

Oregon Energy Strategy Policy Working Group breakout sessions — Transportation Electrification Meeting 4

April 30, 2025, 9:00-12:00

Post-Meeting Notes

Meeting Summary

Jillian DiMedio (ODOE) provided a brief review of air quality modeling and Energy Wallet analysis findings from the complementary analyses supporting the Energy Strategy. Jillian presented potential policy actions for the Transportation Electrification PWG and Jessica Reichers (ODOE) facilitated a discussion on these actions. Jillian asked that PWG members provide written comments by May 9, in advance of a publication for written feedback of draft recommendations later in the summer.

In-Meeting Notes

Participants

ODOE	Other Participants	PWG Members
Alan Zelenka	Brian Hurley, ODOT	Brett Morgan, Climate Solutions
Evan Elias	Stefenie Griggs, ODOT	Bret Stevens, Daimler
Jessica Reichers	Cody Meyer, DLCD	Charlie Tracy, OTEC
Jillian DiMedio		Ingrid Fish, City of Portland
Joshua Price		Jamie Johnson, Green Energy Inst. at LC Law Sch
Michael Freels		Jana Jarvis, Oregon Trucking Association
		Juan J Serpa Muñoz, EWEB
		Kyle Whatley, TriMet
		Logan Telles, City of Eugene
		Marshall McGrady, IBEW
		Nancy Bennet, PGE
		Rebecca Smith, RHA
		Tonio Moro
		Jason Altamirano, TITAN Freight Systems

Introduction

- Jillian DiMedio (ODOE) kicked off the call and introduced ODOE support staff. Jillian reviewed ODOE's mission and reminders on how to use WebEx. Jillian welcomed PWG members providing further input through ODOE's public comment portal.
- Jillian reviewed the scope of the Transportation Electrification PWG and how it relates to the scope of the other four Energy Strategy PWGs. Jillian also reviewed the role of PWGs in informing the policies and recommendations that will be advanced in the Energy Strategy.

- Jillian reviewed the present meeting objectives, agenda, and PWG roster. Meeting objectives focus on discussing draft policy actions, reviewing the policy recommendation development process, and sharing insights from the air quality modeling and Energy Wallet complementary analyses; Jillian explained that the meeting priority is discussion of policy actions.
- Jillian reviewed the draft strategies to be included in the Report as the highest level for
 organizing recommendations and policy actions; Jillian reviewed an example of how a policy
 actions and recommendations may be embedded in strategies. Jillian reviewed the high-level
 policy recommendations drafted for the TE PWG and asked that members provide feedback on
 these through the comment portal.

Complementary Analyses

- Jillian provided an overview of key findings from the complementary analyses and recommended that PWG members refer to the results presentation for more information. Key findings from the air quality and household Energy Wallet analyses include findings that:
 - All modeled pathways would lead to significant air quality and health benefits, with highest per-capita benefits accruing in southern Oregon;
 - All modeled scenarios have ambitious decarbonization goals and therefore similar air quality results, with greater differences resulting from varying COBRA air quality assumptions rather than the energy pathways alternative considered;
 - Transportation electrification provided the greatest opportunity for Energy Wallet savings, with sooner EV purchases resulting in greater savings; and
 - Charging infrastructure and up-front costs are important elements to address to realize transportation electrification savings.

Potential Policy Actions

• Jillian explained that, for this meeting, the discussion would be broken down into three topic-focused sections and that the group would use a polling exercise to prioritization discussion.

Vehicle Electrification

- Recommendation: Reduce barriers to transportation electrification to ensure the state electrifies at the pace and scale needed to meet state goals.
- Jillian reviewed draft policy actions under Vehicle Electrification.²
- Jessica Reichers (ODOE) facilitated a discussion on Vehicle Electrification policy actions.
- <u>Policy Action 1</u>: Establish a sustainable source of state funding to support the rapid deployment of charging and fueling infrastructure statewide.
- Jana Jarvis, OTA: we have no real sense of the cost for MHD infrastructure and energy challenges, how much energy would be needed to electrify the sector. Trucking industry in a quandary on this issue.
- Jamie Johnson, GEI: Flags that the Strategy should consider timing and aligning with efforts (utility TE Plans, transportation bill in legislature).

