Improving Oregon Recycling Systems Infrastructure Research

# Additional Alternative Scenario Analysis - Evaluation Criteria Summary

December 1, 2020 (FINAL)

**IMPORTANT NOTE**: Please take the following factors into account when reviewing this summary.

Figures in this report represent the consultant team's best modeling estimates given available data.

Data were especially limited regarding current processing costs, revenues, bales produced, and bale quality.

Figures represent 2025. Dollars are 2025\$ and weights are in short tons (2,000 pounds).

Access to Recycling	Original Baseline: Pre- Disruption List (S0)	Baseline: Post- Disruption List (S5)	+ Engagement + I (S6/A-)	mproved MRFs + (S1/A)	Expanded Lists (S2/A+)	+ CRF (S3/B)	Dual-Stream (S4/C)	+ Statewide List (S7/A++)	+ Expanded Collection (S8/D- low)	+ Expanded Collection (S9/D- mid)	+ Expanded Collection (S10/D- hi)
Alternative scenarios A, A+, A++, B expanded material lists but d In alternative scenario C (dual-stream), all single-family residentia In alternative scenario D (low, mid, and high), on-route collected i	al customers have effectively	weekly collection (fib	er one week, containe	,	ultifamily, and comn	nercial garbage custo	omers in Grouping	4.			
Number of Customers (participation rates)											
On-Route Single-Family Residential (weekly) On-Route Single-Family Residential (not weekly) On-Route Multifamily Residential Customers On-Route Commercial Customers	515,884 479,688 17,726 68,407	515,884 479,688 17,726 68,407	515,884 479,688 17,726 68,407	515,884 479,688 17,726 68,407	515,884 479,688 17,726 68,407	515,884 479,688 17,726 68,407	995,572 - 17,726 68,407	515,884 479,688 17,726 68,407	515,884 532,076 23,544 73,974	515,884 558,270 31,640 74,956	515,884 584,463 36,022 75,611
Depot	NA (see population)	No change	No change	No change	No change	No change	No change	No change	No change	No change	No change
Population with Access											
On-Route and Depot Collection Depot Collection Only	3,856,631 654,847	3,856,631 654,847	3,856,631 654,847	3,856,631 654,847	3,856,631 654,847	3,856,631 654,847	3,856,631 654,847	3,856,631 654,847	4,511,478 -	4,511,478 -	4,511,478
	Original										
Materials Collected	Baseline: Pre- Disruption List (S0)	Baseline: Post- Disruption List (S5)	+ Engagement + I	mproved MRFs + (S1/A)	Expanded Lists (S2/A+)	+ CRF (S3/B)	Dual-Stream (S4/C)	+ Statewide List (S7/A++)	+ Expanded Collection (S8/D- low)	+ Expanded Collection (S9/D- mid)	+ Expanded Collection (S10/D- hi)
	Baseline: Pre- Disruption List (S0)	Disruption List (S5)	(S6/A-)	(S1/A)	(S2/A+)	, ,	(S4/C)	(S7/A++)	Collection (S8/D- low)	Collection (S9/D-mid)	
This section summarizes in-scope materials collected, including t	Baseline: Pre- Disruption List (S0) hose collected commingled a	Disruption List (S5) and source-separated	(S6/A-) I. Tonnages include co	(S1/A)	(S2/A+) ection, which largely	drives the reduction	(S4/C) in collected tons	(S7/A++) between the baselin	Collection (S8/D- low) les and Scenario A-	Collection (S9/D-mid)	Collection (S10/D- hi)
Materials Collected This section summarizes in-scope materials collected, including to Inbound Collection Quantities (total tons) Single-Family Residential Multifamily Residential Commercial Depot	Baseline: Pre- Disruption List (S0)	Disruption List (S5)	(S6/A-)	(S1/A)	(S2/A+)	, ,	(S4/C)	(S7/A++)	Collection (S8/D- low)	Collection (S9/D-mid)	
