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## ATTACHMENT

AA-1 AC Electric and Magnetic Field Analysis

## AA. 1 INTRODUCTION

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(aa) If the proposed facility includes an electric transmission line:

See sections AA. 2 and AA.7.

## AA. 2 ELECTRIC AND MAGNETIC FIELDS

(A) Information about the expected electric and magnetic fields, including:

## AA.2.1 Distance from Transmission Line Center Line to Edge of Right-of-Way

(i) The distance in feet from the proposed center line of each proposed transmission line to the edge of the right-of-way;

Response: The Project will include two separate overhead transmission lines, along routes spanning 4 miles and 11 miles in length. The overhead transmission lines will consist of a $230-$ kilovolt $(\mathrm{kV})$ line, both with and without a $12.47-\mathrm{kV}$ underbuild, and a $500-\mathrm{kV}$ line. In addition, there will be underground $34.5-\mathrm{kV}$ collector circuits between the wind turbines and a new collector substation.

The overhead transmission line for the $230-\mathrm{kV}$ portion would be constructed within a 150-foot-wide corridor, approximately. Therefore, the centerline of the transmission line would be typically 75 feet from the edge of the right-of-way.

The overhead transmission line for the $500-\mathrm{kV}$ portion would be constructed within a 200-foot-wide corridor, approximately. Therefore, the centerline of the transmission line would be typically 100 feet from the edge of the right-of-way.

For the underground $34.5-\mathrm{kV}$ collector circuits, the distance between the centerline of the $34.5-\mathrm{kV}$ circuits and the edge of the right-of-way is undefined, because the entire wind farm is considered right-of-way for the collection circuits.

## AA.2.2 Types of Occupied Structures within 200 Feet of Center Line of Proposed Transmission Lines

(ii) The type of each occupied structure, including but not limited to residences, commercial establishments, industrial facilities, schools, daycare centers, and hospitals, within 200 feet on each side of the proposed center line of each proposed transmission line.

Response: There is one residence located within 200 feet of center line on the proposed $230-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line route where the $12.47-\mathrm{kV}$ underbuild circuit is located on the structure. There are no occupied buildings, including residences, within 200 feet of center line on either side of the proposed $500-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line route or the proposed $230-\mathrm{kV}$ line route without the $12.47-\mathrm{kV}$ underbuild distribution circuit.

## AA.2.3 Distance from Proposed Center Lines to Structures

(iii) The approximate distance in feet from the proposed center line to each structure identified in (A);

Response: One residence is located approximately 150 feet from the center line of the proposed $230-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line route where the $12.47-\mathrm{kV}$ underbuild circuit is located on the structure. No other buildings are located within 200 feet of the proposed $500-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line route or the proposed $230-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line route without the $12.47-\mathrm{kV}$ underbuild distribution circuit.

## AA.2.4 Graphs of Electric and Magnetic Field Levels

(iv) At representative locations along each proposed transmission line, a graph of the predicated electric and magnetic fields levels from the proposed center line to 200 feet on each side of the proposed center line;

Response: Refer to Figures 4M, 4E, 5M, 5E, 6M, 6E, 7 \& 8.

## AA.2.4.1 Generation of Electric and Magnetic Fields (EMF)

All electric utility wires and devices generate alternating electric and magnetic fields (EMF). The earth itself generates steady-state magnetic and electric fields. The EMF produced by the alternating current (AC) electrical power system in the United States has a frequency of 60 hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$, meaning that the fields change from positive to negative and back to positive, 60 times per second.

This section addresses the estimates of the maximum possible $60-\mathrm{Hz} \mathrm{AC}$ electric and magnetic field strengths that will be produced by the proposed $230-\mathrm{kV}$ and $500-\mathrm{kV}$ overhead transmission lines and the proposed $34.5-\mathrm{kV}$ underground collector circuits. These estimates are computed for a height of 1 meter ( 3.3 feet) above the ground on the proposed line routes.

In AC power systems, voltage swings positive to negative and back to positive, a 360 degree cycle, 60 times every second. Current follows the voltage, flowing forward, reversing direction, and returning to the forward direction, again a 360 degree cycle, 60 times every second. Each AC 3-phase circuit carries power over three conductors. One phase of the circuit is carried by each of the three conductors. The AC voltage and current in each phase conductor is out of sync with the other two phases by 120 degrees, or one-third of the 360 degree cycle. The fields from these conductors tend to cancel out because of the phase difference. However, when a person stands under a transmission line, or over a buried circuit of underground cables, one conductor is always significantly closer, which will result in a net field at the person's location.

Electric fields around transmission lines are produced by electrical charges, measured as voltage, on the energized conductor. Electric field strength is directly proportional to the line's voltage; that is, increased voltage produces a stronger electric field. The electric field is inversely proportional to the distance a sensor is from the conductors, so that the
electric field strength declines as the distance from the conductor increases. For this transmission line, the voltage and electric field alternate at a frequency of 60 Hz . The strength of the electric field is measured in units of kilovolts per meter $(\mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{m})$. The voltage, and therefore the electric field, around a transmission line remains practically steady and is not affected by the common daily and seasonal fluctuations in usage of electricity by customers.

Magnetic fields around transmission lines are produced by the electrical load or the level of current flow, measured in terms of amperage, through the conductors. Like the electric field, the magnetic field alternates at a frequency of 60 Hz . The magnetic field strength is directly proportional to the amperage; that is, increased amperage produces a stronger magnetic field. The magnetic field is inversely proportional to the sensor's distance from the conductors. Also, like the electric field, the magnetic field strength declines as the distance from the conductor increases. Magnetic fields are expressed in units of milligauss (mG). However, unlike voltage, the amperage and therefore the magnetic field around a transmission line fluctuate daily and seasonally as the usage of electricity varies and the amount of current flow varies.

Considerable research has been conducted over the last 30 years on the possible biological and human health effects from EMF. This research has produced many studies that offer no uniform conclusions about whether long-term exposure to EMF is harmful or not. In the absence of conclusive or evocative evidence, many states, including Washington and Oregon, have chosen not to specify maximum levels of EMF. Instead, these states mandate a program of prudent avoidance, whereby EMF exposure to the public is to be minimized by encouraging electric utilities to use low-cost techniques to reduce the levels of EMF. The states reason that because there is no established scientific evidence linking EMF with health risks, it is difficult to justify expensive mitigations. The prudent-avoidance approach encourages new facilities to incorporate design features or configurations that will significantly reduce EMF exposure and risk levels, if the costs of those features or alternative configurations do not add significantly to the cost of the facility. A 5 percent construction cost premium is usually considered to be a significant increase in cost if done solely for the purpose of EMF risk mitigation.

## AA.2.4.2 EMF Calculations for the 230-KV Overhead Transmission Line

For this Project, EMF exposure risk is very low because the line will pass over and through undeveloped land. Construction with single steel poles, with the conductors configured in a triangle instead of horizontally, reduces EMF levels on the right-of-way and under the conductors.