¹ Complementary analyses materials are available at: <u>State of Oregon: DATA & REPORTS - Oregon Energy Strategy Engagement Opportunities.</u>

² To see these policy actions in full, refer to https://www.oregon.gov/energy/Data-and-Reports/Documents/4-30-25-PWG-Transportation-Electrification-Breakout.pdf at slide 26 and onwards.

- Charlie Tracy, OTEC: In favor of this as rural/smaller populations need support to make charging infrastructure profitable.
- Logan Telles, City of Eugene: also in support of this action because small cities do not get market attention.
- Rebecca Smith, RHA: support but wonder if this is inclusive of or separate from Clean Fuels Program (CFP) recommendations.
 - Jillian; that is a separate policy action.
- Bret Stevens, Daimler: agree with Rebecca, Portland is short of funding, funding needs to be sustainable and accessible.
- From Ingrid Fish, City of Portland, in chat: do we know if the electric utilities are planning to continue to invest in public charging and, or if they are planning to reduce their future investments?
 - Charlie: they operate a small network of DC chargers; are currently losing money on them.
 - Nancy: PGE is thinking that utility ownership of vehicle charging is not the best model.
- <u>Policy Action 2</u>: Establish a statewide technical assistance program for fleets to support their transition to ZEVs, including development of a fleet transition plan, infrastructure assessment, and electricity rate analysis.
- Rebecca: Action should not exclude hydrogen, need to consider fueling infrastructure inclusion in study.
- Jana: Important to understand electricity needs for vehicle electrification. Trucking industry repeatedly told they cannot get enough power capacity and that it would take the power of a small city to charge 100 trucks.
 - Jessica; ODOE has tried to replicate this math but our findings are that the small-city charging needs claim is inaccurate.
- Charlie: Concerned of the focus on fleets and MHD. There are challenges but he is worried that
 this focus may take away from light duty, where work is still needed in rural areas. Adds that
 rural infrastructure also affects urban adoption. We probably need different policies for
 different areas.
- Policy Action 3: Develop a revolving loan fund to provide low- or no-interest loans for public or private fleets and middle-income households to purchase ZEVs and infrastructure

Several minor issues

- Bret: This feels too broad (boil the ocean), there are other priority areas to focus on; interest
 rates are less of a barrier to vehicle adoption than are up-front costs.
- o Jana: Doubtful of this policy because of funding constraints in legislature
 - Jillian states the reason for proposing loan versus a grant is meant to address funding constraints.
- Jason Altamirano, TITAN Freight Systems: We get asked questions as an early adopter; the main question is "is it worth it?", and that fleets currently can take out large loans to finance EV

- purchases. For most of the smaller companies they will need to want to do it or have other motivations (won't make a ton of money). He thinks we need to focus on incentives and grants.
- Jana: Jason just pointed out that grants are needed vs. loans in order for EV purchases to pencil out.
- Policy Action 4: Establish a working group of state entities and others to develop regulations and standards for hydrogen refueling infrastructure, including station certification and testing protocols and safety, fuel quality, and consumer protection standards
- Bret: Oregon would benefit in following from expert investments in this area from other jurisdictions.
 - Jillian: the intent of this policy action would be to refer to programs implemented in other states like California.
- Policy Action 6: Complete a statewide assessment of MHD charging and fueling infrastructure needs (public and depot) to meet the targets established by Advanced Clean Trucks.
 - o Bret: Needs to be fleet informed, grounded. Daimler would gladly participate.
 - Jana: And this process should include operators.
 - From earlier: Brett Morgan, Climate Solutions: TEINA Report is helpful but out of date. Agree that everybody could benefit from improved information.
 - o From earlier: Rebecca: TEINA hydrogen addendum needs to be stronger/updated.

Grid Integration

- Recommendation: Implement streamlined procedures for distribution system upgrades and EV
 charging infrastructure interconnection and prioritize the management of EVs as flexible loads
 to reduce delays, mitigate the potential impacts of increased EV adoption, and enhance grid
 efficiency.
- Jillian reviewed draft policy actions under Grid Integration.³
- Jessica Reichers (ODOE) facilitated a discussion on Grid Integration policy actions.
- <u>Policy Action 13:</u> Complete a comprehensive review of charge management systems and their integration.
 - Bret Stevens: Charge management systems (CMSs) are nascent and there is not an appropriate one-size fits all solution for the industry.
 - Jason: We have used several charge management systems but the learning curve is high; they
 had to switch systems as they identified their needs. Software has nearly weekly updates on
 features. It's too early for a comprehensive review that may be out of date as soon as it's
 published; instead, recommends establishing standards for CMS around features that benefit
 integration between CMSs and utilities or the grid
 - Bret; agrees, flexibility from the utility side on API connectivity to CMSs will
 make the industry much more flexible and enable the space to mature
 - Charlie: I voted highly on this as I think there is large potential and a small utility cannot evaluate all the options on their own; better that the state establish a standard
 - Nancy: I agree with all previous statements

³ To see these policy actions in full, refer to https://www.oregon.gov/energy/Data-and-Reports/Documents/4-30-25-PWG-Transportation-Electrification-Breakout.pdf at slide 39 and onwards.