This section summarizes in-scope materials collected, including to Inbound Collection Quantities (total tons)  Single-Family Residential  Multifamily Residential  Commercial  Depot  Customer engagement methods include providing direct feedback	Baseline: Pre- Disruption List (S0) hose collected commingled a  576,235 243,032 23,376 231,207 78,621 k to customers, with refusal to	Disruption List (S5) and source-separated 554,695 227,057 22,987 228,717 75,933 co collect from custom	(S6/A-) I. Tonnages include co 541,085 216,083 22,454 226,977 75,571 ters with repeated con	(S1/A) contamination in coll 541,085 216,083 22,454 226,977 75,571	(S2/A+) ection, which largely 615,969 254,703 26,373 251,786	drives the reduction 615,969 254,703 26,373 251,786	(S4/C) n in collected tons 617,501 255,877 26,397 252,121	(S7/A++) between the baselin 618,603 255,873 26,403 252,118	Collection (S8/D- low) nes and Scenario A- 630,952 262,872 31,299 255,505	649,395 270,084 43,032 258,341	Collection (S10/D-hi)  663,559 277,007 51,175 260,776
This section summarizes in-scope materials collected, including to Inbound Collection Quantities (total tons)  Single-Family Residential Multifamily Residential Commercial Depot  Customer engagement methods include providing direct feedback	Baseline: Pre- Disruption List (S0) hose collected commingled at 576,235 243,032 23,376 231,207 78,621 k to customers, with refusal tative feedback from customers	Disruption List (S5) and source-separated 554,695 227,057 22,987 228,717 75,933 co collect from custom	(S6/A-) I. Tonnages include co 541,085 216,083 22,454 226,977 75,571 ters with repeated con	(S1/A) contamination in coll 541,085 216,083 22,454 226,977 75,571	(S2/A+) ection, which largely 615,969 254,703 26,373 251,786	drives the reduction 615,969 254,703 26,373 251,786	(S4/C) n in collected tons 617,501 255,877 26,397 252,121	(S7/A++) between the baselin 618,603 255,873 26,403 252,118	Collection (S8/D- low) nes and Scenario A- 630,952 262,872 31,299 255,505	649,395 270,084 43,032 258,341	Collection (S10/D-hi)  663,559 277,007 51,175 260,776
This section summarizes in-scope materials collected, including to Inbound Collection Quantities (total tons)  Single-Family Residential Multifamily Residential Commercial Depot  Customer engagement methods include providing direct feedback While customers appreciate clear instructions, there may be negative.	Baseline: Pre- Disruption List (S0) hose collected commingled at 576,235 243,032 23,376 231,207 78,621 k to customers, with refusal tative feedback from customers	Disruption List (S5) and source-separated 554,695 227,057 22,987 228,717 75,933 co collect from custom	(S6/A-) I. Tonnages include co 541,085 216,083 22,454 226,977 75,571 ters with repeated con	(S1/A) contamination in coll 541,085 216,083 22,454 226,977 75,571	(S2/A+) ection, which largely 615,969 254,703 26,373 251,786	drives the reduction 615,969 254,703 26,373 251,786	(S4/C) n in collected tons 617,501 255,877 26,397 252,121	(S7/A++) between the baselin 618,603 255,873 26,403 252,118	Collection (S8/D- low) nes and Scenario A- 630,952 262,872 31,299 255,505	649,395 270,084 43,032 258,341	Collection (S10/D hi)  663,559 277,007 51,175 260,776

