Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
		Section 1		
	Covers the department's at	uthority to determine suitable w		
(1)	In considering suitable work	In considering suitable work	Original section (1) remains	There is no anticipated
	factors under ORS 657.190 and	factors under ORS 657.190	mostly the same. We removed	impact to claimants or
	for purposes of determining	and for purposes of	"except that" and ended the	employers from the
	eligibility under 657.155(1)(c),	determining eligibility under	sentence.	proposed rule and
	the Director may require an	657.155(1)(c), the Director		OED's administration of
	individual to actively seek the	may require an individual to	Proposed section (1)	the program will not
	type of work the individual is	actively seek the type of work	incorporates the wording of	change. Removal of the
	most capable of performing	the individual is most capable	(1)(a) and (1)(b).	term "less desirable but
	due to prior job experience and	of performing due to prior job		similar work" does not
	training except that:	experience and training. If <b>they</b>		mean claimants can
		are unable to secure <b>their</b>		refuse to look for
		customary type of work, or		suitable work as defined
		that type of work is not		by OED employees.
		available during the days and		
		hours for which the		This section of the rule
		individual is available, the		was rewritten to remove
		Director may require <b>them</b> to		redundant language.
		seek work <b>of another type</b> for		
		which they are capable of		
		performing by virtue of		
		experience and training.		
(1)(a)	If <i>an individual</i> is unable to	No section (1)(a)	Original section (1)(a) has	There is no anticipated
	secure the individual's		been incorporated into	impact to claimants or
	customary type of work <i>after</i>		proposed section (1).	employers from the
	contacting the potential			proposed rule and
	employers in their labor market			OED's administration of
	or if the individual is unable to			the program will not
	meet the requirements of			change.
	section (3) of this rule, the			

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
	Director may require the individual to seek less desirable but similar work or work of another type which the individual is capable of performing by virtue of experience and training.			This section of the rule was removed due to redundant language.
(1)(b)	If the type of work an individual is most capable of performing does not exist in the labor market where the individual is claiming benefits, the Director may require the individual to seek any work that exists in the labor market for which the individual is suited by virtue of experience and training.	No section (1)(b)	Original section (1)(b) has been incorporated into proposed section (1).	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  This section of the rule was removed due to redundant language.
(1)(c)	After the individual has contacted the potential employers in the labor market where benefits are being claimed and is still unable to obtain work as described in (1)(a) and (b) of this section, the Director may require the individual to further expand work-seeking activities.	No section (1)(c)	Original section (1)(c) has been incorporated into proposed section (1).  Also, proposed section (5)(e), (A)-(C) on pages 35-37 provides more detail on expanding and modifying a claimant's work-seeking activities.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  This section of the rule was removed due to redundant language.

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule			
	Section 2  Covers the individual being able to work.						
(2)	For the purposes of ORS 657.155(1)(c), an individual shall be considered able to work in a particular week only if physically and mentally capable of performing the work the individual is actually is seeking during all of the week except:	For the purposes of ORS 657.155(1)(c), an individual shall be considered able to work in a particular week unless they:	Original section (2) was claimants are only eligible if they meet the criteria and adds exceptions to meeting the criteria.  Proposed section (2) is they are eligible unless they do not meet the criteria detailed in proposed section (2)(a) and (b).	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  This section of the rule was rewritten to remove "during all of the week except" because it is not needed as original section (2)(a) contains an exception for the same amount of time as proposed section (2)(a). OED updated the wording to change the rule from "not eligible if" to "eligible unless."			
(2)(a)	An occasional and temporary disability for less than half of the week shall not result in a finding that the individual is unable to work for that week; and	Are not physically or mentally capable of performing the work the individual is seeking for more than half of the week because of illness, injury, or disability; or	Original section (2)(a) has been moved to proposed section (4) on page 22.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.			

Rule Section Cu	urrent Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
or l me def wh from par dec on ind	individual with a permanent long-term "physical or ental impairment" (as efined at 29 CFR 1630.2(h)) nich prevents the individual om working full time or during erticular shifts shall not be semed unable to work solely a that basis so long as the dividual remains available for me work.	Have an opportunity to perform suitable work during the days and hours for which they are normally available for work and are unable to accept or report for such work because of illness, injury, or disability.	Moved original section (2)(b) to proposed section (4) on page 22.  Proposed section (2)(b) adds that claimants who miss an opportunity for suitable work, even if they are able more than half the week will be denied.	Removed the word "temporary" as anything more than half the week is a denial for not being able to work. Permanent or long-term disability is moved to proposed section (4) on page 22.  There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  The original section was moved to a standalone section because it was listed in original section (2)(b) and (3)(e).  We added that claimants are not eligible if they miss an opportunity to work to ensure we can still deny if a claimant misses an opportunity to work.

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule			
	Section 3						
		Covers the individual being avai					
(3)	For the purposes of ORS 657.155(1)(c), an individual shall be considered available for work if, at a minimum, the individual is:	For the purposes of ORS 657.155(1)(c), an individual shall be considered available for work <b>unless they</b> :	Original section (3) and proposed section (3) have similar wording.	The anticipated impact to claimants from the proposed rule change in this section is a reduction in the need to investigate non-issues. These investigations cause delays in the payment of benefits to eligible claimants.  OED will continue to investigate reported issues and determine eligibility, but we anticipate a reduction in the number of non-issues to investigate. This should positively impact customer service and the administration of the program.  There is no anticipated impact to employers from the proposed rule in this section.			

