

June 5, 2023

Joe Westersund (joe.westersund@deq.oregon.gov) Environmental Engineer, Office of GHG Programs Oregon Department of Environmental Quality 700 NE Multnomah St., Suite 600 Portland, OR 97232-4100

Re: Response to Request for Additional Information for PDX109 Data Center BAER Assessment

Mr. Westersund:

By letter dated April 13, 2023, Amazon Data Services (ADS) and Bloom Energy have received your request for additional information relating to the Best Available Emission Reduction (BAER) Assessment filed in relation to the PDX109 site on March 3, 2023. This letter presents responses for your consideration and approval. We have attempted to address each of your questions in italics with a short and summarized response or reference to where requested information can be found in the revised BAER Assessment included as an attachment to this letter.

General comments

1. The assessment was submitted to DEQ by Bloom Energy. However, per OAR 340-271-0310(1), an assessment must be submitted by the owner or operator of the covered stationary source. Provide a revised copy of the assessment that is signed by an Amazon responsible official.

It is prudent to acknowledge that ADS will not own the fuel cells and Bloom Energy will be operating and maintaining the solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) technology covered in this Assessment. In acknowledgement of your request, the enclosed copy of the revised Assessment is submitted on behalf of ADS and we hope the following responses provide sufficient and relevant supporting information to inform a favorable outcome to this determination.

2. The assessment dismissed some potential emissions reduction measures as technically infeasible due to inability to eliminate the entire 24 MW of electricity production from the fuel cells Amazon proposes to install. The assessment must also consider combinations of options that would reduce covered emissions. For example, perhaps a portion of the additional electricity could be generated using lower-emission methods such as solar, leaving a smaller amount needed for the higher-emission generation of the fuel cells.

As we discussed on our April 17, 2023 conference call, there appears to be a misunderstanding about the nature of the proposed project and how it relates to the facility. The PDX109 site is



authorized through an existing air permit to operate 112 emergency generators, this would require a total capacity of approximately 266 megawatts (MW) of electricity if all of those emergency generators were running. At the time of this submission, and primarily as the result of transmission constraints, the local electric utility (Umatilla Electric Cooperative or UEC) has limited ADS at this facility to only 40 MW of electricity, leaving ADS authorized for 226 additional MWs that it is unable to receive. ADS is employing many strategies for making up the shortfall until various transmission infrastructure improvements in the region are advanced and other resource options can be delivered to meet the ADS facility needs. One small, yet critical component of our strategy is to install approximately 24 MW of on-site generation (less than 10% of total site power needs). Additional sources of offsite renewable electricity are being pursued to address the 202 MW shortfall, but these sources cannot be deployed in the near term and they cannot be counted as a displacement to the fuel cell generation unless we are able to install greater than 202 MW of lower emission generation capacity on-site within the same nearterm timeline. The level of on-site generation capacity presented in this determination request is not technically feasible through any other sources that have better emission profiles, which is why we believe this resource is currently the best available resource for our site. Additional options for either transitioning these fuel cells to alternative fuel sources or replacing them with different energy sources may become available in the next few years and we expect those options to be the subject of the five-year review report. We have detailed some of these potential options further in the updated assessment that follows.

3. In several cases, the assessment claims that potential emissions reduction measures are not technically feasible based on considerations such as permitting time or variable electricity production. DEQ does not consider those to be insurmountable obstacles. If Amazon wishes to demonstrate that an option is technically infeasible or unreasonably costly, detailed documentation is needed.

Discussed further in the attached revised BAER Assessment, the need for on-site generation started when this campus began construction and will continue for several years until sufficient transmission infrastructure can be installed that enables the delivery of other low-carbon resources that provide the energy needed for ADS facilities in the UEC service area. Given the relatively immediate and short-term need that we seek to address, the requirement to undergo a multi-year permitting process renders a generation technology infeasible as it is incapable of addressing the temporal need. Thus, permitting time would seem to be a legitimate basis for eliminating an on-site alternative to fuel cells. As noted in the BAER Assessment, off-site generation is not an option as it requires additional transmission to alleviate the short-term constraints. ADS is in the process of working with local utilities to construct additional transmission infrastructure to support our transmission and generation needs but those too will take considerable time.

Variability of electricity production is similarly a concern when addressing short term transmission constraints. The quantity of variable output generating resources (those such as solar that only produce power when the sun is shining) would require more than three times the capacity of a similar sized fuel cell generating facility. Firming the power supply from a solar or wind facility would require a much larger land area than is currently available at the ADS facilities, would take much longer to construct, and would require a significant number of



batteries which would be rendered unnecessary as the transmission constraints are removed and the fuel cells are decommissioned in the upcoming years. Any on-site generation other than fuel cells will be addressing the site-wide need for the remaining 202 MW of generation, above and beyond what is provided by the proposed fuel cells.

4. An assessment must include "A description of the covered stationary source's production processes and a flow chart of each process" [OAR 340-271-0310(2)]. a. Provide a list of the categories of electrical loads on site, separating out heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) loads from power used by servers and any other significant load types.

b. For each category, list the percent of the total site electrical load it consumes. c. Provide data for the total electrical load at the site, showing fluctuations over the course of a day on the hottest and coldest days of a year, as well as average daily loads over the course of a year.

Section 1 in the BAER Assessment has been revised to include a description of data center processes. We also added a graphic that provides an overview of Data Center topology.

As a new datacenter with insufficient capacity to support full operations, there is not adequate history to provide information about the total electrical load at the site, fluctuations, or average daily loads. The purpose of the proposed project is to supply PDX109 with additional electricity (less than 10% of the overall site needs) so that it can get incrementally closer to capacity the facility was designed for and support sufficient ADS customer operations while various power projects and transmission improvements in the region are under development. For reference, the highest temperature in Boardman last year occurred in July and the lowest in January. The peak data center loads on the utility from this site at that time were approximately 19 MWs and 31 MWs, respectively, and more than 92% of those values represented power consumed for provided IT services at the campus.

5. Include a site plan showing the PDX 109 site, including site boundaries.

We have added the requested site plan to the revised BAER Assessment.

6. Include a map showing the boundaries of Amazon-owned properties within 5 miles of the PDX 109 site. The map should also show the Gas Transmission Northwest (GTN) pipeline that Amazon is proposing to connect to, and the proposed route of Amazon's pipe connecting PDX 109 to it.

Gas Transmission Northwest (GTN) is the interstate pipeline owned by a subsidiary of TC Energy and will be the entity that constructs the lateral leading to the PDX109 site. ADS will not be developing or operating that pipeline. A visual representation of this lateral route is shown in Appendix B. However, as the route is still in the design phase, certain deviations from this drawing and the proposed route may occur.

In regard to Amazon-owned properties within 5 miles of the PDX109 site, is DEQ inquiring that ADS could build generation elsewhere and then construct electric lines from those sites to the



PDX109 site? Generating and transmitting electricity around the UEC service territory may be viewed as a violation of state law (ORS 758.450(2)) and UEC's franchise agreement with the state. Moreover, generation that Amazon installs would likely need to undergo a 1-3 year study process with the Bonneville Power Administration to assess the reliability impacts of interconnecting new generation. In addition, the design, permitting, and construction process would take an estimated 2-3 years to complete. As part of this process, ADS would also need to acquire land rights for the siting of electric lines to transmit power from another parcel to PDX109. Unlike a utility, which has eminent domain power, ADS has no legal authority to acquire the rights to cross intervening property owners' land. Instead, ADS would need to privately negotiate its acquisition of property rights with other landowners, which could lead to additional delays and expenses. Notwithstanding the above, the requested information has been provided in Appendix B.

Specific comments

7. In Section 1 the assessment states that "The Local Distribution Company will not provide additional natural gas to the site for use in a combustion or oxidation process". Provide documentation to support this statement. Has the LDC stated in writing that they will not provide natural gas to the site? What reason did the LDC provide? DEQ notes that Amazon originally proposed using natural gas from the local utility, but after we communicated the intent for the emissions cap established by other portions of OAR 340-271, Amazon submitted a revised ACDP application requesting to source the gas directly from an interstate pipeline, thereby triggering BAER instead.

As requested, we have added written documentation of the LDC's refusal to provide natural gas to the PDX109 if the emissions associated with the gas usage are subject to the cap under the Climate Protection Program (CPP). The CPP does not require the covered LDCs to provide natural gas to new sources and the declining cap under the CPP effectively prevents the development of new sources. If this is a concern, DEQ could consider increasing the cap to allow the LDC to provide additional natural gas and foster new development.

As you note, we originally identified the gas for PDX109 as coming from the LDC because DEQ's CPP rules at the time did not regulate natural gas that was oxidized without being combusted. DEQ implemented a temporary rule to extend regulation to natural gas that is oxidized without being combusted. Once DEQ indicated that it was going to adopt a temporary rule to force the natural gas being delivered to PDX109 to be subject to the CPP program, the LDC decided not to provide natural gas to the site. At that time, we had no alternative other than to seek other sources of natural gas. The CPP does not prevent a facility from seeking to source natural gas from interstate pipelines.

8. In Section 3.2.1, the assessment states that additional energy efficiency on site would be technically infeasible. a. Provide benchmarking data for PDX-109, including Power Use Effectiveness and ASHRAE 90.4 metrics.

ega

b. For each benchmarking data point, including Power Use Effectiveness and ASHRAE 90.4 metrics, provide the highest, lowest, median and average scores among Amazon's other US data centers.

c. Describe the HVAC equipment used on site, including equipment used for cooling. d. Describe any recent upgrades that have been made to increase energy efficiency on site.

The requested information about the PUE metrics and HVAC equipment has been added to Section 3.2.1 of the BAER Assessment. Regarding upgrades, the PDX109 facility only received its permit to commence construction in August 2021 and is still partially under construction. The construction of the first data center on the site is complete, with construction of subsequent data centers ongoing. Equipment installed to date and equipment yet to be installed reflects the latest and most energy efficient data center technology.

9. Section 3.2.3 discusses solar energy. Provide a detailed analysis of the potential for solar photovoltaic (PV) module installation on Amazon-owned properties in or around Boardman. Solar PV could presumably be installed on roofs, walls, above parking lots, and in other areas of the site.

Additional information has been added to Section 3.2.3.3 of the BAER Assessment specifying that 70 percent of the 102-acre site is currently occupied and that ingress/egress and emergency response considerations prevent us from obstructing most of the remainder of the site. Also, as noted above, any on-site generation other than fuel cells will be addressing the site-wide need for an additional 202 MW of generation, not displacing the limited 24 MW that the fuel cells will provide.

10. Section 3.2.4 discusses wind energy.

a. Provide a detailed analysis of the wind resource on Amazon-owned properties in or around Boardman.

b. Provide documentation that Amazon has consulted two or more wind developers about the feasibility and cost of erecting one or more wind turbines near the Amazon-owned properties in Boardman. Include information about technical feasibility, cost, and potential emissions reductions.

The BAER Assessment has been supplemented to clarify that there is not enough room on the site for the development of 1 MW or more of wind generation at the PDX109 site. As explained in sections above, if ADS were able to develop wind or solar generation off-site, it would not be able to transmit that energy to the site because the transmission does not exist, ADS is legally prohibited from building or operating an electric grid in UEC's jurisdiction per ORS 758.450(2), and it would take several years to design, permit and construct. For the foregoing reasons, consulting with wind developers would be futile at this juncture.

11. Assess the technical feasibility and cost of options for integrating solar or wind with the fuel cells proposed in the assessment. Options that should be considered include but are not limited to:



a. Integration of solar or wind with the fuel cells so that the output and covered emissions from the fuel cells are reduced at times when the solar or wind are generating electricity.b. Options for matching the output of the solar or wind to the facility's load profile, including:

i. Batteries. The assessment in Section 3.2.3.3 appeared to conclude that batteries are technically infeasible because of fire risk and resultant need for cooling. However, DEQ does not agree that that would make it technically infeasible. ii. Thermal energy storage to offset later cooling needs.

Batteries are not technically infeasible because of fire risk or the need for cooling. Battery storage systems by themselves do not generate electricity. They require an electricity source to charge the batteries and then they can be discharged at periods when needed. Because this campus does not have sufficient energy for its existing operations there is insufficient energy to charge a battery storage system. The energy utilization profile for data center operations is fairly constant and does not increase or decrease significantly enough to create the necessary capacity that is needed to charge a battery storage system. Batteries could be coupled with an alternative generating source, such as a roof top solar installation, but would require the available space for locating the batteries and have to go through the same permitting and interconnection processes as a generator. Additionally, any on-site generation other than fuel cells will be addressing the site-wide need for an additional 202 MW of generation and will not be displacing the limited 24 MW that the fuel cells could provide.

12. In Section 3.2.5 the assessment concludes that installation of fuel cells fueled with natural gas from the GTN pipeline is technically feasible. Provide an estimate of the time, cost and permitting process for this option, including connecting PDX 109 to the GTN pipeline.

A subsidiary of TC Energy is building the lateral to the PDX109 site and those details have not been made available to ADS. We have provided the information currently available to us on timing and the permitting process. Unfortunately, we are unable to respond directly to what costs that will be incurred building the lateral connection.

13. In Section 3.2.7 the assessment states that, "The RNG being injected into the pipeline is subject to long term contracts and little to no gas is available for a short-term contract covering the anticipated life of the SOFC equipment." Please document what avenues Amazon has pursued to look for potential RNG sources.

a. For the purposes of this assessment, Amazon should consider potential RNG suppliers that could inject the gas into the inter-connected pipeline system being proposed to supply gas to this facility. Since this is an interstate pipeline, there are myriad such connection opportunities both in and outside of Oregon.

b. For the purposes of this assessment, Amazon should also investigate nearby sources of biogas that could be potentially be piped directly to PDX 109 and/or upgraded and injected into a natural gas pipeline. DEQ is aware of at least two potential nearby sources that are permitted to flare biogas:

i. The Port of Morrow in Boardman (ACDP #25-0060-ST-01) ii. Lamb Weston, Inc. in Hermiston (ACDP #30-0075-ST-01) It is our understanding that BAER requires that the site owner/operator develop technologies to reduce its covered emissions. Buying attributes associated with the potential introduction of RNG into a pipeline system where that gas never reaches PDX109 does not reduce covered emissions from the site. As currently written, the BAER Assessment process in the CPP does not authorize the use of off-site carbon offsets as BAER. During the current rulemaking, DEQ should consider revising the BAER rules to allow off-site carbon offsets.

Notwithstanding the above, ADS did research the availability of local RNG that may be injected into the interstate pipeline at or near PDX109. As indicated in Section 3.2.7 of the BAER analysis, ADS reviewed and seriously considered three potential sources of RNG including the Port of Morrow and Lamb Weston projects noted in DEQ comments. The Port of Morrow has yet to release an RFP for its project and does not plan to do so for several months. Lamb Weston has yet to announce plans to alter the biogas produced in Hermiston away from their internal boiler use. The only other potentially viable source we were able to identify was Threemile Canyon Farms, which is currently selling all of its available gas into the transportation market.

Given the prospective nature of RNG from the Port of Morrow and Lamb Weston facilities, we have been able to find no indication that either facility has the capability of processing digester gas (biogas) to meet pipeline standards. Biogas may be able to be combusted in certain onsite combustion devices notwithstanding the high level of contaminants as compared to pipeline quality natural gas. However, such unprocessed biogas cannot be used in a fuel cell and cannot be introduced into a pipeline. Consistent with the Port of Morrow's communication re: the timing for any RFP (see Attachment A), we also note that DEQ's files indicate that as of December 2022, the Port of Morrow digester was producing no biogas. At which time an RFP is released, biogas is being produced, and it is confirmed the gas can meet pipeline standards, ADS plans to engage with the Port of Morrow for the purpose of attempting to secure a reliable supply. No doubt, construction of the pipeline lateral necessary to serve this project makes this potential partnership more likely.

14. In Section 3.2.9.1 the assessment claims that methane pyrolysis is not technically feasible at the site. Provide documentation that Amazon has consulted two or more pyrolysis equipment companies about the feasibility and cost of installation at PDX 109. Approaches that pyrolyze only a portion of the methane incoming to the fuel cells should also be analyzed. Include information about technical feasibility, cost, and potential emissions reductions. DEQ is aware of at least one company running a Portland-area pilot project.

In order for a technology to be considered BAER, it must be technically feasible. Technical feasibility includes the assumption that the technology is commercially available and demonstrated to scale. At this point in time, ADS is not currently aware of any commercially available and demonstrated methane pyrolysis technology that could reliably supply fuel cells at PDX109.

In response to the request DEQ made during our April 17, 2023 conference call, Maul Foster reached out to Modern Hydrogen, their partner NW Natural and Monolith Corporation to



determine whether they have existing commercially available technology that could be purchased for use in connection with this project, and that they would provide a guarantee on. While they each confirmed the existence of a pilot, there is not currently a commercially available product for sale.

Conclusion

ADS' proposed use of the fuel cells to generate up to 24 MW is temporary. As additional renewable and low emission sources become available and transmission projects are completed, ADS would reduce and eventually eliminate the use of the fuel cells. ADS' best estimate is the projected life of the fuel cells would be six years and it would update its BAER Assessment as required under the CPP. In addition, if a reliable source of biogas becomes available and is suitable for use, ADS would consider using the biogas and reduce or eliminate the use of natural gas.

We hope that this reply helps to address the open questions about this project. We appreciate DEQ's review and consideration of the BAER Assessment so that ADS can proceed with the project and provide more efficient services to its customers.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by: (Luarluy Daitch 78119895EB78490... Charley Daitch Director, AWS Energy & Water Authorized Signatory

Attachment



BEST AVAILABLE EMISSIONS REDUCTION ASSESSMENT

AMAZON DATA SERVICES, INC.

Prepared for AMAZON DATA SERVICES, INC. June 7, 2023 Project No. M8006.72.001

> Prepared by Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc. 3140 NE Broadway Street Portland, OR 97232



BEST AVAILABLE EMISSIONS REDUCTION ASSESSMENT

AMAZON DATA SERVICES, INC. The material and data in this report were prepared under the supervision and direction of the undersigned.

MAUL FOSTER & ALONGI, INC.

V Leslie Riley Project Air Quality Consultant

Principal Air Quality Consultant

CONTENTS

TABLES AND ILLUSTRATIONS IV					
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS V					
1	INTRODUCTION				
2	BAER ANALYSIS METHOD2.1 NEED FOR BAER ANALYSIS2.2 BAER ASSESSMENT REQUIREMENTS2.3 BAER EVALUATION METHOD	3 3 4 5			
3	 BAER DETERMINATION FOR GHGS 3.1 STEP 1—IDENTIFY POWER SOURCE/EMI 3.2 STEP 2—ELIMINATE TECHNICALLY INFE/ 3.3 STEP 3—RANK REMAINING POWER SO EFFECTIVENESS 3.4 STEP 4—EVALUATION OF THE MOST EFF OPTION 3.5 STEP 5—SELECT BAER 	SSION REDUCTION OPTIONS 7 ASIBLE OPTIONS 8 JRCES/EMISSION REDUCTION OPTIONS BY 20 ECTIVE POWER SOURCE/EMISSION REDUCTION 21 23			
4	CONCLUSION	23			
LIMITATIONS					

REFERENCES

APPENDIX A

ACDP PERMIT MODIFICATION APPLICATION

APPENDIX B

FIGURES AND DRAWINGS

APPENDIX C

CORRESPONDENCE FROM CASCADE NATURAL GAS CORPORATION

APPENDIX D

AMAZON DATA SERVICES SUPPORT LETTER

APPENDIX E

MARKET DEMAND SEARCH LETTER

TABLES AND ILLUSTRATIONS

TABLES (IN TEXT)

- 3-2—BLOOM ENERGY SOFC INSTALLATION AIR EMISSIONS SUMMARY
- 4-1—BAER DETERMINATION

FIGURES (IN TEXT)

- 1-2 DATA CENTER TOPOLOGY
- 3-1 FUEL CELL PROCESS

ACDP	Air Contaminant Discharge Permit
ADS	Amazon Data Services, Inc.
BAER	Best Available Emissions Reduction
B2H	Boardman to Hemingway
BPA	Bonneville Power Administration
CO ₂	carbon dioxide
CO ₂ e	carbon dioxide equivalent
CPP	Climate Protection Program
CRF	capital recovery factor
CSP	concentrated solar power
EFSC	Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
GHG	greenhouse gas
HAP	hazardous air pollutant
lb	pound(s)
MT	metric tons
MW	megawatt
MWh	megawatt-hour
MMBtu	million British thermal units
MMscf	million standard cubic feet
OAR	Oregon Administrative Rule
PDX109	ADS facility located at 75242 Gar Swanson Road,
	Boardman, Oregon
PUE	Power Usage Effectiveness
PV	photovoltaics
RNG	renewable natural gas
scf	standard cubic feet
SOFC	solid oxide fuel cell
UEC	Umatilla Electric Cooperative

INTRODUCTION

Amazon Data Services, Inc. (ADS) owns and operates the PDX109 data center located in Boardman, Oregon (PDX109 or "site") under Standard Air Contaminant Discharge Permit (ACDP) No. 25-0062-ST-01 issued August 27, 2021. PDX109 is considered a synthetic minor source under the Title V program. ADS has an ACDP modification application for this site currently under review, as the result of filing a Notice of Construction package for this facility in February of 2022. The ACDP modification application requests approval to install solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC) as a continuous power source with a capacity of approximately 24 megawatts (MW) per hour, to replace an existing permitted emergency generator and to make other minor permit updates associated with both requests. The ACDP modification application includes a full project description and is included in Appendix A.

ADS designs its data centers to provide the efficient, resilient service their customers expect while minimizing the data center's environmental footprint. ADS facilities are 3.6 times more energy efficient than the median of U.S. enterprise data centers surveyed and up to five times more energy efficient than the average in Europe (Amazon, 2021). This site houses cloud computer systems and associated components such as telecommunications and data storage systems. Equipment includes security systems, data communications equipment, and environmental controls. Electrical power systems are designed to be fully redundant so that in the event of a disruption, diesel-fired emergency generators provide back-up power for uninterrupted continuous datacenter operations. The PDX109 site air permit currently authorizes ADS to operate 112 emergency generators, for a total capacity of approximately 266 megawatts (MW). Drawing G1.1 presents a site plan including the site boundary. Figure 1-1 presents the site boundaries of ADS-owned properties within five miles of PDX109. Drawing G1.1 and Figure 1-1 are in Appendix B.

This site houses networked computer servers that store, process and distribute large amounts of data. Energy is used to power both the IT hardware (e.g. servers, drives and network devices) and the supporting infrastructure such as HVAC systems and cooling equipment. Electricity is distributed from the electrical substation transformers to the uninterruptable power supply system. The uninterruptible power supply system is used to provide backup power to keep the equipment running in case of a power outage. Power distribution units distribute power to the various equipment in the data center, while also providing monitoring capabilities to ensure efficient power utilization. The servers use the majority of the electricity at the site. The amount of electricity required to power servers depends on their size, configuration, and workload. Size and workload also determine the electricity usage by the storage devices and network equipment, such as switches and routers.

Figure 1-2 below, Data Center Topology, presents the channels used to connect the various nodes, servers, and devices used to create network connections by the data center.



Figure 1-2. Data Center Topology

In order to operate the site, ADS requires a continuous electrical supply. ADS works closely with local and regional utilities, including the Umatilla Electric Cooperative (UEC), to secure this supply. Despite these efforts, at the time of this application ADS is limited to 40 MW of electricity at PDX109, which is significantly less than nameplate capacity at the site. The ability to serve the nameplate capacity for ADS needs in the region are several years away and require significant infrastructure upgrades. The additional 24 MW provided by onsite fuel cell generation is proposed to temporarily offset a portion of this shortfall while various transmission infrastructure improvements in the region are advanced. The proposed fuel cell generation will only meet a small portion of ADS' customer needs at this location (less than 10% of the overall site needs). An example of the type of system wide upgrade in the region and that could provide additional load serving capacity to alleviate the type of constraint experienced at PDX109 is the construction of a new 290-mile, 500-kilovolt transmission line from southwest Idaho to Boardman, Oregon (referred to as the Boardman to Hemingway [B2H] Transmission Line). The B2H Transmission Line is currently before the Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council (EFSC). The B2H project was issued a site certificate in late 2022, but that certificate is currently undergoing appeal before the Oregon Supreme Court (the appeal was filed in December 2022). The project developer also sought amendments to the site certificate from EFSC in late December 2022 that will alter the route. After several years of planning and development, it is not anticipated that construction on the B2H Transmission Line will begin until approximately 2026. Construction completion is estimated to take approximately four years, absent any further delays or extensions. This is one example intended to illustrate the complexities and timeline associated with the type infrastructure development that is needed in the region. Additionally, the completion of the B2H Transmission Line in and of itself will not necessarily fully address regional infrastructure needs and will also need to be coupled by reliable energy generation and other infrastructure improvements.

Without additional on-site power generation, PDX109 cannot efficiently utilize existing equipment to serve planned ADS customer needs. On-site power generation beyond the 40 MW currently being

provided by local and regional utilities is necessary to maintain the operations authorized by the existing permit and is not intended to reduce or eliminate the amount of electricity currently supplied by UEC. This request should not be viewed as an analysis of which alternatives can be deployed instead of the fuel cells but as an analysis of one of many solutions that will help solve an infrastructure supply gap that currently exists. ADS is in the process of deploying other mitigations in addition to this project, but they are not the subject of this BAER determination. ADS facilities have a number of requirements that limit the selection of viable on-site power generation solutions include the following:

- The site requires a supply of power generation on site to address the energy gap that currently exists.
- The site requires 100 percent uptime.
- The site requires the ability to service the power generation while still producing power.
- The generation solution must meet noise ordinance requirements of the site.
- The site has approximately 102 acres of land for siting a solution, over 70 percent of which will be developed for facility operations.
- The Local Distribution Company will not provide natural gas to the site for use in a combustion or oxidation process.¹

The following Best Available Emission Reduction (BAER) analysis has been conducted with the understanding that any potential on-site power solution located at PDX109 that causes the site to exceed 25,000 metric tons (MT) of greenhouse gases (GHGs) will cause the site to be a "covered entity" under the Climate Protection Program (CPP), as that term is defined in Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) 340-271-0110.

2 baer analysis method

2.1 Need for BAER Analysis

A BAER analysis is required under the CPP to determine the best available emissions reductions that can be implemented for a source of GHG emissions where such emissions occur at a "covered stationary source" (OAR 340-271-0110[5]). PDX109 is an existing source. Proposed anthropogenic GHG process emissions from the oxidation of natural gas will exceed 25,000 MT CO₂e per year. The natural gas employed at PDX109 will not be delivered by a local distribution company, so the resulting emissions are not exempted from OAR 340-271-0110(5)(b)(B). Therefore, this BAER analysis is being submitted in response to DEQ's request that BAER be addressed as part of the permitting process consistent with OAR 340-271-0310(1)(a).

This BAER analysis evaluates technically feasible alternative sources of energy and/or emission controls that are the least carbon intensive (i.e., result in the greatest reduction of emissions), while

¹ ADS does not believe that DEQ has any authority under the Climate Protection Program to require the purchase of natural gas from any particular source or to incorporate a condition requiring the purchase of natural gas from any particular source in any BAER Order. However, correspondence from the LDC confirming it declined to serve the proposed project if the project's emissions are subject to the CPP cap is included in Appendix C.

considering economic feasibility and environmental/health and energy impacts. This BAER analysis is potentially unique in that it evaluates alternatives for addressing a regional need; the provision of approximately 24 MW of electricity through on-site generation is necessary to address the shortfall that will exist until the ancillary and supporting facilities needed to deliver electricity to the site are completed.

2.2 BAER Assessment Requirements

In accordance with OAR 340-271-0310(2), a BAER assessment must include the following:

- 1. A description of the covered stationary source's production processes and a flow chart of each process.
- 2. Identification of all fuels, processes, equipment, and operations that contribute to the covered stationary source's covered emissions, including:
 - a Estimates of anticipated annual average covered emissions. Emissions must be identified in MT CO₂e, following methodologies identified in OAR 340-215.
 - b Estimates of current annual average type and quantity of all fuels used by the covered stationary source and anticipated annual average fuel usage for new sources.
- 3. Identification and description of all available fuels, processes, equipment, technology, systems, actions, and other strategies, methods and techniques for reducing covered emissions described in OAR 340-271-0110(5)(b). According to OAR 340-271-0310(2)(c), strategies considered must include but are not limited to the strategies used by other sources in this state or in other jurisdictions that produce goods of comparable type, quantity, and quality.
- 4. An assessment of each of the following for each strategy identified in subsection (c):
 - a An estimate of annual average covered emissions reductions achieved if the strategy were implemented compared to the emissions estimated in paragraph (b)(A).
 - b. Environmental and health impacts, both positive and negative, if the strategy were implemented, including any impacts on air contaminants that are not GHGs and impacts to nearby communities.
 - c Energy impacts if the strategy were implemented, including whether and how the strategy would change energy consumption at the covered stationary source, including impacts related to any fuel use that results in anthropogenic GHG emissions. Any energy-related costs must be included in the economic impacts assessment in paragraph (D), not in the energy impacts assessment.
 - d Economic impacts if the strategy were implemented, including operating costs and the costs of changing existing processes or equipment or adding to existing processes and equipment. Any energy-related costs must be included in the economic impacts assessment, not in the energy impacts assessment in paragraph (C). The economic impacts assessment must include both costs and cost savings (benefits).

- e An estimate of the time needed to fully implement the strategy at the covered stationary source.
- f. A list of the information, resources, and documents used to support development of the BAER assessment, including, if available, links to web pages that provide public access to supporting documents.

2.3 BAER Evaluation Method

The selection of BAER technology factors into "whether a strategy under consideration by DEQ to reduce covered emissions is achievable, technically feasible, commercially available, and cost-effective" (OAR 340-271-0320) by reference to strategies achieved at other sources "that produce goods of comparable type, quantity and quality" (OAR 340-271-0310(2)(c)). These criteria and the ultimate objective of a BAER analysis, to reduce GHGs to the extent reasonably feasible, are best achieved by a top-down analysis approach, which does not limit the possibilities for analysis, but provides a framework to objectively evaluate the solutions, or combination of solutions, in order of lowest to highest carbon intensity for the energy need.

Following a top-down evaluation type of approach to arrive at a BAER determination, the basic fivestep process has been used with some modification:

- Step 1—Identification of Alternative Power Sources/Emission Reduction Options.
- Step 2—Elimination of Technically Infeasible Options.
- Step 3—Ranking of Remaining Alternative Power Sources/Emission Reduction Options by Effectiveness (Least Carbon Intensive to Most Carbon Intensive).
- Step 4—Evaluation of the Most Effective Power Source/Emission Reduction Option.
- Step 5—Select BAER.

2.3.1 Step 1—Identify Alternative Power Source/Emission Reduction Options

A list of alternative power sources/GHG emission reduction options is created as the first step in the BAER analysis. Options identified include those known to have been used for similar sources; those that are commercially available, emerging, and applicable; those that may be applied internationally (to the extent that they can be identified); and those that may be applied to a different source type but would represent transferable technology. To identify power source/GHG emission reduction options, internet searches for installed or permitted options and vendor inquiries are conducted.

2.3.2 Step 2—Eliminate Technically Infeasible Options

Step 2 in the BAER analysis eliminates technically infeasible alternative power source/GHG emission reduction options. Issues with siting, availability of fuel or materials, equipment size, or the impact of other control technologies that must be used in series with a given option are all considered. Only commercially available options are considered (OAR 340-271-0320(2)(h)).

2.3.3 Step 3—Ranking of Remaining Alternative Power Sources/Emission Reduction Options by Effectiveness

Step 3 in the BAER analysis ranks technically feasible and commercially available power source/GHG emission reduction options by their respective emission rates from lowest GHG emission rate to highest.

2.3.4 Step 4—Evaluation of the Most Effective Power Source/Emission Reduction Option

After ranking the available and technically feasible control technology options, the energy, environmental and health, and economic impacts are assessed for the lowest-emitting option. If the lowest-emitting option is not viable from an energy, environmental and health impact, and/or economic perspective, then the next most effective option is assessed.

2.3.4.1 Energy Impacts

Energy impacts can include electricity and/or supplemental fuel used by a power source or emission control option. Electricity use can be substantial for large projects if the power source or control device uses large fans, pumps, or motors. Similarly, sources may use significant amounts of fossil fuels, which also can lead to economic impacts as well as climate change impacts. If it is shown that the emission reduction benefit that will be achieved is outweighed by an unacceptable energy impact, the technology is not considered an acceptable solution.

2.3.4.2 Environmental and Health Impacts

Some power source and emission reduction options have environmental impacts such as increased emissions or air pollutants, increased or changed solid or hazardous waste generation, and noise impacts. As an example, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Environmental Appeals Board has upheld EPA's determination that the use of water can be considered an adverse impact on the environment that would merit forgoing further consideration of a particular control technology (*Columbia Gulf Transmission Co.*, PSD Appeal No. 88-11). If it is demonstrated that the emission reduction benefit that will be achieved is outweighed by an unacceptable environmental impact, the technology is not considered an acceptable solution.

In addition to environmental impacts, a BAER analysis must consider health impacts. Some power source and emission reduction options may have health impacts associated with increased criteria, hazardous, or toxic air pollutants. Noise may also be considered a health impact. If unacceptable health impacts are identified, the power source/GHG emission reduction technology is not considered an acceptable solution.

2.3.4.3 Economic Impact

The economic analysis of a power source/GHG emission reduction option is based on the costeffectiveness, calculated by dividing the total net annualized cost of a given control technology by the tons of pollutant avoided or removed per year by that option. The total net annualized cost has two main components:

- Total capital investment (annualized)
- Total annual costs

The total capital investment includes the direct cost of the control technology equipment and appropriate auxiliaries as well as the direct and indirect costs to install the equipment. Direct installation costs include costs for foundations, erection, electrical, piping, insulation, painting, site preparation, and buildings. Indirect installation costs include engineering and supervision, construction expenses, startup costs, and contingencies.

Since the total capital investment is a lump sum value, it must be annualized to be included in the total net annualized cost. This is done using a capital recovery factor (CRF), which accounts for the cost of liquid assets and the amortization of the lump sum cost. The CRF is calculated using an assumed interest rate and an assumed equipment life. For this analysis, the appropriate equipment life is the estimated duration of the period between current operation of the site and completion of such infrastructure upgrades as the B2H Transmission Line and the ancillary and supporting facilities needed to deliver electricity to the site. The CRF is then multiplied by the total capital investment to produce a total annualized capital investment.

The annual costs include those that occur every year of operation. These include operation and maintenance labor, replacement parts, overhead, raw materials, and utility consumption. The total net annualized cost is the sum of the total annualized capital investment and the total annual cost.

2.3.5 Step 5—Select BAER

The power source/GHG emission reduction technology resulting in the lowest emission level that is technically feasible, commercially available, cost-effective, and that does not result in unacceptable energy or environmental/health consequences is selected as BAER for the project.

3 BAER DETERMINATION FOR GHGS

3.1 Step 1—Identify Power Source/Emission Reduction Options

A BAER analysis is done to consider all technology and control options that would result in the fewest GHG emissions. An online review of power generation options currently available in the marketplace was conducted. Each source type requires a separate BAER analysis based on its operations, fuels, and emissions. The site considered the following technologies for power generation were considered:

- Additional on-site energy conservation
- Local power grid
- Solar energy
- Wind energy
- Bloom Energy solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) technology

- Bloom Energy SOFC and carbon dioxide (CO₂) capture
- Bloom Energy SOFC using renewable natural gas (RNG) as feedstock
- Bloom Energy SOFC with RNG attributes
- Bloom Energy SOFC using hydrogen as feedstock
- Fossil fuel-fired generators
- Combined cycle power plant

Each of these technologies is discussed below.

3.2 Step 2—Eliminate Technically Infeasible Options

Step 2 evaluates the technical feasibility of the power-generation technologies identified in Step 1.

3.2.1 Additional On-site Energy Conservation

ADS is committed to approaching sustainability with bold thinking and relentless innovation. In furtherance of this commitment, ADS expended significant resources to ensure that the equipment used at PDX109 reflects the state of the art for data centers of its vintage. Electricity is a large operating expense and, as is explained elsewhere in this analysis, it is currently in short supply in this region due to transmission constraints. According to the BPA, the Boardman area is at the limit of the existing 230 kV sources and there are over 2,500 MW of renewable energy generation in the queue waiting to come online (BPA 2022). However, until the transmission bottleneck is resolved and those renewable energy resources become available to use, there is an electricity shortfall that drives ADS' need to conserve. In short, economic prudence and lack of resource, as well as ADS' unwavering commitment to sustainability, drive the company to conserve electricity.

Amazon has made a Climate Pledge commitment to reach net zero carbon by 2040 and ADS must reduce a broad category of emissions from sources resulting from business operations. This also includes indirect carbon emissions from things such as the construction of data centers and the manufacturing of hardware. ADS facilities are 3.6 times more energy efficient than the median of U.S. enterprise data centers surveyed and up to five times more energy efficient than the average in Europe (Amazon, 2021). ADS follows the latest industry standards for energy utilization and effectiveness, including The Green Grid, the International ISO/IEC 30134-2, and the ASHRAE 90.4 energy standard for data centers. ADS uses Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE) as the industry-preferred metric for measuring energy efficiency in data centers, for guiding new facility design and monitoring existing facility operations. Consistent PUE monitoring and evaluation allows ADS to measure success of its data center designs, Total Cost of Ownership, retrofit projects, and day-to-day operations with respect to overall power usage.