The conductor arrangement proposed for the $230-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line consists of two similar design segments. The first segment is composed of one, 3-phase, single conductor, $230-\mathrm{kV}$ circuit, with one OPGW shield wire for the entire length of the transmission line. A typical structural configuration for this design is illustrated in Figure AA-1, and consists of 3-795 ACSR "Drake" conductors, and 1 - OPGW shield wire.

The second segment begins 0.75 miles from the proposed Collection Substation where a Wasco Electric PUD $12.47-\mathrm{kV}$ underbuild circuit will be added to the $230-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line described above. This additional circuit will consist of a $12.47-\mathrm{kV}, 3$ phase with neutral, single conductor ( 4 - conductors total) underbuild. In this configuration, the addition of the Wasco Electric PUD underbuild creates a total of 8 wires (3-230-kV 795 ASCR "Drake" conductors, 3 - 12.47-kV 4/0 ASCR "Penguin" conductors, $1-4 / 0$ ASCR "Penguin" neutral, and 1 - OPGW shield wire). This configuration is illustrated in Figure AA-2.

Except for special construction required for crossing under other transmission lines, the ground-level magnetic field intensity across the corridor is determined by the currents and geometry of these typical facilities.

## AA.2.4.3 EMF Calculations for the 500-kV Overhead Transmission Line

For the $500-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line, EMF exposure risk is very low because the line will pass over and through undeveloped land. The conductor arrangement proposed for this facility, the $500-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line consists of one, 3-phase, $500-\mathrm{kV}$ circuit (3conductor bundle per phase; 9-1033 kcmil ACSR "Ortolan" conductors total) with one OPGW shield wire. This circuit configuration is illustrated in Figure AA-3. The groundlevel magnetic field intensity across the corridor is determined by the currents and geometry of these typical facilities.

## AA.2.4.4 Line Loads for EMF Calculation

It is important that any discussion of EMF include the assumptions used to calculate these fields. It is also important to remember that EMF in the vicinity of the power lines varies with regard to line design, line loading, distance from the line, and other factors. The electric field depends on line voltage, which remains nearly constant for a transmission line in normal operation. The magnetic field is proportional to line loading (amperage), which varies as power plant generation is changed by the wind. Maximum magnetic fields are produced at the maximum (peak) conductor currents.

The first and second segments of the $230-\mathrm{kV}$ overhead lines in this study are rated for a nominal voltage of 230 kV . Line loading value assumed for the $230-\mathrm{kV}$ line is 200 MVA , or 502 amperes per phase, at peak system load. The line loading for the Wasco Electric PUD $12.47-\mathrm{kV}$ underbuild circuit is assumed as 5 MVA, or 232 amperes per phase. These values were used in the EMF study.

The $500-\mathrm{kV}$ overhead transmission line in this study is rated for a nominal voltage of 500 kV . Line loading value assumed for the line is 200 MVA, or 231 amperes per phase (77 amperes per conductor, 3 conductors per phase) at peak system load. This value was used in the EMF study.

## AA.2.4.5 Calculation Methods

To estimate the maximum fields, calculations are performed at mid-span where the conductor is positioned at its lowest point between structures (the estimated maximum
sag point). The magnetic fields are computed at 1 meter above ground with a program called Corona and Field Effect Program (Version 3), developed by the Bonneville Power Administration. This program and others like it have been used to predict electric and magnetic field levels for many years, and results have been confirmed by field measurements performed by numerous utilities.

The distance between the centerline of the $230-\mathrm{kV}$ circuit and the edge of the right-ofway is assumed to be 75 feet. The distance between the centerline of the $500-\mathrm{kV}$ circuit and the edge of the right-of-way is assumed to be 100 feet.

## AA.2.4.6 Results of EMF Calculations

Table AA-1 and AA-2 provide the calculated values of the magnetic and the electric field values at left and right edges of the right-of-ways, and at the centerline, for the projected maximum currents during peak load at minimum conductor ground clearances. The actual magnetic field values vary, as load varies daily, seasonally, and as conductor sag changes with ambient temperature. The levels shown represent the highest magnetic fields expected for the proposed transmission lines. Average fields along the ground between poles, and over a year's time would be considerably reduced from the peak values shown.

## 230-kV Transmission Line

Table AA-1 illustrates that the magnetic field and electric field values are higher on the right-of-way, than at the edges of the right-of-way. These results are plotted on graphs as follows:

- Figure AA-4M 230-kV Magnetic field profile with only OPGW shield wire.
- Figure AA-4E 230-kV Electric field profile with only OPGW shield wire.
- Figure AA-5M 230-kV Magnetic field profile with $12.47-\mathrm{kV}$ circuit and OPGW shield wire.
- Figure AA-5E $230-\mathrm{kV}$ Electric field profile with $12.47-\mathrm{kV}$ circuit and OPGW shield wire.

Table AA-1 Calculated Maximum Magnetic and Electric Field Values for the 230-kV Line

|  |  | Magnetic Field <br> (mGauss) |  |  | Electric Field <br> (KV/M) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Figure | Voltage | Left R/W <br> (75') | Max. on <br> R/W | Right <br> R/W (75') | Left R/W <br> (75') | Max. on <br> R/W | Right R/W <br> (75') |
| AA-4M and AA-4E | $230-\mathrm{kV}$ | 10.9 | 63.5 | 10.5 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 0.4 |
| AA-5M and AA-5E | $230-\mathrm{kV}$ | 9.2 | 46.1 | 9.6 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 0.4 |

## 500-kV Transmission Line

Table AA-2 illustrates that the magnetic field and electric field values are higher on the right-of-way than at the edges of the right-of-way. Figures AA-6M and AA-6E are the
graphed results of the Magnetic field and Electric field profiles for the $500-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line with an OPGW shield wire.

Table AA-2 Calculated Maximum Magnetic and Electric Field Values for the 500-kV Line

|  |  | Magnetic Field (mGauss) |  |  | Electric Field (KV/M) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Figure | Voltage | Left R/W (100') | Max. on R/W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Right R/W } \\ & \left(100^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Left R/W (100') | Max. on R/W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Right R/W } \\ & \text { (100') } \end{aligned}$ |
| AA-6M and AA-6E | 500-kV | 6.5 | 24.0 | 5.2 | 1.2 | 7.2 | 1.4 |

## AA.2.4.7 EMF Calculations for 34.5-KV Underground Collection System

For an underground $34.5-\mathrm{kV}$ circuit, the electric field is totally contained within the insulation of the cable. Each cable has a semi-conducting insulation shield and a grounded concentric neutral, made up of multiple strands of copper wire that encircle the cable just under the outer jacket. This means that the cable jacket has no measurable voltage to ground, or between other cable jackets, and that the cables can be safely touched, although it is not recommended. Because the electric field is contained within the buried cables, no electric field is measurable at the surface of the ground.

However, the underground cables do not contain the magnetic field and the net magnetic field of buried cables is measurable on the surface of the ground above the cables.

