



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area

Photo Type & Direction
- NHD verification (Photo ID)

IDAHO POWER
An IDACORP Company

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.259
Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps
Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Map Area



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area

Photo Type & Direction
- NHD verification (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.260

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.





0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area

Photo Type & Direction
- NHD verification (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.261
Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps
Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Study Area

Study Area

Photo Type & Direction

Upland swale (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.262

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Study Area

Study Area

Field Delineated Stream

Photo Type & Direction

Stream (Photo ID)

Upland verification (Photo ID)

Flow Direction

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.263

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.





0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area

Photo Type & Direction
- Upland swale (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.264

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area

Photo Type & Direction
- NHD verification (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.265

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.





0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area

Photo Type & Direction
- NHD verification (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.266
Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report
Detail Maps
Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.





0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area
- Field Delineated Stream
- Flow Direction

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.267

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.





0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area
- Field Delineated Stream

Photo Type & Direction
- Stream (Photo ID)
- Flow Direction

IDAHO POWER. An IDACORP Company

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.268

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet



Map Area

0 100
Feet

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Mile

Study Area
- Study Area
- Field Delineated Stream
- Flow Direction

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.269

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary

Study Area
- Study Area
- Field Delineated Stream
- Flow Direction

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.270

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary

Study Area
- Study Area
- Field Delineated Stream
- Flow Direction

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.271

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Study Area
- Study Area
- Field Delineated Stream
- Flow Direction

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.272

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Study Area
- Study Area
- Field Delineated Stream
- Flow Direction

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.273

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Map Area

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route
- Culvert

Study Area
- Study Area
- Field Delineated Stream
- Flow Direction

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.274
Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps
Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.





0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile
- Culvert

Study Area
- Study Area
- Field Delineated Stream

Photo Type & Direction
- Stream (Photo ID)
- Flow Direction

IDAHO POWER An IDACORP Company

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.275

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.





0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area
- Field Delineated Wetland
- Soil Plot

Photo Type & Direction
- Wetland (Photo ID)
- Flow Direction

IDAHO POWER
An IDACORP Company

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.276
Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps
Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Map Area

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area
- Soil Plot

Photo Type & Direction
- NWI verification (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.277

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Culvert

Study Area

Study Area

Field Delineated Stream

Soil Plot

Photo Type & Direction

Stream (Photo ID)

Upland verification (Photo ID)

Flow Direction

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

IDAHO POWER
An IDACORP Company

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.278

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.





0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile
- Culvert

Study Area
- Study Area
- Field Delineated Stream

Photo Type & Direction
- Stream (Photo ID)
- Upland swale (Photo ID)
- Flow Direction

IDAHO POWER An IDACORP Company

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.279
Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps
Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area

Photo Type & Direction
- Upland swale (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.280

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Map Area

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area

Photo Type & Direction
- Upland swale (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.281

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area

Photo Type & Direction
- Upland swale (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.282

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
Site Boundary
Study Area
Study Area
Photo Type & Direction
NHD verification (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.283
Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps
Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Map Area

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area

Photo Type & Direction
- Upland swale (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.284

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.





0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area
- Field Delineated Wetland
- Soil Plot

Photo Type & Direction
- Wetland (Photo ID)

MA_W_0049 is completely contained within the Study Area

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.285

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Map Area

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile
- Culvert

Study Area
- Study Area
- Field Delineated Stream
- Field Delineated Wetland

- Soil Plot

Photo Type & Direction
- Stream (Photo ID)
- Upland swale (Photo ID)
- Flow Direction

MA_W_0049 is completely contained within the Study Area

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.286

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.





0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area

Photo Type & Direction
- Upland swale (Photo ID)

IDAHO POWER
An IDACORP Company

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.287

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary

Study Area
- Study Area
- Field Delineated Stream

Photo Type & Direction
- Stream (Photo ID)
- Flow Direction

IDAHO POWER
An IDACORP Company

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.288
Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps
Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Map Area

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area
- Field Delineated Stream

Photo Type & Direction
- Stream (Photo ID)
- Flow Direction

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.289

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Study Area
- Study Area
- Soil Plot

Photo Type & Direction
- NWI verification (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.290

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

Map Area

0 100
Feet

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area

Photo Type & Direction
- NHD verification (Photo ID)

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.291

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Project Features

Site Boundary

Study Area

Study Area

Photo Type & Direction

NHD verification (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.292

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.





0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Alternative

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area

Photo Type & Direction
- NHD verification (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.293
Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps
Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.





0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Alternative

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area

Photo Type & Direction
- NHD verification (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.294

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.





0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area

Photo Type & Direction
- NHD verification (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.295

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
Site Boundary
Study Area
Study Area
Photo Type & Direction
Upland verification (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.296
Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report
Detail Maps
Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary

Study Area
- Study Area
- Soil Plot

Photo Type & Direction
- NWI verification (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.297
Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps
Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.





0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Mile
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area

Photo Type & Direction
- NHD verification (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.298

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area

Photo Type & Direction
- NHD verification (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.299
Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report
Detail Maps
Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.

0 2,000
Feet

Map Area

0 100
Feet

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area

Photo Type & Direction
- NHD verification (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.300

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.





0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary

Study Area
- Study Area
- Soil Plot

Photo Type & Direction
- Upland verification (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.301

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area
- Field Delineated Stream

Photo Type & Direction
- Stream (Photo ID)
- Flow Direction

IDAHO POWER
An IDACORP Company

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.302

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet



0 100
Feet

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Study Area
- Study Area
- Field Delineated Stream

Photo Type & Direction
- Stream (Photo ID)
- Flow Direction

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.303

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.





0 2,000
Feet

0 100
Feet

Map Area



Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Proposed Route

Mileposts
- Tenth-mile

Study Area
- Study Area
- Field Delineated Wetland
- Soil Plot

Photo Type & Direction
- Wetland (Photo ID)

MApro_446 is completely contained within the Study Area

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.304

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.



0 2,000
Feet

Map Area

0 100
Feet

Project Features

Site Boundary

Study Area

Study Area

Photo Type & Direction

NHD verification (Photo ID)

Boardman to Hemingway
Transmission Line Project
Application for Site Certificate

Figure A-5.305

Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report Detail Maps

Malheur County

Source(s): BLM, IPC, ODFW, ODOT, NPS, USDA, USFS, USGS, Ventyx, Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEys, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo
Image Source: National Agricultural Imagery Program 2016

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 2011 Oregon Statewide Lambert Ft Intl
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Datum: NAD 1983 2011

Notes:
1. Elevation contour lines are not available at this time and will be added at a later date.
2. Access information was compiled from tax lot data and right of entry consent obtained from Land Services, Inc.
3. Wetland and stream channel boundary, plot, and bank location were collected using survey grade GPS devices and post-processed to remove additional error. Mapped features are within +/- 1 meter of ground location.

* All delineated wetlands and other waters are considered to extend outside of the study areas unless otherwise noted. Delineated wetlands and other waters that are completely contained within the study areas are noted in the figure legend by their feature names.

This page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX B
FEATURE TABLES

This page intentionally left blank

Table B-1. Wetland Features Delineated

Feature Name	County	Survey Year	Nearest Mile Post	Map Number	Latitude	Longitude	Cowardin Class	HGM	Sample Plots	Photo Number	Additional Notes	Acres Delineated
BA_BR_W_428	Baker	2013	166	A-5.174	44.64663	-117.550731	PSS	Riverine Flow Through	BA_BR_W_428a, b	P233	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.11
BA_BR_W_446	Baker	2013	173.6	A-5.190	44.55983	-117.508669	PEM	Riverine flow through	BA_BR_W_446d, c	P250	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland extends outside of the study area to the north and south.	0.22
BA_BR_W_451	Baker	2013	173.9	A-5.192	44.55652	-117.505398	PEMG	Slope Headwater	BA_BR_W_451a, b	P253	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.02
BA_FL_POND_300	Baker	2016	164.3	A-5.162	44.66566	-117.574081	PUBFh	Riverine Flow Through	BA_FL_W_302a, b	P212	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Occurs entirely inside study area. PEM wetland BA_FL_W_305 abuts the pond to the north. Pond and adjacent wetlands are part of the Straw Ranch Creek system.	0.14
BA_FL_POND_301	Baker	2016	164.9	A-5.167	44.65463	-117.575559	PABFh	Riverine Flow Through	NA	P220	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Occurs entirely inside study area. Narrow fringe of PEM wetland (BA_FL_W_302) surrounds the pond. Pond and adjacent wetlands are connected to Straw Ranch Creek.	0.30
BA_FL_W_011	Baker	2016	149.3	A-5.139	44.78671	-117.768512	PEMB	Slope	BA_FL_W_011a, b	P184	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.01
BA_FL_W_012	Baker	2016	150.9	A-5.147	44.7603	-117.769082	PEMB	Slope	BA_FL_W_012a, b	P192	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland extends outside of the study area to the northwest.	0.21
BA_FL_W_300	Baker	2016	166.1	A-5.176	44.64388	-117.558936	PEM	Slope	BA_FL_W_300a, b	P234	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in soils and vegetation. Wetland hydrology was not present but is assumed based on wetland veg and soils, and due to late season site visit. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.38
BA_FL_W_301	Baker	2016	166.1	A-5.176	44.64417	-117.559232	PEM/PSS	Riverine Flow Through	BA_FL_W_301a, b	P235	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland extends outside of the study area to the north and south.	0.04

Feature Name	County	Survey Year	Nearest Mile Post	Map Number	Latitude	Longitude	Cowardin Class	HGM	Sample Plots	Photo Number	Additional Notes	Acres Delineated
BA_FL_W_302	Baker	2016	164.3	A-5.162	44.66578	-117.574111	PEM	Depressional	BA_FL_W_302a, b	P210	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland extends outside of the study area to the northeast.The wetland surrounds pond feature BA_FL_POND_300. Pond and adjacent wetlands are part of the Straw Ranch Creek system.	0.13
BA_FL_W_303	Baker	2016	164.3	A-5.162	44.66504	-117.573821	PEM	Riverine Flow Through	BA_FL_W_303a, b	P211	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Occurs entirely inside study area. Wetlands is part of the Straw Ranch Creek system.	0.35
BA_FL_W_304	Baker	2016	164.4	A-5.162 - A-5.164	44.66239	-117.573462	PEM	Riverine Flow Through	BA_FL_W_304a, b	P213	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Occurs entirely inside study area. Wetlands is part of the Straw Ranch Creek system.	0.37
BA_FL_W_305	Baker	2016	164.7	A-5.165 - A-5.167	44.65546	-117.575005	PEM	Riverine Flow Through	BA_FL_W_305a, b, c, d	P216	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Occurs entirely inside study area. Wetlands is part of the Straw Ranch Creek system.	0.85
BA_W_0101	Baker	2016	161.8	A-5.160	44.68147	-117.6135287	PUSCh	Depressional	BA_W_0101	P207	Boundary determination method: delineated the top of bank of the pond berm. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.05
BA_WT_POND_001	Baker	2016	174	A-5.192	44.55658	-117.502542	PUBCh	Depressional	NA	P259	Boundary determination method: OHWL based on sediment/staining/drift line around perimeter of the pond.	0.92
BA_WT_W_009	Baker	2016	173.5	A-5.191	44.55657	-117.513866	PEM	Slope	BA_WT_W_099a, b, c, d	P248	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland extends outside of the study area to the northeast.	0.19
BA_WT_W_010	Baker	2016	174	A-5.193	44.55428	-117.504485	PEM	Slope	BA_WT_W_010a, b	P258	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.00
BA_WT_W_013	Baker	2016	187.7	A-5.238	44.41032	-117.333989	PEM	Slope	BA_WT_013a, b	P294	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.12
BA_WT_W_014	Baker	2016	187.7	A-5.238	44.40965	-117.334341	PEMB	Slope	BA_WT_W_014a, b	P296	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.25
BA_WT_W_202	Baker	2016	173.8	A-5.201	44.53922	-117.526557	PEM	Riverine flow through	BA_WT_W_202a, b	P251	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland extends outside of the study area to the south.	0.07
BA_WT_W_204	Baker	2016	173.9	A-5.200	44.53869	-117.522601	PEM	Slope	BA_WT_W_204a, b	P257	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland extends outside of the study area to the south.	0.27

Feature Name	County	Survey Year	Nearest Mile Post	Map Number	Latitude	Longitude	Cowardin Class	HGM	Sample Plots	Photo Number	Additional Notes	Acres Delineated
BA_WT_W_205	Baker	2016	174.1	A-5.202	44.5391	-117.517529	PEM	Slope	BA_WT_205a, b	P260	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.07
BA_WT_W_206	Baker	2016	174.2	A-5.203	44.54021	-117.513843	PEM	Riverine flow through	BA_WT_W_206a, b	P265	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland extends outside of the study area to the south.	0.25
BA_WT_W_207	Baker	2016	185.2	A-5.229 - A-5.231	44.44839	-117.333462	PEM	Slope	BA_WT_W_207a, b	P287	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, soils, and vegetation. Wetland extends outside of the study area to the north and east.	7.96
BA_WT_W_305	Baker	2016	179.6	A-5.215	44.49304	-117.428763	PEM	Slope	BA_WT_W_305a, b	P276	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.01
BA_WT_W_306	Baker	2016	179.6	A-5.215	44.49241	-117.428627	PEM	Slope	BA_WT_W_305a, b	P277	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.02
MA_TM_460	Malheur	2013	217.5	A-5.276	44.06537	-117.315462	PEMA	Riverine	MA_TM_460a, b	P336	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland extends outside of the study area to the northeast.	0.16
MA_W_0049	Malheur	2011	226.3	A-5.285, A-5.286	44.03593	-117.480576	PEM	Riverine flow through	MA_W_0094a, b, e, g	P350	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Occurs entirely inside study area.	0.15
MApro_446	Malheur	2012	269.3	A-5.304	43.5624	-117.049645	PEM	Riverine flow through	MApro_446a, b, c, d	P376	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.18
MO_EB_W_411	Morrow	2013	1.3	A-5.1	45.83094	-119.622725	PFO/PSS/PEMHh	Depressional	MO_EB_W_411a, b	P1	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland extends outside of the study area to the east.	4.39
UM_W_0031	Umatilla	2011	83.4	A-5.32	45.48088	-118.467492	PEM	Riverine flow through	UM_W_0031a, b, c, d, e, f, g	P37	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland extends outside of the study area to the southwest.	0.41
UN_LM_POND_300	Union	2016	121.9	A-5.108	45.12667	-117.937497	PUBFh	NA	NA	P141	Boundary determination method: OHWL based on sediment/staining around perimeter of the pond. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.17
UN_LM_W_300	Union	2016	119.5	A-5.97	45.15603	-117.969628	PEM	Slope	UN_LM_W_300a, b	P127	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland extends outside of the study area to the north.	0.04
UN_LM_W_301	Union	2016	119.5	A-5.97, A-5.98	45.15533	-117.970147	PEM	Slope	UN_LM_W_301a, b	P128	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.29

Feature Name	County	Survey Year	Nearest Mile Post	Map Number	Latitude	Longitude	Cowardin Class	HGM	Sample Plots	Photo Number	Additional Notes	Acres Delineated
UN_LM_W_302	Union	2016	119.4	A-5.98	45.15427	-117.971647	PEM	Slope	UN_LM_W_302a, b	P124	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.01
UN_LM_W_303	Union	2016	119.6	A-5.93 - A-5.95	45.16026	-117.964031	PEM	Riverine Flow Through	UN_LM_W_303a, b	P132	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland extends outside of the study area to the north.	0.83
UN_LM_W_304	Union	2016	119.6	A-5.93 - A-5.95	45.16235	-117.963618	PEMx	Riverine Flow Through	UN_LM_W_304a, b	P129	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland extends outside the study area to the east.	0.22
UN_MC_W_001	Union	2016	115.3	A-5.61	45.20223	-118.006502	PEM	Depressional	UN_MC_W_001a, b	P112	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.01
UN_MC_W_002	Union	2016	115.5	A-5.63	45.19783	-118.014001	PEM	Riverine Flow Through	UN_MC_W_002a, b	P116	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland extends outside the study area to the south.	0.18
UN_MC_W_003	Union	2016	115.4	A-5.63	45.19856	-118.014412	PEM	Riverine Flow Through	UN_MC_W_003a, b	P117	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.04
UN_MC_W_018	Union	2016	104	A-5.49, A-5.50	45.31568	-118.15118	PEM	Slope	UN_MC_W_018a, b	P99	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	1.59
UN_MC_W_019	Union	2016	104.4	A-5.51	45.31546	-118.143458	PEM	Slope	UN_MC_W_019a, b	P101	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.58
UN_MC_W_302	Union	2016	116.2	A-5.64	45.18877	-118.000239	PEM	Riverine flow through	UN_MC_W_302a, b	P120	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.01
UN_MC_W_303	Union	2016	116.3	A-5.64	45.18865	-118.000594	PEM	Slope	UN_MC_W_303a, b	P122	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.01
UN_ML_W_004	Union	2016	6.3	A-5.77	45.29027	-118.149137	PEM	Depressional	UN_ML_W_004a, b	P65	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland extends outside the study area to the east.	0.98
UN_ML_W_005	Union	2016	5.7	A-5.76	45.29332	-118.159092	PEM	Slope	UN_ML_W_005c, d	P61	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland extends outside the study area to the south.	0.60
UN_ML_W_006	Union	2016	5.6	A-5.75	45.29427	-118.161878	PEM	Slope	UN_ML_W_006a, b	P57	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.24

Feature Name	County	Survey Year	Nearest Mile Post	Map Number	Latitude	Longitude	Cowardin Class	HGM	Sample Plots	Photo Number	Additional Notes	Acres Delineated
UN_ML_W_008	Union	2016	5.4	A-5.74	45.29425	-118.166321	PEM	Slope	UN_ML_W_008a, b	P56	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.02
UN_ML_W_015	Union	2016	4.5	A-5.65 - A-5.68	45.31018	-118.172512	PEM	Riverine flow through	UN_ML_W_015a, b	P50	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.66
UN_ML_W_016	Union	2016	4.5	A-5.69	45.3111	-118.171279	PEM	Slope	UN_ML_W_016a, b	P51	Boundary determination method: abrupt break in topography, hydrology, soils, and vegetation. Wetland occurs entirely inside study area.	0.04

Table B-2. Non-Wetland Water Features Delineated

Feature Name	County	Survey Year	Nearest Mile Post	Map Number	Latitude	Longitude	Cowardin Class	Flow Direction	OHWL Width	OHWL Height	Photo Number	Additional Notes
BA_BR_029	Baker	2013	169.8	A-5.184	44.588623	-117.510633	Ephemeral	South	1.5	0.5	P241	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south. Feature flows into study area. Channel is bordered by upland plant community: sagebrush and juniper.
BA_BR_416	Baker	2013	167.3	A-5.177 - A-5.178	44.629288	-117.542265	Ephemeral	North	2	0.25	P237	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature flows into/out of study area. Channel is bordered by upland plant community: sagebrush and juniper.
BA_BR_461	Baker	2013	169.7	A-5.181	44.5972	-117.530231	Ephemeral	SE	2	0.25	P240	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is southeast. Feature flows into/out of study area.
BA_FL_008	Baker	2013	150.9	A-5.147	44.759973	-117.768954	Intermittent	NW	1	0.1	P193	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature entirely within the study area. Source of the flow is overflow from a stock tank.
BA_FL_035	Baker	2013	156	A-5.153	44.707713	-117.723355	Ephemeral	NW	5	0.1	P201	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is northwest. Feature entirely within the study area.
BA_FL_040	Baker	2013	156.1	A-5.153	44.70656	-117.721517	Ephemeral	NW	3	0.5	P202	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is northwest. Feature entirely within the study area. Roadside ditch is ~3' wide.
BA_FL_STRM_021	Baker	2016	144.4	A-5.131	44.839744	-117.749969	Intermittent	North	8	3	P172	Channel is single thread, incised irrigation ditch. Flow direction is north. Feature flows into/out of study area.
BA_FL_STRM_022	Baker	2016	144.4	A-5.131	44.83968	-117.751804	Intermittent	West	2	1	P173	Channel is single thread, incised irrigation ditch. Flow direction is west. Feature originates in and flows out of study area.
BA_FL_STRM_023	Baker	2016	149.1	A-5.142	44.784168	-117.758209	Perennial	North	4	0.6	P183	Channel is single thread. Spring fed stream. Impacted by cattle use. Flow direction is north. Feature originates in and flows out of study area.
BA_FL_STRM_024	Baker	2016	150.2	A-5.135	44.782588	-117.800653	Intermittent	North	10	5	P189	Channel is single thread. Irrigation canal flow direction is north. Feature flows into/out of study area.
BA_FL_STRM_300	Baker	2016	165.5	A-5.172	44.647517	-117.56588	Perennial	West	3	0.5	P229	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is west. Flows out of the study area. Stream origin is a channelized hillside seep. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil. Two segments inside study area. Stream briefly goes subsurface then continues west outside the study area.
BA_FL_STRM_301	Baker	2016	165.5	A-5.171	44.648872	-117.565851	Perennial	SW	6	1	P230	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is southwest. Flows into/out of the study area. Incised channel ~6 feet wide. Delineated the centerline of the stream. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.

