:				

L & T CR L 25.00 Ft
WEATHERING L 4459.00 SqFt

Network: Sister	s		Name:	Sisters Eagle A	ir		
Branch: AHR)2SI	Name:	Hold Apron Runy	way 02 Sisters Use:	APRON	Area:	10,500 SqFt
Section: 01	0	f 1 F 1	rom: At Runway	7 20 End	То: -		Last Const.: 9/2/2013
Surface: AC	Family:	2022_Central_C on_AC/AAC	Cat4/5_Apr Zone:	6K5	Category: L		Rank: P
Area:	10,500 SqFt	Length:	150 Ft	Width:	70 Ft		
Slabs:	Slab Ler	ngth:	Ft SI:	ab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft
Shoulder:	Street T	ype:	G	rade: 0		Lanes: 0	
Section Comments:							
Work Date: 9/1/201	13 W	ork Type: Base (Course - Aggregate		Code: BA-AG	Is Major	M&R: False
Work Date: 9/2/201	13 W	ork Type: New C	Construction - AC		Code: NC-AC	Is Major	M&R: True
		ork Type: Tien c	sonstruction The		Coue. NC-AC	is Major	vicer. True
Last Insp. Date: 3			mples: 2		yed: 2	15 1414 JUL	THE
Last Insp. Date: 3. Conditions: PCI:	/1/2022					is Major	THE
•	/1/2022					15 (14)01	Tue
Conditions: PCI:	/1/2022 : 94	TotalSa					THE
Conditions: PCI: Inspection Commen Sample Number:	/1/2022 : 94 hts:	TotalSa	mples: 2	Surve	yed: 2		Tue
Conditions: PCI: Inspection Commen	/1/2022 : 94 hts:	TotalSa	mples: 2	Surve	yed: 2		THE
Conditions: PCI: Inspection Commen Sample Number: (Sample Comments:	/1/2022 : 94 hts:	TotalSa pe: R spection Schedule	mples: 2 Area:	Surve	yed: 2		Tue
Conditions: PCI: Inspection Commen Sample Number: Comments: 48 L&TCR 48 L&TCR	/1/2022 : 94 ots: 01 Tyl Created by Ins	TotalSa pe: R spection Schedule L L	Area:	Surve	yed: 2)5	THE
Conditions: PCI: Inspection Commen Sample Number: (Sample Comments: 48 L&TCR	/1/2022 : 94 hts: O1 Tyl Created by Ins	TotalSa pe: R spection Schedule L L	Area: 8.00 Ft 40.00 Ft	Surve 5250.00 SqFt	yed: 2 PCI: 9)5	Tue
Conditions: PCI: Inspection Commen Sample Number: (Sample Comments: 48	/1/2022 : 94 hts: O1 Tyl Created by Ins	TotalSa pe: R spection Schedule L L L pe: R	Area: 8.00 Ft 40.00 Ft	Surve 5250.00 SqFt	yed: 2 PCI: 9)5	Tue
Conditions: PCI: Inspection Commen Sample Number: (Sample Comments: 48	/1/2022 : 94 hts: O1 Tyl Created by Ins	TotalSa pe: R spection Schedule L L pe: R spection Schedule	## Area: 8.00 Ft 40.00 Ft Area:	Surve 5250.00 SqFt	yed: 2 PCI: 9)5	Tuc

