Port of Astoria COAR Application 2022

Applicant					
Organization Name				Contact Person	*
Port of Astoria			_	Shane Jensen	
			_		
Address				Contact Person	
422 Gateway Ave. Suite 100				Grant Consultan	t
			-		
City	State	Zip Code		Phone Number	Email
Astoria	Oregon	97103	_	(208) 260-1592	shane@grantwriter.us
Project Name and Loca	tion				
Project Name *				Project Location	1*
AST T-Hangar Fencing			_	2360 SE 12th PI	, Warrenton, OR 97146
ODOT Region:					
Region 2			-		
County tax parcel ident	ification numb	er(s): *			
810260000500					
For convenience, If you	ı have these co	mpiled, please uploa	d them here:		
Airport Information					
Airport Name: *		Airport	Category: *		NPIAS or Non-NPIAS: *
AST		Catego			NPIAS
Project Overview					
Select the type of proje Program Implementation		sed: *	_		
Select the category of p	project for which	h vou are requesting	fundina: *		
Critical/essential service		, ou are requesting			
Project Start Date:	5/2/2022	2			
Project End Date:	12/30/202				

Project Summary *

Provide a brief summary of the project in the space provided below:

The project is to install about 600 feet of chain link fencing along the west side of the T-hangars.

Project Purpose and Description *

Provide a purpose and description of the project in the space provided below:

The purpose of the project is to provide basic security for the airport by eliminating the possibility of persons wandering onto the tarmac. To date, significant stretches of the airport perimeter have been open, with no controlled access. This project will provide fencing to the last remaining open section of airport perimeter and finally provide the basic security of a perimeter fence.

The fence installation itself will consist of drafting an Invitation to Bid (ITB) document, soliciting bids, contractor selection, and construction. As the cost of the tree and brush removal appears to fall under the competitive bidding threshold (under the Port's contracting rules), no ITB will be required for this component of the project. The project is far from technically challenging. The fence will not fall within the local wetlands inventory and does not appear to be over or within any regulatory rule-triggering waters; therefore, no environmental permits appear to be required. Further, because the fence is 6' tall, no local building permit will be required.

Clearly define the proposed project in each of the following areas:

Does the project eliminate current deficiencies listed in the current Oregon Aviation Plan? *	Yes	; 🗸	∕ No	2
• Does the project modernize the airport by exceeding state or federal minimum standards as stated in the current Oregon Aviation Plan and identified by the Federal Aviation Administration Advisory Circulars or other regulations? *	Yes	: •	∕ No)
• Does the project prevent future deficiencies and preserve the existing facilities? * The project will preserve existing facilities by reducing the risk of unauthorized persons from accessing airport property - who may negligently or intentionally damage airport property. This Project also provides a defense from the many deer that wander onto the tarmac (approximately 12 over the past year). The deer pose a clear threat to the safety of pilots, passengers, and the aircraft.	Yes	;	No	2
• Does the project increase the financial self-sufficiency of the airport? * This project indirectly increases the financial self-sufficiency of the airport by preventing accidents and damage that hurt the finance bottom line by triggering the need for repairs that may have been unnecessary had the fencing been present. The fencing will also support the self-sufficiency of the airport by reducing the risk of a lawsuit that could arise out of any incident - whether involving persons or animals - in which persons are injured as a consequence of the lack of fencing.	Yes ial	i	Nc)
• Does the project have local support? *	Yes	5	No	כ

Port of Astoria

Documentation and Permits

Was the Airport Layout Plan (ALP) Completed within the last 10 years? *

Yes V No Underway

Date of Completion:

Anticipated Date of Completion:

If no, provide reasoning:

The last Airport Master Plan update and associated ALP occurred in 2007. The Port of Astoria will begin a new Master Plan project in 2022 (the subject of another COAR grant for 2022).

Is a NEPA review required? *

Yes 🖌 No

Please select the applicable review type:

If 'Other' is selected, please describe the type of NEPA review in the provided field below.