For an overhead transmission line, the conductors are isolated above the ground and insulated by air. Therefore, for overhead lines, neither the electric field nor magnetic fields are contained, and both the net electric and magnetic field strength are measurable on the ground.

## AA.2.4.8 Calculation Method

The calculation methods used for the analysis that follows are provided in Chapter 8 of the Transmission Line Reference Book, $345-k V$ and Above (EPRI, 1987). The software tool program used for these analyses is based on the methods and equations given in the referenced text. The calculation tool used is called "Corona and Field Effect Program (Version 3), and is provided by Bonneville Power Administration. This program and others like it have been used to predict electric and magnetic field levels for many years. The predicted values of field strength from these programs have been consistently confirmed by field measurements.

To estimate the maximum fields, calculations are performed for a height of 1 meter above the ground, and at mid-span where the conductor is positioned at its lowest point between structures (the estimated maximum sag point).

## AA.2.4.9 34.5-kV Configuration and Line Loading

Maximum magnetic fields are produced at the maximum conductor currents. The Project's largest cables will carry the maximum currents. For this EMF analyses, the
maximum line loading is assumed to be 600 amperes per phase, and the cable is assumed to be $1,000 \mathrm{kcmil}$ Aluminum, with 345 mils of XLPE-TR insulation. The underground trench is assumed to be 48 inches deep and all cables are assumed to be direct buried in a trefoil arrangement.

## AA.2.4.10 Calculation Results

Electric Fields: The underground cable construction contains the electric field within the cable insulation so that no electric field is present external to the cables.

Magnetic Fields: Maximum magnetic fields are computed at 1 meter above ground with a program called Corona and Field Effect Program (Version 3) developed by the Bonneville Power Administration.

To estimate maximum fields that might occur, one needs to consider locations where (Case 1) a circuit is remote from other circuits and (Case 2) a circuit parallels other circuits.

## Case 1-34.5-kV Underground Cable Remote from Other Circuits

For this case, the distance between the centerline of $34.5-\mathrm{kV}$ circuits and the edge of the right-of-way is undefined because the entire Project is considered right-of-way.

Figure AA-7 illustrates the profile of the resulting magnetic field strength perpendicular to the underground circuit.

## Case 2-34.5-kV Underground Circuit Parallel to Other Circuits

For this case, three parallel $34.5-\mathrm{kV}$ circuits are considered. The distance between the centerlines of the $34.5-\mathrm{kV}$ circuits is assumed to be 10 feet to achieve thermal isolation.

Figure AA-8 illustrates the profile of magnetic fields resulting from this construction.

## AA.2.4.11 Conclusion

The maximum magnetic field values for the underground $34.5-\mathrm{kV}$ collection system occur for the main feeder circuits ( $1,000 \mathrm{kcmil}$ cables) where multiple circuits are in proximity to one another. The maximum magnetic field value for the underground circuits occurs approximately 5 feet off of centerline of a multiple circuit run, and will be 33.8 mG .

No electric field is present external to the cable.

## AA.2.5 Measures Proposed to Reduce Electric or Magnetic Field Levels

(v) Any measures the applicant proposes to reduce electric or magnetic field levels;

Response: For the $230-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission lines, no measures are proposed to reduce electric or magnetic fields for the following reasons:

- There are no nearby residences closer than 150 feet to the line. At this distance, EMF levels are below industry recognized levels.
- Mitigating construction would increase cost by more than 5 percent.
- EMF levels are not excessive.

For the $500-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line, reasonable and prudent efforts have been made to reduce electric and magnetic fields of the proposed line. Both triangular and horizontal construction configurations were analyzed. The triangular construction configuration produces the lowest EMF fields.

Further field ground-level reductions are possible only by increasing conductor ground clearances. However, this is impractical for several reasons:

- There are no nearby residences closer than 430 feet to the line. At this distance, EMF levels are extremely low.
- Significantly taller poles will increase construction costs by more than 5 percent.
- EMF levels, as designed, are less than industry recognized levels.


## AA.2.6 Assumptions and Methods Used in Electric and Magnetic Field Analyses

(vi) The assumptions and methods used in the electric and magnetic field analysis, including the current in amperes on each proposed transmission line; and

Response: See response (iv). In addition, Attachment AA-1 shows data inputs and assumptions used in the electric and magnetic field analysis. The BPA Corona and Field Effects (Version 3) program was used.

## AA.2.7 Monitoring Program

(vii) The applicant's proposed monitoring program, if any, for actual electric and magnetic field levels;

Response: No program for monitoring actual electric and magnetic field levels is proposed at this time.

## AA. 3 ALTERNATE METHODS

(B) An evaluation of alternate methods and costs of reducing radio interference likely to be caused by the transmission line in the primary reception area near interstate, U.S., and state highways;

## AA.3.1 Radio and TV Interference Generation

Electric transmission lines are designed to be efficient by economically minimizing both resistive-related and corona-related losses. Resistive losses occur in the aluminum conductor (wire) and result in heating losses that are carried away by the air in
convective cooling. The resistive losses also radiate away in the infrared electromagnetic frequency spectrum; therefore, resistive losses do not contribute in any way to radio and television reception interference. Radio interference (RI) and television interference (TVI) are caused by transmission line corona.

Transmission line corona is the physical phenomenon of air ionization at the surface of the conductor. When corona is produced, it is heard as snaps, crackles, and pops. Under the line on a dark night, corona might be visible as a glow around the conductor. Corona losses are principally a function of the conductor diameter and the voltage of the transmission line. Transmission line designers have two options to reduce the surface voltage gradient at the conductor surface and thus minimize corona losses: (1) increase the diameter of the conductor or (2) increase the effective diameter by using multiple conductors held apart by spacers.

Because designers take special steps to control corona losses, corona effects and corona losses are primarily a foul weather phenomenon. The small diameters of rain droplets increase voltage gradients and lead to ionization of air in the vicinity of the conductors. Corona causes audible noise, and also generates electromagnetic noise throughout the electromagnetic spectrum. Fortunately, electromagnetic corona noise amplitude and power is inversely proportional to frequency, and is also inversely proportional to the square of distance from the source. This being the case, RI and TVI are confined to the area within a few hundred feet of a high-voltage transmission lines. RI is more likely to be a problem because the power in corona-caused electromagnetic radiation at AM radio frequencies $(0.535-1.605 \mathrm{MHz})$ is much greater than at TV and FM radio frequencies ( $54-$ 108 MHz ). RI or TVI corona noise of all frequencies attenuates with the square of the distance from the conductor; therefore, corona noise dims quickly to insignificance with distance from the centerline of the facility.

## AA.3.2 RI and TVI Calculations

The electric utility industry has developed methods for calculating the RI and TVI performance of transmission lines. The most recent, and most comprehensive, summary of corona phenomena, and corona-caused electromagnetic noise analysis methods, are presented in the EPRI (1987). The analysis that follows for the three previously defined circuit configurations uses the Bonneville Power Administration Corona and Field Effects Program, based on the calculation methods set forth in Chapters 4 and 5 of EPRI (1987).

