



FLUMES

No. 2, MIDDLE DITCH

No. 3, HIGH LINE DITCH

Number	Length Feet	Size Sds. Bot. Inches	Number	Length Feet	Size Sds. Bot. Inches
1	16	36 X 48	1	1764	16 X 36
2	96	36 X 48	2	104	28 X 36
3	48	36 X 48	3	48	28 X 36
4	64	36 X 48	4	32	28 X 36
5	48	36 X 48	5	16	28 X 36
6	48	36 X 48	6	60	28 X 36
7	32	36 X 48	7	80	28 X 40
8	208	40 X 48	8	88	28 X 36
9	40	36 X 48	9	32	28 X 36
10	704	30 X 48	10	120	28 X 40
11	32	40 X 48	11	216	28 X 42
12	70	36 X 48	12	32	30 X 42
13	56	36 X 48	13	80	30 X 42
14	208	38 X 48	14	64	30 X 42
15	56	44 X 48	15	64	32 X 42
Total, -- 1726			16	96	32 X 42
			17	28	32 X 42
			18	128	30 X 42
			19	64	30 X 42
			20	48	30 X 42
			21	96	30 X 42
			22	192	30 X 40
			23	112	30 X 40
			24	60	32 X 42
			25	112	30 X 42
			26	34	34 X 54
			27	88	28 X 42
			28	32	30 X 42
			29	48	24 X 38
			30	48	30 X 42
			31	48	30 X 42
			32	192	30 X 40
			Total, -- 4226		

September 1st 1923.

G.M. Esterly

Removed South of Logan Pit (1)

22454
 17776
 41832
 102340

184392
~~67270~~

184392 cu yds

Total Prod.

Probably 100,000 cu yds Remaining

cu yd	val	Prod	
22444	29	650876	
301996			
44888			
650876			
✓ 17776	49	871024	
49			
159984			
71104			
871024			
✓ 41832	7	292824	
7			
292824			
102340			
48	48	4912320	
48.1872			
48.9360			
4912320			
184392			
8			
		6927044	
		553176	
		1195284	
			36¢
			per
			cu yd

B4 Sample 20 File

(2)

6' 39d — 1014
 $\frac{39}{23}$
 $\frac{78}{1014}$

9 34 306

9 9 1/2 85

15 35 525

10 9 95

18 30 540

26 33 1/2 87 1/2

18 20 360

10 34 340

14 21 1/2 301

12 5 60

9 5 45

20 24 1/2 490

196

 5032
 392
 1112
 480
 132

25
 $\frac{5000}{1000}$

25 1/4¢

per cu yd

Increase 36¢ / 26¢ Increase starts 40%

1,800,000 ← 6,000,000 → 17,160,000

(3)

Provisional Return around

Provision of Pit Top = 75000

North of Pit — 75000

Setback No. " " 36000

185000

Provision ~~1~~ 200000

North of Pit average

51000 x 40 — 20400

30	10	300	
23	10	230	
21	30	630	
20	25	500	
20	12 1/2	250	
<hr/>		1910	16 1/2
114		114	3
		<hr/>	<hr/>
		770	480

30% increase —

1,180,000 ← 1,112,410.00

Bottom of Pit By Shrinkage

cu

34041 38 — 1,293,558

38
272328
102122
1293558

2016 40 80640

40
80640

3238 94 1/2 306011

94 1/2
12952
27122
306011

8337 74% 613106

74 1/2
25348
58359
41268
513106

1382 94 1/2 130599

94 1/2
5528
12428
6912
130599

34041
2016
3238
8337

49,074 cu yd

94 1/2

1901d / 2423,914 /
119 6656
4 6335 50¢
25,000
50¢ area
50,000 cu yd

1,800,000

6,000,000 / 16,000,000

Corrected

Continuation of Page #5

235392 @ 32 =	7749044
7640 @ 52 =	397280

243032

8144324

33 1/2

729096

4

853364

729096

124268

243032 @ 33 1/2 = 8144324

442037 @ 22 1/2 = 9945832

685069

18090156

26 1/2

1370138

4388776

4110444

278362

Total Area all ground sliced up per Pit

arrived forward from pg 183 (5)

184392 @ 36¢ = 6727044
51000 @ 20¢ 1020000

235392
9
7747044 32.9
506176

685284
470784
2148000
2118528

33¢ per cu yd are for
all ground work in
upper Pit - Exclusive of
Lower Pit

235392 @ 33¢ = 7747044
442037 @ 22¢ 9945832

884074
884074
2210118
1445832

677429
17692876 26.
1354858

are for all ground
Slit = 26¢ per cu yd
Inch

4144296
4064574
797220

1,800,000 ← 6,000,000 16,000,000

(6)

drilling costs =

Upper Pit. 26 1/2¢ 10^{ac} Logan 10^{ac} Est.

