

Oregon Department of Corrections Rules Coordinator

3723 Fairview Industrial Drive SE #200

Salem, OR 97302 Voice: 503-945-9090

Fax: 503-373-1173 oregon.gov/DOC

September 9, 2025

AIC Peckenpaugh, William SID #12873453 Oregon State Penitentiary 2605 State Street Salem, OR 97310

Re: Comments on proposed changes to OAR 291-131 Mail (AIC)

Dear William Peckenpaugh:

This letter is in reply to your correspondence dated August 27, 2025, and received by my office September 9, 2025.

Your input has been received and will be reviewed and considered. According to OAR 137-001-0040(1), "the agency shall maintain a record of any data or views it receives in response to a notice of intent to adopt, amend, or repeal a rule". As such, your comments will be included in the rulemaking record.

Sincerely,

Julie Vaughn Rules Coordinator

Oregon Department of Corrections - AIC Mail Institution 35/2 Address_ Name W Received State Or Zip 4570 SID 1287347

SEP 9 2025

Legal Affairs Unit

OFFICIAL

marl-

ON. DETT. OF SOMECTIONS

RULES OFFICE

SALEM OR 97302

3723 FAIRNEW INDUSTRIAL BRISE STE 200

The state of the s

Page 1 Pa

William Redenpargh 12873453

To: Julie VAUGAN

ODOC Rules Coordinate

Re: Proposal changes to OAR 291-131 (Mail Rule)

Comments regarding proposal rule changes:

As I am currently a pursoner on the Chagon Department of Connections, and therefore am with dole to participate in the newste meeting as detailed in the Notric of Proposal Rule uniting, I request that my wrother comments be real not only recluded in the record of public comments for this meeting.

I. While the Department of Giverting continues to spend a longe amount of time only money debating and enforcing the mountaine of what weight paper or "thisknes" of 13th 13 allowed, both logal and illegal dungs continue to pour 100 doc factories. flow can this, be? Dal the January 13, 2005, changes to this nule not curtain such prthing? No, they did not, and neither will the changes were proposal. Both promis and obox staff, of asked and able to answer condidly, without Lean of retaliatory, well affirm that the nothing of large-scale totodiction of outraband through the man is a firtion, a distraction from the truth. the onverhelming majority of contrabonel is brought mb oboc factions by ODIC staff, contractors, volunteers, and visitors, likely in that arder. The portractory I have had somesating with security shift at two otoz mothertrong, all of whom said that nepter their purson nor promal proparty carried not the history was routinely, if ever, searched. One sergocut, a former military

1-NEXT

SEP 9 2025

in a bound, or a gur, and nowady would ever know. "Meanwhile, we perpetuate the firstion that drugs "pour m" through the mail by deboating paper colon and weight.

2. Under the "FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACY" section, it states, "The changes are not antizipated to have a fiscal impact on DOC, ATCs [872], -.. or the general public." I contest this asserting, which is unsupported by empirical evidence. By changing the wording of 291-131-0025 (4)(a) four "20 pound or less" to "standard weight," and adding several subsections to 291-131-0025 (2), such as "(d) A Loran mally threb mb", this opens up the interpretation of "standard" weight and "boundly" thisly into to ODOK won nom staff. These staff, and their supervisors and administrative, already have a long history of violating dearly-wordel rules (see the case BARRETT U. PREMO et al., 101 F. Sup. 3d 980, for a very relevant example), so adding implicit permission for states personal Julgment invotes orbitraviness and abuse, which, m town, leads to tamily fromly, businesses, al other organizations having to re-sent the same mast multiple times. By defention, since new postage must be attached Liv each attempt, presoners, them families and friends, and the general poblic who wish to correspond with promess are very lotely to 2-NEXT

suffer an adverse formeral support.

