# Improving Oregon Recycling Systems Infrastructure Research

# Initial Alternative Scenario Selection (Phase 2 Task 5)

February 24, 2020

# Draft Alternative Recycling Infrastructure Scenario Definitions

Based on research on customer engagement, collection, and processing, the Cascadia Consulting Group team (Cascadia) has developed four initial alternative recycling infrastructure scenarios to analyze in Phase 2 Task 5. These scenarios represent a range of infrastructure system alternatives, focusing mainly on variations in the collection and processing infrastructure and to some extent on the accepted materials lists by groupings provided by DEQ — Metro Area; Willamette Valley, etc.; Other Areas with Curbside: and Areas Without Curbside<sup>1</sup>. Cascadia limited variations in other system parts so the varying effects of collection and processing elements could be compared more directly. The more elements are changed in a scenario, the more difficult it is to determine the effects of individual changes.

- Scenario A: Single-Stream with Modern MRFs Single-stream/glass on side same list as current and modernized MRFs in Metro Area (paper/containers)
- Scenario B: Single-Stream with CRF Single-stream/glass on side expanded list and modernized MRFs in Metro Area plus out-of-state CRF
- Scenario C: Dual-Stream Statewide Dual-stream/glass on side everywhere expanded list, modernize and create dual-stream fiber MRFs in Metro Area; add/upgrade one or two container lines in Metro Area, modernize fiber MRF in Eugene-area
- Scenario D: Dual-Stream Outside Metro Area Dual-stream/glass on the side outside Metro expanded list, modernized MRFs in Metro Area (paper/containers), dual-stream fiber sorted in Eugene and Metro Area, dual-stream containers sorted in Metro Area

Page 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Grouping descriptions can be found in the "FINAL Phase 2 Task 4 Research Plan" at <a href="https://www.oregon.gov/deq/recycling/Documents/BaseCaseModelingPlan.pdf">www.oregon.gov/deq/recycling/Documents/BaseCaseModelingPlan.pdf</a>.

The tables below use the following color-coding:

- **Gray**: no change from baseline.
- Yellow: change, but the same across scenarios.
- Red: change and different across scenarios.

A description of commodity bale grades and typical materials by marketability are presented on page 11.

cenario Summaries				
Oregon Baseline	Scenario A: Single-Stream with Modern MRFs	Scenario B: Single-Stream with CRF	Scenario C: Dual-Stream Statewide	Scenario D: Dual-Stream Outside Metro Area
No changes — base-case	On-route material list: no change.	On-route material list: expand to "emerging" except in Other Areas with Curbside grouping.	On-route material list: expand to "emerging."	On-route material list: expand to "emerging."
	On-route collection method: no change.	On-route collection method: no change.	On-route collection method: switch all on-route collection to dual-stream with glass on side.	On-route collection method: switch non-Metro Area on- route single-family residential groupings to dual-stream. No change to Metro Area grouping.
	<b>Depot list</b> : expand to "emerging" in Metro Area grouping; expand to variable elsewhere.	<b>Depot list:</b> expand to "emerging" in Metro Area and Willamette Valley, etc. groupings.	<b>Depot list:</b> expand to "emerging" in Metro Area and Willamette Valley, etc. groupings.	<b>Depot list:</b> expand to "emerging" in Metro Area and Willamette Valley, etc. groupings.
	Depot type(s): no change.	Depot type(s): no change.	Depot type(s): no change.	Depot type(s): no change.
	Sortation: modernize MRF paper-lines and add/upgrade one or two container lines in Metro Area grouping.	<b>Sortation:</b> modernize paperlines of MRFs in Metro Area grouping and send containers to CRF outside state.	Sortation: modernize paper- lines of MRFs to accept dual- stream paper and add/upgrade one or two container lines in Metro Area grouping. Sort all containers in Metro Area. For non-Metro dual-stream fiber: sort half in Eugene and the other half in Metro Area.	Sortation: Modernize paper- lines of MRFs for single- stream and add/upgrade one or two container lines in Metro Area grouping. Sort all containers in Metro Area. For non-Metro Area dual-stream fiber: sort half in Eugene and the other half in Metro Area.

