

## **Information Required for Individual Wastewater NPDES Permit Readiness Review**

## Checklist to be completed by permit readiness team

Water Quality Permitting

Use this checklist to determine Individual NPDES permit readiness. Complete one readiness review for each individual permit \*Key documents required to complete this review - application, correspondence and permit

Reviewer name(s):	Legal peri Enter	mit name: First	Common	permit name:	Permit expiration date:	Readiness review start (date and time):		Planned permit issuance date:	Receiving water body
D. Feldman, J. Navarro	US ARMY ENGINEERS, LOCK AN		CREEK WASTE	;USACOE-TANNER WATER TREATMENT PLANT	2/28/2017	5/23/2017	10:45 AM	TBD	Columbia River
Permit number:	DEQ file	number:	Applicat	ion number:	EPA ID number:	completion	ss review n (date and ne)	Complexity (see question #41)	303(d) Listed?
101793 90980		95	6586	OR0022624	5/23/2017	12:00 PM	3	Yes	
Critical Issuance: Per		t type:	DEQ	Region:	City:	County:		Basin:	Sub basin:
No NPDES-DOM-Da		N	NWR	CASCADE LOCKS	MULTN	IOMAH	Lower Columbia	Lower Columbia- Sandy	
Indicate permit status and/or reasons for delay			tratively nded	Category for delay	Resource limitations		Reason for delay	Technical staff	unavailable

Readiness summary (to be completed by reviewer after checklist is completed): The Tanner Creek WWTP is designed to treat both domestic and fish hatchery wastewater according to the fact sheet for the previous permit. The application doesn't mention fish hatchery wastewater. The NPDES-R form doesn't list the treatment processes. MZ study is missing from the record. The dilutions for acute and chronic are in the RPA sheet, these need to be confirmed.

Readiness Score ((# of "action required" + Sum of estimated time rankings) \* Complexity)

102

Checklist quality review completed by project manager (sign and date): J. Navarro 5-31-17

Application Readiness							
	Verify that information is available and complete *indicates critical step, proceed with readiness review	Information available and complete	Permit Development impact	Estimate time required (enter if red)	Comment for action required (enter if red)		
1	Industrial/Domestic wastewater NPDES permit renewal checklist complete?	Yes	No action				
2	Renewal application was submitted on time?	No	No action				
3	*EPA Form 2C NPDES Section V Part A, B and C monitoring requirements for each outfall Verify pollutants via TRI report, inspections, ELG's, major process changes	No	No action				
4	EPA Form 2E NPDES (For dischargers of non-process wastewater only)	No	No action				
5	EPA Form 2F NPDES (For dischargers of industrial storm water only)	No	No action				
6	EPA Form 2A (For POTW)	Yes	No action				
7	Oregon Form R (Renewal application national pollutant discharge elimination permit or EPA form 1 for new permits)	Yes	Action Required	2	Administratively incomplete		
8	*Land Use Compatibility Statement (LUCS) Form that demonstrates compatibility with local and land use regulations (most current department signed and approved form is required)	No	Action Required	1	LUCS		
9	Mixing Zone Study needed and/or Level of availble study	Yes	Action Required	2	Mixing Zone		
10	Provide reasoning for not requiring a mixing zone:	Mixing Zone Study is the record	-				
11	Mixing zone information is available (acute, chronic, dilution)	Yes	Action Required	2	Mixing Zone		
12	Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing Results	No	No action				
13	Land Application Plan for Industrial Wastewater (Industrial permits only)	No	No action				

	_					
14	Solids or industrial residuals management plan is needed (industrial permits only)	No	No action			
15	Biosolids management plan (Domestic permits only)	Yes	No action			
16	Recycled water use plan (or update) is completed (Domestic permits only)	No	No action			
17	Site location map received and complete and latitude and longitude verified	No	Action Required	2	Facility Plan	
18	Is the receiving water body 303(d) listed	Yes	Action Required	2	Data Analysis	
19	List pollutants of concern that receiving body is listed for and user discharges:	pH, PCBs, Tem	perature			
20	*Effluent monitoring data for parameters associated with 303(d) listing are available	Yes	Action Required	2	Data Analysis	
21	Is there a TMDL for the receiving water body	Yes	Action Required	2	TMDL	
22	Ambient data for receiving water body are available (data may be from state database and/or user provided)	Yes	Action Required	2		
23	*Required data are available for all pollutants in discharge including data for effluent limitation guidelines or category listing	N/A	No action			
24	*Updated process flow diagram or schematic with complete water balance which includes inflow, outflow, location of flow meters, process uses and consumptive uses	Yes	No action			
25	Average flows available, maximum monthly flow, average 1 year flow, average 5 year flow ADD TO MWH TABLE	No	No action			
26	Design capacity available for domestic facilities ADD TO MWH TABLE	Yes	No action			
27	*Facility description (list processes and treatment systems) see EPA form 2C and/or Oregon form R question 1: ADD TO MWH TABLE	No	Action Required	1	Facility Plan	
28	Five years of production data for industry with ELG related to production rate	N/A	No action			
29	*Excel spreadsheet of the last 3 years' effluent data including flow data (if no, indicate data format i.e. PDF, msWORD, Hardcopy):	No	Action Required	2	Data Format	
Community/Facility Readiness						

Verify that information is available and complete  *indicates critical information, proceed with readiness review		Information available and complete	Permit development impact	Estimate time required (enter if red)	Comment for action required (enter if red)
30	Facility will likely need upgrades and/or permittee will have to take other significant action(s) during next permit term	No	No action		
31	If Yes to [30] List actions required:	N/A			

32	Mutual Agreement Order (MAO) with ELG and compliance schedule with interim limits	No	No action		
33	Has compliance schedule in current permit with interim limits been satisfied	No	No action		
34	Is it anticipated that a compliance schedule will be needed in next permit	No	No action		
35	Significant financial burden on facility/community due to permit renewal	No	No action		
36	Recommended consultation with regional solutions team, describe:	No	No action		
37	Public Notice (340-045-0027(1)(d) and (3)):	Category 3	No action		
Regu	latory Readiness				
	Indicate regulatory impacts to permit development *indicates critical impact, proceed with readiness review	Regulation will impact permit development	Permit development impact	Estimate time required (enter if red)	Comment for action required (enter if red)
38	Facility discharges a 303(d) listed pollutant and has a TMDL with WLA for the pollutant receiving water body	No	No action		
39	*Facility discharges a 303(d) listed pollutant and mass load increase is requested for the listed pollutant	No	No action		
40	Facility is new and discharges a 303(d) listed pollutant	N/A	No action		
41	Permit will be significantly more complex compared to existing permit (e.g., includes trading, new standard/regulation, guidance, litigation) Rank 1-5	3			
42	There are outstanding complaint or compliance issues with facility (describe):	No	No action		

43	Active State or Federal agency actions - coordination with external agencies will be required i.e. tribal communities, 404/401 permit, biological opinion, NEPA, ESA (describe):	No	No action		
44	*Active criminal or civil enforcement (describe):	No	No action		
45	Groundwater review completed (if necessary)	No	No action		
46	Will additional monitoring data be required for domestic facilities per 40 CFR 122.21 (data missed due to new standards, missing from application, etc)	No	No action		
47	Will best professional judgement be used to develop permit limits	No	No action		
48	New WQ standards apply (i.e. copper BLM, Ammonia, etc.) and most recent version of RPA spreadsheet used. List new standards: Ammonia	Yes	Action Required	2	WQ Standards – new standards