Lower Pit 50¢

Increase 85% with depth

acreage probably the same

Depth unknown but not less than say 5 to 6' less than upper Pit.

Estimated ground averaged

10 ac = 33 1/2¢ = 81,443.²⁴
~~\$80,000 per acre~~

Upper Pit.

Logan
10 ac 22 1/2¢ 99,458.³²
\$100,000 per acre

Bottom Pit = 3 acres 28,211.94
9,500 per ac

81,443.²⁴
28,211.94
109,655.18
\$110,000
Land probably purchased
20,000 per acre

1,800,000 ← 6,000,000 Total 4,160,000

(6)

drilling costs =

Upper Pit. 26 1/2¢ 10^{ac} Logan 10^{ac} Est.

Lower Pit 50¢

Increase 85% with depth

Acreage probably the same

Depth unknown but not less than say 5 to 6' less than upper Pit.

Estimated ground averaged

10 ac = 33 1/2¢ = 81,443.²⁴
\$80,000 per acre

Upper Pit.

Logan
10 ac 22 1/2¢ 99,458.³²
\$100,000 per acre

Bottom Pit = 3 acres 28,211.94
9,500 per acre

81,443.²⁴
28,211.94
\$109,655.18 Land probably purchased
20,000 per acre

1,800,000 ← 6,000,000 Total 4,200,000

Upper Field
 Acreage = 250 acres

(7)

~~113560 / 250 = 454.24~~
~~87120 sq. ft.~~
~~9 / 10834.4 sq. yds~~
~~1,050.5 sq. yds deep~~
~~1,154,500 cu yds Re claimable upper Flat.~~

18'
 36'
 2/5-4
 3 | 28
 9 yds

3000' x 45000' = 135,000,000 sq. ft.
 10,000,000 cu yds @ 15¢

@ 1,800,000 tons

Lower Flat, 120 a

~~113560~~
~~871200~~
~~53560~~
 9 / 5,227,200
 580,800 cu yds

1,000,000 cu yds
 2500,000 Lower Pit
 1,150,000
 350,000 Lower
 1,500,000

\$3,000,000 value

of
 property

6,000,000 cu yds @ 15¢

Lower Pit 50¢
 17,000
 153,000
 16,000,000 cu yds

1,800,000
 6,000,000
 4,160,000 yds

Assumed value

(8)

From Barton 250 000
 1700 500,000 cu yds - 74 dds deep 50 ft

7a Border & South 275,000 @ 35¢ 100 000
 600 yds

North of Pit. rd 75 000
 500,000

Exhum north 35,000
 250,000 @ 14¢

460,000

Int Value 350

Measured + Int value = 850,000

600,000 total value
 20 @ 20%
 120,000 per year
 60,000 @ 10%

3000
 100
 1000
 3500
 3500

3 110,000 per year, 16%

In addition to 10,000,000 Upper Tule
 + 6,000,000 Iron & Steel
 1,000,000 in South
17,000,000 yds Price

300 working days or 17 years to work
 rate of 3000 yds per day

1,800,000 6,000,000 7,174,000,000

(9)

100 000	15
290 000	20
150 000	10
500 000	10 1/2
260 000	10
<hr/>	
1,300,000	12.8

15000 00
58000 00
15000 00
52500 00
26000 00
<hr/>
166500 00
130000 00
<hr/>
365000 00
260000 00
<hr/>
105000 00
104000 00
<hr/>
100000 00

12.8
\$

Increase 40%

12.8
40

30000
16

250000
8000

320000

5.120

17.9 say 18¢ per amyd.