Feature Name	County	Survey Year	Nearest Mile Post	Map Number	Latitude	Longitude	Cowardin Class	Flow Direction	OHWL Width	OHWL Height	Photo Number	Additional Notes
BA_FL_STRM_302	Baker	2016	165.4	A-5.171	44.648975	-117.565702	Perennial	North	6	2	P224	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Flows into/out of the study area. Incised channel ~6 feet wide. Delineated the centerline of the stream. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
BA_FL_STRM_303	Baker	2016	165.4	A-5.171	44.649567	-117.565691	Ephemeral	East	3	1	P225	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is east. Roadside ditch is ~3' wide. Feature flows into/out of the study area.
BA_FL_STRM_304	Baker	2016	165.4	A-5.171	44.649255	-117.565587	Ephemeral	South	3	1	P226	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south. Roadside ditch is ~3' wide. Feature originates in, and flows out of the study area.
BA_FL_STRM_305	Baker	2016	164.2	A-5.161, A-5.162	44.666562	-117.575196	Ephemeral	South	3	1	P208	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south. Feature entirely within the study area.
BA_FL_STRM_306	Baker	2016	164.6	A-5.164, A-5.165	44.660363	-117.573324	Perennial	South	6	1	P215	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south. Feature entirely within the study area flows through a stand of aspens. Incised channel ~6 feet wide. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil. Wetland BA_FL_W_304 is immediately north of the stream, and wetland BA_FL_W_305 is immediately south of the stream.
BA_FL_STRM_307	Baker	2016	164.9	A-5.167, A-5.169	44.65414	-117.576014	Perennial	South	6	1	P218	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south. Feature entirely within the study area. Incised channel ~6 feet wide. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil. Stream flows from BA_FL_POND_301. Highly degraded from cattle use.
BA_FL_STRM_308	Baker	2016	164.9	A-5.169	44.653323	-117.576132	Ephemeral	South	6	1	P219	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south. Feature entirely within the study area. Stream is a continuation of BA_FL_STRM_307 where flow becomes ephemeral. Highly degraded from cattle use.
BA_FL_STRM_309	Baker	2016	160.7	A-5.155 - A-5.159	44.682798	-117.635273	Ephemeral	South	3	0.5	P205	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south. Feature originates in/flows out of the study area.
BA_FL_STRM_310	Baker	2016	160.8	A-5.156	44.684599	-117.634371	Ephemeral	SW	3	0.5	P204	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is southwest. Feature flows into the study area.
BA_LM_STRM_200	Baker	2016	133.8	A-5.116	44.979969	-117.828345	Ephemeral	North	6	1.5	P157	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature flows into/out of study area.
BA_LM_STRM_202	Baker	2016	133.6	A-5.115	44.985315	-117.819174	Ephemeral	North	10	0.5	P155	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature flows into/out of study area.
BA_LM_STRM_203	Baker	2016	133.7	A-5.117	44.978805	-117.83478	Ephemeral	North	2	1	P156	Channel is single thread. Drainage ditch. Flow direction is north. Feature flows into/out of the study area.

Feature Name	County	Survey Year	Nearest Mile Post	Map Number	Latitude	Longitude	Cowardin Class	Flow Direction	OHWL Width	OHWL Height	Photo Number	Additional Notes
BA_LM_STRM_205	Baker	2016	136.9	A-5.123	44.940754	-117.792389	Ephemeral	East	3	0.5	P163	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is east. Feature flows into/out of study area.
BA_LM_STRM_206	Baker	2016	135.7	A-5.121	44.95706	-117.80244	Ephemeral	SE	3	0.5	P161	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is southeast. Feature flows into/out of study area.
BA_WT_STRM_017	Baker	2016	174.1	A-5.192, A-5.193	44.554833	-117.504051	Perennial	NE	5	0.8	P261	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is northeast. Feature flows into/out of study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
BA_WT_STRM_018	Baker	2016	174.1	A-5.188, A-5.189	44.559104	-117.497933	Perennial	NW	2	1	P262	Powell Creek. Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature flows into/out of study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
BA_WT_STRM_019	Baker	2016	174.1	A-5.188	44.559625	-117.498141	Intermittent	North	1	1	P263	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature originates in, and flows out of study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
BA_WT_STRM_020	Baker	2016	173.9	A-5.187	44.563104	-117.498689	Intermittent	NW	5	1	P254	Intermittent portion of BA_WT_STRM_18. Channel is single thread. Flow direction is northwest. Feature flows into/out of study area.
BA_WT_STRM_026	Baker	2016	187.4	A-5.237	44.413751	-117.33294	Ephemeral	East	1	0.5	P293	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is east. Feature flows into/out of study area.
BA_WT_STRM_027	Baker	2016	188.4	A-5.240	44.399438	-117.339338	Perennial	NE	5	1	P298	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is northeast. Feature flows into/out of study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil. Unimproved road crosses the stream at a ford.
BA_WT_STRM_028	Baker	2016	190.7	A-5.245, A-5.246	44.373784	-117.302139	Perennial	NE	5	1.5	P304	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is east. Feature flows into/out of study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
BA_WT_STRM_029	Baker	2016	191.3	A-5.247	44.366426	-117.296639	Intermittent	East	4	1	P305	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is east. Feature flows into/out of study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
BA_WT_STRM_211	Baker	2016	173.8	A-5.201	44.539269	-117.526555	Intermittent	South	2	0.5	P252	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south through wetland BA_WT_W_202. Feature flows into/out of study area.
BA_WT_STRM_212	Baker	2016	174.1	A-5.202	44.53897	-117.518188	Intermittent	NE	2	0.5	P264	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is northeast. Flows into the study area, and into wetland BA_WT_W_205

Feature Name	County	Survey Year	Nearest Mile Post	Map Number	Latitude	Longitude	Cowardin Class	Flow Direction	OHWL Width	OHWL Height	Photo Number	Additional Notes
BA_WT_STRM_213	Baker	2016	174	A-5.194 - A-5.200	44.544537	-117.514686	Intermittent	North	3	0.5	P255	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature flows into/out of study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil. North of the photo point the stream is bounded by steep slopes.
BA_WT_STRM_214	Baker	2016	173.9	A-5.199	44.54133	-117.520906	Intermittent	East	2	0.5	P256	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is east. Feature flows into study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
BA_WT_STRM_215	Baker	2016	176.6	A-5.205 - A-5.210	44.523955	-117.460454	Perennial	East	2.5	1	P266	French Creek. Channel is single thread. Flow direction is east. Flows into/out of the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
BA_WT_STRM_216	Baker	2016	177.3	A-5.211	44.520196	-117.456759	Intermittent	East	2	1.5	P270	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is east. Feature flows into/out of study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
BA_WT_STRM_218	Baker	2016	178.8	A-5.214	44.499517	-117.440272	Perennial	North	6	1.5	P273	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature flows into/out of study area. Impacted by cattle use. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
BA_WT_STRM_219	Baker	2016	178.8	A-5.213	44.505656	-117.436176	Ephemeral	North	6	0.5	P272	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature flows into/out of study area.
BA_WT_STRM_220	Baker	2016	182.8	A-5.216 - A-5.226	44.463342	-117.374996	Ephemeral	South	2.5	1	P282	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south. Feature flows into the study area. Upper and lower segments of EPH channel separated by approximately 260 feet with no channel present.
BA_WT_STRM_224	Baker	2016	169.8	A-5.184 - A-5.186	44.586798	-117.509289	Ephemeral	SE	3	1	P243	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is southeast. Feature flows into/out of study area.
BA_WT_STRM_225	Baker	2016	170.4	A-5.182, A-5.183	44.586758	-117.536835	Perennial	NE	4	1	P246	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is northeast. Feature flows into/out of study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
BA_WT_STRM_226	Baker	2016	170.4	A-5.182	44.587736	-117.535964	Ephemeral	East	3	0.5	P245	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is east. Feature flows into/out of study area.
BA_WT_STRM_228	Baker	2016	183	A-5.220, A-5.221, A-5.227	44.464966	-117.371436	Ephemeral	SW	1.5	5	P281	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is southwest. Feature flows into/out of study area.
BA_WT_STRM_307	Baker	2016	179.4	A-5.215	44.49287	-117.43232	Ephemeral	North	3	0.5	P274	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature flows into/out of the study area.

Feature Name	County	Survey Year	Nearest Mile Post	Map Number	Latitude	Longitude	Cowardin Class	Flow Direction	OHWL Width	OHWL Height	Photo Number	Additional Notes
BA_WT_STRM_308	Baker	2016	179.6	A-5.215	44.492662	-117.428828	Ephemeral	North	3	0.5	P278	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature entirely within the study area.
MA_S_0182	Malheur	2011	231.6	A-5.289	43.962797	-117.472789	Intermittent	East	40	10	P354	Vale Oregon Main Canal. Channel is single thread. Flow direction is east. Feature flows into/out of the study area. Delineation top of bank of the canal.
MA_TM_001	Malheur	2013	226.8	A-5.288	44.026945	-117.477585	Ephemeral	South	1.5	0.25	P353	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south. Feature flows into/out of the study area.
MA_TM_005	Malheur	2013	226.3	A-5.286	44.034792	-117.480328	Intermittent	South	3	0.5	P349	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south. Feature originates in and flows out of the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
MA_TM_405	Malheur	2013	205.3	A-5.252	44.190295	-117.203826	Ephemeral	SE	3	0.5	P314	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south. Feature flows into the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
MA_TM_427	Malheur	2013	212.4	A-5.263	44.109034	-117.233009	Ephemeral	North	3	0.25	P327	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature flows into the study area.
MA_TM_452	Malheur	2013	218.1	A-5.278	44.06746	-117.325233	Intermittent	East	8	2	P340	Channel is single thread. Constructed irrigation canal. Flow direction is east. Feature flows into/out of the study area. Delineated top of bank of the canal.
MA_TM_454	Malheur	2013	218.2	A-5.279	44.061243	-117.325217	Intermittent	East	6	2	P341	Channel is single thread. Constructed irrigation canal. Flow direction is east. Feature flows into/out of the study area. Delineated top of bank of the canal. Fringe wetland along both banks of the canal below top of bank.
MA_TM_465	Malheur	2013	217.3	A-5.274, A-5.275	44.068575	-117.312565	Intermittent	East	15	4	P335	Channel is single thread, constructed irrigation canal. Flow direction is east. Feature flows into/out of the study area. Delineated top of bank of the canal.
MA_TM_481	Malheur	2013	215.9	A-5.268 - A-5.273	44.075426	-117.288117	Perennial	South	20	4	P332	Willow Creek. Channel is single thread. Functions as a straighten irrigation canal. Flow direction is south. Feature flows into/out of the study area. Delineated top of bank of the canal.
MA_TM_482	Malheur	2013	215.8	A-5.268	44.076648	-117.285409	Intermittent	South	8	3	P333	Channel is single thread. Constructed irrigation canal. Flow direction is south. Feature flows into the study area. Delineated top of bank of the canal.
MA_TM_483	Malheur	2013	215.8	A-5.267, A-5.268, A-5.272, A-5.273	44.075262	-117.284412	Ephemeral	South	3	1	P334	Channel is single thread. Constructed irrigation canal. Flow direction is south. Feature flows into the study area.

Feature Name	County	Survey Year	Nearest Mile Post	Map Number	Latitude	Longitude	Cowardin Class	Flow Direction	OHWL Width	OHWL Height	Photo Number	Additional Notes
MApro_435	Malheur	2012	267	A-5.303	43.586445	-117.076509	Intermittent	East	25	10	P373	Succor Creek Syphon. Channel is single thread, concrete lined irrigation canal. Flow direction is east. Feature flows into/out of the study area. Delineated top of bank.
MApro_437	Malheur	2012	267.2	A-5.302	43.586259	-117.072835	Intermittent	East	30	10	P374	Succor Creek Syphon. Channel is single thread, concrete lined irrigation canal. Flow direction is east. Feature flows into the study area via underground tunnel and flows out of the study area. Delineated top of bank.
MO_SW_STRM_001	Morrow	2016	34.1	A-5.13	45.548174	-119.305114	Ephemeral	SW	3.5	0.5	P13	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is southwest. Feature is contained entirely inside the study area.
MO_SW_STRM_300	Morrow	2016	34.2	A-5.12	45.54512	-119.308491	Perennial	West	30	4	P15	Butter Creek. Channel is single thread. Flow direction is west. Feature flows into/out of the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
MO_SW_STRM_301	Morrow	2016	34.8	A-5.14	45.539378	-119.296081	Ephemeral	East	3	0.25	P16	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is east. Feature entirely within the study area.
UM_AS_STRM_300	Umatilla	2016	90.1	A-5.39	45.430838	-118.362338	Ephemeral	NE	3	0.5	P44	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is northeast. Feature flows into/out of the study area.
UM_S_0145	Umatilla	2011	83.4	A-5.32	45.480978	-118.467245	Perennial	NE	5	3	P38	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is northeast. Feature flows into/out of the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
UM_SW_STRM_002	Umatilla	2016	67.6	A-5.28, A-5.29	45.422031	-118.741643	Ephemeral	North	3	0.6	P35	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature entirely within the study area. Features drains to an impounded cattle watering hole at north end of channel. Water hole is outside of the study area.
UM_SW_STRM_004	Umatilla	2016	59.7	A-5.21	45.404302	-118.894864	Perennial	North	40	5	P25	West Birch Creek. Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature flows into/out of the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
UM_SW_STRM_007	Umatilla	2016	50.5	A-5.17	45.427214	-119.082191	Ephemeral	West	6	0.5	P19	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is west. Feature entirely within the study area.
UM_SW_STRM_008	Umatilla	2016	36.9	A-5.16	45.527181	-119.212949	Perennial	West	15	4.5	P18	Butter Creek. Incised channel is single thread. Flow direction is west. Feature flows into/out of the study area. Top of bank was delineated.
UM_SW_STRM_009	Umatilla	2016	61	A-5.22	45.410314	-118.867223	Ephemeral	East	3	0.75	P26	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is east. Feature flows into/out of the study area.

Feature Name	County	Survey Year	Nearest Mile Post	Map Number	Latitude	Longitude	Cowardin Class	Flow Direction	OHWL Width	OHWL Height	Photo Number	Additional Notes
UM_SW_STRM_010	Umatilla	2016	62.4	A-5.24	45.441391	-118.838074	Ephemeral	North	10	2	P28	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north across the access road. Feature flows into/out of the study area. No culvert at road crossing. Downstream of road the channel is heavily eroded. Upstream of the road the channel is narrow and incised.
UM_SW_STRM_013	Umatilla	2016	64.2	A-5.27	45.408632	-118.802476	Perennial	NW	28	4	P33	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is northwest. Feature flows into/out of the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
UM_SW_STRM_016	Umatilla	2016	67.5	A-5.30	45.399844	-118.742773	Ephemeral	South	16	1.6	P34	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south. Feature flows into/out of the study area. Runoff enters the site from the north via a culvert under Birch Creek Road. Channel abruptly ends just south of the study area.
UN_LM_STRM_209	Union	2016	122	A-5.108, A-5.109	45.125883	-117.937297	Ephemeral	SE	1	0.5	P143	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is southeast. Feature flows into the study area.
UN_LM_STRM_210	Union	2016	122	A-5.109 - A-5.110	45.125985	-117.933917	Intermittent	SW	3	0.5	P144	Channel is single thread and incised. Flow direction is southwest. Feature flows into the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
UN_LM_STRM_226	Union	2016	122.1	A-5.109 - A.-5.110	45.126348	-117.932482	Ephemeral	SW	2.5	1	P146	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is southwest. Feature flows into the study area.
UN_LM_STRM_227	Union	2016	122.2	A-5.110	45.126139	-117.931905	Ephemeral	SW	2	0.5	P148	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is southwest. Feature flows into the study area.
UN_LM_STRM_228	Union	2016	122	A-5.109	45.126316	-117.934902	Ephemeral	SW	2	0.5	P145	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is southwest. Feature flows into the study area.
UN_LM_STRM_300	Union	2016	119.4	A-5.98	45.154184	-117.971588	Perennial	South	1.5	0.5	P125	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south. Feature flows into/out of the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
UN_LM_STRM_301	Union	2016	120.6	A-5.102	45.140223	-117.957907	Ephemeral	South	3	1	P136	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south. Feature flows into the study area.
UN_LM_STRM_302	Union	2016	121	A-5.103 - A.5-105	45.133323	-117.958757	Ephemeral	West	6	0.5	P138	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is west. Feature flows into the study area.
UN_LM_STRM_303	Union	2016	119.6	A-5.94, A-5.95	45.160959	-117.963907	Ephemeral	North	3	0.75	P131	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature flows into the study area from a culvert under I-84. Segments of unvegetated channel connect components of wetland UN_LM_W_303.