Origina										
Baseline: Pre	Baseline: Post-							+ Expanded	+ Expanded	+ Expanded
Disruption Lis	Disruption List	+ Engagement + In	nproved MRFs + E	xpanded Lists		Dual-Stream	+ Statewide List	Collection (S8/D-	Collection (S9/D-	Collection (S10/D-
Bale/Commodity Tonnages (S0	(S5)	(S6/A-)	(S1/A)	(S2/A+)	+ CRF (S3/B)	(S4/C)	(S7/A++)	low)	mid)	hi)

This section presents bale/commodity tonnages for all in-scope recyclables, including materials collected commingled and source-separated. Tonnages include bale contamination, such as PET bottles in corrugated cardboard bales. Reductions in total baled tonnages between Scenario A-/A and the baselines are due to reduced accepted material lists to reflect current lists as well as reduced contamination.

Total Bale Weights	534,050	516,484	512,921	504,670	570,171	570,052	575,644	572,620	582,613	597,156	608,230
Paper Bales	387,787	374,696	372,157	363,547	396,787	396,823	401,668	398,284	405,511	416,137	423,714
OCC (corrugated cardboard)	221,925	221,243	220,908	234,269	237,282	237,269	240,443	237,321	239,434	244,374	246,636
Sorted clean newsprint	1,211	1,211	1,211	1,211	1,211	1,211	1,211	1,211	1,169	1,127	1,086
Sorted residential paper and news	14,576	13,668	13,524	81,973	91,833	91,805	93,646	91,832	94,548	97,307	100,031
Mixed paper	148,385	137,884	135,827	45,320	63,799	63,877	63,510	65,256	67,690	70,607	73,205
Paperboard/old boxboard	1,022	447	447	447	1,022	1,022	1,022	1,022	987	952	916
Aseptic and gable-top cartons	669	243	240	327	1,640	1,639	1,836	1,641	1,683	1,771	1,839
Plastics Bales	23,231	19,856	19,266	18,957	40,858	40,706	41,841	41,799	43,655	46,269	48,381
PET #1 bottles and jars	7,000	6,885	6,568	6,728	6,877	7,705	7,379	6,905	7,270	7,817	8,247
PET #1 thermoforms and tubs	24	1	1	1	2,509	1,742	2,724	2,712	2,887	3,108	3,301
HDPE #2 natural bottles	2,115	2,124	2,073	2,036	2,059	2,047	2,149	2,059	2,145	2,243	2,335
HDPE #2 colored bottles	3,080	3,101	2,983	2,866	2,894	2,877	3,006	2,896	3,015	3,153	3,280
HDPE #2 and PP #5 tubs	410	208	201	189	1,413	1,389	1,444	1,414	1,476	1,606	1,693
PE clear film	3,684	3,684	3,684	3,684	3,707	3,707	3,707	4,257	4,208	4,158	4,109
Mixed bulky rigid plastics (mainly PE and PP)	2,439	1,541	1,481	1,397	14,403	14,427	14,054	14,417	15,140	16,092	16,879
PP #5 bottles and jars	68	33	32	29	196	190	215	197	205	217	226
PP #5 small rigid plastic	910	443	427	410	6,073	5,923	6,295	6,077	6,411	6,935	7,335
Solid PS #6 (rigid)	0	-	-	7	569	540	710	706	750	806	853
Foam PS #6 (transport block and shape, densified)	1	-	-	-	134	134	134	134	124	114	103
#3-7 bottles and small rigid plastics	3,498	1,835	1,817	1,609	25	25	25	25	23	21	19
Glass	58,421	58,421	58,421	58,421	64,552	64,552	64,552	64,552	66,000	67,057	68,768
Container glass	58,421	58,421	58,421	58,421	64,552	64,552	64,552	64,552	66,000	67,057	68,768
Metal Bales	64,611	63,512	63,077	63,746	67,974	67,971	67,583	67,985	67,447	67,693	67,367
Aluminum cans and foil	1,478	1,367	1,347	1,373	1,530	1,530	1,546	1,530	1,574	1,646	1,697
Steel cans	33,714	33,738	33,503	33,758	35,858	35,852	35,662	35,868	35,130	34,575	33,883
Scrap metal	29,420	28,407	28,227	28,615	30,586	30,588	30,375	30,586	30,743	31,472	31,787