- <u>Policy Action 12:</u> Establish average and maximum energization timelines for connecting new or upgraded electrical services, ensuring timely access to electricity for EV charging and clean energy projects.
 - Nancy Fixed timelines are difficult because of dynamic factors; thinks providing clarity on PGE's process and timelines on their ends, rather than a fixed timeline, would be a better recommendation
 - Jason: thinks timelines vary and are uncertain for electricity upgrades; addressing this would be in tension with minimizing system costs.
 - Charlie: There is a real problem with external prioritizing of utility work. Mostly limited by supply line of equipment.
 - Bret Stevens: Considering difficulties of utilities to respond to dynamic factors in setting timelines, OEMs have their own concerns regarding fixed targets for ZEV deployments.
 Daimler and fleets have multi-year plans for electric truck deployment.
- <u>Policy Action 11:</u> Require IOUs to develop EV-specific rates for residential and commercial customers that better align the cost of EV charging with grid conditions. Commercial rates should be designed to reduce or replace traditional demand charges.
 - Charlie: Everyone wants this, but the utility has challenges. Second meters add cost and not much value. Will be important for managed charging. The utility has to recover costs. No price signal yet, but this could change in the future. TOU is better than EV specific. Coming home and turning on the dryer is as bad as plugging in an EV.
 - Ingrid: would like to hear more about the unintended negative consequences of Policy Action
 11. I have a feeling there are many that we have not explored in addition to Charlie's point.
 - Bret Stevens: need carrots, rather than sticks, to address fleet time of use charging; says cost allocation should reflect whether the policy is intended to benefit society at large or the regulated entity.
 - Tonia Moro: think policy action 11 should include a reference to the benefits of EV. So it should say rates "that better align the costs and benefits of..."
- Policy Action 8: Require IOUs to publish and maintain interactive, circuit-level Hosting Capacity
 Maps (HCMs) showing available capacity for EV charging infrastructure, building electrification,
 distributed generation, and battery storage. The state should establish uniform standards for
 data formats and granularity, visualizations tools, and public access protocols.
 - Charlie: I find it better for an EV owning company to directly work with utilities to identify siting locations; a blanket mapping approach would not focus work in the right areas.
 - Nancy: I agree that a discussion on the needs for specific projects is preferred. Utilities don't have endless capacity for this work, questions the value per effort.
 - Charlie: Some of this work is done, but it becomes better with collaboration, learn from
 working with cities etc. Part of the problem is based on where do we need charging and where
 is it feasible to site charging; he could better plan for charging by coordinating with truckers
 based on their extant routes
 - Tonia Moro: Instead of requiring the IOUs on policy 8, it might be worthwhile to suggest a PUC investigation into requiring the IOUs to do so.