Network: Sisters		Name:	Sisters Eagle Air		
Branch: R02SI	Name:	Runway 02/20 Sisters	Use:	RUNWAY A	rea: 217,050 SqFt
Section: 01	of 1 Fr	om: R20 END		To: R02 END	Last Const.: 6/2/2013
Surface: AC	Family: 2022_Central_Ca_AC/AAC	at4/5_RW Zone:	6K5	Category: L	Rank: P
Area: 217,05	50 SqFt Length:	3,560 Ft	Width:	60 Ft	
Slabs:	Slab Length:	Ft Slab V	Vidth:	Ft	Joint Length: Ft
Shoulder:	Street Type:	Grade	: 0		Lanes: 0
Section Comments:					
Work Date: 9/1/1947	Work Type: Base C	ourse - Aggregate	C	ode: BA-AG	Is Major M&R: True
Work Date: 9/2/1947	Work Type: Surface	e Treatment - Chip	C	ode: ST-CS	Is Major M&R: True
Work Date: 9/1/1987	Work Type: Overla	y - AC Thin	C	ode: OL-AT	Is Major M&R: True
Work Date: 9/1/1996	Work Type: Crack	Sealing - AC	C	ode: CS-AC	Is Major M&R: False
Work Date: 9/1/2004	Work Type: Crack	Sealing - AC	C	ode: CS-AC	Is Major M&R: False
Work Date: 9/2/2004	Work Type: Surface	e Treatment - Slurry Seal	C	ode: ST-SS	Is Major M&R: False
Work Date: 6/1/2013	Work Type: Base C	ourse - Aggregate	C	ode: BA-AG	Is Major M&R: False
Work Date: 6/2/2013	Work Type: Comple	ete Reconstruction - AC	C	ode: CR-AC	Is Major M&R: True
Last Insp. Date: 3/1/2022	TotalSar	nples: 37	Surveye	d : 6	
Conditions: PCI: 89					
Inspection Comments:					
Sample Number: 01	Type: R	Area:	6000.00 SqFt	PCI: 89	
Sample Comments: Cro	eated by Inspection Schedule				
48 L & T CR	L	200.00 Ft			
Sample Number: 07	Type: R	Area:	6000.00 SqFt	PCI: 90	
_	eated by Inspection Schedule	121011	5411	1 01.	
48 L & T CR	L	173.00 Ft			
Sample Number: 14	Type: R	Area:	6000.00 SqFt	PCI: 89	
Sample Comments: Cre	eated by Inspection Schedule				
48 L & T CR	L	210.00 Ft			
Sample Number: 21	Type: R	Area:	6000.00 SqFt	PCI: 91	
Sample Comments: Cro	eated by Inspection Schedule				
48 L & T CR	L	15.00 Ft			
48 L & T CR	L	142.00 Ft			
Sample Number: 28	Type: R	Area:	6000.00 SqFt	PCI: 88	
Sample Comments: Cro	eated by Inspection Schedule				
48 L & T CR	L	48.00 Ft			
48 L & T CR	L	100.00 Ft			
48 L&TCR	T P	72.00 Ft	6000 00 C E	DCI. OC	
Sample Number: 35	Type: R	Area:	6000.00 SqFt	PCI: 86	
_	eated by Inspection Schedule				
48 L & T CR	L	275.00 Ft			

Network:	Sisters			Name:	Sisters 1	Eagle Air				
Branch:	T01SI]	Name:	Taxiway 01Sister	rs	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	62,000 SqFt	
Section: 01		of 1	F	rom: Runway 2) End		To: Apron		Last Const.:	9/2/2015
Surface: AC	C		_Central_C _AC/AAC	Cat4/5_Taxi Zone:	6K5		Category: L		Rank: P	
Area:	62	2,000 SqFt	Length:	3,100 Ft	W	idth:	20 Ft			
Slabs:		Slab Length:		Ft SI	ab Width:		Ft	Joint	Length: F	t
Shoulder:		Street Type:		G	rade: 0			Lanes	: 0	
Section Comm	nents:									
Work Date: 9	9/1/2015	Work Ty	vpe: Base (Course - Aggregate		Со	de: BA-AG	Is	Major M&R: False	
Work Date: 9	9/2/2015	Work Ty	ype: New (Construction - AC		Со	de: NC-AC	Is	Major M&R: True	
Last Insp. Dat	te: 3/1/20	22	TotalSa	mples: 12		Surveyed	l: 5			
Conditions:	PCI: 9	94								
Inspection Co	mments:									
Sample Numb	oer: 01	Туре:	R	Area:	5000.00	SqFt	PCI:	94		
Sample Comn	nents:	Created by Inspection	n Schedule							
57 WEAT	HERING	L		5000.00 SqFt						
Sample Numb	oer: 03	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00	SqFt	PCI:	94		
Sample Comn	nents:	Created by Inspection	n Schedule							
57 WEAT	HERING	L		5000.00 SqFt						
Sample Numb	oer: 05	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00	SqFt	PCI:	94		
Sample Comn	nents:	Created by Inspection	n Schedule							
57 WEAT	HERING	L		5000.00 SqFt						
Sample Numb	oer: 07	Туре:	R	Area:	5000.00	SqFt	PCI:	94		
Sample Comn	nents:	Created by Inspection	n Schedule							
57 WEAT	HERING	L		5000.00 SqFt						
Sample Numb	oer: 09	Туре:	R	Area:	5000.00	SqFt	PCI:	94		