Feature Name	County	Survey Year	Nearest Mile Post	Map Number	Latitude	Longitude	Cowardin Class	Flow Direction	OHWL Width	OHWL Height	Photo Number	Additional Notes
UN_MC_STRM_001	Union	2016	97	A-5.44, A-5.45	45.353869	-118.279829	Intermittent	South	3	0.6	P91	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south. Feature flows into/out of the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
UN_MC_STRM_002	Union	2016	97.1	A-5.46	45.352552	-118.277167	Ephemeral	South	3	0.6	P92	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south. Feature flows into/out of the study area.
UN_MC_STRM_003	Union	2016	97.1	A-5.46	45.351971	-118.27705	Ephemeral	SW	3	0.6	P93	Channel is single thread and incised. Flow direction is southwest. Feature flows into/out of the study area.
UN_MC_STRM_004	Union	2016	97.2	A-5.46	45.351915	-118.275611	Ephemeral	NW	4	0.6	P94	Channel is single thread and incised. Cobble and gravel substrate. Flow direction is northwest. Feature flows into the study area and connects to UN_MC_STRM_003.
UN_MC_STRM_005	Union	2016	98.4	A-5.47	45.339391	-118.259187	Intermittent	SE	4	0.75	P95	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is southeast. Feature flows into the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
UN_MC_STRM_006	Union	2016	98.4	A-5.47	45.339583	-118.258688	R4	Southwest	3	0.75	P96	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is southwest. Feature flows into/out of the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
UN_MC_STRM_011	Union	2016	115.3; 115.5	A-5.59 - A-5.63	45.203797; 45.1997804; 45.198409	-118.006152; -118.0098682; -118.012582	R4	South; Southwest; West;	2	1	P110; P113; P111; P118	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is southwest. Feature flows into/out of the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil. Three photo point locations on stream UN_MC_STRM_011.
UN_MC_STRM_012	Union	2016	115.5	A-5.63	45.198106	-118.014347	R3	North	20	3.5	P119	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature flows into/out of the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
UN_MC_STRM_300	Union	2016	116.2	A-5.64	45.188803	-118.000338	R3	North	10	2.5	P123	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature flows into/out of the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
UN_MC_STRM_301	Union	2016	110.9	A-5.56	45.257965	-118.049772	R4	East	4	1	P107	Channel is single thread and incised. Flow direction is east. Feature flows into/out of the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.

Feature Name	County	Survey Year	Nearest Mile Post	Map Number	Latitude	Longitude	Cowardin Class	Flow Direction	OHWL Width	OHWL Height	Photo Number	Additional Notes
UN_ML_STRM_007	Union	2016	2	A-5.65	45.317472	-118.22105	EPHEMERAL	NW;N	6	2	P45	Channel is single thread. Feature consists of a roadside ditch along Rock Creek Road, and continues as a single channel north of the road. Stream segments are connect by a culvert under the road. Flow direction is northwest and north. Feature flows into the study area.
UN_ML_STRM_013	Union	2016	5.5; 5.6	A-5.73 - A-5.75	45.294311; 45.293915	-118.165605; -118.161477	EPHEMERAL	NW	2	0.6; 1	P58; P60	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is northwest. Feature flows out of the study area. Two photo points documenting the stream.
UN_ML_STRM_014	Union	2016	5.3	A-5.72, A-5.73	45.292812	-118.170432	R3	South	2	0.1	P55	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south. Feature flows into/out of the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
UN_ML_STRM_015	Union	2016	5.1	A-5.71, A-5.72	45.292662	-118.173406	R3	NW	20	4	P54	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is northwest. Feature flows into/out of the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
UN_ML_STRM_016	Union	2016	4.4	A-5.66, A-5.67	45.311506	-118.173091	R3	North	3	1	P49	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature originates in and flows out of the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
UN_ML_STRM_030	Union	2016	5.6	A-5.75 - A-5.76	45.294103; 45.293846	-118.161321; -118.160868	EPHEMERAL	NW	2; 0.8	0.6; 1	P59; P62	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is northwest. Feature flows out of the study area. Two photo points documenting the stream.
UN_ML_STRM_300	Union	2016	12.8	A-5.83	45.223723	-118.061063	R3	South	6	1	P70	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is south. Feature flows into/out of the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
UN_ML_STRM_301	Union	2016	15.1	A.5.85, A-5.86	45.204828	-118.042013	EPHEMERAL	SE	6	1	P74, P75	Channel is single thread and incised. Flow direction is southeast. Feature flows into/out of the study area.
UN_ML_STRM_304	Union	2016	16.9	A-5.91, A-5.92	45.17423	-118.032619	R3	West	6	1.5	P81	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is west. Feature flows into the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
UN_ML_STRM_305	Union	2016	16.3	A-5.88 - A-5.91	45.178191	-118.034323	R3	North	15	2.5	P79	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is north. Feature flows into/out of the study area. OHWL field indicators: clear, natural line impressed on the bank and textural change of depositional sediment or soil.
UN_ML_STRM_306	Union	2016	16.5	A-5.89	45.178423	-118.033828	EPHEMERAL	NW	6	1.5	P78	Channel is single thread. Flow direction is northwest. Feature flows into the study area.

Table B-3. Other Features

Feature Name	Map Number	County	Survey Year	Latitude	Longitude	Photograph Number	Feature Characteristics
BA_BR_400	A-5.168	Baker	2013	44.655161	-117.577854	P217	NWI verification. Fully vegetated upland swale. No bed and banks. No stream. Vegetation predominantly *Cardaria draba* and *Bromus tectorum*. Site is highly degraded from cattle grazing.
BA_BR_405	A-5.170	Baker	2013	44.657772	-117.56402	P221	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
BA_BR_406	A-5.170	Baker	2013	44.657442	-117.563567	P222	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
BA_BR_411	A-5.173	Baker	2013	44.648958	-117.553776	P232	Upland swale. No stream indicators present.
BA_BR_456	A-5.179	Baker	2013	44.614028	-117.530064	P238	Upland swale. No stream present.
BA_BR_457	A-5.180	Baker	2013	44.60893	-117.522299	P239	Upland swale. No stream present.
BA_FL_004	A-5.144	Baker	2013	44.778292	-117.762815	P186	Upland swale. In vegetated sagebrush shrubland.
BA_FL_017	A-5.132	Baker	2013	44.812027	-117.754689	P152	Upland swale. No stream indicators.
BA_FL_039a	A-5.154	Baker	2013	44.703178	-117.707249	P203	NWI verification. Disturbed upland sagebrush community.
BA_FL_NW_300	A-5.172	Baker	2016	44.648117	-117.566063	P227	Plot confirming uplands. No hydric soils or hydrology present.
BA_FL_NW_301	A-5.171	Baker	2016	44.648812	-117.565718	P228	Riparian bench adjacent to an incised stream channel. . Plot confirming uplands. No hydric soils or hydrology present.
BA_FL_NW_302	A-5.171	Baker	2016	44.649399	-117.565905	P223	Gravel parking area. Plot confirming uplands. No hydric soils or hydrology present.
BA_FL_XBB_013	A-5.133	Baker	2016	44.802036	-117.751393	P175	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_FL_XBB_014	A-5.134	Baker	2016	44.792271	-117.747966	P176	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_FL_XBB_015	A-5.138	Baker	2016	44.788792	-117.754264	P177	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_FL_XBB_016	A-5.138	Baker	2016	44.788765	-117.75506	P178	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_FL_XBB_017	A-5.137	Baker	2016	44.788748	-117.759663	P179	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_FL_XBB_018	A-5.136	Baker	2016	44.788685	-117.762652	P180	Upland swale. No stream present.
BA_FL_XBB_019	A-5.142	Baker	2016	44.7841	-117.758189	P181	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
BA_FL_XBB_021	A-5.140	Baker	2016	44.786002	-117.763347	P182	Upland swale. No stream present.
BA_FL_XBB_022	A-5.141	Baker	2016	44.78349	-117.768527	P185	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
BA_FL_XBB_023	A-5.143	Baker	2016	44.777803	-117.769488	P187	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
BA_FL_XBB_024	A-5.145	Baker	2016	44.772123	-117.772333	P188	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_FL_XBB_025	A-5.146	Baker	2016	44.767594	-117.771749	P191	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
BA_FL_XBB_025	A-5.146	Baker	2016	44.768799	-117.773212	P190	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_FL_XBB_026	A-5.148	Baker	2016	44.758414	-117.768393	P194	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
BA_FL_XBB_027	A-5.150	Baker	2016	44.722405	-117.768854	P196	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
BA_FL_XBB_028	A-5.149	Baker	2016	44.727707	-117.771101	P195	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
BA_FL_XBB_029	A-5.150	Baker	2016	44.721865	-117.766563	P197	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
BA_FL_XBB_030	A-5.151	Baker	2016	44.720105	-117.761258	P198	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_FL_XBB_031	A-5.152	Baker	2016	44.718374	-117.757146	P200	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
BA_FL_XBB_031	A-5.152	Baker	2016	44.719048	-117.756055	P199	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
BA_FL_XBB_300	A-5.175	Baker	2016	44.643687	-117.554585	P236	NHD verification. Heavily grazed pasture. No stream present.

Feature Name	Map Number	County	Survey Year	Latitude	Longitude	Photograph Number	Feature Characteristics
BA_FL_XBB_301	A-5.161	Baker	2016	44.667036	-117.575997	P209	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_FL_XBB_302	A-5.155	Baker	2016	44.687835	-117.633403	P206	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
BA_LM_NW_200	A-5.115	Baker	2016	44.985342	-117.819186	P154	Upland pasture adjacent to poorly defined ephemeral channel. Plot confirming uplands. No hydric soils or hydrology present.
BA_LM_XBB_200	A-5.118	Baker	2016	44.966476	-117.840083	P158	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_LM_XBB_201	A-5.125	Baker	2016	44.917704	-117.783623	P165	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_LM_XBB_202	A-5.124	Baker	2016	44.932306	-117.787837	P164	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_LM_XBB_203	A-5.122	Baker	2016	44.950388	-117.797923	P162	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_LM_XBB_204	A-5.120	Baker	2016	44.959913	-117.825143	P159	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_LM_XBB_205	A-5.119	Baker	2016	44.958631	-117.830465	P160	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_LM_XBB_206	A-5.129	Baker	2016	44.876562	-117.766109	P169	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_LM_XBB_207	A-5.130	Baker	2016	44.876555	-117.76135	P171	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_LM_XBB_208	A-5.128	Baker	2016	44.878494	-117.759296	P170	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_LM_XBB_209	A-5.128	Baker	2016	44.880123	-117.758824	P168	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_LM_XBB_210	A-5.127	Baker	2016	44.885553	-117.761568	P167	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_LM_XBB_211	A-5.126	Baker	2016	44.905303	-117.77298	P166	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_S_0084	A-5.228	Baker	2013	44.456048	-117.335774	P283	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
BA_S_0098	A-5.114	Baker	2011	44.988162	-117.829812	P153	Upland swale. No wetlands or waters indicators.
BA_TM_217	A-5.248	Baker	2013	44.313282	-117.264867	P306	Upland swale extending east from non-functioning pipe. Study area does not include the swale that the pipe spans.
BA_TM_221	A-5.249	Malheur	2013	44.301343	-117.248848	P310	Upland swale. No stream channel present.
BA_WT_NW_007	A-5.235	Baker	2016	44.413863	-117.308421	P290, P291, P-292	Three upland verification points in close proximity to each other along a gravel road. No wetland present.
BA_WT_NW_206	A-5.212	Baker	2016	44.522133	-117.461766	P269	NWI verification. Upland landscape. No wetland present.
BA_WT_NW_207	A-5.204	Baker	2016	44.519225	-117.472417	P268	NWI verification. Upland landscape. No wetland present.
BA_WT_NW_208	A-5.213	Baker	2016	44.506115	-117.436469	P271	NWI verification. Upland landscape adjacent to an ephemeral channel. No wetland present.
BA_WT_NW_209	A-5.233	Baker	2016	44.442162	-117.335822	P288	Upland verification. No wetland present.
BA_WT_NW_210	A-5.233	Baker	2016	44.44155	-117.335059	P289	Upland verification. No wetland present.
BA_WT_NW_211	A-5.232	Baker	2016	44.444914	-117.330959	P286	Hydric soil verification. No wetland present.
BA_WT_NW_212	A-5.204	Baker	2016	44.519178	-117.472257	P267	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_WT_NW_213	A-5.217	Baker	2016	44.471318	-117.378452	P279	Hydric soil verification. Dry upland slope. No hydric indicators.
BA_WT_XBB_011	A-5.190	Baker	2016	44.559926	-117.508795	P249	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
BA_WT_XBB_033	A-5.238	Baker	2016	44.409176	-117.333983	P295	Upland swale in dry rangeland. No wetlands or waters.
BA_WT_XBB_034	A-5.239	Baker	2016	44.405809	-117.334102	P297	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
BA_WT_XBB_035	A-5.241	Baker	2016	44.396713	-117.33185	P299	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
BA_WT_XBB_036	A-5.242	Baker	2016	44.391913	-117.326784	P300	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.

Feature Name	Map Number	County	Survey Year	Latitude	Longitude	Photograph Number	Feature Characteristics
BA_WT_XBB_037	A-5.243	Baker	2016	44.383717	-117.320258	P301	Upland swale. No stream present.
BA_WT_XBB_038	A-5.244	Baker	2016	44.381668	-117.315812	P302	Upland swale. No stream present.
BA_WT_XBB_039	A-5.245	Baker	2016	44.373871	-117.304181	P303	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
BA_WT_XBB_215	A-5.218	Baker	2016	44.470161	-117.376681	P280	NHD verification. No stream present.
BA_WT_XBB_302	A-5.215	Baker	2016	44.492902	-117.428907	P275	NHD verification. Upland ravine. No stream present.
BApro_610	A-5.229	Baker	2012	44.4493	-117.334923	P284	Upland verification on dry slope above mapped wetland.
MA_S_0032	A-5.300	Malheur	2011	43.631114	-117.098012	P371	NHD verification. Upland valley. No stream present.
MA_S_0045	A-5.305	Malheur	2011	43.559166	-117.034679	P378	NHD verification. Vegetated upland swale. No stream present.
MA_S_0048	A-5.293	Malheur	2011	43.853914	-117.336595	P308	NHD verification. Vegetated upland swale. No stream present.
MA_S_0074	A-5.294	Malheur	2011	43.852307	-117.327034	P309	NHD verification. No stream present.
MA_S_0078	A-5.295	Malheur	2011	43.850278	-117.276738	P364	NHD verification. Upland depression. No stream present.
MA_S_0097	A-5.298	Malheur	2011	43.692461	-117.133495	P368	NHD verification. Upland valley. No stream present.
MA_S_0128	A-5.291	Malheur	2011	43.924714	-117.456285	P362	Upland swale. Upland depression. No stream present.
MA_S_0144	A-5.292	Malheur	2011	43.911667	-117.446756	P363	Upland swale. No stream present.
MA_S_0148	A-5.300	Malheur	2011	43.631602	-117.101459	P370	NHD verification. Upland valley. No stream present.
MA_S_0186	A-5.299	Malheur	2011	43.669045	-117.12302	P369	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream present.
MA_TM_003	A-5.287	Malheur	2013	44.031652	-117.480749	P352	Upland swale. No stream present
MA_TM_004	A-5.286	Malheur	2013	44.033999	-117.480376	P351	Upland swale. No stream present
MA_TM_022	A-5.283	Malheur	2013	44.051465	-117.389768	P345	NWI/NHD verification. Upland swale disturbed by cattle use. No R4 stream present.
MA_TM_023	A-5.283	Malheur	2013	44.051554	-117.390371	P346	Upland verification. No stream or wetland present.
MA_TM_030	A-5.284	Malheur	2013	44.05135	-117.414001	P348	Upland swale. No stream present
MA_TM_033	A-5.282	Malheur	2013	44.057348	-117.390193	P344	Upland swale. No stream present.
MA_TM_034	A-5.282	Malheur	2013	44.056965	-117.393635	P347	Upland swale. No stream present.
MA_TM_036	A-5.280	Malheur	2013	44.058867	-117.354668	P342	Upland swale. No stream present.
MA_TM_037	A-5.281	Malheur	2013	44.058775	-117.36484	P343	Upland swale. No stream present.
MA_TM_226	A-5.250	Malheur	2013	44.221755	-117.199472	P311	Upland swale. No stream present.
MA_TM_229	A-5.250	Malheur	2013	44.220707	-117.202304	P312	NHD verification. Upland swale. No stream.
MA_TM_230	A-5.251	Malheur	2013	44.210685	-117.201789	P313	Upland swale. No stream present.
MA_TM_411	A-5.253	Malheur	2013	44.180182	-117.201727	P315	Upland swale. No stream present.
MA_TM_412	A-5.254	Malheur	2013	44.170733	-117.205327	P316	Upland swale. No stream present.
MA_TM_415	A-5.255	Malheur	2013	44.163374	-117.201646	P317	Upland swale. No stream present.
MA_TM_423	A-5.257	Malheur	2013	44.131176	-117.200532	P319	NHD verification. Vegetated upland gully. No stream present.
MA_TM_425	A-5.258	Malheur	2013	44.127901	-117.202427	P320	NHD verification. Vegetated upland swale. No stream present.
MA_TM_426	A-5.259	Malheur	2013	44.124587	-117.205804	P321	NHD verification. Vegetated upland swale. No stream present.