# Customer Engagement: Education and Compliance

Grouping	Oregon Baseline	Scenario A: Single-	Scenario B: Single-	Scenario C: Dual-Stream	Scenario D: Dual-Stream	
		Stream with Modern	Stream with CRF	Statewide	Outside Metro Area	
		MRFs				
Metro Area	Varies	Residential (RES) and comm	Residential (RES) and commercial (COM) customers: Direct feedback by haulers (with cameras on fully			
Willamette	Varies	automated trucks and lid-fl	automated trucks and lid-flips on semi-automated trucks); refusal to collect.			
Valley, etc.						
Other Areas	Varies	RES and COM customers:	RES and COM customers: Direct feedback by haulers (with cameras on fully automated trucks and lid-flips on			
with Curbside		semi-automated trucks).	semi-automated trucks).			
Areas without	Limited	No shange	No change			
curbside		No change				
At Transfer	None	Haulers: Spot-check QA wit	Haulers: Spot-check QA with refusal/fines on incoming material.			
Station/MRF		MRFs: Third-party bale-bre	MRFs: Third-party bale-breaking and estimating quality on outgoing.			

## On-Route Accepted Materials List by Market Reliability

A description of commodity bale grades and typical materials by marketability presented on page 11.

Grouping	Oregon Baseline	Scenario A: Single- Stream with Modern MRFs	Scenario B: Single-Stream with CRF	Scenario C: Dual-Stream Statewide	Scenario D: Dual-Stream Outside Metro Area
Metro Area	Varies, but includes "reliable", some "moderately reliable", and some "variable"	No change	"Reliable", "variable", and "emerging"		
Willamette Valley, etc.	Varies, but includes "reliable", some "moderately reliable", and some "variable"				
Other Areas with Curbside	Varies, but includes "reliable", some "moderately reliable", and some "variable"		"Reliable" and "variable"	"Reliable", "variable", and "e	emerging"

# On-Route, Single-Family Collection Method

Grouping	Oregon Baseline	Scenario A: Single-	Scenario B: Single-	Scenario C: Dual-Stream	Scenario D: Dual-Stream
Grouping	Oregon baseline		_		
		Stream with Modern	Stream with CRF	Statewide	Outside Metro Area
		MRFs			
Metro area	Single-stream carts	No change	No change	Dual-stream in two carts	No change
	(mostly weekly), glass			with glass on side	
	in tubs on the side.			(effectively weekly by	
Willamette	Single-stream mainly			alternating collection of	Dual-stream in two carts
Valley, etc.	carts (mostly weekly),			each cart), no change to	with glass on side
-	glass in tubs on the			glass.	(effectively weekly by
	side.			_	alternating collection of
					each cart), no change to
					glass.
Other Areas	Single-stream mainly			Dual-stream in two carts	Dual-stream in two carts
with Curbside	carts split every-			with glass on side	plus glass on side
	other-week (EOW) &			(effectively weekly by	(effectively weekly by
	weekly, glass on the			alternating collection of	alternating collection of
	side.			each cart), no change to	each cart), no change to
				glass. This increases	glass. This increases
				service in areas currently	service in areas currently
				using EOW cart	using EOW cart
				collection.	collection.

