1				
2				
3				
4	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT	OF THE STATE OF OREGON		
5	FOR THE COUNT	Y OF MULTNOMAH		
6	COLUMBIA RIVERKEEPER, a Washington	Case No. 17CV42254		
7	non-profit corporation, NORTHWEST ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE CENTER, an	CONSENT JUDGMENT		
8	Oregon non-profit corporation, and MARK RISKEDAHL, an individual,			
9	Petitioners,	ODG 20 140 - G4-4- 6 J. 6 J. 4- 611		
10	v.	ORS 20.140 - State fees deferred at filing		
11	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF			
12	environmental quality, an agency of the State of Oregon, OREGON			
13	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COMMISSION, an agency of the State of			
14	Oregon, and RICHARD WHITMAN, in his capacity as Director of Oregon Department of	•		
15	Environmental Quality,			
16	Respondents,			
17	OREGON INDUSTRIAL STORMWATER	•		
18	GROUP,			
19	Intervenors.			
20	Petitioners Columbia Riverkeeper, North	nwest Environmental Defense Center ("NEDC"),		
21	and Mark Riskedahl (collectively, "Petitioners"); Respondents Oregon Department of			
22	Environmental Quality ("DEQ"), Oregon Environmental Quality Commission ("EQC"), and			
23	Richard Whitman (collectively, "Respondents"); and intervenor Oregon Industrial Stormwater			
24	Group ("OISG"), wish to resolve this matter without further litigation and have agreed to entry			
25	of this Consent Judgment without admission or adjudication of any issue of fact or law. The			
26	Parties accordingly hereby agree and stipulate as	s follows:		
Page	1 - CONSENT JUDGMENT SK/hm1/9125470-v1			

A. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2 1. The agency action challenged by Petitioners is DEQ's issuance of National
- 3 Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit No. 1200-Z, dated August 1, 2017, The 1200-Z
- 4 Permit is a final agency order in "other than contested cases" that is reviewable under ORS
- 5 183,484.

1

- 6 2. This Court has jurisdiction over, and is the appropriate venue for, this Petition for
- 7 Review pursuant to ORS 183.490 because all of the parties have their principal place of business
- 8 in or reside in Multnomah County, Oregon, and because the cause of suit, or some part thereof,
- 9 arose in Multnomah County, Oregon. See ORS 14.030; ORS 14.060.
- The obligations set forth herein are consistent with, and in furtherance of, the
- purposes of the federal Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq.) and the Oregon
- 12 Administrative Procedures Act (ORS § 183.310 et seq.).

13 B. DEFINITIONS

- 4. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Consent Judgment, the following
- 15 definitions apply:
- a. "1200-Z Permit" means NPDES Permit No. 1200-Z.
- b. "DEQ" means the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality.
- 18 c. "NPDES" means the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System.

19 C. IMMEDIATE REVISIONS TO THE 1200-Z PERMIT

- 5. By October 31, 2018, DEQ will issue a final revised 1200-Z Permit in accordance
- with ORS 183.484(4) that affirms or modifies the 1200-Z Permit issued on August 1, 2017.

22 D. FUTURE REVISIONS TO THE 1200-Z PERMIT

- 23 6. By October 30, 2020, DEO will release for public review and comment a revised
- 24 draft 1200-Z Permit.
- 25 7. By March 30, 2021, DEQ will issue a final Revised 1200-Z Permit along with
- written responses to public comments received on the draft Revised 1200-Z Permit.