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
(3)(a)	Willing to work full time, part	Have an opportunity to	Original section (3)(a) covers	This section of the rule was rewritten to remove references to specific availability issues and focus.  The anticipated impact
	time, and accept temporary work opportunities, during all of the usual hours and days of the week customary for the work being sought, unless such part time or temporary opportunities would substantially interfere with return to the individual's regular employment; and	perform suitable work during the days and hours of the week they are normally available to work and fail to accept or report for such work; or	all the days and hours of the week customary for the claimant's occupation.  Proposed section (3)(a) is the beginning of the proposed redefinition of availability for all situations, incorporates the days and hours a claimant must be available as the department defines. This incorporates wording from (3)(f)(A) on page 14.	to claimants from the proposed rule change in this section is a reduction in the need to investigate non-issues. These investigations cause delays in the payment of benefits to eligible claimants.  OED will continue to investigate reported issues and determine eligibility, but we anticipate a reduction in the number of non-issues to investigate. This should positively impact customer service and the administration of the program.
				There is no anticipated impact to employers

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
				from the proposed rule in this section.
				This rule was rewritten to redefine availability as not missing an opportunity to work, not being absent from their labor market for more than half the week, and being legally authorized to work.
(3)(b)	Capable of accepting and reporting for any suitable work opportunities within the labor market in which work is being sought, including temporary and part time opportunities; and	Are absent from their normal labor market area for reasons unrelated to work search for more than half of the week unless:	Original section (3)(b) is incorporated into proposed section (3)(a) as part of the proposed new definition of availability as not missing an opportunity to work, not being absent from their labor market for more than half the week, and being legally authorized to work.	The anticipated impact to claimants from the proposed rule change in this section is a reduction in the need to investigate non-issues. These investigations cause delays in the payment of benefits to eligible claimants.
			Proposed section (3)(b) is similar to original sections (3)(d)(B) on page 10 and required by ORS 657.155(2)(a) and (b).	OED will continue to investigate reported issues and determine eligibility, but we anticipate a reduction in the number of nonissues to investigate. This should positively

Rule	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
Section				impact customer services and the administration of the program.  There is no anticipated impact to employers from the proposed rule in this section.  This rule was rewritten to redefine availability as not missing an opportunity to work, not being absent from their labor market for more
				than half the week, and being legally authorized to work.
(3)(b)(A)	No section (3)(b)(A)	They are accessible to work in the area visited, and	Proposed section (3)(b)(A) is similar to original sections (3)(d), (A) and (B) and is required by ORS 657.155(2)(a) and (b).	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  This rule was rewritten
(3)(b)(B)	No Section (3)(b)(B)	Their reason for travel does not prevent them from being	Proposed section (3)(b)(B) is similar to original sections	to simplify the wording. There is no anticipated impact to claimants or

October 9, 2025 Page **8** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
		willing and capable of working in the area visited; or	(3)(d), (A) and (B) and is required by ORS 657.155(2)(a) and (b).	employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  This rule was rewritten
(3)(c)	Not imposing conditions which substantially reduce the individual's opportunities to return to work at the earliest possible time; and	Are not legally authorized to work more than half of the week; or	Original section (3)(c) is incorporated into proposed section (3)(a) on page 6.  Because the proposed new definition of availability is not missing an opportunity to work, not being absent from their labor market for more than half the week and being legally authorized to work this was not needed.  Being legally authorized to work in proposed section (3)(c) has always been an availability issue, adding "more than half the week" provides adjudication guidance.	to simplify the wording.  There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  This rule was rewritten to redefine availability as not missing an opportunity to work, not being absent from their labor market for more than half the week, and being legally authorized to work.
(3)(d)	Physically present in the normal labor market area as defined by section (6) of this rule, every day of the week, unless:	Reside or spend more than half of the week:	Original section (3)(d) is reworded as part of proposed section (3)(b).	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
			Proposed section (3)(d) was the requirement in original section (3)(i) on page 19.	the program will not change.
				This rule was rewritten to simplify the wording.
(3)(d)(A)	The individual is actively seeking work outside his or her normal labor market area; or	In Canada <b>and are not</b> authorized to work in Canada;	Original section (3)(d)(A) is reworded as part of proposed section (3)(b).  Proposed section (3)(d)(A) has	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of
			similar wording to original section (3)(i)(A) on page 19.	the program will not change.
				This rule was rewritten to simplify the wording.
(3)(d)(B)	The individual is infrequently absent from the normal labor market area for reasons unrelated to work search, for less than half of the week, and no opportunity to work or referral to work was missed by such absence.	In a country not included in the Compact of Free Association with the United States of America; or	Original section (3)(d)(B) is reworded as part of proposed section (3)(b).  Proposed section (3)(d)(B) is the same wording in original section (3)(i)(B) on page 20.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.
				This rule remains the same, but the subsection has changed.
(3)(d)(C)	No section (3)(d)(C)	Outside of the United States, District of Columbia or any territory or political division that is directly overseen by the	Proposed section (3)(d)(C) is the same wording in original section (3)(i)(C) on page 20.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
		United States federal government; except,		OED's administration of the program will not change.
				This rule remains the same, but the subsection has changed.
(3)(d)(C)(i)	No section (3)(d)(C)(i)	They are the spouse or domestic partner of an individual stationed at a military base or embassy located outside the United States;	Proposed section (3)(d)(C)(i) has similar wording to (3)(i)(C)(i) on pages 20-21.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  This rule was rewritten
(3)(d)(C)(ii)	No section (3)(d)(C)(ii)	Job opportunities exist on the military base or embassy for family members of those stationed there; and	Proposed section (3)(d)(C)(ii) is the same wording as (3)(i)(C)(ii) on page 21.	to simplify the wording.  There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.
				This rule remains the same, but the subsection has changed.