VMT Reduction

- Recommendation: Prioritize policies and allocate funding to programs that expand access to
 multimodal transportation options including public transit, biking, and walking infrastructure –
 and promote development patterns that make it easier and more appealing for people to live,
 work, and access services without relying on a personal vehicle.
- Jillian reviewed draft policy actions under VMT Reduction.⁴
- Jessica Reichers (ODOE) facilitated a discussion on VMT Reduction policy actions.
- <u>Policy Action 15:</u> Increase funding for Oregon's Safe Routes to School and Great Streets programs through increased allocations from the State Highway Fund.
 - o Jana: I think there are other priorities. This action is not a priority for her.
- <u>Policy Action 16:</u> Increase the Statewide Transit Tax commensurate with need to support additional transit funding.
 - o Jana: transit ridership has been declining since 2012 and while per-rider subsidies increase.
- <u>Policy Action 18:</u> Establish a statewide e-bike incentive that includes prioritization of and higher incentive levels for income-qualifying Oregonians.
 - Ingrid: I have been fighting for this for a long time, but a new incentive would need to consider existing programs. Would want to ensure this funding layers with PSAF, the Portland Clean Energy Fund e-bike rebate program for low-income community members. Also, we may want to focus as it has the most effect in urban areas.
 - o Jamie: Generally supportive. Wants to ensure the program also target commercial ebikes to address last-mile transit resource needs, referencing B-line in Portland.
 - Charlie: We do see an influx of e-bikes in rural communities as well.
- <u>Policy Action 20:</u> Create a safety framework for public transit that includes standards for community-based unarmed personnel, data reporting, and safety in design.
 - o Bret Stevens: Personally, I think there needs to be more positive levers, personal safety concern.
 - Ingrid: I second Bret and support public transit but have children and won't ride after dark.
 Safety needs to be addressed. This is an important one.
 - Bret: Example of taking children to a sporting event and had issues both directions.
 - Logan Telles, City of Eugene: Believes it's a widespread problem but the news articles are largely based on houseless populations in Portland. This is likely a statewide, not just TriMet problem.
 - Tonia Moro: other networks don't have same problems as TriMet
 - Brian Hurley, ODOT: There are two issues here. Infrastructure engineering standards addresses crashes; safety and security at stops and stations, that's really about landscape architecture
 - Marshall McGrady, IBEW: Need regular and reliable service and some types of workers (construction) need to move during the day and carry tools.

Oregon Energy Strategy

⁴ To see these policy actions in full, refer to https://www.oregon.gov/energy/Data-and-Reports/Documents/4-30-25-PWG-Transportation-Electrification-Breakout.pdf at slide 49 and onwards.

- o Charlie: Different challenges in small-scale transit locals.
- o Ingrid: Transit works in the city, but residents still want to escape to other destinations (urban-rural interconnection).
- Juan: Both criminal and mental health issues posed threats, in distinct ways, to different cities; so there are multiple needs to address with a safety framework.

Next Steps

• Jillian concluded the meeting. Jillian thanked PWG members for their participation and asked that members submit written comments through May 9, in advance of a written comment period on draft recommendations later in the summer

Virtual Meeting Chat

		-
Logan	All	Logan Telles, Transportation planner with the City of Eugene, I've really
Telles	Participants	been enjoying having some sunny days so far this Spring.
Bret	All	Bret Stevens - Daimler Truck North America - Favorite thing about Spring:
Stevens	Participants	Staying light later in the evenings
Stefenie	All	Stefenie Griggs, Senior Transportation Eleectrification Analyst at ODOT, love
Griggs	Participants	Spring gardening
Nancy	All	Nancy Bennett, Portland General Electric. Love gardening.
Bennett	Participants	
Jessica	All Panelists	Good morning, everyone! I am Jessica Reichers, the Technology & Policy
Reichers		Manager at ODOE. Feel free to throw any questions you have in the chat as
		Jillian walks through the slides.
Jessica	All	Josh Price and Jennifer Villanueva can provide assistance for WebEx issues if
Reichers	Participants	needed.
Jessica	All	Jessica Reichers, Technology & Policy Manager at ODOE, love planting and
Reichers	Participants	looking at all the beautiful flowers!
Jamie	All	Hello, all! Jamie Johnson, Green Energy Institute at Lewis & Clark Law
Johnson,	Participants	School. I've been really loving seeing and smelling all the flowers blooming.
Green		
Energy		
Inst. at		
LC Law		
Sch,		
she/her		
ctracy	All	Charlie Tracy, Director of Engineering at Oregon Trail Electric Co-op, I love
	Participants	bike comunting in the spring!
Josh	All Panelists	https://www.menti.com/alqmwwt62sxi
Price,		
ODOE		
Josh	All Panelists	Copy and paste link into your browser or use the email sent to you at the
Price,		start of this meeting.
ODOE		
Josh	All Panelists	6985 4667
Price,		
ODOE		

