



**DEQ**

State of Oregon  
Department of  
Environmental  
Quality

# Information Required for Individual Wastewater NPDES Permit Readiness Review

## Checklist to be completed by permit readiness team

### Water Quality Permitting

Use this checklist to determine Individual NPDES permit readiness. Complete one readiness review for each individual permit

\*Key documents required to complete this review - application, correspondence and permit

Reviewer name(s):	Legal permit name: Enter First	Common permit name:	Permit expiration date:	Readiness review start (date and time):		Planned permit issuance date:	Receiving water body
J. navarro, D. Feldman	ALLWEATHER WOOD, LLC	ALLWEATHER WOOD, WHITE CITY	11/30/2015	9/7/2017	8:45 AM	TBD	Rogue River
Permit number:	DEQ file number:	Application number:	EPA ID number:	Readiness review completion (date and time)		Complexity (see question #41)	303(d) Listed?
102034	105365	958733	OR0042391	9/7/2017	9:53	4	no
Critical Issuance:	Permit type:	DEQ Region:	City:	County:		Basin:	Sub basin:
No	NPDES-IW-B21	WR	WHITE CITY	JACKSON		Rogue	Middle Rogue
Indicate permit status and/or reasons for delay		administratively extended	Category for delay	Resource limitations		Reason for delay	Technical staff unavailable

**Readiness summary (to be completed by reviewer after checklist is completed):** Facility does not discharge process wastewater, two retention ponds are filled with storm water runoff only. Discharge is seasonal and there are no indications on what is done with process water in particular two drip pads; WET results should be evaluated by specialist; reduced sampling requirements have been requested due to infrequent discharge; Copper results in form 2C are higher than listed benchmarks in schedule A of permit, this potentially indicates major updates and/or upgrades to facility treatment and/or SWPCP; Permit writer should consider a groundwater management review that includes the retention ponds; mixing zone study (2003) should be reviewed and/or updated; multiple public comments were made in regards to discharge limits, no process discharge, mixing zone and groundwater. These questions are likely to resurface and the permit writer will have to spend time to address them; Permit writer should evaluate 303(d) listings for Rogue River, even though discharge and mixing zone are for unnamed ditch; All Weather Wood is not specified in the Rogue or Middle Rogue TMDL, permit writer should review the TMDL's to verify;

Checklist quality review completed by project manager (sign and date): D. Feldman 9/11/17

## Application Readiness

Verify that information is available and complete <small>*indicates critical step, proceed with readiness review</small>			Information available and complete	Permit Development impact	Estimate time required (enter if red)	Comment for action required (enter if red)
1	Industrial/Domestic wastewater NPDES permit renewal checklist complete?		no	no action		
2	Renewal application was submitted on time?		yes	no action		
3	*EPA Form 2C NPDES Section V Part A, B and C monitoring requirements for each outfall Verify pollutants via TRI report, inspections, ELG's, major process changes		yes	no action		
4	EPA Form 2E NPDES (For dischargers of non-process wastewater only)		no	no action		
5	EPA Form 2F NPDES (For dischargers of industrial storm water only)		no	no action		
6	EPA Form 2A (For POTW)		n/a	no action		
7	Oregon Form R (Renewal application national pollutant discharge elimination permit or EPA form 1 for new permits)		yes	no action		
8	*Land Use Compatibility Statement (LUCS) Form that demonstrates compatibility with local and land use regulations (most current department signed and approved form is required)		no	action required	1	LUCS
9	Mixing Zone Study needed and/or available	Level of study	yes	action required	3	mixing zone
10	Provide reasoning for not requiring a mixing zone:		n/a			
11	Mixing zone information is available (acute, chronic, dilution)		yes	action required	2	mixing zone
12	Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing Results		yes	action required	2	WET
13	Land Application Plan for Industrial Wastewater (Industrial permits only)		no	no action		