October 9, 2025 Page **11** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
(3)(d)(C)(iii)	No section (3)(d)(C)(iii)	They live within a reasonable commuting distance to job opportunities at the military base or embassy.	Proposed section (3)(d)(C)(iii) has similar wording to (3)(i)(C)(iii) on page 21.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  This rule remains the same, but the subsection has
(3)(e)	However, an individual with a permanent or long-term physical or mental impairment (as defined at 29 CFR 1630.2(h)) which prevents the individual from working full time or during particular shifts shall not be deemed unavailable for work solely on that basis so long as the individual remains available for some work.	No section (3)(e)	Moved original (3)(e) to proposed section (4) on page 22, as 29 CFR 1630.2(h) applies to "able" and "available."	changed.  There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  The original section was moved to a standalone section because it was listed in original section (2)(b) and (3)(e).  This rule was rewritten to remove redundant wording.
(3)(f)	For the purposes of ORS 657.155(1)(c), an individual is	No section (3)(f)	Original section (3)(f) contains specific availability situations	The anticipated impact to claimants from the

October 9, 2025 Page **12** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
	not available for work in any week claimed if:		that are now incorporated into proposed section (3)(a).	proposed rule change in this section is a reduction in the need to investigate non-issues. These investigations cause delays in the payment of benefits to eligible claimants.  OED will continue to investigate reported issues and determine eligibility, but we anticipate a reduction in the number of non-issues to investigate. This should positively impact customer service and the administration of the program.  There is no anticipated impact to employers from the proposed rule
				in this section.  This rule was rewritten to redefine availability as not missing an opportunity to work, not

October 9, 2025 Page **13** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
				being absent from their labor market for more than half the week, and being legally authorized to work.
(3)(f)(A)	The individual has an opportunity to perform suitable work during the week and fails to accept or report for such work.	No section (3)(f)(A)	Original section (3)(f)(A) is now part of proposed section (3)(a) on pages 6-7. Claimants are not available if they miss an opportunity for suitable work.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  This rule was modified slightly and moved to a different subsection.
(3)(f)(B)	During the week, the individual is incarcerated during any days or hours customary for the type of work the individual is seeking.	No section (3)(f)(B)	Original section (3)(f)(B) is now part of proposed section (3)(a) on pages 6-7. Because the proposed new definition of availability is not missing an opportunity to work, not being absent from their labor market for more than half the week, and being legally authorized to work this was not needed.	The anticipated impact to claimants from the proposed rule change in this section is the potential for receiving benefits in a week they may have been denied.  There is an anticipated potential minor impact to employers from the proposed rule in this section. A claimant who is denied a single week could now receive

October 9, 2025 Page **14** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
				benefits that week if the claimant does not exhaust their claim.
				This rule was removed to provide more equitable treatment of claimants whose occupations are customarily performed in more than 1 shift.
(3)(f)(B)(i)	"Incarcerated" means in custody at a city, county, state, or federal law enforcement or correctional facility to include any "arrest" as defined in ORS 133.005 or a similar law in another state or jurisdiction.	No section (3)(f)(B)(i)	Original section (3)(f)(B)(i) is not included in proposed section (3)(a) as this definition is not needed for an investigation if the claimant misses an opportunity for suitable work or if they are out of their labor market for more than half the week.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from removing this definition from proposed rule.  This rule is not needed since the proposed rule redefines availability as not missing an opportunity to work, not being absent from their labor market for more than half the week, and being legally authorized to work.
(3)(f)(B)(ii)	When an individual is in an alternative sentencing facility operated pursuant to a community corrections plan that individual will not be	No section (3)(f)(B)(ii)	Original section (3)(f)(B)(ii) is not included in proposed section (3)(a) as this definition is not needed for an investigation if the claimant	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from removing this definition from the proposed rule.

