



DEQ

State of Oregon
Department of
Environmental
Quality

Information Required for Individual Wastewater NPDES Permit Readiness Review

Checklist to be completed by permit readiness team

Water Quality Permitting

Use this checklist to determine Individual NPDES permit readiness. Complete one readiness review for each individual permit

*Key documents required to complete this review - application, correspondence and permit

Reviewer name(s):	Legal permit name: Enter First	Common permit name:	Permit expiration date:	Readiness review start (date and time):		Planned permit issuance date:	Receiving water body
J. Navarro, D. Feldman	(odell) DIAMOND FRUIT GROWERS, INC.	ODELL PLANT	7/31/2011	7/14/2017	1515	TBD	Odell Creek
Permit number:	DEQ file number:	Application number:	EPA ID number:	Readiness review completion (date and time)		Complexity (see question #41)	303(d) Listed?
100516	24344	967783	OR0000329	7/14/2017	1614	2	yes
Critical Issuance:	Permit type:	DEQ Region:	City:	County:		Basin:	Sub basin:
No	NPDES-IW-B02	ER	Hood River	HOOD RIVER		Middle Columbia	Middle Columbia- Hood
Indicate permit status and/or reasons for delay		administratively extended	Category for delay	Reason for delay		Technical staff unavailable	
			Resource limitations				
<p>Readiness summary (to be completed by reviewer after checklist is completed): There is a TMDL for the Hood River sub-basin which includes WLA for Odel facility; There is no indication that compliance schedules have been satisfied, See Jan 23, 2011 PEN from Jayne West RE: Diamonds failure to comply with Schedule C of the permit; There is not a site map included with the application (there is one from previous PER though it is inadequate); There is a TMDL for the hood river sub-basin, which includes the Odell facility; Permit should be written in coordination with sister facilities (PARKDALE and CENTRAL); According to previous PER, facility land applies wastewater from outfall 002. a land application plan was not available for review (it appears to be part of the compliance schedule as well; Mixing zone study must be evaluated, hard copy was not available for review. however, permit writer should ensure evaluation is completed for all pollutants; EPA form 2C only has information for one outfall (001), information for outfall 002 may not be required since it is intended for land application (DAVE???); WET testing evaluation; See pre-treatment status email from Jayne West;</p>							
<p>Readiness Score ((# of "action required" + Sum of estimated time rankings) * Complexity)</p>						<p>118</p>	

Checklist quality review completed by project manager (sign and date): D. Feldman 7/17/17

Application Readiness

Verify that information is available and complete <small>*indicates critical step, proceed with readiness review</small>			Information available and complete	Permit Development impact	Estimate time required (enter if red)	Comment for action required (enter if red)
1	Industrial/Domestic wastewater NPDES permit renewal checklist complete?		no	no action		
2	Renewal application was submitted on time?		Yes	no action		
3	*EPA Form 2C NPDES Section V Part A, B and C monitoring requirements for each outfall Verify pollutants via TRI report, inspections, ELG's, major process changes		no	action required	1	Administratively incomplete
4	EPA Form 2E NPDES (For dischargers of non-process wastewater only)		n/a	no action		
5	EPA Form 2F NPDES (For dischargers of industrial storm water only)		n/a	no action		
6	EPA Form 2A (For POTW)		n/a	no action		
7	Oregon Form R (Renewal application national pollutant discharge elimination permit or EPA form 1 for new permits)		no	action required	1	Administratively incomplete
8	*Land Use Compatibility Statement (LUCS) Form that demonstrates compatibility with local and land use regulations (most current department signed and approved form is required)		no	action required	1	LUCS
9	Mixing Zone Study needed and/or available	Level of study	no	action required	2	Mixing zone
10	Provide reasoning for not requiring a mixing zone:		mixing zone study not available for review			
11	Mixing zone information is available (acute, chronic, dilution)		no	action required	2	Mixing zone
12	Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing Results		yes	action required	1	WET
13	Land Application Plan for Industrial Wastewater (Industrial permits only)		yes	action required	3	facility plan