# On-Route Multifamily and Commercial Collection Method

Grouping	Oregon Baseline	Scenario A: Single- Stream with Modern MRFs	Scenario B: Single- Stream with CRF	Scenario C: Dual-Stream Statewide	Scenario D: Dual-Stream Outside Metro Area
Metro Area	Single-stream with glass	No change	No change	Dual-stream in two	No change
Willamette	on the side, frequency			receptacles, no change	Dual-stream in two
Valley, etc. and	varies by customer			to glass.	receptacles, no change
Other Areas	needs.				to glass.
with Curbside					

#### Depot Accepted Materials List by Market Reliability **Oregon Baseline** Scenario A: Single-Scenario B: Single-Scenario C: Dual-Stream Scenario D: Dual-Stream Grouping Stream with Modern Stream with CRF **Outside Metro Area** Statewide MRFs Materials with "reliable", "variable", and "emerging" markets. **Metro Area** Varies, but includes "reliable", some "moderately reliable", and some "variable." Materials with "reliable" "Reliable", "variable", and "emerging." Willamette Varies, but includes Valley, etc. "reliable", some and "variable" markets. "moderately reliable", and some "variable." Materials with "reliable" and "variable" markets. **Other Areas** Varies, but includes "reliable", some with Curbside "moderately reliable", and some "variable." **Areas without** Varies, but includes "reliable", some curbside "moderately reliable", and some "variable."

#### Depot Type(s) **Oregon Baseline** Scenario A: Single-Scenario B: Single-Scenario C: Dual-Stream Scenario D: Dual-Stream Grouping Stream with Modern Stream with CRF Statewide **Outside Metro Area** MRFs Staffed but passively **Metro Area** No change monitored sites at transfer stations, mainly sourceseparated. Staffed sites at Willamette No change Valley, etc. transfer stations, mainly source-Other Areas separated. Some with Curbside unstaffed glass depots. Staffed sites at **Areas without** No change curbside transfer stations, mainly sourceseparated.

# Consolidation and Transfer Methods

Grouping	Oregon Baseline	Scenario A: Single- Stream with Modern MRFs	Scenario B: Single- Stream with CRF	Scenario C: Dual-Stream Statewide	Scenario D: Dual-Stream Outside Metro Area
Metro Area transfer facilities	Mostly direct delivery to MRFs.	Add transfer of containers from non-upgraded-container-line MRFs to MRFs with upgraded container lines.	Add transfer of containers from non-upgraded-container-line MRFs to out-of-state CRF.	No change in method (direct delivery) but send to dual-stream MRFs.	No change in method from hauler to MRF (direct delivery). Add transfer of containers from non-upgraded-container-line MRFs to MRFs with upgraded container lines.
Transfer facilities elsewhere	Consolidated for truck transport (mainly loose or compacted); existing facilities.			Truck transport half of fiber Eugene area. Truck transpo Area.	

Grouping	Oregon Baseline	Scenario A: Single- Stream with Modern MRFs	Scenario B: Single- Stream with CRF	Scenario C: Dual-Stream Statewide	Scenario D: Dual-Stream Outside Metro Area
MRFs in Metro Area	Three Oregon-style MRFs for single- stream (Pioneer, Far West Portland, Far West Hillsboro) One commercial fiber facility expanding to single-stream (EFI) Two small MRFs (KB, WestRock).	Modernized paper side of main MRFs (screens and optical sorters) and modernize container side of one to two MRFs for single-stream residential and commercial in Metro Area.	Modernized paper side of main MRFs (screens and optical sorters) for single-stream residential and commercial in Metro Area; send containers to existing CRF outside Oregon for additional sorting.	Convert MRFs to dual- stream: modernized paper-line of main MRFs with optical sorters with separate in-feed for fiber. Modernized container side of one to two MRFs with separate in-feed for containers.	Modernized paper side of main MRFs with optical sorters with separate infeeds for dual-stream fibers and containers from non-Metro Area ar modernize container sid of one to two MRFs for single-stream residentia and commercial in Metro Area.
MRFs Outside Metro Area	One largely manual MRF (Garten) in Salem One fiber facility (International Paper) in Eugene.	No change		Garten continues operation for dual-stream fiber and confiber MRF for residential fib	ontainers). One upgraded
Outside Oregon MRFs	West Vancouver, Idaho. or California MRFs (small portion of material from remote southern and eastern areas).	No change			