3 rd 150 000	15	10	15000 00
3 rd 100 000	15	15	15000 00
4 th 50 000	9	10	5000 00
<hr/>			<hr/>
300 000			35000 00

16
10

7
10

23

6 val di
60%
50
600
3000
80

12¢
40

16¢ 480
165
36
206

1320

19 43560

9 304920

33880 Amyds

287160 Amyds
128580
250,000 Amyds

10
9 435600

48400 Amyds
5

200,000 Amyds
80

160,000 00
160000
125000

285000

1,300,000 ← 2,000,000 → 16,000,000

Logan Pits Calculated

(70)

add 12,021⁸⁵

43560
1611

99

43560
43560
261360
43,560

9 | 60175.160

6686.49 yds

10372
5

700000 | 52+
66860
31400
26744
4656

113
10
1156

1,888,000

6,000,000
7,177,160,000 yds

3170 acres land @ 75 ⁰⁰	\$ 237,750.00
25 miles ditches @ 5000 ⁰⁰	125000.
Pipe Lines + Mine Equipment	25000.
Shop Equipment and Stock	10000.
Power Plant and Equip.	5000.
1713 ft Tunnel 8x8	51000.
Tunnel Equipment	10000.
1800 ft Tail Race + Blume	5000.
	<hr/>
	\$ 468,750

3288

3750

Recapitulation

①

10^a	Mined by Logan Upper Pit	$442,037 @ 22\frac{1}{2} = 99,458.$	32
10^a	" by Saterly " "	$243,037 @ 33\frac{1}{2} = 81,443.$	24
20^a	" by Logan & Saterly =	$685,067 @ 27\frac{1}{2} = 180,901.$	76
		$698,441$	$192,923.41$
30^a	" " Saterly Lower Pit	$56,654 @ 50\frac{1}{2} = 28,211.$	94
	yardage value has increased	$\$121,677$	$109,655$
	85% from Upper to Lower Pit.		18
			Diff = $12,021.85$
		$74,172$	$209,113.50$
		$10,071$	$221,134.35$
		$755,095$	

Ave value of ground removed within limits of certain shafts = 36¢ p.c.y.
 Ave. value of same ground by prospecting = 26¢ p.c.y.
 Showing an increase of 40% in value by shimming

Worked ground has returned by a crease an average $\$18,450$

~~new Race area not included~~

There is remaining in Sec 22 $22^{+27} = 250$ acres of reclaimed ground according to the valuation of acre shafts ~~has a value~~ ^{contains}
 $\$18 \text{¢ per cu yd. west side} = 18' \text{ deep}$
 worked to depth of new Race East side = $36''$

(This only to depth reclaimable by) $2/5 \text{¢}$
 new Race $2/27$
 $250 \text{ a or } 10,000,000 \text{ cu yds}$
 $\$1,800,000$ given
 In Sec 15 ad, note 9 yds are depth
 $= 3 \text{ inch } 3 \text{ or } 120 \text{ or}$
 $6,000,000 \text{ cu yd or say } 10 \text{ yds}$
 $\$1,174,160,000$

This ground reclaimed by 525 tons of dynamite and cost \$2,500,000 per shaft

Demonstrated ground now open & prepared for mining by present method and cost included in above estimates.

7a = 250,000 cu yds @ 74¢ @ 50¢ = 125,000.00
 10a = 200,000 " " @ 54¢ @ 80¢ = 160,000.00
 increase 60%

In addition there remains 10 ac

Present pit containing	40,000.00
250,000 cu yds @ 16¢	75,000.00
Same area lower pit @ 80¢	
2,500,000	
<u>950,000</u>	<u>400,000.00</u>

say 1,000,000 cu yds

Val of prop

Cost 5 ft men yds =

half int

<u>1,000,000</u>
<u>350,000</u>
<u>750,000</u>
<u>50,000</u>
<u>700,000</u>
<u>300,000</u>

Re claim by new Rec = 2,800,000.00
 " " Old Rec = 400,000.00
3,200,000

Including bal of red rock 350,000.00
3,550,000

Work at rate of 10,000,000 yds per year

333 1/3 per day

or 300 working days

On basis of 60¢ per cu yd = 20% cost basis

13,000,000 cu yds per year

LAL: (1916)

52 Ac,

1,300,000 yd³

\$ 166,500.

= 25,000 yd³/Ac.

= 134/yd³

Say 18¢ 20g; then:

\$ 234,000 gross @ 20^w/g;

∴ × 30 = \$ 7,020,000 @
\$ 600./g.

