



Taylor Engineering, Inc.

Civil Design and Land Planning

David M. Seese, PLS
Taylor Engineering, Inc.
106 W. Mission Avenue
Spokane, WA 99201

April 10, 2015

Prevailing Wage Rate Coordinator
Bureau of Labor and Industries
800 NE Oregon Street, Suite 1045
Portland, Oregon 97232

Dear Coordinator:

I am writing as a licensed Land Surveyor in opposition to the request letter from the International Union of Operating Engineers/Local 701 to revise the Power Equipment Operator's definition. The union requests that Land Surveyor Party Chief subclassification be moved to Power Equipment Operator Group 2 as covered by prevailing wage classification under ORS 279C.

I am licensed as a Professional Land Surveyor in Oregon, Washington, Idaho and Arizona. I have been working in the surveying profession since 1990 and have been involved in a multitude of projects ranging from the small private land owner to major commercial projects. My experience began as a field technician working under the direction of a survey party chief. Over the years, I advanced into the survey party chief position and, later, became licensed as a Professional Land Surveyor. I oversee as many as 6 field crews in their daily surveying duties.

My opposition is based on the fact that land surveying is a profession; that land surveyors do not use power equipment; that party chiefs are in a supervisory position; and that the request by International Union of Operating Engineer contains factual errors as to the fundamental nature of the duties of a surveying party chief. Finally, this was not initiated for the benefit of the surveying profession or in consultation with the professional association representing land surveyors.

Surveying is a profession as defined by ORS 672.002(4) and OAR 820-010. Land surveyors are required to obtain a professional license and bound by rules of professional conduct (OAR 820-020). Land surveyors are regulated as a profession by the Oregon State Board of Examiners for Engineering and Land Surveying (OSBEELS). Survey Party Chiefs are often licensed and, regardless of license status, are bound by the same rules of professional conduct that a licensed surveyor must follow.

Principals:
Mark A. Aronson, P.E.
Ronald G. Pace, P.E.
Stan Stirling, Emeritus

Senior Associates:
Scott M. Busch, P.E.
Frank R. Ide, A.S.L.A.
Thomas K. Stirling

Associates:
Michael J. Leaming, P.E.
Michael F. Morse, P.E.
Darrel W. Carsten, P.L.S.
David M. Seese, P.L.S.
Kevin M. Ames

Party Chiefs in survey crews are supervisors and therefore should not be classified as Power Equipment Operators. Survey Party Chiefs are constantly required to make independent and autonomous decisions. They are expected to understand legal principles, geomatics, cadastral surveying principles, construction principles and the Public Land Survey System. Furthermore, Party Chiefs are expected to train their charges in these subjects. The need for constant training demonstrates that they are operating in a supervisory capacity.

Land surveying Party Chiefs rarely, if ever, use power equipment and therefore should not be classified as Power Equipment Operators. Many Party Chiefs do not run total stations because it is easier to control and supervise the job while using a prism pole. Furthermore, it is inaccurate to consider total stations or GPS units power equipment when they are instruments of the profession.

In summary, Land Surveying Party Chiefs are professionals, act in a supervisory capacity, and do not use power equipment. They should not be included in Power Equipment Operator Group 2. I ask you to reject the International Union of Operating Engineers/Local 701 request based on statutes, administrative rules, and because facts stated in their request do not reflect the reality of the duties and responsibilities of a Survey Party Chief. Finally, reject their petition as it doesn't reflect the will or best interest of professional land surveyors in Oregon.

Our company reserves the right to further participate in this process under the law including but not limited to the Administrative Procedures Act. We appreciate the consideration of the PWR Committee in evaluating this issue and considering our perspective as a company that provides surveying services.

Sincerely,



David M. Seese, PLS
Survey Manager
Taylor Engineering, Inc.