Customers migrate workloads from on-premises data centers to ADS for many reasons, including increased agility and innovation, access to global infrastructure, and cost savings. According to 451 Research, moving on-premises workloads to ADS can lower the workload carbon footprint by 88% for the median surveyed US enterprise data centers and 72% on average for the top 10% most efficient enterprises surveyed (Amazon, 2019). This means that migrating the average 1-megawatt enterprise data center with 30% utilization to ADS, a customer could reduce their carbon emissions by 400 to 1000 metric tons per year. In addition to the efficiency of internal operations, Amazon leads the

Amazon Sustainability Data Initiative seeking to accelerate sustainability research and innovation by minimizing the cost and time required to acquire and analyze large sustainability datasets (ASDI, 2023).

PUE is determined by dividing the total amount of power entering a data center by the power used to run the equipment within it. PUE is expressed as a ratio, with overall efficiency improving as the quotient decreases toward 1.0. PUE data for PDX109 from February 2022 until May 2023 is as follows:

- Dependence PDX109 PUE mean: 1.1
- □ PDX109 PUE min: 1.066
- □ PDX109 PUE max: 1.251
- Dependence PDX109 PUE median: 1.091

While the annual average PUE in 2022 was 1.55, new data center builds using advanced cooling technology can achieve PUEs of 1.3 or below. As the data illustrates, ADS data centers achieve PUEs that reflect very high levels of energy efficiency. This is reflective of ADS's commitment to using energy efficient cooling.

ADS maximizes the use of free-air cooling systems that cool servers with outside air without using any water. During peak summer temperatures the site utilizes direct evaporative cooling, which uses water to cool the air that removes heat from the servers. The units are optimized to use minimal water which increases energy efficiency. PDX109 is still under construction, and ADS is installing the latest and most energy efficient approved technology for its data center at this location. Additional information about Amazon's energy efficiency at its data centers can be found at the following link: https://sustainability.aboutamazon.com/environment/the-cloud.

All reasonable energy conservation measures have been employed, including measures such as energy efficient lighting. Telecommunications and data storage equipment is intrinsic to the product that ADS produces, i.e., the storage, management and dissemination of electronic data. No additional change in equipment is possible without impacting the quality of ADS' product. Therefore, additional on-site energy conservation is eliminated as technically infeasible.

3.2.2 Local Power Grid

The local supply grids in the United States are powered using a variety of sources, including natural gas, nuclear power, coal, and oil, and smaller contributions from renewable resources. Most electricity in the United States is generated at centralized power plants. Newly generated electricity travels through a series of interconnected high-voltage transmission lines. Substations reduce high-voltage power to a lower voltage, sending the lower-voltage electricity to customers through a network of distribution lines. The availability of electricity in any particular area is ultimately dictated by the proximity of generation and the availability of transmission.

The UEC provides electrical service to PDX109. At the time of submission, UEC is only able to deliver up to 40 MW of electricity to the site. As noted above, over 2,500 MW of renewable energy is in the queue awaiting the expansion of transmission services so that it can be delivered to local utilities. Once transmission constraints have been alleviated, those renewable energy sources need to be procured and contracted for to be delivered to ADS load. Each resource must have a completed

interconnection study and subsequent agreements with local and regional utilities that would enable the delivery to ADS.

Amazon and UEC have developed a first of its kind relationship that enables Amazon to take on the responsibility of selecting the energy supply that powers its data center operations. This is inclusive of many new renewable energy resources. Amazon has invested over \$15 billion in the state economy since 2011, is recycling up to 96% of AWS cooling water to provide millions of gallons of water to local farmers each year, we're now able to directly invest in renewable energy across the Pacific Northwest to help power AWS operations in Oregon. We're grateful for the collaboration with UEC, as this will be critical to helping us meet our renewable energy goals for powering our facilities. More found about this relationship can be at the following link: https://www.aboutamazon.com/news/aws/data-center-oregon-renewable-energy.

ADS is doing everything it can to procure and supply renewable power from the local power grid but we are technically incapable of meeting all our current needs and that is the reason for the approximately 24 MW of onsite power generation at PDX109 that is under consideration in this BAER analysis. For that reason, additional supply of the needed electricity through the local power grid is eliminated from this analysis as technically infeasible.

3.2.3 Solar Energy

There are two main types of large-scale solar energy plants:

- \Box Concentrated solar power (CSP)
- \Box Solar photovoltaics (PV)

3.2.3.1 CSP

CSP plants use mirrors to concentrate the sun's thermal energy to drive traditional steam turbines or engines that create energy. A CSP plant can generate electricity via a steam turbine for immediate power, or it can incorporate thermal energy storage, where the sun's heat energy is collected and stored in a medium such as molten salt. This enables the plant to continue to generate electricity in periods of low sunlight. CSP plants, like all thermal electric plants, require a substantial amount of water for cooling. Water use depends on the plant design, the plant location, and the type of coolingsystem.

There are three major types of CSP technology systems: parabolic trough systems, compact linear fresnel reflectors, and power towers. Parabolic trough systems use curved mirrors to focus the sun's energy on a receiver tube that runs down the center of a trough. In the receiver tube, a high-temperature heat transfer fluid (such as a synthetic oil) absorbs the sun's energy, reaching temperatures of 750° Fahrenheit or higher, and passes through a heat exchanger to heat water and produce steam. The steam drives a conventional steam turbine power system to generate electricity.

Compact linear fresnel reflector systems are similar to parabolic trough systems, but with long, parallel rows of lower-cost, flat mirrors. These modular reflectors focus thermal energy on elevated receivers, which consist of a system of tubes through which water flows. The concentrated sunlight boils the water, generating high-pressure steam for direct use in power generation and industrial steam applications.

Power tower systems use a central receiver system, which allows for higher operating temperatures and thus greater efficiencies. Computer-controlled mirrors called heliostats track the sun along two axes and focus solar energy on a receiver at the top of a high tower. The focused energy is used to heat a transfer fluid to produce steam and run a central power generator.

3.2.3.2 Solar PV

Solar panels create energy from sunlight through the solar PV process. Unlike CSP plants, PV plants do not generate large amounts of heat from thermal energy, so little to no water is required.

Sunlight is composed of photons, which are small bundles of electromagnetic radiation that can be absorbed by a PV cell. PV cells absorb incoming photons to provide energy and generate an electrical current through what is known as the photovoltaic effect. The movement of electrons, each carrying a negative charge, toward the front surface of the PV cell creates an imbalance of electrical charge between the cell's front and back surfaces. This imbalance, in turn, creates a voltage potential like the negative and positive terminals of a battery. Electrical conductors on the cell absorb the electrons. When the conductors are connected in an electrical circuit to an external load, such as a battery, electricity flows in the circuit.

3.2.3.3 CSP and PV Siting and Reliability

According to the Great Plains Institute, a conservative estimate for the footprint of solar development is 10 acres of land to produce one MW of electricity (Wyatt and Kristian 2021). However, conditions at the generation site will affect this estimate. Power generation potential will vary depending on the intensity of the sun's energy. For example, The National Renewable Energy Laboratory lists annual average daily total solar resource for the U.S. Southwest as greater than 5.75 kilowatt-hours per square meter per day, while most of the Pacific Northwest is listed as less than 4.00 kilowatt-hours per square meter per day (NREL 2018). Although it is likely that land requirements in the Pacific Northwest are larger than 10 acres/MW, using this estimate, a 24-MW solar farm requires, at a minimum, approximately 240 acres, which is more land than is available at the PDX109 site. As noted at the outset of this analysis, 70% of the 102-acre site are dedicated to equipment critical to the site's intended purpose and cannot be repurposed to solar power generation. Additionally, ingress and egress and emergency response considerations dictate that significant additional portions of the site could not be used for this purpose.

Other factors besides the absence of available real estate make solar infeasible. Fluctuations in power supply can lead to lengthy periods of downtime. The site needs a continuous, reliable power supply; however, solar energy is not always produced when energy is needed. Solar energy production can be affected by season, time of day, clouds, dust, haze, or obstructions such as shadows, rain, snow, and dirt. Battery storage and backup generators would be required to supplement power provided by solar energy in order for the power supply to be available at all hours.

Lithium-ion batteries are one such storage technology. Although using energy storage is never 100 percent efficient, as some energy is always lost in converting energy and retrieving it, storage allows the flexible use of energy post-generation. Storage can increase system efficiency and resilience, and it can improve power quality by matching supply and demand. However, large-scale battery storage requires additional infrastructure and available real estate, which is not readily available. The results of overheating can be disastrous in battery farms, where batteries reside in fairly close proximity to one

another. Integrated cooling systems are necessary to prevent battery failure, and in some cases, ignition.

Finally, planning, permitting, and constructing a solar farm would take several years to complete. Based on the timeline for a solar PV energy generation facility in Lake County, Oregon, permitting alone may take up to three to four years, with another four years for construction. More importantly, however, an off-site solar farm would have no utility without the construction of the transmission infrastructure discussed above. Because transmission is the constraint, the only viable means to additional power at this location are on-site solutions. Therefore, construction of a solar farm is not a viable option. It will not be commercially available within the time frame needed and even if it were, a lack of transmission infrastructure remains a barrier to delivering it to the utility.

3.2.3.4 Rooftop Solar

The PDX109 campus is approximately 102 acres and majority of that space is dedicated for utilization of equipment, right of ways, and provisions required in the operations of the data center. Approximately 280,000 square feet of useable roof space exists that could be used for a potential roof top solar installation. If all this space was used to produce solar energy, there is a potential to produce approximately 3,377 MWh per year. On an average basis across a year, this is equivalent to approximately 385 average kW. This is a small fraction of the overall needs for data center operations and the generation profile from this resource does not align with ADS's requirements for operational reliability. ADS data centers require services to be available in all hours of the day, not only during the hours when generation is being produced, but also during hours when this resource is unable to produce any output. An estimate of the costs associated with an installation of this scale is approximately \$5.3 Million and does not include the cost of a battery system.

Off-site solar electricity generation is not a viable option for the site as discussed above. Moreover, the addition of rooftop solar would not obviate the need for the approximately 24 MW fuel cell installation at this location. Even with the addition of the 24 MW fuel cell installation and any additional small amount of capacity that might be generated from a rooftop solar project, there is insufficient infrastructure in place to deliver additional electricity to the site, and the entire focus of the project subject to this BAER analysis is how to provide electricity to the site during the interim period before the required transmission capacity exists.

In accordance with OAR 340-271-0310, strategies considered in a BAER assessment must produce goods of comparable type, quantity, and quality. While rooftop solar is technically feasible, it would need to be coupled with storage capabilities and would not produce enough energy to reduce the need for the 24 MW power generation provided by the fuel cells. The output from a solar option would not produce goods of comparable quality as compared to those of a more reliable source of energy. Moreover, land constraints and a lack of transmission infrastructure further render off-site solar an infeasible solution. Therefore, solar energy is considered technically infeasible for reducing the approximately 24 MW of power generation from the proposed fuel cell project to the PDX109 site.

3.2.4 Wind Energy

Wind turbines use wind to generate electricity by turning propeller-like blades of a turbine around a rotor, which spins a generator creating electricity. When wind flows across the blade, the air pressure across the two sides of the blade creates both lift and drag. The force of the lift is stronger than the

drag, and this causes the rotor to spin. The rotor connects to the generator, either directly or through a shaft and a series of gears that speed up the rotation and allow for a physically smaller generator. The translation of aerodynamic force to rotation of a generator creates electricity.

Differences in vegetation, terrain, and water bodies cause wind flows and speeds to vary from one location to the next, making some locations better suited for wind energy than others. Wind speeds and frequency are higher near the coast and offshore than in inland areas.

There are two main types of wind turbines: horizontal-axis and vertical-axis. Horizontal-axis wind turbines typically have three blades and operate "upwind," with the turbine pivoting at the top of the tower, so the blades face into the wind. Vertical-axis turbines come in several different varieties, including the eggbeater-style Darrieus model. The vertical-axis turbines are omnidirectional and do not have to be adjusted to point into the wind.

3.2.4.1 Siting and Reliability

Wind power plants have substantial land-use requirements. Based on data for 172 projects, the National Renewable Energy Laboratory calculated the average value for total-area data for projects representing about 25 GW of proposed or installed capacity (Denholm et al. 2009). The average value for the total project area was about 34.5 ± 22.4 hectares per MW, or 85 acres per MW. As noted above, 70% of the 102-acre site is currently developed and there is not enough land space to site a wind turbine and generate even 1 MW of wind power on-site.

Another challenge is that the wind speeds can vary throughout the day and the year, causing inconsistent electricity flow issues, and the amount of wind available depends on the location. Additionally, turbines have regular maintenance intervals that require them to shut down completely. Battery storage helps to solve short-term variability issues, but there are also longer-term seasonal variations in weather patterns and meteorology. Onshore wind resources are strongest in the spring but may be greatly diminished in late summer and midwinter. Ideally, wind generation is sited for optimal wind conditions, but this could be several miles from the site. During periods of low energy generation PDX109 would not have sufficient power, putting operations at risk. As discussed above, the absence of transmission infrastructure would render it impossible to get electricity from a wind facility several miles from the site.

Similar to solar energy, planning, permitting, and constructing a wind farm would also take years to complete. As illustrated by the extensive timeline to complete the B2H Transmission Line and ancillary and supporting facilities, construction of a wind farm to address the gap in electricity need is not a technically feasible option. Furthermore, the lack of transmission infrastructure continues to be barrier to delivering such project's electrons to the utility.

In accordance with OAR 340-271-0310, strategies considered in a BAER assessment must produce goods of comparable type, quantity, and quality. Wind energy is not a source of electricity that can be relied on with the constant demand need of the site and would not produce goods of comparable quality as compared to a more reliable source of energy.

Based on the inconsistency of the electrical generation, site limitations, a prolonged timeline, and a lack of available transmission infrastructure, wind energy is technically infeasible for addressing the

electricity needs at PDX109 before completion of infrastructure upgrades such as the B2H Transmission Line and the ancillary and supporting facilities needed to deliver electricity to the site.

3.2.5 Bloom Energy SOFCs

The site is requesting to install Bloom Energy SOFCs as a continuous power source for the approximately six-year period prior to anticipated completion of the transmission infrastructure necessary to deliver additional electricity to ADS sites. SOFCs generate power by harnessing an electrochemical reaction between hydrogen from natural gas feedstock and oxygen in ambient air. The SOFC consists primarily of a fuel anode, an electrolyte, and a cathode, combined by interconnected plates to manage conductance and air flow in the system, as shown in the following figure. The SOFC will supply the site as a supplement to the draw from the power grid.



Figure 3-1. Fuel Cell Process

The electrolyte material used in the Bloom Energy SOFC is designed to allow only oxygen ions to pass through the system, ensuring that other components of ambient air (nitrogen and CO_2) do not interfere or integrate into the process.

SOFCs do not use combustion to produce energy but rather use an anode to convert the natural gas into carbon monoxide and hydrogen, which are reacted with oxygen to produce CO_2 , water, and electricity. The SOFC consists of several fuel cell modules that are a fault-tolerant architecture, meaning that when maintenance is needed, these modules can be swapped out without any unit downtime, resulting in fewer emissions because there is no need to use backup diesel generators during maintenance activities.

The PDX109 site has access to natural gas. Gas Transmission Northwest (GTN), an interstate pipeline company, operates an interstate pipeline in close proximity to the site. In order to serve ADS, it will permit, construct and install connections between said interstate pipeline and PDX 109 (ADS will not install or operate the pipeline lateral). As an interstate pipeline operator, GTN has eminent domain authority and it is currently communicating that it will take approximately 9-12 months to design,

permit and construct the necessary connections, with construction scheduled to be complete sometime between November 2023 and January 2024. Figure 3-2 in Appendix B presents the existing pipeline, along with the route of the future connections between the existing pipeline and PDX109.

Based on the discussion above, it is technically feasible for the Bloom Energy SOFC to provide the approximately 24 MW of electrical generation needed at PDX109 well in advance of the transmission infrastructure that will allow local and regional electric utilities to supply additional electricity to the site.

3.2.6 Bloom Energy SOFC and CO₂Capture

The untreated anode exhaust stream from the Bloom Energy SOFC contains approximately 49.4 percent CO_2 on a dry basis, with the rest of the exhaust stream consisting of hydrogen and carbon monoxide. Because SOFCs do not use combustion, co-pollutants such as sulfur oxide and nitrogen oxide (NO_x) emissions are virtually eliminated. The following methods were considered to capture the CO_2 and process it into a marketable stream:

- Water gas shift reactor in combination with a dehydrator and further separation
- Activated carbon-based CO₂ adsorption

In Executive Order 20-04, Governor Brown directed the Oregon Global Warming Commission to work in coordination with the Oregon Department of Agriculture, the Oregon Department of Forestry, and the Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board to develop and submit a proposal for setting a carbon sequestration and storage goal for Oregon's natural and working lands. In July 2020, the Oregon Global Warming Commission adopted principles for developing a net carbon sequestration and storage goal for Oregon's natural and working lands; however, at the time of this report, underground CO₂ injection and sequestering is currently illegal in Oregon. Furthermore, underground sequestration could not be designed, permitted, and constructed prior to completion of infrastructure upgrades such as the B2H Transmission Line and the ancillary and supporting facilities needed to deliver electricity to the site. For these reasons, this method was considered technically infeasible.

A water gas shift reactor in combination with a dehydrator can result in a 98.8 percent CO_2 stream, while activated carbon CO_2 adsorption can result in a 93 percent CO_2 stream that can be packed and sold as product. The site spoke with several potential partners to find a demand for purified CO_2 , and it was determined that there is currently not enough demand in the market for CO_2 in Oregon. Exacerbating this situation is the fact that the supply is time-limited such that no new off-taker will locate in Oregon, where the source of CO_2 is not expected to exist after approximately 2031. Since there is no commercially available outlet for the captured CO_2 , carbon capture and sequestration or sale is not a feasible option at this time. A letter outlining the efforts to find market demand for purified CO_2 in Oregon is included in Appendix E.

Based on the discussion above, the Bloom Energy SOFC with $\rm CO_2$ capture is infeasible in Oregon at this time.

3.2.7 Bloom Energy SOFC with RNG as Feedstock

RNG is any pipeline-compatible gaseous fuel derived from biogenic or other renewable sources that has lower lifecycle CO₂e emissions than geological natural gas. RNG is produced by capturing emissions from existing waste streams found in landfills, water treatment plants, and animal manure. The gas must be treated and cleaned to reach the standard at which it can be injected into existing gas pipelines. RNG combines low to negative life-cycle carbon emissions with the high-energy density storage capability and transportability of natural gas.

Bloom Energy SOFC technology currently uses natural gas as the feedstock. The site considered the option of using RNG if available. However, Oregon only produces approximately 1,100 MMBtu/day of RNG that is injected into a pipeline, all of which is provided by Threemile Canyon Farms in Boardman, Oregon. Threemile Canyon Farms operates a methane digester and began providing pipeline quality gas to California for RNG transportation fuel in 2019. The RNG being injected into the pipeline is subject to long-term contracts and little to no gas is available for a short-term contract covering the anticipated life of the SOFC equipment.

The remaining operational biogas plants are consuming the supply onsite. ADS has engaged with Oregon biogas plants to procure regionally sourced RNG. ADS contacted the Port of Morrow to inquire about acquiring the rights to the raw biogas that will be generated at the Boardman Wastewater facility currently under construction. At this time, the Port has not released an RFP for the project and has indicated that they are several months from doing so. Lamb Weston has yet to announce plans to offer biogas produced in Hermiston to outside sources. While future resources may become available in the next few years, they remain highly speculative. Table 3-1 below presents a summary of potential sources of RNG and the availability of the RNG to PDX109.

Table 3-1. RNG Availability Summary

RNG Source	RNG End Use	
Threemile Canyon Farms	Transportation Sector	
Port of Morrow	RNG project is under construction. No RFP has been issued at this time.	
Lamb Weston	On-site usage	

If viable supplies were actually available, Bloom SOFC using RNG as supplemental feedstock would be a technically feasible option. However, it is highly speculative that short-term contracts consistent with the proposed temporary use of the SOFC equipment could be obtained, given the market's interest in longer-term sales. As a result, the use of RNG is eliminated at this time as technically infeasible. The feasibility of obtaining RNG can be reassessed when this determination is updated in 5 years if the SOFC equipment is still in use.

3.2.8 Bloom Energy SOFC with RNG Attributes

Off-site GHG reductions could potentially be used to show "paperwork" reductions in emissions from the site. Such reductions can exist in the form of offsets or attributes. Offsets represent MT of emissions avoided or reduced, while attributes represent 1-megawatt-hour (MWh) renewable electricity generation. RNG attributes are used in renewable energy markets to account for electricity generated using RNG, whether that electricity is generated at the organization's facility or purchased from elsewhere, potentially even another state or country. The common element of offsets and attributes is that, by definition, neither offsets nor attributes reflect a reduction of GHG emissions at the covered stationary source.

According to OAR 340-271-0320(1):

A BAER order will establish the actions that the owner or operator of a covered stationary source must take to reduce covered emissions and the timeline on which the actions must be taken.

Covered emissions as defined in OAR 340-271-0110(5)(b)(A) are:

Emissions of anthropogenic greenhouse gases in metric tons of CO₂e that would result from the complete combustion or oxidation of the annual quantity of propane and liquid fuels (including, for example and without limitation, gasoline and petroleum products) imported, sold, or distributed for use in this state.

The site's covered emissions are the emissions generated only at the site and nowhere else in the state or country. Therefore, RNG attributes generated off site do not reduce the site's covered emissions, and so, as a matter of law, purchasing attributes cannot constitute BAER under the current CPP.

3.2.9 Bloom Energy SOFC with Hydrogen

Bloom Energy SOFC technology has an internal equipment upgrade available that allows the SOFC to use a 50/50 hydrogen/natural gas blend. Additionally, the servers can further be upgraded with a

module to process 100 percent hydrogen as it becomes available. A hydrogen/natural gas feedstock source or strictly hydrogen as a feedstock source would eliminate anywhere between 50 to 100 percent of the GHG emissions if the hydrogen source becomes available in the future.

ADS is involved in the Pacific Northwest Hydrogen Association Board, PNWH2 grant programs and the Fuel Cell and Hydrogen Energy Association. ADS is committed to investing time and resources to find a cleaner solution while also working with the Department of Energy's Hydrogen Hubs initiative to create a hydrogen hub in the Pacific Northwest that could serve its data center in the future. ADS is actively working to bring greater availability of alternative fuels that could be used for projects such as this but they are currently unavailable at this time.

3.2.9.1 Methane Pyrolysis

Methane pyrolysis is a process involving thermal decomposition of methane at high temperatures into its constituent elements, hydrogen and solid carbon. The heat required in the reaction can be generated in a number of ways. One method involves using an electric current to heat up a resistive wire or heating element. This heat is then transferred to the reaction chamber containing the methane, causing it to break down into hydrogen and carbon. Another approach is to use combustion to generate the necessary heat. In this case, a fuel source such as natural gas or propane is burned in a combustion chamber, and the resulting heat is used to drive the reaction.

One of the main challenges with methane pyrolysis is the high energy input required to achieve the desired reaction temperatures. The temperature range for methane pyrolysis is approximately 800°C to 1,100°C, and achieving these temperatures requires a significant amount of energy. The use of fossil fuels to provide the necessary heat results in the production of GHGs, which defeats the purpose of using methane pyrolysis as a clean energy source. In addition, using an electric current to achieve pyrolysis requires significant quantities of electricity which ADS cannot obtain at this time to due to infrastructure shortcomings. Once those infrastructure shortcomings are resolved, there will be no need for the SOFCs.

Another challenge facing methane pyrolysis is the difficulty in separating the hydrogen from the solid carbon produced in the process. If not done properly, the hydrogen gas can become contaminated with impurities such as carbon monoxide and CO₂. The purity of hydrogen can also be affected by the reactor design, operating conditions, and catalysts used.

Currently, the process is performed at laboratory scale, and there are no industrial-scale methane pyrolysis plants in operation. The lack of availability is due to the challenges listed above, and the infrastructure required for industrial usage. According to Lux Research, several startups have been founded to develop methane pyrolysis technologies that were originally developed at research institutions; however, the technology is still in the development stage and is not ready for large scale platforms. In fact, Netherlands Organization for Applied Scientific Research and the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology have scaled their technology to pilot installations but have stated that a commercial-scale facility is unlikely before 2030 (Daliah, 2021). Additionally, in a project funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research, BASF has been developing methane pyrolysis technology for several years. The technology is still in the testing phase, and BASF estimates that methane pyrolysis will likely be available for large-scale production in 2030 (BASF, 2021).

Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc. contacted Monolith Corp on May 18, 2023 to inquire about their methane pyrolysis technology. Monolith has established itself as a technology leader in methane pyrolysis, providing hydrogen and carbon black from natural gas. However, Monolith indicated that at this time, they are unable to provide the technology to support this project. They are currently in development for a second larger facility that will be built next to their current facility in Hallam, Nebraska, and are interested in future partnerships as their capacity increases.

Modern Hydrogen located in Bothell, Washington, is developing methane pyrolysis devices that are smaller, modular, and intended for decentralized applications so the hydrogen gas won't have to be shipped or piped to new locations. Modern Hydrogen has pilot projects planned, including one with NW Natural, and intends to ship its demonstration reactors to the utilities by the end of 2023. However, the technology is still in the pilot phase, and is not commercially available at this time. Maul Foster & Alongi contacted Modern Hydrogen on June 5, 2023 to inquire about the availability of their technology. The estimated timeline for commercially available units capable of generating 24 MW of electricity is approximately 2027.

Planning, permitting, and constructing the necessary infrastructure is estimated to take several years to complete. As the infrastructure upgrades of the B2H Transmission Line and other ancillary and supporting facilities needed to deliver electricity to the site are scheduled to be operational by approximately 2031, construction of a pyrolysis plant to produce hydrogen and address the gap in electricity need is not a technically feasible option as a replacement. As a result, hydrogen is not expected to be an available option during the limited life of SOFC at the site. Therefore, the Bloom Energy SOFC with hydrogen as feedstock is not technically feasible at this time.

3.2.10 Fossil Fuel-Fired Generators

The PDX109 site air permit currently authorizes ADS to operate 112 emergency generators, for a total capacity of 266 megawatts (MW). The air permit does not authorize these engines for baseload power generation. However, ADS could obtain 24 MW of diesel or natural gas-fired internal combustion engines connected to generators and seek permitting authority to construct and operate these units during the time period before infrastructure improvements are completed to provide the facility's full needs. However, diesel-fired internal combustion engines are not an ideal solution because of noise. They also result in greatly increased GHG, criteria pollutant, and air toxics emissions.

Internal combustion engines require regular maintenance and replacement of parts. ADS must comply with the following management practice requirements for each permitted stationary reciprocating internal combustion engine:

- Change oil and filter every 500 hours of operation or annually, whichever comes first;
- Inspect air cleaner every 1,000 hours of operation or annually, whichever comes first, and replace as necessary;
- Inspect hoses and belts every 500 hours of operation or annually, whichever comes first, and replace as necessary; and,
- If a stationary RICE is operating during an emergency and it is not possible to shut down the engine in order to perform the management practice requirements on schedule, or if performing the management practice on the required schedule would otherwise pose an unacceptable risk under federal, state, or local law, the management practice can be delayed

until the emergency is over or the unacceptable risk under federal, state, or local law has abated. The management practice must be performed as soon as practicable after the emergency has ended or the unacceptable risk under federal, state, or local law has abated.

This maintenance could cause disruptions to the power supply, leading to downtime and putting facility operations at risk. However, for purposes of this analysis, the use of internal combustion engines to drive generators is considered technically feasible to provide the approximately 24 MW of electrical generation needed at PDX109 prior to completion of the infrastructure necessary to serve the site.

3.2.11 Combined-Cycle Power Plant

A combustion-based energy-generating plant uses primarily combustion turbines, heat-recovery steam generators (or boilers), and steam turbines to convert natural gas, biomass, or diesel fuel to electricity. A combined-cycle power plant uses both a gas and a steam turbine together in a three-step process. First, the gas turbine burns fuel. The gas turbine compresses air and mixes it with fuel that is heated to a high temperature. The hot air and combustion gas mixture moves through the gas turbine blades, making them spin. Next, a heat recovery system captures exhaust heat from the gas turbine that would otherwise escape through the exhaust stack. The waste heat from the gas turbine is routed to the nearby steam turbine, which generates extra electricity.

According to the U.S. Department of Energy Combined Heat and Power Technology Fact Sheet, typically routine inspections are required every 4,000 hours to ensure that the turbine is free of damaged blade tips or excessive vibration from worn bearings and rotors (U.S. Department of Energy 2016). In addition, a gas turbine overhaul is needed every 25,000 to 50,000 hours; this typically includes a complete inspection and rebuild of components to restore the gas turbine to performance standards. Maintenance will require shutdown, leaving the site running on backup diesel generators. For each hour of shutdown, diesel generators will require approximately 1,630 gallons of diesel fuel to generate 24 MW of electricity, resulting in over 18 tons of GHG per hour. This will also result in an additional increase in criteria and hazardous air pollutants (HAPs), as well as putting continuous operations at risk by running on a backup power source.

Designing, permitting, and constructing a new 24-MW combined-cycle power plant would take a minimum of three to six years. Given that the site requires on-site electricity generation to cover the shortfall today, a combined-cycle power plant is not a technically feasible option.

3.3 Step 3—Rank Remaining Power Sources/Emission Reduction Options by Effectiveness

Based on the above analysis, only two technologies were determined to be technically feasible and commercially available for providing on-site electricity generation prior to completion of the infrastructure upgrades of the B2H Transmission Line and the ancillary and supporting facilities:

- 1. Bloom Energy SOFC with natural gas as feedstock (679 to 833 lb CO₂e/MWh).
- 2. Natural gas-fired internal combustion engines connected to generators (1,199 lb CO₂e/MWh).
- 3. Diesel-fired internal combustion engines connected to generators (1,243 lb CO₂e/MWh).

3.4 Step 4—Evaluation of the Most Effective Power Source/Emission Reduction Option

The next step in the BAER evaluation is to assess the highest-ranking technology on the basis of energy, environmental, and economic impacts. However, if the highest-ranking technology is being proposed for utilization, this stage of the review is not necessary.

The highest-ranking technology is being proposed for use as BAER. As a result, an assessment of energy, environmental, and economic impacts is not necessary. Nonetheless, an assessment of the energy, environmental, and economic impacts associated with the use of SOFC equipment with natural gas is provided below.

3.4.1 Bloom Energy SOFC Using Natural Gas as Fuel

3.4.1.1 Energy Impacts

The Bloom Energy SOFC will use natural gas as feedstock to operate. The Bloom Energy SOFC requires 162,214 scf/hr at capacity, or 1,421 MMscf/yr.

3.4.1.2 Environmental Impacts

Bloom Energy SOFC technology is described in detail above. This technology generates electricity by oxidizing feedstock such as natural gas. As no combustion is involved, the criteria pollutant emissions from the units are very low compared to electrical generation technologies using combustion. Bloom Energy's fuel cells also operate at some of the highest electrical efficiencies of any gas-based power generation device and, therefore, need less natural gas to generate the same amount of power as a combustion alternative, driving a lower GHG emissions profile. When oxidizing conventional natural gas, the Bloom Energy technology has a GHG emission rate of between 679 and 833 lb of CO₂e/MWh. This is significantly lower than the Oregon Department of Energy's GHG emissions standard for electrical generating facilities, 1,100 lb CO₂/MWh (OAR 330-180-0030(1)) or the Oregon GHG Emissions Performance Standard (EPS) for new baseload electricity generation of 1,100 lb CO₂e/MWh (ORS 757.524). It is also significantly lower than the emissions from "marginal" power sources Bloom Energy's technology might displace if grid power were available in the relevant eGrid region (NWPP). The 2021 non-baseload emission rate for the NWPP Region was 1,626.75 lb CO₂/MW-hour (EPA 2023).

The Bloom Energy SOFC offers a significant benefit when it comes to water consumption as compared to the marginal grid. The SOFC uses 0.69 gallons of water per MWh. Compared to consumption by the marginal grid of 740 gallons per MWh (USGS 2018), the Bloom technology offers a reduction of greater than 99.9 percent.

The Bloom Energy technology also presents opportunities not found in other conventional generation methods. Bloom Energy's fuel cells are essentially feedstock neutral and are capable of employing natural gas, RNG, or hydrogen to the extent that these feedstocks are available. As a result of this feedstock flexibility and the short-term need for addressing a shortfall in electricity available from other sources, the Bloom technology is not an investment that locks ADS or Oregon into long-term commitments that make climate improvement difficult. This is a key concern, as technologies that require long-term installations make short-term change impossible. Adding to this flexibility is the fact

that the Bloom Energy technology is skid-mounted and so can be moved in, and moved out, easily. This makes the Bloom Energy SOFC technology ideal for the current application where a short-term need for on-site electrical generation is critical to the operation of the site. No other technology offers this combination of feedstock flexibility and ease of short-term utilization.

No increases to or changes in emission rates related to required repairs of the equipment are anticipated. Malfunctioning components of the system will be replaced in lieu of shutdown if repairs to the system are needed.

Installation and operation of the SOFC will result in emissions of criteria pollutants, HAPs and GHGs. A summary of the potential emissions at the site are summarized in Table 2 below. The emissions assume continuous operations.

Pollutant	SOFC Emission Factor (Ib/MWh)	Hourly Emission Rate (Ib/hr)	Annual Emission Rate (tons/yr)		
PM	0.022	0.53	2.34		
PM10	0.022	0.53	2.34		
PM _{2.5}	0.015	0.36	1.60		
SO ₂	5.95E-06	1.45E-04	6.33E-04		
NOx	0.0017	0.041	0.18		
СО	0.012	0.29	1.28		
VOC	0.010	0.24	1.06		
GHG	833	20,242	88,660		
HAP	3.64E-04	0.0088	0.039		
Notes CO = carbon monoxide. GHG = greenhouse gas. HAP = hazardous air pollutant. hr = hour. Ib = pound. MWh = megawatt-hour. NOx = nitrogen oxides. PM = particulate matter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide. SOFC = solid oxide fuel cell. VOC = volatile organic compound. yr = year.					

Table 3-2. Bloom Energy SOFC Installation Air Emissions Summary

The SOFC emission factors are based on the emission factors provided by the manufacturer specification sheet except for SO₂. The SO₂ emission factor is calculated based on the expected sulfur content in the pipeline natural gas which is 0.5 grains per 100 scf (gr S/100 scf).



RRRRCCFFee PPCCPPFFPP = 24.3 *MMkk*

3.5 Step 5—Select BAER

Based on the discussions in the previous sections, the Bloom Energy SOFC using natural gas as feedstock is BAER for this temporary on-site generation project of approximately 24 MW. The Bloom Energy SOFC will result in significantly less GHG emissions than the other two feasible technologies. If commercially available, hydrogen or RNG used as feed stock is an alternative that would reduce GHG emissions. Therefore, the use of the Bloom Energy SOFC using natural gas as feedstock is proposed as an electricity generation source at PDX109 until such time as electricity from the grid can be delivered to the site or alternative feedstocks become feasible.



Table 2 provides a summary of the BAER analysis for the PDX109 data center in Boardman, Oregon.

Table 4-1. BAER Determination

Source	Pollutant	BAER			
~24-MW electricity generation	GHG	Bloom Energy SOFC with natural gas fuel			
Notes GHG = greenhouse gas. MW = megawatt. SOFC = solid oxide fuel cell.					
The services undertaken in completing this report were performed consistent with generally accepted professional consulting principles and practices. No other warranty, express or implied, is made. These services were performed consistent with our agreement with our client. This report is solely for the use and information of our client unless otherwise noted. Any reliance on this report by a third party is at such party's sole risk.

Opinions and recommendations contained in this report apply to conditions existing when services were performed and are intended only for the client, purposes, locations, time frames, and project parameters indicated. We are not responsible for the impacts of any changes in environmental standards, practices, or regulations subsequent to performance of services. We do not warrant the accuracy of information supplied by others, or the use of segregated portions of this report.

Amazon.com, Inc. 2019. "Reducing Carbon by Moving to AWS." <u>https://www.aboutamazon.com/news/sustainability/reducing-carbon-by-moving-to-aws</u>

Amazon.com, Inc. 2021. "Delivering Progress Every Day, Amazon's 2021 Sustainability Report." https://sustainability.aboutamazon.com/2021-sustainability-report.pdf

Amazon Sustainability Data Initiative, 2023. "Making Sustainability Data Available to Everyone." <u>https://sustainability.aboutamazon.com/environment/the-cloud/amazon-sustainability-data-initiative</u>

BASF, 2021. "BASF Report 2021. Integrated corporate report on economic, environmental and social performance." https://report.basf.com/2021/en/_assets/downloads/entire-basf-ar21.pdf.

Bloom Energy, Inc. 2022. "The Bloom Energy Server 5." Data Sheet. <u>https://www.bloomenergy.com/wp-content/uploads/es5-300kw-datasheet-2022.pdf</u>.

Bonneville Power Administration 2022. "Longhorn Substation." https://www.bpa.gov/-/media/Aep/finance/asset-management/public-materials-project-synopsis/longhorn-substation.pdf

Denholm, Paul, Maureen Hand, Maddalena Jackson, and Sean Ong. 2009. *Land-Use Requirements of Modern Wind Power Plants in the United States*. Technical report NREL/TP-6A2-45834. National Renewable Energy Laboratory. August. <u>https://docs.wind-watch.org/nrel-land-use-wind-power.pdf</u>.

Lux Research, 2021. "Technology Landscape: Key Players in Methane Pyrolysis." https://www.luxresearchinc.com/blog/technology-landscape-key-players-in-methane-pyrolysis/

NREL. 2018. "Solar Resource Maps and Data." Geospatial Data Science. National Renewable Energy Laboratory. <u>https://www.nrel.gov/gis/solar-resource-maps.html</u>.