	Original Baseline: Pre- Disruption List	Baseline: Post- Disruption List	+ Engagement + Im			ODE (00/D)	Dual-Stream			Collection (S9/D-	Collection (S10/D-
Material Quality: Bale Contamination Rates	(S0)	(S5)	(S6/A-)	(S1/A)	(S2/A+)	+ CRF (S3/B)	(S4/C)	(S7/A++)	low)	mid)	hi)
This section presents bale contamination rates for materials that a	re sorted at MRFs.										
Paper Bales	4.4%	4.1%	3.2%	1.2%	1.6%	1.5%	1.4%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.7%
OCC (corrugated cardboard)	2.0%	2.0%	1.7%	0.8%	1.3%	1.3%	1.8%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%
Sorted residential paper and news	4.8%	4.5%	3.2%	1.3%	1.6%	1.5%	0.7%	1.6%	1.6%	1.7%	1.7%
Mixed paper	6.1%	5.8%	4.3%	1.8%	2.1%	2.0%	1.7%	2.0%	2.1%	2.2%	
Aseptic and gable-top cartons	7.7%	7.8%	6.7%	2.9%	4.5%	4.4%	3.8%	4.5%	4.6%	4.6%	4.7%
Plastics Bales	15.2%	15.2%	11.9%	6.2%	4.8%	4.7%	3.4%	4.8%	4.9%	5.0%	5.0%
PET #1 bottles and jars	15.2%	16.0%	11.7%	6.1%	4.3%	4.7%	3.4%	4.3%	4.4%	4.5%	4.7%
PET #1 thermoforms and tubs					3.4%	3.0%	2.8%	3.5%	3.6%	3.7%	3.8%
HDPE #2 natural bottles	8.0%	8.4%	6.1%	3.0%	2.8%	2.8%	2.1%	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	3.0%
HDPE #2 colored bottles	12.7%	13.3%	9.7%	5.0%	4.6%	4.6%	3.4%	4.7%	4.7%	4.8%	4.8%
HDPE #2 and PP #5 tubs	13.3%	13.7%	10.4%	4.0%	4.8%	4.5%	3.5%	4.9%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
Mixed bulky rigid plastics (mainly PE and PP)	12.9%	13.4%	9.9%	4.5%	5.7%	5.7%	3.6%	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	
PP #5 bottles and jars	13.3%	13.7%	10.4%	4.0%	4.9%	4.6%	3.6%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.1%
PP #5 small rigid plastic	13.6%	13.8%	10.5%	4.1%	4.6%	3.9%	3.5%	4.6%	4.7%	4.8%	4.8%
Solid PS #6 (rigid)				4.0%	4.8%	4.4%	3.4%	4.8%	4.9%	4.9%	5.0%
#3-7 bottles and small rigid plastics	23.4%	24.8%	24.1%	14.6%							
Metal Bales	6.0%	6.8%	4.8%	2.5%	2.9%	2.9%	1.8%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%
Aluminum cans and foil	5.3%	5.7%	2.4%	0.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.1%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%
Steel cans	10.7%	11.2%	8.1%	4.2%	5.2%	5.2%	3.2%	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%
Scrap metal	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	riginal										
Baseli	e: Pre-	Baseline: Post-							+ Expanded	+ Expanded	+ Expanded
Disrupt	on List	Disruption List	+ Engagement + In	nproved MRFs + E	xpanded Lists		Dual-Stream	+ Statewide List	Collection (S8/D-	Collection (S9/D-	Collection (S10/D-
Material Quantities Baled Excluding Contamination (tons)	(S0)	(S5)	(S6/A-)	(S1/A)	(S2/A+)	+ CRF (S3/B)	(S4/C)	(S7/A++)	low)	mid)	hi)

This section presents tonnages for all in-scope recyclables that are assumed to reach their intended markets.

Tonnages include materials at MRFs that are sorted into their intended bales as well as materials collected source-separated. Tonnages exclude bale contamination, such as PET bottles in corrugated cardboard bales.

