

Information Required for Individual Wastewater NPDES Permit Readiness Review

Checklist to be completed by permit readiness team

Water Quality Permitting

Use this checklist to determine Individual NPDES permit readiness. Complete one readiness review for each individual permit

*Key documents required to complete this review - application, correspondence and permit

Reviewer name(s):	• •	mit name: First	Common	permit name:	Permit expiration date:	Readiness review start (date and time):		Planned permit issuance date:	Receiving water body
J. Navarro, D. Feldman	COBURG	, CITY OF		WASTEWATER MENT PLANT	5/31/2014	9/8/2017	10:15 AM	TBD	Muddy Creek
Permit number: DEQ file		number:	Applicat	ion number:	EPA ID number:	Readiness review completion (date and time)		Complexity (see question #41)	303(d) Listed?
102979	115	851	96	50529	OR0044628	9/8/2017	11:17	2	no
Critical Issuance: Permit type		t type:	DEQ	Region:	City:	Cou	nty:	Basin:	Sub basin:
No	No NPDES-DOM-D			WR	COBURG	LAI	NE	willamette	upper willamette
Indicate permit status and/or reasons for delay			tratively nded	Category for delay	Resource limitations		Reason for delay	Technical staff	unavailable

Readiness summary (to be completed by reviewer after checklist is completed): facility is relatively new (2013) and operates a STEP system combined with MBR. The treatment system produces water that may be reclaimed, however the facility does not indicate land application is occuring. Permit writer should investigate the completion of the final projects and water reuse activities; permit writer should investigate applicability of CBOD limits vs BOD limits; facility does not land apply biosolids, however plans to in the future after approval of a biosolids mangement plan; There is no mixing zone for this permit; facility discharges into east irrigation canal which is a tributary of muddy creek, while the canal is not 303(d) listed the permit writer should investigate listings for muddy creek and evaluate potential impacts; Permit writer should review the willamette basin TMDL; warning letter for septic tank overflow, WLOC-ENF-WRE-2017-2705;

Readiness Score ((# of "action required" + Sum of estimated time rankings) * Complexity)

50

Checklist quality review completed by project manager (sign and date): D. Feldman 9/11/17

	Application Readiness							
	Verify that information is available and complete *indicates critical step, proceed with readiness review	Information available and complete	Permit Development impact	Estimate time required (enter if red)	Comment for action required (enter if red)			
1	Industrial/Domestic wastewater NPDES permit renewal checklist complete?	no	no action					
2	Renewal application was submitted on time?	yes	no action					
3	*EPA Form 2C NPDES Section V Part A, B and C monitoring requirements for each outfall Verify pollutants via TRI report, inspections, ELG's, major process changes	no	no action					
4	EPA Form 2E NPDES (For dischargers of non-process wastewater only)	no	no action					
5	EPA Form 2F NPDES (For dischargers of industrial storm water only)	no	no action					
6	EPA Form 2A (For POTW)	yes	no action					
7	Oregon Form R (Renewal application national pollutant discharge elimination permit or EPA form 1 for new permits)	yes	no action					
8	*Land Use Compatibility Statement (LUCS) Form that demonstrates compatibility with local and land use regulations (most current department signed and approved form is required)	no	action required	1	LUCS			
9	Mixing Zone Study needed and/or Level of availble study	no	no action					
10	Provide reasoning for not requiring a mixing zone:	end of pip	e					
11	Mixing zone information is available (acute, chronic, dilution)	no	no action					
12	Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing Results	no	no action					
13	Land Application Plan for Industrial Wastewater (Industrial permits only)	n/a	no action					

14	Solids or industrial residuals management plan is needed (industrial permits only)	n/a	no action				
15	Biosolids management plan (Domestic permits only)	no	action required	1	facility plan		
16	Recycled water use plan (or update) is completed (Domestic permits only)	no	action required	1	facility plan		
17	Site location map received and complete and latitude and longitude verified	yes	no action				
18	Is the receiving water body 303(d) listed	no	no action				
19	List pollutants of concern that receiving body is listed for and user discharges:	East irrigation canal	is not listed				
20	*Effluent monitoring data for parameters associated with 303(d) listing are available	no	no action				
21	Is there a TMDL for the receiving water body	yes	action required	1	data analysis		
22	Ambient data for receiving water body are available (data may be from state database and/or user provided)	no	action required	1	data analysis		
23	*Required data are available for all pollutants in discharge including data for effluent limitation guidelines or category listing	yes	action required	1	data analysis		
24	*Updated process flow diagram or schematic with complete water balance which includes inflow, outflow, location of flow meters, process uses and consumptive uses	yes	no action				
25	Average flows available, maximum monthly flow, average 1 year flow, average 5 year flow ADD TO MWH TABLE	yes	no action				
26	Design capacity available for domestic facilities ADD TO MWH TABLE	yes	no action				
27	*Facility description (list processes and treatment systems) see EPA form 2C and/or Oregon form R question 1: ADD TO MWH TABLE	yes	no action				
28	Five years of production data for industry with ELG related to production rate	n/a	no action				
29	*Excel spreadsheet of the last 3 years' effluent data including flow data (if no, indicate data format i.e. PDF, msWORD, Hardcopy): Hardcopy	no	action required	1	data format		
Comr	Community/Facility Readiness						

	Verify that information is available and complete *indicates critical information, proceed with readiness review	Information available and complete	Permit development impact	Estimate time required (enter if red)	Comment for action required (enter if red)
	Facility will likely need upgrades and/or permittee will have to take other significant action(s) during next permit term	no	no action		
31	If Yes to [30] List actions required:	n/a			

32	Mutual Agreement Order (MAO) with ELG and compliance schedule with interim limits	no	no action						
33	Has compliance schedule in current permit with interim limits been satisfied	no	no action						
34	Is it anticipated that a compliance schedule will be needed in next permit	no	no action						
35	Significant financial burden on facility/community due to permit renewal	no	no action						
36	Recommended consultation with regional solutions team, describe:	no	no action						
37	Public Notice (340-045-0027(1)(d) and (3)):	Category 3	no action						
Regul	Regulatory Readiness								
:	Indicate regulatory impacts to permit development *indicates critical impact, proceed with readiness review	Regulation will impact permit development	Permit development impact	Estimate time required (enter if red)	Comment for action required (enter if red)				
		development							
38	Facility discharges a 303(d) listed pollutant and has a TMDL with WLA for the pollutant receiving water body	yes	action required	1	TMDL				
38	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			1	TMDL				
	TMDL with WLA for the pollutant receiving water body *Facility discharges a 303(d) listed pollutant and mass	yes	action required	1	TMDL				
39	TMDL with WLA for the pollutant receiving water body *Facility discharges a 303(d) listed pollutant and mass load increase is requested for the listed pollutant	yes no n/a	action required	1	TMDL				

43	Active State or Federal agency actions - coordination with external agencies will be required i.e. tribal communities, 404/401 permit, biological opinion, NEPA, ESA (describe):	no	no action		
44	*Active criminal or civil enforcement (describe): see 42	no	no action		
45	Groundwater review completed (if necessary)	yes	action required	1	facility plan
46	Will additional monitoring data be required for domestic facilities per 40 CFR 122.21 (data missed due to new standards, missing from application, etc)	yes	action required	1	data analysis
47	Will best professional judgement be used to develop permit limits	no	no action		
48	New WQ standards apply (i.e. copper BLM, Ammonia, etc.) and most recent version of RPA spreadsheet used. List new standards: ammonia, Hg	yes	action required	2	WQ Standards – new standards