October 9, 2025 Page **15** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
	considered unavailable for work solely because of their non-traditional custody. Alternative sentencing is defined by the jurisdiction responsible for supervision of the suspect or offender.		misses an opportunity for suitable work or if they are out of their labor market for more than half the week.	This rule is not needed since the proposed rule redefines availability as not missing an opportunity to work, not being absent from their labor market for more than half the week, and being legally authorized to work.
(3)(f)(B)(iii)	"Incarcerated" does not include a "stop" as authorized under ORS 131.605 to 131.625.	No section (3)(f)(B)(iii)	Original section (3)(f)(B)(iii) is not included in proposed section (3)(a) as this definition is not needed for an investigation if the claimant misses an opportunity for suitable work or if they are out of their labor market for more than half the week.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from removing this definition from the proposed rule.  This rule is not needed since the proposed rule redefines availability as not missing an opportunity to work, not being absent from their labor market for more than half the week, and being legally authorized to work.
(3)(f)(B)(iv)	"Incarcerated" does not mean being involved in questioning by peace officers as part of an investigation where the	No section (3)(f)(B)(iv)	Original section (3)(f)(B)(iv) is not included in proposed section (3)(a) as this definition is not needed for an	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
	individual is free to leave and not charged with a crime.		investigation if the claimant misses an opportunity for suitable work or if they are out of their labor market for more than half the week.	removing this definition from the proposed rule.  This rule is not needed since the proposed rule redefines availability as not missing an opportunity to work, not being absent from their labor market for more than half the week, and being legally authorized
(3)(g)	An individual will be considered not available for work if the individual fails or refuses to seek the type of work required by the Director pursuant to section (1) of this rule.	No section (3)(g)	Original section (3)(g) is included in proposed section (5)(e) on pages 35-36 as this is about work search activities.	to work.  There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule.  This rule was removed from this subsection as it provides guidance on actively seeking work not availability.
(3)(h)	Providing the individual is otherwise eligible for benefits pursuant to OAR 471-030-0036(3)(a) through (g), a person who has been found to be qualified for benefits under the provisions of ORS 657.176(2)(f) or (g) or 657.176(9)(b)(A) shall	No section (3)(h)	Original section (3)(h) is now part of proposed section (3)(a) on pages 6-7. Because the proposed new definition of availability is not missing an opportunity to work, not being absent from their labor market for more than half the week,	The anticipated impact to claimants from the proposed rule change in this section is removing potential delays in paying benefits to eligible claimants caused by OED team

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
	be considered available for work only during weeks in which the individual is enrolled in and participating in a recognized drug or alcohol treatment program if such participation was a condition in the determination to allow benefits. This provision does not apply if the individual has satisfactorily completed the course of treatment in accordance with the terms and conditions of the recognized treatment program.		and being legally authorized to work this is not needed. ORS 657.176(2)(f) or (g) and ORS 657.176(9)(b)(A) determine initial eligibility for benefits.	members verifying if they have continued participation.  There is no anticipated impact to employers from the proposed rule as employers may request relief of charges if the claimant is allowed benefits under ORS 657.176(2)(f) or (g).  This rule was removed to remove an unnecessary administrative process.
(3)(h)(A)	An individual is participating when engaged in a course of treatment through a recognized drug or alcohol rehabilitation program;	No section (3)(h)(A)	Original section (3)(h)(A) is not included in proposed section (3)(a) as this definition is not needed for an investigation if the claimant misses an opportunity for suitable work or if they are out of their labor market for more than half the week.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule as this is a definition used in the administration of section (3)(h).  This rule was removed as it is no longer needed.
(3)(h)(B)	A recognized drug or alcohol rehabilitation program is a program authorized and	No section (3)(h)(B)	Original section (3)(h)(B) is not included in proposed section (3)(a) this definition is not needed for an investigation if	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule as this is

October 9, 2025 Page **18** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
	licensed under the provisions of OAR chapter 415.		the claimant misses an opportunity for suitable work or if they are out of their labor market for more than half the week.	a definition used in the administration of section (3)(h).  This rule was removed as it is no longer needed.
(3)(i)	An individual is not available for work in any week claimed under ORS 657.155 if the individual resides or spends the major portion of the week:	No section (3)(i)	Original section (3)(i) restated the first part of original section (3) so that wording was removed in proposed section (3)(d) on pages 9-10. We updated "major portion of the week" in the original section (3)(i) to "more than half the week" in proposed section (3)(d).	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  This rule wording was updated, and the subsection has changed.
(3)(i)(A)	In Canada unless the individual is authorized to work in Canada;	No section (3)(i)(A)	Original section (3)(i)(A) has similar wording as proposed section (3)(d)(A) on page 10.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  This rule wording was modified slightly, and the subsection has changed.

October 9, 2025 Page **19** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
(3)(i)(B)	In a country not included in the Compact of Free Association with the United States of America; or	No section (3)(i)(B)	Original section (3)(d)(B) is the same wording as proposed section (3)(d)(B) on page 10.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.
				This rule wording is the same and the subsection has changed.
(3)(i)(C)	outside of the United States, District of Columbia or any territory or political division that is directly overseen by the United States federal government; except	No section (3)(i)(C)	Original section (3)(i)(C) is the same wording in proposed section (3)(d)(C) on pages 10-11.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.
				This rule wording is the same and the subsection has changed.
(3)(i)(C)(i)	If the individual is the spouse or domestic partner of an individual stationed at a military base or embassy located outside the United States;	No section (3)(i)(C)(i)	Original section (3)(i)(C)(i) has similar wording to proposed (3)(d)(C)(i) on page 11.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
				This rule wording is modified slightly and the subsection has changed.
(3)(i)(C)(ii)	Job opportunities exist on the military base or embassy for family members of those stationed there;	No section (3)(i)(C)(ii)	Original section (3)(i)(C)(ii) is the same wording as proposed section (3)(d)(C)(ii) on page 11.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  This rule wording is the same and the subsection has changed.
(3)(i)(C)(iii)	The individual lives within a reasonable commuting distance to job opportunities at the military base or embassy; and	No section (3)(i)(C)(iii)	Original section (3)(i)(C)(iii) has similar wording to proposed (3)(d)(C)(iii) on page 12.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  This rule wording was modified slightly and the subsection has changed.
(3)(i)(C)(iv)	The individual is willing to accept the conditions and	No section (3)(i)(C)(iv)	Original section (3)(i)(C)(iv) was not incorporated into	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or