If: 1500 Ac now (per CWS)

37,500,000 yd³

× 18¢ × 30 = 5¢

= \$ 202,500,000. gross value

(2) deposits in narrow gulches traversing Tertiary conglomerate, and (3) transported deposits to which the Tertiary and other formations have contributed material. Deposits of the first group were largely worked out during the early days, so that little is known regarding their productivity. Good examples occur in the SE. $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 21 and the SW. $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 10, T. 40 S., R. 8 W., where the gold collected on serpentine bedrock. Deposits of the second type are well illustrated by the placers of Sailor and Allen Gulches. They were richly productive but, like the deposits of the first group, were worked by the early-day miners, and hence little is known of the amount of gold they produced. Most of the gold and platinum in recent years has come from the deposits of the third group, illustrated by the Llano de Oro and Deep Gravel mines and portions of Fry Gulch.

LLANO DE ORO MINE

The Llano de Oro mine, formerly the Logan, Simóns & Cameron mine, has for many years been the most productive gold-platinum placer in Oregon. The property includes over 3,000 acres of land in secs. 8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 21, 22, and 27, T. 40 S., R. 8 W., although practically all of the mining has been confined to the S. $\frac{1}{2}$ sec. 15, the S. $\frac{1}{2}$ sec. 22, and the N. $\frac{1}{2}$ sec. 27. The property is operated by George M. Esterly, of Waldo.

The first important work on the Llano de Oro property was done south of the highway near the center of sec. 27 by early-day miners. C. H. White, who was acquainted with one of the miners, states that they mined gold worth \$80,000 from this place. Later George Simóns, Frank Ennis, and Theodric Cameron took \$110,000 out of Carroll Slough.⁵³ J. T. Logan mined the gravel on French Flat from 1907 to 1917, when the property was sold to G. M. Esterly. Mr. Esterly has worked the property almost continuously, during the mining seasons, up to the present time. He estimates the production in gold and platinum since 1917 at about \$225,000 and the total production of the entire property at about \$500,000.

Since 1907 most of the work at the Llano de Oro mine has been confined to the vicinity of French Flat. Four pits have been excavated, covering in all an area of over 30 acres. The depths of the pits vary considerably from place to place. For example, the depth to bedrock in pit 3 is about 8 feet on the west side and about 18 feet on the east side, whereas the average depth of the Logan or no. 1 pit is more than 30 feet, and at one place in it the tailings were elevated 50 feet. The company owns three ditches known as the upper, middle, and lower, together with three water rights to 500, 518, and 1,100

miner's inches from the East Fork of the Illinois River.⁵⁴ The total length of the ditches is over 15 miles. During the mining season, which averages about 7 months yearly, sufficient water is available to operate 2 giants in the pits, 2 hydraulic elevators, and 1 giant for stacking tailings. When the plant is operating steadily from 15,000 to 30,000 cubic yards of gravel, depending largely upon the seasonal water supply, is washed each month.

Both the Tertiary conglomerate and the Quaternary Llano de Oro formation have been worked at the Llano de Oro mine, but the latter has been by far the most productive. In only one place on Llano de Oro ground, in the SW. $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 15, has the Tertiary formation been washed for its gold content. At this place the formation is well exposed in several cuts, where it can be seen resting upon serpentine in fault contact. The fault, which in part defines the eastern boundary of the Tertiary formation, strikes north and dips 65° W., whereas the normal contact dips 20° W.

The Llano de Oro formation consists of gravel, sand, and clay, is in general poorly sorted, and ranges in thickness from less than 1 foot near the edges to nearly 50 feet, but within the prospected areas on French Flat averages about 18 feet. Few boulders with diameters exceeding 6 inches are present. The bedrock varies at different localities. At several places it is Tertiary conglomerate; at other places serpentine or Horsetown (?) sandstone. The gold and platinum are concentrated near bedrock, although prospect holes show that some gold is distributed throughout most of the formation.

Most of the gold is angular and is associated with platinum chromite, magnetite, ilmenite, hematite, limonite, epidote, zircon, and other heavy minerals. Chromite was abundant enough in some of the areas of serpentine bedrock to be troublesome in the sluice boxes. The platinum occurs as flattened scales with rounded corners, which range in size from tiny grains to pieces over 2 millimeters in cross-section. Picked grains of platinum from the concentrate were analyzed by E. T. Erickson of the chemical laboratory of the United States Geological Survey, who reports that "the sample consists largely of platinum and ruthenium with smaller proportions of iridium and osmium. A small quantity of gold and slight quantities of palladium and rhodium were also detected." According to Mr. Esterly, platinum accounted for one tenth of the value of the clean-ups when it was worth \$110 an ounce. In other words, the ratio of platinum to gold in the mined areas on French Flat is about 1 to 50.