This analysis produces values of RI and TVI that are measured in decibel microvolts/meter. These units are designed to be used in signal-to-noise calculations because RI and TVI pose problems only when the strength is significant compared to the received signal.

## AA.3.2.1 Analysis for the 230-kV Overhead Transmission Line

For this radio and TV interference analysis, the nominal line voltage is assumed to be 230 kV , with one conductor per phase of 795-kcmil ACSR "Drake" having a diameter of
1.108 inches. The $12.47-\mathrm{kV}$ underbuild circuit uses one conductor per phase of $4 / 0$ ACSR "Penguin" with a diameter of 0.563 inches.

Figures AA-1 and AA-2 illustrate typical configurations of the proposed $230-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line structures.

Figure AA-9 (in db microvolts/meter) presents the RI levels to a distance of 200 feet on either side of the centerline of the $230-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line with $12.47-\mathrm{kV}$ underbuild circuit.

Figure AA-10 (in db microvolts/meter) presents the TVI levels to a distance of 200 feet on either side of the centerline the $230-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line with $12.47-\mathrm{kV}$ underbuild circuit.

## Conclusions for the $230-\mathrm{kV}$ Overhead Transmission Line

The proposed transmission line will generate random corona radiation incidentally, during wet weather, because of raindrops on the wire. The power levels are most detectable on the $230-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line with the $12.47-\mathrm{kV}$ underbuild circuit, but even with amplified receivers, the thus generated power levels are difficult to detect at any significant distance from the power line.

The $230-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line proposed for this Project is of conventional design and will have RI and TVI performance typical for the industry. As such, RI and TVI produced by the line will not be a problem or nuisance any more than the typical $230-\mathrm{kV}$ line. For example, southbound travelers on Oregon's I-5 are within 100-200 feet of a BPA 230-kV line for much of the distance between Wilsonville and Salem. This BPA line has a similar voltage and conductor, and apparently has acceptable RI performance. The project transmission lines will be located in central Oregon, which has a much drier climate and thus will have fewer corona-causing conditions than the Willamette Valley example.

Cars traveling near or under the proposed line in foul weather might experience some RI when tuning weak stations. Residential AM radio receivers within 300 feet of the centerline also might detect RI when tuning weak and distant AM stations, especially in bad weather.

This Project will be designed and constructed with conventional transmission line methods, configurations, and materials. These types of $230-\mathrm{kV}$ facilities have traditionally performed well in fair weather, and without unacceptable electromagnetic corona noise generation, even in foul weather. The levels of radio and TV noise calculated here indicate typical values. Therefore, corona is not expected to cause any interference, except in wet weather, and then, only for AM receiver equipment located within a few hundred feet of the centerline.

## AA.3.2.2 Analysis for the 500-kV Overhead Transmission Line

For this radio and TV interference analyses, the nominal line voltage is assumed to be $500-\mathrm{kV}$. The conductor is assumed to be a triple-bundle per phase of $1033-\mathrm{kcmil}$ ACSR "Ortolan" with a diameter of 1.212 inches.

Figure AA-3 illustrates a typical configuration of the proposed $500-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line structure.

Figure AA-11 (in db microvolts/meter) presents the RI levels to a distance of 200 feet on either side of the centerline.

Figure AA-12 (in db microvolts/meter) presents the TVI levels to a distance of 200 feet on either side of the centerline.

Figure AA-13 (in dba) presents the audible noise levels to a distance of 200 feet on either side of the centerline.

## Conclusions for the $500-\mathrm{kV}$ Overhead Transmission Line

The proposed power line will generate random corona radiation incidentally, during wet weather, because of raindrops on the wire. The power levels thus generated will be so low as to be difficult to detect, even with amplified receivers, at any significant distance from the power line.

Ground clearance was increased beyond the NESC minimum so that audible noise from foul-weather corona comply with the Oregon night limit of 50 dba for noise-sensitive receptors at the right-of-way edge (OAR Chapter 340, Division 35, Noise Control Regulations for Industry and Commerce, Table 8).

The $500-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line proposed for this Project is of conventional design and will have RI and TVI performance typical for the industry. As such, RI and TVI produced by the line will not be a problem or nuisance any more than the typical 500-kV line.

This Project will be designed and constructed with conventional transmission line methods, configurations, and materials. These types of facilities have traditionally performed well in fair weather, and without unacceptable electromagnetic corona noise generation, even in foul weather. The levels of radio and TV noise calculated here indicate typical values. Therefore, corona is not expected to cause any interference, except in wet weather, and then only for AM receiver equipment located within a few hundred feet of the centerline.

## AA. 4 ALTERNATING CURRENT FIELDS

OAR 345-024-0090(1) Can design, construct, and operate the proposed transmission line so that alternating current electric fields do not exceed 9 kV per meter at one meter above the ground surface in areas accessible to the public;

Response: See Figures AA-4E and AA-5E. The electric field on the right-of-way of the proposed $230-\mathrm{kV}$ line does not exceed 2.5 kV per meter. See Figure AA-6E. The electric field on the right-of-way of the proposed $500-\mathrm{kV}$ line does not exceed 7.3 kV per meter.

## AA. 5 INDUCED CURRENTS

OAR 345-024-0090(2) Can design, construct, and operate the proposed transmission line so that induced currents resulting from the transmission line and related or supporting facilities will be as low as reasonably achievable;

## AA.5.1 Induced Voltage Phenomena

Voltage is the electrical pressure that pushes current through a conducting wire or object. An animal or object, such as a bird, person, vehicle, or barbed-wire fence that is insulated from ground and in an electric field will possess an induced voltage. A bird flying through the field is safe because the induced voltage cannot make current flow through the bird, unless there is a conducting path for the current. Induced voltage on a metallic object, such as a fence or a large metal roof, can be a hazard only when the object is shorted to ground through a person or animal, allowing a path for significant current to flow. The conductivity of the air around the overhead conductor will determine the upper limit of the current that can flow when the object is shorted to ground.

A common induced voltage hazard occurs on fences that parallel overhead transmission lines. If the fence is ungrounded, it possesses the voltage of the net electric field of the overhead conductors. A person touching such a fence becomes a conducting path for the current and can feel a momentary shock if the available current is sufficient. The AC static voltage on the fence bleeds off quickly but can be annoying or hazardous. This hazard is easily removed by periodically bonding the fence wires to grounding rods driven into the soil, which guarantees that the fence and the pedestrian are at equal potential.

## AA.5.2 Induced Current Phenomena

A current-carrying conductor will induce a current to flow in another conductor that is parallel to it. Induced currents result from the net AC magnetic field. In the common case cited in AA.5.1, grounded fences create electrical loops in which induced currents can flow. The value of the induced current depends upon the magnetic field strength, the size, and shape of the conducting object, and the object-to-ground resistance.