Nancy	All	My company blocks this application. I will try to join from another device.
Nancy Bennett		wy company blocks this application. I will try to join from another device.
	Participants All	For the funding, my initial reaction is that I strongly agree. HOWEVER, now
Ingrid Fish, City of Portland , Bureau of Planning and Sustaina bl	Participants	that I think about it, when funds are requested they are often taken from other areas. Obviously we do not know where this funding will come from. I may have concerns if funds are taken from schools or other important areas.
Jessica Reichers	All Participants	Thanks for that flag, Ingrid. I encourage everyone to share any additional considerations that might occur to them during this exercise and in the following discussion. You can also share comments with ODOE through our Comment Portal by May 9. https://odoe.powerappsportals.us/en-US/energy-strategy/
Ingrid	All	Do we know if the electric utilities are planning to continue to invest in
Fish, City of Portland , Bureau of Planning and Sustaina bl	Participants	public charging and/or if they are planning to reduce their future investments?
Brett	All	The TE reports from utilities are a wealth of knowledge on this question:
Morgan	Participants	https://portlandgeneral.com/about/who-we-are/resource-
He/Him		planning/transportation-electrification-planning
Jessica	All	Thanks, Brett!
Reichers	Participants	Colifornia is mandating utility LCES funding to go toward MILD also taking
Bret Stevens	All Participants	California is mandating utility LCFS funding to go toward MHD electrification as reference.
Brett	All	This one is a bit dated, but the other utilities generate these
Morgan He/Him	Participants	This one is a sit dated, sat the other athlities generate these
Ingrid	All	Thanks Brett! I have not had a chance to dig into the utility TE Plans yet.
Fish, City	Participants	
of		
Portland		
, Bureau		
of Diagning		
Planning and		
Sustaina		
bl		
····	l	I .

Brett	All	Just want to +1 the earlier comment that the time is now to get charging +
Morgan He/Him	Participants	fueling \$\$ in the transportation package, to Jamie's point.
Jessica	All	Sorry about that Brett - so many things to discuss in this!
Reichers	Participants	
Ingrid	All	+2 to Brett & Jamie's comment.
Fish, City	Participants	
of		
Portland		
, Bureau		
of		
Planning		
and		
Sustaina		
bl		
Jessica	All	Thanks, Ingrid!
Reichers	Participants	TEINA general livet montioned
Brett	All	TEINA report I just mentioned:
Morgan He/Him	Participants	https://www.oregon.gov/odot/programs/pages/teina.aspx
Jamie	All	I have a note for a PGE transportation electrification workshop on May 15
Johnson,	Participants	but I'm not seeing information on their website about it right now
Green		(https://portlandgeneral.com/about/who-we-are/resource-
Energy		planning/resource-planning-engagement). Nancy, do you happen to know
Inst. at		more about PGE's TEP workshops?
LC Law		
Sch,		
she/her	A.I.	Di conta Di localita di conta
Ingrid	All	Plus one to Rebecca's comment.
Fish, City of	Participants	
Portland		
, Bureau of		
Planning		
and		
Sustaina		
bl		
Juan J	All	EWEB's model for EVSE is to provide incentives, but not to own stations.
Serpa	Participants	However, with reduced funding from Clean Fuels, our EVSE rebates in 2025
Muñoz -	3.2.12.3	had to be updated and we are no longer offering rebates for DCFC or L2
EWEB		public. We do have rebates for residential (sinlge family) and multifamily L2.
Logan	All	I think I gave policy action 2 a rating of 3. The only suggestion I have would
Telles	Participants	be rewording it to be more inclusive of non-fleet related projects. For
		example a commercial shopping center that is interested in potentially
		adding DCFC to the parking lot may benefit from technical assistance. That
		isn't necessarily fleet related but still of benefit

Tonia	All	Plus 1 on Logan's comment.
Moro	Participants	
Jillian DiMedio , ODOE, she/her	All Participants	Jana, to the comment that it would take the power supply of the city of Eugene to power 100 electric trucks: According to the 2023 Oregon Utility Statistics book, EWEB sold 983,522,037 kWh of electricity to residential customers in 2023, or approx 2,694,581 kWh / day. With this amount of electricity, you could fully charge 4,899 average sized heavy duty trucks per day (assuming a 550 kWh battery). Even if looking at the larger, extended range Tesla Semi (an 850 kWh battery), you could charge 3,170 trucks per day (850 kWh battery).
Ingrid Fish, City of Portland , Bureau of Planning and Sustaina bl	All Participants	I suggest potentially doing a little more research related to Policy Action 3. It would be great to survey middle-income households and private fleets to see if a revolving loan program would actually be used and helpful.
Tonia Moro	All Participants	I would also look at whether the state loan program to jurisdictions would include loans to purchase. I think this program for loans as it relates to class 2b vehicles and could foster vehicle share programs. Folks opting into the loan program can help move the entire market forward.
Bret	All	+1 Jason
Stevens	Participants	
Jason Altamira no - TITAN Freight Systems	All Participants	Absolutely correct Jana. Thank you.
Ingrid Fish, City of Portland , Bureau of Planning and Sustaina bl	All Participants	I do not have a lot of background knowledge about Hydrogen fueling, and it sounds like ODOE may have released a report that I did not read. My question is, do hydrogen fuel cell technology trends point to this is a realistic, feasible and affordable solution in Oregon? I know this fueling infrastructure is extremely expensive. Is it worth investing in, in OR?
Jamie Johnson, Green Energy Inst. at	All Participants	Re: state agencies working group, I just have some concerns over staffing capacity within state entities to form a new working group. Just a minor concern to ensure the agencies we want to engage have capacity to meaningfully do so.