Sample Comments: Created by Inspection Schedule

57 WEATHERING L 5000.00 SqFt

Network: Sister	rs .		Name:	Sisters	Eagle Air					
Branch: T02S	I	Name:	Taxiway 02 Siste	ers	Use:	TAXIWAY		Area:	16,455 SqFt	
Section: 01	0	of 1 F	rom: Apron			To: Ha	ngars		Last Const.:	9/2/2015
Surface: AC	Family:	2022_Central_C way_AC/AAC	Cat4/5_Taxi Zone:	6K5		Category	: L		Rank: P	
Area:	16,455 SqFt	Length:	235 Ft	1	Width:	53	Ft			
Slabs:	Slab Lei	ngth:	Ft S	lab Width:		Ft		Joint Length	r: Ft	
Shoulder:	Street T	ype:	G	Grade: 0				Lanes: 0	1	
Section Comments:										
Work Date: 9/1/20	15 W	ork Type: Base	Course - Aggregate		Co	de: BA-AG		Is Major	r M&R: False	
Work Date: 9/2/201	15 W	ork Type: New 0	Construction - AC		Co	de: NC-AC		Is Major	r M&R: True	
Work Date: 9/2/202 Last Insp. Date: 3			Construction - AC		Coo			Is Major	r M&R: True	
	/1/2022							Is Major	r M&R: True	
Last Insp. Date: 3.	/1/2022 : 89							Is Major	r M&R: True	
Last Insp. Date: 3. Conditions: PCI:	/1/2022 : 89	TotalSa		5300.0		l: 2	: 89	Is Major	r M&R: True	
Last Insp. Date: 3. Conditions: PCI: Inspection Commen	/1/2022 : 89 hts:	TotalSa	Area:	5300.0	Surveyed	l: 2	: 89	Is Major	r M&R: True	
Last Insp. Date: 3. Conditions: PCI: Inspection Commen	/1/2022 : 89 hts:	TotalSa	Area:	5300.0	Surveyed	l: 2	: 89	Is Major	r M&R: True	
Last Insp. Date: 3. Conditions: PCI: Inspection Commen Sample Number: Sample Comments:	/1/2022 : 89 hts: 01 Ty Created by Ins	TotalSa pe: R spection Schedule	Area:	5300.0	Surveyed	l: 2	: 89	Is Major	r M&R: True	
Last Insp. Date: 3. Conditions: PCI: Inspection Commen Sample Number: 6 Sample Comments: 48 L&TCR	/1/2022 : 89 hts: 01 Ty Created by Ins	TotalSa pe: R spection Schedule	Area:	5300.0	Surveyed	l: 2	: 89	Is Major	r M&R: True	
Last Insp. Date: 3 Conditions: PCI: Inspection Commen Sample Number: 6 Sample Comments: 48 L&TCR 57 WEATHERI	/1/2022 : 89 hts: 01 Tyl Created by Ins	TotalSa pe: R spection Schedule L L L	Area: 27.00 Ft 5300.00 SqFt		Surveyed	PCI	: 89	Is Major	r M&R: True	
Last Insp. Date: 3. Conditions: PCI: Inspection Comment Sample Number: Sample Comments: 48 L&TCR 57 WEATHERD 48 L&TCR	/1/2022 : 89 hts: 01 Tyl Created by Ins	TotalSa pe: R spection Schedule L L L	Area: 27.00 Ft 5300.00 SqFt 53.00 Ft Area:		Surveyed	PCI		Is Major	r M&R: True	
Last Insp. Date: 3. Conditions: PCI: Inspection Commen Sample Number: 6 Sample Comments: 48 L&TCR 57 WEATHERD 48 L&TCR Sample Number: 6	/1/2022 : 89 hts: 01 Tyl Created by Ins	TotalSa pe: R spection Schedule L L L pe: R	Area: 27.00 Ft 5300.00 SqFt 53.00 Ft Area:		Surveyed	PCI		Is Major	r M&R: True	