Total Materials	518,617	502,700	502,518	500,629	563,764	563,735	570,138	566,100	575,742	589,743	600,38
Paper Materials	375,963	364,080	364,080	360,706	392,466	392,560	397,620	393,900	400,929	411,221	418,54
Corrugated cardboard	235,674	235,674	235,674	233,395	233,958	233,968	236,325	233,958	235,921	240,821	242,92
Newspaper	42,232	42,232	42,232	42,232	43,396	43,399	43,377	43,396	43,837	44,086	44,46
Printing and writing paper	51,056	48,813	48,813	48,301	56,895	56,932	58,796	56,897	59,268	61,842	64,27
Other paper recyclable with newspaper	2,681	2,178	2,178	2,154	4,319	4,322	4,345	4,319	4,580	4,840	5,09
Paperboard	36,289	29,525	29,525	28,925	39,020	39,024	38,991	39,020	40,183	41,354	42,57
Other paper not recyclable with newspaper	5,287	4,325	4,325	4,325	5,846	5,862	5,739	5,847	6,013	6,185	6,36
Gable tops & aseptics	1,100	516	516	536	1,703	1,703	1,768	1,703	1,745	1,835	1,90
Polycoated containers & cups	1,645	817	817	838	7,330	7,350	8,278	8,759	9,382	10,257	10,95
Plastic Materials	20,392	17,490	17,490	18,053	39,244	39,120	40,674	40,146	41,876	44,321	46,28
PET bottles (BB)	1,394	1,394	1,394	1,501	1,630	1,630	1,724	1,630	1,737	1,965	2,113
HDPE bottles (BB)	15	15	15	15	17	16	17	17	18	20	2
PP bottles (BB)	5	2	2	2	15	15	17	15	16	19	2
Other deposit plastic bottles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Other PET bottles & jars	4,414	4,414	4,414	4,756	4,815	4,846	5,124	4,815	5,036	5,308	5,548
HDPE bottles & jars	4,646	4,646	4,646	4,693	4,754	4,728	4,999	4,754	4,947	5,168	5,37
PP bottles & jars	55	26	26	26	172	167	191	172	179	188	19
Other other bottles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PET tubs	37	16	16	40	191	191	203	201	209	227	239
HDPE tubs	1,118	599	599	605	1,348	1,329	1,396	1,348	1,405	1,528	1,610
PP tubs and small rigids	1,683	877	877	877	1,941	1,914	2,011	1,941	2,021	2,217	2,33
Other accepted tubs & pails	76	40	40	41	6	6	6	6	5	5	
PP rigid products	1,144	693	693	693	4,028	4,028	4,027	4,028	4,225	4,485	4,699
Other bulky rigids	1,038	672	672	672	9,718	9,718	9,723	9,718	10,195	10,822	11,339
PET thermoforms	216	21	21	51	2,385	2,385	2,736	2,594	2,763	2,969	3,15
Other other rigid plastic containers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PP rigid packaging and products	867	390	390	390	3,842	3,790	3,974	3,842	4,070	4,361	4,609
Polystyrene foam	1	-	-	-	134	134	134	134	124	114	10
Solid polystyrene	0	-	-	7	542	517	686	675	716	769	813
PE film	3,684	3,684	3,684	3,684	3,707	3,707	3,707	4,257	4,208	4,158	4,109
Glass Materials	58,421	58,421	58,421	58,421	64,552	64,552	64,552	64,552	66,000	67,057	68,76
Deposit glass bottles	10,516	10,516	10,516	10,516	11,731	11,731	11,731	11,731	12,154	12,649	13,14
Container glass	47,905	47,905	47,905	47,905	52,821	52,821	52,821	52,821	53,846	54,408	55,625
Metal Materials	63,841	62,710	62,528	63,449	67,502	67,504	67,292	67,502	66,937	67,144	66,788
Deposit and accepted aluminum cans	499	499	499	531	570	570	578	570	600	657	695
Accepted other aluminum	941	834	834	838	957	957	967	957	970	984	990
Deposit and accepted steel cans	7,333	7,333	7,333	7,806	9,735	9,736	9,729	9,735	10,197	10,818	11,31
Accepted other steel	30,563	29,539	29,539	29,924	31,862	31,863	31,858	31,862	30,726	30,033	29,007
Scrap metals	24,505	24,505	24,323	24,350	24,378	24,378	24,160	24,378	24,445	24,652	24,770

Original										
Baseline: Pre-	Baseline: Post-							+ Expanded	+ Expanded	+ Expanded
Disruption List	Disruption List	+ Engagement + Im	proved MRFs + E	xpanded Lists		Dual-Stream	+ Statewide List	Collection (S8/D-	Collection (S9/D-	Collection (S10/D-
Transactional Costs (S0)	(S5)	(S6/A-)	(S1/A)	(S2/A+)	+ CRF (S3/B)	(S4/C)	(S7/A++)	low)	mid)	hi)

This section presents the transactional costs and revenues from recycling in-scope materials. It includes direct costs, avoided disposal, and bale sales.

Alternative scenarios include MRF capital investments to allow for sorting more materials with a long accepted materials list and less sort-line labor.

Alternative scenarios also include costs for contamination reduction through customer engagement, refusal to collect contaminated load, inbound load monitoring at MRFs and outbound bale quality monitoring through artificial intelligence visioning before the balers.

Costs in the dual-stream scenario are largely driven by the increase in single-family customers receiving collection effectively weekly instead of less than weekly.

Commodity values in alternative MRF scenario are not increased due to cleaner bales to offset potential decreases due to using only responsible markets.

