Legal and Relational Framework Subcommittee Meeting

September 12, 2019
11 a.m. – 1 p.m.
Webinar: https://zoom.us/j/2348602747
Phone: 1 (669) 900-6833 Meeting ID: 2348602747#

Proposed agenda

Purpose of meeting
- Update subcommittee on contract phase of the project.
- Inform and receive feedback on frameworks Resource Recycling Systems (RRS) will evaluate.
- Inform and receive feedback on deliverables timeline associated with frameworks research.

11 a.m. Provide housekeeping and frame for the day

11:15 a.m. Update on contract phase of the project

OBJECTIVES
- Subcommittee members are informed of contract phase of the project.

11:20 a.m. Recycling system frameworks to be evaluated by RRS

OBJECTIVES
- Subcommittee members are informed of, and have provided feedback on, frameworks to be evaluated.
- Questions from subcommittee members have been addressed.

12:10 p.m. Deliverables timeline associated with recycling systems framework research

OBJECTIVES
- Subcommittee members are informed of, and have provided feedback on, the timeline of notable meetings and deliverables associated with the project.
- Questions from subcommittee members have been addressed.

12:45 p.m. Wrap up
- Prep for 9/25 Recycling Steering Committee meeting.
- Summarize next steps and any deliverables.

OBJECTIVES
- If needed, homework is determined and deliverables and responsibilities are listed.

1 p.m. Adjourn
Frameworks to be evaluated

1. Local government programs utilizing comprehensive policy and contractual tools (Possible models include: Tompkins County, NY; Alameda county, CA; San Jose, CA; Solid Waste Authority of Lake County, IL)

2. State Contracting / Certifying MRFs (Onondaga County, NY)

3. Comprehensive statewide system, including policy, planning and infrastructure (Vermont)

4. Sustainable Materials Management Public-Private Authority – infrastructure funding (Possible models include: Resource Productivity and Recovery Authority (RPRA), Ontario, Twin Cities Solid Waste Coordinating Board)

5. Extended producer responsibility for processing / marketing only (Possible models include: Modification of British Columbia, Slovenia)

6. Shared responsibility framework where producers cover a proportion of system costs (Manitoba)

7. Full extended producer responsibility system (British Columbia)

8. Full extended producer responsibility system with elements to incentivize reduction of upstream impacts / design for recycling (France)

9. Full extended producer responsibility system where municipalities deliver services and producers cover costs (Quebec)

10. Full extended producer responsibility system with competitive PRO’s (Austria)

11. Baseline (Oregon)