U.S. Department of Energy. 2016. "Gas Turbines." Combined Heat and Power Technology Fact Sheet Series. July. <u>https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2016/09/f33/CHP-Gas%20Turbine.pdf</u>

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2023. "eGRID Summary Tables 2021." January 2023. https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2023-01/eGRID2021_summary_tables.pdf

U.S. Geological Survey. Accessed February 21, 2023. https://waterdata.usgs.gov/ca/nwis/water_use

Wyatt, Jessi, and Maggie Kristian. 2021. "The True Land Footprint of Solar Energy." Great Plains Institute. September 14. <u>https://betterenergy.org/blog/the-true-land-footprint-of-solar-energy/</u>.

APPENDIX A ACDP PERMIT MODIFICATION APPLICATION

August 2, 2022

Ania Loyd, Environmental Engineer Oregon Department of Environmental Quality 475 NE Bellevue Dr., Suite 210 Bend, OR 97701-7415

RE: ACDP Technical Permit Modification Amazon Data Services PDX109, No. 25-0062-ST-01 75242 Gar Swanson Rd Boardman, OR 97818

Ms. Loyd,

Amazon Data Services, Inc. (ADS) hereby submits the attached Moderate Complexity Technical Permit Modification to Air Contaminant Discharge Permit (ACDP) No. 25-0062-ST-01, for an air contaminant source at a facility in Boardman, Oregon (PDX109).

The format and content of this application are consistent with the Department's current policy for ACDP technical modification applications; it is a complete application package using the most current application forms. Enclosed is one electronic copy of the application, including Responsible Official certification by Steven Meyers, Authorized Representative. Hard copies will be provided upon request.

If you have any questions or comments about the information presented in this ACDP Modification application package, please do not hesitate to call Jason Bowker at 541.303.2380 or Beth Ryder at 458.206.6770.

Sincerely,

---- DocuSigned by:

Steven Meyers

Steven Meyers, Authorized Representative Amazon Data Services, Inc.

cc: Beth Ryder (Trinity Consultants) Rachel Reese (Trinity Consultants) Jason Bowker (Amazon Data Services) Garrett Koehler (Amazon Data Services) Nancy Swofford (Oregon DEQ) Donald Hendrix (Oregon DEQ)

ACDP MODIFICATION APPLICATION Fuel Cell Installation and Ski Lodge Replacement

Amazon Data Services – PDX109

Beth Ryder – Managing Consultant Rachel Reese – Senior Consultant Jordan Hanna – Associate Consultant

TRINITY CONSULTANTS

8705 SW Nimbus Ave Suite 350 Beaverton, OR 97008 503.713.5550

July 2022

Project 223801.0021



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1-1						
2	FACILITY AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION 2 2.1 Facility Description 2 2.2 Project Description 2 2.2.1 Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Source Description 2 2.2.2 Facility SOFC Source Operation 2 2.2.3 Ski Lodge Emergency Generator Engine 2	2-1 2-1 2-1 2-2 2-2					
3.	AIR EMISSION CALCULATION METHODOLOGY 3 3.1 Fuel Cell Air Pollutant Emissions and Calculation Methodology	3-1 3-1 3-1 3-2 3-3					
4.	REGULATORY APPLICABILITY 4 4.1 OAR 340-215: Oregon Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program	I-1 I-2 I-4 I-5 I-6 I-6 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-6 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I-7 I					
5.	5. PROPOSED PERMIT CHANGES5-1						
AP	APPENDIX A. APPLICATION FORMS A-1						
AP	APPENDIX B. AIR EMISSIONS CALCULATIONS B-1						
AP	APPENDIX C. SUPPORTING INFORMATION C-1						
AP	APPENDIX D. SOFC SYSTEM TACT DOCUMENTATION D-1						
AP	APPENDIX E. EXEMPT TEU DETERMINATION FOR SOFCE-1						
AP	APPENDIX F. RED-LINED ACDP CHANGES REQUESTED F-1						

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1. Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Technology	2-1
Figure 2-2. Exhaust Locations	2-2

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. Generators Installed or To Be Installed in PDX109	2-3
Table 3-1. Project Emissions - SOFC Air Emission Summary	3-1
Table 3-2. Emission Changes from Ski Lodge Generator Replacement	3-3
Table 4-1. PDX109 Potential Emissions Summary and PSEL Comparison	4-3
Table 4-2. PDX109 Synthetic Minor Source Determination	4-5
Table 4-3. PDX109 Facility Total Emissions Summary and PSEL Comparison	4-6
Table 4-4. SOFC TACT - PDX109	4-7
Table 5-1. Condition 4.1 Updated PSEL Table	5-1
Table 5-2. Proposed Updates to Section 16.0 for SOFC	5-2
Table 5-3. Process/Production Records	5-2

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Amazon Data Services, Inc. (ADS) owns and operates the PDX109 data center in Boardman, Oregon (PDX109) under Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (Oregon DEQ) Standard Air Contaminant Discharge Permit (ACDP) No. 25-0062-ST-01 issued August 27, 2021. The facility is considered a synthetic minor source under the Title V program. ADS has a modification application for this project currently under review, filing a Notice of Construction package for this facility in February 2022. With this submittal, ADS requests to replace the application under review. All information and documentation associated with the Notice of Construction package submitted February 2022 have been incorporated into this application. The modifications noted in this application are anticipated to take place in March 2023.

This permit modification requests approval to install solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC) as a continuous power source with a capacity of 24.3 megawatts (MW) per hour. The SOFC will be used in addition to electricity provided by utility. The proposed new equipment will cause an increase in several regulated pollutant emissions. Pursuant to Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) Chapter 340-216-0020(7), no person may increase emissions above the Plant Site Emission Limit (PSEL) by more than the de minimis emission levels specified in OAR 340-200-0020 without first applying for and obtaining a modified ACDP. This project will request an increase above the current greenhouse gas (GHG) PSEL by 18,011 tons per year (tpy). Therefore, the proposed changes are requested by ADS as a Type 3 change and require a permit modification application be submitted to Oregon DEQ.¹ This ACDP modification is expected to be classified as a "moderate" technical modification; therefore, a corresponding fee of \$9,000 has been submitted to Oregon DEQ.²

In addition to SOFC installation, this modification seeks to account for the following as described in the February 2022 submittal:

- € Replacement of the currently permitted C18 750 kW Ski Lodge emergency generator (Device ID SKI-01) with one (1) Cat 3512C 1,500 kW emergency generator. The C18 750 kW Ski Lodge emergency generator was never constructed or operated.
- € Update the physical exhaust parameters for several units and buildings associated with the ski lodge generator and fire pumps.
- € Update the Cleaner Air Oregon (CAO) emission inventory toxic emission factors based on source testing completed in accordance with permit condition 8.1.

With this submittal, ADS requests to replace the ACDP application under review and combine the projects. This submittal incorporates all information and documentation associated with the ACDP application package submitted February 2022.

The new Ski Lodge emergency generator is accounted for in this application in emissions impacts as well as the legislative implications of being a new CAO significant TEU. In accordance with OAR 340-245-0100(8)(f)(C), this modification requires Category II public notice and a Moderate Technical fee of $$7,200^3$ as required under OAR 340-245-0100(8)(g)(C). Additionally, Cleaner Air Oregon Specific Activity fees that are required include a 'Level 3 Modeling review only for TEU approval Fee,' of \$3,800 and a 'Source Test Review Fee – moderate,' of \$4,200.⁴ These fees have been paid by Amazon with the initial modification application submitted.

² ADS is required to pay Permit Modification fee of \$9,000 pursuant to OAR 340-216-8020, Table 2, Part 4, and OAR 340-216-0030(3).

¹ Per OAR 340-210-0225(4).

³ OAR 340-216-8020 Table 2, Part 4

⁴ OAR 340-216-8030 Table 3

Oregon DEQ approved the risk assessment and CAO emission rates for PDX109 on April 18, 2022. The addition of the SOFC system slightly decreased allowable diesel fuel throughput on an annual basis. Since there is only a decrease in toxic pollutants, no update to the previously submitted package is required. Additionally, the SOFC system was approved as an Exempt TEU under the CAO program by JR Giska on June 30, 2022. Therefore, there are no changes to the previously submitted CAO documentation.

The engine replacement and addition of the SOFC system will result in a slight decrease to the anticipated facility-wide diesel fuel usage. Therefore, ADS is requesting to update the permitted diesel fuel usage on a rolling 12-month basis.

In accordance with OAR 340-216-0040(3), this application details the requested changes to the permit and new applicable requirements. ADS has considered the timelines provided in OAR 340-216-0040(2)(b) and is submitting this application sufficiently in advance to allow Oregon DEQ adequate time to process the application and issue a permit before it is needed.

The following Oregon DEQ forms are included in Appendix A:

- € Administrative Information (AQ101);
- € Facility Description (AQ102);
- € Internal Combustion Engines and Turbines (AQ210);
- € Miscellaneous Processes and Devices (AQ230);
- € Plant Site Emissions Detail (AQ402);
- € Hazardous Air Pollutant Emission Details (AQ403);
- € Cleaner Air Oregon Permit Application (AQ501); and
- € Land Use Compatibility Statement (LUCS).

Form AQ520 Cleaner Air Oregon Emissions Inventory has been previously submitted electronically with the higher fuel throughput associated with the Risk Assessment submittal.

2. FACILITY AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

2.1 Facility Description

PDX109 is located at 75242 Gar Swanson Rd, Boardman, Oregon. The facility houses computer systems and associated components, such as telecommunications and data storage systems. Equipment at the facility includes security systems, data communications equipment, environmental controls, and backup emergency power supplies (generators), and emergency fire pumps. The principal use of the facility is the storage, management, and dissemination of electronic data. A total of 112 diesel fired emergency engine-generators and 2 fire pumps are the currently approved emission sources at the facility.

2.2 Project Description

2.2.1 Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Source Description

SOFC generate power by harnessing an electrochemical reaction between hydrogen from natural gas fuel and oxygen in the ambient air. Figure 2-1 below shows a typical SOFC design, which consists primarily of an anode, an electrolyte, and a cathode, combined by interconnect plates to manage conductance and air flow in the system.



Figure 2-1. Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Technology ³

Air contaminant emissions resulting from SOFC operation will include particulate matter (PM, $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10}), SO_2 , nitrogen oxides (NO_x), carbon monoxide (CO), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of carbon dioxide (CO₂). The electrolyte material utilized in the Bloom Energy SOFC is designed to only allow oxygen ions to pass through the system, ensuring other components of the ambient air (Nitrogen, Carbon Dioxide) do not interfere or integrate into the process. This characteristic of the electrolyte, as well as the lack of combustion or thermal reaction, virtually eliminates NO_x , SO_2 and other smog forming emissions from the units.

³ Bloom Energy Corporation; Technical Note - A Primer to Understanding Fuel Cell Power Module Life; 2019.

2.2.2 Facility SOFC Source Operation

SOFC generated electricity at PDX109 will be used as a primary power source to reduce the electrical draw from site operations from the power grid; helping to ensure reliability of the system. The SOFC system is designed to generate 24.3 MW. The system is expected to run continuously at this capacity. If required, there will be no increases or changes in emission rates anticipated related to repairs of the equipment. Malfunctioning components of the system will be replaced in lieu of shutdown if repairs to the system are required.

The fuel cell system operations and performance will be continuously monitored to ensure performance and emission commitments are met. If monitoring indicates improper operation, the manufacturer will inspect the system to determine the cause, and provide the service required to restore the SOFC system to optimal performance. Maintenance activities may include the replacement of air filters, fans, water deionization tanks and gas desulfurization canisters.

The manufacturer's records indicate that maintenance events typically occur 1-2 times per year, dependent on prevailing operating conditions. Fuel cell stack replacement occurs approximately every five years. There are no impacts to emissions related to maintenance activities.

ADS has received design information for the facility showing the anticipated physical exhaust stack construction for the SOFC unit. A plot plan and process flow diagram of the PDX109 data center are included in Form AQ102 in Appendix A. The SOFC units will be located outside of existing buildings. The SOFC system is organized into groups of individual fuel cell energy servers (ES). Each ES has a fuel processor (FP), power converter (AC), and several individual power modules (PM). ADS has ordered 72-325 kW and 3-300 kW ES. There are two exhaust points for each PM located toward the center of the blocks as shown in Figure 2-2. Total power capacity of the PDX109 SOFC system is 24.3 MW.



Figure 2-2. Exhaust Locations

2.2.3 Ski Lodge Emergency Generator Engine

With this application, ADS requests to authorize one (1) Cat 3512C 1,500 kW emergency generator that will replace the currently permitted C18 750 kW Ski Lodge emergency generator (Device ID SKI-01), which was never constructed or operated. Further, ADS completed source testing in accordance with ACDP 25-0062-ST-01 condition 8.1 and the results of this source test are being used to update the Cleaner Air Oregon (CAO) emission inventory.

The permit currently authorizes six different sized emergency engine-generator sets and fire pumps, with PSELs established using the most conservative emission factors from the manufacturer's specification sheets

for each emergency engine-generator set type and fire pump. ADS requests to update the permit to incorporate one (1) Cat 3512C 1,500 kW emergency generator to replace the currently permitted C18 750 kW Ski Lodge unit as shown in Table 2-1.

Generator Name	Generator Type	Capacity (kW)	Count
Cat 3516C Trans	Туре А	1,825	1
Cat3516C-HD	Туре В	2,500	104
CAT C18 600 kW (House gen)	CAT C18 600 kW (House gen) Type C		4
CAT 3512C 1500 kW (Ski Lodge)	Type D	1,500	1
CAT C15 450 kW (IW Gen)	Туре Е	450	1
CAT C4.4 100 kW Security Gen	Type F	100	1
Fire Pump	Fire Pump	90	2
Total			114

Table 2-1. Generators Installed or To Be Installed in PDX109

Oregon DEQ has approved the previously submitted CAO emission inventory, modeling protocol and risk assessment work plan, and risk assessment for incorporating the Ski Lodge generator engine replacement at PDX109. The reduction in throughput requested results in a decrease to toxic emissions; therefore, the previously submitted CAO documentation shows a more conservative representation of the facility impacts and has not been changed with this submittal.

This new Ski Lodge emergency generator will not cause an increase to the current PSEL; however, the engine replacement and SOFC installation will result in a slight decrease to the anticipated allowable facility-wide diesel fuel usage to ensure the facility wide emissions remain less than or equal to 39 tpy NO_x. Therefore, ADS is requesting to update the permitted diesel fuel usage for emergency and non-emergency use from 758,609 gallons to 756,892 gallons and for non-emergency use from 299,019 gallons to 297,458 gallons on a rolling 12-month basis. Combined annual fuel throughput for non-emergency power generation is determined to ensure that facility wide emissions are less than ODEQ generic PSELs. Detailed emissions calculations are provided in Appendix B.

Additionally, a NAAQS modeling protocol and modeling report was submitted to the agency that incorporated requirement by permit condition 9.2 to demonstrate compliance with the "short-term NAAQS: including 1-hour NO₂, 1-hour SO₂, and 24-hour PM_{2.5}." Emissions associated with this project are minor for these pollutants. Therefore, an updated evaluation is not necessary for NAAQS compliance as confirmed during phone calls in May 2022 with Kristen Martin, Oregon DEQ Modeler. The PM and VOC emission factors for the Type E CAT C15 450 kW (IW Gen) have decreased slightly with this application as a correction to minor historical errors identified. The updates will lower emissions and therefore do not impact results of submitted modeling.

3. AIR EMISSION CALCULATION METHODOLOGY

The following section summarizes the sources of emissions, process description, methodology, and emission factors used to estimate air pollutant emissions from the fuel cells and new emergency generator engine.

3.1 Fuel Cell Air Pollutant Emissions and Calculation Methodology

3.1.1 Summary of Fuel Cell Air Pollutant Emissions

The oxidation of natural gas fuel and oxygen in the SOFC will result in emissions of PM, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, SO₂, NO_x, CO, VOC, HAP, and CO₂. A summary of the potential emissions of regulated pollutants from the SOFC unit operation at the PDX109 facility are shown in Table 3-1.

ADS will remain below current PSELs for criteria pollutants and hazardous air pollutants (HAPs). This application is submitted to modify the current PSEL for GHGs because emission increases are expected to exceed the significant emission rate (SER) for GHGs.

Pollutant	Emission Factor (lb/MW-hr)	Hourly Emission Rate (lb/hr)	Annual Emission Rate (tpy)
PM	0.022	0.53	2.34
PM ₁₀	0.022	0.53	2.34
PM _{2.5}	0.015	0.36	1.60
SO ₂	5.95E-06	1.45E-04	6.33E-04
NO _x	0.0017	0.041	0.18
СО	0.012	0.29	1.28
VOC	0.010	0.24	1.06
GHG	833	20,242	88,660
Total HAP	3.64E-04	0.0088	0.039
Benzene (71-43-2)	1.36E-05	3.30E-04	1.45E-03
Carbon disulfide (75-15-0)	4.80E-05	1.17E-03	5.11E-03
Methanol (67-56-1)	2.27E-04	5.52E-03	2.42E-02
Toluene (108-88-3)	4.43E-05	1.08E-03	4.72E-03
m, p, o-Xylene (1330-20-7)	3.06E-05	7.44E-04	3.26E-03

Table 3-1. Project Emissions - SOFC Air Emission Summary

While the GHG emission factor listed in Table 3-1 is based off manufacturing specifications for fuel cells, OAR 340-215-0105 presents a separate calculation methodology using 40 CFR 98 Subpart P. Requirements and calculation methodology using this method are fully detailed in Section 4.1. The Oregon rule states that greenhouse gas emissions are required to be quantified and reported based on the CFR methodologies pertaining to hydrogen production. By following the federal rule processes, the resultant annual emissions were calculated to be 88,483 tpy. The results using this methodology are therefore considered less conservative then using the manufacturers specifications, due to presenting a lower estimate of annual emissions. This 0.2% difference is likely caused by the varying accuracy and availability of published data on carbon content and molecular weight.

3.1.2 SOFC Emission Calculation Methodology

Project emissions are the total potential emissions from the SOFC installation, based on emission factors provided by the manufacturer specification sheets and summarized in Table 3-1 above. References for

individual emission factors utilized for each pollutant are summarized in Section 3.2 Supporting Information, excluding SO₂.

The SO₂ emission factor is calculated based on the expected sulfur content of 0.5 grains sulfur per 100 standard cubic feet (gr S/100 SCF) of pipeline quality natural gas used in the system. Natural gas will be supplied to the fuel cells by local utility. The emission factor shown in Table 3-1 is calculated as follows:

S0₂ Emission Factor
$$(\frac{lb}{MWhr})$$

Fuel Consumption $(\frac{scf}{})$ lbmol NG 0.005 lbmol S0 64 lb S0
$$= \frac{hr}{Rated Power (MW)} \times (\frac{10^6}{359 scf NG}) \times (\frac{2}{10^6 lbmol NG}) \times (\frac{2}{lbmol S0_2})$$

Where:

Fuel Consumption = Rated Power × Heat Rate (6,562 BTU LHV/kW — hr) / NG LHV (983 BTU/SCF) Rated Power = 24.3 MW

Potential emissions of all pollutants are calculated assuming continuous operation of the units at the maximum facility design rate of 24.3 MW. Detailed emissions calculations are included in Appendix B of this application package.

3.1.3 Generator Engine Replacement and Diesel Fuel Use Calculation Methodology

All engines will be fueled with No. 2 ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel (ULSD), resulting in emissions of PM, PM_{2.5} PM₁₀, SO₂, NO_x, CO, CO₂, VOC, and HAP. The engines are certified to USEPA Tier 2 standards.

Potential emissions from the new Ski Lodge emergency generator engine are based on emission factors taken from the manufacturer specification sheet and annual operational hours of 100 hours per year. The potential to emit (PTE) is calculated by determining the maximum fuel throughput based on a ratio of kilowatt availability, which is expected to be an accurate representation of usage-associated emissions. A calculation example is provided as below:

For Cat 3516 Trans, the maximum gallons per year for NOx is calculated as follows:

Maximum Fuel Usage of Cat 3516 Trans Generator $(\frac{\text{gal}}{\text{year}})$ Design Usage Limit of all Genterators $(\frac{\text{lb N0x}}{\text{year}})$

 $= \frac{yr}{Total Capacity of all Genterators (kW)} k Capacity of Cat 3516 Trans generators (kW) lb$ $<math display="block">= \frac{yr}{Total Capacity of all Genterators (kW)} k Capacity of Cat 3516 Trans for a pollutant (\frac{y}{gal}) k Capacity of Ca$

Where the total capacity of all generators is 266,455 kW, which is calculated by the sum of product of each generator type count and corresponding capacity. After calculating the maximum fuel usages for all pollutants, the minimum individual maximum fuel usage is used to determine the total fuel consumption allowable for each generator type while still meeting the synthetic minor source limit, or 99 tpy NO_x on a

rolling 12-month basis.

Project emissions increases for the engine replacement are considered based on potential emissions from the proposed 1,500 kW engine minus the potential emissions from the currently permitted 750 kW Ski Lodge engine. The project emission increase is then compared against de minims emission level as defined in OAR 340-200-0020(39) as shown in Table 3-2.

Pollutant	750 kW Ski Lodge Total Emissions	1500 kW Ski Lodge Total Emissions	Project Emissions Change	De Minimis Threshold	Exceeds Threshold?
		(тру)			
PM/PM10/PM2.5	8.45E-03	1.46E-02	6.18E-03	1	No
SO ₂	5.76E-04	1.09E-03	5.18E-04	1	No
NOx	0.68	1.33	0.65	1	No
СО	0.13	0.12	-5.39E-03	1	No
VOC	5.21E-02	3.11E-02	-2.09E-02	1	No
GHG	69.36	45.32	-24.04	1	No
Combined HAP	0.01	0.01	0.00	1	No

Table 3-2. Emission Changes from Ski Lodge Generator Replacement

Project emissions for the engine replacement do not exceed the de minims thresholds defined in OAR 340-200-0020(39). This assessment demonstrates that the impact of the Ski Lodge generator replacement has an insignificant impact on emission changes associated with this application, as the SOFC project will request an increase above the current greenhouse gas (GHG) PSEL by 18,011 tpy.

As such, the above emissions changes associated with the Ski Lodge engine replacement have not been included to determine the appropriate the permitting path for this application. The proposed changes are requested by ADS as a Type 3 change because the SOFC project will request an increase above the current greenhouse gas (GHG) PSEL in an amount less than the 75,000 tpy SER established by Oregon DEQ for GHG⁴ and therefore requires a permit modification application to be submitted to Oregon DEQ.⁵

Detailed emissions calculations are provided in Appendix B.

3.2 Supporting Information

The following SOFC and engine replacement supporting documentation is included in Appendix C:

- € PM, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}
 - Montrose Air Quality Services, LLC; Source Test Report, 2022 Engineering Testing, Bloom Energy, ES-5 "YUMA" Fuel Power Cell and Ambient Air Background, Sunnyvale, California; Document Number: W005AS-12216A-RT-1974; Test Date: January 11, 2022.
- € SO₂
 - Pipeline-quality natural gas fuel sulfur content
- € NO_x, CO, VOCs, CO₂ and Heat Rate
- Bloom Energy, Inc.; *The Bloom Energy Server 5 Data Sheet*, bloomenergy.com; 2022.
- € HAP
 - Montrose Air Quality Services, LLC; *2021 Emissions Tests, Bloom Energy, ES5 fired on Natural Gas*, Document Number: W005AS-006509-RT-1458; Test Date: March 25, 2021.
- € Ski lodge generator specifications
 - Cat 3512C Diesel Generator Information Sheet

⁴ Per OAR 340-200-0020(161)(a).

⁵ Per OAR 340-210-0225(4).

4. **REGULATORY APPLICABILITY**

This section describes the applicability of state and federal regulations associated with this project. Only regulations which are new to the permit, adjusted due to the project, or are potentially applicable to the SOFC system are addressed herein. There are no federal New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) or National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) currently applicable to fuel cells.

There are no change requests to the established regulatory applicability for any emergency generator set types, including the new 1,500 kW ski lodge generator.

PDX109 is not in located in the Portland Air Quality Management Area (AQMA), Medford AQMA, Salem-Keizer Area Transportation Study (SKATS), or any of Oregon's maintenance areas for air pollution defined in OAR 340-204-0010. There are no requirements for areas with unique air quality needs associated with this facility.

4.1 OAR 340-215: Oregon Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program

The requirements for the Oregon Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program are codified in OAR Chapter 340, Division 215, which establishes the requirements associated with GHG registration and reporting for operators of certain facilities that emit greenhouse gases, fuel suppliers, and electricity suppliers.

The PDX109 facility is currently required to complete registration and reporting for GHG under the Oregon GHG Reporting Program, if the facility emits more than 2,500 metric tons (MT) of GHG in a year. Historically, the facility has not exceeded this emission rate, and therefore is not a registered facility. AWS is expecting actual emissions of GHG from the SOFC system will be greater than 2,500 MT carbon dioxide equivalent per year (CO_2e/yr), thereby triggering registration, reporting, and fees under this program.^{6,7}

Emissions associated with air contaminant sources must be calculated in accordance with quantification methodologies described in 40 CFR 98.⁸ While the facility is exempt from reporting for fuel cells as described in Section 4.12, methodologies in Subpart P for hydrogen production describe the calculation methodology for process units that produce hydrogen by reforming, gasification, oxidation, reaction, or other transformation of feedstocks. Hydrogen is produced by the fuel cells that is subsequently used to create power. The annual process CO_2 emissions from the fuel cells are calculated based on the following equation for gaseous fuel and feedstock [40 CFR 98.163(b)(1)]:

Annual CO₂ process emissions (
$$\frac{\text{metric tons}}{\text{yr}}$$
) = $(\sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{44}{2} \times \text{Fdstk}_n \times \text{CC}_n \times \frac{\text{MW}}{\text{MVC}}) \times 0.001$

Where:

 $Fdtsk_n = natural gas consumption (scf) in month n at standard conditions$

 $CC_{n} = \text{average carbon content of natural gas in month n} \left(\frac{\text{kg c}}{\text{kg natural gas}}\right)$ $MW = \text{average molecular weight of natural gas for month n} \left(\frac{\text{kg c}}{\text{kg - mol}}\right)$

⁸ Per OAR 340-215-0105(1).

⁶ Per OAR 340-215-0030(2)(b)

⁷ Per OAR 215-0060(2) and OAR 340-216-8020 part 2. GHG fees are part of the annual compliance fees in Condition 12.3 of the current permit. GHG fees are 7.31% of Annual fees for standard ACDP of \$15,759, for an added fee of \$1,151.98.

MVC = molar volume conversion factor ($\frac{849.5 \text{ scf}}{\text{kg} - \text{mol}}$)

By following the federal rule processes, the resultant potential annual emissions were calculated to be 88,483 tpy using the manufacturer specified heat rate and aggregate fuel cell capacity, and average pipeline natural gas carbon content.⁹

The recordkeeping requirements pertaining to these calculations include:

- € Annual and monthly fuel/feedstock consumption as determined by fuel billing meters, indicating whether consumption is tracked based on volume or mass;^{10,11}
- € Determination of the carbon content and molecular weight of natural gas annually using applicable method such as ASTM D1945-03 described in 98.164(b)(5);¹² and
- € When estimating missing data, use average of the quality-assured values of carbon content or molecular weight and maintain records of all such estimates.

Records will be retained for at least seven years.¹³

OAR 340-215-0042(3) requires records sufficient to document and allow for verification.¹⁴

4.2 OAR 340-216: Air Contaminant Discharge Permitting

The PDX109 data center operates under a Standard ACDP from Oregon DEQ and is proposing to increase the PSEL for GHG emissions. The current facility PSEL for GHG emissions is the generic PSEL of 74,000 tpy. The site wide potential non-emergency emissions for GHG are 3,351 tpy and the GHG associated with this project is 88,660 tpy. The requested increase is above the PSEL in an amount less than the 75,000 tpy SER established by Oregon DEQ for GHGs.¹⁵

The summary of the current facility emissions and PSEL increases from SOFC operation at the PDX109 data center are shown in Table 4-1. The current facility generator emergency and non-emergency PTE emissions include the Ski Lodge engine generator replacement as described in the modification application for this project currently under review by Oregon DEQ. With this submittal, ADS requests to replace the application under review and combine the projects. This submittal incorporates all information and documentation associated with the Notice of Construction package submitted February 2022, including an updated Cleaner Air Oregon (CAO) emission inventory, modeling protocol, and risk assessment work plan to address changes required under OAR 340-245.

¹³ OAR 340-215-0042(1)

⁹ Carbon content of pipeline natural gas determined by EPA Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2019, ANNEX 2 Methodology and Data for Estimating CO2 Emissions from Fossil Fuel Combustion (April 2021). Units of measure are given as million metric tons of carbon per quadrillion British thermal units and converted to metric tons of carbon per British thermal units for use in equation.

¹⁰ ADS and the fuel supplier do not have common ownership and are not owned by subsidiaries or affliantes of the same company. [40 CFR 98.34(b)(1)(iii)]

¹¹ Per OAR 340-215-0042(3) and 40 CFR 98 98.167(e)(2)

¹² Per OAR 340-215-0042(3) and 40 CFR 98.167(e)(4) & (5)

¹⁴ Per OAR 340-215-0042(9), "regulated entities subject to 40 C.F.R. part 98 federal requirements must retain the written GHG monitoring plan as required by 98.3(g)(5)." Since the facility is not subject to requirements under this regulation as fully described in Section 4.11, a GHG monitoring plan is not required of the site. ¹⁵ Per OAR 340-200-0020(161)(a).

Project emissions increases for the engine replacement are based on potential emissions from the proposed engine minus the potential emissions from the currently permitted C18 750 kW Ski Lodge emergency generator. Project emissions for the engine replacement do not exceed the de minims thresholds defined in OAR 340-200-0020(39). Therefore, the change has an insignificant impact on emission changes associated with this application, as the SOFC project will request an increase above the current GHG PSEL by 18,011 tpy. As such, the emissions changes associated with the Ski Lodge engine replacement have not been included to determine the appropriate the permitting path for this application.

	Potential Emissions			Plant Site Emission Limits (PSEL)		
Pollutant	Generator Facility Wide Non- Emergency	Generator Facility Wide Non- Emergency and Emergency	SOFC Project Emissions	Current PSEL	PSEL Increase	Proposed PSEL
	(tpy)	(tpy)	(tpy)	(tpy)	(tpy)	(tpy)
PM	1.11	2.83	2.34	24	-	24
PM10	1.11	2.83	2.34	14	-	14
PM _{2.5}	1.11	2.83	1.60	9	-	9
NOx	38.81	98.81	0.18	39	-	39
CO	13.16	33.50	1.28	99	-	99
VOC	3.69	9.40	1.06	39	-	39
GHG (CO ₂ e)	3,351	8,527	88,660	74,000	18,011	92,011

Table 4-1. PDX109 Potential Emissions Summary and PSEL Comparison¹⁶

The proposed changes qualify as a Type 3 modification and require a permit modification application be submitted to Oregon DEQ.¹⁷ This application includes the appropriate modification forms and supporting documentation in Appendix A. The required ACDP modification of increasing the GHG PSEL is expected to be classified as a moderate technical modification of the ACDP since the fuel cells are expected to have a simple compliance method.¹⁸ A corresponding fee of \$9,000 has been submitted to Oregon DEQ with the February submittal.¹⁹

In accordance with OAR 340-216-0040(3), this application details the requested changes to the permit in Section 5 and new applicable requirements in Section 4.

The following Oregon DEQ forms are included in Appendix A:

¹⁶ Emission calculations simotaneously incorperate fuel cell emissions alongside generator replacement, with the limit being calculated using the updated method based on fuel usage.

¹⁷ Per OAR 340-210-0225(3).

¹⁸ This classification was confirmed during an initial meeting with Oregon DEQ on May 18, 2022.

¹⁹ Per OAR 340-216-0030(3).

- € Administrative Information (AQ101);
- € Facility Description(AQ102);
- € Internal Combustion Engines and Turbines (AQ210);
- € Miscellaneous Processes and Devices (AQ230);
- € Plant Site Emissions Detail (AQ402);
- € Hazardous Air Pollutant Emission Details (AQ403);
- ${\ensuremath{\varepsilon}}$ Cleaner Air Oregon Permit Application (AQ501); and
- € Land Use Compatibility Statement (LUCS).

Form AQ520 Cleaner Air Oregon Emissions Inventory has been previously submitted electronically.

4.3 OAR 340-218: Title V Operating Program

The requirements for the 40 CFR Part 70 (Title V) Operating Permit program are codified in Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 340 division 218. Per OAR 340-218-0020, division 218 applies to any of the following:

- € Any major source;
- € Any source, including an area source, subject to a standard, limitation, or other requirement under section 111 of the FCAA;
- € Any source, including an area source, subject to a standard or other requirement under section 112 of the FCAA, except that a source is not required to obtain a permit solely because it is subject to regulations or requirements under section 112(r) of the FCAA;
- € Any affected source under Title IV; and,
- ${f \epsilon}$ Any source in a source category designated by the EQC under this rule.

The definition of a "major source" as it pertains to OAR 340 division 218 under OAR 340-200-0020(91)(b)(B) is:

"A major stationary source of regulated pollutants, as defined in section 302 of the CAA, that directly emits or has the potential to emit 100 tons per year or more of any regulated pollutant, except greenhouse gases, including any major source of fugitive emissions of any such regulated pollutant."

PDX109 does not emit any air pollutant regulated under Title V above 100 tpy defined in OAR 340-200-0020, except for GHG emissions of CO_2e .

The PDX109 data center is a synthetic minor source, or a source which would otherwise be a major source but has established limits in an ACDP to ensure emissions remain below the emission level that cause it to be a major source. ADS fuel cell operation will not cause an increase the currently permitted PTE above the major source emission rate thresholds.

Table 4-2 shows the currently permitted PDX109 facility-wide PTE, as well as the post project PTE for the site.

Pollutant	Current Generator Non- Emergency and Emergency PTE	SOFC Project Emissions	Post Project Facility Wide Non-Emergency and Emergency PTE
	(tpy)	(tpy)	(tpy)
PM	2.83	2.34	5.17
PM ₁₀	2.83	2.34	5.17
PM _{2.5}	2.83	1.60	4.42
SO ₂	98.81	0.18	98.99
NOx	33.50	1.28	34.78
CO	9.40	1.06	10.46
VOC	0.080	6.33E-04	0.081
GHG (CO ₂ e)	8,527	88,660	97,186
Total HAP	1.07	0.039	1.10

Table 4-2. PDX109 Synthetic Minor Source Determination

Pursuant to 340-218-0020(8), categorically and aggregate insignificant activities as defined in OAR 340-200-0020(23) have been considered in the determination facility designation as a synthetic minor source. Categorically insignificant activities at the PDX109 data center include but are not limited to the following:

- € Belly tanks storing diesel at ambient pressure and temperature
- € Evaporative and tailpipe emissions from on-site motor vehicle operation,
- € Office, food service, and personal care activities,
- € Janitorial and groundskeeping activities;
- € Air cooling or ventilating equipment not designed to remove air contaminants generated by or released from associated equipment;
- € Accidental fires and fire suppression; and
- € Electrical charging stations.

The total emissions from insignificant activities are not expected to cause the facility to exceed any associated major source emission thresholds.

Furthermore, the PDX109 facility is not subject to a standard, limitation, or other requirement under section 111 or 112 of the Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA). The facility is not an affected source under Title IV and is not a source category identified in OAR 340-218-0020.

None of the source types listed above and defined in OAR 340-218-0020(1) describe the PDX109 facility. As such, division 218 and the Oregon Title V Operating Permit program is not applicable to the PDX109 facility.

4.4 OAR 340-222: Stationary Source Plant Site Emission Limits

The summary of project emissions at the PDX109 data center is shown in Table 4-3, including a comparison of the current and requested facility Plant Site Emission Limits (PSEL).

The current PSEL and requested PSEL are the same for all pollutants except GHG. Excluding GHG, the proposed PSELs for all pollutants are equal to the Generic PSEL in accordance with OAR 340-222-0040(2). The facility requests to increase GHG PSEL by 18,011 tpy for the facility wide potential to emit for all non-

emergency use. Project emissions increases for the engine replacement are included in the below Facility Wide Generator Non-Emergency and Generator Non-Emergency and Emergency PTEs and are included in the requested PSELs.

OAR 340-222-0020(3)(a) states that PSELs are not required for regulated pollutants that will be emitted at less than the de minimis emission level listed in OAR 340-200-0020. Total SO₂ from the facility will be less than the de minimis emission level established and is therefore excluded.

Demonstration of compliance with the PSELs in this application for the SOFC system will be completed by monitoring the total power output of all fuel cells.

	Current	Current Facility Wide PTE (tpy)		SOFC	Project Emissions	Requested
Pollutant	PSEL (tpy)	Generator Non- Emergency	Generator Non- Emergency and Emergency	Project PTE (tpy)	Increase above PSEL (tpv)	PSEL (tpy)
PM	24	1.11	2.83	2.34	-	24
PM ₁₀	14	1.11	2.83	2.34	-	14
PM _{2.5}	9	1.11	2.83	1.60	-	9
NOx	39	38.81	98.81	0.18	-	39
CO	99	13.16	33.50	1.28	-	99
VOC	39	3.69	9.40	1.06	-	39
GHG	74,000	3,351	8,527	88,660	18,011	92,011

 Table 4-3. PDX109 Facility Total Emissions Summary and PSEL Comparison

4.5 OAR 340-224: Major New Source Review

Per OAR 340-224-0010(1) the Major New Source Review (NSR) requirements of division 224 are applicable to the owner or operator of a source undertaking one of the following actions in an attainment area:

- € Construction of a new federal major source;
- € Major modification at an existing federal major source; or,
- € Major modification at an existing source that will become a federal major source because emissions of a regulated pollutant are increased to the federal major source level or more.