Total System Dol	lar Costs and Avoided Disposal Per ton (excluding commodity revenues)	\$ \$	211,841,588 \$ 408 \$	209,478,926 \$ 417 \$	240,354,579 \$ 478 \$	241,349,912 \$ 482 \$	246,935,883 \$ 438 \$	246,087,017 \$ 437 \$	290,797,092 \$ 510 \$	246,936,260 \$ 436 \$		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	326,889,850 544
Collection	on Engagement Labor and Expenses	\$ \$ \$	6,086,162 \$ 1,210,256 \$ 4,875,906 \$	, , ,	37,010,805 \$ 32,134,898 \$ 4,875,906 \$	37,010,805 \$ 32,134,898 \$ 4,875,906 \$	36,071,932	39,150,709 \$	45,780,407 40,904,501 4,875,906				
Multifamily F	Property Improvements	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	15,182,938	20,404,194 \$	23,230,127
Signage	level adjustments (included above) e, labels, and container color (included above) on area adjustments ollection									\$ \$	-, -, -		NA NA 12,423,382 10,806,745
Collection		\$	194,187,467 \$	193,650,202 \$	195,526,195 \$	195,526,195 \$	198,550,950 \$	198,550,950 \$	244,322,761 \$	198,827,851 \$	217,279,604	230,103,012 \$	239,357,673
Collection Collection Collection Collection Collection		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	4,325,535 \$ 15,433,133 \$ 80,367,130 \$ 38,322,717 \$ 33,138,813 \$ 22,600,137 \$	38,274,180 \$ 33,089,129 \$	4,276,792 \$ 15,433,133 \$ 81,872,569 \$ 38,263,143 \$ 33,080,420 \$ 22,600,137 \$	4,276,792 \$ 15,433,133 \$ 81,872,569 \$ 38,263,143 \$ 33,080,420 \$ 22,600,137 \$	5,344,917 \$ 15,433,133 \$ 83,575,682 \$ 38,383,943 \$ 33,213,137 \$ 22,600,137 \$	5,344,917 \$ 15,433,133 \$ 83,575,682 \$ 38,383,943 \$ 33,213,137 \$ 22,600,137 \$	17,584,721 \$ 24,835,097 \$ 94,090,923 \$ 43,556,110 \$ 35,068,186 \$ 29,187,724 \$	5,381,681 \$ 15,433,133 \$ 83,781,987 \$ 38,399,397 \$ 33,231,516 \$ 22,600,137 \$	16,895,673 \$ 90,590,545 \$ 42,582,219 \$ 36,643,594 \$	17,874,542 \$ 95,455,276 \$ 45,582,404 \$ 38,813,838 \$	5,732,819 18,672,178 98,878,278 47,784,054 40,316,636 27,973,708
Cost Saving	s from Reduced Garbage Disposal	\$	(59,010,438) \$	5 (57,236,089) \$	(55,795,682) \$	(55,795,682) \$	(62,046,835) \$	(62,046,835) \$	(62,046,835) \$	(62,236,542)	(63,105,935)	(64,870,144) \$	(66,149,454)
Initial Transf	er Transport	\$	11,309,039 \$	10,817,762 \$	10,697,689 \$	10,697,689 \$	12,457,582 \$	12,457,582 \$	12,457,582 \$	12,627,152 \$	13,508,394	14,304,459 \$	15,067,059
Sortation		\$	59,269,359 \$	56,160,889 \$	52,915,572 \$	53,910,906 \$	60,963,382 \$	60,114,515 \$	59,052,779 \$	60,706,994 \$	62,785,804	65,902,676 \$	69,604,038