## AA.5.2.1 Induced Current from the Proposed 230-kV Overhead Transmission Line

As stated in AA.5.1, induced voltage can present a hazard by creating the potential for hazardous current to flow through a person or animal that might contact a metallic object in the electric field. Figures AA-4M, AA-4E, AA-5M, and AA-5E show the electric and magnetic field values computed at right angles to the proposed centerline. Table AA-1 indicates that the average electric field is at a maximum of 2.4 kV per meter, and using Figure AA-4E the peak is located approximately 15 feet to the left of centerline. This value is significantly lower than the recommended maximum value of 9 kV per meter. Therefore, the potential hazard is much less than it would be at 9 kV per meter.

The $230-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission lines will be designed in accordance with current NESC codes and will thereby provide appropriate grounding of fences that parallel the transmission line. Also, any metal-roofed buildings in proximity to the line will be similarly grounded. This grounding practice is commonly done for transmission lines and will mitigate the shock hazard associated with the induced voltage.

## AA.5.2.2 Induced Current from the Proposed 500-kV Overhead Transmission Line

As stated in AA5.1, induced voltages can present a hazard by creating the potential for hazardous currents to flow through a person or animal that might contact a metallic object in the electric field. Figures AA-6M and AA-6E show the electric and magnetic field values computed at right angles to the proposed centerline. Table AA-2 indicates that the average electric field is at its maximum of 7.2 kV per meter at a location approximately 15 feet to the left of centerline. This value is lower than the recommended maximum value of 9 kV per meter. Therefore, the potential hazard is less than it would be at 9 kV per meter.

The $500-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission lines will be designed in accordance with current NESC codes and will thereby provide appropriate grounding of fences that parallel the transmission line. Also, any metal-roofed buildings in proximity to the line will be similarly grounded. This grounding practice is commonly done for transmission lines and will mitigate the shock hazard associated with the induced voltage.

## AA.5.2.3 Induced Current from the Proposed 34.5-kV Underground Line

As stated earlier in this response (AA.2.4.10), the underground $34.5-\mathrm{kV}$ cables do not generate electric fields and will not cause a voltage to appear on fences that parallel the underground circuits. Therefore, the grounding of fences in proximity to the underground lines is unnecessary.

As also stated in AA.2.4.10, underground circuits generate only magnetic fields, and these fields pose no shock hazards to people. The $34.5-\mathrm{kV}$ underground lines will be designed in accordance with current NESC codes and will thereby provide mitigation of magnetic fields where required.

## AA. 6 CONCLUSION

Based on the above information, the Applicant has satisfied the required OAR 345-0210010(1)(aa), and the Council may find that the standard contained in OAR 345-024-0090 has been satisfied.

## AA. 7 REFERENCES

EPRI. 1987. Transmission Line Reference Book, $345-\mathrm{kV}$ and Above. Second Edition revised. Publication No. EL-2500, Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, California.

## Figures



Figure AA-1 Proposed Typical 230-kV Configuration, without 12.47-kV Underbuild Circuit, with OPGW shield wire

600' Ruling Span, 800' Maximum


Figure AA-2 Proposed Typical 230-kV Configuration, with 12.47-kV Underbuild Circuit and OPGW Shield Wire

350' Ruling Span, $400^{\prime}$ Maximum


Figure AA-3 Proposed Typical 500-kV Configuration with OPGW Shield Wire 750' Ruling Span, 800' Maximum


Figure AA-4M Magnetic Field Profile for 230-kV Line without 12.47-kV Underbuild Circuit


Figure AA-4E Electric Field Profile for 230-kV Line without 12.47-kV Underbuild Circuit


Figure AA-5M Magnetic Field Profile for 230-kV Line with 12.47-kV Circuit


Figure AA-5E Electric Field Profile for 230-kV Line with 12.47-kV Circuit


Figure AA-6M Magnetic Field Profile for 500-kV Line with Shield Wire


Figure AA-6E Electric Field Profile for 500-kV line with Shield Wire


Figure AA-7 Magnetic Field Profile for One 34.5-kV Underground Circuit


Figure AA-8 Magnetic Field Profile for Three 34.5-kV Underground Parallel Circuits


Figure AA-9 Radio Interference Profile for 230-kV Overhead Transmission Line


Figure AA-10 Television Interference Profile for 230-kV Overhead Transmission Line

RADIO INTERFERENCE AT 1 MHz
(in db microvolts/meter)


Figure AA-11 Radio Interference Profile for 500-kV Overhead Transmission Line

TELEVISION INTERFERENCE AT 75 MHz
(in db microvolts/meter)


Figure AA-12 Television Interference Profile for 500-kV Overhead Transmission Line

AUDIBLE NOISE (in dba)


Figure AA-13 Audible Noise Interference Profile for 500-kV Overhead Transmission Line

## ATTACHMENT AA-1

 AC Electric and Magnetic Field Analysis<br>

I NPUT DATA LIST

```
******************** 7/ GOL 2007 HILLS WIND 11:14:59RM**************
FIGURE 1 230-KV SHIELDED 795 KCMIL ACSR DRAKE 200 MVA.502A PER PHASE
1,0, 3, 4,0.0, 2.00, 1.00, .00
(ENGLISH UNITS OPTION)
(GRADIENTS ARE COMPUTED BY PROGRAM)
```

PHYSICAL SYSTEM CONSISTS OF 4 CONDUCTORS, OF WHICH 3 ARE ENERGIZED PHASES

1COMBINED OUTPUT OF AUDI BLE NOI SE, RADI O NOI SE, TVI, OZONE CONCENTRATION, GROUND GRADIENT AND MAGNETIC FIELD
FIGURE 1230 -KV SHIELDED 795 KCMIL ACSR DRAKE 200 MVA. 502 A PER PHASE


[^0]




.000078
.017142
.072063
.128221
173321
.206830
.234492
.266582
.297081
.319252
.332315
.338060
.338585
.335605
.330363
.323721
.316265
.308390
.300357
.292340
.284451
.276763
.269318
.262142
.255245
.248631
.242297
.236237
.230440
.224897
.219596
.214526
.209674
.205030
.200582
196320
.192234
.188314
.184550
.180936
.177462
.174121

| 1.900 | .06352 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1.514 | .06242 |
| 1.267 | .05938 |
| 1.262 | .05499 |
| 1.356 | .04981 |
| 1.411 | .04433 |
| 1.393 | .03896 |
| 1.312 | .03395 |
| 1.194 | .02948 |
| 1.061 | .02557 |
| .930 | .02222 |
| .807 | .01937 |
| .698 | .01696 |
| .604 | .01492 |
| .522 | .01319 |
| .453 | .01172 |
| .395 | .01047 |
| .345 | .00939 |
| .303 | .00846 |
| .268 | .00766 |
| .237 | .00696 |
| .212 | .00635 |
| .189 | .00581 |
| .170 | .00534 |
| .154 | .00492 |
| .139 | .00455 |
| .126 | .00421 |
| .115 | .00391 |
| .106 | .00364 |
| .097 | .00340 |
| .089 | .00318 |
| .083 | .00298 |
| .077 | .00280 |
| .071 | .00264 |
| .066 | .00248 |
| .062 | .00234 |
| .058 | .00222 |
| .054 | .00210 |
| .051 | .00199 |
| .048 | .00189 |
| .045 | .00180 |
| .043 | .00171 |

```
INPUT DATA LIST
```