The PDX109 facility currently is not and has never been subject to the Major NSR program as emissions of regulated pollutants are below the applicable thresholds of 250 tpy of all attainment area pollutants. As such, the facility is not subject to the requirements of the Major NSR program.

4.6 OAR 340-224: State New Source Review

Pursuant to OAR 340-224-0010(2), the State NSR requirements of division 224 apply to an owner or operator of a source undertaking one of the following actions in an attainment area that is not subject to the requirements of Major NSR:

- € Construction of a new source that will have emissions of a regulated pollutant equal to or greater than the Significant Emission Rate (SER), as defined in OAR 340-200-0020, as displayed in Table 5-1; or
- € Increasing emissions of a regulated pollutant to an amount that is equal to or greater than the SER over the netting basis.

Excluding GHG emissions of total Carbon Dioxide equivalent (CO_2e), the facility does not emit any pollutant regulated under the State NSR rules above the SER limits, as defined in OAR 340-200-0020. The facility requests to increase CO_2e emissions by 88,660 tpy, which is over the greenhouse gas (GHG) SER limit; however, per OAR 340-224-0010(2)(c), "GHGs are not subject to State NSR." Therefore, PDX109 will not be subject to the requirements of the State NSR program with this action.

4.7 OAR 340-226-0130: General Emission Standards TACT

The requirements for Oregon's General Emission Standards, Typically Achievable Control Technology (TACT), are codified in OAR 340-226-0130. These regulations define the standards for the establishment of emission limits for existing sources based on the typical emission level achieved by emissions units similar in type and size. Under this rule, a new or modified emissions unit must meet TACT for new or modified sources if the emissions unit would have emissions of any criteria pollutant equal to or greater than 1 ton per year.²⁰ The emissions provided with this application indicate that the PDX109 SOFC system will have a potential emission rate greater than 1 ton per year for PM, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, CO, and VOC.

Many states have explicit fuel cell exemptions from air permitting and therefore do not have established emission limits for comparison.²¹ The SOFC manufacturer authorized a facility located in Delaware under an air permit with Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC). The facility, the Red Lion 24.9 MW Fuel Cell Electric Generation Plant, is authorized under DNREC Permit: APC-2019/0031-OPERATION, which establishes that there are no emission control systems associated with the installation.

The established emission limits for the Delaware 24.9 MW SOFC system are shown in Table 4-4 for CO and VOC to demonstrate that the emissions requested for PDX109 SOFC operation are typical of the emission level achieved by similar SOFC systems. The permit does not include particulate matter emissions limits; however, it does limit the emission of visible air contaminants to twenty percent opacity, consistent with requirements in the state of Oregon.²² This opacity limitation is achievable with no emissions control systems installed.

Pollutant	Oregon PDX109 24.3 MW SOFC Requested Emissions	Delaware Red Lion 24.9 MW SOFC Permitted Emissions
	(tpy)	(tpy)
PM	2.34	-
PM10	2.34	-
PM _{2.5}	1.60	-
СО	1.28	3.71
VOC	1.06	1.73

Table 4-4. SOFC TACT - PDX109 ²³

The SOFC system will be operated in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations and guidance. Furthermore, the fuel cell technology is recertified by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) every five

²⁰ OAR 340-226-0130(2)(c)(A)

²¹ New York <u>6 CRR-NY 201-3.2</u>, SCAQMD <u>Rule 219(b)(5)</u>, and Massachusetts <u>301 CMR 7.03(18)</u>.

²² OAR 340-208-0110(2)(a) and (4)

²³ DNREC Permit: APC-2019/0031-OPERATION; Diamond State Electric Generation Partners, LLC (Bloom Energy); Red Lion 24.9 MW Fuel Cell Electric Generation Plant; December 20, 2019

years, based on source test results for all criteria pollutants, including PM, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, CO, and VOC. The current CARB Certification is included in Appendix D.

A review of the Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) / Best Available Control Technology (BACT) / Lowest Achievable Emission Reduction (LAER) Clearinghouse (RBLC) data was completed to determine if there are any relevant and current retrofit controls available. The RBLC was queried for the previous ten years, and no existing control technologies associated with fuel cells or SOFC systems was identified. Additionally, it is not anticipated that the installation of additional physical control would be technically practical because the SOFC exhaust vents do not allow for easy containment or addition of control equipment. Emissions may be reduced by use of pure hydrogen instead of natural gas as a fuel source. However, there is no infrastructure available to supply hydrogen to the PDX109 site.

Based on this assessment, ADS has determined that proper operation and maintenance represents TACT for SOFC systems, as requested in this application, and that further emission control is not necessary to ensure that the source is in compliance with applicable requirements or protect public health or welfare or the environment.

4.8 OAR 340-245: Cleaner Air Oregon

The PDX109 facility is considered a new source under the Cleaner Air Oregon (CAO) program. This means the facility must adhere to the requirements of a new source including completing facility air dispersion modeling and risk assessments.

All information for the CAO program has previously been submitted to Oregon DEQ in the original application associated with the Ski Lodge generator replacement. The submitted documentation incorporates the following changes to the CAP assessment:

- 1. ADS has updated design information for the facility which impact the physical exhaust stack construction for several units and building information for the ski lodge generator and fire pumps.
- 2. ADS completed source testing in accordance with permit condition 8.1. The results of this source test are being used to update the emission calculations and CAO emission inventory.

In accordance with OAR 340-245-0100(8)(f)(C), this modification requires Category II public notice and a Moderate Technical fee of \$7,200³ as required under OAR 340-245-0100(8)(g)(C). Additionally, Cleaner Air Oregon Specific Activity fees that are required include a 'Level 3 Modeling review only for TEU approval Fee,' of \$3,800 and a 'Source Test Review Fee – moderate,' of \$4,200.⁴ These fees have been paid by Amazon with the initial modification application submitted.

The Oregon DEQ has approved the Level 3 Risk Assessment submitted on February 18, 2022, in accordance with OAR 340-245-0100(8)(a). There are no changes to the approved assessment with this submittal, as the SOFC system has been assessed by Oregon DEQ and determined to be an Exempt TEU under the CAO Program. The Exempt TEU determination is included in Appendix E.

The updated risk assessment demonstrates that the nonresidential worker excess cancer source risk will decrease from 3 to 2, and the residential excess cancer source risk will increase from 0.3 to 0.8, rounded to 1. Finally, the acute noncancer hazard index remains unchanged at 1. The approved assessment results for this modification do not require additional actions under the CAO program beyond the existing source risk limits.

4.9 OAR 340-271: Oregon Climate Protection Program

The requirements for the Oregon Climate Protection Program are codified in OAR 350-271 and are intended to establish the rules and requirements for select air contamination sources that emit GHGs or that cause GHGs to be emitted.

Specifically, these regulations apply to fuel supplier and stationary sources that meet the following definitions:

- € OAR 340-271-0020(15) "covered fuel supplier" means an air contamination source that is either: (a) A fuel supplier or in-state producer as described in OAR 340-271-0110(3); or (b) A local distribution company as described in OAR 340-271-0110(4).
- € OAR 340-271-0020(16) "covered stationary source" means an air contamination source described in OAR 340-271-0110(5).
 - 340-270-0110(5)(a) describes a covered stationary source as one with and ACDP or Title V permit and annual or potential covered emissions greater than 25,000 MT CO₂e/calendar year.

Covered emissions under this rule include the following, pursuant to OAR 340-271-0110(5)(b):

- € Covered emissions include emissions of anthropogenic greenhouse gases in metric tons of CO₂e that are from either or both processes or the combustion of solid or gaseous fuels, including emissions from combustion for both energy production and processes.
- € Covered emissions do not include:
 - Emissions that are from the combustion of biomass-derived fuels;
 - Biogenic CO₂ emissions from solid fuels;
 - Emissions that are from the combustion of liquid fuels or propane; or
 - Emissions from natural gas, compressed natural gas, or liquefied natural gas used on-site that was delivered by a local distribution company.

The PDX109 facility will only use the SOFC generated power onsite and does not meet the definition of a covered fuel supplier or stationary source. Furthermore, the site will utilize only natural gas delivered by a local distribution company in the SOFC system; therefore, the requirements of OAR 340-271 do not apply.

4.10 OAR 340-272: Third Party Verification

Facilities that submit a data report under OAR 340-215 with emissions greater than or equal to 25,000 metric tons of CO₂e for the reporting year and/or the prior reporting year are required to seek out a certified third party to submit a certification statement for each emissions data report submitted.²⁴ PDX109 is expected to report more than 25,000 metric tons (27,558 tpy) in subsequent reporting years. Therefore, to comply with the requirements of this rule, ADS will engage a third party verifier to complete the required review prior to August 31 of each applicable reporting year.

4.11 40 CFR 60: Subpart IIII and 40 CFR 63: Subpart ZZZZ

The new Ski Lodge emergency engine-generator will subject to 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII – Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition (CI) Internal Combustion Engines (ICE). The engine will be certified to EPA Tier 2 emission standards. ADS will continue to comply with detailed requirements as listed in permit condition 3.1.

²⁴ OAR 340-272-0120(1)

The new emergency engine will comply with 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart ZZZZ – National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines by meeting requirements in 40 CRT Part 60 Subpart IIII.

4.12 40 CFR 98: Federal Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program

The federal Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (GHGRP) requires reporting of greenhouse gas (GHG) data and other relevant information from certain large GHG emission sources, fuel and industrial gas suppliers, and CO₂ injection sites in the United States. Regulations for the GHGRP are included in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 98. Subparts which potentially apply to the fuel cells are as follows.

- € Subpart C Stationary Fuel Combustion Sources
- € Subpart D Electricity Generation
- € Subpart P Hydrogen Production
- € Subpart DD Electrical Transmission and Distribution Equipment

A discussion of each Subpart is included in this section.

4.12.1 Subpart C – Stationary Fuel Combustion Sources

40 CFR §98.30 defines stationary fuel combustion sources as devices that combust solid, liquid, or gaseous fuel, for the purposes of producing electricity, generating steam, or providing useful heat or energy for industrial, commercial, or institutional use, or reducing the volume of waste by removing combustible matter. Sources regulated under this Subpart include, but are not limited to, boilers, simple and combined-cycle combustion turbines, engines, incinerators, and process heaters.

The SOFC units utilize a reactant in the form of hydrogen (H₂), derived primarily from natural gas, and an oxidant in the form of oxygen (O₂), derived from the ambient air. In the system, ambient air passes over the cathode where it is catalyzed into oxygen ions (O^{-2e}), which then interact with hydrogen passing over the fuel cell anode. The resulting chemical reaction between these molecules leaves 2 free electrons that create a charge that is converted into electricity.

Power generation in SOFC units do not utilize any form of combustion or fuel or thermal processes; therefore, the requirements of 40 CFR 98, Subpart C do not apply to these units.

4.12.2 Subpart D – Electricity Generation

The electricity generation source category of the GHGRP includes electricity generating units that are subject to the requirements of the Acid Rain Program and any other electricity generating units that are required to monitor and report to EPA CO₂ emissions year-round according to 40 CFR part 75.

The PDX109 facility is not subject to the requirements of the Acid Rain Program pursuant to 40 CFR 72.6(b)(8), because the facility is non-utility unit as defined this section. A utility is defined as any person that sells electricity.²⁵ Electricity generated in the PDX109 SOFC system will be used exclusively onsite and will not be sold or transmitted to any other location.

Electricity generating units are defined in 40 CFR part 75 as any combination of physically connected generator(s), reactor(s), boiler(s), combustion turbine(s), or other prime mover(s) operated together to produce electric power. The SOFC units are not considered prime movers because they do not create

^{25 40} CFR 72.2 "Utility"

mechanical force in operation. There is no mechanical process associated with the fuel cells; as such, the requirements of this Subpart do not apply.

4.12.3 Subpart P – Hydrogen Production

The hydrogen production source category consists of facilities that produce hydrogen gas sold as a product to other entities, comprising of process units that produce hydrogen by reforming, gasification, oxidation, reaction, or other transformations of feedstocks.

Hydrogen generated in the PDX109 SOFC system will be used exclusively in the SOFC system and will not be sold or transmitted to any other device or location. The requirements of Subpart P do not apply to this facility.

4.12.4 Subpart DD - Electrical Transmission and Distribution Equipment

Pursuant to 40 CFR §98.300, electrical transmission and distribution equipment under this Subpart includes equipment insulated with or containing SF₆ or PFCs that is linked through electric power transmission or distribution lines and functions as an integrated unit, that is owned, serviced, or maintained by a single electric power transmission or distribution entity (or multiple entities with a common owner), and that is located between: (1) the point(s) at which electric energy is obtained from an electricity generating unit or a different electric power transmission or distribution entity that does not have a common owner, and (2) the point(s) at which any customer or another electric power transmission or distribution entity that does not have a common owner receives the electric energy.

Electricity generated in the PDX109 SOFC system will be used exclusively onsite and will not be sold or transmitted to any other location. The requirements of Subpart DD do not apply to this facility.

5. PROPOSED PERMIT CHANGES

The requested changes associated with the Ski Lodge emergency generator replacement, NAAQS modeling, and SOFC installation are detailed in the red-lined ACDP No. 25-0062-ST-01 included in Appendix F of this submittal. The Emission Point IDs have also updated for all units to agree with ADS labeling system. The redline incorporates the following changes.

- 1. Update the Device ID and add SOFC to Section 1.0.
- 2. Update Fuel Usage in Conditions 2.8 and 6.1 to ensure the potential emissions for the facility remain at 39.0 tpy NO_X for non-emergency usage and 99.0 tpy NO_X for total usage at the facility.
- 3. Update Section 4.0 to include Highest and Best Practicable Treatment and Control for SOFC.
 - a. SOFC system will be operated in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations and guidance. Furthermore, the fuel cell technology is recertified by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) every five years, based on source test results for all criteria pollutants, including PM, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, CO, and VOC. Suggested O&M compliance would include conducting regular maintenance and maintaining documentation of activities completed.
- 4. Update Section 5.1 to revise the GHG PSEL to include SOFC operation and the change associated with the new emergency generator engine:

Pollutant	Limit	Units
PM	24	tons per year
PM10	14	tons per year
PM _{2.5}	9	tons per year
NOx	39	tons per year
CO	99	tons per year
VOC	39	tons per year
GHGs (CO ₂ e)	74,000	tons per year
	92,011	

Table 5-1. Condition 4.1 Updated PSEL Table

- 5. Update Section 7.0 to include Monitoring Requirements and PSEL Compliance Monitoring for SOFC.
 - a. ADS suggests monitoring the aggregate power output and natural gas usage for the SOFC.
 - b. PSEL Compliance would follow the current method identified in Condition 7.2 equation with the Process/Production Table detailed below.
 - c. GHG emissions would be calculated consistent with 40 CFR 98 Subpart P.
 - d. Add requirement for testing and maintenance (T&M) related operating procedures that aligns with the NAAQS Impact Analysis.
- 6. Update Conditions 10.1 (Recordkeeping of Operation and Maintenance) and 11.3 (Annual Report) to include any SOFC requirements and T&M operating procedures necessary.
- 7. Section 16.0 add the 24.3 MW of total SOFC capacity, including description of the devices and processes as shown in Table 5-2 below, and update the information associated with the Ski Lodge Generator.

Emission Device	Pollutant	Emission Factor	EF Units	EF Reference
	PM	0.022	(lbs/MW-hr)	Manufacturer's Specifications and Manufacturer's Source Test
	PM10	0.022		
24 3 MW/ Solid Ovide	PM _{2.5}	0.015		
Fuel Cell (SOFC) energy	NOx	0.0017		
generation (SOFC-01)	CO	0.012		
	VOC	0.010		Data
	CO ₂	833		

Table 5-2. Proposed Updates to Section 16.0 for SOFC

- 8. Update the Section 17.0 toxic emission limits for the main generators to be consistent with source test results, as shown in the red-lined draft permit provided in Appendix F.
 - a. Pollutants included in the table are detected polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and diesel particulate matter emission factors that are listed in OAR 340-245-8040 Table 4.²⁶
 - b. The PM and VOC emission factors for the Type E CAT C15 450 kW (IW Gen) have decreased slightly with this application as a correction to minor historical errors identified. The updates will lower emissions and therefore do not impact results of submitted modeling.
- 9. Add Process/Production Records Table:

Emission Device	Process or Production Parameter	Units of Measure	Frequency	Regulatory Purpose
Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC)	Power Output	MW	Monthly	PSEL Compliance
Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC)	Natural Gas Throughput	scf	Monthly	PSEL Compliance GHG Reporting
Emergency Generators and Fuel Pumps	Diesel Fuel Use during Non-emergency Use	Gallons	Monthly*	PSEL Compliance SRL Compliance
Emergency Generators and Fuel Pumps	Diesel Fuel Use during Emergency Use	Gallons	Monthly	Synthetic Minor Status
Emergency Generators and Fuel Pumps	Hours of Operation for Non-Emergency Use	Hours	Monthly	NSPS IIII Compliance
Emergency Generators and Fuel Pumps	Hours of Operation for Emergency Use	Hours	Monthly	NSPS IIII Compliance

Table 5-3. Process/Production Records

* Months with fuel throughput exceeding SRL acute limitation will be required to refer to records and calculate 24-hour rolling fuel use and keep records of the maximum 24-hour fuel use and any 24-hour fuel use exceeding the daily SRL.

²⁶ Other units do not include PAH limitations since default values provided by Oregon DEQ are used for the risk assessment.

APPENDIX A. APPLICATION FORMS

This section includes the following Oregon DEQ application forms:

- € Administrative Information (AQ101);
- € Facility Description(AQ102);
- € Internal Combustion Engines and Turbines (AQ210);
- € Miscellaneous Processes and Devices (AQ230);
- € Plant Site Emissions Detail (AQ402);
- € Hazardous Air Pollutant Emission Details (AQ403);
- € Cleaner Air Oregon Permit Application (AQ501); and
- € Land Use Compatibility Statement (LUCS).

State of Oregon DEQ Department of Environmental Quality

DEQ USE ONLY			
Permit Number: Type of Application:			
Application No:	RNWMOD NEW		
Date Received :			
Regional Office: ER - AQ Permit Coordinator	Check No. Amount \$		

1. Company		2. Facility Location			
Legal Name:		Name:			
Amazon Data Services, Inc.		PDX109			
Mailing Address:		Street Address:			
PO Box 80711		75242 Gar Swanson Rd.			
City:	State:	Zip Code:	City:	County:	Zip Code:
Seattle	WA	98108	Boardman	Morrow	97818
Number of employees (Corporate): N/A		Number of employees (Facility): 120		120	
3. Industrial Classification Code(s)		4. Other DEQ Permits			
Primary SIC and NAICS: 7374 (SIC)		25-0062-ST-01			
Secondary SIC		5. LUCS: New facility Modified facility			
and NAICS: N/A		Tax Lot #: 04N26E06 - 105			

6. Permit Action:

- Short Term Activity ACDP
- New Simple ACDP with short-term NAAQS analysis
- New Construction ACDP with short-term NAAQS analysis
- New Standard ACDP with short-term NAAQS analysis
- New or modified Standard ACDP (PSD/NSR) with short-term NAAQS analysis
- Renewal of an existing permit without changes (include form AQ403 for Standard ACDPs)
- Renewal of an existing permit with changes (include any other necessary forms and form AQ403 for Standard ACDPs)
- ✓ Modification of existing permit

7. Signature

I hereby apply for permission to discharge air contaminants in the State of Oregon, as stated or described in this application, and certify that the information contained in this application and the schedules and exhibits appended hereto, are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Steven Meyers

Name of official (Printed or Typed)

DocuSigned by:

Steven Meyers

Signature of official

Authorized	Representative
/ unionzou	Representative

Title of official and phone number

August 2, 2022

Date

Fee Information

(Make check payable to DEQ)

Note: The initial application fees and annual fees specified below (OAR 340-216-8020, Table 2, Parts 1, 2 and 3) are only required for initial permit applications. These fees are not required for an application to renew or modify an existing permit. The appropriate specific activity fee(s) specified below (OAR 340-216-8020, Table 2, and Part 4) applies to permit modifications or may be in addition to initial permit application fees.

OAR 340-216-8020, Table 2, Part 1 – Initial Permitting Application Fees:

Short Term Activity ACDP		\$4,500.00
Simple ACDP		\$9,000.00
Construction ACDP		\$14,400.00
Standard ACDP		\$18,000.00
Standard ACDP (Major NSR or Type A State NSR)		\$63,000.00
OAR 340-216-8020, Table 2, Part 2 – Annual Fees:		
Simple ACDP – Low fee class		\$3,917.00
Simple ACDP – High fee class		\$7,834.00
Standard ACDP		\$15,759.00
OAR 340-216-8020, Table 2, Part 3 – Cleaner Air Oregon And	nual Fees:	
Simple ACDP - Low fee class		\$806.00
Simple ACDP - High fee class		\$1,612.00
Standard ACDP		\$3,225.00
OAR 340-216-8020, Table 2, Part 4 – Specific Activity Fees:		
Non-Technical Permit Modification		\$432.00
Basic Technical Permit Modification		\$540.00
Simple Technical Permit Modification		\$1,800.00
Moderate Technical Permit Modification	✓	\$9,000.00
Complex Technical Permit Modification		\$18,000.00
Major NSR or type A State NSR Permit Modification		\$63,000.00
Modeling review (outside Major NSR or type A State NSR)		\$9,000.00
Public Hearing at Source's Request		\$3,600.00
State MACT determination		\$9,000.00
Compliance Order Monitoring		\$180.00/month
Total Fees:		\$ 9,000.00

1. Company Information:

Legal Name:

Amazon Data Services, Inc.

2. Site Contact Person:

(A person who deals with DEQ staff about equipment problems.)

Name: Bernardo Garcia	Telephone number: 304-617-2191	Fax:
Title:	Email address:	
Regional Environmental Engineer	xbegarci@amazon.com	
Mailing address:	City, State, Zip Code	
PO Box 80711	Seattle, WA 98108	

3. Facility Contact Person:

(If other than the site contact person, a person involved with all environmental issues at the facility although they may be housed at a different site.)

Name: Bernardo Garcia	Telephone number: 304-617-2191	Fax:	
Title:	Email address:		
Regional Environmental Engineer	xbegarci@amazon.com		
Mailing address:	City, State, Zip Code		
PO Box 80711	Seattle, WA 98108		

4. Mailing Contact Person:

(If other than the site contact person, a person to whom the company would like all agency communications directed.)

Name: Environmental	Telephone number:	Fax:	
Title:	Email address:		
Mailing address:	City, State, Zip Code		
PO Box 80711	Seattle, WA 98108		

5. Invoice Contact Person:

(If other than the site contact person, a contact to which invoices and communications related to resolving invoice questions can be directed.)

Name: Jason Bowker	Telephone number: 541-303-2380	Fax:	
Title:	Email address:		
Sr. Air Permitting Engineer	jbowker@amazon.com		
Mailing address:	City, State, Zip Code		
PO Box 80711	Seattle, WA 98108		



Submit TWO copies of the completed application to the appropriate address below.

New or Modified Permits (include fees)

Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Financial Services – Revenue Section 700 NE Multnomah St., Suite 600 Portland, OR 97232-4100

Permit Renewals (no fees)

Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Eastern Region, Air Quality, 475 NE Bellevue Dr., Suite 110 Bend, OR 97701-7415



Facility Description

Instructions

State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

1.

Provide a text description of the facility processes. In describing the facility and in preparing the permit application, the applicant should always remember that the permit should be written to cover the facility as it will operate for the future permit term. A permit term is five or ten years depending on the type of permit issued. Providing information on future operations now may prevent the need for the additional cost of permit modifications in the future. The applicant should provide the information requested below.

- A description of the current processes that emit air pollutants;
- The fuels used and products produced in these processes;
- If this application is for a permit modification, a discussion of the proposed modification;
- If this application is for a renewed ACDP, a description of any anticipated modifications to the facility's existing processes during the pending permit term that the ACDP will need to address; and
- If this application is for an initial or renewed ACDP, a description of any anticipated construction at the facility during the pending permit term that the ACDP will need to address.
- 2. Attach a plot plan showing the location of all stacks and vents though which regulated pollutants are released to the atmosphere.
- 3. Attach a process flow diagram which shows the air pollutant emitting processes at the facility. The applicant should ask the DEQ permit writer about the level of detail that is required. The diagram should illustrate the following:
 - All regulated air pollutant-emitting devices and processes at the facility, labeled with the same identification numbers that the applicant assigned them in Form Series AQ200.
 - Flow routes of contaminated air from processes to emission control equipment and emission points.
 - All air pollution control devices at the facility, labeled with the same identification numbers that the applicant assigned them in Form Series AQ300.
 - The location of all stacks and vents through which regulated pollutants are released to the atmosphere.
 - Any materials handling activities that emit regulated pollutants (e.g., loading crushed rock, storage piles, etc.) not addressed in a Device/Process Form (series AQ200).
 - Any fuel storage and piping systems on the facility property.
- 4. Attach a city map or drawing showing the facility location, property lines and its relation to nearby (i.e., within 1 mile) sensitive receptors such as residential areas, hospitals, schools, etc. If the facility is located in a rural area, the applicant should note distances on approaching roads and also mark the location of landmarks.


Facility Description	
	Form AQ102
Facility Name: PDX109	Aňswer Sheet
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Permit Number: 25-0062-S1-01

Environmental Quality

1.

Description of facility and processes:

The facility houses computer systems and associated components, such as telecommunications and data storage systems. Equipment at the facility includes security systems, data communications equipment, environmental controls, and backup emergency power supplies (generators). The principal use of the facility is the storage, management, and dissemination of electronic data. A total of 114 emergency generators are currently approved for operation at the facility.

Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFC) generate power by harnessing an electrochemical reaction between hydrogen from natural gas fuel and oxygen in the ambient air. The generated electricity at PDX-109 will be used as a primary power source to reduce the burden of site operation on publicly available power and ensure reliability of the system. The SOFC system is designed for a capacity of 24.3 MW. The system is expected to run continuously at this capacity. There is no increase or change in emission rates anticipated due to maintenance of the equipment, as components of the system will be replaced instead of shutdown during these activities.

In addition to SOFC installation, this modification seeks to account for replacement of the currently permitted C18 750 kW Ski Lodge emergency generator (Device ID SKI-01) with one (1) Cat 3512C 1,500 kW emergency generator. The C18 750 kW Ski Lodge emergency generator was never constructed or operated. A modification application for this project is currently under review by Oregon DEQ. With this submittal, ADS requests to replace the application under review and combine these projects.

2. Attach plot plan.

- 3. Attach process flow diagram.
- 4. Attach a city map or drawing showing the facility location.

DocuSign Envelope ID: 8CC7A725-917A-4BF6-A26F-C344F3F7E4F2





GENERAL CONSTRUCTION NOTES	ABBREVIATIONS	SITE PLAN SYMBOLS		LINETYPES	Bloomenergy
 IN THE EVENT OF DISCREPANCES BETWEEN THE DRAININGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR SCOPE OF WORK SUMMARY IN THIS PACKAGE, NOTIFY BLOOD IDENTY IMMEDIATELY, REFERINCE SEPARATE BLOOM ENERGY DOC-1008337 FOR ASSOCIATE DENERGY SERVER INSTALLION SPECIFICATIONS. 	T: DEGREES CELSUS T: DEGREES FAHRENHEIT	DOOR	D-B-O GAS MSA/RSA. (AS NOTED)	NEW EXISTING DEMOLISH UNKKRIMI UTUTY - UTL - UTL	4353 N. FIRST STREET
 THE EXISTING STIE PLAN FEATURES ARE BASED ON DESIGN DRAWINGS, AS-BUILT PLANS, AERAL, PHOTOGRAPHS AND FIELD MEASUREMENTS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. THE LOCATIONS OF ALL FEATURES AND STRUCTURES ON THE PLANS ARE APPROXIMATE. 	A AMPS AC ALTERNATING CURRENT, ASPHALT CONCRETE		OCODO GAS SHUTOFF VALVE	COMMUNICATIONS UTILITY - OVERPEAC	SAN JOSE, CA 95134 PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL
3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ENSURING THAT ALL WORK IS DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OURIENT APPLICABLE NATIONAL, STATE AND LOCAL CODES, ORDINANCES AND REQUIREMENTS AT A UNMAINE FUND. IS NOT SERVICIALLY RETEREMENTION IN TARGET PAININGS OF A CULIED DRIVIN IN SERVICIATIONS. UNDER CODING IN ANY SERVICIALLY RETEREMENTION IN TARGET PAININGS OF A CULIED DRIVIN IN SERVICIATIONS. UNDER CODING IN ANY SERVICIALLY RETEREMENTION IN TARGET PAININGS OF A CULIED DRIVIN IN SERVICIATIONS. UNDER CULIED DRIVINGS OF A CULIED DRIVING OF A CULIED DRIVING IN SERVICIATIONS. DOI: 0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	ALS ESSING FORER SECTION ANJ AUTHORITES HAVING JURSDICTION AL AUTHORITES HAVING JURSDICTION	BULDING HATCH	SQUARE DRAIN (DIVER		BLODM ENERGY CORPORATION ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. THIS DOCUMENT IS
STRINGENT RECAREMENTS MAY BE SPECIFIED. IN STITUATIONS WHERE THERE IS A CONFLICT BETWEEN THE INNMAN RECARATORY RECOVERENTS AND INFORMATION PROVIDED IN THESE DRAWINGS OR SPECIFICATIONS CONSULT BLOOD INFORM FOR RESCULTION BETORE COMMONING WORK.	ASTM AMERICAN SOCIETY OF THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR TESTING AND MATERIALS	L TREE/SHRUB	ROUND DRAIN COVER	WATER UTUTY - UNDERGADUNG	DE USED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF BLOOM ENERGY, ANY
4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT ALL EXISTING ITEMS AND FACULTES TO REMAIN THROUGHOUT CONSTRUCTION. CONTRACTOR SHALL REPAR ANA/OR REPLACE, AT CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE, ANY DESTING ITEMS AND FACULTES TO REMAIN THAT ARE DAMAGED BY THE CONTRACTOR'S PREMILTION. TO THE SATISFACTION OF	ATM ATMOSPHERE. ATS AUTOMATIC TRANSFER SWITCH ANG AMERICAN WRE GAUGE			STOPN WATER UTLITY — SHU — SHU — SHU — X — COMMAINCATIONS FEEDER - ABOVE GROUND C C C X —	WHOLE WITHOUT PERMISSION OF BLOOM ENERGY IS PROHIBITED.
PROPERTY OWER AND BLOOM ENERGY. 8. UNLESS DELIVERY IS SPECIFIED BY BLOOM ENERGY TO THE JOB SITE, CONTRACTOR SHALL DELIVER ALL EVIDANT. DAMAGE TO THE 'TO THE 'UN BY THE STORE STORE TO THE UND SITE.'	BC BASE COURSE BMPS BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	STE LIGHTING/POWER POLE		COMMUNICATIONS FEEDER - 04PMEAX - 00 - 00 - x COMMUNICATIONS FEEDER - UNDERSECURIC - u0 - u0 - x -	
 PROB TO COMBENE ANY DECAVITION OR DEMOLITION, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT LOCAL UTILITIES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ELECTRICAL, GAS, WATER, CABLE, AND TELEPHONE, CONTRACTOR SHALL 	C CONDUIT OP CAST IN PLACE				
COMPARENT TO PERFORM SUCH MANY CULT ITS THE COMMISSION RECEIPTING WITHIN CULTURES AND YEARY THE LOCATION OF UTURES, MARANDA, STE UDITING, AND ELECTRICAL LINES IN THE WORLY OF THE CONSTRUCTION CONTINUENT SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO FOR THE RAME OF ANY AND ALL UTURES DAMAGE BY	CL CONTROL JOINT CL CONTER LINE CLR CLEAR		SLOPE SLOPE DRECTION	CI PRER OPTIC - ABOVE CROUND PO PO NO x FIBER OPTIC - OVERHEAD OPO OPO OPO x	
THE CONTRACTOR'S OPERATION AT NO ADDITIONAL EXPENSE. 9. BLOOD ENERGY WILL PROVIDE THE CONTRACTOR WITH COPIES OF ALL PERMITS AND PROVIDE THE CONTRACTOR ANY OPERATIVES OF ADDITIONAL BUT DREAD BUT THE ADDITIONAL DEPENDENT.	CONC CONDRETE CMU CONDRETE MASCHRY UNIT		DISCONNECT SWITCH	INSUR OFTC UND UND UND X GAS PIPING - ABOVE GROUND	
8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTFYING JURISDICTIONS AS REQUIRED FOR INSPECTIONS. 8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE INCOME DEBIDITY WITH	OPT CONTROL POWER TRANSFORMER CT CURRENT TRANSFORMER	AND DETAIL CALL OUT	OVERHEAD ROLL UP DOOR	O GAS PPING - UKRESPECTING UK	
 A CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE PRIOR TO STARTING THE WORK A CALAFIED JOB SUPERINTERMENT THREUGHOUT THE WORK PHOTOS SYMMING INTERLOSS PRIOR TO BADDELL SCHE OF STEEL OF PRICAST PADS 	DC DIRECT CURRENT	DETAL SECTION	REVISION CONTROL SYMBOL	a PETROLEUM PPING - MONE GROUND	
 FINAL AS BUILT DRAMMOS OF ALL UNDERGROUND CONSTRUCTION. 10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROMDE BARRCADES AND SAFETY SIGNS PER OSHA REQUIREMENTS. 	ECM ELECTRICAL COMBINATION MODULE EDM ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION MODULE			CURBS	
 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OVERALL CONSTRUCTION STEE CLEANLINESS, INCLUDING PROVISIONS OF A DEBRIS BOX WITH WEEKLY SERVICING, REMOVIAL OF ALL CONTRACTOR/SUBCONTRACTOR REFUSE MID DEBRIS, MID SHEEPING OF THE ENTER: YARD AREA AT THE COMPLETION OF THE WORK. 	ELEV ELEVATION ENT ELECTRICAL METAL TURING	ELECTRICAL SINGLE LINE SYMBOLS		EASEMENT BOUNDARY	
 UNLESS STATED OTHERMISE IN THE SCOPE OF WORK SUMMARY, ALL OTHER PROCEDURES, TESTING, MATERIALS AND EXAMPLET SHOWN ON THE PLANS SHALL BE FUNNISHED AND NESTALED BY THE CONTROLOGY. THE CALL AND ADDRESS PROVINGE THE CALL BE TABLES A DOLLER A DOLLER ADDRESS TABLES AND ADDRESS ADDR	ES ENERGY SERVER EN ERE HIDRANT		SM-XX BOLTED PRESSURE	TRENCING BCURDAR1	
PROPOSED CONDITIONS AND SHOULD NOT BE SCALED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR VERIFYING ALL DIMONSIONS ON STE. ALL DRAWINGS MARKED "NTS" HAVE NO RELATIVE SCALE AND ONLY LISTED DIMONSIONS SHOULD BE VISED.	FNPT FEMALE NATIONAL PIPE THREAD FPS ESS FUEL PROCESSING WODULE		С хр Switch	FLODU UNE	
14. EACH CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR REPAIR OF DAMAGE TO THE WORK OF OTHER TRADES CAUSED BY THEIR OPERATIONS. ALL REPAIRS SHALL BE PERFORMED AT THE COST OF THE CONTRACTOR REPAINSIBLE FOR THE DAMAGES, WORK SHALL CALL BE EPERFORMED ATTRE APPROX. OF A REPERSIMENT OF THE TRADE	FPM FUEL CELL POWER MODULE G GROUND	<u>(38xx</u>	LINERGENCI PUREP		
WHOSE WORK WAS DAMAGED. 15. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTEY BLOOM ENERGY IF STE CONDITIONS OR DIMENSIONS DISAGREE WITH INFORMATION SHOWN ON THE ORNWINGS, WORK IS NOT TO PROCEED UNIT. SUCH DIFFERENCES ARE RESOLVED.	GF GROUND FAULT GFEP GROUND FAULT EQUIPMENT PROTECTION) KOX AT MOLDED CASE CIRCUIT KOX IAU(BREAKER			DISIONER SIL
14. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL EXAMINE THE SITE AND FAMILIARZE THENSELVES WITH ALL EXISTING CONDITIONS, AND BE PREPARED TO PERFORM THE WORK WITHIN THE EXISTING CONDITIONS.	GND GROUND HDD HORIZONTAL DRECTIONAL DRILLING	<i>W</i> ²		ATTAC 2000	PDX-AZ109
17. THE CONTRACTOR AND EACH SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL INSPECT WORK PREVIOUSLY PREVAMED OR INSTALLED BY OTHERS BEFORE APPLING SUBSCILLED RATEMAN EN FINISHES. F UNSLASSACTORY, NOTIFY BLOOM ENERGY. DO NOT PROCEED UNTIL THE DEFECTIVE WORK HAS BEEN CORRECTED.	HIPPE HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE HR HOUR H7 HIPPT7	CB-XX)XXX AF DRAMOUT XXX AF DRAMOUT	VISC-JOL XP, XX I/ DISCONNECT SWITCH NEMA JR (STAND-ALCHE NEMA JR (STAND-ALCHE	SERVICE BULDING EXTENDR	75254 GAR SWANSON DRIVE BOARDMAN.
18. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FAILTY IN ATERIALS OR INDRIVANSINF FOR A PERIOD OF ONE YEAR AFTER FINAL PROJECT PAYMENT IS WADE. ANY DEFECT OR DANKER FOUND EVEN AFTER THE FINAL ACCEPTANCE, CERTIFICATION AND PAYMENT FOR THIS PROJECT WILL BE REMEDED AT THE CONTRACTOR'S	D INNER DAMETER IEEE INSTITUTE FOR ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	DODKANC 3P	Diversione)		OR 97818
EVENDE, REPAIRS OF REPORTED IN EDUIDED IN LE DUISERVERT D'IN DUISER DE LA REPORTED FUI DIE THE REPORTED IN DUISER	ION INPUT OUTPUT NODULE ISC SHORT GROUT CURRENT		CONTINUATION	ES5-XXXXX ENERGY SERVER SYSTEM (72X) 90055 CUTPUT POWER 325 WW 10014 SYSTEM WEDHT (JESS SKD) 30,789 LB5	
CARRING, DA SHALL BE SHEAT AND COMPLETER RESPONSIBLE FOR DOMINISTER IN BUILDING BALL PRESIDENT BALL APPLY SAFETY OF ALL PRESIDENT DURING PRESIDENT DURING PRESIDENT BALL APPLY CONTINUOUSLY AND WILL NOT BE LIAMED TO NORMAL WORKING HOURS.	ISS INTEGRATED STEEL SKID K KILD	L) XXXX AAUC, 39 (ATS)	1000	NET OUTPUT POWER 325 kW WEIGHT - POWER MODULE PWS 3,577 LBS VOLTAGE 480 VAC WEIGHT - AC MODULE ACS 3,161 LBS	DIALC
20. THE CONTROLOW SINUL BE RESIDENCE FOR RESIDENCE AND RESIDENCE AND RECEIPTION AS ASSESSED THE PROPERTY OWNER OF CUSTOMER, AND ALL SOMETIAL OF MALADETIALE STATE IS RECURED TO CONFIRM COMPLETION OF WORK. THE CONTRACTOR SINUL SOMETIAL SOM	KA KILOMPERE KAC KILOMPERE INTERRUPTING CAPACITY	SURVE PROTECTIVE		NAXIMUM CUTPUT CURRENT 3PF Amps	avvs
LANDSCAPER. 21. GENERAL HOUSENEEPING OF THE STE, INCLUDING SHEEPING AND CONTROL OF SEDMENT, TRASH, AND DEBRIS SALE OF DEPONDENCE ON Y OR INFORMATIVE LIEPAN THE OPTIGEDUES.	KW KLOWATTS LBS POUNDS			ES5-YASAAC ENERGY SERVER SYSTEM (3X)	
22. DURING CONSTRUCTION ALL EXITS AND DOORNAYS MUST REMAIN UNDESTRUCTED. 23. THE CONSTRUCTION ALL EXITS AND DOORNAYS MUST REMAIN UNDESTRUCTED. 23. THE THESE LOCATION STATES AND AND REPORTS OF ENAMINE AND INTERESTING AND THESE REMAIN ON THESE REMAIN	LSIG LONG, SHORT, INSTANTANEOUS, GROUND FAULT MA MILLIAMPERES	-	(K) KIRK KEY INTERLOCK	QROSS CUTPUT POMER 300 kW 101AL SYSTEM WEDRIT (LESS SKID) 30,789 LBS NET CUTPUT POMER 300 kW WEDRIT – POMER WEDRIT PM5 3,577 LBS	
ARE APPROVATE AND WERE OBTAINED FROM SCRIPCS OF VARYING RELARITY, ONLY ACTUAL DISAVATION WILL REVEAL THE TYPES, DETENTS, SIZED, LOCATIONS AND DEPTHS OF SUCH ORCUMD UTILITIES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTEY BLOOD BERGY IF WORK CANNOT PROCEED AS PROPOSED.	MOPE MEDIAN DENSITY POLYETHYLENE MH MHUTE/MHMUM	XXXXY - XXXY X XXX, 10 X XXX, 10 X XXX, 10	<u>1792-30</u>	VDLTAGE 480 VAC WEDHT - AC MODULE ACS 3.161 LBS MADMAUN OUTPUT CURRENT 360 Amps WEDHT - PUEL PROCESSING MCDULE FP5 2,649 LBS	
SITE SPECIFIC CONSTRUCTION NOTES	MAPT MALE NATIONAL PIPE THREAD MISA METER SET ASSEMBLY	CUMMENT TRANSFORMER (CT) (POMER FLOWING INTO DOT	XXX AF SWITCH		REVISION HISTORY REV REVISION ISSUE DATE DATE DATE DATE
PRE-CONSTRUCTION CONFERENCE TWO WEEKS PROVING TO THE START OF THE WORK, THE SCOPE OF WORK AND THEORE SHALL BE OSCUSSED WITH RESPECT TO ANY CONSUMATION ISSUES WHICH SHALL DISAVET THE FACILITY OPERATIONS. THE SUPERVISEORY SHALL SHALL AND AN AUEXIL STATUSE REPORT	MTS MANUAL TRANSFER SWITCH MW MEGAMATTS	DUEST REPRESENTS POSITIVE POWER POWER FLOWING OUT OF DOT REPRESENTS		FUEL REQUIREMENTS CONSECTION 2" FLANCE PRESSURE 15 (+3/-5) prig CONSECTION 2" FLANCE	
TO THE CUSTOMER, WITH PICTURES, VIA DMALL TO THE CUSTOMER REPRESENTATIVE. THIS INCLUDES ANY FACILITY ECOMPARIT WHICH ARE IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO THE CONSTRUCTION WORK WHICH WILL BE MOVED BY THE FACILITY REPRESENTATIVES.	N NEW NEC NATIONAL ELECTRIC CODE NEPA NATIONAL ENE PROTECTION ADDROX	XXX NUMBER		PULL THPE NATURAL CAS ANDRAL CORDAPTICH RATE (607), 1 etm) 2.182 MBBIU/HY PIPE SZE – SUPPLY SZE STE DEPDODUT DEPDODUT	
 TRENCHING 2.1. ALLOWABLE TIMES FOR UTILITY TRENCH WORK IN DRIVEWAY SHALL BE COORDINATED WITH THE CUSTOMER. 	NTS NOT TO SCALE CC ON CENTER	200X AS FUSED DISJONNEUT X00X AF SWITCH (STAND-ALONE P XP, X00X &AAC ENCLOSURE)		WATER REQUIREMENTS connection 1/2" MMPT FLOW - STARTUP 0.4 ge/min	
2.2. TRENCHING SHOULD BE DONE IN STAGES, TO EXSURE CUSTOMER TRAFFIC FLOW IS NOT IMPEDED. 2.3. WHEN THE TRENCH IS OPEN, IT SHALL BE COVERED DURING OFF WORK HOURS WITH PLATES THAT	CD OUTER DIAMETER COMA OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMIN.	MEMA 3R		WATER TYPE MUNCPAL ONDE FLOW - CONTINUOUS 0 get/min MARKEN DETSCHE 25 set market 0 w/min	
ARE CANARE OF SUPPORTING H-20 VEHICLE LAXING. J. UTLITY CONNECTIONS THAT RECURE TAPPING ON LIVE LINES SHALL BE PERFORMED AT NIGHT AND BE COORDANTID WITH AND APPROVED BY THE CUSTORE PRICE TO MAKING UTLITY CONNECTIONS. ANY	PEX CROSS-LINED POLYETRYLENE PDS POWER DISTINBUTION SECTION	TXX A FUSE		NAXWAN PRESSURE 150 pd PPE SZZ - SUPPLY STANLESS STEEL OR PVC	DRAWN BY DRAWN BY THARA SRINIVASACHARI
PRECAVITONMET MEASURES REQUIRED DUE TO UTILITY SHAT-OFF NEED TO BE COMPLETED BY CONTRACTOR. DATE OF DRIVE ASSLES MAY BE CLOSED IN ACTIVE CONSTRUCTION AREAS. OTHER VEHICLES OR	PH PHASE PM5 ES5 POWER MCOULE	ABBREVIATIONS (CONTINUED)	ABBREVIATIONS (CONTINUED)]	
MATERIALS SHALL BE KEPT AIMAY RROW THE AREA SO AS TO NOT HINDER TRAFFIC FLOW, COORDINATE THE LOCATION OF CH-STE PARKING AND/OR TEMPORARY STORAGE WITH CUSTOMER REPRESENTATIONS. 5. MARTINA MINIMAR 20' FRE LANE ACCESS DURING CONSTRUCTION AND STAGE. TREMCISION TO	PSI POUNDS PER SQUARE INCH PSIG POUNDS PER SQUARE INCH GAGE PV PHOTONCLEARC	SMPP STORM WATER POLLUTION PROTECTION TBD TO BE DETERMINED	VIF VERIFY IN FIELD W WRE		CONSTRUCTION
ACCOMPLISH REQUIRED FIRE ACCESS AS NECESSARY. 0. STABILIZATION:	PVC POLYWNYL CHLORIDE PWN POWER NODULE	TC TELEMETRY CABINET TMP TAX MAP HUMBER	NDM WATER DISTRIBUTION MODULE XFMR TRANSFORMER		NUIES DRAWNS HUMBER
6.1. SEDWERT, DROSH AND TRACH CONTROL SHALL BE PERFORMED AT ALL TIMES. BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPS) SHALL BE INSTALLED PRICE TO WORK START AND REMOVED ONLY WHEN THE STE IS FALLY STABILZED.	COC QUICK DISCONNECT RSA REGULATOR SET ASSEMBLY	TYP TYPICAL UBC UNFORM BUILDING CODE			G0.2
6.2. THE SITE SHALL BE CONSIDERED THALLY STABILIZED" WHEN THE CUSTOMER REPRESENTATIVES HAS REVEWED SUBMITED INCIDES AND ACCEPT THE STABILIZATION	rsar, rojad metal conduit so storm dran sf souare feet	UPM UNINTERRUPTING POWER MODULE V VOLTS			BLOOM DOCUMENT
INSTALLATION, AND THE RESTORATION IN LAND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	SPD SURGE PROTECTIVE DEVICE SS STAINLESS STEEL, SANITARY SEVER	VAC VOLTS (AC) VDC VOLTS (DC)			THIS DRAWING IS 24" X 36" AT FULL SIZE
		1	1		SITE ID:PDX109.Z SHEET 02 OF 15