161	I	
LC Law		
Sch,		
she/her	A II D . I' .	
Josh	All Panelists	https://miro.com/app/board/uXjVI9kZjyY=/?share_link_id=780516487069
Price,		
ODOE		
Josh	All Panelists	Copy and paste the link to your browser or use the link in the email sent
Price,		earlier.
ODOE		
Ingrid	All	That link didn't seem to work for me Josh
Fish, City	Participants	
of		
Portland		
, Bureau		
of		
Planning		
and		
Sustaina		
bl		
Rebecca	All	Can you remember what the bottom quadrants mean?
Smith	Participants	'
for RHA		
Rebecca	All	Oops, nevermind
Smith	Participants	
for RHA	· ar cro.parre	
Ingrid	All	Yes, I will try that.
Fish, City	Participants	
of		
Portland		
, Bureau		
of		
Planning		
and		
Sustaina		
bl		
Jason	All	The top four policies are all the same color stickies
Altamira	Participants	The top roal policies are all the suffic color stickles
no -	7 di dicipantis	
TITAN		
Freight		
Systems		
Jason	All	VAS
Altamira		yes
	Participants	
no -		
TITAN		
Freight		
Systems		

Jessica	All	Thanks for your falg, Jamie. Appreciate that input.
Reichers	Participants	The life is your raight and repair to the re
Jason	All	Even though the stickies are the same color on the left, once you place
Altamira	Participants	them, they change to the correct color.
no -		
TITAN		
Freight		
Systems		
Stefenie	All Panelists	I noticed that as well!
Griggs	7 til i diletists	Thoused that as well:
Jessica	All	That is so WEIRD!
Reichers	Participants	That is so well b:
Jillian	All	Hi Ingrid, here is the ODOT report Rebecca referenced. I did manage this
DiMedio , ODOE,	Participants	report, let me know if you want to discuss at some point, to get at your questions:
she/her		https://www.oregon.gov/odot/climate/Documents/Hydrogen%20Pathway %20Study_Final.pdf
Ingrid	All	Thank you!
Fish, City	Participants	
of		
Portland		
, Bureau		
of		
Planning		
and		
Sustaina		
bl		
Jessica	All	@Tonia, thanks for this comment - that is a helpful consideration around
Reichers	Participants	that policy actions. "I would also look at whether the state loan program to jurisdictions would include loans to purchase. I think this program for loans as it relates to class 2b vehicles and could foster vehicle share programs. Folks opting into the loan progam can help move the entire market forward."
Jessica	All	Thanks for this comment, Ingrid. Suggestions for more research are a great
Reichers	Participants	flag for us. "I suggest potentially doing a little more research related to Policy Action 3. It would be great to survey middle-income households and
		private fleets to see if a revolving loan program would actually be used and helpful. "
Jessica	All	Love this comment, Logan - "I think I gave policy action 2 a rating of 3. The
Reichers	Participants	only suggestion I have would be rewording it to be more inclusive of non-
	-	fleet related projects. For example a commercial shopping center that is
		interested in potentially adding DCFC to the parking lot may benefit from
		technical assistance. That isn't necessarily fleet related but still of benefit "
Jessica	All	Thanks, Juan - that is a really important thing for us to add to our list of
Reichers	Participants	considerations. "EWEB's model for EVSE is to provide incentives, but not to
		own stations. However, with reduced funding from Clean Fuels, our EVSE
		rebates in 2025 had to be updated and we are no longer offering rebates