In 1921 L. A. Levensaler, mining engineer in charge of prospecting for Mr. Esterly, estimated that the unmined gravel on French Flat

⁵³ Historical data furnished by C. D. Cameron and G. M. Esterly.

⁵⁴ Hornor, R. R., op. cit., p. 29.

within the prospected areas would average about 18 cents to the cubic yard. According to Mr. Levensaler, the value of the ground worked by J. T. Logan in the upper (No. 1) pit averaged 22½ cents a cubic yard, and that worked by Mr. Esterly at the other places in the same pit averaged 33½ cents a cubic yard. Kay⁵⁵ states that the gold content of the gravel mined in Carroll Slough was about 12½ cents a cubic yard.

DEEP GRAVEL MINE

The Deep Gravel mine is in Butcher Gulch, in secs. 16, 17, 20, and 21, T. 40 S., R. 8 W. Four deep pits covering a total area of approximately 50 acres and shallow pits covering well over 15 acres constitute the principal workings. The deep pits are designated, from north to south, Joe Smith Gulch, Wadleigh No. 2, Weimer, Wadleigh No. 1, and Johnson pits. The mine was first worked about 1874 by George and Walter Simmons. W. J. Weimer and sons purchased the property in 1878. In 1900 the ownership passed to the Deep Gravel Mining Co., in which Mr. Weimer retained an interest. In 1911 the Waldo Consolidated Mining Co. obtained an option on the property, but when the payments were not completed the ownership reverted to the Deep Gravel Mining Co. A. E. Reams, of Medford, Oreg., at present owns two thirds of the stock and acts as the representative of the company. Mr. Weimer stated that until 1908 about \$130,000 had been expended on the property and it had produced \$250,000.⁵⁶ Since 1907 the mine has produced about \$26,316 in gold.⁵⁷ The Deep Gravel Mining Co. owns 350 acres of patented placer land, 410 acres of land held by mineral location, and a water right to take 2,800 inches of water from the East Fork of the Illinois River at a point a short distance west of Takilma.⁵⁸

Most of the production of the Deep Gravel mine has come from the Llano de Oro formation, but recently Charles Johnson, of Takilma, excavated a small cut in Tertiary conglomerate in the S½ sec. 21. The Tertiary formation is here almost identical in appearance with the exposures at the Cameron mine, in Scotch Gulch. The lower beds are purplish conglomerate and sandstone; the upper beds are tan conglomerate composed of poorly sorted, coarse boulders which are fairly well indurated with sandy material. Like those at the Cameron mine, the boulders of the Tertiary conglomerate in the Johnson cut are for the most part highly decomposed. On the west they are in fault contact with Cretaceous sandstone.

⁵⁵ Diller, J. S., and Kay, G. F., Mineral resources of the Grants Pass quadrangle and bordering districts, Oreg.: U. S. Geol. Survey Bull. 380, p. 74, 1909.

⁵⁶ Kay, G. F., op. cit., p. 74.

⁵⁷ Data supplied by Victor C. Helkes, of the United States Bureau of Mines, and published with permission of owner.

⁵⁸ Hornor, R. R., op. cit., p. 32.

At the Deep Gravel mine, as elsewhere, the Llano de Oro formation is composed of gravel, sand, and clay and except in the lower 10 feet contains but few boulders over 6 inches in diameter. Stratification is well shown in some places. The thickness of the formation ranges from less than 1 foot near the edges to over 80 feet. Joe Johnson, of Takilma, assisted in the sinking of two prospect pits south of Mr. Potter's house. According to Mr. Johnson, the shafts passed through sand and clay containing lenses of fine gravel and at about 70 feet entered sandstone bedrock. A 2-foot layer of gravel on bedrock prospected very well, but above this layer the gold was sparsely distributed. So far as known, the bedrock in the various pits is either Cretaceous sandstone or Tertiary conglomerate. According to Kay⁵⁹ the bedrock in Joe Smith Gulch was 30 feet below the stream bed of the West Fork of the Illinois River, and hence hydraulic elevators were necessary to lift the gravel after the coarse gold had been removed on the riffles of a short sluice. After being elevated, the gravel was washed through another sluice 400 feet long in which the finer gold was collected. According to Kay⁶⁰ the average value of the pay gravel over a period of five years was about 25 cents to the cubic yard.