FIGURE 2 230.KV ACSR DRAKE ( $200 \mathrm{MVA}-502 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{ph}$ ) W/12.47.KV UB
$1,0,6,8,0.0,2.00,1.00$

ENGLISH UNITS OPTION)
(GRADIENTS ARE COMPUTED BY PROGRAM)

PHYSICAL SYSTEM CONSISTS OF 8 CONDUCTORS, OF WHICH 6 ARE ENERGIZED PHASES




<br>

I NPUT DATA LIST

```
******************** 7/ GOL 2007 HILLS WIND 14:09:03 FARM*************
FIGURE 3 500.KV SHIELDED 1033 KCMIL ACSR ORTOLAN 200 MVA-231A/PHASE
1,0, 3, 4,0.0, 2.00, 1.00, .00
ENGLISH UNITS OPTION)
(GRADIENTS ARE COMPUTED BY PROGRAM)
```

PHYSICAL SYSTEM CONSISTS OF 4 CONDUCTORS, OF WHICH 3 ARE ENERGIZED PHASES



[^1]



32.
32.
32.0
31.3
30.4
29.6
28.7
27.9
27.1
26.4
25.7
25.2
24.7
24.1
23.6
23.1
22.5
22.0
21.6
21.1
20.6
20.2
19.8
19.4
19.0
18.6
18.3
18.0
17.7
17.4
17.1
16.9
16.6
16.3
16.1
15.8
15.6
15.4
15.1
14.9
14.7
14.5
14.3
000004
009474
077273
177265
264350
330286
379041
418372
461802
510807
557183
594943
622370
640213
650112
653802
652805
648353
641407
632697
622777
612059
600851
589382
577821
566290
554881
543657
532665
521935
511488
501336
491485
481936
472687
463734
455071
446691
438584
430743
423158
415820

| 6. 444 | .02399 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 5.562 | .02338 |
| 4.654 | .02227 |
| 3.946 | .02081 |
| 3.546 | .01916 |
| 3.383 | .01744 |
| 3.309 | .01573 |
| 3.221 | .01410 |
| 3.083 | .01258 |
| 2.896 | .01121 |
| 2.675 | .00998 |
| 2.440 | .00888 |
| 2.205 | .00793 |
| 1.981 | .00709 |
| 1.772 | .00635 |
| 1.583 | .00571 |
| 1.413 | .00516 |
| 1.262 | .00467 |
| 1.129 | .00424 |
| 1.013 | .00386 |
| .910 | .00353 |
| .821 | .00324 |
| .742 | .00298 |
| .673 | .00274 |
| .612 | .00254 |
| .558 | .00235 |
| .511 | .00218 |
| .469 | .00203 |
| .432 | .00190 |
| .399 | .00177 |
| .369 | .00166 |
| .343 | .00156 |
| .319 | .00147 |
| .297 | .00138 |
| .278 | .00130 |
| .260 | .00123 |
| .244 | .00116 |
| .230 | .00110 |
| .217 | .00105 |
| .204 | .00099 |
| .193 | .00095 |
| .183 | .00090 |
| . |  |



I NPUT DATA LIST

FIGURE AA-7 34.5-KV UNDERGROUND, 1000 KCMIL 600A, 4"spacings, 48" DEEP
$1,0,3,3,0.0,2.00,1.00$,
. 00
(ENGLISH UNITS OPTION)
(GRADIENTS ARE COMPUTED BY PROGRAM)
PHYSICAL SYSTEM CONSISTS OF 3 CONDUCTORS, OF WHICH 3 ARE ENERGIZED PHASES


| 41 | $-200.0^{\prime}$ | 5.0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 40 | 5.0 | 5.0 |

1COMBINED OUTPUT OF AUDI BLE NOI SE, RADI O NOI SE, TVI, OZONE CONCENTRATION, GROUND GRADIENT AND MAGNETIC FIELD FIGURE AA-7 34.5-KV UNDERGROUND, $1000 \mathrm{KCMIL} 600 \mathrm{~A}, ~ 4 " s p a c i n g s, 38^{\prime \prime}$ DEEP



|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |

I NPUT DATA LIST


FI GURE AA-8 (3) 34.5 -KV UG, 1000 KCMIL 600A
(ENGLISH UNITS OPTION)
(GRADIENTS ARE COMPUTED BY PROGRAM)
PHYSICAL SYSTEM CONSISTS OF 9 CONDUCTORS, OF WHICH 9 ARE ENERGIZED PHASES


|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DIST. FROM } \\ \text { CENTER OF TOWER } \\ (F E E T) \end{gathered}$ | HEI GHT <br> ( FEET) | MAXI MUM GRADI ENT (KV/CM) | SUBCON DI AM. ( 1 N) | NO. OF SUBCON | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUBCON } \\ & \text { SPACI NG } \\ & (I N) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { VOLTAGE } \\ \text { L.N } \\ (\mathrm{KV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PHASE } \\ \text { ANGLE } \\ \text { (DEGREES) } \end{gathered}$ | CURRENT (kAmps) | CORONA LOSSES ( KW/ MI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CI R1-A | . 17 | 10 | 13.68 | 1.17 | 1 | 00 | 21.92 | 00 | 60 | 1.928 |
| CIR1-B | 00 | 10 | 16. 12 | 1.17 | 1 | 00 | 21.92 | -120.00 | 60 | 5.601 |
| CIR1-C | . 17 | 10 | 13.68 | 1.17 | 1 | 00 | 21.92 | 120.00 | . 60 | 1.928 |
| CI R2-A | -10.17 | 10 | 13.68 | 1.17 | 1 | 00 | 21.92 | 00 | 60 | 1.928 |
| CI R2-B | -10.00 | 10 | 16. 12 | 1.17 | 1 | 00 | 21.92 | -120.00 | 60 | 5.601 |
| CIR2-C | -9.83 | 10 | 13.68 | 1.17 | 1 | 00 | 21.92 | 120.00 | 60 | 1. 929 |
| CIR3-A | 10.17 | 10 | 13.68 | 1.17 | 1 | 00 | 21.92 | . 00 | . 60 | 1.929 |
| CI R3-B | 10.00 | 10 | 16.12 | 1.17 | 1 | 00 | 21.92 | -120.00 | . 60 | 5.601 |
| CIR3.C | 9.83 | 10 | 13.68 | 1.17 | 1 | 00 | 21.92 | 120.00 | 60 | 1.928 |
| AN MIC | NE HT = 5.0 F | RI AN | HT $=5.0$ | T, TV | ANT. HT | FT | TUDE = | 0 |  |  |