APPENDIX F

Work History Report

Work History Report

Page 1 of 3

Pavement Database: ODA_WOC3_8-24-2022_PCIFamiliesAssigned

Network:	twork: Sisters Eagle Air Brane		Branch: A01SI	Apı	on 0	1 Sisters	Section:	Section: 01		Surface:AC
L.C.D. 9/2/20	015 Us	Jse: APRON Rank: P L		Length: 2	225.0	00 (Ft) Wi o	dth: 50.0	0 (Ft)	True Area:	11250.00000 (SqFt
Work Date	Work Code	Work 1	Description	Cost	,	Thickness (in)	Major M&R		Comi	ments
9/2/2015	NC-AC	New Construc	ction - AC	0.	00	2.00	V			
9/1/2015	BA-AG	Base Course -	Aggregate	0.	00	12.00				
		ı								
Network:	Sisters Eag	gle Air	Branch: A02SI	Apı	on 0	2 Sisters	Section:	01		Surface:AC
L.C.D. 9/2/20	015 Us	se: APRON	Rank: P	Length: 2	275.0	00 (Ft) Wi o	dth: 150.0	0 (Ft)	True Area:	31245.00000 (SqFt
Work Date	Work Code	Work 1	Description	Cost	•	Thickness (in)	Major M&R		Comi	ments
9/2/2015	NC-AC	New Construc	ction - AC	0.	00	2.00	V			
9/1/2015	BA-AG	Base Course -	Aggregate	0.	00	12.00				
				-						
Network:	Sisters Eag	gle Air	Branch: A03SI	Apı	on 0	3 Sisters	Section:	01		Surface:AC
L.C.D. 6/2/20	013 Us	se: APRON	Rank: P	Length: 4	130.0	00 (Ft) Wi o	dth: 129.0	0 (Ft)	True Area:	49873.00001 (SqFt
Work Date	Work Code	Work 1	Description	Cost	,	Thickness (in)	Major M&R		Comi	ments
6/2/2013	NC-AC	New Construc	ction - AC	0.	00	2.50	V			
6/1/2013	BA-AG	Base Course -	Aggregate	0.	00	6.00				
Network:	Sisters Eag	gle Air	Branch: A04SI	Apı	on 0	4 Sisters	Section:	01		Surface:AC
L.C.D. 9/2/20	015 Us	se: APRON	Rank: P	Length: 2	293.0	00 (Ft) Wi o	dth: 94.0	0 (Ft)	True Area:	22020.00000 (SqFt
Work Date	Work Code	Work 1	Description	Cost	,	Thickness (in)	Major M&R		Comi	ments
9/2/2015	NC-AC	New Construc	ction - AC	0.	00	2.00	V			
9/1/2015	BA-AG	Base Course -	Aggregate	0.	00	12.00	<u> </u>			
Network:	Sisters Eag	gle Air	Branch: AHR0	2SI Hol	d Ap	oron Runw	Section:	01		Surface:AC
L.C.D. 9/2/20	013 Us	se: APRON	Rank: P	Length:	50.0	00 (Ft) Wi	dth: 70.0	0 (Ft)	True Area:	10500.00000 (SqFt
Work Date	Work Code	Work 1	Description	Cost	,	Thickness (in)	Major M&R		Comi	ments
9/2/2013	NC-AC	New Construc	ction - AC	0.	00	2.50	V			
9/1/2013	BA-AG	Base Course -	Aggregate	0.	00	6.00				
Network:	Sisters Eag	gle Air	Branch: R02SI	Rur	ıway	02/20 Sist	Section:	01		Surface:AC
L.C.D. 6/2/20	013 Us	se: RUNWAY	Rank: P	Length: 3,5	560.0	00 (Ft) Wi	dth: 60.0	0 (Ft)	True Area:	217050.0000 (SqFt
Work Date	Work Code	Work	Description	Cost	,	Thickness (in)	Major M&R		Comi	ments
6/2/2013	CR-AC	Complete Rec	onstruction - AC	0.	00	2.50	V			
6/1/2013	BA-AG	Base Course -	Aggregate	0.	00	6.00				
9/2/2004	ST-SS	Surface Treati	ment - Slurry Seal	0.	00	0.50		Orego	on DOA 2004	Maint.
9/1/2004	CS-AC	Crack Sealing	- AC	0.	00	0.10		Orego	on DOA 2004	Maint.
9/1/1996	CS-AC	Crack Sealing	- AC	0.	00	0.00				
9/1/1987	OL-AT	Overlay - AC	Thin	0.	00	1.50	~			
9/2/1947	ST-CS	Surface Treati	ment - Chip	0.	00	0.50				
9/1/1947	BA-AG	Base Course -	Aggregate	0.	00	6.00	<u> </u>			