October 9, 2025 Page **21** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
	terms of the available employment provided they are not inconsistent with ORS 657.195.		proposed section (3)(d)(C) as it is redundant, all work must be suitable.	employers from the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.
				This rule was removed as it is redundant, all work must be suitable.
		Section 4		
	,	Covers federal conformity to Cl	FR 1630-2(h).	
(4)	Notwithstanding the provisions of OAR 471-030-0036(3), an individual with a circumstance which restricts their availability such as, but not limited to, lack of childcare, caring for an immediate family member or another person in their household, lack of transportation, or attendance in school or training to improve their job skills or long-term employment opportunities, will not be deemed unavailable for work if:	(2) and (3) of this rule, an individual with a permanent or long-term physical or mental impairment (as defined at 29 CFR 1630.2(h)) that prevents them from working for more than half of the week shall not be deemed unable to work or unavailable for work solely on that basis, so long as they remain able to perform, and available for, some work.	Original section (4) outlines flexibility for specific availability issues. This flexibility has been incorporated into proposed section (3)(a) on pages 6-7. Because the proposed new definition of availability is not missing an opportunity to work, not being absent from their labor market for more than half the week, and being legally authorized to work this was not needed.  Proposed section (4) is the similar to original (2)(b) on	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from removing this section from the proposed rule.  This rule is not needed since the proposed rule redefines availability as not missing an opportunity to work, not being absent from their labor market for more than half the week, and being legally authorized to work.

October 9, 2025 Page **22** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
(4)(a)	The work the individual is seeking or is otherwise willing to seek is customarily performed during other days and hours in the individual's normal labor market area as defined by OAR 471-030-0036(6); and	No section (4)(a)	Original section (4)(a) provides guidelines for flexibility for specific availability issues.  This flexibility has been incorporated into proposed section (3)(a) on pages 6-7.  Because the proposed new definition of availability is not missing an opportunity to work, not being absent from their labor market for more than half the week, and being legally authorized to work this was not needed.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from removing this section from the proposed rule.  This rule is not needed since the proposed rule redefines availability as not missing an opportunity to work, not being absent from their labor market for more than half the week, and being legally authorized
(4)(b)	The individual is willing and capable of working full time during other days and hours for which they could reasonably expect employers to schedule them.	No section (4)(b)	Original section (4)(b) provides guidelines for flexibility for specific availability issues. This flexibility has been incorporated into proposed section (3)(a) on pages 6-7. Because the proposed new definition of availability is not missing an opportunity to work, not being absent from their labor market for more than half the week, and being legally authorized to work this was not needed.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from removing this section from the proposed rule.  This rule is not needed since the proposed rule redefines availability as not missing an opportunity to work, not being absent from their labor market for more than half the week, and

October 9, 2025 Page **23** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
				being legally authorized to work.
		Section 5		
		Covers the individual actively s		
(5)	This section addresses the	For the purposes of ORS	Proposed section (5) is worded	There is no anticipated
	requirements for actively	657.155(1)(c), an individual	similarly to the original section	impact to claimants or
	seeking work, as required	shall be considered actively	(5).	employers from the
	under ORS 657.155(1)(c):	seeking work <b>when</b> :		proposed rule change.
(5)(a)	Unless the individual is	They <b>make at least</b> two direct	Proposed section (5)(a)	The wording was modified for consistency with other sections of the rule.  The anticipated impact
(5)(a)	temporarily unemployed, as described in section (b), or a member of a dispatching union, as described in section (c), a federal employee as described in section (d), or otherwise directed by the director or an authorized representative of the Employment Department, they must conduct at least five	contacts per week with employers who hire people with the individual's skills, training, or experience. "Direct contact" means making contact either in person, by phone, mail, or electronically to inquire about potential work or applying for job openings in the manner required by the hiring	eliminates the redundant wording in the original section (5)(a) and removes the 3 additional work seeking activities. It incorporates the wording in the original section (5)(a)(B).	to claimants for the proposed rule is a reduction in reporting their work search activities on their weekly certification for benefits.  There is no anticipated impact to employers from the proposed rule.
	work-seeking activities per week. Two of the five work-seeking activities must be a direct contact with an employer who might hire the individual.	employer; <b>or</b>		The rule was written to remove redundant language, update the language, and remove the 3 additional workseeking activities that

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
				have not been found to increase the quality of a claimant's work search.
(5)(a)(A)	Work seeking activities include but are not limited to registering for job placement services with the Employment Department, attending job placement meetings sponsored by the Employment Department, participating in a job club or networking group dedicated to job placement, updating a resume, reviewing the newspaper or job placement web sites without responding to a posted job opening, and making direct contact with an employer.	No section (5)(a)(A)	Original section (5)(a)(A) is not in the proposed rule as the additional 3 work seeking activities have been removed, so these are not required.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers on the removal of this rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  This rule was removed as these definitions of work-seeking activities are no longer needed.
(5)(a)(B)	Direct contact with an employer means making contact with an employer in person, by phone, mail, or electronically to inquire about a job opening or applying for job openings in the manner required by the hiring employer.	No section (5)(a)(B)	Original section (5)(a)(B) is now incorporated into proposed section (5)(a).	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers from the removal of this rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  The wording on this rule was modified slightly and incorporated into section (5)(a).