3. Also pertaring to prential costs, this time to the state of Oregon, is the toly impact of not having a conclute continu standard, insteal relying on mail worm stall "discretion," Unbourlel authorsy and a last of personal or mother mal accounted, My for questionable or clearly absorve. actions leads to inchessal prosoner Along of both greenes and discrementar suplants, and increased films of both state and federal actions by pursoners, their famores and fixeds, and businesses and other organizatorns where overspondence runs about of the whims of man now still lacking form guidance. for a hoppy relevant emple J. ODOC was non, staff statewide have dready prompted multiple complaints and imminant knewists just from the January 13, 205, Man Rule supernartities. Can we suppose, their that allowing each man wom worker to implement her or his own "standard" will not lead to more of this! What is the ost, in dollars and lost productivity, when the Athrney Ceneral's of Ge must lotend oboz on these fully-preventable husers?

4. Last, the entire fixtion of a "flood" of doings coming in through the mail seems to be a stratistion, a play, bound Oregon becoming yet another state to contract with a proforming third powdy to "Scan" prooner man, which is then only areal able 3-NEXT

electronically. Indeed, ODC recently promoted a "survey" of prisoners, the obviny parit of which was to bester on where us the status we would agree to not receiving actual may from an families and firews in escharge for being provided on "free" tollet device to view the scanned mail. Many of us are aware, though, that; A) such "Service" has been universally detrimental to prosoners and them families on every juridiston on the United States where A has been implemented; B) such "Service" B of roughly the same quality, and lovel of customer service, as prison telephone providers, nearmy service is glitche, sporadie, data is frequently lost, and sensitive references expressed to dansalidanting theft. Prison is already dehumanging, without being and old from tangible notorally that my family sout me. I have been lost a prece of man my mother sout me is proton when she was orM alshe; can any profor dishers, law bolder boost that level of quality?

To close, if otec can present chalible evidence that drags-by-mail is anything more than a spreader, small-scale publish, then I propose a more effective solution that shifts the burden of cost beat the State, and off my family, friends, church, all other correspondents: adopt the "RaySecur" tevaherz I maging system, as recently obne by the Hawai'i Department of Correction and Rebab Maxtory, as reported 4-MXT

in the April 2005 Tosue of Prog Logal News (page 39). The system (on one simplar b 7) is reasonably priced, highly exceptive at sdentiting all types of centrabad, even drug-souted paper, and can do so even with unopened moil (legel mail, Linesample, which is sometimes farged to conceal autahard). This would be a win on multiple fronts: ODOC factities would gon the ability & goods and accurately thento all types of situational in all types of mal; prosiners Who do NOT surge in smuggling settlaband should be los prone to man totuns and reseations by pury-trained staff acting on whomas or hunches"; position-recipients and outrade sendos of contrabail uMbe more retrably polertofied, and may be held sections mersal not the man Role (paper when mit coxposity), which have demonstrally mentered with prisings being able to receive legitimate mall from Figures, family bustnesses, at arganizations.

Thout you very much for your attention to my commands. I strongly unge that these awardness be REJECTED, and a work group comprised of coope stack, prosumers, family newbox, and advacacy organizations be ostablished to create a rational, evillace based plan for promon man services.

Reprofully

William D. Rochenpangly

5-END

My name is Aurora Shewell and it's an honor to give you my mail rule testimony on behalf of all AIC's and their family members.

Some of the points I spoke about in today's 08/26/25 ODOC Mail Rule Hearing:

- 1) Since August of 2024, I have seen the mail rules increase in severity and restrictiveness. This has caused unnecessary financial and emotional frustration for all AIC's and their loved ones.
- 2) CARDS have been removed- for the holidays, AIC's look forward to receiving cards from their loved ones- now they are no longer allowed.
- 3) Third Party Cards have been disallowed- Which makes ZERO SENSE. I spoke about sending in a 3rd party Valentines day card, yet my husband didn't receive it. It was very expensive. Please remain

consistent with any changes in the rules. It is costing families so much money and is wasteful.

- 4) AMAZON + ODOC should figure out a system to have return packaging included in all AIC books sent to all ODOC facilities- that way if they are not approved books, they can be easily returned either to AMAZON (in a timely manner), OR to the family member directly.
- 5) ODOC should be prosecuting those sending in prohibited materials, drugs, contraband, etc. INDIVIDUALLY, not punishing all AIC's and family members.

Thank you for considering my talking points as I speak on behalf of all AIC's whose voices are not always heard and all ODOC family members who weren't able to join us today. A