Pavement Management System PAVER 7.0 TM

	^		-	^	•	
ı	()	/4	"	02	"	

Work History Report

Page 2 of 3

Pavement Database: ODA_WOC3_8-24-2022_PCIFamiliesAssigned

Network: Sisters Eagle Air		Branch: T01SI Ta		ay 01Sisters	Section: 01		Surface:AC	
L.C.D. 9/2/20	015 Us	se: TAXIWAY	Rank: P L	ength: 3,100	.00 (Ft) Wi	dth: 20.0	0 (Ft)	True Area: 62000.00001 (SqFt
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description		Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R		Comments
9/2/2015	NC-AC	New Construct	ion - AC	0.00	2.00	V		
9/1/2015	BA-AG	Base Course - A	Aggregate	0.00	12.00			

Network: L.C.D. 9/2/2	•	gle Air se: TAXIWAY	Branch: T02SI		xiway 02 S 235.00 (Ft		Section:		True Area:	Surface:AC 16455.00000 (SqFt
Work Date	Work		escription	Cost	Thic	kness n)	Major M&R	0 (1 1)		nents
9/2/2015	NC-AC	New Construct	ion - AC	0	.00	2.00	>			
9/1/2015	BA-AG	Base Course - A	Aggregate	0.	.00	12.00				

Pavement Management System PAVER 7.0 TM

Work History Report

Page 3 of 3

Pavement Database: ODA_WOC3_8-24-2022_PCIFamiliesAssigned

Summary:

Work Description	Section Count	Area Total (SqFt)	Thickness Avg (in)	Thickness STD (in)
Base Course - Aggregate	9	637,443.00	9.33	2.98
Complete Reconstruction - AC	1	217,050.00	2.50	0.00
Crack Sealing - AC	2	434,100.00	0.05	0.05
New Construction - AC	7	203,343.00	2.14	0.23
Overlay - AC Thin	1	217,050.00	1.50	0.00
Surface Treatment - Chip	1	217,050.00	0.50	0.00
Surface Treatment - Slurry Seal	1	217,050.00	0.50	0.00

Pavement Management System PAVER 7.0 TM