REPORT OF MINERAL EXAMINATION

Claimants: Robert and Pamela Nichol
2355 Montelius Street
Klamath Falls, Oregon

Reason for Examination: Administrative problem involving cabin and road right-of-way

Subject: Validity of mining claims.

Lands Involved: Five lode mining claims, Nichol Pan No. 1 through Nichol Pan No. 5, located in Section 30, T. 27 S., R. 18 E., W.M., in Lake County, Oregon, within the Fremont National Forest.

Location Data: These five lode claims were all located July 25, 1955, and are recorded in Book 8, pages 446 through 450 inclusive.

Land Status: Land open to mineral entry.

Mining District: None

Mining Engineer and Dates of Examination: Raymond F. Shirley
June 11, 1965 and June 13, 1966

Accompanied by: Mr. M. Nuss, U. S. Forest Service
June 11, 1965
Location and Topography

These claims are located approximately 21 miles northwest of Lakeview, Oregon, via the Thomas Creek Road and in the Thomas Creek Valley, which at this place is rather narrow and winding. Elevations vary from 5,000 feet to 7,000 feet.

Areal Geology

The bedrock in the general area consists of an older series labeled older tuffs, composed of light-colored acid tuffs, lapilli tuffs, welded tuffs, and tuffaceous sediments. These may be Miocene in age. Conformable on the older rocks is a series of younger tuffs including lapilli tuffs, agglomerates, and lacustrine sediments.

Structurally, the area has been intricately faulted; and it is this faulting that controls the drainage and topography. There are three major directions of faults: N. 45° W., N. 45° E., and N. 15° E.

Economic Geology

It is thought that the mineralization in the general area has been localized by the faulting and also by the permeable tuff beds. The White King and Lucky Lass uranium deposits are approximately 5 miles east of the subject claims. These two occurrences have the only known production in the area. They are not producing at the present time.

Discovery

No corners or location notices were found, but a sign nailed to a tree and reading 'Nichols Camp' was found. The relationship of the cabin to the claims could not be established. The appended map shows the location of four of the claims from the recorded descriptions. Several old pits, badly sloughed in, were found (see Pictures 1 and 2). A geiger counter gave no indication of any radioactivity. Several samples were panned down, but no cinnabar was noted.

Occupancy

A neat little cabin (see Picture 3) is on the claims. No tools or mining equipment were observed at the cabin.

Conclusions

The evidence leads to the conclusion that a discovery has not been demonstrated on the area thought to be included in the five claims. The cabin did not appear to be used for mining purposes.
Recommendations

It is recommended that adverse proceedings be initiated against these claims on the basis that:

1. A discovery has not been demonstrated on each of the five claims.
2. The land is nonmineral in character.
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