Sortatio Glass a Sortatio Sortatio	n Capital n Facility nd Container Transfer n Residual Disposal n Administrative & Marketing	\$ \$ \$ \$	31,319,707 \$ 1,947,466 \$ 8,728,792 \$ 442,772 \$ 6,608,583 \$ 5,864,513 \$	8,217,125 \$ 442,772 \$ 6,001,925 \$ 5,864,513 \$	28,468,392 \$ 1,947,466 \$ 7,869,139 \$ 442,772 \$ 4,436,416 \$ 5,864,513 \$	24,497,527 \$ 5,519,062 \$ 7,869,139 \$ 442,772 \$ 5,757,290 \$ 5,864,513 \$	27,148,917 \$ 5,888,844 \$ 9,497,567 \$ 959,574 \$ 7,159,240 \$ 5,864,513 \$	26,573,862 \$ 5,412,536 \$ 9,458,195 \$ 1,708,248 \$ 6,770,771 \$ 5,864,513 \$	26,427,335 \$ 5,620,928 \$ 9,558,958 \$ 480,721 \$ 6,761,653 \$ 5,864,513 \$	27,303,312 \$ 5,888,844 \$ 9,535,277 \$ 480,721 \$ 7,173,120 \$ 5,864,513 \$	5,888,844 \$ 9,868,473 \$ 483,480 \$ 7,559,102 \$ 5,864,513 \$	5,888,844 \$ 10,340,646 \$ 481,023 \$ 8,209,182 \$ 5,864,513 \$	32,369,383 6,332,560 10,705,820 483,773 8,727,969 5,864,513
	n Margin	\$	4,357,525 \$	4,127,268 \$	3,886,874 \$	3,960,603 \$	4,444,727 \$	4,326,390 \$	4,338,671 \$	4,461,205 \$	4,614,987	4,846,048 \$	5,120,020
Sensitivity Analys	sis of Total System Dollar Costs and Avoided I	Dispo	osal										
Lower Rang		\$	172,928,406 \$	, , ,	199,868,427 \$	200,664,694 \$	203,736,590 \$	203,057,496 \$	243,402,738 \$	203,724,626 \$	, ,		257,672,273
-10% Collection	er Engagement Costs on nily Property Improvements	\$	5,477,545 \$ 174,768,720 \$	, , ,	33,309,724 \$ 175,973,575 \$	33,309,724 \$ 175,973,575 \$	33,309,724 \$ 178,695,855 \$	33,309,724 \$ 178,695,855 \$	33,309,724 \$ 219,890,485 \$	33,309,724 \$ 178,945,066 \$ \$	195,551,644	207,092,711 \$	41,202,367 215,421,906 4,568,817
	ransfer Transport	\$ \$ \$	(64,911,482) \$ 10,178,135 \$ 47,415,487 \$	, , ,	(61,375,250) \$ 9,627,920 \$ 42,332,458 \$	(61,375,250) \$ 9,627,920 \$ 43,128,725 \$	(68,251,519) \$ 11,211,824 \$ 48,770,705 \$	(68,251,519) \$ 11,211,824 \$ 48,091,612 \$	(68,251,519) \$ 11,211,824 \$ 47,242,224 \$	(68,460,196) \$ 11,364,436 \$ 48,565,595 \$	12,157,555	12,874,013 \$	(72,764,399) 13,560,353 55,683,230
Upper Rang	e	\$	250,754,770 \$	247,490,125 \$	280,840,730 \$	282,035,131 \$	290,135,177 \$	289,116,537 \$	338,191,446 \$	290,147,893 \$	344,836,794	374,772,943 \$	396,107,427
+10% Collection Custom Multifan	nily Property Improvements	\$	6,694,778 \$ 213,606,213 \$	213,015,222 \$	40,711,885 \$ 215,078,814 \$	40,711,885 \$ 215,078,814 \$	40,711,885 \$ 218,406,045 \$	40,711,885 \$ 218,406,045 \$	40,711,885 \$ 268,755,037 \$	40,711,885 \$ 218,710,636 \$ \$	239,007,565 \$ 27,379,750 \$	253,113,314 \$ 36,795,366 \$	50,358,448 263,293,441 41,891,437
	ransfer Transport	\$ \$ \$	(53,109,394) \$ 12,439,943 \$ 71,123,230 \$	, , +	(50,216,114) \$ 11,767,458 \$ 63,498,686 \$	(50,216,114) \$ 11,767,458 \$ 64,693,087 \$	(55,842,152) \$ 13,703,340 \$ 73,156,058 \$	(55,842,152) \$ 13,703,340 \$ 72,137,418 \$	(55,842,152) \$ 13,703,340 \$ 70,863,335 \$	(56,012,887) \$ 13,889,867 \$ 72,848,392 \$	14,859,234	15,734,905 \$	(59,534,508) 16,573,765 83,524,845