October 9, 2025 Page **25** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
(5)(b)	For an individual who is temporarily unemployed:	They are employer attached and:	Proposed section (5)(b) is the term to describe claimants who have not separated from their employer.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers on the proposed rule in section (5)(b).  The wording was updated to indicate they have not separated from their employers.
(5)(b)(A)	They are considered to be actively seeking work when they remain in contact with their regular employer and are capable of accepting and reporting for any suitable work with that employer;	Were <b>not</b> separated from their employer;	Original section (5)(b)(A) is now proposed section (5)(b)(D) but removes the redundant language regarding the actively seeking work requirement.  Proposed section (5)(b)(A) begins the requirement definitions with stating the claimant cannot be separated from employment. This is similar to the wording in the original section (5)(b)(C).	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers on the proposed rule in section (5)(b)(A).  The wording in the proposed rule was modified from the original section (5)(b)(C) and moved to this section.
(5)(b)(B)	There is a reasonable expectation that they will be returning to work for their regular employer. The work the individual is returning to must be full time or pay an amount	There is a <b>n</b> expectation they will return to work for that employer;	Original section (5)(b)(B) required a reasonable expectation to return to the same employer and either full- time work or work that paid at least as much as the	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers on the proposed rule in section (5)(b)(B).

October 9, 2025 Page **26** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
	that equals or exceeds their weekly benefit amount;		individual's weekly benefit amount.	The wording in the proposed rule was modified and we
			Proposed section (5)(b)(B) removed the reasonable expectation as employers will now be asked on the Notice of Claim Filed if the claimant has separated from employment.	removed the requirement that the work the claimant is returning to must be full- time or pay equal to or more than their weekly benefit amount.
			OED will not need to make a reasonable expectation determination since the employer will tell us if their employee is attached or not.	
(5)(b)(C)	The department will not consider the individual to be temporarily unemployed if they were separated from their employer for reasons other than a lack of work, the work the individual is returning to is	They remain in contact with that employer; and	Original (5)(b)(C) stated the claimant could not be separated from their employer other than due to lack of work, this is in proposed section (5)(b)(A).	The anticipated impact to claimants on the proposed rule change is removal of the time limit for being temporarily unemployed.
	not with their most recent employer, or the length the individual is unemployed is longer than the period described in subsection (D) of this section; and		Proposed section (5)(b)(C) has similar wording as the original section (5)(b)(A).	The anticipated impact to employers on the proposed rule change is that OED is adding 2 questions to the Notice of Claim Filed asking the employer if they expect the claimant to return to work and the date they

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
(5)(b)(D)	The department will consider that the period for which an individual is temporarily unemployed:	They are capable of accepting and reporting for suitable work with that employer; or	Original section (5)(b)(D) is the beginning of definitions about the length of time a claimant can be temporarily unemployed. This is not needed in the proposed rule as employers will determine the length of time that a claimant will be considered employer attached.  Proposed section (5)(b)(D) is similar wording to the original section (5)(b)(A).	expect the claimant to return to work if a date is known, or if they have separated the claimant from employment.  The anticipated impact to claimants on the proposed rule change is removal of the time limit for being temporarily unemployed.  The impact to employers on the proposed rule change is that OED is adding 2 questions to the Notice of Claim Filed asking the employer if they expect the claimant to return to work if a date is known, or if they
				have separated the claimant from employment.
(5)(b)(D)(i)	Begins the last date the individual performed services for the employer. In the case of an individual still working for the employer, it is the last date worked during the week in which the individual had	No section (5)(b)(D)(i)	Original section (5)(b)(D)(i) is not in the proposed rule as we have removed the timeframe for claimants to be considered employer attached as employers will determine the length of time.	The anticipated impact to claimants on the proposed rule change is removal of the time limit for being temporarily unemployed.

October 9, 2025 Page **28** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
	earnings less than their weekly benefit amount; and			The anticipated impact to employers on the proposed rule change is that OED is adding 2 questions to the Notice of Claim Filed asking the employer if they expect the claimant to return to work if a date is known, or if they have separated the claimant from
(5)(b)(D)(ii)	Cannot be greater than four weeks between the week the individual became temporarily unemployed and the week the individual returns to work as described in subsection (B) of this section.	No section (5)(b)(D)(ii)	Original section (5)(b)(D)(ii) is not in the proposed rule as we have removed the timeframe for claimants to be considered employer attached as employers will determine the length of time.	employment.  The anticipated impact to claimants on the proposed rule change is removal of the time limit for being temporarily unemployed.  The anticipated impact to employers on the proposed rule change is that OED is adding 2 questions to the Notice of Claim Filed asking the employer if they expect the claimant to return to work if a date is known, or if they have separated the claimant from employment.

October 9, 2025 Page **29** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
(5)(c)	For an individual who is a member in good standing of a union that does not allow members to seek non-union work, such individual is actively seeking work by remaining in contact with that union and being capable of accepting and reporting for work when dispatched by that union if the union dispatches its members for work. If the union does not allow its members to accept non-union work but does not dispatch their members to available work, the individual must meet the requirements of subsection (a) of this section.	They are a member in good standing of a union that dispatches their members for work and:	The original section (5)(c) has similar wording to the proposed section (5)(c) but removes the redundant language regarding the actively seeking work requirement, requirements for claimants that don't meet the criteria, and moves the eligibility criteria to proposed sections (5)(c)(A)-(C).	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers, and OED's administration of the program will not change.  The wording was modified to match the flow of the overall rule.
(5)(c)(A)	No section (5)(c)(A)	The union does not allow members to seek non-union work;	Proposed section (5)(c)(A) has similar wording to the criteria in the original section (5)(c).	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers, and OED's administration of the program will not change.  The wording was modified to match the flow of the overall rule.
(5)(c)(B)	No section (5)(c)(B)	They remain in contact with that union; and	Proposed section (5)(c)(B) has similar wording to the criteria in the original section (5)(c).	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers, and OED's