Note any required permits, date issued or expected issue date, completion status, and required status. Permits may include, but are not limited to: right-of-way permits, land acquisition permits, building permits, etc. Click the **"SAVE"** button to add additional rows.

Permit Type	Date Issued	Completion Status	Required Status
N/A			

Statewide Impact of Project

Per **ORS 367.084(6)**, the following questions apply:

Does the proposed project reduce transportation costs for Oregon businesses or improve access to jobs and sources of labor? If yes, provide a short explanation. * This project will significantly reduce the risk of accidents involving wildlife wandering onto the runways and which could easily result in injury and/or loss of life. Such an incident could easily exceed the ability of the Port to pay and result in the need for increased user or other fees - either as a result of direct payments or increased liability insurance coverage imposed because of the lack of fencing. Reducing the risk of such incidents helps the Port to keep transportation costs as low as possible by reducing insurance premiums and minimizing the risk of direct expenses associated with such incidents.	Yes t	Νο
Does the proposed project result in an economic benefit to the state? If yes, provide a short explanation. ∗ ✓	Yes	No
For the same reason as outlined above, an indirect benefit is provided by this project in its ability to reduce liability exposure for the Port, and, by extension, the state (under which the Port is a quasi-public agency). Further, increased security at the airport will increase the marketability of the airport to users who may, as a result of the increased safety and security provided by the fence, be more inclined to hangar their aircraft at AST. The consequent increase in spending will result in economic benefits to the Port that will, in turn, reduce the Port's dependence on the state for assistance with infrastructure projects - thereby directly benefitting the state.		
Is the proposed project a critical link connecting elements of Oregon's transportation system that will measurably improve utilization and efficiency of the system? If yes, provide a short explanation. *	Yes	✔ No
Is the proposed project ready for construction or implementation? * ✔	Yes	No
As previously discussed, there are no permitting hurdles for this project. The fence itself is a technically simple project for which a relatively simple Invitation-to-Bid document will suffice to satisfy the Port's contracting rules.		
Does the project have any unique construction-readiness, project implementation issues, or possible delays? *	Yes	✔ No
Does the proposed project have a useful life expectancy that offers maximum benefit to the state? If yes, provide ✓ a short explanation. *	Yes	No

The fencing will have a useful life of approximately 50 years.

Is this project currently listed in your approved Federal CIP? *

Yes 🖌 No

Federally Funded Projects *

FAA Funding Breakdown		
Federally Funded Projects		0 %
FAA AIP Grant Match Requirement from Sponsor		0 %
Total Project Cost	\$0	100 %

Non-Federally Funded Projects *

Total Project Cost

\$50,000.00

Project Funding Breakdown

Provide the funding source and the amount of funding from that source.

	Percent of Project Cost
Minimum Program Match Requirement	25%

Source of Match Funds *	Amount	Date Available
FAA grant funds	\$0	
Port of Astoria	\$12,500.00	3/1/2022
Total Match Funds:	\$12,500.00	25 %

Aviation Project Funding Request to ODA *			
	Amount requested from ODA:	\$37,500.00	75 %

Project Budget Summary		
Total applicant matching funds:	\$12,500.00	25 %
Funding request to ODA:	\$37,500.00	75 %
Total Project Cost:	\$50,000.00	100 %

Pre-Agreement Expenditures *

✓ No

Has the project incurred any expenditures prior to the completion of this agreement, if awarded? If yes, explain.

Yes

* In accordance with **OAR 738-124-0045(3)(b)** "Only Project costs incurred on or after the effective date of the Agreement are eligible for grant funds."

Please describe those pre-agreement expenditures.

