To increase the public’s trust, the Oregon legislature has mandated the Board on Public Safety Standards and Training establish minimum standards for all private security providers in the state. The minimum standards for this program are defined in Oregon Administrative Rule Chapter 259, Division 60. The Department of Public Safety Standards and Training (Department) is responsible for certifying and licensing private security professionals and managers who meet all of the Board-established standards, and for denying or revoking the certification or licensure of those who do not meet or fall below the standards.

The Professional Standards Ethics Bulletin has been developed as an educational tool aimed at providing insight and transparency into situations involving applicants for certification or licensure and current providers who may have violated the minimum standards. This publication is meant to provide insight into the types of behaviors that resulted in revocation, denial, suspension or civil penalty of private security certification and licensure over the last two months. The bulletin details the conduct and the resulting Department action. The names of the individuals in this bulletin have been omitted to ensure focus remains on the behavior. This is a sampling of cases and not meant to describe all past actions taken by the Department over the last two months.

Questions about these incidents or about the Department processes and procedures can be directed to Private Security (503) 378-8531 or dpsst.security@state.or.us.
MARCH/APRIL STATISTICS

Currently Certified and Licensed Private Security Providers in Oregon: 20,416

Professionals

Alarm Monitor Professionals 2,682

Armed/Unarmed Professionals 1,584

Unarmed Professionals 14,599

Managers

Executive Managers 937

Supervisory Managers 1,123

Instructors

Unarmed Instructors 388

Alarm Monitor Instructors 128

Firearms Instructors 49

Professional Standards Cases Opened: 127

Professional Standards Cases Closed: 197

TO VIEW PRIOR ETHICS BULLETINS VISIT
HTTP://WWW.OREGON.GOV/DPSST/PS/PAGES/ETHICSBulletins.aspx

PLEASE DISSEMINATE THIS INFORMATION TO ALL PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICERS

www.dpsst.state.or.us 503-378-2100
The following cases have resulted in revocation or denial of certifications and licenses by DPSST in March/April 2018

Applicant 1, an Unarmed Professional’s criminal history showed multiple arrests beginning in 2009 for DUII, Reckless Driving, DWS, Furnishing Alcohol to Minor, and Failure to Perform the Duties of Driver. The arrests were not reported on the application for certification. By preponderance of evidence, the review of the records showed the provider did not meet the core values. The provider was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on discretionary disqualifying conduct and given an opportunity to submit mitigating information. Applicant 1 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.

Applicant 2, an Unarmed Professional’s criminal history showed a prior arrest for theft that was not reported on the application for certification. By preponderance of evidence, the review of the records showed the provider did not meet the core values. The provider was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on discretionary disqualifying conduct and given an opportunity to submit mitigating information. Applicant 2 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.

Applicant 3, an Unarmed Professional’s criminal history showed prior arrest for theft that were not reported on the application for certification. Research showed the applicant admitted to multiple incidents of theft. By preponderance of evidence, the review of the records showed the provider did not meet the core values. The provider was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on discretionary disqualifying conduct and given an opportunity to submit mitigating information. Applicant 3 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.

Applicant 4, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Possession of Schedule 1 Controlled Substance prior to application for certification. The Applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial for a mandatory disqualifying conviction. Applicant 4 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.

Applicant 5, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of two counts of Assault in the Fourth Degree prior to application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. Applicant 5 withdrew the application and the case was administratively closed.

Applicant 6, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Theft in the Second Degree prior to application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on mandatory disqualifying convictions. Applicant 6 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.

Applicant 8, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Recklessly Endangering Another Person
Applicant 7, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Assault in the Third Degree in another jurisdiction prior to application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. Applicant 7 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.

Applicant 8, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Criminal Mischief in the Second Degree prior to application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. Applicant 8 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.

Applicant 9, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Robbery in the Third Degree and Theft in the Second Degree prior to application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. Applicant 9 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.

Applicant 10, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Forgery in the Second Degree prior to application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on mandatory disqualifying convictions. Applicant 10 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.