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
				administration of the
				program will not change.
				The wording was
				modified to match the
				flow of the overall rule.
(5)(c)(C)	No section (5)(c)(C)	<b>Are</b> capable of accepting and	Proposed section (5)(c)(C) has	There is no anticipated
		reporting for work when	similar wording to the criteria	impact to claimants or
		dispatched by that union; or	in the original section (5)(c).	employers, and OED's
				administration of the
				program will not change.
				The wording was
				modified to match the
				flow of the overall rule.
(5)(d)	For an individual who is a	They are unemployed, as of	Original (5)(d) is removed as	There is no anticipated
	federal employee temporarily	January 1, 2026, due to a	these claimants would be	impact to claimants or
	unemployed due to a	labor dispute as described	considered employer attached	employers on the
	government shutdown and	under ORS 657.200 and:	under proposed section (5)(b),	removal of the original
	expects to resume work with		and (A)-(D) thus this specific	rule and OED's
	their regular employer once the		language is no longer needed.	administration of the
	shutdown ends, they are		D	program will not change.
	considered to be actively		Proposed section (5)(d) is for	The constraint of the state of
	seeking work when they		claimants who are	The wording in the
	remain in contact with their		unemployed due to a labor	original section was
	regular employer and are		dispute after January 1, 2026.	removed as federal
	capable of accepting and		This criteria is new and relates	employees who are
	reporting for any suitable work		to the passage for SB 916	furloughed but have not
	with that employer.		(2025).	separated from
				employment would be
				considered "employer

October 9, 2025 Page **31** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
				attached" in the proposed rule.
				The anticipated impact to claimants and employers on the proposed rule (not the impact of the passage of SB 916) is that they will have guidance on what they must do to meet the actively seeking work eligibility requirement.
				The proposed wording is similar to "employer attached" as these claimants have not separated from their employer.
(5)(d)(A)	No section (5)(d)(A)	They were not separated from their employer;	Proposed section (5)(d)(A) is similar to proposed section (5)(b)(A) for employer attached.	The anticipated impact to claimants and employers on the proposed rule is that they will have guidance on what they must do to meet the actively seeking work eligibility requirement.

October 9, 2025 Page **32** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
				The proposed wording is similar to "employer attached" as these claimants have not separated from their employer.
(5)(d)(B)	No section (5)(d)(B)	There is an expectation that they will return to work for their employer when the labor dispute ends;	Proposed section (5)(d)(B) is similar to proposed section (5)(b)(B) for employer attached.	The anticipated impact to claimants and employers on the proposed rule is that they will have guidance on what they must do to meet the actively seeking work eligibility requirement.  The proposed wording is similar to "employer attached" as these claimants have not separated from their employer.
(5)(d)(C)	No section (5)(d)(C)	They are capable of accepting and reporting for suitable work with that employer;	Proposed section (5)(d)(C) is similar to proposed section (5)(b)(D) for employer attached.	The anticipated impact to claimants and employers on the proposed rule is that they will have guidance on what they must do to meet the actively seeking work eligibility requirement.

October 9, 2025 Page **33** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
(5)(d)(D)	No section (5)(d)(D)	They remain in contact with	Proposed section (5)(d)(D) is	The proposed wording is similar to "employer attached" as these claimants have not separated from their employer.  The anticipated impact
(5)(0)(D)	No section (5)(d)(D)	that employer, unless contact is prohibited by the union; and	similar to proposed section (5)(b)(C) for employer attached.	to claimants and employers on the proposed rule is that they will have guidance on what they must do to meet the actively seeking work eligibility requirement.
				The proposed wording is similar to "employer attached" as these claimants have not separated from their employer.
(5)(d)(E)	No section (5)(d)(E)	They remain in contact with the union; or	Proposed section (5)(d)(E) was added in case contact with the employer is prohibited by the union.	The anticipated impact to claimants and employers on the proposed rule is that they will have guidance on what they must do to meet the actively

October 9, 2025 Page **34** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
				seeking work eligibility requirement.
				The proposed wording is similar to "employer attached" as these claimants have not separated from their employer.
(5)(e)	For an individual who is filing a continued claim for the first week of an initial or additional claim:	They perform a work search as directed by employees of the department. The Employment Department shall provide to the individual, in writing, the requirements when the modified work search does not meet the requirements of subsection (a) – (d) of this section. In determining whether to modify the requirements of this section, the department may consider factors such as, but not limited to:	Original section (5)(e) was removed as all claimants who have separated from employment, regardless of whether they also worked in the week they were separated, would have the same workseeking activities.  Proposed section (5)(e) has similar wording to the original section (5)(f). The department is still required to provide the modified work search to claimants in writing, and put the factors considered into separate subsection of (5)(e).	The anticipated impact to claimants on the removal of the original section (5)(e) is that they will be required to actively seek work in each week they claim, including the week in which they separate from employment.  There is no anticipated impact to employers on the removal of section (5)(e).  There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers on the proposed rule in section (5)(e) and OED's administration of the

