# Infrastructure Research Subcommittee Charter, 4/11/19

Subcommittee name: Infrastructure Research Subcommittee

Purpose: help define scope of infrastructure research, with approval of the Recycling Steering Committee, and keep the research going in between Steering Committee meetings

### **Members:**

- Ron Shearer Bend Garbage and Recycling
- Bruce Walker City of Portland
- Bryce Jacobson Metro
- David McCall Tillamook County
- Dave Claugus Pioneer Recycling
- Derek Ranta Waste Connections

- Dylan DeThomas Recycling Partnership
- Jeff Murray EFI
- Kristin Leichner Pride Disposal
- Kristan Mitchell ORRA
- Peter Spendelow DEQ
- Vinod Singh Far West Recycling

Facilitator/convener: Brian Stafki — DEQ

## Tasks:

- Draft Phase 2 statement of work collection and processing research to keep infrastructure research progressing
- Request feedback/final recommendation on statement of work from greater Steering Committee by email
- Review early results from contractor tasks and draft feedback/direction for contractor on next steps in research
- Request feedback on deliverables and direction for contractor from SC by email
- Questions to answer:
- What is focus of Phase 2 research?
- Which collection alternatives should be examined?
- What key characteristics should be analyzed for collection alternatives?
- What types of sorting/processing systems/technology should the contractor research?
- What aspects/abilities/qualities of sorting/processing systems/technology should they catalogue?
- How should the contractor document and analyze existing sorting/processing infrastructure?
- What inputs and outputs should optimal infrastructure have?
- What is the process to engage the SC to evaluate optimum infrastructure scenarios?

The Recycling Steering Committee is a collaborative of representation from the Assoc. of Oregon Counties, Assoc. of Oregon Recyclers, Assoc. of Plastics Recyclers/Denton Plastics, EFI, Far West Recycling, Lane County, League of Oregon Cities, Metro, NORPAC, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, Oregon Refuse & Recycling Assoc., City of Portland, Recycling Partnership, Rogue Disposal & Recycling, Waste Connections, and Waste Management. For more information, visit https://go.usa.gov/xmYYe.

• What aspects should contractor compare optimum to existing infrastructure?

# **Process:**

- 1. Meet/phone conference and discuss options
- 2. Deliberate and draft deliverable
- 3. Share deliverable with SC for feedback and finalization
- 4. DEQ works with contractor for contracting/execution with feedback from SC

# **Timeline:**

Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Subtask		Subtask 1.1 —	Review results		Review results	Work	Review
1.0 —		provide	of Task 1-2		of Phase 2	with	results of
participat		feedback on	research —		Task 1 and 2	contract	Phase 2
e in call		material list for	material		research —	or to	Subtask 3.3
		focus of 1.2	projections		collection	prepare	— gap
					options and	worksho	analysis
					sorting/process	p for	
					ing technology	Phase 2	
						Subtask	
						3.2	
Draft Phase 2		Draft Phase 2	Draft Phase 2				End of ad
Task 1 and Task		Task 1 and	Task 3				hoc
2 statement of		Task 2	statement of				subcommitt
work —		statement of	work —				ee
collection		work —	sorting/processi				
options and		collection	ng options				
sorting/processi		options and					
ng technology		sorting/process					
		ing technology					

**Facilitation/note taking assistance needed**: DEQ will facilitated and make sure there is a note taker at each meeting from available members.