Environmental Quality

MISCELLANEOUS PROCESS OR DEVICE

<u>Instructions</u> (Use this form for any process or device that is not covered by a specific process or device form in series AQ200.)

- 1. Assign an identification number to this process or device. Use this ID number to reference this process elsewhere in the application materials (e.g., on the process flow diagram, on the emissions data forms, etc.). The ID number may be anything the owner/operator wishes.
- 2. Provide a brief, descriptive name for the process.
- 3. Indicate whether this process is existing (i.e., currently in place) or future (i.e., the process is to be added in the future during the permit term).
- 4. Enter the date that construction/installation of this process *commenced* or will *commence*. This refers to the date on which a financial commitment was made to undertake the construction.
- 5. Enter the date on which this process was fully installed or construction was completed, or on which date it is anticipated that construction will be completed.
- 6. Describe the process. Include a process flow diagram. If a process flow diagram is not available, sketch one on a sheet of blank paper and attach it to this form. Describe any pollutant-emitting materials handling activities associated with this process. Such activities would include: storage of raw materials or waste products in storage piles and the disturbance of those piles when materials are added to or removed from them; and the off-loading of raw material from or loading of product onto rail cars or trucks.
- 7. Indicate whether this process operates year-round or seasonally. If the operation is year-round, indicate whether the process experiences any seasonal variation (e.g., busiest during summer). If it is a seasonal operation, specify the months of operation.
- 8. Indicate whether this is a batch or continuous process?
- 9. Enter the maximum hours of operation per day.
- 10. Enter the maximum projected hours of operation per year.
- 11. Provide the following information for *each* raw material used in this process and/or the products made in the process. The owner/operator should NOT address fuel usage here. If this process burns fuel, then it should be addressed on another appropriate form to describe the fuel-burning activity.

For each type of raw material used, enter the maximum amount of the raw material used in the process at the rated short-term design capacity. Provide the units for the short-term capacity (e.g., pounds per hour, pounds per day, gallons per hour, etc.) If this is a batch operation, specify the amount of material used per batch and the number of batches per hour or day. Enter the maximum projected annual amount of raw material used in the process (e.g., tons per year or alternate unit of measure).

For each product produced, enter the maximum production rate at the rated short-term design capacity. Provide the units for the short-term capacity (e.g., widgets per hour, pounds per hour, pounds per day, gallons per hour, etc.) If this is a batch operation, specify the amount of product produced per batch and the number of batches per hour or day. Enter the maximum projected annual amount of product produced in the process. Specify the appropriate units of production.

12. Indicate (yes or no) whether any control device(s) is used with this process. If yes, provide the identification number(s) of the control device(s) as established on an appropriate AQ300 form.



Quality

MISCELLANEOUS PROCESS OR DEVICE

PDX109

FORM AQ230 ANSWER SHEET

egon Facility Name:

Permit Number: 25-0062-ST-01

Process Information

Frocess information							
1. ID Number	SOFC1						
2. Descriptive name	2. Descriptive name			Solid Oxide Fuel Cells			
3. Existing or future?	Future						
4. Date commenced		3/12/2023					
5. Date installed/completed		TBD					
6. Description of process:							
Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFC) generate power by harnessing an electrochemical reaction between natural gas fuel and oxygen ions in the ambient air. The SOFC system is designed for a capacity of 24.3 MW.							
Operating Schedule							
7. Seasonal or year-round?		Year-round					
8. Batch or continuous operation?		Continuous					
9. Projected maximum hours/day	24						
10. Projected maximum hours/year		8,760					
11. Process/device capacity:	Short ter	m capacity	ıl usage				
Raw materials	Amount	Units	Amount	Units			
Natural Gas	162,214	scf/hr	1,421	MMscf/yr			
Products							
Electricity	24.3	MWh (Aggregate)	212868	MW/yr (Aggregate			
12. Control devices(s) (yes/no)	2. Control devices(s) (ves/no)						
If yes, provide the ID number and	complete and attacl	ned the applicable ser	ies AQ300 form(s)				

State of Oregon Department of

Environmental Quality

PLANT SITE EMISSIONS DETAIL SHEET CURRENT/FUTURE OPERATIONS

Complete one form to describe emissions from all emissions points at the facility during the pending permit term. Emissions data provided in the form may be used by DEQ to establish the pollutant-specific Plant Site Emission Limits (PSELs) for the facility. The owner/operator should estimate the annual emissions reported on this form by taking into consideration the *highest annual emissions* likely to be reached during the coming permit term, given any increases in production/operation that might take place during that period. If additional space is required complete as many copies of the answer sheet as needed.

Use the first table below to calculate the PSEL for all pollutants, except PM2.5. Use the second table to calculate the PSEL for PM2.5. To calculate a PSEL for GHGs, see the greenhouse gas calculator at <u>http://www.deq.state.or.us/aq/permit/acdp/simple.htm</u>.

Instructions for the first Table:

For *each* emissions point at the facility provide the following information. If the owner/operator indicated in a Device/Process form that a new device or process will be brought on-line during the pending permit term, then include the associated emissions on this form. Identify the new emissions point(s) on this form and estimate the associated emissions.

- 1. Identify the emissions point.
- 2. Provide the short-term production rate for the emissions point. The short-term production rate should reflect the highest anticipated production rate for the upcoming permit term for the emissions point. Usually, an *hourly* time period is specified on which to base the production rate (e.g., pounds per hour). An alternate time period (e.g., daily production) may be used if the longer time period is more appropriate to the operation of the emissions point in question. Be sure to specify the appropriate unit of measure (e.g., pounds per day) for the short-term production rate.
- 3. Provide the projected maximum annual production rate for the emissions point. Specify the unit of measure (e.g., tons per year).
- 4. Identify the pollutant(s) emitted by this emissions point. List the pollutants under column 4 on the answer sheet—one pollutant per row. (If, for example, the emissions point in column 1 emitted three pollutants, then the emissions point overall would require three rows of the table.
- 5. Provide the short-term emission factor, for the pollutant in column 4 from the emissions point in column 1. Specify the appropriate unit of measure as per the time period specified in column 2. If emissions are calculated using a mass balance procedure, leave this column blank and attach all supporting documentation for the material balance calculation, including accounting for pollutants retained in the product, disposed of as waste, or captured and collected or destroyed by a pollution control device.
- 6. Provide the annual emission factor. If emissions are calculated using a mass balance procedure, see item 5 above.
- 7. Identify the references for the emission factors identified in columns 5 and 6 (e.g., AP-42, DEQ). Use MB for material balance procedures.
- 8. Calculate the total short-term emissions in *pounds per unit of time*, as per the time period identified in column 2. If emissions are estimated using a material balance procedure, just enter the total here.
- 9. Calculate the total annual emissions, in *tons per year*. If emissions are estimated using a material balance procedure, just enter the total here.

If the owner/operator has identified more than one emissions point on this form for a given pollutant, then *summarize* the data *by pollutant*, by adding a category of TOTAL in column 1, and completing columns 4, 8, and 9.

The example at the bottom of the first form is for a rock crusher that has a design capacity of 200 tons per hour and a projected maximum annual production of 400,000 tons per year. Particulate matter (PM) emissions are calculated using the DEQ emission factor on a short term (hourly) and annual basis.

PLANT SITE EMISSIONS DETAIL SHEET CURRENT/FUTURE OPERATIONS

Department of Environmental PM_{2.5} PSEL

State of Oregon

Quality

Instructions for the second Table:

See "Instructions for Determining the PM2.5 Plant Site Emission Limit and Netting Basis" at <u>http://www.deq.state.or.us/aq/permit/acdp/series400.htm</u> for more detail on calculating the PM2.5 PSEL. The second Table applies to existing sources of PM2.5 emissions as of 05/01/11 and should be included in the first permit application required after 05/01/11. Subsequent changes to the PM2.5 PSEL should be requested using the first Table.

For *each* emissions point at the facility provide the following information.

- 1. Enter a device or process in the first column.
- 2. Enter the PM_{10} PSEL in the second column.
- 3. Enter the $PM_{2.5}$ fraction of PM_{10} emissions in the third column
- 4. Provide the reference for the PM_{2.5} fraction (e.g., AP-42, DEQ, Source Test, etc.). Provide further explanation if the factor was not obtained from documents readily available to DEQ.
- 5. Calculate the annual emissions by multiplying the $PM_{10}PSEL$ by the $PM_{2.5}$ fraction.
- 6. Enter the next device or process and repeat the steps for devices/processes outlined above.
- 7. Total the PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ PSELs at the bottom of columns 2 and 5.

DEQ DEQ State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

PLANT SITE EMISSIONS DETAIL SHEET CURRENT/FUTURE OPERATIONS

FORM AQ402 ANSWER SHEET

2

Facility Name:

Permit Number:

			Table	e 1				
	Product	ion Rates		E	missions Facto	ors	Emissions	
1. Emissions Point	2. Short-term (Specify units)	3. Annual (Specify units)	4. Pollutant	5. Short-term	6. Long-term	7. Reference(s)	8. Short-term (Specify units)	9. Annual (tons/year)
SOFC01	24.3 MWh	212868 MW/yr	NOx	0.0017 lb/MWh	0.0017 lb/MWh	See emission calculations	0.041 lb/hr	0.2
SOFC01	24.3 MWh	212868 MW/yr	CO	0.012 lb/MWh	0.012 lb/MWh	See emission calculations	0.29 lb/hr	1.3
SOFC01	24.3 MWh	212868 MW/yr	VOC	0.010 lb/MWh	0.010 lb/MWh	See emission calculations	0.24 lb/hr	1.1
SOFC01	24.3 MWh	212868 MW/yr	SO2	5.95E-06 lb/MWh	5.95E-06 lb/MWh	See emission calculations	1.45E-04 lb/hr	0.0
SOFC01	24.3 MWh	212868 MW/yr	PM	0.022 lb/MWh	0.022 lb/MWh	See emission calculations	0.53 lb/hr	2.3
SOFC01	24.3 MWh	212868 MW/yr	PM10	0.022 lb/MWh	0.022 lb/MWh	See emission calculations	0.53 lb/hr	2.3
SOFC01	24.3 MWh	212868 MW/yr	PM2.5	0.015 lb/MWh	0.015 lb/MWh	See emission calculations	0.36 lb/hr	1.6
SOFC01	24.3 MWh	212868 MW/yr	CO2e	833	833	See emission calculations	20,242 lb/hr	88,660.0
PDX604-SKI01	103.2 gal/hr	10,320 gal/yr	PM	9.45E-03	9.45E-03	Vendor Data	0.29 lb/hr	0.0
PDX604-SKI01	103.2 gal/hr	10,320 gal/yr	PM10	9.45E-03	9.45E-03	Vendor Data	0.29 lb/hr	0.0
PDX604-SKI01	103.2 gal/hr	10,320 gal/yr	PM2.5	9.45E-03	9.45E-03	Vendor Data	0.29 lb/hr	0.0
PDX604-SKI01	103.2 gal/hr	10,320 gal/yr	SO2	2.12E-04	2.12E-04	Vendor Data	0.022 lb/hr	0.0
PDX604-SKI01	103.2 gal/hr	10,320 gal/yr	NOx	2.77E-01	2.77E-01	Vendor Data	26.65 lb/hr	1.3
PDX604-SKI01	103.2 gal/hr	10,320 gal/yr	CO	1.24E-01	1.24E-01	Vendor Data	2.42 lb/hr	0.1
PDX604-SKI01	103.2 gal/hr	10,320 gal/yr	VOC	2.91E-02	2.91E-02	Vendor Data	0.62 lb/hr	0.0
Example	200 tons of rock/hr	400,000 tons	РМ	0.04 lb/ton	0.04 lb/ton	DEQ	8.0 lb/hr	8.0



PLANT SITE EMISSIONS DETAIL SHEET

CURRENT/FUTURE OPERATIONS

FORM AQ402 **ANSWER SHEET**

Quality



Permit Number:

Table 2
i.

1. Device/process ID	2. PM ₁₀ PSEL (tons/year)	3. PM _{2.5} fraction (f)	4. Reference	5. PM _{2.5} PSEL (tons/yr)
SOFC	2.3	0.68	See emission calculations	1.6
PDX604-SKI01	0.0	1	see emission calculations	0.0
		-		
TOTAL	2.3			1.6

HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANT (HAP) EMISSIONS DETAIL SHEET

DEO State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

Complete one form to describe the potential emissions from all emissions points at the facility. Unlike Form AQ402, the owner/operator should estimate hazardous air pollutant emissions as though the plant will operate 8.760 hours per year, unless it is absolutely impossible to operate the entire year.

If additional space is required, complete as many copies of the answer sheet as needed.

For *each* emissions point at the facility provide the following information. If the owner/operator indicated in a Device/Process form that a new device or process will be brought on-line during the pending permit term, then he/she should include the associated emissions on this form. Identify the new emissions point(s) on this form and estimate the associated emissions.

- 1. Identify the emissions point.
- 2. Provide the maximum annual production rate for the emissions point. Specify the unit of measure (e.g., tons per year).
- 3. Identify the pollutant(s) listed in Table 1 of OAR 340-244-0040 that are emitted from this emissions point. The owner/operator should list the pollutants under column 3 on the answer sheet—one pollutant per row. (If, for example, the emissions point in column 1 emitted three pollutants, then the emissions point overall would require three rows of the table.
- 4. Provide the annual emission factor. If emissions are calculated using a mass balance procedure, leave this column blank and attach all supporting documentation for the material balance calculation, including accounting for pollutants retained in the product, disposed of as waste, or captured and collected or destroyed by a pollution control device.
- 5. Identify the references for the emission factors identified in column 4 (e.g., AP-42, DEQ). Use MB for material balance procedures.
- 6. Calculate the total annual emissions, in *tons per year*. If emissions are estimated using a material balance procedure, just enter the total here.
- 7. For Standard ACDPs, DEQ also requests information for any pollutant listed in OAR 340-246-0090(3) that is not listed in Table 1 of OAR 340-244-0040. In addition, many facilities are required to submit Toxic Release Inventory (TRI) reports. If the facility is required to submit TRI reports, include the most recent report and provide a discussion of any discrepancies between the TRI report and the information provided in Form AQ403.

If the owner/operator has identified more than one emissions point on this form for a given pollutant, then he/she should *summarize* the data *by pollutant*, by adding a category of Plant Total in column 1, and completing columns 3 (enter the pollutant) and 6 (total emissions for the pollutant).



HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANT (HAP) EMISSIONS DETAIL SHEET

Department of Environmental Quality Facility Name:

Emissions Data

Name: PDX109

Permit Number:

25-0062-ST-01

1. Emissions Point	2. Annual Production Rate (specify units)	3. Pollutant	4. Emission Factor	5. EF reference	6. Annual Emissions (tons/yr)
SOFC01	212868 MW/yr	Toluene	4.43E-05 lb/MWh	See emission calculations	4.72E-03
SOFC01	212868 MW/yr	Benzene	1.36E-05 lb/MWh	See emission calculations	1.45E-03
SOFC01	212868 MW/yr	Xylene (mixture)	3.06E-05 lb/MWh	See emission calculations	3.26E-03
SOFC01	212868 MW/yr	Carbon disulfide	4.80E-05 lb/MWh	See emission calculations	5.11E-03
SOFC01	212868 MW/yr	Methanol	2.27E-04 lb/MWh	See emission calculations	2.42E-02
SOFC01	Please see the HAP emission calculations	in the attached emission calculations			
SOFC01					
SOFC01					
PDX604-SKI01					

Applications for Standard ACDPs must also include the most recent Toxics Release Inventory report, if applicable (see instructions).

State of Oregon DEQ Department of Environmental Quality

DEQ Use Only					
Permit or Source N	lumber:	Type of Application:			
Application Number	er:	SIC/NAICS Code:			
Date Received:		Source Description:			
Regional Office:	ER - AQ Permit Coordinator	Check No.:	Amount:		

1. Company Info	ormation		2. Facility Locat	ion Information	
Legal Name:	Amazon Data	Services, Inc.	Name:	PDX109	
Mailing Address:	PO E	ox 80711	Street Address:	75242 Gar Swanson Road	
City: Seattle	State: WA	Zip Code: 98108	City: Boardman	County Morrow	Zip Code: 97818
3. Facility Contact Information			4. Facility Authorized Contact Information		
Name/Title:	Jason E	owker	Name/Title:	Steven Me	yers
Phone: (541) 303-2380			Phone: (203) 273-2853		53
Email:	Email: jbowker@amazon.com			smeyers@amazo	n.com

5. Source Determination:

- Existing
- New
- Exempt [OAR 340-245-0050(6)] or Gas Combustion Exemption Emissions only [OAR 340-245-0050(5)]
- De minimis [OAR 340-245-0050(7)]

6. CAO Permit Application Checklist of Approved Documents [OAR 340-245-0100(3)]:

- Source description and process flow diagrams for each process (Included in either the Modeling Protocol or Risk Assessment Work Plan)
- Emissions Inventory
- Modeling Protocol
- Risk Assessment Work Plan (for Level 3 or Level 4 Risk Assessment)
- Risk Assessment (Level 1, 2, 3 or 4)
- TBACT or TLAER supporting documentation (if applicable)
- Pollution Prevention Analysis (if applicable)
- Risk Reduction Plan (if applicable)
- Postponement of Risk Reduction (if applicable)
- Air Monitoring Plan (if applicable)
- Additional supporting documentation requested by DEQ
- CAO applicable Activity Fees (see page 2)

7. Signature

I hereby apply for permission to discharge air contaminants in the State of Oregon, as stated or described in any part of this application, and certify that the information contained in any part of this application and the schedules and exhibits appended hereto, are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Steven Meyers



The following applicable fees^[1] are due with the Cleaner Air Oregon Permit application form: Qtv. = Number of Activities

#	ACTIVITY	Qty.	Title V	Qty.	Standard ACDP	Qty.	Simple ACDP	Qty.	General/ Basic ACDP
3	Submittal Document Modification Fee(s)		\$2,500		\$2,500		\$500		\$250
4	Level 1 Risk Assessment - de minimis/no permit required		\$1,500		\$1,500		\$1,000		\$800
5	Level 1 Risk Assessment – not de minimis		\$2,000		\$2,000		\$1,500		\$1,100
6	Level 2 Risk Assessment - de minimis/no permit required		\$3,100		\$3,100		\$2,300		\$2,000
7	Level 2 Risk Assessment – not de minimis		\$3,600		\$3,600		\$2,800		\$2,300
8	Level 3 Risk Assessment - de minimis/no permit required		\$8,800		\$8,200		\$5,300		\$4,500
9	Level 3 Risk Assessment – not de minimis		\$19,900		\$11,300		\$7,700		\$6,300
10	Level 4 Risk Assessment - de minimis/no permit required		\$21,400		\$18,500		\$11,700		NA
11	Level 4 Risk Assessment – not de minimis		\$34,600		\$25,800		\$15,500		NA
12	Risk Reduction Plan Fee		\$6,700		\$6,700		\$2,600		\$2,600
13	Air Monitoring Plan Fee (includes risk assessment)		\$25,900		\$25,900		NA		NA
14	Postponement of Risk Reduction Fee		\$4,400		\$4,400		\$4,400		\$2,000
15	TBACT/TLAER Review (per Toxic Emissions Unit and type of toxic air contaminant)		\$3,000		\$3,000		\$1,500		\$1,500
16	TEU Risk Assessment – no permit mod		\$1,000		\$1,000		\$500		\$500
17	TEU Risk Assessment – permit mod		\$4,000		\$4,000		\$2,000		\$1,000
18	Level 2 Modeling review only for TEU approval		\$1,900		\$1,300		\$800		\$700
19	Level 3 Modeling review only for TEU approval		\$3,800	1	\$3,800		\$3,500		\$3,500
20	Community Engagement Meeting Fee – high		\$8,000		\$8,000		\$8,000		\$8,000
21	Community Engagement Meeting Fee – medium		\$4,000		\$4,000		\$4,000		\$4,000
22	Community Engagement Meeting Fee - low		\$1,000		\$1,000		\$1,000		\$1,000
23	Source Test Review Fee (plan and data review) - complex		\$6,000		\$6,000		\$6,000		\$6,000
24	Source Test Review Fee (plan and data review) – moderate		\$4,200	1	\$4,200		\$4,200		\$4,200
25	Source Test Review Fee (plan and data review) - simple		\$1,400		\$1,400		\$1,400		\$1,400

[1] – CAO Annual fees for new facilities are submitted as part of the ACDP Application fees as indicated on Form AQ101.

Total Fees:

8,000

(Make check payable to DEQ) Send payment to: Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Financial Services – Revenue Section 700 NE Multnomah St., Suite 600 Portland, OR 97232-4100

Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Cleaner Air Oregon Permit Application

State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Land Use Compatibility Statement





What is a Land Use Compatibility Statement?

A LUCS is a form developed by DEQ to determine whether a DEQ permit or approval will be consistent with local government comprehensive plans and land use regulations.

Why is a LUCS required?

DEQ and other state agencies with permitting or approval activities that affect land use are required by Oregon law to be consistent with local comprehensive plans and have a process for determining consistency. DEQ activities affecting land use and the requirement for a LUCS may be found in Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) Chapter 340, Division 18.

When is a LUCS required?

A LUCS is required for nearly all DEQ permits and certain approvals of plans or related activities that affect land use prior to issuance of a DEQ permit or approval. These permits and activities are listed in section 1.D on p. 2 of this form. A single LUCS can be used if more than one DEQ permit or approval is being applied for concurrently.

Permit modifications or renewals also require a LUCS when any of the following applies:

- 1. Physical expansion on the property or proposed use of additional land;
- 2. Alterations, expansions, improvements or changes in method or type of disposal at a solid waste disposal site as described in OAR 340-093-0070(4)(b);
- 3. A significant increase in discharges to water;
- 4. A relocation of an outfall outside of the source property; or
- 5. Any physical change or change of operation of an air pollutant source that results in a net significant emission rate increase as defined in OAR 340-200-0020.

Step	Who does it?	What happens?
1.	Applicant	Applicant completes Section 1 of the LUCS and submits it to the appropriate city or county planning office.
2.	City or County Planning Office	City or county planning office completes Section 2 of the LUCS to indicate whether the activity or use is compatible with the acknowledged comprehensive plan and land use regulations, attaches written findings supporting the decision of compatibility, and returns the signed and dated LUCS to the applicant.
3.	Applicant	Applicant submits the completed LUCS and any supporting information provided by the city or county to DEQ along with the DEQ permit application ar approval request.

How to complete a LUCS:

Where to get help:

For questions about the LUCS process, contact the DEQ staff responsible for processing the permit or approval. DEQ staff may be reached at 1-800-452-4011 (toll-free, inside Oregon) or 503-229-5630. For general questions, please contact DEQ land use staff listed on our Land Use ComQatibilitvStatement page online.

Cultural resources protection laws:

Applicants involved in ground-disturbing activities should be aware of federal and state cultural resources protection laws. ORS 358.920 prohibits the excavation, injury, destruction, or alteration of an archeological site or object or removal of archeological objects from public and private lands without an archeological permit issued by the State Historic Preservation Office. 16 USC 470, Section 106, National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 requires a federal agency, prior to any undertaking, to take into account the effect of the undertaking that is included on or eligible for inclusion in the National Register. For further information, contact the State Historic Preservation Office at 503-378-4168, ext. 232.

Land Use Compatibility Statement

Section 1 - To be cor	mpleted by the applicant					
1A. Applicant Name: Amazon Web Services, Inc.	1B. Project Name: PDX109					
Contact Name' Jason Bowker	Physical Address: 75242 Gar Swanson Road					
Mailing Address: PO Box 80711	City, State, Zi Boardman, OR 97818					
City, State, ZI Seattle, WA 97818	Tax Lot #.105					
Telephone: (541) 303-2380	TownShip [,] T4N Range: R26E Section: j					
Tax Account #:	Latitude: 45.860344•					
1C. Describe the project, include the type of development, business, or facility and services or products provided (attach additional information if necessary): AWS operates data center PDX109. The facility houses computer systems, and associated components such as telecommunications and data storage systems. The principal use of the facility is the storage, management and dissemination of electronic data. AWS plans to install Solid Oxide Evel Cell (SECO)						
power at the facility, with an estimated capacity of 24 diesel-fueled emergency generators.	1.99 MW. The facility is currently authorized to operate					
1D. Check the type of DEQ permit(s) or approval(s) being appli	ed for at this time.					
Air Quality Notice of Construction	\Box Clean Water State Revolving FundLoan					
EJ Air Contaminant Discharge Permit	Request					
☐ Air Quality Title V Permit	Wastewater/Server Construction Plan/					
0 Air Quality Indirect Source Permit	Specifications (includes review of plan					
0 Parking/Traffic Circulation Plan	changes that require use of new land)					
☐ Solid Waste Land Disposal Site Permit	□ Water Quality NPDES Individual Permit					
0 Solid Waste Treatment Facility Permit	☐ Water Quality WPCF Individual Permit (for					
Solid Waste Composting Facility Permit	onsite construction-installation permits use					
(includes Anaerobic Digester)	the DEQ Onsite LUCS form)					
0 Conversion Technology Facility Permit	Water Quality NPDES Stormwater General					
☐ Solid Waste Letter Authorization Permit	Permit (1200-A, 1200-C, 1200-CA,					
☐ Solid Waste Material Recovery Facility Permit	1200-COLS, and 1200-Z)					
0 Solid Waste Energy Recovery Facility Permit	\equiv Water Quality General Permit (all general					
☐ Solid Waste Transfer Station Permit	permits, except 600, 700-PM, 1700-A, and					
Waste Tire Storage Site Permit	1700-B when they are mobile)					
Pollution Control Bond Request	Water Quality 401 Certification for federal					
- Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage or	permit or license					
Disposal Permit						
This application is for: 0 Permit Renewal 0 New Permit	EJ Permit Modification 0 Other:					

Section 2 - To be completed by city or county planning official
Applicantname: » · ¥hb Im=« Project name: »i eii
Instructions: Written findings of fact for all local decisions are required; written findings from previous actions are acceptable. For uses allowed outright by the acknowledged comprehensive plan, DEQ will accept written findings in the form of a reference to the specific plan policies, criteria, or standards that were relied upon in rendering the decision with an indication of why the decision is justified based on the plan policies, criteria, or standards.
2A. The project proposal islocated. O miside city innits O miside OGB Outside OGB
the subjectproperty or land use): gyy0 County
2C. £d' This project is not within the jurisdiction of any othec)land use, zoning, or planning entity
0 This project is also within the jurisdiction of the following land use, zoning, or planning entity
2D. Is the activity allowed under Measure 49 (2007)? No, Measure 49 is not applicable 0 Yes, if yes, then check one:
Conditional: approved by DLCD order #:
O Vested: approved by local government decision or court judgment docket or order. #:
2E. Is the activity a composting facility?
to 0 Yes; Senate Bill 462 (2013) notification requirements have been met.
2F. Is the activity or use compatible with your acknowledged comprehensive plan as required by OAR 660-031? Please complete this form to address the activity or use for which the applicant is seeking approval (see 1.C on the previous page). If the activity or use is to occur in multiple phases, please ensure that your approval addresses the phases described in 1C. For example, if the applicant's project is described in IC. as a subdivision and the LUCS indicates that only clearing and grading are allowed outright but does not indicate whether the subdivision is approved, DEQ will delay permit issuance until approval for the subdivision is obtained from the local planning official.
The activity or use is specifically exempt by the acknowledged comprehensive plan; explain:
Tes, the activity of use is pre-existing honcomorning use allowed outlight by (provide reference for local ordinance).
"Yes, the activity or use is allowed outright by (provide reference for local ordinance):
Cm«c (»,a« sx« 0@nutrz. é«?to Z.our
0 Yes, the activity or receiveQ§rellr?iinary approval that includes requirements to fully comply with focal requirements; findings are attached.
Yes, the activity or use is allowed; findings are attached.
No, see 2D. above, activity or use allowed under Measure 49; findings are attached.
 No, (complete below or attach findings for noncompliance and identify requirements the applicant must comply with before compatibility can be determined): Relevant specific plan policies, criteria, or standards:
Provide the reasons for the decision:
Additional comments (attach additional information as needed):
Planning Official Signature:
Print Name: Telephone #: 541-922-4624 Date: 1 0 2022
If necessary, depending upon city/county agreement on jurisdiction outside city limits but within UGB:
Planning Official Signature: Title:
Print Name: Telephone #: Date:

Alternative formats

DEQ can provide documents in an alternate format or in a language other than English upon request. Call DEQ at 800-452-4011 or email <u>deqinfo@deg.state.or.us</u>.