FRY GULCH

Fry Gulch is in secs. 28 and 33, T. 40 S., R. 8 W. Much of the gravel in it was worked in the early days, but some unworked ground remains. Two northward-trending branches of Fry Gulch join near the quarter corner between secs. 28 and 33. Both branches, as well as the main gulch for about 1,500 feet below the junction, have been mined. The east branch heads at the High Gravel mine, and the gold in it was clearly derived from the Tertiary conglomerate. The west branch heads near a flat summit close to the quarter corner of secs. 32 and 33. The boulders in it are similar to those in the east branch, but the source of the gold is not known, although it probably came from a patch of the Tertiary conglomerate, now completely eroded. Like Sailor Gulch and other small gulches receiving the wash from the Tertiary conglomerate, Fry Gulch was undoubtedly a rich placer, but, because much of the mining was done in the early days, no records of production are available.

In 1930 A. L. Bailey was working in a small cut near the mouth of the west branch. The gravel in the cut is composed of dark-red sand with pebbles of greenstone, serpentine, granitic rocks, sandstone, hematite, and chromite. The material is principally sand, and only a few of the boulders exceed 6 inches in diameter. Patches of unworked material of this sort extend up the west branch for about

⁵⁹ Kay, G. F., op. cit., p. 73.

⁶⁰ Idem, p. 74.

3-3-80

Field Notes
CAVE JCT. PLACERS - OREGON

- * Jim Bentley, Carl Matthews, Gea. Sintay (Don....)
- * Ariz. ^{ded. owner (contact)} Jack Devault, Box 1498, Wickenburg (602) 684-5514
- * ^(want) Need from Sunshine:

- Engineering - expertise; \$
- \$ for property acquisit
- Plant \$
- Explor./develop \$

* They provide:

- poss some \$
- local presence, contacts
- loc. experience
- property (eg., Esterly No. 1)

* Limited partnership (their present set-up)

- 4 lim. ptns
- 3 gen'l ptns
- GWS --- some do interest?

* Have invested in Devault:

- \$2,000 - 3,000 per Jim
- prob more like \$15,000 per GWS

* Deal on SW Ore:

- They "have the say"; They oper. ---
- 50/50 net profit split (I suggested 65/35)

* Literature: USGS 84C-0 (map - good); Cave Jct - 1954, 15' quad; Dogami. Bull 100

* Victor Mining Co. - ditch? - from Bearpaw Mts, MT?; have ("failed to establish credibility") (per Jim).

* Lessor - Esterly No. 2 - 5 Ac. - washing tailings

(2)

Billman -

- unpat?
- "surpris" @ shack - out 2021? (old man coming up to mine, w/ boat)
- 5-8 mill. yd³ + 7-8 Acres contended (per GWS)
- lease "avail." (but - no - see above)
 - 30 K ^{up-}front (to buy out ??...)
 - 15% net profit yr #1; then 25%
 - \$3 mill buy-out
- Hg values
- Phony sidehill "ledge" w/ 2 draglines - unusual set-up
- Quick look @ "boat"

Esterly:

- 2 parts: (1) 500 Ac under negot; 200 Ac "mineable", +
(2)
- Total 1,000 to 1,300 Ac (both parcels)
- On "leased" parcel - (not really leased as yet)
 - 50,000,000 yd³ (I question that!). (maybe 1/2 mill.)
 - 20' deep zig (not proven overall)
 - 200 Ac "mineable" (prob ok)
 - 18¢ - 37¢ (^{@ 20.67, or 35.00/42.?} _(prob 20.67?)) (GWS dug 30+ holes; mtl not yet assayed).

Serious question - Area of remaining gravel - look at maps - looks like just restricted area on Esterly No. 1 (much is bedrock!).

CAVE SET ONE.

3-3-80

* Permitting - regulation:

- DEQ (Dept Environ. Qual)
- Reclam Plan (? - prob not req'd if was mined earlier)
- Zoning Commission
- Claim they are in good shape.

* Sailors Diggings:

- hippie problem
- avail for lease
- tested - deep; gold @ base
- Zoning ok
- of "secondary" interest - potential problems.