RI FREQ= 1.000 MHZ , TV FREQ $=75.000 \mathrm{MHZ}$, WI ND VEL. $(O Z)=2.000 \mathrm{MPH}, \mathrm{GROUND}$ CONDUCTIVITY= $2.0 \mathrm{MMHOS/M}$ RI FREQ $=1.000 \mathrm{MHZ}, \mathrm{TV}$ FREQ= 75.000 MHZ , WIND VEL. $(\mathrm{OZ})=2.000$
E-FIELD TRANSDUCER HT. $=6.3 F T, \quad$ B.FIELD TRANSDUCER HT. $=6.3 F T$

| LATERAL DIST FROM REFERENCE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AUDI BLE } \\ & (\text { RAI N) } \\ & \text { L50 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOI SE } \\ & \text { (FAI R) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RADI O IN } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { RAIN } \\ \text { L50 } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RFERENCE } \\ & \text { (FAI R) } \end{aligned}$ | TVI TOTAL RAI N | 1.00 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OZONE } \\ & \text { FOR RAIN RATE OF } \end{aligned}$ | ELECTRIC | MAGNETIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (FEET) | DBA | DBA | DBUV/M | DBUV/M | DBUV/M |  | M PPB | KVIM | FGEUS |
| - 200.0 | 41.0 | 16.0 | -10. 5 | - 27.5 | 9.9 |  | . 000000 | . 000 | . 00003 |
| -195.0 | 41.1 | 16.1 | -10.2 | - 27.2 | 10.4 |  | .000000 | .000 | . 00004 |
| -190.0 | 41.3 | 16.3 | -9.8 | - 26.8 | 10.9 |  | .000000 | .000 | 00004 |
| -185.0 | 41.4 | 16.4 | - 9.5 | - 26.5 | 11.3 |  | .000000 | .000 | 00004 |
| -180.0 | 41.5 | 16. 5 | -9.1 | - 26.1 | 11.8 |  | .000000 | .000 | 00004 |
| -175.0 | 41.7 | 16.7 | -8.7 | - 25.7 | 12.4 |  | .000000 | 000 | 00005 |
| -170.0 | 41.8 | 16.8 | -8.3 | - 25.3 | 12.9 |  | .000000 | 000 | 00005 |
| -165.0 | 42.0 | 17.0 | -7.9 | - 24.9 | 13.4 |  | .000000 | . 00 | 00005 |
| -160.0 | 42.1 | 17.1 | -7. 5 | - 24.5 | 14.0 |  | .000000 | .000 | 00006 |
| -155.0 | 42.3 | 17. 3 | -7. 0 | - 24.0 | 14.6 |  | . 000000 | .000 | 00006 |
| -150.0 | 42.4 | 17.4 | -6.6 | - 23.6 | 15.2 |  | . 000000 | .000 | 00007 |
| -145.0 | 42.6 | 17.6 | -6.1 | - 23.1 | 15.8 |  | . 000000 | 000 | 00007 |
| -140.0 | 42.8 | 17.8 | - 5.6 | - 22.6 | 16.5 |  | . 000000 | 000 | 00008 |
| -135.0 | 43.0 | 18.0 | -5.0 | - 22.0 | 17. 2 |  | .000000 | 000 | 00008 |
| -130.0 | 43.2 | 18.2 | -4.4 | - 21.4 | 17.9 |  | .000000 | 000 | 00009 |
| -125.0 | 43.3 | 18.3 | -3.8 | - 20.8 | 18.6 |  | .000000 | .000 | 00010 |
| -120.0 | 43.6 | 18.6 | -3.2 | - 20.2 | 19.4 |  | .000000 | .000 | . 00011 |
| -115.0 | 43.8 | 18.8 | - 2.5 | -19.5 | 20.2 |  | .000000 | .001 | 00012 |
| -110.0 | 44.0 | 19.0 | -1.8 | -18.8 | 21.0 |  | .000000 | .001 | 00013 |
| -105.0 | 44.2 | 19.2 | -1.0 | -18.0 | 21.9 |  | .000000 | .001 | 00015 |
| -100.0 | 44.5 | 19.5 | - 2 | -17.2 | 22.8 |  | .000000 | . 01 | 00016 |
| -95.0 | 44.7 | 19.7 | . 7 | -16.3 | 23.8 |  | .000000 | .001 | 00018 |
| -90.0 | 45.0 | 20.0 | 1. 6 | -15.4 | 24.8 |  | .000000 | .001 | 00021 |
| -85.0 | 45.3 | 20.3 | 2.6 | -14.4 | 25.9 |  | . 000000 | . 001 | 00024 |
| -80.0 | 45.6 | 20.6 | 3.7 | -13.3 | 27.1 |  | . 000000 | . 001 | 00027 |
| -75.0 | 45.9 | 20.9 | 4.9 | -12.1 | 28.4 |  | . 000000 | . 001 | . 00032 |
| -70.0 | 46.3 | 21.3 | 6.2 | -10.8 | 29.7 |  | . 000000 | . 001 | 00037 |
| -65.0 | 46.6 | 21.6 | 7. 6 | -9.4 | 31.2 |  | .000000 | .002 | 00045 |
| -60.0 | 47.0 | 22.0 | 9. 1 | -7.9 | 32.8 |  | .000000 | . 002 | .00054 |
| -55.0 | 47. 5 | 22.5 | 10.9 | -6. 1 | 34.6 |  | .000000 | .002 | . 00067 |
| - 50.0 | 48.0 | 23.0 | 12.8 | -4. 2 | 36.5 |  | .000000 | .003 | 00085 |
| - 45.0 | 48.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | -2.0 | 38.7 |  | .000000 | . 004 | 00110 |
| -40.0 | 49.2 | 24.2 | 17.6 | 6 | 41.1 |  | .000000 | .005 | 00149 |
| -35.0 | 49.9 | 24.9 | 20.6 | 3. 6 | 43.9 |  | .000000 | . 006 | 00211 |
| -30.0 | 50.7 | 25.7 | 24.3 | 7. 3 | 47.1 |  | .000000 | 009 | 00318 |
| - 25.0 | 51.8 | 26.8 | 28.9 | 11.9 | 50.9 |  | .000000 | . 014 | 00524 |
| -20.0 | 53.1 | 28.1 | 34.9 | 17.9 | 55.2 |  | .000000 | 023 | 00977 |
| -15.0 | 54.9 | 29.9 | 42.9 | 25.9 | 59.3 |  | 000000 | . 042 | 02035 |
| -10.0 | 56.6 | 31.6 | 49.1 | 32.1 | 61.3 |  | . 000000 | . 049 | 02939 |
| -5.0 | 56.6 | 31.6 | 42.9 | 25.9 | 59.3 |  | 53.008730 | 014 | 01124 |
| 0 | 57.1 | 32.1 | 49.1 | 32.1 | 61.3 |  | 29.199790 | 042 | 03384 |
| 5. 0 | 56.6 | 31.6 | 42.9 | 25.9 | 59.3 |  | 73.612450 | . 025 | . 03910 |
| 10.0 | 56.6 | 31.6 | 49.1 | 32.1 | 61.3 |  | 45.287280 | . 050 | . 03736 |
| 15.0 | 54.9 | 29.9 | 42.9 | 25.9 | 59.3 |  | 86.889720 | . 042 | . 01640 |
| 20.0 | 53.1 | 28.1 | 34.9 | 17.9 | 55.2 |  | 56.637940 | . 023 | 00554 |
| 25.0 | 51.8 | 26.8 | 28.9 | 11.9 | 50.9 |  | 43.822540 | . 014 | 00210 |



