

Information Required for Individual Wastewater NPDES Permit Readiness Review

Checklist to be completed by permit readiness team

Water Quality Permitting

Use this checklist to determine Individual NPDES permit readiness. Complete one readiness review for each individual permit *Key documents required to complete this review - application, correspondence and permit

Reviewer name(s):		mit name: First	Common	permit name:	Permit expiration date:	Readiness review start (date and time):		Planned permit issuance date:	Receiving water body
D. Feldman, J. Navarro	ORC PROP	ERTIES LLC	ORC PR	OPERTIES LLC	12/31/2008	8/11/2017	1:45 PM	TBD	Coalbank Slough
Permit number: DEQ file		number:	Applicat	ion number:	EPA ID number:	Readiness review completion (date and time)		Complexity (see question #41)	303(d) Listed?
100860 106451		97	72243	OR0033812	8/11/2017	2:52 PM	4	Yes	
Critical Issuance: Permit type:		t type:	DEQ	Region:	City:	Cou	nty:	Basin:	Sub basin:
No NPDES-IW-B16		IW-B16		WR	COOS BAY	СО	OS	Southern Oregon Coastal	Coos
Indicate permit status and/or reasons for delay			tratively Category for delay		Resource limitations		Reason for delay	Technical staff	unavailable

Readiness summary (to be completed by reviewer after checklist is completed): The Oregon Resources Corporation maintains a former nickel ore processing facility that's been inactive since 1998. The permit is only for treated stormwater discharge. The WET test requirement was removed. This decision needs to be reviewed given the potential toxicity of the discharge. This facility has several violations in the past that are already addressed. A careful review of the data will be needed when the permit is renewed.

Readiness Score ((# of "action required" + Sum of estimated time rankings) * Complexity)

116

Checklist quality review completed by project manager (sign and date): J. Navarro 8/14/17

Application Readiness							
	Verify that information is available and complete *indicates critical step, proceed with readiness review	Information available and complete	Permit Development impact	Estimate time required (enter if red)	Comment for action required (enter if red)		
1	Industrial/Domestic wastewater NPDES permit renewal checklist complete?	No	No action				
2	Renewal application was submitted on time?	No	No action				
3	*EPA Form 2C NPDES Section V Part A, B and C monitoring requirements for each outfall Verify pollutants via TRI report, inspections, ELG's, major process changes	N/A	No action				
4	EPA Form 2E NPDES (For dischargers of non-process wastewater only)	N/A	No action				
5	EPA Form 2F NPDES (For dischargers of industrial storm water only)	Yes	No action				
6	EPA Form 2A (For POTW)	N/A	No action				
7	Oregon Form R (Renewal application national pollutant discharge elimination permit or EPA form 1 for new permits)	Yes	No action				
8	*Land Use Compatibility Statement (LUCS) Form that demonstrates compatibility with local and land use regulations (most current department signed and approved form is required)	No	Action Required	1	LUCS		
9	Mixing Zone Study needed and/or Level of availble study	Yes	No action				
10	Provide reasoning for not requiring a mixing zone:	There is a MZ study, h set end of p					
11	Mixing zone information is available (acute, chronic, dilution)	Yes	No action				
12	Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing Results	No	Action Required	1	WET		
13	Land Application Plan for Industrial Wastewater (Industrial permits only)	No	No action				

14	Solids or industrial residuals management plan is needed (industrial permits only)	No	Action Required	2	Facility Plan		
15	Biosolids management plan (Domestic permits only)	N/A	No action				
16	Recycled water use plan (or update) is completed (Domestic permits only)	N/A	No action				
17	Site location map received and complete and latitude and longitude verified	Yes	No action				
18	Is the receiving water body 303(d) listed	Yes	Action Required	1	Data Analysis		
19	List pollutants of concern that receiving body is listed for and user discharges:	Fecal Colifo	orm				
20	*Effluent monitoring data for parameters associated with 303(d) listing are available	No	No action				
21	Is there a TMDL for the receiving water body	No	No action				
22	Ambient data for receiving water body are available (data may be from state database and/or user provided)	Yes	Action Required	1	Data Analysis		
23	*Required data are available for all pollutants in discharge including data for effluent limitation guidelines or category listing	N/A	No action				
24	*Updated process flow diagram or schematic with complete water balance which includes inflow, outflow, location of flow meters, process uses and consumptive uses	Yes	No action				
25	Average flows available, maximum monthly flow, average 1 year flow, average 5 year flow ADD TO MWH TABLE	Yes	No action				
26	Design capacity available for domestic facilities ADD TO MWH TABLE	N/A	No action				
27	*Facility description (list processes and treatment systems) see EPA form 2C and/or Oregon form R question 1: ADD TO MWH TABLE	Yes	No action				
28	Five years of production data for industry with ELG related to production rate	N/A	No action				
29	*Excel spreadsheet of the last 3 years' effluent data including flow data (if no, indicate data format i.e. PDF, msWORD, Hardcopy): Hardcopy	No	Action Required	1	Data Format		
Comr	Community/Facility Readiness						

Verify that information is available and complete *indicates critical information, proceed with readiness review		Information available and complete	Permit development impact	Estimate time required (enter if red)	Comment for action required (enter if red)
30	Facility will likely need upgrades and/or permittee will have to take other significant action(s) during next permit term	No	No action		
31	If Yes to [30] List actions required:	N/A			

32	Mutual Agreement Order (MAO) with ELG and compliance schedule with interim limits	No	No action				
33	Has compliance schedule in current permit with interim limits been satisfied	No	No action				
34	Is it anticipated that a compliance schedule will be needed in next permit	No	No action				
35	Significant financial burden on facility/community due to permit renewal	No	No action				
36	Recommended consultation with regional solutions team, describe:	No	No action				
37	Public Notice (340-045-0027(1)(d) and (3)):	Category 3	No action				
Regulatory Readiness							
	Indicate regulatory impacts to permit development *indicates critical impact, proceed with readiness review	Regulation will impact permit development	Permit development impact	Estimate time required (enter if red)	Comment for action required (enter if red)		
38	Facility discharges a 303(d) listed pollutant and has a TMDL with WLA for the pollutant receiving water body	No	No action				
39	*Facility discharges a 303(d) listed pollutant and mass load increase is requested for the listed pollutant	N/A	No action				
40	Facility is new and discharges a 303(d) listed pollutant	N/A	No action				
41	Permit will be significantly more complex compared to existing permit (e.g., includes trading, new standard/regulation, guidance, litigation) Rank 1-5	4					
42	There are outstanding complaint or compliance issues with facility (describe):	No	Action Required	3	Enforcement		

43	Active State or Federal agency actions - coordination with external agencies will be required i.e. tribal communities, 404/401 permit, biological opinion, NEPA, ESA (describe):	No	No action		
44	*Active criminal or civil enforcement (describe):	No	Action Required	3	Enforcement
45	Groundwater review completed (if necessary)	No	Action Required	2	Facility Plan
46	Will additional monitoring data be required for domestic facilities per 40 CFR 122.21 (data missed due to new standards, missing from application, etc)	Yes	Action Required	2	Data Analysis
47	Will best professional judgement be used to develop permit limits	Yes	Action Required	1	Data Analysis
48	New WQ standards apply (i.e. copper BLM, Ammonia, etc.) and most recent version of RPA spreadsheet used. List new standards:	No	No action		