	1	Ta =
		for DCFC or L2 public. We do have rebates for residential (sinlge family) and multifamily L2. "
Josh	All Panelists	https://www.menti.com/alqmwwt62sxi
Price,		
ODOE		
Jamie	All	I know I've brought up staffing before, but wanted to flag that most of
Johnson,	Participants	these will require extra staff capacity at the PUC. Almost all of these invovle
Green		some sort of investigation or docketet invovling IOUs, And the PUC just this year asked for more money for more staff and capacity (I haven't followed
Energy Inst. at		this bill but seemed likely to pass last i knew), which would not include
LC Law		these investigations.
Sch,		these investigations.
she/her		
Rebecca	All	I have to leave early - apologies.
Smith	Participants	
for RHA		
Jessica	All	Thanks for joining, Rebecca.
Reichers	Participants	
Ingrid	All	Plus one to Bret's current comment. An incentivizing price structure will
Fish, City	Participants	help drive demand.
of Portland		
, Bureau		
of		
Planning		
and		
Sustaina		
bl		
Tonia	All	I think policy action 11 should inloude a reference to the benefits of EV. So it
Moro	Participants	should say rates that better align the costs and benefits of
Ingrid	All	I'd like to hear more about the unintended negative consequences of Policy
Fish, City	Participants	Action 11. I have a feeling there are many that we have not explored in
of		addition to Charlie's point.
Portland		
, Bureau of		
Planning		
and		
Sustaina		
bl		
Jillian	All	Thanks, Tonia!
DiMedio	Participants	
, ODOE,		
she/her		
Ingrid	All	Agree with the underlining intent of Policy Action 11.
Fish, City	Participants	
of]	

Portland		
, Bureau		
of		
Planning		
and		
Sustaina		
bl		
-	All	
Tonia	All	Instead of requring the IOUs on policy 8, it might be worth while to suggest
Moro	Participants	a PUC investigation into requiring the IOUs to do so.
Ingrid	All	Policy Action 8: Is there a level off doing this in which it actually saves utility
Fish, City	Participants	staff time to avoid in depth conversations where they are not needed, at
of		least in the initial phase of evaluating electrical capacity when determining
Portland		charging sites.
, Bureau		
of		
Planning		
and		
Sustaina		
bl		
Tonia	All	Let's include a policy recommendation to provide greater funding and
Moro	Participants	capacity to the PUC.
Josh	All Panelists	https://miro.com/app/board/uXjVI9kZjyY=/?share_link_id=977747936899
Price,		
ODOE		
Jamie	All	+1 for Tonia's suggestion (I added a green note on the board!)
Johnson,	Participants	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
Green	rarticipants	
Energy		
Inst. at		
LC Law		
Sch,		
she/her		
Jessica	All	Policy Action 8: Is there a level off doing this in which it actually saves utility
Reichers	Participants	staff time to avoid in depth conversations where they are not needed, at
	•	least in the initial phase of evaluating electrical capacity when determining
		charging sites."
Jessica	All	Thanks, Tonia and Jamie for your input on OPUC capacity needs.
		Thanks, Torna and Jamie for your input on OPOC capacity needs.
Reichers	Participants	
Jessica	All	Regarding Ingrid's question, "I'd like to hear more about the unintended
Reichers	Participants	negative consequences of Policy Action 11. I have a feeling there are many
		that we have not explored in addition to Charlie's point." We would really
		appreciate hearing more from all of you about the pros and cons of these
		policy actions through our Comment Portal:
		https://odoe.powerappsportals.us/en-US/energy-strategy/
Jessica	All	Thanks for this comment, Tonia. That is a great add to our considerations. "I
Reichers	Participants	think policy action 11 should inloude a reference to the benefits of EV. So it
VEICHEI2	r ai ticipatits	· · · ·
		should say rates that better align the costs and benefits of "