Page 2 - CONSENT JUDGMENT SK/hm1/9125470-v1

1	E. REL	EASE BY PETITIONERS AND RESERVATION OF RIGHTS		
2	8.	Upon entry, this Consent Judgment shall constitute a complete and final		
3	settlement of	Petitioners' Claim for Relief, subject to the express reservations of rights in		
4	paragraphs 1	0 and 11 herein.		
5	9.	Subject to paragraphs 10 and 11 herein, Petitioners and Intervenor hereby forever		
6	release, discl	narge, and covenant not to assert against DEQ (by way of the commencement of an		
7	action, the jo	inder of DEQ in an existing action, or in any other fashion) any and all claims,		
8	causes of action, suits or demands of any kind whatsoever in law or in equity, that Petitioners or			
9	Intervenor may have had, or may now or hereafter have, against DEQ based upon DEQ's			
10	issuance of C	General NPDES Permit No. 1200-Z, dated August 1, 2017.		
11	10.	Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Petitioners' or Intervenor's rights to		
12	assert any cla	aim for relief, and make any legal or factual assertions necessary to support a claim,		
13	in the event that the Parties are before the Court pursuant to section I ("Dispute Resolution") or			
14	section J ("M	Iodifications").		
15	11.	Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to waive or limit Petitioners'		
16	or Intervenor	's right to challenge in a separate administrative or judicial action the merits of any		
17	separate ager	ncy action taken by DEQ, including but not limited to:		
18		a. Any affirmation or modification of the 1200-Z Permit pursuant to paragraph 5		
19		of this Consent Judgment;		
20		b. The Revised 1200-Z Permit pursuant to paragraph 7 of this Consent		
21		Judgment; or		
22		c. Any future issuance, reissuance, or modification of the 1200-Z Permit.		
23	F. PAY	MENT OF PETITIONERS' LITIGATION COSTS		
24	12.	In full and complete satisfaction of Petitioners' claim for an award of their		
25	reasonable at	torney's fees and costs pursuant to ORS § 183.497, Respondents agree to pay		
26	Petitioners jo	intly the total sum of \$38,000, and Intervenor OISG agrees to contribute to this		

1	amount by paying Petitioners	jointly the total s	um of \$8,000.	The obligation	of Intervenor
		I A VILLA I A CAME IN		* *** O O Y > E O F O Y Y I	OT TIYPON A OTT.

- 2 OISG under this paragraph and paragraph 13 of this Consent Judgment shall be void and of no
- 3 effect if the affirmation or modification of the 1200-Z Permit pursuant to paragraph 5 of this
- 4 Consent Judgment is materially inconsistent with the revisions specified in Section A of the
- 5 Settlement Agreement dated August 15, 2018, among the Parties to this Consent Judgment.
- 6 13. Within one week of entry of the Consent Judgment, Petitioners will provide DEQ
- 7 and Intervenor OISG with the information necessary to electronically transfer these funds to
- 8 Petitioners' attorneys, Earthrise Law Center. This electronic transfer shall be made by
- 9 Respondents within 30 days of the entry of this Consent Judgment and by Intervenor OISG
- within 10 days after DEQ's issuance of the revised 1200-Z Permit pursuant to paragraph 5 of this
- 11 Consent Judgment, subject to the qualification stated in paragraph 12 of this Consent Judgment.

12 G. FORCE MAJEURE

- 13 14. The Parties recognize that the obligations included in this Consent Judgment
- 14 could be delayed by an event of Force Majeure. Such situations include, but are not limited to, a
- 15 government shut-down or currently unforeseen catastrophic environmental events requiring
- 16 immediate and/or time-consuming response by DEQ. Should a delay occur due to such
- 17 circumstances, any resulting failure by DEQ to meet the timelines set forth in this Consent
- 18 Judgment shall not constitute a failure to comply with the terms of this Consent Judgment. If an
- 19 event of Force Majeure occurs, the Parties will meet and make a good faith effort to renegotiate
- 20 the timelines set forth in this Consent Judgment. If the Parties cannot reach agreement, the
- 21 dissatisfied party may invoke the Dispute Resolution procedure set forth in section I.

22 H. CONTINUING JURISDICTION

- 23 15. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter and allow this action to be
- 24 reopened for the purpose of enabling the Parties to this Consent Judgment to apply to the Court
- 25 for any further order that may be necessary to construe, carry out, enforce compliance, or resolve
- 26 any dispute regarding the terms or conditions of this Consent Judgment, and for granting any

1	further relief as the i	interests of justice m	av require, except as	provided in paragraph	1	7

- 2 16. Nothing in the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be construed to confer upon
- 3 this Court jurisdiction to review any decision, either procedural or substantive, to be made by
- 4 DEQ pursuant to this Consent Judgment, except for the purpose of determining DEQ's
- 5 compliance with this Consent Judgment, and nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be deemed
- 6 to alter or affect the standards for judicial review of any final DEQ action.

