

Oregon DEQ Industrial Pretreatment Program
ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE PLAN EVALUATION CHECKLIST

Name of POTW:	Date of Review:
----------------------	------------------------

Requirement	YES	NO	N/A	Section Reference
A. Does the Enforcement Response Plan (ERP) describe how the POTW will investigate instances of noncompliance?				
1. Does it indicate that inspections and sampling will be used as a means to identify IU noncompliance?				
2. Does it indicate that inspections and sampling will be used as a means to follow-up on IU noncompliance?				
3. Does it identify personnel responsible for conducting inspections and sampling?				
4. Does it identify personnel responsible for entering inspection and sampling results into the IU's file?				
5. Does it specify time frames for entering inspection and sampling data?				
6. Does it describe procedures for tracking and reviewing (including evaluating report completeness and accuracy) all IU reports and notifications?				
7. Does it specify personnel responsible for reviewing reports and notifications?				
8. Does it specify personnel responsible for recommending enforcement action?				
9. Does it describe procedures for tracking responses to enforcement actions?				
10. Does it include appropriate procedures for determining violations and calculating SNC based on continuous pH monitoring?				
11. Does it clearly indicate the enforcement response that will be taken in response to SNC, including causing interference, pass through, filing late reports, etc.?				
12. Does it indicate that the POTW will respond to instances of SNC with an enforceable order within 30 days of identification?				
B. Does the ERP describe the types of escalating enforcement responses the POTW will take in response to all anticipated types of violations?				
1. Does it identify all possible types of noncompliance, including:				
a. Discharge without a permit (no harm)				
b. Discharge without a permit (harm)				
c. Failure to renew permit				

ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE PLAN EVALUATION CHECKLIST

continued

Requirement	YES	NO	N/A	Section Reference
d. Isolated violations of discharge limit (no harm)				
e. Isolated violations of discharge limit (harm)				
f. Recurring violation of discharge limit (no harm)				
g. Recurring violation of discharge limit (harm)				
h. Reported slug load (no harm)				
i. Reported slug load (harm)				
j. Late report				
k. Report is incomplete				
l. Failure to monitor all regulated pollutants				
m. Report is improperly signed or certified				
n. Failure to submit a report or notice				
o. Falsification of data				
p. Use of improper sampling procedures				
q. Failure to install monitoring equipment				
r. Missed compliance schedule milestones (no effect on final compliance date)				
s. Missed compliance schedule milestones (effect on final compliance date)				
t. Use of dilution instead of treatment				
u. Failure to properly operate and maintain pretreatment equipment				
v. Denial of entry to POTW personnel				
w. Failure to maintain records				
x. Failure to report additional monitoring				
2. Does the ERP reflect the full range of enforcement responses that are allowed under State law and the POTW's sewer use ordinance?				
3. Does the POTW's sewer use ordinance provide adequate legal authority for all enforcement actions the POTW proposes to initiate?				
4. When identifying appropriate enforcement actions, does the ERP allow for consideration of the following factors?				
a. Magnitude of the violation				
b. Duration of the violation				
c. Effect on receiving water				
d. Effect on POTW				
e. IU's compliance history				
f. IU's good faith				

ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE PLAN EVALUATION CHECKLIST

continued

Requirement	YES	NO	N/A	Section Reference
5. Does the ERP adequately describe procedures for escalating enforcement responses?				
6. Does the ERP include associated time frames for all activities including data review, initial and escalated enforcement actions, and follow-up actions?				
7. Does the ERP indicate that data will be reviewed no later than 5 working days after its receipt?				
8. Does the ERP indicate that initial enforcement actions will be taken no more than 30 days after detection of a violation?				
9. Do the proposed time frames in the ERP for initial enforcement actions make sense? For example, will NOV's be issued more promptly than more stringent enforcement action?				
10. Does the ERP allow for strong enforcement action to be taken immediately in the event of a major violation?				
11. Does the ERP indicate that initial follow-up compliance activities (e.g., inspections, sampling) will occur no later than 30 to 45 days after taking initial enforcement action?				
12. If the violation persists, does the ERP specify that escalating enforcement actions will be taken 60 to 90 days after the initial enforcement action?				
C. Does the ERP identify by title the persons responsible for each enforcement response?				
1. Are the positions described in the ERP consistent with those described in the POTW's program implementation procedures and sewer use ordinance?				
2. Do the positions identified in the ERP allow enforcement actions to be initiated in a timely and effective manner?				
D. Is the POTW's responsibility to enforce all pretreatment standards and requirements reflected in the ERP?				
1. Do the enforcement procedures in the ERP allow for final resolution of noncompliance? For example, is there a procedure to ensure that the same enforcement action will not be taken again and again without final resolution?				
2. Are the procedures identified in the ERP consistent with those contained in the program implementation procedures and sewer use ordinance?				
E. In general, are the relevant elements of the ERP referenced and incorporated into other sections of the implementation manual?				