#### Bales and Marketing **Oregon Baseline** Scenario A: Single-Scenario B: Single-Scenario C: Dual-Stream Scenario D: Dual-Stream Grouping Stream with Modern Stream with CRF Statewide **Outside Metro Area** MRFs Bale Specs Bales meeting market Bales meeting ISRI specs (quality) allowances. Bale grades Not specified by MRFs TBD, based on incoming materials, but avoiding mixed paper and mixed plastics produced (types) Not specified by MRFs Domestic or markets with modern solid-waste infrastructure (OECD markets) Market Locations **End-Processing** Not specified by MRFs No change (mechanical For mechanical recycling, chemical recycling, and/or energy recovery Methods only)

# Materials/Bale Grades

The list below presents bale grades by anticipated ability to market materials. Bales may include items that Oregon facilities currently do not or cannot sort, such as PP #5 lids or small items.

### Single-stream or dual-stream collection materials

Materials/bale grades with reliable markets:

- OCC (corrugated cardboard)
- Sorted office paper and sorted white ledger (commercial)
- Sorted clean newsprint (preferably depot; can be dual-stream or single-stream with advanced sorting)
- PET #1 (polyethylene terephthalate) bottles and jars
- HDPE #2 (high-density polyethylene) natural bottles
- HDPE #2 colored bottles
- HDPE #2 injection bulky rigid plastic, if source-separated (e.g., buckets at curbside; other items such as totes, crates, lawn furniture, carts, storage bins in depot)
- PP #5 (polypropylene) injection bulky rigid plastic, if source-separated
- HDPE #2 and PP #5 tubs and lids
- PP #5 bottles and jars (mostly deposit collection)
- PP #5 small rigid plastic (e.g., PP tubs and cups with tofu tubs, dishwasher-safe storage containers, hangers)
- Aluminum cans
- Steel cans
- Scrap metal, if source-separated

### Materials/bale grades with moderately reliable markets:

- Sorted residential paper and news (e.g., newspaper, junk mail, magazines, printing and writing paper; no paperboard or brown grades)
- PE (polyethylene) clear film (commercial source-separated)
- Aluminum foil

### Materials/bale grades with existing but variable markets:

- Aseptic and gable-top containers (though generated in small quantities)
- Paperboard/old boxboard alone (if not in a mixed paper bale, more marketable from dual-stream)
- Mixed paper (all paper and paperboard, more marketable from dual-stream)
- Mixed bulky rigid plastics (mainly PE and PP)
- PE colored film (commercial source-separated)
- PE retail mix film (commercial source-separated)
- Aluminum foil

### Materials/bale grades with **emerging** markets:

- PET #1 thermoforms and tubs (PET packages not including bottles or jars)
- #3-7 bottles and small rigid plastics
- Densified MRF-grade foam PS #6 (food service and packaging)
- Polycoated paper (cups, food service papers)

### Depot or source separated on-route collection materials

### Materials/bale types with reliable markets:

- Foam PS #6 (polystyrene) in transport block and shape, if densified at the collection point
- Container glass

### Materials/bale grades with existing but variable markets:

- Aseptic and gable-top containers (though generated in small quantities)
- Paperboard/old boxboard alone (if not in a mixed paper bale, more marketable from dual-stream)
- Mixed paper (all paper and paperboard, more marketable from dual-stream)
- Mixed bulky rigid plastics (mainly PE and PP)
- PE colored film (commercial source-separated)
- PE retail mix film (commercial source-separated)
- Aluminum foil

### Materials/bale grades with emerging markets:

- PET #1 thermoforms and tubs (PET packages not including bottles or jars)
- #3-7 bottles and small rigid plastics
- Densified MRF Grade Foam PS #6 (food service and packaging)
- Polycoated paper (cups, food service papers)