* Jim - rancher from 50 mi. N. of John Day, - 50,000 Ac

* Interoceanic Engrg Co.?? - Seattle (plant)

* Concentrator: (clean-up)

- 3-tier table (Wilfley) - for 3 sz. fracs
- Mtl placed in 3 bins @ outside (how sized??)
- Est. from 5 to 20 lb of concentr from washing plant per yd³ of bank-run gravel
- Apprx. Avg. 5 lb of black sand + free gold per yd³ of bank-run
- Blk-sand concentr, after free gold removed, valued @ \$ 150. - \$ 400. per ton

(4)

	<u>Esterly #1</u>	<u>Esterly #2</u>	<u>Billman</u>	<u>Others</u>
Area	→ 1320 Ac., est. ~ 4% = gravel ← 500 Ac. (minerals)	320+ Ac 20' x 320 Ac	10-12 claims =	
Yd ³	20 m. + (50 m. pass?)	~ 30 m. yd?	7 m. yd ³ +	
Grade	18-37 ft, av. ~ 27 ft (@ 20", w/35"?)	- do -	40 ft } @?	
yd ³ /day	say 10,000+	- do -		
day/yr	300+	- do -		
Front \$	29% of 500,000 = \$ 150,000 (1)	-	\$ 30,000 +	
Royalty	-	\$ 10,000/yr NR? 5% NSA (split profit)	1500 → 25%	Net Profit
Buy-out	\$ 500,000	?		\$ 3 mill.
Explor. Option	-	\$ 10,000?		?
Exploration	\$ 100,000.	\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000
Prep.	-			
Plant (2)	\$ 1.1 million			
Roads				
Oper. cost/yd ³	25¢ (-)			
Royalty				
Legal/permitting	5,000.			
Reclam:	50,000?			
Salu. Equip	15,000.			
Salu. Land	50,000?			

(1) They have 31 (?) test pits; run 25 days yet; 400-ft cuts. Could get another test option?

(2)	Aggreg. plant	375,000	Water System	10,000
	Precrator	50,000	Underdrain	15,000
	Slurries	25,000	Ramp	10,000
	Generator	50,000	Tools	5,000
	Wiring	25,000	Digger	250,000
			Loader	250,000
				<u>1,065,000</u>

CAVE JCT. ORE. PLACERS 3-3-80

- # They don't know what grade to expect - think 27¢/yd is (was) at 35¢/oz. - when - prob was at 20^{??}/oz.??
- # Testing of their Bailey property --- say they just went to 12 ft. w/ backhoe - if so... no data on bedrock?? (defn - needs further defn., per luncheon conversation:
 - closer pits than 400'
 - to bedrock
 - Caldwell auger
 - Cross-sectioning
 - defn for - (1) reserve (2) mining plan
- # Claim they have \$800,000 into it now (borrowed against Jim Bentley's land?)
- # Jim Bentley - his father a smelter engineer?
- # Esterly No. 1:

Say 30 m yd ³ @ 27¢ @ 20.67/oz. = \$8 ¹⁰ /yd ³ @ 6m =	$\frac{8.10 \times 30,000,000}{20.67} = 243,000,000$
Say: gross revenue:	200,000,000
Property	- 500,000
Plant	- 1,000,000
Explor.	- 100,000
Oper. cost @ 50¢/yd ³	- 15,000,000
Legal/permits/reclaim	- 50,000
Setup equipts	+ 100,000
Land	+ 500,000
	<hr/>
	183,395,000

6

Esterly No 1 - "exercise"

200 Ac Land - mineable

Say 20 Ac. per mine, leaves
@ av. 15' thk = $1413 \times 150 \times 15 =$
 $226 \times 30 = 6.66 / yd$

Recover 96 %:

Say \$600/day oper. costs = 5,000 yd/day:

25,869,294 - 522,512 =

Say 1⁰⁰ / yd oper cost = $\frac{25,869,294}{4,355,600} =$

less: Purch 500,000
Expl 100,000
Start-up 50,000
Plant 1,100,000
Leas 5,000
Reclm 50,000

1,805,000

50% Secord

200 Ac
180 Ac 100
4,355,100 yd³ 4,617,000
28,743,600 4,839,000
25,869,294 4,355,100

12 \$/yd³
25,346,682

3,226,000
1,129,100

6,805,000

\$ 19,709,194
9,854,597

But - question:

- 1) overall grade
- 2) .. volume
- 3) throughput; ∴ cost per yard prod by - could well be several \$/yd³!