14	Solids or industrial residuals management plan is needed (industrial permits only)	no	no action		
15	Biosolids management plan (Domestic permits only)	n/a	no action		
16	Recycled water use plan (or update) is completed (Domestic permits only)	n/a	no action		
17	Site location map received and complete and latitude and longitude verified	yes	no action		
18	Is the receiving water body 303(d) listed	no	no action		
19	List pollutants of concern that receiving body is listed for and user discharges:	unnamed ditch			
20	*Effluent monitoring data for parameters associated with 303(d) listing are available	no	no action		
21	Is there a TMDL for the receiving water body	no	action required	1	TMDL
22	Ambient data for receiving water body are available (data may be from state database and/or user provided)	no	action required	2	ambient data
23	*Required data are available for all pollutants in discharge including data for effluent limitation guidelines or category listing	yes	action required	1	data analysis
24	*Updated process flow diagram or schematic with complete water balance which includes inflow, outflow, location of flow meters, process uses and consumptive uses	yes	no action		
25	Average flows available, maximum monthly flow, average 1 year flow, average 5 year flow <b>ADD TO MWH TABLE</b>	yes	no action		
26	Design capacity available for domestic facilities <b>ADD TO MWH TABLE</b>	n/a	no action		
27	*Facility description (list processes and treatment systems) see EPA form 2C and/or Oregon form R question 1: <b>ADD TO MWH TABLE</b>	yes	no action		
28	Five years of production data for industry with ELG related to production rate	no	no action		
29	*Excel spreadsheet of the last 3 years' effluent data including flow data (if no, indicate data format i.e. PDF, msWORD, Hardcopy):	no	action required	1	data format

Community/Facility Readiness

Verify that information is available and complete <small>*indicates critical information, proceed with readiness review</small>		Information available and complete	Permit development impact	Estimate time required (enter if red)	Comment for action required (enter if red)
30	Facility will likely need upgrades and/or permittee will have to take other significant action(s) during next permit term	yes	action required	3	Economic-community impact
31	If Yes to [30] List actions required:	SWPCP changes and/or treatment upgrades for copper, Zinc and Chrome			

32	Mutual Agreement Order (MAO) with ELG and compliance schedule with interim limits	no	no action		
33	Has compliance schedule in current permit with interim limits been satisfied	yes	no action		
34	Is it anticipated that a compliance schedule will be needed in next permit	yes	action required	3	compliance schedule
35	Significant financial burden on facility/community due to permit renewal	no	no action		
36	Recommended consultation with regional solutions team, describe:	no	no action		
37	<a href="#">Public Notice (340-045-0027(1)(d) and (3)):</a>	Category 3	no action		

### Regulatory Readiness

Indicate regulatory impacts to permit development <small>*indicates critical impact, proceed with readiness review</small>		Regulation will impact permit development	Permit development impact	Estimate time required (enter if red)	Comment for action required (enter if red)
38	Facility discharges a 303(d) listed pollutant and has a TMDL with WLA for the pollutant receiving water body	no	no action		
39	*Facility discharges a 303(d) listed pollutant and mass load increase is requested for the listed pollutant	no	no action		
40	Facility is new and discharges a 303(d) listed pollutant	n/a	no action		
41	Permit will be significantly more complex compared to existing permit (e.g., includes trading, new standard/regulation, guidance, litigation) Rank 1-5	4			
42	There are outstanding complaint or compliance issues with facility (describe):	no	no action		

43	Active State or Federal agency actions - coordination with external agencies will be required i.e. tribal communities, 404/401 permit, biological opinion, NEPA, ESA (describe):	no	no action		
44	*Active criminal or civil enforcement (describe): see 42	no	no action		
45	Groundwater review completed (if necessary)	yes	action required	3	facility plan
46	Will additional monitoring data be required for domestic facilities per 40 CFR 122.21 (data missed due to new standards, missing from application, etc)	yes	action required	2	data analysis
47	Will best professional judgement be used to develop permit limits	yes	action required	2	data analysis
48	New WQ standards apply (i.e. copper BLM, Ammonia, etc.) and most recent version of RPA spreadsheet used. List new standards: copper, ammonia	yes	action required	2	WQ Standards – new standards