Feature Name	Map Number	County	Survey Year	Latitude	Longitude	Photograph Number	Feature Characteristics
MA_TM_428	A-5.263	Malheur	2013	44.109363	-117.233629	P328	Upland verification. Rutted area adjacent to dirt road. No stream or wetland present.
MA_TM_429	A-5.263	Malheur	2013	44.109438	-117.23451	P329	Upland verification. Rutted area adjacent to dirt road. No stream or wetland present.
MA_TM_431	A-5.262	Malheur	2013	44.109813	-117.216273	P325	Upland swale. No stream present.
MA_TM_432	A-5.261	Malheur	2013	44.111768	-117.208615	P324	NHD verification. Vegetated upland swale. No stream present.
MA_TM_433	A-5.261	Malheur	2013	44.112799	-117.206718	P323	NHD verification. Existing road crossing at upland swale. No defined be and bank. North and south of the crossing, swale is fully vegetated.
MA_TM_434	A-5.260	Malheur	2013	44.113718	-117.20579	P322	NHD verification. Vegetated upland swale. No stream present.
MA_TM_450	A-5.256	Malheur	2013	44.135045	-117.194917	P318	NHD verification. Vegetated upland gully. No stream present.
MA_TM_453	A-5.279	Malheur	2013	44.062212	-117.324042	P338	Upland swale adjacent to constructed pond. Pond is outside of the study area. No wetland present in study area.
MA_TM_456	A-5.278	Malheur	2013	44.067702	-117.32522	P339	Plot confirming uplands. No hydric soils or hydrology present.
MA_TM_459	A-5.277	Malheur	2013	44.062492	-117.316744	P337	NWI verification at mapped PFOA wetland. Plot confirming uplands. No wetland present.
MA_TM_466	A-5.264	Malheur	2013	44.100628	-117.205499	P326	Upland swale. No stream present.
MA_TM_472	A-5.265	Malheur	2013	44.090533	-117.230246	P330	NWI verification. R4 stream mapped in broad valley. No stream channel present.
MA_TM_475	A-5.266	Malheur	2013	44.08619	-117.244639	P331	NWI verification. R4 stream mapped in broad valley. No stream channel present.
MA_W_0091	A-5.290	Malheur	2011	43.964579	-117.463606	P356	NWI verification. Wetland mapped across existing road. No wetland present.
MA_W_0092	A-5.290	Malheur	2011	43.964587	-117.46456	P357	NWI verification. No wetland present on slope of existing road.
MA_W_0103	A-5.290	Malheur	2011	43.964717	-117.460825	P355	NWI verification. No wetland present on slope of existing road, south of the canal.
MA_W_0111	A-5.301	Malheur	2011	43.588295	-117.068115	P375	Plot confirming uplands on slope of canal access road. No hydric soils or hydrology present.
Malpro_631	A-5.297	Malheur	2012	43.791248	-117.245985	P366	NWI verification at mapped PUBH wetland. No wetland present.
MApro_397	A-5.296	Malheur	2012	43.835499	-117.263293	P365	NWI/NHD verification. No stream present.
MO_EB_402	A-5.2	Morrow	2013	45.682269	-119.622825	P2	Upland swale. No defined bed and bank. No hydrology.
MO_EB_403	A-5.3	Morrow	2013	45.670168	-119.623267	P3	Upland swale. No defined bed and bank. No hydrology. No wetland.
MO_EB_408	A-5.4	Morrow	2013	45.660293	-119.623452	P4	Upland swale. No defined bed and bank. No hydrophytic vegetation.
MO_SW_NW_300	A-5.15	Morrow	2016	45.535712	-119.292862	P15	Plot confirming uplands. No hydric soils or hydrology present.
MO_SW_XBB_001	A-5.5	Morrow	2016	45.605631	-119.615132	P5	NHD verification. No channel in mowed wheat field. Barely discernable swale.
MO_SW_XBB_002	A-5.6	Morrow	2016	45.597802	-119.61533	P6	NHD verification. No channel in mowed wheat field. Barely discernable swale.
MO_SW_XBB_003	A-5.7	Morrow	2016	45.590422	-119.615309	P7	NHD verification. Upland swale fully vegetated with upland plants.
MO_SW_XBB_005	A-5.8	Morrow	2016	45.574526	-119.559461	P8	NHD verification. Swale located in a cultivated wheat field. No stream.
MO_SW_XBB_006	A-5.13	Morrow	2016	45.547706	-119.305595	P12	Plot confirming uplands. No stream channel present.
MO_SW_XBB_301	A-5.11	Morrow	2016	45.545712	-119.313709	P11	Plot confirming uplands. No stream channel present.

Feature Name	Map Number	County	Survey Year	Latitude	Longitude	Photograph Number	Feature Characteristics
MO_SW_XBB_302	A-5.12	Morrow	2016	45.544985	-119.307693	P14	Plot confirming uplands. No stream channel present.
MO_SW_XBB_305	A-5.9	Morrow	2016	45.574644	-119.491613	P9	NHD verification. Plowed field. No stream channel.
MO_SW_XBB_309	A-5.10	Morrow	2016	45.545844	-119.321607	P10	Plot confirming uplands. No stream channel present.
UM_S_0053	A-5.35	Umatilla	2011	45.455984	-118.433515	P43	NHD verification. No channel present. Upland forest meadow.
UM_S_0064	A-5.34	Umatilla	2011	45.469062	-118.436504	P42	NHD verification. No channel present. Upland forest meadow.
UM_S_0069	A-5.33	Umatilla	2011	45.475035	-118.452353	P41	NHD verification. No channel present. Upland forest meadow.
UM_SW_NW_001	A-5.19	Umatilla	2016	45.40948	-118.895705	P21	Hydric soil verification in a hay field. No wetland present.
UM_SW_NW_002	A-5.20	Umatilla	2016	45.407127	-118.896312	P22	Hydric soil verification in a hay field. No wetland present.
UM_SW_NW_005	A-5.21	Umatilla	2016	45.403705	-118.893673	P24	Plot confirming uplands. No hydric soils or hydrology present.
UM_SW_NW_006	A-5.21	Umatilla	2016	45.404748	-118.895814	P23	NWI verification in upland agricultural area and across gravel road. No wetland present.
UM_SW_NW_007	A-5.23	Umatilla	2016	45.435304	-118.840416	P27	Hydric soil verification. No wetland present.
UM_SW_NW_009	A-5.31	Umatilla	2016	45.458145	-118.598319	P36	Hydric soil verification. No wetland present.
UM_SW_NW_300	A-5.26	Umatilla	2016	45.430436	-118.822056	P30	Hydric soil verification. Plot confirming uplands. No hydric soils or hydrology present.
UM_SW_NW_301	A-5.25	Umatilla	2016	45.440884	-118.834508	P29	Plot confirming uplands. No hydric soils or hydrology present.
UM_SW_XBB_300	A-5.18	Umatilla	2016	45.410531	-118.911827	P20	Upland swale. No defined bed and bank. No hydrology.
UN_AS_XBB_300	A-5.37	Union	2016	45.430453	-118.379196	P83	NHD verification. No channel present.
UN_H_0316A	A-5.65	Union	2011	45.317668	-118.218624	P46	Hydric soil verification. No hydric indicators in upland meadow.
UN_H_0330	A-5.111	Union	2011	45.098677	-117.910515	P150	Plot confirming uplands. No hydric soils or hydrology present.
UN_LM_NW_203	A-5.113	Union	2016	45.027863	-117.929381	P151	Upland verification. No hydric soils or hydrology present.
UN_LM_NW_204	A-5.112	Union	2016	45.091742	-117.928426	P149	Hydric soil verification. No hydric soils or hydrology present.
UN_LM_NW_300	A-5.105	Union	2016	45.133342	-117.955313	P137	Plot confirming uplands. No hydric soils or hydrology present.
UN_LM_NW_301	A-5.106	Union	2016	45.134999	-117.95119	P139	Hydric soil verification. No wetland present. Upland hillside.
UN_LM_NW_302	A-5.101	Union	2016	45.141501	-117.961807	P135	Hydric soil verification. No wetland present. Upland hillside.
UN_LM_NW_303	A-5.100	Union	2016	45.144149	-117.95952	P134	Hydric soil verification. No wetland present. Upland hillside.
UN_LM_NW_304	A-5.99	Union	2016	45.148438	-117.963575	P133	Hydric soil verification. No wetland present. Upland hillside.
UN_LM_NW_305	A-5.98	Union	2016	45.153351	-117.969205	P126	Hydric soil verification. No wetland present. Upland hillside and dirt road.
UN_LM_NW_306	A-5.96	Union	2016	45.157579	-117.965463	P130	Hydric soil verification. No wetland present. Upland hillside and dirt road.
UN_LM_NW_307	A-5.108	Union	2016	45.125806	-117.937377	P142	Hydric soil verification. No wetland present.
UN_LM_XBB_300	A-5.107	Union	2016	45.131703	-117.946205	P140	Upland verification
UN_LM_XBB_301	A-5.110	Union	2016	45.125574	-117.931317	P147	Upland swale. No defined bed and bank. No hydrology. No wetland.
UN_MC_NW_005	A-5.62	Union	2016	45.199812	-118.009933	P114	NWI verification. No wetland in riparian area adjacent to an intermittent stream.
UN_MC_NW_006	A-5.57	Union	2016	45.210406	-118.010753	P108	Hydric soil verification at two separate study areas. Upland sagebrush community. No wetlands present.

Feature Name	Map Number	County	Survey Year	Latitude	Longitude	Photograph Number	Feature Characteristics
UN_MC_NW_006	A-5.58	Union	2016	45.208095	-118.006123	P109	Hydric soil verification at two separate study areas. Upland sagebrush community. No wetlands present.
UN_MC_NW_011	A-5.50	Union	2016	45.315759	-118.147655	P100	Hydric soil verification. No hydric soils.
UN_MC_NW_012	A-5.52	Union	2016	45.314257	-118.139579	P102	Hydric soil verification. No wetland indicators.
UN_MC_NW_300	A-5.55	Union	2016	45.259465	-118.045222	P106	NWI verification. Upland field. No wetlands present.
UN_MC_XBB_010	A-5.63	Union	2016	45.197667	-118.013461	P115	NHD verification. No channel present.
UN_MC_XBB_302	A-5.64	Union	2016	45.188668	-118.000766	P121	Plot confirming uplands. No hydric soils or hydrology present at an erosional feature.
UN_MC_XBB_303	A-5.54	Union	2016	45.276833	-118.070852	P104	NHD verification. No channel present.
UN_MC_XBB_304	A-5.53	Union	2016	45.278817	-118.081904	P103	NHD verification. No channel present.
UN_ML_NW_001b	A-5.65	Union	2016	45.317456	-118.218635	P46	Plot confirming uplands. No hydric soils or hydrology present.
UN_ML_NW_004	A-5.79	Union	2016	45.253544	-118.088443	P66	Hydric soil verification. No hydric soils or hydrology present.
UN_ML_NW_008	A-5.70	Union	2016	45.30711	-118.161154	P52	Hydric soil verification. Upland forest. No hydric indicators.
UN_ML_NW_009	A-5.70	Union	2016	45.306176	-118.158899	P53	Hydric soil verification. Upland meadow and forest. No hydric indicators.
UN_ML_NW_010	A-5.78	Union	2016	45.296252	-118.1407	P64	Hydric soil verification. No hydric soils or hydrology present.
UN_ML_NW_300	A-5.83	Union	2016	45.223044	-118.061247	P69	Hydric soil verification. No hydric indicators
UN_ML_NW_301	A-5.92	Union	2016	45.1739	-118.031711	P80	Hydric soil verification. No hydric indicators
UN_ML_NW_302	A-5.81	Union	2016	45.230053	-118.066723	P68	Hydric soil verification. Upland conifer forest. No wetlands present.
UN_ML_NW_303	A-5.80	Union	2016	45.232746	-118.069323	P67	Hydric soil verification. Upland conifer forest. No wetlands present.
UN_ML_XBB_300	A-5.87	Union	2016	45.19365	-118.037166	P76	NHD verification. Upland forest ravine. No stream present.
UN_S_0006	A-5.82	Union	2011	45.207474	-118.055116	P71	Upland swale. No wetlands or waters.
UN_S_0007	A-5.42	Union	2011	45.371265	-118.308484	P89	NHD verification. No channel present.
UN_S_0019	A-5.43	Union	2011	45.364297	-118.293906	P90	NHD verification. No channel present.
UN_S_0020	A-5.38	Union	2011	45.428656	-118.376486	P85	NHD verification. No channel present.
UN_S_0028	A-5.87	Union	2011	45.192163	-118.039179	P77	NHD verification. Upland forest ravine. No stream present.
UN_S_0033	A-5.40	Union	2011	45.425413	-118.371962	P86	NHD verification. No channel present.
UN_S_0046	A-5.38	Union	2011	45.42897	-118.379577	P84	NHD verification. No channel present.
UN_S_0047	A-5.41	Union	2011	45.408127	-118.351713	P87	NHD verification. No channel present.
UN_S_0076	A-5.48	Union	2011	45.341309	-118.235474	P97	NHD verification. No channel present.
UN_S_0096	A-5.84	Union	2011	45.203308	-118.057337	P73	NHD verification. Upland forest. No stream present.
UN_S_0106	A-5.36	Union	2011	45.429579	-118.391402	P82	NHD verification. No channel present.
UN_S_0112	A-5.82	Union	2011	45.206078	-118.055934	P72	NHD verification. Upland forest swale. No stream present.

Table B-4. Deviations from National Wetlands Inventory

Feature Name	Map Number	County	Survey Year	Latitude	Longitude	Photograph Number	NWI Classification	Field Cowardin Classification	Reason for Deviation
MO_SW_NW_300	A-5.15	Morrow	2016	45.535863	-119.292819	P17	PEM	None	NWI mapped PEM wetland not present. Plot confirming upland located in area mapped by NWI as PEM. Upland ravine only.
UM_SW_NW_006	A-5.21	Umatilla	2016	45.404748	-118.895814	P23	PFOC	None	NWI mapped PFOC wetland not present. Areas consists of an existing roadway and out buildings.
UN_MC_NW_300	A-5.55	Union	2016	45.259465	-118.045222	P107	PEMB	None	NWI mapped PEMB wetland not present. Plot confirming upland located in area mapped by NWI. Area consists of an upland field, gravel road, and parking lot.
UN_MC_NW_005	A-5.62	Union	2016	45.199817	-118.00993	P113	PSSA	R4	NWI mapped PSSA not present. Plot confirming upland was located adjacent to an intermittent stream channel (UN_MC_STRM_011). Vegetation near the channel is predominantly *Crataegus douglasii* and *Symphoricarpos albus*. No redox features.
BA_LM_NW_200	A-5.115	Baker	2016	44.985342	-117.819186	P153	PEMC	None	NWI mapped PEMC not present at this location. Plot confirming upland conditions was located adjacent to an ephemeral channel BA_LM_STRM_202.
BA_FL_NW_301	A-5.171	Baker	2016	44.648796	-117.56572	P228	PEMC	None	NWI mapped PEMC not present at this location. Plot confirming upland conditions was located adjacent to an incised perennial stream BA_FL_STRM_302. No hydric soils.
BA_FL_NW_300	A-5.172	Baker	2016	44.648117	-117.566063	P230	PEMC	None	NWI mapped PEMC not present at this location. Plot confirming upland conditions was located adjacent to an incised perennial stream BA_FL_STRM_302. No hydric soils.
BA_WT_NW_207	A-5.204	Baker	2016	44.519364	-117.472416	P268	PEMC	None	NWI mapped PEMC not present at this location. Plot confirming upland conditions was located in an dry field characterized by non-hydric soil and upland vegetation.
BA_WT_NW_206	A-5.212	Baker	2016	44.522133	-117.461766	P269	PEMC	None	NWI mapped PEMC not present at this location. Site is characterized by an upland plant community and two-track road crossing.
MA_TM_459	A-5.277	Malheur	2013	44.062566	-117.316686	P337	PFOA	None	NWI mapped PFOA not present at this location. Site is surrounded by active farming. No hydric soil. Remnant *Salix exigua*, otherwise veg is dominated by upland species.
MA_W_0091	A-5.290	Malheur	2011	43.964598	-117.463602	P355	R4SBFr	None	NWI mapped R4SBFr not present within the study area. The NWI polygon should apply to the Vale Oregon Main Canal located to the north of the existing access road. Photo was taken from the road to the south.
MA_W_0092	A-5.290	Malheur	2011	43.964604	-117.464553	P356	PEMB	None	NWI mapped PEMB not present within the study area. Sample plot is located on the fill slope of the access road
MA_W_0103	A-5.290	Malheur	2011	43.964768	-117.460751	P357	R4SBFr	None	NWI mapped R4SBFr (Vale Oregon Main Canal) is located just north of the study area. No wetland indicators at sample plot on the canal bank.
Malpro_631	A-5.297	Malheur	2012	43.791246	-117.245943	P366	PUBH	None	NWI mapped PUBH not present within the study area. No positive wetland indicators.
MA_W_0049	A-5.285	Malheur	2011	44.03600223	-117.4805982	P350	None	PEM	PEM wetland delineated at location where no NWI wetlands were mapped.

This page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX C
ASSESSMENT FORMS

This page intentionally left blank

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Morrow **Sampling Date:** 26-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** MO_SW_NW_300

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 22 T 10S R 28E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Gulch or Gully **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** ____ % / ____ °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45 32.1820 **Long.:** -119 17.6134 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Endersby fine sandy loam **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. Area mapped by NWI as PEM and FO1C and NHD. Upland ravine only.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: ____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1. Artemisia tridentata	40	☑ 100.0%	UPL
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	40	= Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1. Bromus tectorum	40	☑ 80.0%	UPL
2. Poa secunda	5	☐ 10.0%	FACU
3. Poa bulbosa	5	☐ 10.0%	FACU
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	50	= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 50 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	10	x 4 =	40
UPL species	80	x 5 =	400
Column Totals:	90 (A)		440 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.889

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: MO_SW_NW_300

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-3	10YR	3/3	100						Loam	
3-5	10YR	3/3	100						Loam	10% of volume is rock fragments

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 5

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H EB City/County: Morrow Sampling Date: 05/08/2013
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power Company State: OR Sampling Point: MO_EB_W 411a
Investigator(s): ES AB Section, Township, Range: S18 T4N R26E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 0
Subregion (LRR): (LRR B) Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 45.83044490 Long: -119.62235460 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Quincy loamy fine sand, 2 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: PEM/PSS
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? No *(if no, explain in Remarks.)*
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF Findings – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes Hydric Soil Present? Yes Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? YES**
Remarks:	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 0)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover = 0			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1. Salix amygdaloides	50	Yes	FACW
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover = 50			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1. Typha latifolia	50	Yes	OBL
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover = 50			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover = 0			

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0
% Cover of Biotic Crust: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	50	x 1 =	50
FACW species	50	x 2 =	100
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		150 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.50

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
_X_ Dominance Test is >50%
_X_ Prevalence Index is <3.0[1]
___ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes

Remarks:

SOIL Sampling Point: MO_EB_W 411a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Matrix		Redox Features					
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type	Loc	Texture	Remarks
1. 0-3	10YR 2/2	100		0			Muck	
2. 3-7	10YR 3/2	98	10YR 4/4	5	C	M	Loamy Very Fine Sand	
3. 7-20	10YR 3/2	100		0			Fine Sand	
4.								
5.								
6.								
7.								
8.								

Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		**Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils**
___ Histosol	___ Sandy Redox (S5)	___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
___ Histic Epipedon (A2)	___ Stripped Matrix (S6)	___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
___ Black Histic (A3)	___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	___ Reduced Vertic (F18)
___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
___ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	___ Depleted Matrix (F3)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)
___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	_X_ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	___ Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	___ Vernal Pools (F9)	
___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		

Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): 0	**Hydric Soil Present?** Yes

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (two or more required)
___ Surface Water (A1)	___ Salt Crust (B11)	_X_ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
_X_ High Water Table (A2)	___ Biotic Crust (B12)	___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
_X_ Saturation (A3)	___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
___ Water Marks (B1) (Nonreverine)	___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	_X_ Drainage Patterns (B10)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)	___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes Depth (inches): 6 Saturation Present? Yes Depth (inches): 0	**Wetland Hydrology Present?** Yes

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H EB City/County: Morrow Sampling Date: 05/08/2013
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power Company State: OR Sampling Point: MO_EB_W 411b
Investigator(s): ES AB Section, Township, Range: S18 T4N R26E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 0
Subregion (LRR): (LRR B) Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 45.83039640 Long: -119.62235540 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Quincy loamy fine sand, 2 to 12 percent slopes NWI classification: PEM1F
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? No *(if no, explain in Remarks.)*
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF Findings – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No Hydric Soil Present? No Wetland Hydrology Present? No	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** No
Remarks: UPLAND PLOT LOCATED ABOUT 2-3 FEET HIGHER THAN WETLAND PLOT ON SLOPE LEADING INTO WETLAND	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 0)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. 2. 3. 4. Total Cover = 0			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 0) 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. Total Cover = 0			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 0) 1. Chenopodium berlanderi 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. Total Cover = 100	100	Yes	NL
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 0) 1. 2. Total Cover = 0 % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 % Cover of Biotic Crust: 0			

Dominance Test worksheet:
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	0 (A)		0 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 0

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
___ Dominance Test is >50%
___ Prevalence Index is <3.0[1]
___ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No

Remarks:

SOIL Sampling Point: MO_EB_W 411b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Matrix		Redox Features					
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type	Loc	Texture	Remarks
1. 0-20	10YR 3/2	100		0			Loamy Fine Sand	
2.								
3.								
4.								
5.								
6.								
7.								
8.								

Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		**Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils**
___ Histosol	___ Sandy Redox (S5)	___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
___ Histic Epipedon (A2)	___ Stripped Matrix (S6)	___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
___ Black Histic (A3)	___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	___ Reduced Vertic (F18)
___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
___ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	___ Depleted Matrix (F3)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)
___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	___ Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	___ Vernal Pools (F9)	
___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		

Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): 0	**Hydric Soil Present?** No

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (two or more required)
___ Surface Water (A1)	___ Salt Crust (B11)	___ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
___ High Water Table (A2)	___ Biotic Crust (B12)	___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
___ Saturation (A3)	___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
___ Water Marks (B1) (Nonreverine)	___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)	___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? No Depth (inches):	**Wetland Hydrology Present?** No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Umatilla **Sampling Date:** 31-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UM_SW_NW_300

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 33 T 10S R 32E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** **Slope:** % / °

Subregion (LRR): B **Lat.:** 45 25.8319 **Long.:** -118 49.3229 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Xerofluvents, 0 to 3 percent slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks: Drought conditions to date. Approximately 0.10 in. of rain on today

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				
1. Agropyron intermedium	90	☐	90.0%	UPL
2. Bromus tectorum	10	☐	10.0%	UPL
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	100		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	100	x 5 =	500
Column Totals:	100 (A)		500 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 5.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UM_SW_NW_300

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR 3/2	100					Silt Loam	
6-14	10YR 3/3	100					Silty Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 14

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Umatilla **Sampling Date:** 31-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UM_SW_NW_301

Investigator(s): TJ_ES **Section, Township, Range:** S 32 T 10S R 32E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** % / °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45.441033 **Long.:** -118.834465 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Pilot Rock silt loam, 1 to 7 percent slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions to date. Approximately 0.10 inch of rain today. Plot is located on a broad hilltop in grassland.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 feet)				
1. Agropyron intermedium	85	☑	85.0%	UPL
2. Bromus arvensis	10	☐	10.0%	FACU
3. Achillea millefolium	5	☐	5.0%	FACU
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	100		= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	1	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	15	x 4 =	60
UPL species	85	x 5 =	425
Column Totals:	100 (A)		485 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.850

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UM_SW_NW_301

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-10	10YR	3/2	100						Silt Loam	
10-20	10YR	3/3	100						Silty Clay Loam	some rock fragments

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project Site: B2H - 1158540146A City/County: /UMATILLA Sampling Date: 8/3/2011
Applicant/Owner: IDAHO POWER State: OR Sampling Point: UM_W_0031a
Investigator(s): ANSARI/ SPRINGSTEEN Section, Township, Range: 1.00S35.00E17
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): HILLSLOPE Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
Subregion (LRR): E Lat: 45.481234366 Long: -118.466526032 Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: Tolo silt loam, 15 to 35 percent slopes NWI classification: /Riverine (Upper perrenial)
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☒ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐, significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐, naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ☒	No ☐	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?**	**Yes ☒ No ☐**
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ☒	No ☐		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ☒	No ☐		

Remarks: **This is a fringe wetland that averages 2 meters wide on either side of the perennial drainage feature. This feature is bisected by a road at is approximate midpt. The polygon drawn for this feature includes that as part of the wetland. This should be removed for acreage calculations. The drainage feature follows a road as it passes across the survey area.**

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 20 feet)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. *Pseudotsuga menziesii*	40	yes	FACU
2.			
3.			
4.			
50% = 20, 20% = 14	40	= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 feet)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
50% = _____, 20% = _____		= Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 feet)			
1. *Scirpus microcarpus*	95	yes	OBL
2. *Equisetum fluviatile*	2	no	OBL
3. *Hackelia floribunda*	1	no	FACU
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
50% = _____, 20% = _____	98	= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)			
1.			
2.			
50% = 49, 20% = 19.6		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum _____

Dominance Test Worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	1	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	2	(B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	50	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	97	x1 =	97
FACW species		x2 =	
FAC species		x3 =	
FACU species	41	x4 =	164
UPL species		x5 =	
Column Totals:	138 (A)		261 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.89

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ 1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ☒ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ☐ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ☐ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
- ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes ☒ No ☐**

Remarks:

Project Site: B2H - 1158540146A

SOIL

Sampling Point: UM_W_0031a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth	Matrix		Redox Features					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
20	2.5YR 2.5/1	95	2.5YR 4/8	5	C	M	Sandy Loam	

[1]Type: C= Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☒ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(except MLRA 1)**
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soils Present? **Yes** ☒ **No** ☐

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☒ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☒ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) **(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)**
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☒ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☒ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) **(LRR A)**
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) **(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)**
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) **(LRR A)**
- ☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____
Water Table Present?	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes	☒	No	☐	Depth (inches): 2

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ☒ **No** ☐

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project Site: B2H - 1158540146A City/County: /UMATILLA Sampling Date: 8/5/2011

Applicant/Owner: IDAHO POWER State: OR Sampling Point: UM_W_0031b

Investigator(s): ANSARI/ SPRINGSTEEN Section, Township, Range: 1.00S35.00E17

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): TERRACE Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 1

Subregion (LRR): E Lat: 45.4811787569 Long: -118.466728632 Datum: NAD 83

Soil Map Unit Name: Tolo silt loam, 15 to 35 percent slopes NWI classification: R4SBA - RIVERINE/Riverine (Upper perrenial)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☒ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐, significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐

Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐, naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ☐	No ☒	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?**	**Yes ☐ No ☒**
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ☐	No ☒		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ☐	No ☒		

Remarks: This is the upland plot associated with UM_W_0031A. The drainage feature has high incised banks that create small pockets of wetlands along the feature. Although the wetland feature ends at the survey area boundary, pockets continue in both directions beyond the boundaries.

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 20 feet)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. *Pseudotsuga menziesii*	100	yes	FACU
2.			
3.			
4.			
50% = 50, 20% = 20	100	= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 feet)			
1. *Symphoricarpus albus*	2	no	FACU
2. *Vaccinium membranaceum*	5	yes	FACU
3.			
4.			
5.			
50% = 3.5, 20% = 1.4	7	= Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 feet)			
1. *Festuca viridula*	40	yes	NL (UPL)
2. *Carex rossii*	2	no	NL (UPL)
3. *Osmorhiza berteroi*	10	no	NL (UPL)
4. *Viola glabella*	10	no	FACW
5. *Smilacina stellata*	8	no	FAC
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
50% = 35, 20% = 14	70	= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)			
1.			
2.			
50% = _____, 20% = _____		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum _____

Dominance Test Worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	3	(B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x1 =	
FACW species	10	x2 =	20
FAC species	8	x3 =	24
FACU species	107	x4 =	428
UPL species	52	x5 =	260
Column Totals:	177 (A)		732 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.1

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ 1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ☐ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ☐ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ☐ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
- ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes ☐ No ☒**

Remarks:

Project Site: B2H - 1158540146A

SOIL

Sampling Point: UM_W_0031b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
20	7.5 YR 3/3	100					Silty Loam	

[1]Type: C= Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(except MLRA 1)**
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soils Present? **Yes** ☐ **No** ☒

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) **(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)**
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) **(LRR A)**
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) **(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)**
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) **(LRR A)**
- ☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____
Water Table Present?	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ☐ **No** ☒

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project Site: B2H - 1158540146A City/County: /UMATILLA Sampling Date: 8/5/2011

Applicant/Owner: IDAHO POWER State: OR Sampling Point: UM_W_0031c

Investigator(s): ANSARI/ SPRINGSTEEN Section, Township, Range: 1.00S35.00E17

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): TERRACE Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 1

Subregion (LRR): E Lat: 45.4811714607 Long: -118.466916792 Datum: NAD 83

Soil Map Unit Name: Tolo silt loam, 15 to 35 percent slopes NWI classification: R4SBA - RIVERINE Upper perrenial

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☒ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐, significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐

Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐, naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ☒	No ☐	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?**	**Yes ☒ No ☐**
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ☒	No ☐		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ☒	No ☐		

Remarks: This is the wetland plot on the opposite side of the drainage feature from 31A. While the wetland ends at the survey boundary, pockets of wetlands occur along the drainage feature mostly below the incised bank.

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 20 feet)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. *Pseudotsuga menziesii*	30	yes	FACU
2. *Abies grandis*	20	yes	FACU
3.			
4.			
50% = 25, 20% = 10	50	= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 feet)			
1. *Ribes lacustre*	10	yes	FAC
2. *Symphoricarpus albus*	2	yes	FACU
3.			
4.			
5.			
50% = 6, 20% = 2.4	12	= Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 feet)			
1. *Phleum alpinum*	5	no	FACW
2. *Veratrum californicus*	30	yes	FACW
3. *Trifolium repens*	25	yes	FAC
4. *Prunella vulgaris*	5	no	FACU
5. *Calamagratis canadensis*	25	yes	FACW
6. *Osmorhiza chilensis*	5	no	NI
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
50% = 47.5, 20% = 19	95	= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)			
1.			
2.			
50% = _____, 20% = _____		= Total Cover	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum _____			

Dominance Test Worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	4	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	7	(B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	57	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	_____	x1 =	_____
FACW species		x2 =	
FAC species		x3 =	
FACU species		x4 =	
UPL species		x5 =	
Column Totals:	_ (A)		_ (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ 1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☒ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ☐ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ☐ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ☐ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
- ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes ☒ No ☐**

Remarks:

Project Site: B2H - 1158540146A

SOIL

Sampling Point: UM_W_0031c

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth	Matrix		Redox Features					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
20	5YR 3/2	90	2.5YR 4/6	10	C	M	Silty Loam	

[1]Type: C= Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☒ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(except MLRA 1)**
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soils Present? **Yes** ☒ **No** ☐

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) **(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)**
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☒ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) **(LRR A)**
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) **(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)**
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) **(LRR A)**
- ☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____
Water Table Present?	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ☒ **No** ☐

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project Site: B2H - 1158540146A City/County: /UMATILLA Sampling Date: 8/5/2011

Applicant/Owner: IDAHO POWER State: OR Sampling Point: UM_W_0031d

Investigator(s): ANSARI/ SPRINGSTEEN Section, Township, Range: 1.00S35.00E17

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): TERRACE Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 1

Subregion (LRR): E Lat: 45.481086654 Long: -118.466870954 Datum: NAD 83

Soil Map Unit Name: Tolo silt loam, 15 to 35 percent slopes NWI classification: R4SBA - RIVERINE Upper perrenial

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☒ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐, significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐

Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐, naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ☐	No ☒	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?**	**Yes ☐ No ☒**
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ☐	No ☒		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ☐	No ☒		

Remarks: This is the upland plot on the opposite side of the drainage feature from 31A.

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. *Pseudotsuga menziesii*	20	yes	FACU
2. *Abies grandis*	10	yes	FACU
3. _____	_____	____	____
4. _____	_____	____	____
50% = 15, 20% = 6	30	= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)			
1. *Ribes lacustre*	5	no	FAC
2. *Symphoricarpus albus*	70	yes	FACU
3. *Vaccinium membranaceum*	10	no	FACU
4. _____	_____	____	____
5. _____	_____	____	____
50% = 42.5, 20% = 17	85	= Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)			
1. *Calamagratis canadensis*	5	yes	FACW
2. _____	_____	____	____
3. _____	_____	____	____
4. _____	_____	____	____
5. _____	_____	____	____
6. _____	_____	____	____
7. _____	_____	____	____
8. _____	_____	____	____
9. _____	_____	____	____
10. _____	_____	____	____
11. _____	_____	____	____
50% = 2.5, 20% = _____	5	= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)			
1. _____	_____	____	____
2. _____	_____	____	____
50% = _____, 20% = _____	_____	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum _____

Dominance Test Worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	1	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	4	(B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	25	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	_____	x1 =	_____
FACW species	5	x2 =	10
FAC species	5	x3 =	15
FACU species	110	x4 =	440
UPL species	_____	x5 =	_____
Column Totals:	120 (A)		465 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.88

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ 1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ☐ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ☐ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ☐ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
- ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes ☐ No ☒**

Remarks:

Project Site: B2H - 1158540146A

SOIL

Sampling Point: UM_W_0031d

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth	Matrix		Redox Features					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
20	7.5YR 3/4	100				M	silty Loam	

[1]Type: C= Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(except MLRA 1)**
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soils Present? **Yes** ☐ **No** ☒

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) **(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)**
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) **(LRR A)**
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) **(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)**
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) **(LRR A)**
- ☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____
Water Table Present?	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ☐ **No** ☒

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project Site: B2H / 1158540146A City/County: /UMATILLA Sampling Date: 8/5/2011
Applicant/Owner: IDAHO POWER State: OR Sampling Point: UM_W_0031e
Investigator(s): ANSARI/ SPRINGSTEEN Section, Township, Range: 1.00S35.00E17
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): TERRACE Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 1
Subregion (LRR): E Lat: 45.4807233799 Long: -118.467883789 Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: Tolo-Klicker association, 3 to 15 percent slopes NWI classification: R4SBA - RIVERINE Upper perrenial

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☒ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐, significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐, naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ☒	No ☐	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?**	**Yes ☒ No ☐**
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ☒	No ☐		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ☒	No ☐		

Remarks: This is the wetland plot for a fringe wetland along a perennial stream channel. Due to the dense forest canopy, the wetland was extremely difficult to map using a trimble. Please obtain the lat/long from the trimble point. This is the upstream end of the drainage feature. Although there appears to be a break in the wetland upstream of this point, there are wetland feature present along the drainage feature beyond the survey area boundary.

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 20 feet)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
50% = _____, 20% = _____		= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 feet)			
1. *Symphoricarpus albus*	15	yes	FACU
2. *Ribes lacustre*	10	yes	FAC
3. *Rubus parviflorus*	15	yes	FAC
4.			
5.			
50% = 20, 20% = 8	40	= Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 feet)			
1. *Calamagrotis canadensis*	40	yes	FACW
2. *Veratrum californicum*	20	yes	FACW
3. *Achillea millifolium*	2	no	FACU
4. *Phleum alpinum*	5	no	FACW
5. *Prunella vulgaris*	2	no	FACU
6. *Senecio integerrimus*	5	no	FACU
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
50% = 37, 20% = 14.8	74	= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)			
1.			
2.			
50% = _____, 20% = _____		= Total Cover	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum _____			

Dominance Test Worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	4	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	5	(B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	80	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x1 =	
FACW species	65	x2 =	130
FAC species	25	x3 =	75
FACU species	24	x4 =	96
UPL species		x5 =	
Column Totals:	114 (A)		301 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.64

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ 1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☒ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ☒ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ☐ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ☐ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
- ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes ☒ No ☐**

Remarks:

Project Site: B2H - 1158540146A

SOIL

Sampling Point: UM_W_0031e

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth	Matrix		Redox Features					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
20	10YR 3/2	85	5YR 3/4	15	C	M	Silty Loam	

[1]Type: C= Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☒ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(except MLRA 1)**
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soils Present? **Yes** ☒ **No** ☐

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) **(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)**
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☒ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) **(LRR A)**
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) **(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)**
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) **(LRR A)**
- ☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____
Water Table Present?	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes	☒	No	☐	Depth (inches): 4

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ☒ **No** ☐

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project Site: B2H City/County: /Umatila Sampling Date: 8/6/11
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power State: OR Sampling Point: UM_W_0031f
Investigator(s): Ansari/Springsteen Section, Township, Range: 1.00S35.00E17
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
Subregion (LRR): E Lat: 385277.959 Long: 5037406.928 Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: Tolo-Klicker association, 3 to 15 percent slopes NWI classification: R4SBA/Riverine Upper Perrenial
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☒ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☒, or Hydrology ☐, significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☐ No ☒
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐, naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ☒	No ☐	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?**	**Yes ☐ No ☒**
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ☐	No ☒		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ☐	No ☒		

Remarks: **Road base and fill are present. Wetland vegetation is present. Soil has hydric indicators below fill. Hydrology is likely impacted by road fill. Road runs adjacent to the feature (within 10 feet). Wetland has been impacted by the road.**

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 20 feet)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
50% = _____, 20% = _____		= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 feet)			
1. *Rubus parviflorus*	20	yes	FAC
2. *Ribes lacustre*	5	no	FAC
3. *Symphoricarpos albus*	50	yes	FACU
4.			
5.			
50% = 37.5, 20% = 15	75	= Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 feet)			
1. *Hypericum perforatum*	1	no	NL (UPL)
2. *Calamagrostis canadensis*	3	no	FACW
3. *Phleum alpinum*	3	no	FACW
4. *Achillea millefolium*	2	no	FACU
5. *Aconitum columianum*	2	no	FACW
6. *Smilacina stellata*	1	no	FAC
7. *Cystopteris fragilis*	5	no	FACU
8. *Viola glabella*	10	yes	FACW
9. *Prunella vulgaris*	2	no	FACU
10.			
11.			
50% = 14.4, 20% = 6	29	= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)			
1.			
2.			
50% = _____, 20% = _____		= Total Cover	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 71			

Dominance Test Worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	2	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	3	(B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	66.6	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x1 =	
FACW species	18	x2 =	36
FAC species	26	x3 =	78
FACU species	59	x4 =	236
UPL species	1	x5 =	5
Column Totals:	104 (A)		355 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.41

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ 1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☒ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ☐ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ☐ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ☐ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
- ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes ☒ No ☐**

Remarks:

Project Site: _____

SOIL

Sampling Point: UM-W-0031f

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth	Matrix		Redox Features					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-15	7.5YR 3/3	100					silty loam	road base
15-20	7.5YR 3/3	95	2.5YR 3/6	5	C	M	silty loam	road base?