Related Document Uploads

Description	Upload

File Repository

Letter of Support - City of Warrenton

Letter of Support - Clatsop County

<u>14314_1101013-091421COAR21</u> <u>LetterofSupport_Fence_Warren</u> ton.pdf

Person:Shane Jensen Date:9/23/2021

<u>14314_1101013-091521COAR21</u> <u>LetterofSupport_Fence_Clatsop</u> <u>County.pdf</u>

Person:Shane Jensen Date:9/23/2021

Final Report

(You must upload your Final Report prior to closeout) <u>Click here to generate the Final Report form</u>

Upload

Category	Applicant Response	Internal Review Score	
NPIAS or Non-NPIAS Airport	NPIAS	0	
Type of Project	Program Implementation	10	
Project Category	Critical/essential services or equipment	15	
Is there an existence of Airport Zoning?	Yes	5	
MINIMUM Match Percentage:	25 %	75	
Total applicant matching funds:	\$12,500.00 / 25 %	0	
Funding Request to ODA:	\$37,500.00 / 75 %		
Total Project Cost	\$50,000.00 / 100 %		

Iotal Project Cost

Does the proposed project reduce transportation costs for Oregon businesses or improve access to jobs and sources of labor?

This project will significantly reduce the risk of accidents involving wildlife wandering onto the runways and which could easily result in injury and/or loss of life. Such an incident could easily exceed the ability of the Port to pay and result in the need for increased user or other fees - either as a result of direct payments or increased liability insurance coverage imposed because of the lack of fencing. Reducing the risk of such incidents helps the Port to keep transportation costs as low as possible by reducing insurance premiums and minimizing the risk of direct expenses associated with such incidents.

Does the proposed project result in an economic benefit to the state?

For the same reason as outlined above, an indirect benefit is provided by this project in its ability to reduce liability exposure for the Port, and, by extension, the state (under which the Port is a quasi-public agency). Further, increased security at the airport will increase the marketability of the airport to users who may, as a result of the increased safety and security provided by the fence, be more inclined to hangar their aircraft at AST. The consequent increase in spending will result in economic benefits to the Port that will, in turn, reduce the Port's dependence on the state for assistance with infrastructure projects - thereby directly benefitting the state.

Is the proposed project a critical link connecting elements of Oregon's transportation system that will measurably improve utilization and efficiency of the system?

Is the proposed project ready for construction or implementation?

As previously discussed, there are no permitting hurdles for this project. The fence itself is a technically simple project for which a relatively simple Invitation-to-Bid document will suffice to satisfy the Port's contracting rules.

Does the project have any unique construction-readiness, project implementation issues, or possible delays?

Staff Entry	Review Score
1	5

Staff Entry	Review Score
1	5

Staff Entry	Review Score
0	0

Staff Entry	Review Score
1	5

Staff Entry	Review Score
0	5

Port of Astoria COAR Application 2022

Does the proposed transportation project have a useful life expectancy that offers maximum benefit to the State?

The fencing will have a useful life of approximately 50 years.

Does the project eliminate current deficiencies listed in the current OAP?

Does the project modernize the airport by exceeding state or federal minimum standards as stated in the current Oregon Aviation Plan and identified by the Federal Aviation Administration Advisory Circulars or other regulations?

Does the project prevent future deficiencies and preserve the existing facilities?

The project will preserve existing facilities by reducing the risk of unauthorized persons from accessing airport property - who may negligently or intentionally damage airport property. This Project also provides a defense from the many deer that wander onto the tarmac (approximately 12 over the past year). The deer pose a clear threat to the safety of pilots, passengers, and the aircraft.

Does this project increase the financial self-sufficiency of the airport?

This project indirectly increases the financial self-sufficiency of the airport by preventing accidents and damage that hurt the financial bottom line by triggering the need for repairs that may have been unnecessary had the fencing been present. The fencing will also support the self-sufficiency of the airport by reducing the risk of a lawsuit that could arise out of any incident - whether involving persons or animals - in which persons are injured as a consequence of the lack of fencing.

Does the project have local support?

Letters of Support are attached.

Summary

Application	ACT	Total	ARC	State Board
Base Score	Grading	Final Score	Priority	Priority
170		170		

Staff Entry	Review Score
1	5

Staff Entry	Review Score
0	0

Staff Entry	Review Score
0	0

Staff Entry	Review Score
1	5

Staff Entry	Review Score
1	5

Staff Entry	Review Score
1	5