Commodity Revenues												
Commodity Revenues												
Commodity Sales (lower range)	\$	12,857,143	12,796,829	12,675,599 \$	15,254,983 \$	17,125,528	15,102,256 \$	17,182,266 \$	18,674,922 \$	18,883,016 \$	19,363,034 \$	19,634,918
Commodity Sales (mid-point)	\$	44,750,522	43,606,647	\$ 43,237,519 \$	42,205,947 \$	48,638,696	\$ 44,895,087 \$	48,178,417 \$	52,138,426 \$	52,914,587 \$	54,324,462 \$	55,245,177
Commodity Sales (upper range)	\$	76,643,901	74,416,464	73,799,438 \$	69,156,911 \$	80,151,864	74,687,918 \$	79,174,568 \$	85,601,929 \$	86,946,158 \$	89,285,890 \$	90,855,437
Total System Cost net of Revenues (mid-point)	\$	167,091,066	165,872,279	197,117,060 \$	199,143,966 \$	198,297,188	201,191,930 \$	242,618,675 \$	194,797,834 \$	233,684,056 \$	255,546,351 \$	271,644,673
	Per ton \$	322	330	392 \$	398 \$	352	357 \$	426 \$	344 \$	406 \$	433 \$	452

	Original Baseline: Pre-	Baseline: Post-							+ Expanded	+ Expanded	+ Expanded
	Disruption List	Disruption List	+ Engagement + I	mproved MRFs + F	Expanded Lists		Dual-Stream	+ Statewide List			Collection (S10/D-
Employment	(S0)	(S5)	(S6/A-)	(S1/A)	(S2/A+)	+ CRF (S3/B)	(S4/C)	(S7/A++)	low)	) mid)	hi)
Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Employees	1,362	1,324	1,602	1,541	1,630	1,620	1,736	1,638	1,715	1,785	1,839
Recycling Customer Engagement	61	61	358	358	366	366	366	367	394	415	425
Local Government Engagement	61	61	61	61	61	61	61	61	61	61	61
New Engagement (costs listed in collection)	-	-	297	297	305	305	305	306	333	354	364
Recycling Collection	762	750	749	749	788	788	905	793	823	845	856
Route Drivers and Operations	430	430	430	430	430	430	527	430	468	500	522
Depot Operations	242	231	229	229	268	268	268	273	260	245	230
Management and Administrative	90	90	90	90	90	90	110	90	96	100	103
Sortation	540	512	495	434	476	467	465	478	497	525	558
Sorting Labor	406	382	366	302	333	326	317	335	352	376	404
Equipment Operators, Supervisors, Maintenance	89	85	84	87	98	96	103	98	101	104	109
Admininstrative and Marketing	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45

#### Other Qualitative Criteria

## Worker Safety

Worker safety will improve because wrap-resistant screens will require less frequent cleaning and removal of tanglers, which is a dangerous task.

Optical sorters and robots will replace some manual sorters, reducing the prevalence of repetitive motion injuries and needle sticks.

Fewer manual sorters will also reduce the potential for the spread of infectious diseases among the workers.

## **Equity Considerations**

Alternative scenarios A-C do not address equity beyond standardizing materials collected in geographic groupings and increasing worker safety.

Alternative scenario D expands access to on-route recycling to Grouping 4 and expands effective access to more multifamily customers in Groupings 1-3.

For single-family customers with recycling collected less than weekly, the substantial increase in collection costs in Scenario C (dual-stream) may affect affordability of waste collection or worsen other affordability issues, which has equity implications.

## Resiliency/Adaptability

## Multiple Scenarios

Investments in fiber cleanup in all of the MRFs in all of the scenarios provided greatly improved bale quality and thus provide more market resiliency.

Fiber-line optical sorters can also change the grades being produced to either make sorted residential paper and news, mixed paper,

or sorted office paper when running commercial fiber in response to market pricing and demand shifts.

Scenarios A+, B, and C also provide advanced sorting of containers that meets end-market specifications and avoids producing mixed plastic bales.

## + Improved MRFs (S1/A)

See above.

+ Expanded Lists (S2/A+), + Statewide List (S7/A++), + Expanded Collection (S8/D-low), + Expanded Collection (S9/D-mid), + Expanded Collection (S10/D-hi)

These scenarios include high-technology container sorting at one MRF in Portland, in lieu of making investments in all Oregon MRFs.

If this MRF could not receive and sort loose containers for a period of time, contingency measures would be needed, which could include:

- (1) Baling and storing the mixed containers at the source MRFs until container sorting line is returned to operation.
- (2) Placing temporary manual sorters at the source MRFs to manually sort the material as is the case today.
- (3) Baling and sending the mixed containers to container-sorting facilities in British Columbia or California.

Scenario D (high) includes two MRFs with high-technology container sorting lines.

## + CRF (S3/B)

Scenario B (out-of-state CRF) has similar adaptability options as Scenario A+.

The existing in-state MRFs can resume sorting containers manually if there is a disruption to an out-of-state plastics recycling facility.

Dual-Stream (S4/C)

Scenario C sends all collected containers to a container-sorting facility. If there were a disruption to that facility, the container stream could be blended into the residential fiber on the tip floors of the MRFs and sorted temporarily as single-stream recyclables.

## Stranded Assets

To prevent stranded assets, capital investment inputs assume that capital equipment with remaining lifespans will continue to be used.

Capital equipment includes assumptions regarding current equipment and planned upgrades that have been publicly announced.

Capital investments largely replace sort-line labor or allow MRFs to sort addition materials or commodities.

#### Social and Environmental Outcomes

Social and environmental outcomes will be presented separately by DEQ.