[1]Type: C= Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(except MLRA 1)**
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soils Present? **Yes** ☐ **No** ☒

Remarks: first 15" of soil is road fill.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) **(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)**
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☒ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) **(LRR A)**
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) **(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)**
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) **(LRR A)**
- ☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____
Water Table Present?	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ☒ **No** ☐

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project Site: B2H City/County: /Umatila Sampling Date: 8/6/11
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power State: OR Sampling Point: UM_W_0031g
Investigator(s): Ansari/Springsteen Section, Township, Range: 1.00S35.00E17
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
Subregion (LRR): E Lat: 45 28' 50.483 Long: 118 28' 34.098 Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: Tolo-Klicker association, 3 to 15 percent slopes NWI classification: R4SBA/Riverine (Nonperrenial)
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☒ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐, significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐, naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ☒	No ☐	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?**	**Yes ☒ No ☐**
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ☒	No ☐		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ☒	No ☐		

Remarks: **This is the upstream end of the drainage feature (UM_W_0031). Although the wetland ends at this point within the survey boundary, wetlands exist on either side of the feature further upstream of the survey area boundary.**

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 20 feet)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
50% = _____, 20% = _____		= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 feet)			
1. *Rubus parviflorus*	5	yes	FAC
2. *Symphoricarpos albus*	10	yes	FACU
3.			
4.			
5.			
50% = 7.5, 20% = 3	15	= Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 feet)			
1. *Hypericum perforatum*	1	no	NL (UPL)
2. *Calamagrostis canadensis*	60	yes	FACW
3. *Phleum alpinum*	10	no	FACW
4. *Achillea millefolium*	2	no	FACU
5. *Aconitum columianum*	2	no	FACW
6. *Veratrum californicus*	10	no	FACW
7. *Trifolium repens*	10	no	FAC
8. *Cirsium arvense*	2	no	FACU
9.			
10.			
11.			
50% = 48.5, 20% = 19.4	97	= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)			
1.			
2.			
50% = _____, 20% = _____		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 71

Dominance Test Worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	2	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	3	(B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	67	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x1 =	
FACW species	82	x2 =	164
FAC species	15	x3 =	45
FACU species	14	x4 =	56
UPL species	1	x5 =	5
Column Totals:	112 (A)		270 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.41

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ 1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☒ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ☒ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ☐ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ☐ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
- ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes ☒ No ☐**

Remarks:

Project Site: _____

SOIL

Sampling Point: UM-W-0031g

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth	Matrix		Redox Features					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	7.5YR 3/2	85	2.5YR 3/6	15	C	M	silty loam	

[1]Type: C= Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☒ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(except MLRA 1)**
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soils Present? **Yes** ☒ **No** ☐

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) **(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)**
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☒ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) **(LRR A)**
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) **(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)**
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) **(LRR A)**
- ☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____
Water Table Present?	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ☒ **No** ☐

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project Site: B2H City/County: /Umatila Sampling Date: 8/6/11
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power State: OR Sampling Point: UM_W_0031h
Investigator(s): Ansari/Springsteen Section, Township, Range: 1.00S35.00E17
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
Subregion (LRR): E Lat: 45 28' 50.322 Long: 118 28' 3/944 Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: Tolo-Klicker association, 3 to 15 percent slopes NWI classification: R4SBA/Riverine (Nonperrenial)
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☒ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐, significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐
Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐, naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ☐	No ☒	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?**	**Yes ☐ No ☒**
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ☐	No ☒		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ☐	No ☒		

Remarks: **This is the upland plot associated with UM_W_0031G.**

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 20 feet)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. *Pseudotsuga menziesii*	5	yes	FACU
2. *Abies grandis*	12	yes	FACU
3.			
4.			
50% = 8.5, 20% = 3.4	17	= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 feet)			
1. *Rubus parviflorus*	45	yes	FAC
2. *Symphoricarpos albus*	15	yes	FACU
3. *Rosa nutkana*	8	no	FAC
4. *Ribes lacustre*	7	no	FAC
5.			
50% = 37.5, 20% = 15	75	= Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 feet)			
1. *Galium triflorum*	7	yes	FACU
2. *Calamagrostis canadensis*	4	no	FACW
3. *Phleum alpinum*	2	no	FACW
4. *Viola glabella*	5	no	FACW
5. *Smilacina stellata*	1	no	FAC
6. *Thalictrum occidentale*	10	yes	FACU
7. *Prunella vulgaris*	2	no	FACU
8. *Veratrum californicum*	3	no	FACW
9.			
10.			
11.			
50% = 17, 20% = 6.8	34	= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)			
1.			
2.			
50% = _____, 20% = _____		= Total Cover	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 71			

Dominance Test Worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	1	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	6	(B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	17	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x1 =	
FACW species	14	x2 =	28
FAC species	58	x3 =	174
FACU species	54	x4 =	216
UPL species		x5 =	
Column Totals:	126 (A)		418 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.32

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ 1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ☐ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ☐ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ☐ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ☐ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants[1]
- ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes ☐ No ☒**

Remarks: Also had 2-percent Ribes viscosissimum (FAC) in the shrub layer.

Project Site: _____

SOIL

Sampling Point: UM-W-0031h

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth	Matrix		Redox Features					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR 3/3	100					silty loam	

[1]Type: C= Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(except MLRA 1)**
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soils Present? **Yes** ☐ **No** ☒

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) **(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)**
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) **(LRR A)**
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) **(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)**
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) **(LRR A)**
- ☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____
Water Table Present?	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes	☐	No	☒	Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ☐ **No** ☒

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_ML_NW_004

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 32 T 3S R 38E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Shoulder slope **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 2.0 % / 1.1 °

Subregion (LRR): E **Lat.:** 45 15 13.2376 **Long.:** -118 05 18.2901 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: tolo silt loam **NWI classification:** none

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
Verification of hydric soil. No wetland indicators. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Bromus carinatus var. maritimus	40	☑	72.7%	UPL
2. Carex geyeri	10	☐	18.2%	UPL
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4. Fragaria vesca	5	☐	9.1%	FACU
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	55	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 45

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	5	x 4 =	20
UPL species	50	x 5 =	250
Column Totals:	55 (A)		270 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.909

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_NW_0

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-5	7.5YR	2/2	100						Silt Loam	fine roots.
5-11	7.5YR	4/2	100						Silty Clay Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: cobble

Depth (inches): 11

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_ML_NW_008

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 15 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Ridgetop **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 2.0 % / 1.1 °

Subregion (LRR): E **Lat.:** 45 18.4668 **Long.:** -118 9.7127 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Tolo silt loam, 12 to 35 percent slopes **NWI classification:** none

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
Upload plot. Hydric soil verification. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Carex geyeri	75	☑ 78.9%	UPL
2. Bromus ciliatus	20	☑ 21.1%	FAC
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	95	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	1	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	2	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	50.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	20	x 3 =	60
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	75	x 5 =	375
Column Totals:	95 (A)		435 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.579

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_NW_0

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	3/1	100						Silty Clay Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_ML_NW_009

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 15 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Ridgetop **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** flat **Slope:** 1.0 % / 0.6 °

Subregion (LRR): E **Lat.:** 45 18.3689 **Long.:** -118 9.5325 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Tolo silt loam, 12 to 35 percent slopes **NWI classification:** none

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
Upload plot. Hydric soil verification. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Carex geyeri	20	☑	50.0%	UPL
2. Poa bulbosa	20	☑	50.0%	FACU
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	40	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 60

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	20	x 4 =	80
UPL species	20	x 5 =	100
Column Totals:	40 (A)		180 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.500

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_NW_0

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR	3/2	100						Silt Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 6 in

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_ML_NW_01(

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 23 **T** 3S **R** 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Ridgetop **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** flat **Slope:** 5.0 % / 2.9 °

Subregion (LRR): E **Lat.:** 45 17.7765 **Long.:** -118 8.4406 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Starkey very stony silt loam, 2 to 35 percent slopes **NWI classification:** none

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
Upload plot. Hydric soil verification. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)				
1. Festuca altaica	60	☑	66.7%	FACU
2. Ventenata dubia	30	☑	33.3%	UPL
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	90	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 10

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	60	x 4 =	240
UPL species	30	x 5 =	150
Column Totals:	90 (A)		390 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.333

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_NW_0

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR	3/2	100						Silt Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 01-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_ML_NW_300

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 16 T 4S R 38E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Shoulder slope **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** ____ % / ____ °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45.223053 **Long.:** -118.061259 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Tolo silt loam, 12 to 35 percent slopes **NWI classification:** ____

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Pinus ponderosa	25	☑	100.0%	FACU
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	25		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: ____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Symphoricarpos albus	10	☑	40.0%	FACU
2. Rosa woodsii	10	☑	40.0%	FACU
3. Thermopsis montana	5	☑	20.0%	FAC
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	25		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: ____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Achillea millefolium	35	☑	43.8%	FACU
2. Madia glomerata	15	☐	18.8%	FACU
3. Poa pratensis	10	☐	12.5%	FAC
4. Elymus glaucus	20	☑	25.0%	FACU
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	80		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: ____)				
1.		☐	0.0%	
2.		☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 20 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 16.7% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	15	x 3 =	45
FACU species	115	x 4 =	460
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	130 (A)		505 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.885

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

null

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_NW_300

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	7.5YR 3/4	100					Silt Loam	
6-16	7.5YR 4/3	100					Silt Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 02-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_ML_NW_301

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 35 T 4S R 38E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** ____ % / ____ °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45 10.505459 **Long.:** -118 1.94208 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Tolo silt loam, 12 to 35 percent slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1. Abies grandis	50	☑ 100.0%	FACU
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	50	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15)			
1. Symphoricarpos albus	40	☑ 80.0%	FACU
2. Abies grandis	5	☐ 10.0%	FACU
3. Rosa woodsii	5	☐ 10.0%	FACU
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	50	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	100	x 4 =	400
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		400 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

null

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_NW_301

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	7.5YR	3/3	100						Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: compacted soil

Depth (inches): 6

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_ML_W_004a

Investigator(s): tj, sk **Section, Township, Range:** S 23 T 3S R 37e

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Ridgetop **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 0.0 % / 0.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR E **Lat.:** 45 17.4052 **Long.:** -118 8.9708 **Datum:** WGS84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah-Starkey complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** NA

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
Drought conditions. Wetland depression.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Juncus balticus	70	☐ 73.7%	FACW
2. Phleum pratense	10	☐ 10.5%	FAC
3. Potentilla gracilis	5	☐ 5.3%	FAC
4. Epilobium ciliatum	5	☐ 5.3%	FACW
5. Myosotis discolor	5	☐ 5.3%	FAC
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	95	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	75	x 2 =	150
FAC species	20	x 3 =	60
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	95 (A)		210 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.211

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☑ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_W_00

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	2/1	95	5YR	4/4	5	C	M	Clay Loam	remove other horizons.
7-20										
7-20										

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☑ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	10

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_ML_W_004l

Investigator(s): tj, sk **Section, Township, Range:** S 23 T 3S R 37e

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Ridgetop **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 0.0 % / 0.0 °

Subregion (LRR): E **Lat.:** 45 17.4041 **Long.:** -118 8.9708 **Datum:** WGS84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah-Starkey complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** NA

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
Drought conditions. Upland plot.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Crataegus douglasii	0	☐ 0.0%	FAC
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 in.)			
1. Poa bulbosa	15	☐ 17.6%	FACU
2. Ventenata dubia	65	☑ 76.5%	UPL
3. Eriogonum heracleoides	5	☐ 5.9%	UPL
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	85	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 15

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	15	x 4 =	60
UPL species	70	x 5 =	350
Column Totals:	85 (A)		410 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.824

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_W_00

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-3	10YR	2/2	100						Silty Clay Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: cobble

Depth (inches): 3 in

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

refusal at 3 in.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_ML_W_005a

Investigator(s): tj, sk **Section, Township, Range:** S 22 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Ridgetop **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 0.0 % / 0.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR E **Lat.:** 45 17.5855 **Long.:** -118 9.5010 **Datum:** WGS84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah-Starkey complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** NA

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
Drought conditions. WETLAND PLOT.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Iris pseudacorus	30	☐	30.0%	OBL
2. Fragaria vesca	15	☐	15.0%	FACU
3. Carex pellita	45	☑	45.0%	OBL
4. Juncus balticus	10	☐	10.0%	FACW
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	100	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	75	x 1 =	75
FACW species	10	x 2 =	20
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	15	x 4 =	60
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		155 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.550

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☑ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_W_00

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	2/1	95	5YR	4/6	5	C	M	Silty Clay Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☑ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☑ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	10
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	3

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_ML_W_005l

Investigator(s): tj, sk **Section, Township, Range:** S 22 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Ridgetop **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 0.0 % / 0.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR E **Lat.:** 45 17.5873 **Long.:** -118 9.4935 **Datum:** WGS84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah-Starkey complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** NA

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
Drought conditions. Upland plot.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				
1. Bromus carinatus	40	☐	44.4%	UPL
2. Phalaris angusta	0	☐	0.0%	FACW
3. Phleum pratense	30	☑	33.3%	FAC
4. Thermopsis rhombifolia	20	☑	22.2%	FACU
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	90	= Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	1	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	3	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	33.3%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	30	x 3 =	90
FACU species	20	x 4 =	80
UPL species	40	x 5 =	200
Column Totals:	90 (A)		370 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.111

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_W_00

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	2/1	100						Silty Clay Loam	saturation at 14 inches.

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	14

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_ML_W_005c

Investigator(s): tj, sk **Section, Township, Range:** S 22 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Ridgetop **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 4.0 % / 2.3 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR E **Lat.:** 45 17.5951 **Long.:** -118 9.5279 **Datum:** WGS84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah-Starkey complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** NA

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
Drought conditions. WETLAND PLOT.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. Carex pellita	15	☐ 15.0%	OBL
2. Deschampsia cespitosa	15	☐ 15.0%	FACW
3. Iris pseudacorus	10	☐ 10.0%	OBL
4. Juncus balticus ssp. littoralis	60	☑ 60.0%	FACW
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	100	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	25	x 1 =	25
FACW species	75	x 2 =	150
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		175 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.750

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☑ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_W_00

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	2/1	80	10YR	3/2	15	D	M	Clay Loam	
				5YR	5/8	5	C	M		

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☑ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	10
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

saturation to surface.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_ML_W_005d

Investigator(s): tj, sk **Section, Township, Range:** S 22 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Ridgetop **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 4.0 % / 2.3 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR E **Lat.:** 45 17.5943 **Long.:** -118 9.5260 **Datum:** WGS84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah-Starkey complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** NA

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
Drought conditions. UPLAND PLOT.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. Poa pratensis	55	☐ 55.0%	FAC
2. Phleum pratense	25	☑ 25.0%	FAC
3. Achillea millefolium	10	☐ 10.0%	FACU
4. Potentilla gracilis	5	☐ 5.0%	FAC
5. Fragaria vesca	5	☐ 5.0%	FACU
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	100	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	85	x 3 =	255
FACU species	15	x 4 =	60
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		315 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.150

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☑ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_W_00

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	2/1	100							

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_ML_W_006a

Investigator(s): tj, sk **Section, Township, Range:** S 22 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Ridgetop **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 4.0 % / 2.3 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR E **Lat.:** 45 17.6567 **Long.:** -118 9.7085 **Datum:** WGS84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah-Starkey complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** NA

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
Drought conditions. WETLAND PLOT.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. Juncus balticus	45	☑ 45.0%	FACW
2. Danthonia californica	10	☐ 10.0%	FAC
3. Iris pseudacorus	15	☐ 15.0%	OBL
4. Senecio hydrophiloides	10	☐ 10.0%	FACW
5. Hordeum brachyantherum	20	☑ 20.0%	FACW
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	100	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 15

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	2	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	2	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	100.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	15	x 1 =	15
FACW species	75	x 2 =	150
FAC species	10	x 3 =	30
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		195 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.950

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☑ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_W_00

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
	10YR	2/1	90	7.5YR	5/6	10	C	M	Clay Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☑ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☑ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☑ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☑ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_ML_W_006l

Investigator(s): tj, sk **Section, Township, Range:** S 22 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Ridgetop **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 4.0 % / 2.3 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR E **Lat.:** 45 17.6579 **Long.:** -118 9.7074 **Datum:** WGS84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah-Starkey complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** NA

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
Drought conditions. UPLAND PLOT.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. Ventenata dubia	70	☐ 70.0%	UPL
2. Poa bulbosa	30	☑ 30.0%	FACU
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	100	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	30	x 4 =	120
UPL species	70	x 5 =	350
Column Totals:	100 (A)		470 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.700

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_W_00

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-10	10YR	3/1	95	7.5YR	4/6	5	C	M	Silty Clay Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 10 inches

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_ML_W_008a

Investigator(s): tj, sk **Section, Township, Range:** S 22 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 15.0 % / 8.5 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR E **Lat.:** 45.2942832 **Long.:** -118.662018 **Datum:** WGS84

Soil Map Unit Name: Lookingglass very stony silt loam, 2 to 20 percent slopes **NWI classification:** NA

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
Drought conditions. WETLAND PLOT AT HILLSIDE SEEP.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Poa pratensis	25	☑	55.6%	FAC
2. Phleum pratense	10	☑	22.2%	FAC
3. Taraxacum officinale	5	☐	11.1%	FACU
4. Ranunculus orthorhynchus	5	☐	11.1%	FACW
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	45	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 60

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	5	x 2 =	10
FAC species	35	x 3 =	105
FACU species	5	x 4 =	20
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	45 (A)		135 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☑ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
MAJORITY OF BARE GROUND RESULTING FROM CATTLE AND ELK TRAMPLING.