## EXHIBIT BB

OTHER INFORMATION
OAR 345-021-0010(1)(bb)
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## BB. 1 OTHER INFORMATION

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(bb) Any other information that the Department requests in the Project order or in a notification regarding expedited review;

Response: No comments were received in response to the Notice of Intent. There is no information in the Project order that is not already addressed in this Application for Site Certificate.

## EXHIBIT CC

## OTHER LEGAL CITATIONS

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(cc)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PageCC. 1 ADDITIONAL STATUTES, RULES, AND ORDINANCES CC-1

## CC. 1 ADDITIONAL STATUTES, RULES, AND ORDINANCES

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(cc) Identification, by legal citation, of all state statutes and administrative rules and local government ordinances containing standards or criteria that the proposed facility must meet for the Council to issue a site certificate, other than statutes, rules and ordinances identified in Exhibit E, and identification of the agencies administering those statutes, administrative rules and ordinances. The applicant shall identify all statutes, administrative rules and ordinances that the applicant knows to be applicable to the proposed facility, whether or not identified in the project order. To the extent not addressed by other materials in the application, the applicant shall include a discussion of how the proposed facility meets the requirements of the applicable statutes, administrative rules and ordinances.

Response: All statutes, rules, ordinances and criteria that the Project must meet for the Council to issue a site certificate have been identified in Exhibit E and are addressed in this Application for Site Certificate.

## EXHIBIT DD

## SPECIFIC STANDARDS <br> OAR 345-021-0010(1)(dd)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS
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## DD. 1 INTRODUCTION

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(dd) If the proposed facility is a facility for which the Council has adopted specific standards, information about the facility providing evidence to support findings by the Council as required by the following rules:

## DD. 2 WIND ENERGY FACILITIES

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(dd)(A) For wind energy facilities, OAR 345-024-0010 [To issue a site certificate for a proposed wind energy facility, the Council must find that the applicant:
(1) Can design, construct and operate the facility to exclude members of the public from close proximity to the turbine blades and electrical equipment.
(2) Can design, construct and operate the facility to preclude structural failure of the tower or blades that could endanger the public safety and to have adequate safety devices and testing procedures designed to warn of impending failure and to minimize the consequences of such failure.]

Response: The Applicant's design will include fencing around all substations and other electrical equipment. The turbine generating equipment will be 80 meters above the ground and access to towers locked and limited to authorized personnel. The collector system will be located at least three feet underground.

During construction, access to the site will be limited to authorized personnel; the general public will be excluded. Authorized visitors to the site will be required to check in with security; construction personnel will be diligent in identifying and excluding non-authorized visitors.

During operations, all electrical components, such as the substations and turbines, will be locked and accessible only by authorized personnel.

Tower and blade design will be by a major wind turbine manufacturer, and the structures will be installed per manufacturer requirements. The turbines have automated cutoff devices to shut the equipment down when the wind is very strong and the turbine reaches the cut-out speed. Periodic inspections of all turbine equipment will be conducted per the manufacturer's specifications.

Each turbine will be equipped with vibration sensing equipment that will shut the turbine down if abnormal levels of vibration are detected. In the unlikely event of a blade defect, the preceding vibration caused by aerodynamic or structural flaws will trigger a shut down in order to prevent the type of failure that might create a personnel hazard.
and -0015 [To issue a site certificate for a proposed wind energy facility, the Council must find that the applicant can design and construct the facility to reduce cumulative adverse environmental effects in the vicinity by practicable measures including, but not limited to, the following:
(1) Using existing roads to provide access to the facility site, or if new roads are needed, minimizing the amount of land used for new roads and locating them to reduce adverse environmental impacts.
(2) Using underground transmission lines and combining transmission routes.
(3) Connecting the facility to existing substations, or if new substations are needed, minimizing the number of new substations.
(4) Designing the facility to reduce the risk of injury to raptors or other vulnerable wildlife in areas near turbines or electrical equipment.
(5) Designing the components of the facility to minimize adverse visual features.
(6) Using the minimum lighting necessary for safety and security purposes and using techniques to prevent casting glare from the site, except as otherwise required by the Federal Aviation Administration or the Oregon Department of Aviation.]

## Response:

Roads: The Applicant will utilize existing county and farm roads for delivery of project components during construction and site access generally. Private roads will be required to be built for access to the turbines. These have been designed to be as short as possible and only wide enough to accommodate the necessary construction and operations traffic.

Underground Collector System: The collector system for the Project will be buried at least 3 feet underground. The Applicant proposes to construct two project substations. The first will transmit 200 MW of power to BPA just north of PPM Energy's Klondike Schoolhouse facilities; the second will transmit 200 MW of power to BPA at the John Day substation. Because of the different destinations for the power, two substations are the minimum number substations needed by the Project.

Existing Substations: Existing substations are miles away from the Project. The Applicant proposes to construct two project substations. The first will transmit 200 MW of power to BPA just north of PPM Energy's Klondike Schoolhouse facilities; the second will transmit 200 MW of power to the BPA's John Day substation. Because of the different destinations for the power, two substations are the minimum number of substation needed by the Project.

Transmission: Transmission from the east project substation to the BPA facility near Klondike Schoolhouse will be combined in the same right-of-way as the Wasco Electric Cooperative. Transmission from the west project substation to the John Day Substation will be adjacent to existing BPA high-voltage transmission lines for as much of the route as possible.

Design to Avoid Risks to Raptors and Other Vulnerable Wildlife: The Project will be designed to minimize raptor injury by adhering to the 1996 Avian Powerline Interaction Committee (APLIC) suggested practices for raptor protection on powerlines. Overall,
the project minimizes impacts to wildlife by minimizing the amount of disturbance in non-agricultural habitats, and provides mitigation according to ODFW habitat mitigation guidelines for unavoidable impacts to habitats.

Minimization of "Adverse Visual Features": The Applicant understands that this standard applies to specific features on components, such as large logos, signs, and other similar details, versus the overall Project. The Project will not include unusual visual features, and signs, logos and similar components will be minimized.

Minimum Lighting Necessary Lighting requirements will be negotiated with the FAA. To minimize the number of lights, the Applicant will propose to place FAA lighting only on turbines at the ends of each string, and on turbines at the highest point within each string.


[^0]:    

[^1]:    