State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Land Use Compatibility Statement

Quality

What is a Land Use Compatibility Statement?

A LUCS is a form developed by DEQ to determine whether a DEQ permit or approval will be consistent with local government comprehensive plans and land use regulations.

Why is a LUCS required?

DEQ and other state agencies with permitting or approval activities that affect land use are required by Oregon law to be consistent with local comprehensive plans and have a process for determining consistency. DEQ activities affecting land use and the requirement for a LUCS may be found in Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) Chapter 340, Division 18.

When is a LUCS required?

A LUCS is required for nearly all DEQ permits and certain approvals of plans or related activities that affect land use prior to issuance of a DEQ permit or approval. These permits and activities are listed in section 1.D on p. 2 of this form. A single LUCS can be used if more than one DEQ permit or approval is being applied for concurrently.

Permit modifications or renewals also require a LUCS when any of the following applies:

- 1. Physical expansion on the property or proposed use of additional land;
- 2. Alterations, expansions, improvements or changes in method or type of disposal at a solid waste disposal site as described in OAR 340-093-0070(4)(b);
- 3. A significant increase in discharges to water;
- 4. A relocation of an outfall outside of the source property; or
- 5. Any physical change or change of operation of an air pollutant source that results in a net significant emission rate increase as defined in OAR 340-200-0020.

Step	Who does it?	What happens?
1.	Applicant	Applicant completes Section 1 of the LUCS and submits it to the appropriate city or county planning office.
2.	City or County Planning Office	City or county planning office completes Section 2 of the LUCS to indicate whether the activity or use is compatible with the acknowledged comprehensive plan and land use regulations, attaches written findings supporting the decision of compatibility, and returns the signed and dated LUCS to the applicant.
3.	Applicant	Applicant submits the completed LUCS and any supporting information provided by the city or county to DEQ along with the DEQ permit application or approval request.

How to complete a LUCS:

Where to get help:

For questions about the LUCS process, contact the DEQ staff responsible for processing the permit or approval. DEQ staff may be reached at 1-800-452-4011 (toll-free, inside Oregon) or 503-229-5630. For general questions, please contact DEQ land use staff listed on our Land Use ComoattbilitvStatement page online.

Cultural resources protection laws:

Applicants involved in ground-disturbing activities should be aware of federal and state cultural resources protection laws. ORS 358.920 prohibits the excavation, injury, destruction, or alteration of an archeological site or object or removal of archeological objects from public and private lands without an archeological permit issued by the State Historic Preservation Office. 16 USC 470, Section 106, National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 requires a federal agency, prior to any undertaking, to take into account the effect of the undertaking that is included on or eligible for inclusion in the National Register. For further information, contact the State Historic Preservation Office at 503-378-4168, ext. 232.

Land Use Compatibility Statement

Section 1— To be completed by the applicant					
1A. Applicant Name: Amazon Data Services, Inc.	1B. Project Name: r•DX109				
Contact Name: Jason Bowker	Physical Address: 75242 Gar Swanson Road				
Mailing Address: PO Box 80711	City, State, Zip:Boardman, OR 97818				
City, State, Zi_p seattle, WA 9781a	Tax Lot _{° 105}				
Telephone: (541) 3o3-238o	Township T4N Range: R26E Section: s6				
Tax Account #:	Latitude: 45.860344°				
40. Describe the preiotical include the type of development busi	Longitude: -119.606159°				
additional information if necessary):	ness, or facility and services or products provided (attach				
ADS operates data center PDX109. The facility houses computer systems, and associated components such as telecommunications and data storage systems. The principal use of the facility is the storage, management and dissemination of electronic data. ADS intends to install one (1) CAT 3512C 1500kW (Ski Lodge) emergency generator to provide emergency backup power in the event of the loss of utility power. This will be replacing the currently permitted C18 750 kW Ski Lodge emergency generator (Device ID SKI-01) which was never constructed or operated.					
1D. Check the type of DEQ permit(s) or approval(s) being appl	ied for at this time.				
☐ Air Quality Notice of Construction	Clean Water State Revolving Fund Loan				
Air Contaminant Discharge Permit	Request				
☐ Air Quality Title V Permit	C Wastewater/Sewer Construction Plan/				
C Air Quality Indirect Source Permit	Specifications (includes review of plan				
Parking/Traffic Circulation Plan	changes that require use of new land)				
Solid Waste Land Disposal Site Permit	Water Quality NPDES Individual Permit				
O Solid Waste Treatment Facility Permit	Water Quality WPCF Individual Permit (for				
Solid Waste Composting Facility Permit	onsite construction-installationpermits use				
(includes Anaerobic Digester)	the DEQ Onsite LUCS form)				
Conversion Technology Facility Permit	Water Quality NPDES Stormwater General				
☐ Solid Waste Letter Authorization Permit	Permit (1200-A, 1200-C, 1200-CA,				
☐ Solid Waste Material Recovery Facility Permit	1200-COLS, and 1200-Z)				
O Solid Waste Energy Recovery Facility Permit	☐ Water Quality General Permit (all general				
Solid Waste Transfer Station Permit	permits, except 600, 700-PM, 1700-A, and				
Waste Tire Storage Site Permit	1700-B when they are mobile)				
Pollution Control Bond Request	☐ Water Quality 401 Certification for federal				
Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage or	permit or license				
Disposal Permit					
This application is for: 0 Permit Renewal O New Permit	fzl Permit Modification O Other:				

Section 2 — To be completed by city or county planning official				
A pplicant name: Q A view view view view view view view view				
Instructions: Written findings of fact for all local decisions are required; written findings from previous actions are acceptable. For uses allowed outright by the acknowledged comprehensive plan, DEQ will accept written findings in the form of a reference to the specific plan policies, criteria, or standards that were relied upon in rendering the decision with an indication of why the decision is justified based on the plan policies, criteria, or standards.				
2A. The project proposal is located: 0 Inside city limits 0 Inside UGB W Outside UGB				
2B. Name of the city or county that has land use jurisdiction (the legal entity responsible for land use decisions for the public the property or land use):				
 2C. This project is not within the jurisdiction of any other land use, zoning, or planning entity This project is also within the jurisdiction of the following land use, zoning, or planning entity 				
2D. Is the activity allowed under Measure 49 (2007)? No, Measure 49 is not applicable 0 Yes, if yes, then check one:				
0 Express; approved by DLCD order #:				
Conditional; approved by DLCD order #:				
0 Vested; approved by local government decision or court judgment docket or order #:				
2E. Is e activity a composting facility? fg No O Yes; Senate Bill 462 (2013) notification requirements have been met.				
2F. Is the activity or use compatible with your acknowledged comprehensive plan as required by OAR 660-031? Please complete this form to address the activity or use for which the applicant is seeking approval (see 1.C on the previous page). If the activity or use is to occur in multiple phases, please ensure that your approval addresses the phases described in 1C. For example, if the applicant's project is described in 1C. as a subdivision and the LUCS indicates that only clearing and grading are allowed outright but does not indicate whether the subdivision is approved, DEQ will delay permit issuance until approval for the subdivision is obtained from the local planning official.				
0 The activity or use is specifically exempt by the acknowledged comprehensive plan; explain:				
Yes, the activity or use is pre-existing nonconforming use allowed outright by (provide reference for local ordinance):				
© Yes, the activity or use is allowed outright by (provide reference for local ordinance): IUtrtKl (nii£i 7tMtD 6 fDIP£'\trIfor1 z,ON•ù				
0 Yes, the activity aJ use receided preliminary approval that includes requirements to fully comply with local requirements; findings are attached.				
res, the activity or use is allowed; findings are attached.				
No, see 2D. above, activity or use allowed under Measure 49; findings are attached.				
□ No, (complete below or attach findings for noncompliance and identify requirements the applicant must comply with before				
compatibility can be determined): Relevant specific plan policies, criteria, or standards:				
Provide the reasons for the decision:				
Additional comments (attach additional information as needed):				
Planning Official Signature _ Title: Planner II				
Print Name: Telephone #: 541-922-4624 Date: 1/18/2022				
If necessary, depending upon city/county agreement on jurisdiction outside city limits but within UGB.				
Planning Official Signature: Title:				
Print Name: Telephone #: Date:				

Alternative formats

DEQ can provide documents in an alternate format or in a language other than English upon request. Call DEQ at 800-452-4011 or email <u>deqinfo@deg.state.or.us</u>.

APPENDIX B. AIR EMISSIONS CALCULATIONS

Air emissions calculations for the proposed SOFC and emergency generator engines are included in this appendix.

DocuSign Envelope ID: 8CC7A725-917A-4BF6-A26F-C344F3F7E4F2

Table 1. SOFC Criteria, GHG, and HAP Pollutant Emission Rates

Daily Operating Hours:	24	24 hrs/day		
Annual Operating Hours:	8,760 hrs/yr			
Aggregate Fuel Cell Capacity: NG Fuel Sulfur Content:	24.3 MW 0.5 gr S/100 scf			
Pollutant	Emission Factor (lb/MWh) ^{1,2}	Hourly Emission Rate (lb/hr) ³	Annual Emission Rate (ton/yr) ⁴	
PM ⁵	0.022	0.53	2.34	
PM10 5	0.022	0.53	2.34	
PM _{2.5} 5	0.015	0.36	1.60	
NOx	0.0017	0.041	0.18	
со	0.012	0.29	1.28	
VOC	0.010	0.24	1.06	
SO ₂	5.95E-06	1.45E-04	6.33E-04	
CO2e	833	Fuel	88,660	
Benzene (71-43-2)	1.36E-05	3.30E-04	1.45E-03	
Carbon disulfide (75-15-0)	4.80E-05	1.17E-03	5.11E-03	
Methanol (67-56-1)	2.27E-04	5.52E-03	2.42E-02	
Toluene (108-88-3)	4.43E-05	1.08E-03	4.72E-03	
m, p, o-Xylene (1330-20-7)	3.06E-05	7.44E-04	3.26E-03	
Total HAP	3.64E-04	0.0088	0.039	

Table 2. Facility Total and Project Emissions Summary and PSEL Comparison

		Plant Site Emission Limits (PSEL)			Synthetic Minor		
Pollutant	Generator Facility Wide Non-Emergency ⁶	Generator Facility Wide Non-Emergency and Emergency ⁶ SOFC Project Emissions Cu		Current PSEL ⁷	PSEL Increase	Proposed PSEL	Post Project Facility Wide Non Emergency and Emergency PTE ⁸
	(tpy)	(tpy)	(tpy)	(tpy)	(tpy)	(tpy)	(tpy)
PM	1.11	2.83	2.34	24	-	24	5.17
PM10	1.11	2.83	2.34	14	-	14	5.17
PM _{2.5}	1.11	2.83	1.60	9	-	9	4.42
NOx	38.81	98.81	0.18	39	-	39	98.99
СО	13.16	33.50	1.28	99	-	99	34.78
VOC	3.69	9.40	1.06	39	-	39	10.46
SO ₂	0.032	0.080	6.33E-04	-	-	N/A	0.081
GHG (CO2e)	3,351	8,527	88,660	74,000	18,011	92,011	97,186
Total HAP	0.44	1.07	0.039	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.10

DocuSign Envelope ID: 8CC7A725-917A-4BF6-A26F-C344F3F7E4F2

Table 3. SOFC GHG Emissions Using 40 CFR 98 Subpart P Methodology

CO2 (metric tons/yr) = 44/22 * Fdstk * CC * MW/MVC * 0.001 Fdstk = Volume of the gaseous fuel used (scf at standard conditions 68°F and CC = Average carbon content of the gaseous fuel (kg C/kg fuel) MW = Average molecular weight of the gaseous fuel (kg/kg-mole)				
MVW = Molar volume conversion fact44/12 = CO2 MW/C MW; 0.001 = kg/	or (scf/kg-mole at STP) MT			
Heat Rate (HHV) ¹	7,127 Btu/kWh			
HHV	1,020 Btu/scf			
Annual Operating Hours	8,760 hrs/yr			
Aggregate Fuel Cell Capacity	24.3 MW			
Fuel Consumption (Fdstk)	1,487,362,976 scf/yr			
Pipeline NG C Content 9	14.43 MMT C/QBtu			
	1.44E-08 MT C/Btu			
	1.47E-05 MT C/scf			
	1.25E-02 MT C/kg-mole NG			
	7.79E-04 MT C/kg NG			
CC	0.78 kg C/kg NG			
MW	16.042 kg CH4 /kg-mol			
MVC	849.5 scf/kg-mol			
Annual CO ₂ Emissions	80,270 MT/yr			
	88,483 tpv			

¹ Emission factors for NOx, CO, VOC, and CO₂e and Heat Rate (HHV):

- Bloom Energy, Inc.; The Bloom Energy Server 5 Data Sheet; bloomenergy.com; 2022.

Emission factor for PM:

- Montrose Air Quality Services, LLC; Source Test Report, 2022 Engineering Testing, Bloom Energy, ES-5 "YUMA" Fuel Power Cell and Ambient Air Background, Sunnyvale, California; Document Number: W005AS-12216A-RT-1974; Test Date: January 11, 2022. Emission factors for HAPs:

- Montrose 2021 Emissions Tests - ES-05 Fuel Cell fired on Natural Gas; Document Number W005AS-006509-RT-1458; dated 3/25/2021.

² Emissions of SO₂ are based on a fuel sulfur content of 0.5 gr S/100 scf for pipeline quality natural gas.

SO2 Emission Factor (lb/MWhr) = Fuel Consumption (scf/hr)/ Rated Power (MW) / (359 scf NG/lb mol NG) x (0.005 lbmol SO2/10^6 lbmol NG) x (64 lb/lbmol SO2)

Where Fuel Consumption = Rated Power (24.3 MW) * Heat Rate (6,562 BTU LHV/kW-hr) / NG LHV (983 BTU/SCF)

³ Hourly Emissions (lb/hr) = Emission Factor (lb/MWh) * Total Fuel Cell Capacity (MW/facility)

⁴ Annual Emissions (ton/yr) = Emission Factor (lb/MWh) * Fuel Cell Capacity (MW/facility) * Annual Operating Hours (hr/yr)

⁵ PM includes filterable and condensable particulate matter and assumes Total PM = PM₁₀. PM_{2.5} includes only condensable PM.

⁶ Current PTE as described in the February 2022 Notice of Construction Application for Ski Lodge generator replacement submitted to Oregon DEQ. The non-emergency PTE calculation methodology uses a limit of 39.0 tpy NOx and distributes fuel throughput based on a ratio of kW availability. Emergency PTE is calculated using the permitted fuel limit and the same fuel weighting method.

⁷ Current PSEL from ACDP No. 25-0062-ST-01 Permit and Review Report issued August 27, 2021.

⁸ This calculation is included to verify the facility remains a synthetic minor as it relates to the Title V Program.

⁹ Carbon content of pipeline natural gas determined by EPA Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2019, ANNEX 2 Methodology and Data for Estimating CO2 Emissions from Fossil Fuel Combustion (April 2021). Units of measure are million metric tons of carbon per quadrillion British thermal units.

Type: Ski Lodge Gen (750 kW) - Pre-Project Unit

Pollutant	EF (lbs/hr) ¹	Hours/yr	Total Emissions (tpy) ²
PM/PM10/PM2.5	0.17	100	8.45E-03
SO 2	1.15E-02	100	5.76E-04
NOx	13.69	100	0.68
CO	2.53	100	0.13
VOC	1.04	100	5.21E-02

Emission factors taken from the manufacturer specifcation sheet. PM includes both manufacturer specified PM

¹ emissions and HC emission factor to accommodate potential condensable particulate.

² Total Emissions based proposed number of 1 generators

Type: Ski Lodge Gen (1500 kW) - Post-Project Unit

Pollutant	EF (lbs/hr) ³	EF (lbs/hr) ³ Hours/yr	
PM/PM10/PM2.5	0.29	100	1.46E-02
SO 2	0.022	100	1.09E-03
NOx	26.65	100	1.33
CO	2.42	100	0.12
VOC	0.62	100	3.11E-02

Emission factors taken from the manufacturer specification sheet. PM includes both manufacturer specified PM

³ emission and HC emission factor to accommodate potential condensable particulate.

⁴ Total Emissions based proposed number of 1 generators

Emission Summary

Pollutant		Project Change (tpy)	De Minims Threshold (tpy)	Exceeds Threshold?
PM/PM10/PM2.5 6.18E-03		1	No	
	SO ₂ 5.18E-04		1	No
	NOx	0.65	1	No
CO		-5.39E-03	1	No
	VOC	-2.09E-02	1	No

Pollutant	Total Emissions (tpy) 750 kW Ski Lodge	Total Emissions (tpy) 1500 kW Ski Lodge	Project Change (tpy) ⁵	De Minims Threshold (tpy)	Exceeds Threshold?
PM/PM10/PM2.5	8.45E-03	1.46E-02	6.18E-03	1	No
SO 2	5.76E-04	1.09E-03	5.18E-04	1	No
NOx	0.68	1.33	0.65	1	No
CO	0.13	0.12	-5.39E-03	1	No
VOC	5.21E-02	3.11E-02	-2.09E-02	1	No
GHG	69.36	45.32	-24.04	2,756	No
Combined HAP	0.010	0.0071	-0.0032	1	No

⁵ Project emissions increases for the replacement are based on potential emissions from the proposed engine minus the potential emissions from the currently permitted C18 750 kW Ski Lodge emergency generator. Project emission increase is then compared against de minims emission level as defined in OAR 340-200-0020(39)

PDX109 Sources

The manufacturers' information includes emissions factors (expressed in lbs/hr) for a range of generator loads for all engine types used at the facility. For each criteria pollutant, the highest emissions factor from all the engines and loads was selected. Those emissions factors then were converted to pounds per unit fuel (e.g., gallons for diesel) based on fuel consumption (supplied by the manufacturer) at the electrical load corresponding to the highest emissions factor. This worst case lbs/gal emission factor is applied to all engines under any load. Copies of the manufacturers' information and a spreadsheet documenting the above procedure are maintained at the facility and available for review by the DEQ.

Generator Type		kw	Number	Fuel Rate (25% load) GPH	Fuel Rate (75% load) GPH	Fuel Rate (100% load) GPH
Cat 3516C Trans	Type A	1,825	1	43.4	101.4	128.4
Cat3516C-HD	Type B	2,500	104	57.9	134.9	173.5
Fire Pump	Fire Pump	90	2	N/A	N/A	8.9
CAT C18 600 LW (House gen)	Type C	600	4	12.2	33.8	42.1
CAT 3512C 1500 kW (Ski Lodge)	Type D	1,500	1	33.5	81.0	103.2
CAT C15 450 kW (IW Gen)	Type E	450	1	11.8	27.7	34.3
CAT C4.4 100 kW Security Gen	Type F	100	1	4.5	6.1	7.6
Total			114			

Note:

PDX109 "Campus" at Full Build Out, including deferred generators

Total# Engines Auth'd by Permit & NOIs>	114	Engines	# Engines after approval>	114
			# Engines to be authorized>	0
Max Emissions Easters (Efc)				

Max Emissions Factors (Ers)							
Generator		CO ₂ e	PM/PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5}	SO ₂	NOx	CO	VOC
				lbs/ga	I		
Cat 3516C Trans	Туре А	2.25E+01	1.28E-02	2.12E-04	2.48E-01	8.94E-02	2.95E-02
Cat3516C-HD	Туре В	2.25E+01	7.43E-03	2.12E-04	2.61E-01	8.81E-02	2.49E-02
Fire Pump	Fire Pump	2.25E+01	3.48E-03	2.12E-04	1.07E-01	3.77E-02	3.48E-03
CAT C18 600 kW (House gen)	Туре С	2.25E+01	5.89E-03	2.12E-04	4.01E-01	1.24E-01	1.26E-02
CAT 3512C 1500 kW (Ski Lodge)	Type D	2.25E+01	9.45E-03	2.12E-04	2.77E-01	1.24E-01	2.91E-02
CAT C15 450 kW (IW Gen)	Type E	2.25E+01	9.52E-03	2.12E-04	1.68E-01	1.29E-01	1.61E-02
CAT C4 100 kW Security Gen	Type F	2.25E+01	3.48E-03	2.12E-04	9.89E-02	2.61E-02	4.35E-03
Max	EF (all gens)>	2.25E+01	1.28E-02	2.12E-04	4.01E-01	1.29E-01	2.95E-02

NOx Ceiling Calculation NOx limit (lbs/year) T&M hours/year (Main Gens) Fire Pump hours/year

198,000 20 27

			NOx EF lbs/gal	NOx Ibs/year T&M	75% Load NOx lbs/hr	100% Load NOx lbs/hr
	Transitory Gen	Туре А	2.48E-01	215	25.10	31.79
Г	Cat3516C-HD	Туре В	2.61E-01	31,407	3658.67	4705.55
	Fire Pump	Fire Pump	1.07E-01	52	Not Available	1.91
	CAT C18 600 kW (House gen)	Туре С	4.01E-01	391	5.42E+01	67.50
	CAT 3512C 1500 kW (Ski Lodge)	Type D	2.77E-01	186	2.24E+01	28.60
	CAT C15 450 kW (IW Gen)	Type E	1.68E-01	39	4.65E+00	5.77
	CAT C4 100 kW Security Gen	Type F	9.89E-02	20	6.03E-01	0.75
		Tot	tal T&M Emissions	32,310	16.15	< T&M Nox T
			Remaining (lbs)	165,690	82.85	< Total TPY r

198,000

Non T&M Hours (75% Load)	44.00
Non T&M Hours (100% Load)	34.22
Nox EF (lb/gal)	2.61E-01

Calculations for 99 tons per year (TDV) NOv				
The Title V (Major Source) threshold for any air po	ollutant is 100 tons/year			
Major Source Limit, tons	200000		ToncNOv	
Major Source Limit, Ibs	198000	99	ner Vear	
Design Linnt, ibs at 33 171 NOX	198000	55	per rear	
		Sitewide Fuel Limit		
Calculated Fuel Consumption; S	withetic Minor Source Limit at 99 TPY->>	756,892		
Current Permit Limit; S	ynthetic Minor Source Limit at 99 TPY->>	758,609		
	Site	ewide Emissions From Fuel Limit	Tons/year	
		PM/PM10/PM25	2.8	
		\$O ₂	0.1	
		NO	98.81	
		C0	33.5	
		VOC	9.4	
	_			
Total Permitted GeneratorsEngines in kW	266,455 kW Total	TRUE	< Checksum w/ "Sour	ces" tab
lotal sizes kw	266,455 KW Total			
Type: Cat 3516C Trans				
Aggregate Rating: 1,825 kW Total	EF (lbs/gal)	Max Gallons/year	Tons/year	lb/hr
PM/PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5}	1.28E-02	106,232	0.03	1.64
SO ₂	2.12E-04	6,385,200	0.001	0.027
NOx	2.48E-01	5,479	0.68	31.79
20	2 955-02	45 903	0.24	2 70
Voc	Min gal/yr for 99 TPY calc.	5,479	0.08	3.75
Type: Cat3516C-HD				
Aggregate Rating: 260,000 kW Total	EF (lbs/gal)	Max Gallons/year	Tons/year	lb/hr
PM/PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5}	7.43E-03	25,987,980	2.75	134
SO ₂	2.12E-04	909,681,730	0.08	3.83
NO _x	2.61E-01	739,717	96.45	4,706
со	8.81E-02	2,193,079	32.58	1,590
VOC	2.49E-02	7,757,606	9.21	449
	Min gal/yr for 99 TPY calc.	739,717		
Type: Fire Pump		Collons wear for TSM	Tonshioor	lb/br
Aggregate Rating. 100 RW 10tal	2 /8E-03	481	0.0008	0.062
SO ₂	2.12E-04	481	0.0001	0.002
NO ₂	1.07E-01	481	0.0258	1.91
со	3.77E-02	481	0.0091	0.67
VOC	3.48E-03	481	0.0008	0.062
	Min gal/yr for 99 TPY calc.	481		
Type: CAT C18 600 kW (House gen)			_ /	
Aggregate Rating: 2,400 kW Total	EF (lbs/gal)	Gallons/year for T&M	Tons/year	lb/hr
۲۱۷۱/۲۱۷۱ ₁₀ /۲۱۷۱ _{2.5} ۵ <u>۰</u> -	2.09E-03	SU2,857 8 A17 220	0.01	0.99
30 <u>2</u>	4.01F-01	4.450	0.89	67.50
CO	1.24E-01	14,422	0.28	20.82
VOC	1.26E-02	141,965	0.03	2.12
	Min gal/yr for 99 TPY calc.	4,450		
Type: CAT 3512C 1500 kW (Ski Lodge) Aggregate Rating: 1,500 kW Total	EF (lbs/gal)	Gallons/year for T&M	Tons/year	lb/hr
PM/PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5}	9.45E-03	117,890	0.019018499	0.98
SO ₂	2.12E-04	5,257,712	0.0004	0.022
NO _x	2.77E-01	4,023	0.557	28.60
CO	1.24E-01	9,016	0.249	12.76
VOC	2.91E-02 Min gal/yr for 99 TPY calc.	4,023	0.059	3.00
		,		
Aggregate Rating: 450 kW Total	EF (lbs/gal)	Gallons/vear for T&M	Tons/vear	lb/hr
PM/PM ₁₀ /PM ₂₅	9.52E-03	35,126	0.009	0.33
SO ₂	2.12E-04	1,577,314	0.0002	0.0073
NO _x	1.68E-01	1,991.0	0.167	5.77
со	1.29E-01	2,600	0.128	4.42
Voc	1.61E-02	20,789	0.016	0.55
	Min gal/yr for 99 TPY calc.	1,991		

PM/PM10/PM2.5 SO₂ NOx co voc

Type: CAT C4 100 kW Security Gen

Number: 100 kW Total

EF (lbs/gal)	Gallons/year for T&M	Tons/year	lb/hr
3.48E-03	21,348	0.001	0.026
2.12E-04	349,878	0.0001	0.0016
9.89E-02	752	0.037	0.75
2.61E-02	2,847	0.010	0.20
4.35E-03	17,078	0.002	0.033
Min gal/yr for 99 TPY calc.	752		

Calculations for 39 tons per year (TPY) NOx

The Title V (Major Source) threshold for any air pollutant is 10	00 tons/year		
Major Source Limit, tons	100		
Major Source Limit, Ibs	200000		Tons NOx
Design Limit, lbs at 39 TPY NOx	78000	39	per Year

			Sitewide Fuel Limit	
	Columbrad Final Communities	Curch ship Minor Courses Limit at 20 TDV	Gal/year	
	Calculated Fuel Consumption; Current Permit Limit;	Synthetic Minor Source Limit at 39 TPY->>	297,458	
			Sitewide Emissions From Fuel Limit	
				Tons/year
			PM/PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5}	1.1
			SO ₂	0.03
			NOx	38.81
			VOC	13.2
				5.7
Total Permitted G Total sizes kW	eneratorsEngines in kW	266,455 kW Total 266,455 kW Total	TRUE	< Checksum w/ "Sources" tab
Type: Cat 3516C Trans				
Number: 1,825 kW Total		EF (lbs/gal)	Max Gallons/year	Tons/year
	PM/PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5}	1.28E-02	41,849	0.01
	SO ₂	2.12E-04	2,515,408	0.0002
	NOx	2.48E-01	2,159	0.27
	CO	8.94E-02	5,979	0.10
	VOC	2.95E-02 Min gal/yr for 99 TPV calc	18,083	0.03
Type: Cat3516C-HD		Will gal/yr for 55 fe'r calc.	2,133	1
Number: 260.000 kW Total		EF (lbs/gal)	Max Gallons/year	Tons/year
	PM/PM10/PM2.5	7.43E-03	10.237.689	1.08
	SO2	2.12E-04	358,359,470	0.03
	NO.	2 61F-01	290,400	37.87
	CO	8.81F-02	863 940	12 79
	VOC	2.49E-02	3.056.027	3.62
		Min gal/yr for 99 TPY calc.	290,400	
Type: Fire Pump				1
Number: 180 kW Total		EF (lbs/gal)	Gallons/year for T&M	Tons/year
	PM/PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5}	3.48E-03	481	0.0008
	SO2	2.12E-04	481	0.0001
	NO _x	1.07E-01	481	0.0258
	CO	3.77E-02	481	0.0091
	VOC	3.48E-03	481	0.0008
T	(Jacoba 1997)	Min gal/yr for 99 TPY calc.	481	
Number: 2 400 kW Total	House gen)	EE (lbs/gal)	Gallons/year for T&M	Tops/year
Number: 2,400 KW 10tal	PM/PM/PM	5 89F-03	119 308	0.005161394
	SO ₂	2.12E-04	3.313.952	0.0002
	NO.	4.01E-01	1.753	0.35
	со	1.24E-01	5,682	0.11
	VOC	1.26E-02	55,926	0.01
		Min gal/yr for 99 TPY calc.	1,753	
Type: CAT 3512C 1500 k	W (Ski Lodge)			•
Number: 1,500 kW Total		EF (lbs/gal)	Gallons/year for T&M	Tons/year
	PM/PM10/PM2.5	9.45E-03	46,442	0.007
	SO ₂	2.12E-04	2,071,220	0.0002
	NO _x	2.77E-01	1,585	0.220
	0	2.915-02	3,332	0.098
	VOC	Min gal/yr for 99 TPY calc.	1,585	0.023
Type: CAT C15 450 kW (I	W Gen)			_ /
Number: 450 kW Total	D14/D14 /D14	EF (lbs/gal)	Gallons/year for T&M	Tons/year
	PIVI/PIVI ₁₀ /PIVI _{2.5}	9.52E-03	13,030	0.004
	30 ₂	1.685-01	785	0.0001
	NO _x	1.082-01	1 024	0.000
	VOC	1.61E-02	8.190	0.030
	voc	Min gal/yr for 99 TPY calc.	785	0.000
Type: CAT C4 100 kW Se	curity Gen			
Number: 100 kW Total		EF (lbs/gal)	Gallons/year for T&M	Tons/year
	PM/PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5}	3.48E-03	8,410	0.001
	5U ₂	2.12E-04	137,831	0.00003
	NOx	9.89E-02	296	0.015

1,122 6,728 **296**

0.004

2.61E-02 4.35E-03 Min gal/yr for 99 TPY calc.

со voc DocuSign Envelope ID: 8CC7A725-917A-4BF6-A26F-C344F3F7E4F2

GHG Calculations

	CO ₂ e				
	Emission	Annual Usage -	Annual Usage -	Annual Emissions -	Annual Emissions -
	Factor	Total	Non-Emergency	Total	Non-Emergency
Emission Unit	(lbs/gal)	(gallons/yr)	(gallons/yr)	(tpy)	(tpy)
Cat 3516C Trans	22.53	5,479	2,159	61.7	24.3
Cat3516C-HD	22.53	739,717	290,400	8,333	3,272
Fire Pump	22.53	481	481	5.41	5.41
CAT C18 600 kW (House gen)	22.53	4,450	1,753	50.1	19.7
CAT 3512C 1500 kW (Ski Lodge)	22.53	4,023	1,585	45.3	17.9
CAT C15 450 kW (IW Gen)	22.53	1,991	785	22.4	8.84
CAT C4 100 kW Security Gen	22.53	752	296	8.47	3.33
			Total	8,527	3,351

uSign Envelope ID: 8CC7A725-917A-4BF6-A26F-C344F3F7E4F2

				Emissio (lbs/1	on Factor ¹ L000 gal)			Maxim	um Projected Emi	ssions (lbs/yr) ²	3,4		
Pollutant	CAS	HAP?	Cold Start? 3,4	2500 kW Units	All Other Units	CAT 2500 KW	CAT 1825 KW	CAT 600 kW	CAT 1500 kW	CAT 100 kW	CAT 450 kW	Fire Pumps	TOTAL
L,3-Butadiene	106-99-0	Yes	Yes	0	2.17E-01	0.00E+00	1.23	1.02	0.91	0.17	0.44	0.12	3.89
Acenaphthene - PAH	83-32-9	Yes - PAH	Yes	5.70E-04		0.44							0.44
Icenaphthylene - PAH	208-96-8	Yes - PAH	Yes	6.78E-04		0.52							0.52
Acetaldehyde	75-07-0	Yes	Yes	0	7.83E-01	0.00E+00	4.44	3.68	3.27	0.60	1.60	0.42	14.00
Acrolein	107-02-8	Yes	Yes	3.39E-02	3.39E-02	25.98	0.19	0.16	0.14	0.03	0.07	0.02	26.58
Immonia	7664-41-7	No	No	8.00E-01	8.00E-01	591.77	4.38	3.56	3.22	0.60	1.59	0.38	605.51
Inthracene - PAH	120-12-7	Yes - PAH	Yes	3.14E-04		0.24							0.24
Intimony	7440-36-0	No	No	2.54E-04		0.19							0.19
rsenic	7440-38-2	Yes	No	1.94E-04	1.60E-03	0.14	8.77E-03	7.12E-03	6.44E-03	1.20E-03	3.19E-03	7.69E-04	0.17
arium	7440-39-3	No	No	6.91E-04		0.51							0.51
enz[a]anthracene - PAH	56-55-3	Yes - PAH	Yes	4.00E-05		0.03							0.03
enzene	71-43-2	Yes	Yes	0	1.86E-01	0.00E+00	1.06	0.88	0.78	0.14	0.38	0.10	3.33
enzofalmmene - PAH	50-32-8	Vee	Vee	1.28E-05	3 55E-05	0.77F_03	2.01E-04	1.67E-04	1.48F-04	2 71E-05	7.25E-05	1 80F-05	1.04F-02
enzol bifluoranthene - PAH	205-99-2	Yes - PAH	Yes	3.39E-05		0.03							0.03
anno anno - PAH	197-97-2	Yes - PAH	Yee	2.56E-05		0.02							0.02
crace pyrene - ran	192-9/-2	ICS - FAIT	105	2.301-03		0.02							0.02
senzolg,n,ijperviene - PAH	191-24-2	res - PAH	res	1.91E-05		1.4/E-02							1.4/E-02
enzo[k]nuorantnene - PAn	207-08-9	tes - PArt	tes	1.01E-05		7.77E-03							7.77E-03
leryllium	7440-41-7	Yes	No	0		0.00E+00							0.00E+00
admium	7440-43-9	Yes	No	0	1.50E-03	0.00E+00	8.22E-03	6.68E-03	6.03E-03	1.13E-03	2.99E-03	7.21E-04	0.03
hrysene - PAH	218-01-9	Yes - PAH	Yes	6.35E-05		0.05							0.05
iobalt	7440-48-4	Yes	Yes	0	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Copper	7440-50-8	no	No	0	4.10E-03	0.00E+00	0.02	0.02	0.02	3.08E-03	8.16E-03	1.97E-03	0.07
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene - PAH	53-70-3	Yes - PAH	Yes	2.67E-07		2.05E-04							2.05E-04
thyl Benzene	100-41-4	Yes	Yes	0	1.09E-02	0.00E+00	0.06	0.05	0.05	8.32E-03	0.02	5.79E-03	0.19
luoranthene - PAH	206-44-0	Yes - PAH	Yes	2.67E-04		0.20							0.20
luorene - PAH	86-73-7	Yes - PAH	Yes	1.57E-03		1.20							1.20
formaldehyde	50-00-0	Yes	Yes	2.29E+00	1.73E+00	1762.37	9.84	8.18	7.25	1.32	3.54	0.93	1,793
lexane	110-54-3	Yes	Yes	0	2.69E-02	0.00E+00	0.15	0.13	0.11	0.02	0.05	1.43E-02	0.48
lexavalent chromium	18540-29-9	Yes	No	3.31E-04	1.00E-04	0.25	5.48E-04	4.45E-04	4.02E-04	7.52E-05	1.99E-04	4.81E-05	0.25
lydrogen Chloride	7647-01-0	Yes	Yes	1.86E-01	1.86E-01	142.75	1.06	0.88	0.78	0.14	0.38	0.10	146
ndeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene - PAH	193-39-5	Yes - PAH	Yes	7.85E-06		6.02E-03							6.02E-03
ead	7439-92-1	Yes	No	2.38E-04	8.30E-03	0.18	0.05	0.04	0.03	6.24E-03	0.02	3.99E-03	0.32
langanese	7439-96-5	Yes	No	2.08E-04	3.10E-03	0.15	1.70E-02	1.38E-02	1.25E-02	2.33E-03	6.17E-03	1.49E-03	0.21
lercury	7439-97-6	Yes	No	1.28E-05	2.00E-03	9.47E-03	1.10E-02	8.90E-03	8.05E-03	1.50E-03	3.98E-03	9.61E-04	0.04
-Methyl naphthalene - PAH	91-57-6	Yes - PAH	Yes	8.80E-03		6.74						1	6.74
laphthalene	91-20-3	Yes	Yes	1.70E-02	1.97E-02	13.03	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.02	0.04	1.05E-02	13.38
lickel	7440-02-0	Yes	No	1.86E-04	3.90E-03	0.14	0.02	0.02	0.02	2.93E-03	7.76E-03	1.87E-03	0.20
erylene - PAH	198-55-0	Yes - PAH	Yes	3.51E-07		2.69E-04							2.69E-04
henanthrene - PAH	85-01-8	Yes - PAH	Yes	3.83E-03		2.94							2.94
hosphorus	504	No	No	5.49E-03		4.06							4.06
yrene - PAH	129-00-0	Yes - PAH	Yes	9.40E-04		0.72							0.72
AHs (excluding Naphthalene)	1151	Yes	Yes		3.62E-02		0.21	0.17	0.15	0.03	0.07	0.02	0.65
elenium	7782-49-2	Yes	No	0	2.20E-03	0.00E+00	1.21E-02	9.79E-03	8.85E-03	1.65E-03	4.38E-03	1.06E-03	0.04
ilver	7440-22-4	No	No	0		0.00E+00							0.00E+00
hallium	7440-28-0	No	No	0		0.00E+00							0.00E+00
oluene	108-88-3	Yes	Yes	1.05E-01	1.05E-01	80.76	0.60	0.50	0.44	0.08	0.22	0.06	82.65
lylenes	1330-20-7	Yes	Yes	4.24E-02	4.24E-02	32.49	0.24	0.20	0.18	0.03	0.09	0.02	33.25
Sinc	7440-66-6	no	No	5.03E-03	5.03E-03	3.72	0.03	0.02	0.02	3.78E-03	1.00E-02	2.42E-03	3.81