Josh	All Panelists	https://www.menti.com/alqmwwt62sxi
Price,	All Fallelists	Tittps://www.menti.com/aiqinwwtozsxi
ODOE		
Jana	All	Major problems with additional fujding for Safe Routes to School
Jarvis	Participants	Major problems with additional rajums for safe reduces to serious
Jillian	All	Noted, thank you Jana, I've flagged it for discussion
DiMedio	Participants	Troced, thank you sand, I've hagged to for discussion
, ODOE,	rarticipants	
she/her		
Jana	All	Transit ridership is down from its high in 2012 - why invest more when
Jarvis	Participants	ridership is declining? Major problems
Jana	All	Major problems with the third bullet
Jarvis	Participants	The second secon
Jana	All	Minor problems with e-bike incentives, Create additional safety hazards on
Jarvis	Participants	Oregon's roads
Jana	All .	Minor problems with granting local jurisdictions the same taxing authority
Jarvis	Participants	to mass transit districts
Jana	All	Stromg support for increasing safety for mass transit
Jarvis	Participants	
Bret	All	The safety framework does not go far enough
Stevens	Participants	
Ingrid	All	Plus one to Jamie's comment about commercial e-bikes.
Fish, City	Participants	
of		
Portland		
, Bureau		
of		
Planning		
and		
Sustaina		
bl		
Logan	All	I agree with Ingrid that policy action 18 should be stackable with other local
Telles	Participants	incentives. I would assume this would only be applicable to Class 1 and 2 e-
		bikes
Tonia	All	+ one to Jamie's comment too
Moro	Participants	
Tonia	All	Agree with both Bret and Ingrid - there is a fine line between tying transit to
Moro	Participants	law enforcement and criminalization. and making it safe. May need more
		social services folks invlolved but the issue is to have the discussion
		necessary to find a better way to solve the safety issue.
Tonia	All	Not all transit districts have the same level of problems as tri met.
Moro	Participants	
Stefenie	All Panelists	I agree, there are levels to it, speaking from Roseburg
Griggs		
Jessica	All	Thanks, Tonia and Stefenie.
Reichers	Participants	

· · ·		
Jamie Johnson, Green Energy Inst. at LC Law Sch, she/her	All Participants	+1 for Logan's concern the source of a lot of the concerns I'm hearing are realted to issues that are much bigger than transit and policing. Oregon's housing crisis is a bit beyond the scope of the energy strategy. But I would be curious about studies that examine some safety routes to address concerns.
Ingrid Fish, City of Portland , Bureau of Planning and Sustaina bl	All Participants	Plus one to Brain's comment
Logan Telles	All Participants	Another quick comment about policy action 20. When we talk about "safety in design" at transit stops - thinking about the crash worthiness of the stop is also a relevant topic. Eugene is having an issue with some of our BRT stations being hit by cars
Tonia Moro	All Participants	Great point Ingrid!
Bret	All	Regarding the "true cost study" I just want to remind everyone that
Stevens	Participants	avoided societal costs are real, but not liquid. We should not treat them as if they are self-financing. The quantification of avoided costs strengthens the case for public investment, but doesn't eliminate the need for sustainable funding pathways. Being mindful of discretionary costs to society and those that provide economic value will be important during this study.
Jillian DiMedio , ODOE, she/her	All Participants	Thanks for that feedback Bret!
Ingrid Fish, City of Portland , Bureau of Planning and Sustaina bl	All Participants	I have a comment about the housing policy action
Jessica Reichers	All Participants	Thanks, Ingrid. Can you add it to the chat?
WEIGHELS	i ai ticipatits	

Alan	All Panelists	From an accident perspective, according to APTA public transportation is
Zelenka	All Parielists	10X safer per mile than traveling by automobile. Said differently - one
Zelelika		reduces their chances of getting in a accident by 90% by taking public
		, , ,
D 1	A.I.I	transit as opposed to commuting by car.
Bret	All	I need to be on the move for my next meeting. Thanks!
Stevens	Participants	
Jessica	All	Thanks, Bret!
Reichers	Participants	
Jessica	All	Comment Portal link: https://odoe.powerappsportals.us/en-US/energy-
Reichers	Participants	strategy/
Ingrid	All	Housing Policy Action - this needs to be coupled with bike parking
Fish, City	Participants	requirements to facilitate affordable clean transportation. This in not
of		aligned with bike current parking requirements (especially for cargo bikes)
Portland		which have been temporarily removed to facilitate quick housing
, Bureau		construction/development.
of		
Planning		
and		
Sustaina		
bl		
Jessica	All	Thanks for adding that, Ingrid. Apologies that we could nto make time for
Reichers	Participants	this verbally.
Tonia	All	Thank you.
Moro	Participants	
Stefenie	All Panelists	Thanks!
Griggs		
Jessica	All	Thank you, everyone! Great feedback throughout the process!
Reichers	Participants	
Ingrid	All	Thanks so much ODOE staff! Well done!
Fish, City	Participants	
of	•	
Portland		
, Bureau		
of		
Planning		
and		
Sustaina		
bl		