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_W_00

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-5	10YR	2/1	100						Loam	high organic content.
5-20	7.5YR	3/2	95	7.5YR	5/1	5	D	M	Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☑ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☑ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	10

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

HILLSIDE SEEP, LIKELY HIGHER WATER TABLE IN THE SPRING.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_ML_W_008l

Investigator(s): tj, sk **Section, Township, Range:** S 22 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 15.0 % / 8.5 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR E **Lat.:** 45 17.6490 **Long.:** -118 9.9816 **Datum:** WGS84

Soil Map Unit Name: Lookingglass very stony silt loam, 2 to 20 percent slopes **NWI classification:** NA

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
Drought conditions. UPLAND PLOT.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Pseudotsuga menziesii	30	☑ 100.0%	FACU
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	30	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 100

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	30	x 4 =	120
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	30 (A)		120 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
eroded hillside above seep.

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_W_00

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	4/3	100						Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_ML_W_015a

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 10 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 5.0 % / 2.9 °

Subregion (LRR): E **Lat.:** 45 18.5362 **Long.:** -118 10.3330 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah-Starkey complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** PEMA

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
Wetland adjacent to intermittent channel. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Agrostis stolonifera	30	☑	31.6%	FAC
2. Poa pratensis	30	☑	31.6%	FAC
3. Phleum pratense	30	☑	31.6%	FAC
4. Iris pseudacorus	5	☐	5.3%	OBL
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	95	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	5	x 1 =	5
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	90	x 3 =	270
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	95 (A)		275 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.895

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☑ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_W_01

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	2/1	50	7.5YR	4/6	5	C	M	Clay Loam	
	2.5Y	4/2	45							

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☑ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☑ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☑ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_ML_W_015l

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 10 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 5.0 % / 2.9 °

Subregion (LRR): E **Lat.:** 45 18.5390 **Long.:** -118 10.3349 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah-Starkey complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** PEMA

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
Upland plot. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Bromus carinatus	70	☑ 71.4%	UPL
2. Fragaria virginiana	10	☐ 10.2%	FACU
3. Achillea millefolium	18	☐ 18.4%	FACU
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	98	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 10

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	28	x 4 =	112
UPL species	70	x 5 =	350
Column Totals:	98 (A)		462 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.714

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_W_01

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	3/1	100						Silty Clay Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_ML_W_016a

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 15 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 10.0 % / 5.7 °

Subregion (LRR): E **Lat.:** 45 18.6684 **Long.:** -118 10.2622 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah-Starkey complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** none

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
Slope wetland. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Juncus balticus	60	☑ 63.2%	FACW
2. Deschampsia cespitosa	15	☐ 15.8%	FACW
3. Danthonia californica	20	☑ 21.1%	FAC
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	95	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	2	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	2	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	100.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	75	x 2 =	150
FAC species	20	x 3 =	60
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	95 (A)		210 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.211

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☑ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_W_01

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	3/2	80	7.5YR	4/6	5	C	M	Clay Loam	
	10YR	4/2	15							

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☑ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☑ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☑ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_ML_W_016l

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 15 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 10.0 % / 5.7 °

Subregion (LRR): E **Lat.:** 45 18.6657 **Long.:** -118 10.2620 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah-Starkey complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** none

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
Upland plot. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)				
1. Poa pratensis	45	☑	47.4%	FAC
2. Bromus ciliatus	35	☑	36.8%	FAC
3. Juncus balticus	15	☐	15.8%	FACW
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	95	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	2	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	2	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	100.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	15	x 2 =	30
FAC species	80	x 3 =	240
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	95 (A)		270 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.842

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☑ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_ML_W_01

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	3/2	100						Silty Clay Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** IN_MC_NW_00

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 24 T 4S R 38E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Shoulder slope **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 15.0 % / 8.5 °

Subregion (LRR): E **Lat.:** 45 11.9887 **Long.:** -118 0.6006 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: tolo silt loam **NWI classification:** none

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
Verification of NWI PSSA. No soil or hydrology indicators. Adjacent to intermittent channel. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Crataegus douglasii	70	☑	93.3%	FAC
2. Symphoricarpos albus	5	☐	6.7%	FACU
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	75	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Galium aparine	10	☐	14.3%	FACU
2. Potentilla recta	5	☐	7.1%	UPL
3. Bromus briziformis	5	☐	7.1%	UPL
4. Poa pratensis	30	☑	42.9%	FAC
5. Bromus carinatus var. maritimus	20	☑	28.6%	UPL
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	70	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 30

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 66.7% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	100	x 3 =	300
FACU species	15	x 4 =	60
UPL species	30	x 5 =	150
Column Totals:	145 (A)		510 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.517

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☑ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
Light herbaceousvegetation under hawthorn canopy.

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_MC_NW_0

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	7.5YR	2.5/1	100						Clay Loam	no redox.

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: cobble

Depth (inches): 6 in

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** IN_MC_NW_01

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 11 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Ridgetop **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** flat **Slope:** 2.0 % / 1.1 °

Subregion (LRR): E **Lat.:** 45 18.9416 **Long.:** -118 8.8513 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah-Starkey complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** none

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
Upload plot. Hydric soil verification. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Poa bulbosa	45	☑	75.0%	FACU
2. Festuca idahoensis	15	☑	25.0%	FACU
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	60	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 30

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	2	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	60	x 4 =	240
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	60 (A)		240 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_MC_NW_0

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR	3/2	100							

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: cobble

Depth (inches): 6 in

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** IN_MC_NW_01

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 11 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Ridgetop **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** flat **Slope:** 2.0 % / 1.1 °

Subregion (LRR): E **Lat.:** 45 18.8550 **Long.:** -118 8.3740 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Starkey very stony silt loam, 2 to 35 percent slopes **NWI classification:** none

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
Upload plot. Hydric soil verification. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Poa bulbosa	40	☑	40.0%	FACU
2. Bromus hordeaceus ssp. hordeaceus	15	☐	15.0%	FACU
3. Festuca idahoensis	20	☑	20.0%	FACU
4. Madia glomerata	25	☑	25.0%	FACU
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	100	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	100	x 4 =	400
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		400 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_MC_NW_0

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-5	10YR	2/2	100						Silty Clay Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: cobbles

Depth (inches): 5 to 6 in

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

volcanic cobbles

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 25-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_MC_NW_300

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 34 T 3S R 38E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** ___ % / ___ °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45 15.5708 **Long.:** -118 2.7133 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ramo very stony silty clay loam, 2 to 20 percent slopes **NWI classification:** ___

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. Area mapped by NWI as PEMB. Upland field only.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: ___)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: ___)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: ___)				
1. Trisetum cernuum	15	☐	15.0%	FACU
2. Bromus tectorum	60	☑	60.0%	UPL
3. Sisymbrium altissimum	25	☑	25.0%	FACU
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	100		= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: ___)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	2	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	40	x 4 =	160
UPL species	60	x 5 =	300
Column Totals:	100 (A)		460 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.600

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_MC_NW_300

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-4	10YR 2/2	100					Sandy Loam	20% gravel in matrix
4-8	10YR 2/1	100					Sandy Clay Loam	10% of matrix includes pebbles

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 8

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_MC_W_001a

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 24 T 4S R 38E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Gulch or Gully **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 2.0 % / 1.1 °

Subregion (LRR): E **Lat.:** 45 12.1322 **Long.:** -118 0.3891 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Gwinly-Rockly complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** pssa

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
intermittent channel flows into small wetland up gradient of culvert. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Juncus balticus	25	☑ 26.3%	FACW
2. Alopecurus pratensis	70	☑ 73.7%	FAC
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	95	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	25	x 2 =	50
FAC species	70	x 3 =	210
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	95 (A)		260 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.737

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation
- ☑ 2 - Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 [1]
- ☐ 4 - Morphological Adaptations [1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ☐ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants [1]
- ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation [1] (Explain)

[1] Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
bare ground cover value is mostly litter.

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_MC_W_00

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-8	7.5YR	2.5/1	95	7.5YR	4/6	5	C	M	Clay Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock/cobble

Depth (inches): 8

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☑ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_MC_W_001l

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 24 T 4S R 38E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Bench **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 2.0 % / 1.1 °

Subregion (LRR): E **Lat.:** 45 12.1315 **Long.:** -118 0.3917 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Gwinly-Rockly complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** pssa

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
Upland plot. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Erodium cicutarium	10	☑	20.0%	UPL
2. Bromus tectorum	10	☑	20.0%	UPL
3. Alyssum alyssoides	20	☑	40.0%	UPL
4. Potentilla recta	10	☑	20.0%	UPL
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	50	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 50

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	50	x 5 =	250
Column Totals:	50 (A)		250 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 5.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_MC_W_00

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_MC_W_002a

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 24 T 4S R 38E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 1.0 % / 0.6 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR E **Lat.:** 45.1979506 **Long.:** -118.0140225 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Gwinly-Rockly complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** PSSC

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
Wetland plot adjacent to channel. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Alopecurus pratensis	95	☑ 100.0%	FAC
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	95	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	1	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	1	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	100.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	95	x 3 =	285
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	95 (A)		285 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☑ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
bare ground cover value is litter.

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_MC_W_00

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-5	10YR	2/1	100						Silty Clay Loam	
5-20	10YR	2/1	95	5YR	4/6	5	C	M	Silty Clay Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

Saturation to the surface.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_MC_W_002l

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 24 T 4S R 38E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 1.0 % / 0.6 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR E **Lat.:** 45.1979615 **Long.:** -118.0139901 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Gwinly-Rockly complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** PSSC

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
upland plot. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. Poa pratensis	20	☑ 26.7%	FAC
2. Bromus tectorum	35	☑ 46.7%	UPL
3. Bromus inermis	20	☑ 26.7%	UPL
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	75	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 25

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 33.3% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	20	x 3 =	60
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	55	x 5 =	275
Column Totals:	75 (A)		335 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.467

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
upland plot.

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_MC_W_00

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-3	7.5YR	3/1	100						Silt Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 3 in

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

rock adjacent to road bed.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_MC_W_003a

Investigator(s): tjsk **Section, Township, Range:** S 24 T 4S R 38E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Gulch or Gully **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 1.0 % / 0.6 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45.1984763 **Long.:** -118.0143527 **Datum:** WGS84

Soil Map Unit Name: Gwinly-Rockly complex, 5 to 40 percent slope (18E) **NWI classification:** none

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ◉ No ○ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
Wetland is located in a depressional area adjacent to a perennial stream, between adjacent access road and I-84.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Juncus balticus	80	☑ 84.2%	FACW
2. Agrostis stolonifera	5	☐ 5.3%	FAC
3. Alopecurus pratensis	10	☐ 10.5%	FAC
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	95	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	80	x 2 =	160
FAC species	15	x 3 =	45
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	95 (A)		205 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.158

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☑ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_MC_W_00

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-9	10YR	2/1	95	7.5YR	4/6	5	C	M	Silty Clay Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 9 in

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☑ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	9
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

saturated to surface.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_MC_W_003l

Investigator(s): tjsk **Section, Township, Range:** S 24 T 4S R 38E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 2.0 % / 1.1 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45.1984594 **Long.:** -118.0143714 **Datum:** WGS84

Soil Map Unit Name: Gwinly-Rockly complex, 5 to 40 percent slope (18E) **NWI classification:** none

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ◉ No ○ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○	No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○	No ◉
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○	No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)				
1. Cirsium arvense	15	☑	30.0%	FAC
2. Erodium cicutarium	10	☑	20.0%	UPL
3. Bromus tectorum	15	☑	30.0%	UPL
4. Poa pratensis	10	☑	20.0%	FAC
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	50	= Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 50

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	2	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	4	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	50.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	25	x 3 =	75
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	25	x 5 =	125
Column Totals:	50 (A)		200 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

shoulder of rock road bed.

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_MC_W_00

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-3	7.5YR	3/1	100						Silt Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 3 in

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_MC_W_018a

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 11 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Ridgetop **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 5.0 % / 2.9 °

Subregion (LRR): E **Lat.:** 45 18.9349 **Long.:** -118 9.0819 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah-Starkey complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** none

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
WETLAND PLOT. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Danthonia californica	30	☑	31.6%	FAC
2. Phleum pratense	35	☑	36.8%	FAC
3. Juncus balticus	25	☑	26.3%	FACW
4. Carex nebrascensis	5	☐	5.3%	OBL
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	95	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	3	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	3	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	100.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	5	x 1 =	5
FACW species	25	x 2 =	50
FAC species	65	x 3 =	195
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	95 (A)		250 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.632

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☑ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_MC_W_01

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	2/1	90	7.5YR	4/6	10	C	M	Clay Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☑ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☑ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

Seasonal seep.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_MC_W_018b

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 11 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Ridgetop **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 5.0 % / 2.9 °

Subregion (LRR): E **Lat.:** 45 18.9349 **Long.:** -118 9.0819 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah-Starkey complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** none

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
UPLAND PLOT. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Poa secunda	20	☑ 50.0%	FACU
2. Festuca idahoensis	15	☑ 37.5%	FACU
3. Poa bulbosa	5	☐ 12.5%	FACU
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	40	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 60

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	40	x 4 =	160
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	40 (A)		160 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_MC_W_01

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-4	10YR	2/2	100						Clay Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: ROCK

Depth (inches): 4 in

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** JN_MC_W_019a

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 11 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Ridgetop **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** flat **Slope:** 8.7 % / 5.0 °

Subregion (LRR): E **Lat.:** 45 18.9123 **Long.:** -118 8.6250 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah-Starkey complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** none

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
WETLAND PLOT. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				
1. Juncus balticus	40	☑	40.0%	FACW
2. Carex nebrascensis	25	☑	25.0%	OBL
3. Deschampsia caespitosa	25	☑	25.0%	FACW
4. Phleum pratense	10	☐	10.0%	FAC
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	100	= Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	3	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	3	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	100.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:
OBL species	25	x 1 =	25
FACW species	65	x 2 =	130
FAC species	10	x 3 =	30
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		185 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.850

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☑ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_MC_W_01

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR	2/1	95	7.5YR	4/6	5	C	M	Clay Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: cobble

Depth (inches): 6 in

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

volcanic cobbles

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☑ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☑ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_MC_W_019b

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 11 T 3S R 37E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Ridgetop **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** flat **Slope:** 8.7 % / 5.0 °

Subregion (LRR): E **Lat.:** 45 18.9093 **Long.:** -118 8.6236 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah-Starkey complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes **NWI classification:** none

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
UPLAND PLOT. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Madia glomerata	30	☑	30.0%	FACU
2. Poa bulbosa	25	☑	25.0%	FACU
3. Achillea millefolium	10	☐	10.0%	FACU
4. Festuca idahoensis	10	☐	10.0%	FACU
5. Bromus carinatus	25	☑	25.0%	UPL
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	100	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	75	x 4 =	300
UPL species	25	x 5 =	125
Column Totals:	100 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.250

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_MC_W_01

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR	2/2	100						Silty Clay Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: cobbles

Depth (inches): 6 in

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 23-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_MC_W_302a

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 25 T 4S R 38E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 8.0 % / 4.6 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45 11.3269 **Long.:** -118 0.0139 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Watama silt loam, moist, 2 to 12 percent slopes **NWI classification:** PSSC

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ○ No ◉

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ◉ No ○
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)				
1. Schoenoplectus americanus	20	☑	20.0%	OBL
2. Juncus balticus	25	☑	25.0%	FACW
3. Alopecurus pratensis	25	☑	25.0%	FACW
4. Carex nebrascensis	30	☑	30.0%	OBL
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	100		= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	50	x 1 =	50
FACW species	50	x 2 =	100
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		150 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.500

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_MC_W_302a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-3	10YR	2/2	98	10YR	3/4	2	C	M	Silt Loam	dense roots
3-7	10YR	2/1	95	5YR	4/6	5	C	M	Silty Clay Loam	
				2.5Y	4/3	5				this redox color is also in soil horizon 2

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☑ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 23-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_MC_W_302b

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 25 T 4S R 38E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** ____ % / ____ °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45 11.3286 **Long.:** -118 0.127 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Watama silt loam, moist, 2 to 12 percent slopes **NWI classification:** PSSC

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ○ No ◉

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. Plot location is on edge of pasture adjacent to stream, about 2-3 feet hugher than the active channel. During high flood events this area may experience over topping ofthe bank

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: ____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft.)			
1. Salix lutea	20	☑ 100.0%	OBL
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	20	= Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1. Alopecurus pratensis	100	☑ 100.0%	FACW
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	100	= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	20	x 1 =	20
FACW species	100	x 2 =	200
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	120 (A)		220 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.833

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_MC_W_302b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	7.5YR	3/3	100						Loam	
6-12	10YR	2/2	100						Loam	
12-20	10YR	2/1	98	10YR	3/4	2	C	M	Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 23-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_MC_W_303a

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 25 T 4S R 38E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 4.0 % / 2.3 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45 11.3163 **Long.:** -118 0.364 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Watama silt loam, moist, 2 to 12 percent slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks: Drought conditions. Hillside seep wetland that drains onto the road bed as the culvert is clogged and not functional.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Carex nebrascensis	20	☑	25.0%	OBL
2. Iris missouriensis	5	☐	6.3%	FACW
3. Lysimachia nummularia	55	☑	68.8%	FACW
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	80		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 20 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	20	x 1 =	20
FACW species	60	x 2 =	120
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	80 (A)		140 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.750

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_MC_W_303a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-3	10YR	2/1	100						Silty Clay Loam	many roots thoughout.
3-8	10YR	2/1	100						Silty Clay	
8-12	10YR	2/1	50	10YR	2/4	50	C	M	Clay	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 12

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Refusal at 12 inches due to rock.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☑ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	12
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

Saturated to surface.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 23-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_MC_W_303b

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 25 T 4S R 38E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 4.0 % / 2.3 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45 11.3163 **Long.:** -118 0.364 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Watama silt loam, moist, 2 to 12 percent slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. Upland plot. Area has been grazed recently.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Achillea millefolium	5	☐ 12.5%	FACU
2. Alopecurus arundinaceus	25	☑ 62.5%	FAC
3. Agropyron intermedium	10	☑ 25.0%	UPL
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	40	**= Total Cover**	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 60 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	25	x 3 =	75
FACU species	5	x 4 =	20
UPL species	10	x 5 =	50
Column Totals:	40 (A)		145 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.625

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_MC_W_303b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-5	10YR 2/1	100					Silty Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 5

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Refusal at 5 inches due to rock.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_LM_NW_203