October 9, 2025 Page **35** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
				proposed rule will not change.
(5)(e)(A)	If the individual worked less than full time and remuneration paid or payable to the individual for services performed during the week is less than the individual's weekly benefit amount, each day the individual worked for the employer shall be considered a direct employer contact.	Length of unemployment;	Original section (5)(e)(A) was removed as all claimants who have separated from employment, regardless of whether they also worked in the week they were separated, would have the same workseeking activities.  Proposed section (5)(e)(A) is the same wording as one of the factors in the original section (5)(f).	The anticipated impact to claimants on the removal of the original section (5)(e) is that they will be required to actively seek work in each week they claim, including the week in which they separate from employment.  There is no anticipated impact to employers on the removal of section (5)(e).  There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers on the proposed rule in section (5)(e) and OED's administration of the proposed rule will not
(5)(e)(B)	An individual does not meet the requirements of this subsection if the individual performed no work for an employer during the first week	Economic conditions in <b>their</b> labor market; and	The original section (5)(e)(B) was removed as all claimants who have separated from employment, regardless of whether they also worked in	change.  The anticipated impact to claimants on the removal of the original section (5)(e) is that they will be required to

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
	of an initial or additional claim; therefore the individual must seek work consistent with subsection (a) of this section.		the week they were separated, would have the same workseeking activities.  Proposed section (5)(e)(B) is similar wording as one of the factors in the original section (5)(f).	actively seek work in each week they claim, including the week in which they separate from employment.  There is no anticipated impact to employers on the removal of section (5)(e).  There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers on the proposed rule in section (5)(e) and OED's administration of the proposed rule will not
(5)(e)(C)	No section (5)(e)(C)	Prospective job openings.	There was no original section (5)(e)(C).  Proposed section (5)(e)(C) is the same wording as one of the factors in the original section (5)(f).	change.  There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers on the proposed rule in section (5)(e) and OED's administration of the proposed rule will not change.
(5)(f)	In determining whether to modify the requirements in this section for an individual the Employment Department may	No section (5)(f)	Original section (5)(f) is proposed section (5)(e)(A)-(C).	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers on the proposed rule in section

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule	
Section	consider among other factors,			(5)(e) and OED's	
	length of unemployment,			administration of the	
	economic conditions in the			proposed rule will not	
	individual's labor market and			change.	
	prospective job openings,			onango.	
	weather conditions affecting				
	occupations or industries,				
	seasonal aspects of the				
	individual's regular occupation,				
	expected date of return to work				
	in regular occupation, seniority				
	status of individual, registration				
	with a union hiring hall and				
	normal practices for obtaining				
	the type of work which the				
	individual is seeking pursuant				
	to section (1) of this rule. The				
	department shall provide a				
	written copy of the work search				
	requirements to the individual				
	if the individual's work search				
	requirements are modified.				
		Section 6			
	Covers the department determining the individuals labor market.				
(6)	No standalone section (6)	For the purposes of ORS	Proposed section (6) contains	There is no anticipated	
		<b>657.155,</b> employees of the	similar language to the original	impact to claimants or	
		Employment Department	section (6)(a) that OED	employers on the	
		define an individual's normal	employees determine the	proposed rule and	
		labor market. <b>Factors</b>	claimants labor market.	OED's administration of	
		generally used in defining the		the program will not	
		labor market include the:		change.	

October 9, 2025 Page **38** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
(6)(a)	An individual's normal labor market shall be the geographic area surrounding the individual's permanent residence within which employees in similar circumstances are generally willing to commute to seek and accept the same type of work at a comparable wage. The geographic area shall be defined by employees of the Employment Department, based on criteria set forth in this section;	Geographic area surrounding their permanent residence within which most employees in similar circumstances seek and accept the same type of work at a comparable wage; or	The original section (6)(a) has been divided into proposed section (6) and (6)(a).  Proposed section (6)(a) has wording around determining the labor market which is similar to the original section (6)(a).	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers on the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  The wording was updated to make the rule easier to read.
(6)(b)	When an individual seeks work through a union hiring hall, the individual's normal labor market area for the work sought is the normal referral jurisdiction of the union, as indicated by the applicable contract.	Referral jurisdiction of the union, as indicated by the applicable contract when an individual seeks work through a union hiring hall.	Proposed section (6)(b) has similar wording to original section (6)(b), but in a different order.	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers on the proposed rule and OED's administration of the program will not change.  The wording was updated to make the rule easier to read.
Section 7 Covers other labor markets.				
(7)	Nothing in this rule shall prohibit an individual who is a citizen, permanent legal	Nothing in this rule shall prohibit an individual from also seeking work in other labor	Proposed section (7) has similar wording as the original section (7) but now also	There is no anticipated impact to claimants or employers on the

October 9, 2025 Page **39** of **40** 

Rule Section	Current Rule Language	Proposed Rule Language	Notes	Impact of Rule
	resident, or otherwise legally	market <b>s including remote</b>	includes remote work	proposed rule and
	authorized to work in the	work, if such work	opportunities.	OED's administration of
	United States from seeking	opportunities typically exist		the program will not
	work in other labor market	in the occupation for which		change.
	areas in any state or country.	they are seeking work.		
				The wording was
				updated to recognize
				that some claimants can
				look for remote work
				opportunities as part of
				their work seeking
				activities. Also, to
				remove the language
				about worker
				authorization and
				citizenship as these
				requirements are not
				relevant to actively
				seeking work, they are
				availability eligibility
				requirements.

October 9, 2025 Page **40** of **40**