Maximum project emissions are determin previously submitted CAO emission inven associated with SOFC installation. The rec Total CAT 2500 kW	ed multiplying the e tory, modeling prot fuction in throughp 739,71	mission factor by th ocol, and risk assess ut requested results 7 gallons/year	e projected fuel throug ment work plan for inco in a decrease to polluta for	hput. Annual fuel throu proorating the Ski Lodg ntemissions; therefore 104	ghput for the combined s le generator engine replac a, the previously submitter engines	source is determined to ensure that foculty wide ensistons are less than OEEG paners clant the ensiston limits. Orapo DEG has sporved the comman at 70% OLB Mernisoria have been updated addyn yields incorport to account to fort the dicrosor to forthy wide diself during wide d CAD documentation is a more conservative representation of the facility impacts. Annual fuel throughput for each source group is as follows:
Total CAT 1825 KW	5,47	9 gallons/year	for	1	engines	
Total CAT 600 kW	4,45	0 gallons/year	for	4	engines	
Total CAT 1500 kW	4,02	3 gallons/year	for	1	engines	
Total CAT 100 kW	75	2 gallons/year	for	1	engines	
Total CAT 450 kW	1,99	1 gallons/year	for	1	engines	
Total Fire Pump	48	1 gallons/year	for	2	engines	
Spike duration, cold-start emission spike, state emissions for the initial 60 seconds. and the remaining 59 minutes in the hour assessment for emergency engines, the	and steady-state (w Since a cold-start o operating steady s cold start emissions	varm) emissions bas curve was not develo tate. The cold start of are accounted for f	ed on data from Califon sped by CEC, it is assum emission ratio shown be toxics that are organics	nia Energy Commission ned that the PM will exp slow on hourly basis will and DPM. Metal toxics	n (CEC) "Air Quality Implic perience the same trend a Il be applied as: the hourly a do not have higher emis	ations of backup Generators in California. The cold-start scaling factor is derived as the ratio of the spike concentration and duration to the steady- ests (C. and formal decive) will experiment the same tront as C.O. Kool start event sames. In initiate of calif start operation will begin in emissions emission rate with cold start event. = normal hourly emission rate x (1+ratio shown below on hourly basis). Consistent with DEQ's guidance on risk store during cold start events.
Pollutant	Spike Duration (seconds)	Cold-Start Emission Spike (ppm)	Steady-State (Warm) Emissions (ppm)	Cold-Start Scaling Factor	Cold Start Emission Ratio on Hourly Basis	
PM and Organics	14	900	30	4.27	0.05	
CO and Formaldehyde	20	750	30	4.83	0.06	
•Number of cold start events are based or Total CAT 2500 kW Total CAT 1825 W Total CAT 1825 W Total CAT 1500 kW Total CAT 100 kW Total CAT 400 kW Total CAT 450 kW	the same assump 2808 27 108 27 27 27 27 27	tions used to calcul cold start events/y cold start events/y cold start events/y cold start events/y cold start events/y cold start events/y	ate daily and annual fu r. r. r. r. r. r.	el throughputs (see fo 173.5 128.4 42.1 103.2 7.6 34.3	otnote 3 above). It is ass gallon per hour per engli gallon per hour per engli	amed db at each CAT generator has 22 cold start events per year and each fire pump has 52 cold start events per year. er at 10% load er at 10% load er at 10% load
Total Fire Pump	104	cold start events/y	r.	8.9	gallon per hour per engli	ine at 100% load

cuSign Envelope ID: 8CC7A725-917A-48F6-A26F-C344F3F7E4

				Emissi (lbs/	on Factor ¹ 1000 gal)			Maximu	m Projected Emi	ssions (lbs/yr) ^{2,}	3,4		
Pollutant	CAS	HAP?	Cold Start? 3,4	2500 kW Units	All Other Units	CAT 2500 KW	CAT 1825 KW	CAT 600 kW	CAT 1500 kW	CAT 100 kW	CAT 450 kW	Fire Pumps	TOTAL
3-Butadiene	106-99-0	Yes	TES	0	2.1/E-01	0.00E+00	0.51	0.43	0.38	0.07	0.18	0.12	1.69
enaphthene - PAH	83-32-9	Yes - PAH	Yes	5.70E-04		0.18							0.18
enaphthylene - PAH	208-96-8	Yes - PAH	Yes	6.78E-04		0.21							0.21
staldehyde	75-07-0	Yes	Yes	0	7.83E-01	0.00E+00	1.84	1.57	1.36	0.24	0.65	0.42	6.08
olein	107-02-8	Yes	Yes	3.39E-02	3.39E-02	10.74	0.08	0.07	0.06	1.04E-02	0.03	0.02	11.01
monia	7664-41-7	No	No	8.00E-01	8.00E-01	232.32	1.73	1.40	1.27	0.24	0.63	0.38	237.97
hracene - PAH	120-12-7	Yes - PAH	Yes	3.14E-04		0.10							0.10
imony	/440-36-0	NO	NO	2.54E-04		0.07							0.07
enic	7440-38-2	Yes	No	1.94E-04	1.60E-03	0.06	3.45E-03	2.80E-03	2.54E-03	4.74E-04	1.26E-03	7.69E-04	0.07
ium	7440-39-3	No	No	6.91E-04		0.20							0.20
nz[a]anthracene - PAH	56-55-3	Yes - PAH	Yes	4.00E-05		1.27E-02							1.27E-02
izene	71-43-2	Yes	Yes	0	1.86E-01	0.00E+00	0.44	0.37	0.32	0.06	0.16	0.10	1.45
zofalpyrene - PAH	50-32-8	Yes	Yes	1.28E-05	3.55E-05	4.04E-03	8.33E-05	7.10E-05	6.17E-05	1.09E-05	2.97E-05	1.89E-05	4.32E-03
zo[b]fluoranthene - PAH	205-99-2	Yes - PAH	Yes	3.39E-05		1.07E-02							1.07E-02
rofelmirene - PAH	197-97-7	Yes - PAH	Vec	2.565-05		8.11E-03							8 11E-03
zofa h ilnendene - PAH	191-74-7	Yes - PAH	Yes	1.915-05		6.06E-02							6.06E-03
Lot and the second seco	191-29-2	ICS-FAD	i es	1.910-05		0.000-03							0.002-03
nzo[k]fluoranthene - PAH	207-08-9	Yes - PAH	Yes	1.01E-05		3.21E-03							3.21E-03
yllium	7440-41-7	Yes	No	0		0.00E+00							0.00E+00
Imium	/440-43-9	Yes	NO	0	1.50E-03	0.00E+00	3.24E-03	2.63E-03	2.58E-03	4.44E-04	1.18E-03	7.21E-04	1.06E-02
ysene - PAH	218-01-9	Yes - PAH	Yes	6.35E-05		0.02							0.02
alt	/440-48-4	Yes	Yes	U	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
sper	7440-50-8	no	NO	0	4.10E-03	0.000+00	8.852-03	7.196-03	0.50E-03	1.21E-03	3.22E-03	1.97E-03	0.03
Poppop	100 41 4	Tes - PAIT	Tes Voc	2.0/L-0/	1.005.00	0.005.00	0.02	0.07	0.02	2 255 02	0.115.02	E 70E 02	0.402-03
Vanthene - VAH	100-41-4	Yes - PAH	Yee	0 7.575-04	1.092-02	0.002700	0.03	0.02	0.02	3.336-03	9.112-03	3.792-03	0.08
vene - PAH	86-73-7	Yes - PAH	Yee	1.57E-03		0.50							0.00
maldehude	50-00-0	Yee	Yee	2.79E±00	1 73E±00	735.10	4.11	3.53	3.04	0.53	1.45	0.93	748 70
ane	110-54-5	Yes	Yes	11	2.69E-02	0.005+00	0.05	0.05	0.05	8.765-03	0.02	1.431-02	0.21
avalent chromium	18540-29-9	Yes	No	2.04E-03	1.00E-04	0.59	2.16E-04	1.75E-04	1.59E-04	2.96E-05	7.85E-05	4.81E-05	0.59
frogen Chloride	7647-01-0	Yes	Yes	1.86E-01	1.86E-01	59.04	0.44	0.37	0.32	0.06	0.16	0.10	60.49
enol1.2.3-cd pyrene - PAH	193-39-5	Yes - PAH	Tes	7.85E-Ub		2.49E-U.3							2.49E-03
d	7439-92-1	Yes	No	2.38E-04	8.30E-03	0.07	0.02	1.45E-02	1.32E-02	2.46E-03	6.52E-03	3.99E-03	0.13
Iganese	7439-96-5	Yes	No	2.08E-04	3.10E-03	0.06	6.69E-03	5.43E-03	4.91E-03	9.18E-04	2.43E-03	1.49E-03	0.08
cury	/459-97-6	res	NO	1.28E-05	2.00E-03	3./2E-U3	4.32E-U3	3.51E-03	3.1/E-U3	5.92E-04	1.5/E-03	9.61E-U4	0.02
lethyl naphthalene - PAH	91-57-6	Yes - PAH	Yes	8.80E-03		2.79							2.79
ohthalene	91-20-3	Yes	Yes	1.70E-02	1.97E-02	5.39	0.05	0.04	0.03	6.05E-03	0.02	1.05E-02	5.54
68	/440-02-0	Yes	NO	1.86E-04	3.90E-03	0.05	8.42E-03	6.84E-03	6.18E-03	1.15E-03	3.06E-0.3	1.8/E-U3	0.08
ylene - PAH	198-55-0	Yes - PAH	Yes	3.51E-07		1.11E-04							1.11E-04
nanthrene - PAH	85-01-8	Yes - PAH	Yes	3.83E-03		1.21							1.21
sphorus	504	NO	NO	5.49E-03		1.59							1.59
ene - PAH	129-00-0	Yes - PAH	Yes	9.40E-04		0.30							0.30
is (excluding Naphthalene)	1151	Yes	Yes		3.62E-02		0.08	0.07	0.06	1.11E-02	0.03	0.02	0.28
enium	//82-49-2	Yes	NO	U	2.20E-03	0.00E+00	4./5E-03	3.86E-03	3.49E-03	6.51E-04	1./3E-U3	1.06E-03	0.02
er	7440-22-4	No	No	0		0.00E+00							0.00E+00
llium	7440-28-0	No	No	0		0.00E+00							0.00E+00
Jene	108-88-3	Yes	Yes	1.05E-01	1.05E-01	33.40	0.25	0.21	0.18	0.03	0.09	0.06	34.22
enes	1330-20-7	Yes	Yes	4.24E-02	4.24E-02	13.44	0.10	0.08	0.07	1.30E-02	0.04	0.02	13.77
	7440-66-6	00	No	5.03E-03	5.03E-03	1.46	1.09E-02	8.82E-03	7.97E-03	1.49E-03	3.95E-03	2.42E-03	1.50

Number of cold start events are based of	on the same as	sumptions used to calculate daily a	nd annual fuel throughputs (see footne	ote 3 above). It is assumed that each CAT get	herator has 27 cold start events per year and each fire pump
Total CAT 2500 kV	V 2808	cold start events/yr.	173.5	gallon per hour per engine at 100% load	
Total CAT 1825 KV	V 27	cold start events/yr.	128.4	gallon per hour per engine at 100% load	
Total CAT 600 kV	V 108	cold start events/yr.	42.1	gallon per hour per engine at 100% load	
Total CAT 1500 KV	V 27	cold start events/yr.	103.2	gallon per hour per engine at 100% load	
Total CAT 100 KV	V 27	cold start events/yr.	7.6	gallon per hour per engine at 100% load	
Total CAT 450 KV	V 27	cold start events/yr.	34.3	gallon per hour per engine at 100% load	
Total Fire Pum	P 104	cold start events/yr.	8.9	gallon per hour per engine at 100% load	

Table B3-1. Total HAPs

	Potential to Emit (pounds/year)		
		Combined Non-	
Hazardous Air Pollutants	Non-emergency	emergency and	
		Emergency	
1,3-Butadiene	1.69	3.89	
Acenaphthene - PAH	0.18	0.44	
Acenaphthylene - PAH	0.21	0.52	
Acetaldehyde	6.08	14.00	
Acrolein	11.01	26.58	
Anthracene - PAH	0.10	0.24	
Arsenic	0.07	0.17	
Benz[a]anthracene - PAH	1.27E-02	0.03	
Benzene	1.45	3.33	
Benzo[a]pyrene - PAH	4.32E-03	1.04E-02	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene - PAH	1.07E-02	0.03	
Benzo[e]pyrene - PAH	8.11E-03	0.02	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene - PAH	6.06E-03	1.47E-02	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene - PAH	3.21E-03	7.77E-03	
Cadmium	1.06E-02	0.03	
Chrysene - PAH	0.02	0.05	
Cobalt	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene - PAH	8.46E-05	2.05E-04	
Ethyl Benzene	0.08	0.19	
Fluoranthene - PAH	0.08	0.20	
Fluorene - PAH	0.50	1.20	
Formaldehyde	749	1,793	
Hexane	0.21	0.48	
Hexavalent chromium	0.59	0.25	
Hydrogen Chloride	60.49	146	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene - PAH	2.49E-03	6.02E-03	
Lead	0.13	0.32	
Manganese	0.08	0.21	
Mercury	0.02	0.04	
2-Methyl naphthalene - PAH	2.79	6.74	
Naphthalene	5.54	13.38	
Nickel	0.08	0.20	
Perylene - PAH	1.11E-04	2.69E-04	
Phenanthrene - PAH	1.21	2.94	
Pyrene - PAH	0.30	0.72	
PAHs (excluding Naphthalene)	0.28	0.65	
Selenium	0.02	0.04	
Toluene	34.22	82.65	
Xylenes	13.77	33.25	
Total HAP Emissions	889.95	2,132.33	

PTE calculated for permitted fuel limits

APPENDIX E. EXEMPT TEU DETERMINATION FOR SOFC

The DEQ has determined that Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFCs) may be designated as Exempt TEUs under the Cleaner Air Oregon (CAO) program. The approval letter is included in this appendix.

DocuSign Envelope ID: 8CC7A725-917A-4BF6-A26F-C344F3F7E4F2





Department of Environmental Quality Agency Headquarters 700 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 600 Portland, OR 97232 (503) 229-5696 FAX (503) 229-6124 TTY 711

June 30, 2022

Amazon Data Services, Inc. P.O. Box 80711 Seattle, WA 98108 Sent via email only

Steven Myers,

DEQ has reviewed the information submitted by Amazon Data Services, Inc. (ADS) for the purposes of determining the Toxics Emissions Unit (TEU) status of Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFCs) under the Cleaner Air Oregon (CAO) program. The information and conservative Level 1 risk analysis provided, including source testing data, is sufficient to demonstrate that Toxic Air Contaminant (TAC) emissions from operations of the SOFCs are not likely to present potential risk levels of concern – i.e., the risks demonstrated are well below the minimum significant digit requirements for rounding error in <u>OAR 340-245-0200(4)</u>. Therefore, DEQ has determined that these TEUs may be designated as Exempt TEUs under the CAO program for the purposes of performing a Risk Assessment.

In the future, please ensure that sources constructing and operating SOFCs report these TEUs with their Emissions Inventory submittals required under the CAO program in order to satisfy the reporting requirements for Exempt TEUs under OAR 340-245-0040(4)(a)(A).

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact me directly at (971.337.4102, JR.giska@deq.oregon.gov).

Sincerely,

J.R. Ġiska Cleaner Air Oregon Program Engineer

Cc: Jason Bowker, Amazon Data Services, Inc. Garrett Koehler, Amazon Data Services, Inc. Beth Ryder, Trinity Consultants Rachel Reese, Trinity Consultants Matt Davis, Oregon DEQ File

APPENDIX F. RED-LINED ACDP CHANGES REQUESTED

The requested changes associated with the Ski Lodge emergency generator replacement and SOFC addition are detailed in the red-lined ACDP No. 25-0062-ST-01 included in this appendix. The Emission Point IDs have also updated for all units to agree with ADS labeling system.
OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STANDARD

AIR CONTAMINANT DISCHARGE PERMIT

Eastern Region 475 NE Bellevue Drive, Suite 110 Bend, OR 97701

This permit is being issued in accordance with the provisions of ORS 468A.040 and based on the land use compatibility findings included in the permit record.

ISSUED TO:

Amazon Data Services, Inc. P.O. Box 80711 Seattle, WA 98108

INFORMATION RELIED UPON:

Application No.:32841Date Received:1/13/2021

PLANT SITE LOCATION:

PDX109 75242 Gar Swanson Road Boardman, OR 97818

LAND USE COMPATIBILITY FINDING:

Approving Authority: Morrow County Approval Date: 12/15/20

ISSUED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

<u>(Signature on File)</u> Mark W. Bailey, Eastern Region Air Quality Manager Aug. 27, 2021

Date

Source(s) Permitted to Discharge Air Contaminants (OAR 340-216-8010):

Table 1 Code	Source Description	SIC/NAICS
N/A	Data Processing	7374/518210
Part B, 87	Emergency Power Generation	4911/221112



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 IDEN	DEVICE, PROCESS AND POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICE (PCD) VTIFICATION	3
2.0	GENERAL EMISSION STANDARDS AND LIMITS	
3.0	SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE AND EMISSION STANDARDS	7
4.0	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS	9
5.0	PLANT SITE EMISSION LIMITS	10
6.0	SOURCE RISK LIMITS (SRL)	10
7.0	COMPLIANCE DEMONSTRATION	11
8.0	SOURCE TESTING	12
9.0	SPECIAL CONDITIONS	13
10.0	RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	14
11.0	REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	16
12.0	ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS	18
13.0	DEQ CONTACTS / ADDRESSES	19
14.0	GENERAL CONDITIONS AND DISCLAIMERS	
15.0	CLEANER AIR OREGON GENERAL CONDITIONS AND DISCLAIMERS	21
16.0	EMISSION FACTORS	24
17.0	TOXIC AIR CONTAMINANT EMISSION LIMITS	25
18.0	PROCESS AND PRODUCTION RECORDS	27
19.0	ABBREVIATIONS, ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS	
20.0	APPLICABILITY OF GENERAL PROVISIONS TO 40 CFR 60, SUBPART III	II29

1.0 DEVICE, PROCESS AND POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICE (PCD) IDENTIFICATION

The devices, processes and pollution control devices regulated by this permit are the following:

Devices and Processes Description	Device ID	Pollution Control Device Description	PCD ID
One hundred and four (104) diesel-fired emergency generator engines, Caterpillar 3516C-HD, rated at 2,500 kW and 3,633 hp, each	PDX109-1.1 through 1.6A/B, PDX109-1C PDX109-2.1 PDX109-2C PDX110-1.1 through 1.6 A/B, PDX110-1C PDX110-2.1 through 2.6A/B, PDX110-2C PDX110-2.1 through 1.6A/B, PDX111-1.1 through 1.6A/B, PDX111-2C PDX111-2.1 through 2.6A/B, PDX111-2C PDX111-2.1 through 1.6A/B, PDX111-2C PDX112-1.1 through 1.6A/B, PDX112-1.1 through 1.6A/B, PDX112-1C PDX112-2.1 through 2.6A/B, PDX112-10 PDX112-20 GEN 01 through 1.6A/B, PDX112-20 GEN 01 through 1.6A/B, PDX112-20	None	N/A
One (1) portable diesel-fired emergency generator engine, Caterpillar 3516C Trans, rated at 1,825 kW and 2,721 hp	PDX109- <u>Trans</u> GEN_TRANS	None	N/A
Two (2) diesel-fired emergency fire pump engines, Clarke JU4H-UFADP0, rated at 90 kW and 121 hp, each	<u>PDX109-FP01</u> , <u>PDX109-FP02</u> FPMP-01 and 02	None	N/A
Four (4) diesel-fired emergency generator engines, Caterpillar C18, rated at 600 kW and 900 hp, each	HOUSE 01 through 04 <u>PDX109-HS01</u> <u>PDX110-HS01</u> <u>PDX111-HS01</u> <u>PDX112-HS01</u>	None	N/A
One (1) diesel-fired emergency generator engine, Caterpillar 3512C, rated at 1,500 kW and 2,206 hpOne (1) diesel-fired emergency generator engine, Caterpillar C18, rated at 750 kW and 1,112 hp	<u>PDX604-SKI01</u> SKI-01	None	N/A

Devices and Processes Description	Device ID	Pollution Control Device Description	PCD ID
One (1) diesel-fired emergency generator engine, Caterpillar C4.4, rated at 100 kW	PDX109-SEC01 SCC-01	None	N/A
One (1) diesel-fired emergency generator engine, Caterpillar C15, rated at 450 kW and 689.3 hp	<u>PDX109-IW01</u> IWW-01	None	N/A
24.3 MW Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFC)	<u>SOFC-01</u>	None	<u>N/A</u>

2.0 GENERAL EMISSION STANDARDS AND LIMITS

2.1. Visible Emissions

Visible emission from all devices and processes, other than fugitive emission sources, must not equal or exceed 20% opacity. Opacity must be measured as a six-minute block average using EPA Method 9 or an alternative monitoring method approved by DEQ that is equivalent to EPA Method 9. [OAR 340-208-0110(1), (2), and (4)]

2.2. Fugitive Emissions

- a. The permittee must take reasonable precautions to prevent fugitive dust emissions from leaving the property of a source. Reasonable precautions include, but are not limited to: [OAR 340-208-0210(1)]
 - i. Using, where possible, water or chemicals for control of dust in the demolition of existing buildings or structures, construction operations, the grading of roads or the clearing of land;
 - ii. Applying water or other suitable chemicals on unpaved roads, materials stockpiles, and other surfaces which can create airborne dusts;
 - iii. Enclosing (full or partial) materials stockpiles in cases where application of water or other suitable chemicals are not sufficient to prevent particulate matter, including dust, from becoming airborne;
 - iv. Installing and using hoods, fans and fabric filters to enclose and vent the handling of dusty materials;
 - v. Installing adequate containment during sandblasting or other similar operations;
 - vi. Covering, at all times when in motion, open bodied trucks transporting materials likely to become airborne; and
 - vii. Promptly removing earth or other material that does or may become airborne from paved streets.
- In no case may fugitive dust emissions leave the property of a source for a period or periods totaling more than 18 seconds in a six-minute period. Fugitive emissions must be measured by EPA Method 22 with the minimum observation time of six minutes. [OAR 340-208-0210(2)]

Permit Number: 25-0062-ST-01 Expiration Date: 8/1/2026 Page 5 of 30

- c. If requested by DEQ, the permittee must: [OAR 340-208-0210(3)]
 - i. Prepare and submit a fugitive emission control plan within 60 days of the request;
 - ii. Implement the DEQ approved plan whenever fugitive emissions leave the property for more than 18 seconds in a six-minute period; and
 - iii. Keep the plan on site and make the plan available upon request.

2.3. Particulate Matter Emissions

The permittee must comply with the following particulate matter emission limits. For fuel burning equipment that burns fuels other than wood, emission results are corrected to 50% excess air.

- a. Particulate matter emissions from emergency generator engines and fire pump engines, and any device or process (other than fugitive emissions sources, fuel burning equipment, refuse burning equipment, or solid fuel burning devices certified under OAR 340-262-0500) that is installed, constructed or modified after April 16, 2015 must not exceed 0.10 grains per dry standard cubic foot. [OAR 340-226-0210(2)(c)]
- b. Particulate matter emissions from any fuel burning equipment (except solid fuel burning devices that have been certified under OAR 340-262-0500) that is installed, constructed or modified on or after April 16, 2015 must not exceed 0.10 grains per dry standard cubic foot, corrected to 12% CO₂ or 50% excess air. [OAR 340-228-0210(2)(c) and OAR 340-228-0210(3)]

2.4. Particulate Matter Fallout

The permittee must not cause or permit the deposition of any particulate matter larger than 250 microns in size at sufficient duration or quantity, as to create an observable deposition upon the real property of another person. [OAR 340-208-0450]

2.5. Nuisance and Odors

The permittee must not cause or allow the emission of odorous or other fugitive emissions so as to create nuisance conditions off the permittee's property. Nuisance conditions will be verified by DEQ personnel. [OAR 340-208-0300]

2.6. Complaint Log

The permittee must maintain a log of all complaints received by the permittee in person, in writing, by telephone or through other means that specifically refer to air pollution, odor or nuisance concerns associated with the permitted facility. Documentation must include: [OAR 340-214-0114]

- a. The date the complaint was received;
- b. The date and time the complaint states the condition was present;
- c. A description of the pollution or odor condition;
- d. The location of the complainant/receptor relative to the plant site;
- e. The status of plant operation or activities during the complaint's stated time of pollution or odor condition; and

f. A record of the permittee's actions to investigate the validity of each complaint and a record of actions taken for complaint resolution.

2.7. Fuels and Fuel Sulfur Content

The permittee must not use any fuels other than ultra-low sulfur diesel (ULSD) fuel that contains no more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight. [40 CFR 60.4207(b)]

2.8. Fuel Usage

The total amount of fuel used in all emergency engines, annually on a rolling 12-month basis, shall not exceed:

- a. <u>299,019297,458</u> gallons of ULSD for non-emergency operations;
- b. <u>758,609756,892</u> gallons of ULSD total, for emergency and non-emergency operations.

3.0 SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE AND EMISSION STANDARDS

3.1. New Source Performance Standards

The permittee must comply with the following requirements of 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII— Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition (CI) Internal Combustion Engines (ICE) for all emergency generator engines and fire pump engines. [40 CFR 60.4200(a)(2)]

- a. <u>Emission Standards:</u>
 - i. Generator engines must comply with the emission standards for new nonroad CI engines in 40 CFR 60.4202, for all pollutants, for the same model year and maximum engine power. [40 CFR 60.4205(b)]
 - ii. Fire pump engines must comply with the emission standards in 40 CFR 60, Subpart IIII, Table 4, for all pollutants. [40 CFR 60.4205(c)]
- b. <u>Fuel Requirements:</u>
 - i. The permittee must use diesel fuel that meets the following requirements: [40 CFR 60.4207(b)]
 - A. Sulfur content: 15 ppm maximum.
 - B. Cetane index or aromatic content, as follows:
 - 1. A minimum cetane index of 40; or
 - 2. A maximum aromatic content of 35 volume percent.
- c. <u>Monitoring Requirements:</u>
 - i. The permittee must install a non-resettable hour meter on each emergency engine prior to startup of the engine. [40 CFR 60.4209(a)]
- d. Labeling:
 - i. Each stationary emergency engine must have a permanent label stating that the engine is for stationary emergency use only. [40 CFR 60.4210(f) and Table 5 of Subpart IIII]
- e. <u>Operation and Maintenance Requirements:</u>
 - i. The permittee must comply by purchasing engines certified to the emission standards in Condition 3.1.a, as applicable, for the same model year and maximum engine power or National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) nameplate engine power. The engines must be installed and configured according to the manufacturer's emission-related specifications, except as permitted in Condition 3.1.e.v. [40 CFR 60.4211(c)]
 - ii. The permittee must operate and maintain stationary engines that achieve the emission standards as required in Condition 3.1.a over the entire life of the engines. [40 CFR 60.4206]
 - iii. The permittee must do all of the following, except as permitted under Condition 3.1.e.v: [40 CFR 60.4211(a)]
 - A. Operate and maintain the engines and control devices according to the manufacturer's emission-related written instructions;
 - B. Change only those emission-related settings that are permitted by the manufacturer; and
 - C. Meet the requirements of 40 CFR Parts 89, 94 and/or 1068, as they apply to the permittee.

- iv. The permittee must operate the emergency stationary engines according to the following operational limitations: [40 CFR 60.4211(f)]
 - A. There is no time limit on the use of emergency stationary engines in emergency situations. [40 CFR 60.4211(f)(1)]
 - B. The permittee may operate the emergency stationary engines for any combination of the purposes specified in Conditions 3.1.e.iv.B.1 through 3.1.e.iv.B.3 for a maximum of 100 hours per calendar year. Any operation for non-emergency situations as allowed by Condition 3.1.e.iv.C counts as part of the 100 hours per calendar year allowed by this Condition. [40 CFR 60.4211(f)(2)]
 - 1. Emergency stationary engines may be operated for maintenance checks and readiness testing, provided that the tests are recommended by federal, state or local government, the manufacturer, the vendor, the regional transmission organization or equivalent balancing authority and transmission operator, or the insurance company associated with the engine. The permittee may petition the Administrator for approval of additional hours to be used for maintenance checks and readiness testing, but a petition is not required if the permittee maintains records indicating that federal, state or local standards require maintenance and testing of emergency engines beyond 100 hours per calendar year.
 - 2. Emergency stationary engines may be operated for emergency demand response for periods in which the Reliability Coordinator under the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) Reliability Standard EOP-002-3, Capacity and Energy Emergencies, or other authorized entity as determined by the Reliability Coordinator, has declared an Energy Emergency Alert Level 2 as defined in the NERC Reliability Standard EOP-002-3.
 - 3. Emergency stationary engines may be operated for periods where there is a deviation of voltage or frequency of 5 percent or greater below standard voltage or frequency.
 - C. Emergency stationary engines may be operated for up to 50 hours per calendar year in non-emergency situations. The 50 hours of operation in non-emergency situations are counted as part of the 100 hours per calendar year for maintenance and testing and emergency demand response provided in Condition 3.1.e.iv.B.
- v. If not installing, configuring, operating and maintaining the engines and control devices according to the manufacturer's emission-related written instructions, or changing emission-related settings in a way that is not permitted by the manufacturer, the permittee must demonstrate compliance as described in 40 CFR 60.4211(g).

- f. <u>Recordkeeping Requirements:</u>
 - i. The Permittee must keep records of the operation of the engine in emergency and non-emergency service that are recorded through the non-resettable hour meter. The permittee must record the time of operation of the engine and the reason the engine was in operation during that time. [40 CFR 60.4214(b)]
- g. <u>Other Requirements:</u>
 - i. The permittee must comply with any other requirements of 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII applicable to emergency stationary engines that are not specifically listed in Condition 3.1.

3.2. National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

The permittee must comply with all applicable requirements of NSPS Subpart IIII for emergency ICE in order to comply with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart ZZZZ—NESHAP for Stationary Reciprocating ICE. [40 CFR 63.6590(c)]

3.3. General Provisions

The permittee must comply with the applicable General Provisions as noted in Condition 19.0 at the end of this permit. [40 CFR 60.4218]

4.0 OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.1. Highest and Best Practicable Treatment and Control

The permittee must provide the highest and best practicable treatment and control of air contaminant emissions in every case so as to maintain overall air quality at the highest possible levels, and to maintain contaminant concentrations, visibility reduction, odors, soiling, and other deleterious factors at the lowest possible levels. [OAR 340-226-0100]

The permittee must comply with the following requirements for each emergency generator engine and fire pump engine: [OAR 340-226-0100]

- a. Change oil and filter every 500 hours of operation or annually, whichever comes first. The permittee has the option to utilize an oil analysis program as described in 40 CFR Part 63.6625(i) in order to extend this specified oil change requirement;
- b. Inspect air cleaner every 1,000 hours of operation or annually, whichever comes first, and replace as necessary;
- c. Inspect all hoses and belts every 500 hours of operation or annually, whichever comes first, and replace as necessary;
- d. Operate and maintain each stationary RICE according to the manufacturer's emissionrelated written instructions, including operation and maintenance instructions. If the permittee develops their own maintenance plan and it is approved by DEQ, that plan may substitute for the manufacturer's instructions;
- e. During periods of startup, minimize the engine's time spent at idle and minimize the engine's startup time to a period needed for appropriate and safe loading of the engine, not to exceed 30 minutes, after which time the non-startup emission limitations apply.

The permittee must comply with the following requirements for the solid oxide fuel cells:

a. <u>SOFC system will be operated in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations and guidance.</u>

5.0 PLANT SITE EMISSION LIMITS

5.1. Plant Site Emission Limits (PSEL)

The permittee must not cause or allow plant site emissions to exceed the following: [OAR 340-222-0040 and/or OAR 340-222-0041, OAR 340-222-0060]

Pollutant	Limit	Units
PM	24	
PM10	14	
PM2.5	9	
NOx	39	tons per year
СО	99	
VOC	39	
GHGs (CO ₂ e)	74,000 92,011	

5.2. Annual Period

The annual plant site emission limits apply to any 12-consecutive calendar month period. [OAR 340-222-0035]

6.0 SOURCE RISK LIMITS (SRL)

6.1. Chronic Source Risk Limits

The permittee must comply with the following annual operational limits for the purposes of all non-emergency operations as described in Condition 3.1.e.iv. The total amount of ULSD fuel used annually shall not exceed 299,019297,458 gallons. [OAR 340-245-0110(1)(a)]

6.2. Annual Period

The annual source risk limits apply to any 12-consecutive calendar month period. [OAR 340-245-0110(1)(a)]

6.3. Acute Source Risk Limits

The permittee must comply with the following daily operational limits for the purposes of all non-emergency operations as described in Condition 3.1.e.iv. The total amount of ULSD fuel used daily shall not exceed 19,000 gallons. [OAR 340-245-0110(2)(a)]

6.4. Daily Period

The acute source risk limits apply to any 24-consecutive hour period. [OAR 340-245-0110(1)(b) and 340-245-0020(3)]

6.5. Emission Limits

The permittee must not exceed the toxic air contaminant (TAC) emission limits in Condition 17.0 for all non-emergency operations as described in Condition 3.1.e.iv. [OAR 340-245-0110]

7.0 COMPLIANCE DEMONSTRATION

7.1. Monitoring Requirements

The permittee must monitor the following operation and maintenance information for each emergency stationary engine: [OAR 340-226-0120]

- a. The emergency and non-emergency hours of operation;
- b. The emergency and non-emergency fuel use, in gallons, hourly:
- b.c. The SOFC aggregate power output, hourly;
- d. The SOFC natural gas usage, monthly.

7.2. PSEL Compliance Monitoring using Emission Factors

The permittee must calculate the emissions for each 12-consecutive calendar month period based on the following calculation for each pollutant except GHGs: [OAR 340-222-0080]

E	=	$\Sigma(\text{EF x P}) \ge 1 \text{ ton}/2000 \text{ pounds}$
Where:		· · · · · · ·
Е	=	pollutant emissions (tons/year);
Σ	=	symbol representing "summation of";
EF	=	pollutant emission factor (see Condition 16.0);
Р	=	fuel use during non-emergency operations for generators,
		aggregate power output for fuel cells.

7.3. Emission Factors

The permittee must use the default emission factors provided in Condition 16.0 for calculating pollutant emissions, unless alternative emission factors are approved in writing by DEQ. The permittee may request or DEQ may require using alternative emission factors provided they are based on actual test data or other documentation (e.g., AP-42 compilation of emission factors) that has been reviewed and approved by DEQ. [OAR 340-222-0080]

7.4. Greenhouse Gas Emissions

The permittee must calculate greenhouse gas emissions from non-emergency operations, as described in Condition 3.1.e.iv, in metric tons and short tons for each 12-consecutive calendar month period to determine compliance with the GHG PSEL by using the following: [OAR 340-215-0040]

- a. DEQ Fuel Combustion Greenhouse Gas Calculator_ https://www.oregon.gov/deq/FilterDocs/ghgCalculatorFuelCombust.xlsx;
- b. <u>https://ccdsupport.com/confluence/display/help/Optional+Calculation+Spreadsheet+Instructions;</u>
- b.c. 40 CFR 98, Subpart P for fuel cell GHG emissions; or
- e.d. An alternative calculation method approved in writing by DEQ.

7.5. PSEL Compliance Monitoring

The permittee must demonstrate compliance with the PSEL by totaling the emissions from all non-emergency operations, calculated under Conditions 7.2 and 7.4. [OAR 340-222-0080]

7.6. Engine Testing and Maintenance Related Operating Procedures

The permittee must develop testing and maintenance (T&M) related operating procedures that aligns with the NAAQS Impact Analysis submitted under Condition 9.2. The initial T&M procedures shall be available onsite within 180 days of the approved potential NAAQS impact analysis.

8.0 SOURCE TESTING

8.1. Source Testing Requirements

The permittee must perform the following source tests within 180 days of the permit issuance or the commissioning of the first Caterpillar 3516C-HD generator engine, whichever is later, unless an extension is approved by DEQ: [OAR 340-212-0120]

- a. The permittee must conduct a source test of one (1) of the 2,500 kW diesel-fired emergency generator engines, Caterpillar 3516C-HD (GEN 01 through 104), to verify compliance with emission limits in Condition 17.0. Subject to DEQ pre-approval, the permittee may test an identical engine of the same make and model at a different location. During the source test, the following parameters must be monitored and recorded:
 - i. Quantity of ULSD fuel combusted and rate in gal/hr;
 - ii. The generator load (%) and the electrical output (kW);
 - iii. Concentrations (gr/dscf for PM and μg/dscm for metals and PAHs) and emission rates (pounds/1000 gallons) of all pollutants tested.
- b. All tests must be conducted in accordance with DEQ's Source Sampling Manual and the approved source test plan. The source test plan must be submitted at least 30 days in advance and approved by the Regional Source Test Coordinator. The source test report

must be submitted to the Regional Source Test Coordinator within 60 days of the test unless otherwise approved in the source test plan.