Applicant 11, an Alarm Monitor Professional was arrested for Forgery in another jurisdiction prior to application for certification. By preponderance of evidence, the review of the records showed the applicant did not meet the core values. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on discretionary disqualifying conduct and given an opportunity to submit mitigating information. Applicant 11 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.

Applicant 12, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Promoting a Detrimental Drug in another jurisdiction prior to application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. Applicant 12 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.

Applicant 13, an Unarmed Professional was convicted of Criminal Mistreatment in the First Degree prior to application for certification. The applicant was served with a Notice of Proposed Denial based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. Applicant 13 failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Denied.
**Provider A, an Unarmed Professional** was terminated for a violation of the Private Security Services Providers Act. The employer had documented video evidence that the provider stole money from a client of his employer. By preponderance of evidence, the review of the records showed the provider did not meet the core values. The provider was served with a Notice of Proposed Revocation based on discretionary disqualifying conduct and given an opportunity to submit mitigating information. **Provider A failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Revoked.**

**Provider B, an Unarmed Professional** was convicted of Harassment, Constituting Domestic Violence. The provider was served with a Notice of Proposed Revocation based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. **Provider B failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Revoked.**

**Provider C, an Unarmed Professional** was convicted of multiple counts of Using a Child in a Sexual Display and Sex Abuse in the Second Degree. The provider was served with a Notice of Proposed Revocation based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. **Provider C failed to respond to the notice and was Disqualified-Revoked.**

**Provider D, an Unarmed Professional** was convicted of Commercial Sexual Solicitation, after being caught in a prostitution sting operation. The provider was served with a Notice of Proposed Revocation based on a mandatory disqualifying conviction. **Provider D subsequently surrendered their card and the case was administratively closed.**

**Provider E, an Unarmed Professional** was arrested and charged with Unlawful Use of Weapon, Assault in the Fourth Degree and Menacing. The Department placed the provider under emergency suspension pending the outcome of the court case. All charges were dismissed; the case was reviewed for a violation of the core values. Insufficient evidence was presented to prove a violation of the moral fitness provisions. **Provider E’s certification was reinstated and the case was administratively closed.**

**Provider F, an Unarmed Professional** was terminated for a violation of the Private Security Services Providers Act. The employer had documented substantial evidence, including video tape and interviews that the provider was making harassing and threatening statements while employed as a private security professional. By preponderance of evidence, the review of the records showed the provider did not meet the core values. The provider was served with a Notice of Proposed Revocation based on discretionary disqualifying conduct and given an opportunity to submit mitigating information. **Provider F subsequently surrendered the card and the case was administratively closed.**
Our mission is to promote excellence in public safety by delivering quality training and developing and upholding professional standards for police, fire, corrections, parole and probation, and telecommunications personnel, in addition to certifying and licensing private security providers and licensing private investigators in Oregon.

DPSST also regulates and licenses polygraph examiners, determines sheriff candidates' eligibility to run for office and provides staffing for the Public Safety Memorial Fund. We strive to provide resources and certification programs that public safety officers and local public safety organizations need to maintain the highest professional skill standards, stewardship and service to Oregon's communities and citizens. These services are based at our 236-acre academy and extend across the state through a network of regional training coordinators.

Agency functions are guided by several Oregon Revised Statutes and our authority is defined specifically in Chapter No. 259 of the Oregon Administrative Rules. We are governed by a 24-member Board and five discipline-specific policy committees; we serve more than 41,000 public safety constituents across the state.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Linsay Hale, Professional Standards Division Director
Phone: 503-378-2427
Email: linsay.hale@oregon.state.us

Suzy Herring, Private Security/Investigator/Polygraph Program Manager
Phone: 503-378-2148
Email: suzzane.herring@state.or.us

Karen Evans, Trainer/Investigator
Phone: 503-378-8529
Email: karen.evans@state.or.us

Carissa White, Compliance Investigator
Phone: 503-378-2581
Email: carissa.white@state.or.us

Julie Johnson, Compliance Specialist 2
Phone: 503-378-8533
Email: julie.johnson@state.or.us

To view the Oregon Administrative Rules for Private Security please visit: https://secure.sos.state.or.us/oard/displayDivisionRules.action?selectedDivision=834