7 I. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 8 17. Any allegation of an act or omission in breach of the Consent Judgment may be
- 9 raised by any party through the dispute resolution process in this Section.
- 10 18. In the event that the Parties cannot resolve on their own disputes regarding
- 11 provisions of this Consent Judgment, the Parties may request that the Court appoint a settlement
- 12 judge to work with the Parties to reach agreement. In the event that the Parties do not reach a
- mutually acceptable solution, any Party may move the Court for relief. The non-moving Party
- 14 shall have the opportunity to respond to such motion, and any Party may seek oral argument
- 15 before the Court.
- 16 19. In the event of a disagreement between the Parties concerning the interpretation of
- 17 any provision of this Consent Judgment or performance thereof, the dissatisfied Party shall
- 18 provide the other Parties with written notice of the dispute and a request for negotiations. If the
- 19 Parties cannot resolve such disagreement within thirty days after receipt of the notice by the
- 20 dissatisfied Party, then any Party may move the Court to resolve the dispute. The non-moving
- 21 Parties shall have the opportunity to respond to such motion, and any Party may seek oral
- 22 argument before the Court.
- 23 20. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the Consent Judgment, and may
- 24 impose such remedies as it determines are just, equitable, and authorized by law.

25 J. MODIFICATIONS

26 21. Any term set forth in this Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written

- 1 agreement of the Parties and approval of the Court.
- 2 22. If any Party seeks to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment, that Party shall
- 3 provide the other Parties with written notice of the proposed modifications and a request for
- 4 negotiations. The Parties agree to negotiate in good faith regarding any proposed modification of
- 5 the Consent Judgment. If the Parties agree to a proposed modification, the Parties shall jointly
- 6 notify the Court of the modification and request Court approval. If the Parties cannot reach
- 7 agreement regarding the proposed modification within thirty days after receipt of the notice of
- 8 the proposed modification by the notifying Party, then any Party may move the Court for such
- 9 modification. The non-moving Parties shall have the opportunity to respond to such motion, and
- 10 any Party may seek oral argument before the Court.

11 K. EFFECTIVE DATE

- 12 23. This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date of its entry by the
- 13 Court. If for any reason the Court does not enter this Consent Judgment, the obligations set forth
- 14 in this Consent Judgment are null and void.

15 L. TERMINATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT AND DISMISSAL OF CLAIM

- 16 24. This Consent Judgment shall remain in full force and effect until DEO has (1)
- issued a final order affirming or modifying the 1200-Z Permit as specified in paragraph 5; (2)
- 18 issued a final Revised 1200-Z Permit as specified in paragraph 7; and (3) completed payment of
- 19 Petitioners' reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to paragraph 12. Upon completion of
- 20 all of those actions, the Parties shall file a joint motion seeking termination of this Consent
- 21 Judgment and dismissal of the Petition with prejudice.

22 M. NOTICE AND CORRESPONDENCE

- 23 25. Any notice, including correspondence, required or made with respect to this
- 24 Consent Judgment, shall be in writing and shall be effective upon receipt. For any matter relating
- 25 to this Consent Judgment, the contact persons are:

26

1 2		Jame Earth 1001:	etitioners: s N. Saul rise Law Center at Lewis & Clark Law School 5 SW Terwilliger Blvd. and, OR 97219		
3					
4		735 S	Office of Karl G. Anuta, P.C. SW First Ave., 2nd Floor and, OR 97204		
5		For R	Lespondents:		
6		Diane	e Lloyd		
7		Orego	ssistant Attorney General on Department of Justice		
8			W Market St and, OR 97201		
9		Direc	tor		
10	Oregon Department of Environmental Quality 700 Multnomah St., Suite 600				
11		Portla	and, OR 97232		
12		For C	<u> PISG</u> :		
13	Michael R. Campbell				
14	·	760 S	W Ninth Ave., Suite 3000 and, OR 97205		
15	N.	MUT	TUAL DRAFTING AND CONSTRUCTION		
16		26.	It is hereby expressly understood and agreed that this Consent Judgment was		
17	jointly	drafte	d by the Parties. Accordingly, the Parties hereby agree that any and all rules of		
8	constr	uction	to the effect that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party shall be		
19	inapplicable in any dispute concerning the terms, meaning, or interpretation of this Consent				
20	Judgm	nent.			
21	o.	EFFI	ECT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT		
22		27.	This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission or evidence of any issue		
23	of fact	t or law	wrongdoing, misconduct, or liability on the part of any Party.		
24	P.	SCO	PE OF CONSENT JUDGMENT		
25		28.	Except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment, no Party waives or		
26	reling	uishes a	any legal rights, claims, or defenses it may have regarding Petitioners' Claim for		