14	Solids or industrial residuals management plan is needed (industrial permits only)	no	no action		
15	Biosolids management plan (Domestic permits only)	n/a	no action		
16	Recycled water use plan (or update) is completed (Domestic permits only)	n/a	no action		
17	Site location map received and complete and latitude and longitude verified	no	action required	1	Administratively incomplete
18	Is the receiving water body 303(d) listed	yes	action required	2	data analysis
19	List pollutants of concern that receiving body is listed for and user discharges:	Guthion			
20	*Effluent monitoring data for parameters associated with 303(d) listing are available	no	action required	1	effluent data
21	Is there a TMDL for the receiving water body	yes	action required	2	TMDL
22	Ambient data for receiving water body are available (data may be from state database and/or user provided)	yes	action required	1	data analysis
23	*Required data are available for all pollutants in discharge including data for effluent limitation guidelines or category listing	yes	no action		
24	*Updated process flow diagram or schematic with complete water balance which includes inflow, outflow, location of flow meters, process uses and consumptive uses	yes	no action		
25	Average flows available, maximum monthly flow, average 1 year flow, average 5 year flow ADD TO MWH TABLE	yes	no action		
26	Design capacity available for domestic facilities ADD TO MWH TABLE	n/a	no action		
27	*Facility description (list processes and treatment systems) see EPA form 2C and/or Oregon form R question 1: ADD TO MWH TABLE	yes	no action		
28	Five years of production data for industry with ELG related to production rate	no	action required	1	data analysis
29	*Excel spreadsheet of the last 3 years' effluent data including flow data (if no, indicate data format i.e. PDF, msWORD, Hardcopy):	no	action required	1	data format

Community/Facility Readiness

Verify that information is available and complete <small>*indicates critical information, proceed with readiness review</small>		Information available and complete	Permit development impact	Estimate time required (enter if red)	Comment for action required (enter if red)
30	Facility will likely need upgrades and/or permittee will have to take other significant action(s) during next permit term	yes	action required	2	compliance schedule
31	If Yes to [30] List actions required:	determine completion of compliance schedule; existing has flow meter requirements			

32	Mutual Agreement Order (MAO) with ELG and compliance schedule with interim limits	no	no action		
33	Has compliance schedule in current permit with interim limits been satisfied	no	action required	2	compliance schedule
34	Is it anticipated that a compliance schedule will be needed in next permit	yes	action required	2	compliance schedule
35	Significant financial burden on facility/community due to permit renewal	no	no action		
36	Recommended consultation with regional solutions team, describe:	no	no action		
37	Public Notice (340-045-0027(1)(d) and (3)):	category 3	no action		

Regulatory Readiness

Indicate regulatory impacts to permit development <small>*indicates critical impact, proceed with readiness review</small>		Regulation will impact permit development	Permit development impact	Estimate time required (enter if red)	Comment for action required (enter if red)
38	Facility discharges a 303(d) listed pollutant and has a TMDL with WLA for the pollutant receiving water body	yes	action required	2	TMDL
39	*Facility discharges a 303(d) listed pollutant and mass load increase is requested for the listed pollutant	no	no action		
40	Facility is new and discharges a 303(d) listed pollutant	n/a	No action		
41	Permit will be significantly more complex compared to existing permit (e.g., includes trading, new standard/regulation, guidance, litigation) Rank 1-5	2			
42	There are outstanding complaint or compliance issues with facility (describe): PEN (WQ-ERB-2012-20443) status is unclear	yes	action required	2	enforcement

43	Active State or Federal agency actions - coordination with external agencies will be required i.e. tribal communities, 404/401 permit, biological opinion, NEPA, ESA (describe):	no	no action		
44	*Active criminal or civil enforcement (describe): see item 42	yes	action required	2	enforcement
45	Groundwater review completed (if necessary)	no	action required	3	facility plan
46	Will additional monitoring data be required for domestic facilities per 40 CFR 122.21 (data missed due to new standards, missing from application, etc)	yes	action required	2	data analysis
47	Will best professional judgement be used to develop permit limits	yes	no action		
48	New WQ standards apply (i.e. copper BLM, Ammonia, etc.) and most recent version of RPA spreadsheet used. List new standards:	no	no action		