Tested Pollutant	Reference Test Method *		
PM	Oregon Method 5		
Metals	EPA Method 29		
PAH suite	EPA SW-846 Method 0010		

*Substitution of alternative test methods must be pre-approved by the DEQ.

- c. Only regular operating staff may adjust the combustion system or production processes and emission control parameters during the source test and within two hours prior to the source test. Any operating adjustments made during the source test, which are a result of consultation with source testing personnel, equipment vendors or consultants, may render the source test invalid.
- d. Unless otherwise specified by permit condition or DEQ approved source test plan, all compliance source tests must be performed as follows:
 - i. At 90% of the engine's rated capacity or higher; and
 - ii. At 10% of the engine's rated capacity or lower.

9.0 SPECIAL CONDITIONS

9.1. Special Conditions

The permittee must comply with the following special conditions:

- a. When operating the emergency stationary engines for the purpose of maintenance, routine readiness testing, and commissioning, the permittee is encouraged, to extent practicable, to limit the number of engines operated at one time in any one location and the total number of engines operated on any given day to minimize the short-term (hourly and daily) air contaminant concentrations generated. [OAR 340-226-0110]
- b. The permittee must maintain copies of the manufacturer certifications and specifications for each emergency generator engine and fire pump type and size at the facility. The manufacturer specifications must include emission factors for all operating loads if variable emission rates were used for the permit application. The manufacturer specifications must be available for review during DEQ inspections.
- c. The permittee must maintain records showing the date of installation for each emergency generator engine and fire pump and make them available for review during DEQ inspections.

9.2. National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) Impact Analysis

The permittee must submit an air dispersion modeling analysis of potential impacts on the following short-term NAAQS: 1-hour NO₂, 1-hour SO₂, and 24-hour PM_{2.5}. The permittee shall work with DEQ to ensure that the impact analysis is performed in accordance with DEQ approved methods. Unless otherwise approved by DEQ, short-term NAAQS modeling analysis results must be submitted to DEQ as expeditiously as practical, but no later than 180 days after

the permit issuance. If there is a change to the permitted emission sources or planned operation that would increase emissions or adversely affect dispersion after the initial impact analysis results approved by DEQ, the permittee may be required to reassess the impacts. [ORS 468A.040, ORS 468A.025(4)(c), and OAR 340-202-0050].

10.0 RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS

10.1. Operation and Maintenance

The permittee must maintain the following records related to the operation and maintenance of the facility: [OAR 340-214-0114]

- <u>a.</u> For each emergency engine:
 - i. ULSD use for non-emergency operation, monthly;
 - ii. ULSD use for emergency operation, monthly;
 - iii. Total ULSD use, monthly;
 - iv. The hours of non-emergency operation, monthly;
 - v. Total hours of operation, monthly;
 - vi. Date, start time, end time, hours of operation, fuel usage and reason for each emergency operation event in accordance with Conditions 3.1.f and 7.1;
 - vii. Date, start time, end time, hours of operation, fuel usage and reason for each nonemergency operation event in accordance with Conditions 3.1.f and 7.1.
- <u>b.</u> For all emergency engines:
 - i. ULSD use for non-emergency operation, monthly and 12-month rolling;
 - ii. ULSD use for emergency operation, monthly and 12-month rolling;
 - iii. Total ULSD use, monthly and 12-month rolling;
 - iv. The hours of non-emergency operation, monthly;
 - v. The hours of emergency operation, monthly;
 - vi. Total hours of operation, monthly.
- c. For any month in which the total monthly non-emergency fuel use in all engines exceeds the daily SRL in Condition 6.3, the permittee shall calculate 24-hour rolling fuel use and keep records of the maximum 24-hour fuel use and any 24-hour fuel use exceeding the daily SRL.
- d. For all fuel cells:
 - i. Aggregate power output, monthly and 12-month rolling.
 - ii. Aggregate natural gas usage, monthly and 12-month rolling.
 - iii. Annual average carbon content and molecular weight of natural gas.
- d.e. Records of operation and maintenance requirements in Condition 4.1.
- f. The permittee must have a copy (electronic or physical copy) of the T&M related operating procedures required in Condition 7.6 available to staff responsible for completing actions under the plan.
- g. Manufacturer certifications and specifications and other records as specified in Condition 9.1.b.

e.

10.2. Excess Emissions

- a. The permittee must maintain the records of excess emissions listed below and as defined in OAR 340-214-0300 through 340-214-0340, recorded on occurrence. Typically, excess emissions are caused by process upsets, startups, shutdowns or scheduled maintenance. In many cases, excess emissions are evident when visible emissions are greater than 20% opacity as a six-minute block average.
 - i. The date and time of the beginning of the excess emissions event and the duration or best estimate of the time until return to normal operation;
 - ii. The date and time the permittee notified DEQ of the event;
 - iii. The equipment involved;
 - iv. Whether the event occurred during planned startup, planned shutdown, scheduled maintenance or as a result of a breakdown, malfunction or emergency;
 - v. Steps taken to mitigate emissions and corrective action taken, including whether the approved procedures for a planned startup, shutdown or maintenance activity were followed;
 - vi. The magnitude and duration of each occurrence of excess emissions during the course of an event and the increase over normal rates or concentrations as determined by continuous monitoring or best estimate (supported by operating data and calculations); and
 - vii. The final resolution of the cause of the excess emissions;
- <u>b.</u> If there is an ongoing excess emission caused by an upset or breakdown, the permittee must immediately take action to minimize emissions by reducing or ceasing operation of the equipment or facility, unless doing so could result in physical damage to the equipment or facility, or cause injury to employees. In no case may the permittee operate more than 48 hours after the beginning of the excess emissions, unless continued operation is approved by DEQ in accordance with OAR 340-214-0330.
- <u>c.</u> In the event of any excess emissions which are of a nature that could endanger public health and occur during non-business hours, weekends or holidays, the permittee must immediately notify DEQ by calling the Oregon Emergency Response System (OERS). The current number is 1-800-452-0311.
- <u>d.</u> If permittee anticipates that scheduled maintenance may result in excess emissions, the permittee must submit scheduled maintenance procedures used to minimize excess emissions to DEQ for prior authorization, as required in OAR 340-214-0320. New or modified procedures must be received by DEQ in writing at least 72 hours prior to the first occurrence of the excess emission event. The permittee must abide by the approved procedures and have a copy available at all times.
- e. The permittee must maintain a log of all excess emissions in accordance with OAR 340-214-0340(3).

10.3. Complaints

The permittee must maintain a log of all complaints received by the permittee in person, in writing, by telephone or through other means according to Condition 2.6. Documentation must include all information identified in Condition 2.6. [OAR 340-214-0114]

10.4. Retention of Records

- a. Unless otherwise specified, the permittee must retain all records for a period of at least five (5) years from the date of the monitoring sample, measurement, report or application and make them available to DEQ upon request. The permittee must maintain the two (2) most recent years of records onsite. [OAR 340-214-0114]
- a.b. Records related to GHG reporting must be retained for at least seven (7) years if required to complete third party verification under OAR 340-272. [OAR 340-215-0042(1)]

11.0 REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

11.1. Excess Emissions

- a. The permittee must notify DEQ of excess emissions events if the excess emission is of a nature that could endanger public health. Initial notice must be provided as soon as possible, but never more than one hour after becoming aware of the problem. Notice must be made to the regional office identified in Condition 13.0 by email, telephone, facsimile or in person.
- b. When required by DEQ, the permittee must also submit follow-up reports summarizing records of excess emissions as required in Condition 10.2 within 15 days of the date of the event.

11.2. Emergency Operations

The permittee must report emergency operation of emergency engines within 30 days of the emergency conclusion, unless otherwise approved by DEQ in writing. The report is to be submitted to the DEQ regional office identified in Condition 13.3 by email, fax or mail. Alternatively, the report may be submitted electronically via Emergency Operations Notification, Form R1009: <u>https://www.oregon.gov/deq/FilterPermitsDocs/R1009.pdf</u>. The report must include the following information:

- a. Date and time emergency started and ended, description of the emergency, and if known, the cause of the emergency;
- b. Identification of each engine operated during the emergency, hours of emergency operation and fuel consumption; and
- c. Calculated total emissions of each criteria pollutant with a PSEL listed in Condition 5.1 from all emergency engines operated for emergency purpose during the emergency event, calculated using the formula in Condition 7.2 and substituting emergency fuel usage for "P" value.

11.3. Annual Report

For each year this permit is in effect, the permittee must submit to DEQ by **February 15** two (2) paper copies and one (1) electronic copy of the following information for the previous calendar year. If February 15 falls on a weekend or Monday holiday, the permittee must submit their annual report on the next business day.

a. Operating parameters:

Permit Number: 25-0062-ST-01 Expiration Date: 8/1/2026 Page 17 of 30

- i. ULSD use for non-emergency operations, monthly and 12-month rolling;
- ii. ULSD use for emergency operations, monthly and 12-month rolling;
- iii. _____Total ULSD use, monthly and 12-month rolling;
- iv. Total fuel cell power output, monthly and 12-month rolling;
- iii.v. Total fuel cell fuel usage, monthly and 12-month rolling;
- b. Calculations of annual pollutant emissions from non-emergency operations determined each month in accordance with Conditions 7.2 and 7.4.
- c. Calculations of annual pollutant emissions from emergency operations determined each month using methods specified in Conditions 7.2 and 7.4 and substituting emergency fuel use where applicable.
- d. Calculations of total annual pollutant emissions from all <u>emergency enginesemission</u> <u>units</u> determined each month using methods specified in Conditions 7.2 and 7.4 and substituting total fuel use where applicable.
- e. List of emergency engines installed during the reporting period, including model and date of installation.
- f. A brief summary listing the date, time and the affected device/process for each excess emission that occurred during the reporting period.
- g. Summary of complaints relating to air quality received by permittee during the year in accordance with Condition 10.2.
- h. List of permanent changes made in facility process, production levels, and pollution control equipment which affected air contaminant emissions.
- i. CAO Annual Zoning and Exposure Location Verification form AQ504 (https://www.oregon.gov/deq/aq/cao/Documents/AQ540Form.pdf) or other DEQ approved forms that include statements verifying the following: [OAR 340-245-0100(7)(c), (8)(a)(F) and (G)]
 - i. Change in zoning within 1.5 kilometers and whether that change increases the source risk;
 - ii. Change in land use and whether that change increases the source risk.
- j. <u>A summary of any emissions related updates or changes to the T&M related operating</u> procedures during the year.

11.4. Greenhouse Gas Registration and Reporting

- a. If the calendar year greenhouse gas emissions (CO₂e) are ever greater than or equal to 2,756 tons (2,500 metric tons), the permittee must annually register and report its greenhouse gas emissions with DEQ in accordance with OAR 340 Division 215.
- b. If the calendar year greenhouse gas emissions (CO₂e) are less than 2,756 tons (2,500 metric tons) for three consecutive years, the permittee may stop reporting greenhouse gas emissions but must retain all records used to calculate greenhouse gas emissions for the five years following the last year that they were required to report. The permittee must resume reporting its greenhouse gas emissions if the calendar year greenhouse gas emissions (CO₂e) are greater than or equal to 2,756 tons (2,500 metric tons) in any subsequent calendar year.
- b.c. If the calendar year greenhouse gas emissions (CO₂e) are ever greater than or equal to 27,558 tons (25,000 metric tons), the permittee must annually ensure third party verification is submitted in accordance with OAR 340 Division 272 by August 31.
- **11.5.** Initial Startup Notice

The permittee must notify DEQ in writing of the date the first engine is commissioned and of the date the first of the Caterpillar 3516C-HD generator engines is commissioned. The notification must be submitted no later than seven (7) days after the initial startup. [OAR 340-214-0110]

11.6. Notice of Change of Ownership or Company Name

The permittee must notify DEQ in writing using a DEQ "Transfer Application" form within 60 days after the following:

- a. Legal change of the name of the company as registered with the Corporations Division of the State of Oregon; or
- b. Sale or exchange of the activity or facility.

11.7. Construction or Modification Notices

The permittee must notify DEQ in writing using a DEQ "Notice of Intent to Construct" form, or other permit application forms and obtain approval in accordance with OAR 340-210-0205 through 340-210-0250 and OAR 340-245-0060(4)(c) before:

- a. Constructing, installing or establishing a new stationary source that will cause an increase in any regulated pollutant emissions;
- b. Making any physical change or change in operation of an existing stationary source that will cause an increase, on an hourly basis at full production, in any regulated pollutant emissions; or
- c. Constructing or modifying any air pollution control equipment.

12.0 ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS

12.1. Permit Renewal Application

The permittee must submit the completed application package for renewal of this permit **180 days prior to the expiration date**. Two (2) paper copies and one (1) electronic copy of the application must be submitted to the DEQ Permit Coordinator listed in Condition 13.2. [OAR 340-216-0040]

12.2. Permit Modifications

Application for a modification of this permit must be submitted at least 60 days prior to the source modification. When preparing an application, the applicant should also consider submitting the application 180 days prior to allow DEQ adequate time to process the application and issue a permit before it is needed. A special activity fee must be submitted with an application for the permit modification. The fees and two (2) copies of the application must be submitted to the DEQ Business Office.

12.3. Annual Compliance Fee

Permit Number: 25-0062-ST-01 Expiration Date: 8/1/2026 Page 19 of 30

The permittee must pay the annual fees specified in OAR 340-216-8020, Table 2, Part 2 and 3 for a Standard ACDP by **December 1** of each year this permit is in effect. An invoice indicating the amount, as determined by DEQ regulations will be mailed prior to the above date. Late fees in accordance with Part 5 of the table will be assessed as appropriate.

12.4. Change of Ownership or Company Name Fee

The permittee must pay the non-technical permit modification fee specified in OAR 340-216-8020, Table 2, Part 4 with an application for changing the ownership or the name of the company.

12.5. Special Activity Fees

The permittee must pay the special activity fees specified in OAR 340-216-8020, Table 2, Part 4 with an application to modify the permit.

13.0 DEQ CONTACTS / ADDRESSES

13.1. Business Office

The permittee must submit payments for invoices, applications to modify the permit, and any other payments to DEQ's Business Office:

Oregon Dept. of Environmental Quality Financial Services – Revenue Section 700 NE Multnomah St., Suite 600 Portland, OR 97232-4100

13.2. Permit Coordinator

The permittee must submit all notices and applications that do not include payment to the Permit Coordinator.

Oregon Dept. of Environmental Quality Eastern Region Bend Office Air Quality Permit Coordinator 475 NE Bellevue Dr., Suite 110 Bend, OR 97701-7415 <u>eraqpermits@deq.state.or.us</u>

13.3. Report Submittals

Unless otherwise notified, the permittee must submit all reports (annual reports, source test plans and reports, etc.) to DEQ's Eastern Region. If you know the name of the Air Quality staff member responsible for your permit, please include it:

Oregon Dept. of Environmental Quality Eastern Region Bend Office

Permit Number: 25-0062-ST-01 Expiration Date: 8/1/2026 Page 20 of 30

475 NE Bellevue Dr., Suite 110 Bend, OR 97701-7415

13.4. Web Site

Information about air quality permits and DEQ's regulations may be obtained from the DEQ web page at <u>www.oregon.gov/deq/</u>.

14.0 GENERAL CONDITIONS AND DISCLAIMERS

14.1. Permitted Activities

- a. Until this permit expires or is modified or revoked, the permittee is allowed to discharge air contaminants from the following:
 - i. Processes and activities directly related to or associated with the devices/processes listed in Condition 1.0 of this permit;
 - ii. Any categorically insignificant activities, as defined in OAR 340-200-0020, at the source; and
 - iii. Construction or modification changes that are Type 1 or Type 2 changes under OAR 340-210-0225 that are approved by DEQ in accordance with OAR 340-210-0215 through 0250, if the permittee complies with all of the conditions of DEQ's approval to construct and all of the conditions of this permit.
- b. Discharge of air contaminants from any other equipment or activity not identified herein is not authorized by this permit.

14.2. Other Regulations

In addition to the specific requirements listed in this permit, the permittee must comply with all other applicable legal requirements enforceable by DEQ.

14.3. Conflicting Conditions

In any instance in which there is an apparent conflict relative to conditions in this permit, the most stringent conditions apply. [OAR 340-200-0010]

14.4. Masking of Emissions

The permittee must not cause or permit the installation of any device or use any means designed to mask the emissions of an air contaminant that causes or is likely to cause detriment to health, safety, or welfare of any person or otherwise violate any other regulation or requirement. [OAR 340-208-0400]

14.5. DEQ Access

The permittee must allow DEQ's representatives access to the plant site and pertinent records at all reasonable times for the purposes of performing inspections, surveys, collecting samples,

obtaining data, reviewing and copying air contaminant emissions discharge records and conducting all necessary functions related to this permit in accordance with ORS 468.095.

14.6. Permit Availability

The permittee must have a copy of the permit available at the facility at all times. [OAR 340-216-0020(3)]

14.7. Open Burning

The permittee may not conduct any open burning except as allowed by OAR 340, Division 264.

14.8. Asbestos

The permittee must comply with the asbestos abatement requirements in OAR 340, Division 248 for all activities involving asbestos-containing materials, including, but not limited to, demolition, renovation, repair, construction and maintenance.

14.9. Property Rights

The issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights in either real or personal property, or any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state, or local laws or regulations.

14.10. Permit Expiration

- a. A source may not be operated after the expiration date of the permit, unless any of the following occur prior to the expiration date of the permit: [OAR 340-216-0082]
 - i. A timely and complete application for renewal of this permit or for a different ACDP has been submitted; or
 - ii. A timely and complete application for renewal or for an Oregon Title V Operating Permit has been submitted, or
 - iii. Another type of permit (ACDP or Oregon Title V Operating Permit) has been issued authorizing operation of the source.
- b. For a source operating under an ACDP or Oregon Title V Operating Permit, a requirement established in an earlier ACDP remains in effect notwithstanding expiration of the ACDP, unless the provision expires by its terms or unless the provision is modified or terminated according to the procedures used to establish the requirement initially.

14.11. Permit Termination, Revocation, or Modification

DEQ may terminate, revoke, or modify this permit pursuant to OAR Chapter 340 Division 216. [OAR 340-216-0082].

15.0 CLEANER AIR OREGON GENERAL CONDITIONS AND DISCLAIMERS

15.1. Construction or Modification Notices for TEUs

The permittee must notify DEQ in writing using a DEQ "Notice of Intent to Construct" form, or other permit application forms and obtain approval in accordance with OAR 340-245-0060(4)(c) before:

- a. Constructing, installing or establishing any of the following TEUs that will cause an increase in any regulated pollutant emissions;
 - i. Aggregated under OAR 340-245-0060(4)(c)(B); or
 - ii. Significant under OAR 340-245-0060(4)(c)(C);
- b. Making any physical change or change in operation of an existing TEU that will cause any increase in any toxic air contaminant emissions; or
- c. Constructing or making any physical change or change in operation of any air pollution control equipment.

15.2. Reassessment of Risk

The permittee must reassess the source risk for cancer, chronic noncancer, and acute noncancer risk based on any of the following conditions:

- a. Zoning or land use changes in a way that may increase risk; [OAR 340-245-0100(8)(a)(F) and (G)]
- b. Modification of a physical feature of the source that was used as a modeling parameter in the risk assessment that may increase risk; [OAR 340-245-0100(8)(a)(D)]
- c. A Risk Based Concentration in OAR 340-245-8040 Table 4 for a Toxic Air Contaminant that is emitted by this source has been added or the value lowered, leading to an increase in risk; [OAR 340-245-0100(8)(b)(B)]
- Risk assessment procedures in Division 245 change that may increase risk, or impact the implementation or effectiveness of the Risk Reduction Plan; [OAR 340-245-0100(8)(b)(C)], or
- e. When notified in writing by DEQ that the permittee must update or correct its previous risk assessment.

15.3. Permit Modifications

- a. The permittee must apply for a permit modification under OAR 340 Division 216 and submit fees as required under OAR 340-245-0100(8)(g) and Condition 13.1 for the following modifications:
 - i. Modify an established Source Risk Limit or any risk limits or conditions necessary under Division 245;
 - ii. Request an extension to a compliance date as outlined in OAR 340-245-0100(8)(a)(C)(i)-(iii);
 - iii. Terminate postponement of risk reductions; [OAR 340-245-0100(8)(a)(E)]
 - iv. Modify air monitoring requirements; [OAR 340-245-0100(8)(a)(H)], or
 - v. Revise or update the approved risk assessment.
- b. If DEQ has provided notice to the permittee that a modification under Division 245 is required, the permittee must submit the necessary information required under OAR 340-

Permit Number: 25-0062-ST-01 Expiration Date: 8/1/2026 Page 23 of 30

245-0100(3) to DEQ 90 days after the date that DEQ sends such written notice.

16.0 EMISSION FACTORS

Emissions Device	Pollutant	Emission Factor (lb/gallon of ULSD)	EF Reference	
	PM/PM10/PM2.5	7.43E-03		
Caterpillar 3516C-HD, rated	NOx	2.61E-01	Manufacturer's	
(GEN01-104)	СО	8.81E-02	Specifications	
	VOC	2.49E-02		
Caternillar 3516C Trans	PM/PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5}	1.28E-02		
rated at 1,825 kW and 2,721	NO _x	2.48E-01	Manufacturer's	
hp (CENLTRANS)	СО	8.94E-02	Specifications	
(GEN_IKANS)	VOC	2.95E-02		
	PM/PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5}	3.48E-03		
Clarke JU4H-UFADP0, rated at 90 kW and 121 hp	NOx	1.07E-01	Manufacturer's	
(FPMP-01 and 02)	СО	3.77E-02	Specifications	
	VOC	3.48E-03		
	PM/PM10/PM2.5	5.89E-03	Manufacturer's Specifications	
Caterpillar C18, rated at 600 kW and 900 hp	NOx	4.01E-01		
(HOUSE_01_04)	СО	1.24E-01		
	VOC	1.26E-02		
Caterpillar 3512C, rated at	PM/PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5}	<u>9.45E-03</u> 1.80E-02		
hpCaterpillar C18, rated at	NO _x	<u>2.77E-01</u> 2.60E-01	Manufacturer's	
750 kW and 1112 hp	СО	<u>1.24E-01</u> 2.69E-01	Specifications	
(SKI-01)	VOC	<u>2.91E-02</u> 1.11E-01		
	PM/PM10/PM2.5	3.48E-03		
Caterpillar C4.4, rated at 100 kW	NOx	9.89E-02	Manufacturer's	
(SCC-01)	СО	2.61E-02	Specifications	
	VOC	4.35E-03		
	PM/PM10/PM2.5	1.03E-02		
Caterpillar C15, rated at 450 kW and 689.3 hp	NOx	1.68E-01	Manufacturer's	
(IWW-01)	СО	1.29E-01	Specifications	
	VOC	1.62E-02		

Permit Number: 25-0062-ST-01 Expiration Date: 8/1/2026 Page 25 of 30

Emissions Device	<u>Pollutant</u>	Emission Factor (lbs/MW-hr)	EF Reference
	<u>PM</u>	<u>2.2E-02</u>	
<u>24.3 MW SOFC</u>	<u>PM₁₀</u>	<u>2.2E-02</u>	Manufacturer's
	<u>PM_{2.5}</u>	<u>1.5E-02</u>	Specifications and
	NOx	<u>1.7E-03</u>	Manufacturer's
	<u>CO</u>	<u>1.2E-02</u>	Source Test Data
	VOC	<u>1.0E-02</u>	

17.0 TOXIC AIR CONTAMINANT EMISSION LIMITS

Emissions Device	Pollutant	Emission Limit (lb/1000 Gallon of ULSD)
	Arsenic	7.67E-04
	Benz[a]anthracene	<u>4.00E-05</u>
	Benzo[a]pyrene	<u>1.28E-05</u> 3.55E-05
	Benzo[b]fluoranthene	<u>3.39E-05</u>
	Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	<u>1.91E-05</u>
	Cadmium	5.43E-05
Caterpillar 3516C-HD, rated at 2.500 kW and	Copper	4.10E-03
3,633 hp	Benzo[k]fluoranthene	<u>1.01E-05</u>
(GEN01-104)	Chrysene	<u>6.35E-05</u>
	Diesel Particulate Matter	<u>1.70E+01</u> 2.11E+01
	Hexavalent chromium	2.04E-03
	Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	<u>2.67E-07</u>
	Lead	8.30E-03
	Manganese	3.10E-03
	Mercury	2.00E-03

Emissions Device	Pollutant	Emission Limit (lb/1000 Gallon of ULSD)
	<u>Fluoranthene</u>	<u>2.67E-04</u>
	Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	<u>7.85E-06</u>
	Naphthalene	4 .79E-02 1.70E-02
	Nickel	1.63E-03
	PAHs (excluding Naphthalene)	1.36E-02
	Selenium	4.79E-02
	Zine	2.24E-02
PDX109- Gen_TransGEN_TRANS	Diesel Particulate Matter	4.23E+01
PDX109-FP01, PDX109-FP02 and 02	Diesel Particulate Matter	6.96E+00
PDX109-HS01 PDX110-HS01 PDX111-HS01 PDX112-HS01HOUSE- 01 through 04	Diesel Particulate Matter	1.85E+01
PDX604-SKI01SKI-01	Diesel Particulate Matter	<u>3.85E+01</u> 1.29E+02
PDX109-SEC01SCC-01	Diesel Particulate Matter	7.83E+00
PDX109-IW01IWW-01	Diesel Particulate Matter	2. 65E 56E+01

Permit Number: 25-0062-ST-01 Expiration Date: 8/1/2026 Page 27 of 30

18.0 PROCESS AND PRODUCTION RECORDS

Emission Device	Process or Production Parameter	<u>Units of</u> <u>Measure</u>	Frequency	<u>Regulatory</u> <u>Purpose</u>
Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC)	Power Output	<u>MW</u>	<u>Monthly</u>	PSEL Compliance
Emergency Generators and Fuel Pumps	Diesel Fuel Use during Non- emergency Use	<u>Gallons</u>	Monthly*	PSEL Compliance SRL Compliance
Emergency Generators and Fuel Pumps	<u>Diesel Fuel Use</u> <u>during</u> <u>Emergency Use</u>	<u>Gallons</u>	<u>Monthly</u>	Synthetic Minor Status
Emergency Generators and Fuel Pumps	<u>Hours of</u> <u>Operation for</u> <u>Non-Emergency</u> <u>Use</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Monthly</u>	<u>NSPS IIII</u> <u>Compliance</u>
Emergency Generators and Fuel Pumps	Hours of Operation for Emergency Use	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Monthly</u>	<u>NSPS IIII</u> <u>Compliance</u>

* Months with fuel throughput exceeding SRL acute limitation will be required to refer to records and calculate 24-hour rolling fuel use and keep records of the maximum 24-hour fuel use and any 24-hour fuel use exceeding the daily SRL.

18.019.0 ABBREVIATIONS, ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS

ACDP	Air Contaminant Discharge Permit	O ₂ OAR	Oxygen Oregon Administrative Rules
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials	ORS O&M	Oregon Revised Statutes
AQMA	Air Quality Maintenance Area	Pb	Lead
calendar year	The 12-month period beginning January 1st and ending December 31 st	PCD PEMS	Pollution Control Device Predictive Emission
CAO CFR	Cleaner Air Oregon Code of Federal Regulations	PM	Monitoring System Particulate Matter
СО	Carbon Monoxide	PM_{10}	Particulate Matter less than 10
CO ₂ e	Carbon Dioxide Equivalent	PM	Particulate Matter less than
DEQ	Oregon Department of	2.5	2.5 microns in size
	Environmental Quality	ppm	parts per million
dscf EPA	dry standard cubic foot US Environmental Protection	PSD	Prevention of Significant Deterioration
FCAA	Agency Federal Clean Air Act	PSEL PTE	Plant Site Emission Limit Potential to Emit
Gal GHG	Gallon(s) Greenhouse Gas	RACT	Reasonably Available Control Technology
gr/dscf	grains per dry standard cubic	scf	standard cubic foot
	toot	SER	Significant Emission Rate
HAP	Hazardous Air Pollutant as	SIC	Standard Industrial Code
	0040	SIP	State Implementation Plan
I&M	Inspection and Maintenance	SO_2	Sulfur Dioxide
lb	Pound(s)	Special	as defined in OAR 340-204-
MMBtu	Million British thermal units	Control Area	0070
NA	Not Applicable		Standard
NESHAP	National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants	NSR	New Source Review
NO _X	Nitrogen Oxides		
NSPS	New Source Performance		

TACT	Typically Achievable Control Techno
	logy
VE	Visible Emissions
VOC	Volatile Organic Compound
Year	A period consisting of
	any 12- consecutive
	calendar months

Permit Number: 25-0062-ST-01 Expiration Date: 8/1/2026 Page 29 of 30

19.020.0 APPLICABILITY OF GENERAL PROVISIONS TO 40 CFR 60, SUBPART IIII.

General Provisions Citation	Subject of Citation	Applies to Subpart	Explanation
§60.1	General applicability of the General Provisions	Yes	
§60.2	Definitions	Yes	Additional terms defined in §60.4219.
§60.3	Units and abbreviations	Yes	
§60.4	Address	Yes	
§60.5	Determination of construction or modification	Yes	
§60.6	Review of plans	Yes	
§60.7	Notification and Recordkeeping	Yes	Except that \$60.7 only applies as specified in \$60.4214(a).
§60.8	Performance tests	Yes	Except that 60.8 only applies to stationary CI ICE with a displacement of (≤ 30 liters per cylinder and engines that are not certified.
§60.9	Availability of information	Yes	
§60.10	State Authority	Yes	
§60.11	Compliance with standards and maintenance requirements	No	Requirements are specified in subpart IIII.
§60.12	Circumvention	Yes	
§60.13	Monitoring requirements	Yes	Except that §60.13 only applies to stationary CI ICE with a displacement of $(\leq 30$ liters per cylinder.
§60.14	Modification	Yes	
§60.15	Reconstruction	Yes	
§60.16	Priority list	Yes	
§60.17	Incorporations by reference	Yes	

General Provisions Citation	Subject of Citation	Applies to Subpart	Explanation
§60.18	General control device requirements	No	
§60.19	General notification and reporting requirements	Yes	

APPENDIX B

Overall Site Plan AMAZON CONFIDENTIAL Provided under separate correspondence due to confidential and proprietary nature.

Figure 1-1 AMAZON CONFIDENTIAL Provided under separate correspondence due to confidential and proprietary nature.

Figure 3 - 2 AMAZON CONFIDENTIAL Provided under separate correspondence due to confidential and proprietary nature.

APPENDIX C

CORRESPONDENCE FROM CASCADE NATURAL GAS CORPORATION
Marisa Blackshire

From: Sent: To: Subject: Marisa Blackshire Monday, April 24, 2023 9:51 AM Marisa Blackshire FW: Followup from Early Aug Mtg

From: Marek, Chanda <Chanda.Marek@cngc.com> Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2022 10:51 AM To:MarisaBlackshire<Marisa.Blackshire@bloomenergy.com>;Krebsbach,Abbie<Abbie.Krebsbach@mdu.com>; Amanda Marruffo<Amanda.Marruffo@bloomenergy.com> Cc: Cunnington, Brian <Brian.Cunnington@cngc.com> Subject: RE: Followup from Early Aug Mtg

EXTERNAL EMAIL

The draft contract we provided to Bloom has the following statement:

The service provided herein is contingent upon the ODEQ determination that the natural gas delivered hereunder is exempt from the requirements of the CPP under one or more exemption provisions and that Cascade's reported emissions from combustion and/or non-combustion related processing of natural gas delivered to Bloom are exempt from Cascade's CPP compliance obligation.

APPENDIX D AMAZON DATA SERVICES SUPPORT LETTER



February 14, 2023

Mr. Mike Shepherd Bloom Energy

Re: PDX Fuel Cell Projects

Dear Mr. Shepherd,

Amazon Data Services, Inc. (ADS) supports Bloom Energy's efforts to seek a favorable determination from the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) that the fuel cell projects in the Boardman area represent the best available emissions reduction (BAER) technology. ADS is investing in fuel cells as a new, innovative technology that can provide highly available, resilient infrastructure while also providing a pathway for less carbon intensive solutions in the region. ADS intends to use the fuel cells as an interim power supply solution to support our operations while we continue to await the completion of transmission and distribution upgrades and system reliability improvements to the region. Without the fuel cells, we would not have access to sufficient incremental power to serve our customers and we see this solution as one way to bridge the gaps that arise due to the regional challenges that impede the realization of new transmission infrastructure and our ability to enable new renewable generation in the region. According to the Bonneville Power Administration¹, the Boardman area is at the limit of the existing 230 kV sources and there are over 2,500 megawatts of renewable energy generation in the queue waiting to come online.

ADS is committed to approaching sustainability with bold thinking and relentless innovation. In furtherance of this commitment, ADS has elected to use Bloom's fuel cell technology to support a small portion of ADS operations in the area because it is better than other available alternatives that would result in a higher end-to-end emissions footprint. Bloom's fuel cells operate at some of the highest electrical efficiencies of any gas-based power generation device and need less fuel to generate the same amount of power as a combustion alternative, driving a lower emissions profile. This is further highlighted by the certification of Bloom's energy servers by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) as meeting the distributed generation standards. Thank you for your continued support as we work to move these projects forward.

DocuSigned by: Nat Salulstrom

Nat Sahlstrom Vice President, Amazon Data Services, Inc. Authorized Signatory

ega

¹<u>https://www.bpa.gov/-/media/Aep/finance/asset-management/public-materials-project-synopsis/longhorn-substation.pdf</u>

APPENDIX E MARKET DEMAND SEARCH LETTER

Bloom Energy Corporation 4353 North First Street San Jose, CA 95134 www.bloomenergy.com

February 2, 2023

Ms. Leslie Riley

Project Air Quality Consultant Maul Foster Alongi 6 Centerpoint Drive Suite 360 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

Dear Ms. Riley:

You requested that I prepare for you a summary of the efforts that Bloom Energy (Bloom) has made to identify a potential offtaker of CO_2 generated by our fuel cell installations planned for use at Amazon Data Services (ADS) sites in the Boardman, OR area.

Bloom has invested considerable effort trying to identify any potential customers for CO_2 generated by the Bloom fuel cells without success. As you are aware, ADS is seeking to install Bloom fuel cells in order to address a shortfall in the amount of electricity that Umatilla Electric Cooperative is able to provide prior to completion of the Boardman to Hemingway transmission line and the related equipment needed to serve ADS's full load. Completion of those system upgrades is projected for sometime in the 2029-2030 period. If completed in a timely fashion, the Bloom fuel cells are expected to cease providing baseload power in approximately 6 years. I bring this up because the short time period over which the Bloom fuel cells would provide CO_2 affects the options available. With that background, let me summarize our analysis and efforts to date to find a use for CO_2 generated from the fuel cells.

A typical use of industrial CO_2 in other parts of the country is for enhanced oil recovery. As Oregon has no oil and gas exploration/extraction, there is no local customer. Trucking CO_2 to states/regions with such activity (e.g., California, Texas), is costprohibitive and the CO_2 generated by the trucks needed to transport the CO_2 would be considerable. Furthermore, in enhanced oil recovery, there is little certainty that the CO_2 injected into the wells remains permanently in the ground. In Washington state, for example, to qualify as permanent sequestration, there must be a high level of confidence that 99 percent of the CO_2 will remain in the ground for at least 1,000 years. See, WAC 173-407-110, definition of "permanent sequestration." Enhanced oil recovery does not typically meet this standard and so was eliminated as an option. Ms. Leslie Riley Februrary 2, 2023

Some parts of the country have developed bespoke CO_2 storage projects where the gas (or supercritical CO_2) is injected into subterranean chambers where it is permanently sequestered. I understand that Oregon law currently does not allow for such subterranean sequestration and, anyway, such a project could not be permitted, built and brought online in time to serve the short-term need of the Bloom fuel cells. Therefore, a site-specific sequestration project was not considered a feasible option.

Bloom explored other alternatives, such as concrete injection and industrial gas customers. There are no existing markets that we were able to identify that would be able to take a material amount of the approximately 90,000 tons of CO_2 that a single installation would generate. All existing CO_2 consumers have established suppliers and were not willing to consider changing supplier where the Bloom fuel cells will only be capable of providing CO_2 for a few years. In addition, and perhaps most importantly, the existing consumers of CO_2 are all too distant from the Boardman area for transportation by truck to make economic or environmental sense.

Finally, Bloom investigated whether any new user of CO₂ might be willing to site a facility near the fuel cell locations. Again, due to the short life of the Bloom fuel cells, there was no interest. This makes sense as it is the rare operation that has such a high return on investment that it can financially justify a facility whose feedstock would disappear after approximately 6 years. We were told that the supply would have to be stable for a minimum of 20 years before any developer would begin to entertain the notion of constructing a facility.

Bloom is very interested in the possibility of the CO_2 generated from its fuel cells being able to be captured and either permanently sequestered or reused in a commercially feasible and environmentally responsible manner. However, due to their remote location and short lifespan, the Oregon fuel cells intended for the ADS sites do not present a viable case for CO_2 sequestration or reuse. Please let me know if you have any questions after reviewing this letter.

Very truly yours,

-DocuSigned by: Mike Shepherd

59D7D244F9CC4FA... Mike Shepherd Enterprise Account Executive

cc: Marisa Blackshire Tom Wood