Department of Justice 100 SW Market Street Portland, OR 97201 (971) 673-1880 / Fax: (971) 673-5000

CONSENT JUDGMENT SK/hm1/9125470-v1

Page 7 -

- 1 Relief. Nothing in the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be construed to limit or modify the
- 2 discretion accorded to DEQ by statute or by general principles of administrative law. Nothing in
- 3 this Consent Judgment shall be construed to make any other person or entity that is not a Party to
- 4 this Consent Judgment a third-party beneficiary to this Consent Judgment.

5 Q. COUNTERPARTS

- 6 29. The Parties agree that this Consent Judgment may be executed in one or more
- 7 counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which shall constitute one and
- 8 the same original. Signatures exchanged via facsimile or email shall have the same force and
- 9 effect as the original signatures.

10 R. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

- 11 30. This Consent Judgment represents the entire agreement among the Parties for
- 12 matters covered herein. All prior conversations, meetings, discussions, drafts, and writings of
- any kind regarding those matters are specifically superseded by this Consent Judgment.

14 S. APPLICABLE LAW

- 15 31. This Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed under the laws of
- 16 Oregon.

17 T. SEVERABILITY

- 18 32. Subsequent to entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court, if any term,
- 19 condition, or provision of this Consent Judgment, or the application thereof to any person or
- 20 circumstance, shall to any extent be held by a court of competent jurisdiction or rendered by the
- 21 adoption of a statute by the State of Oregon or by the United States invalid, void, or
- 22 unenforceable, the remainder of the terms, covenants, conditions, or provisions of this Consent
- 23 Judgment, or the application thereof to any person or circumstance, shall remain in full force and
- 24 effect and shall in no way be affected, impaired, or invalidated thereby.

25 U. COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS

26 33. No provision in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted as or constitute a

Page 8 - CONSENT JUDGMENT SK/hm1/9125470-v1

1	commitment or requirement that DEQ take action in contravention of the Oregon Administrative				
2	Procedures Act or any other law or regulation, either substantive or procedural.				
3	34. No provision of this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted as or constitute a				
4	commitment or requirement that DEQ pay funds in contravention of any applicable provision of				
5	law.				
6	V. REPRESENTATIVE AUTHORITY				
7	35. Each person signing this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she has been duly				
8	authorized to enter into and execute the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment by the				
9	Party on whose behalf it is indicated that the person is signing, and to legally bind such party to				
10	this Consent Judgment. By signature below, all of the Parties consent to the entry of this Consent				
11	Judgment.				
12	W. FUNDING				
13	36. Nothing in this Agreement will be construed as permitting any violation of Article				
14	XI, Section 7 of the Oregon Constitution or any other law regulating liabilities or monetary				
15	obligations of the State of Oregon. DEQ will make diligent efforts to obtain necessary funding,				
16	appropriations, limitations, allotments, or other expenditure authority.				
17	IT IS SO ORDERED thisth day of, 2018.				
18					
19	,				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
Page !	9 - CONSENT JUDGMENT SK/hm1/9125470-v1				

Department of Justice 100 SW Market Street Portland, OR 97201 (971) 673-1880 / Fax: (971) 673-5000

1		etitioners Columbia Riverkeeper, Northwest onmental Defense Center, and Mark Riskedahl:		
2				
3	By:		Date:	
4		James N. Saul		
5		Earthrise Law Center at Lewis & Clark School		
6		10015 SW Terwilliger Blvd.		
7		Portland, OR 97219		
8		espondents Department of Environmental Quality, onmental Quality Commission, and Richard Whitma	an:	
9		- 1		
10	By:	Seal W. Feld Ob- Deputy Director, for	Date: 8 4 18	
11		Richard Whitman	1. 1	
12		Director		
13		Oregon Department of Environmental Quality 700 Multnomah St., Suite 600		
14		Portland, OR 97232		
15	For In	tervenor Oregon Industrial Stormwater Group:		
16			- 10 12	A 10
17	By:	Shifel R. Confilell	Date: 15 August 2	014
8		Michael R. Campbell Stoel Rives LLP		
19		760 SW Ninth Ave., Suite 3000		
20		Portland, OR 97205		
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				