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** S 22 T 6S R 39E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Flat **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** None **Slope:** 0.0 % / 0.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45 1.6799 **Long.:** -117 55.7520 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Coughanour silt loam, 2 to 7 percent slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Bromus inermis	30	☑ 46.2%	FACU
2. Agropyron cristatum	15	☑ 23.1%	UPL
3. Sporobolus cryptandrus	10	☐ 15.4%	FACU
4. Onopordum acanthium	10	☐ 15.4%	UPL
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	65	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 35 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	2	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	40	x 4 =	160
UPL species	25	x 5 =	125
Column Totals:	65 (A)		285 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.385

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_LM_NW_203

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR 4/3	100					Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: gravel/cobble

Depth (inches): 6

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Refusal at 6 inches due to gravel/cobbles.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 23-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_LM_NW_204

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** S 34 T 5S R 39E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Flat **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** flat **Slope:** 0.0 % / 0.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45 5.5079 **Long.:** -117 55.6999 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Umapine silt loam **NWI classification:**

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks: Drought conditions. area is a mowed hay field

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 3 ft diameter)				
1. Lepidium perfoliatum	35	☑	53.8%	FACU
2. Medicago sativa	30	☑	46.2%	UPL
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	65		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 15 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	35	x 4 =	140
UPL species	30	x 5 =	150
Column Totals:	65 (A)		290 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.462

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**

☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]

☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**

☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_LM_NW_204

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	2/2	100						Silt Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 23-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_LM_NW_300

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 17 T 5S R 39E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Bench **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 8.0 % / 4.6 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45 8.0104 **Long.:** -117 57.3201 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah silty clay loam **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. Upland plot for hydric soil verification.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)				
1. Bromus tectorum	35	☑	46.7%	UPL
2. Onopordum acanthium	25	☑	33.3%	UPL
3. Amsinckia tessellata	10	☐	13.3%	UPL
4. Pascopyrum smithii	5	☐	6.7%	FAC
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	75		= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 25 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	5	x 3 =	15
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	70	x 5 =	350
Column Totals:	75 (A)		365 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.867

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_LM_NW_300

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	2/2	100						Silt Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 23-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_LM_NW_301

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 16 T 5S R 39E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** ___ % / ___ °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45 8.1071 **Long.:** -117 57.0688 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah silty clay loam **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. Upland plot for hydric soil verification.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: ___)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: ___)				
1. Artemisia tridentata	30	☑	100.0%	UPL
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	30		**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: ___)				
1. Bromus tectorum	20	☑	28.6%	UPL
2. Agropyron intermedium	50	☑	71.4%	UPL
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	70		**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: ___)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 15 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	3	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	100	x 5 =	500
Column Totals:	100 (A)		500 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 5.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_LM_NW_301

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	2/2	100						Silty Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union County **Sampling Date:** 23-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_LM_NW_302

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 16 T 5S R 39E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** ____ % / ____ °

Subregion (LRR): B **Lat.:** 45 8.1071 **Long.:** -117 57.0688 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah silty clay loam **NWI classification:** ____

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks:

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: ____)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: ____)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 0 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	0 (A)		0 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 0.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_LM_NW_302

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 23-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_LM_NW_303

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 16 T 5S R 39E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 1.0 % / 0.6 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45 8.6563 **Long.:** -117 57.5706 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah silty clay loam **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. Upland plot for hydric soil verification.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)				
1. Pascopyrum smithii	5	☐	11.1%	FAC
2. Lupinus argenteus	10	☑	22.2%	UPL
3. Achillea millefolium	5	☐	11.1%	FACU
4. Festuca idahoensis	25	☑	55.6%	FACU
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	45		= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 55 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	2	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	5	x 3 =	15
FACU species	30	x 4 =	120
UPL species	10	x 5 =	50
Column Totals:	45 (A)		185 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.111

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_LM_NW_303

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR 2/2	100					Silt Loam	
6-12	10YR 3/3	100					Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 12

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Refusal at 12 inches due to rock.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 23-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_LM_NW_305

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 5 T 5S R 39E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 3.0 % / 1.7 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45 8.9067 **Long.:** -117 57.8145 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ukiah silty clay loam **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. Upland plot for hydric soil verification. Plot along edge of road.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft.)				
1. Symphoricarpos oreophilus	15	☑	50.0%	NI
2. Ribes cereum	5	☑	16.7%	NI
3. Chrysothamnus nauseosus	5	☑	16.7%	UPL
4. Artemisia vaseyana	5	☑	16.7%	UPL
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	30		= Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)				
1. Poa pratensis	20	☑	57.1%	FAC
2. Achillea millefolium	5	☐	14.3%	FACU
3. Festuca ovina	10	☑	28.6%	FACU
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	35		= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 65 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	1	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	6	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	16.7%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	20	x 3 =	60
FACU species	15	x 4 =	60
UPL species	10	x 5 =	50
Column Totals:	45 (A)		170 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.778

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

bare ground is mostly pine needles and duff.

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_LM_NW_305

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-3	10YR	3/2	100						Loam	
3-8	10YR	2/1	100						Loam	
8-12	10YR	2/2	100						Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 12

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Refusal at 12 inches due to rock.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 02-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_LM_W_300a

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 5 T 5S R 39E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Swale **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 2.0 % / 1.1 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45 9 21.18 **Long.:** -117 58 11.36 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Gwinly very cobbly silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes **NWI classification:** Pema

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks: Drought conditions, wetland in ditch adjacent to road.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.		☐	0.0%	
2.		☐	0.0%	
3.		☐	0.0%	
4.		☐	0.0%	
5.		☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)				
1. Carex nebrascensis	70	☑	70.0%	OBL
2. Juncus balticus	25	☑	25.0%	FACW
3. Dipsacus fullonum	5	☐	5.0%	FAC
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	100		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.		☐	0.0%	
2.		☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	70	x 1 =	70
FACW species	25	x 2 =	50
FAC species	5	x 3 =	15
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		135 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.350

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

null

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_LM_W_300a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-3	10YR	2/2	100						Silt Loam	
3-10	10YR	2/1	95	7.5YR	3/4	5	C	PL	Silty Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 10

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

refusal

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☑ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	7
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 02-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_LM_W_300b

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 5 T 5S R 39E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Swale **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 3.5 % / 2.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45 9.3563 **Long.:** -117 58.1907 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Gwinly very cobbly silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes **NWI classification:** Pema

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. upland plot.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Alopecurus arundinaceus	30	☑ 40.0%	FAC
2. Agropyron intermedium	15	☑ 20.0%	UPL
3. Madia gracilis	30	☑ 40.0%	UPL
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	75	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 25 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 33.3% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	30	x 3 =	90
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	45	x 5 =	225
Column Totals:	75 (A)		315 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.200

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

null

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_LM_W_300b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-10	10YR	2/2	100						Silty Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: clay

Depth (inches): 10

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

compacted clay, shovel refusal.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 03-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **UN_LM_W_301a**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 5 **T** 5S **R** 39E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 1.0 **%** / 0.6 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45 9.34122 **Long.:** -117 58.193015 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Gwinly very cobbly silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes **NWI classification:** Pema

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ◉ No ○
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
3.		☐ 0.0%	
4.		☐ 0.0%	
5.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Typha latifolia	30	☑ 30.0%	OBL
2. Carex nebrascensis	50	☑ 50.0%	OBL
3. Epilobium ciliatum	20	☑ 20.0%	FACW
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	100	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	80	x 1 =	80
FACW species	20	x 2 =	40
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		120 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.200

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

null

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_LM_W_301a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR	2/2	100						Silty Clay Loam	
6-14	10YR	2/1	95	10YR	3/4	5	C	PL	Silty Clay	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☑ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	10
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 03-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **UN_LM_W_301b**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 5 **T** 5S **R** 39E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 3.0 **%** / 1.7 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45 9.341885 **Long.:** -117 58.194767 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Gwinly very cobbly silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes **NWI classification:** Pema

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks: Drought conditions. Upland plot in gravel road bed.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
3.		☐ 0.0%	
4.		☐ 0.0%	
5.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
3.		☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 100 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 0 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	0 (A)		0 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Gravel road bed no vegetation.

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_LM_W_301b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-3	10YR 3/2	100					Silty Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: Rock

Depth (inches): 3

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Refusal at 3 inches due to rock in road bed.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches):

Water Table Present? Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches):

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches):

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 03-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **UN_LM_W_302a**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 5 **T** 5S **R** 39E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Footslope **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 1.5 % / 0.9 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45.154312 **Long.:** -117.971695 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Gwinly very cobbly silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes (17D) **NWI classification:**

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ◉ No ○
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	

Remarks: PEM wetland is located on an east side slope of Ladd Canyon-North Powder Road.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
3.		☐ 0.0%	
4.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Schoenoplectus tabernaemontani	60	☑ 66.7%	OBL
2. Juncus ensifolius	10	☐ 11.1%	FACW
3. Mimulus guttatus	5	☐ 5.6%	OBL
4. Nasturtium officinale	10	☐ 11.1%	OBL
5. Epilobium ciliatum	5	☐ 5.6%	FACW
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	90	**= Total Cover**	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 10 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	75	x 1 =	75
FACW species	15	x 2 =	30
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	90 (A)		105 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.167

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_LM_W_302a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-5	10YR	2/2	100						Silt Loam	roots throughout.
5-14	10YR	2/1	80	10YR	3/6	10	C	PL	Silty Clay	
				10Y	4/1	10	D	M	Silty Clay	
14-20	10YR	4/2	60	10YR	2/1	30	C	M		
						10	C	PL	Silty Clay	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☑ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☑ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	5

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

A 3" drain pipe under the road is a source of hydrology to the wetland.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 03-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **UN_LM_W_302b**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 5 **T** 5S **R** 39E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Footslope **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 1.5 % / 0.9 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45.1543213 **Long.:** -117.9716799 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Gwinly very cobbly silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes (17D) **NWI classification:**

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks:

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
3.		☐ 0.0%	
4.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. Bromus inermis	90	☑ 100.0%	FACU
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	90	**= Total Cover**	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	1	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	90	x 4 =	360
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	90 (A)		360 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

null

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_LM_W_302b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-8	10YR	2/1	100						Silt Loam	
8-20	10YR	3/2	80						Silt Loam	
	10YR	2/1	20						Silt Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 23-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_LM_W_303a

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 5 T 5S R 39E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley bottom **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** ___ % / ___ °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45.160147 **Long.:** -117.964059 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Gwinly-Rockly complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes (18E) **NWI classification:** ___

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks: PEM wetland lies in a swale running parallel to the west side of Frontage Road.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: ___)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: ___)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 feet)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Carex aperta	90	☑	90.0%	FACW
2. Dipsacus fullonum	10	☐	10.0%	FAC
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	100		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: ___)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	90	x 2 =	180
FAC species	10	x 3 =	30
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		210 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.100

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_LM_W_303a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-5	10YR	2/2	100						Clay Loam	thick root mat
5-8	10YR	2/1	100						Silty Clay	
8-15	10YR	2/2	80	10YR	4/4	20	C	M	Clay	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☑ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: clay

Depth (inches): 15

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☑ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☑ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): 0

Water Table Present? Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): 0

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 23-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** UN_LM_W_303b

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 5 T 5S R 39E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Shoulder slope **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** ___ % / ___ °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45.1601461 **Long.:** -117.9640388 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Gwinly-Rockly complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes (18E) **NWI classification:** ___

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ○ No ◉

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks: Upland plot is located on the shoulder of the gravel access road

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: ___)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: ___)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Ventenata dubia	15	☐ 16.7%	UPL
2. Alopecurus pratensis	15	☐ 16.7%	FACW
3. Melilotus officinalis	20	☑ 22.2%	FACU
4. Poa pratensis	20	☑ 22.2%	FAC
5. Phleum pratense	20	☑ 22.2%	FACU
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	90	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: ___)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 10 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 33.3% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	15	x 2 =	30
FAC species	20	x 3 =	60
FACU species	40	x 4 =	160
UPL species	15	x 5 =	75
Column Totals:	90 (A)		325 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.611

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**

☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]

☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**

☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_LM_W_303b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-3	10YR 3/3	100					Silt Loam	on shoulder of access road

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: compacted gravel and soil

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 23-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **UN_LM_W_304a**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 5 **T** 5S **R** 39E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Channel (active) **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 3.0 % / 1.7 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 45.164598 **Long.:** -117.963637 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Catherine silt loam (7) **NWI classification:** PEMCx

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ○ No ◉

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ◉ No ○
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	

Remarks: Wetland is contained within a swale that runs along the east side of a gravel access road on the east side of I-84.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Eleocharis palustris	5	☐ 5.0%	OBL
2. Alopecurus arundinaceus	15	☐ 15.0%	FAC
3. Poa pratensis	5	☐ 5.0%	FAC
4. Carex aperta	45	☑ 45.0%	FACW
5. Juncus balticus	30	☑ 30.0%	FACW
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	100	**= Total Cover**	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	5	x 1 =	5
FACW species	75	x 2 =	150
FAC species	20	x 3 =	60
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		215 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.150

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_LM_W_304a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-5	10YR	2/2	95	10YR	3/6	5	C	PL	Silty Clay	
5-12	10YR	4/1	98	10YR	3/6	2	C	M	Clay	
12-20	10YR	2/1	98	10YR	3/6	2	C	M	Clay	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☑ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☑ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Union **Sampling Date:** 23-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **UN_LM_W_304b**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 5 **T** 5S **R** 39E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 8.0 % / 4.6 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** **Long.:** -117.963681 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Catherine silt loam (7) **NWI classification:**

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ○ No ◉

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks: Upland plot is located on the edge of the wetland swale and the gravel access road.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Epilobium brachycarpum	50	☑ 66.7%	UPL
2. Bromus tectorum	20	☑ 26.7%	UPL
3. Bromus inermis	5	☐ 6.7%	FACU
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	75	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 25 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	5	x 4 =	20
UPL species	70	x 5 =	350
Column Totals:	75 (A)		370 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.933

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**

☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]

☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**

☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: UN_LM_W_304b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR 2/2	100					Silty Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H City/County: Union Sampling Date: 8-25-11

Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power State: OR Sampling Point: UN_H_0330

Investigator(s): MW/JH Section, Township, Range: T5S R39E S26

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0-1

Subregion (LRR): B - Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 45 05'55.231" Long: 117 54'37.888" Datum: NAD 83

Soil Map Unit Name: Wingville Silt Loam NWI classification: NA

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ◉ No ◯ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation ☐ Soil ☐ or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ◉ No ◯

Are Vegetation ☐ Soil ☐ or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No ◉	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No ◉	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◯ No ◉
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No ◉	

Remarks: hydric polygon mostly in existing Jimmy Creek road

VEGETATION

Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover:	%		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover:	%		
Herb Stratum			
1. *Agropyron dasystachyum*	40	Yes	FACU
2. *Lepidium perfoliatum*	18	No	FACU
3. *Onopordum acanthium*	40	Yes	Not Listed
4. *Sisymbrium altissimum*	2	No	FACU
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover:	100%		
Woody Vine Stratum			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover:	%		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum ____ % % Cover of Biotic Crust ____ %

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0 % (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	0
FACW species		x 2 =	0
FAC species		x 3 =	0
FACU species	60	x 4 =	240
UPL species	40	x 5 =	200
Column Totals:	100 (A)		440 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.40

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ◉ Dominance Test is >50%
- ◉ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ☐ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◯ No ◉

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: UN_H_0

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture[3]	Remarks
0-20	5 YR3/1	100					SiC	many fine roots

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.
[3]Soil Textures: Clay, Silty Clay, Sandy Clay, Loam, Sandy Clay Loam, Sandy Loam, Clay Loam, Silty Clay Loam, Silt Loam, Silt, Loamy Sand, Sand.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) **(LRR C)**
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) **(LRR D)**
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[4]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) **(LRR C)**
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR B)**
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[4]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ●

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (any one indicator is sufficient)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) **(Nonriverine)**
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) **(Nonriverine)**
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) **(Nonriverine)**
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) **(Riverine)**
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) **(Riverine)**
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) **(Riverine)**
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ○ No ● Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ○ No ● Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ○ No ● Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ●

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 10-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_LM_NW_200**

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 4 **T** 7S **R** 40E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Swale **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 3.5 **%** / 2.0 **°**

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 59.1165 **Long.:** -117 49.1535 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ridley-Keating silt loams, 2 to 12 percent slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks: Drought conditions. plot is located within BA_LM_STRM_202

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. Poa secunda	25	☑ 41.7%	FACU
2. Agropyron cristatum	20	☑ 33.3%	UPL
3. Hordeum pusillum	15	☑ 25.0%	FACU
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	60	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	40	x 4 =	160
UPL species	20	x 5 =	100
Column Totals:	60 (A)		260 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.333

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**

☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]

☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**

☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

veg is heavily grazed.

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_LM_NW_200

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	2/2	100						Silt Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 10-Aug-13

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** BA_FL_039a

Investigator(s): ALB JAD **Section, Township, Range:** S 9 T 10s R 41E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** none **Slope:** 0.0 % / 0.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44.70317190 **Long.:** -117.70723550 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Campcreek-Skullgulch association, 12 to 35 percent slopes **NWI classification:**

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ◉ No ○ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Area sampled because green vegetation is unique on landscape and a hydrophyte (Chenopodium berlandieri) is abundant. Site is not a wetland. The only FACW plant is very weedy, and hydric soils and hydrology are not present. Site is adjacent to historic trough.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)				
1. Chenopodium berlandieri	50	☑	58.8%	UPL
2. Polygonum aviculare	25	☑	29.4%	FAC
3. Agropyron cristatum	10	☐	11.8%	UPL
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	85		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 15 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	25	x 3 =	75
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	60	x 5 =	300
Column Totals:	85 (A)		375 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.412

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_039a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-24	10YR 3/2	100					Loam	very dry at time of sampling

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Soils not hydric, no redox.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

No hydrology observed.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 04-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_NW_300**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 33 **T** 10S **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** **Slope:** 0.0 **%** / 0.0 **°**

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 38.882667 **Long.:** -117 33.964304 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Snellby stony silt loam, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. Hydric soil verification plot.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Helianthus annuus	5	☐ 5.0%	FACU
2. Cardaria draba	70	☑ 70.0%	UPL
3. Bromus tectorum	10	☐ 10.0%	UPL
4. Bromus arvensis	10	☐ 10.0%	FACU
5. Leymus cinereus	5	☐ 5.0%	FAC
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	100	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	5	x 3 =	15
FACU species	15	x 4 =	60
UPL species	80	x 5 =	400
Column Totals:	100 (A)		475 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.750

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_NW_300

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR 2/2	100					Silt Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

No hydric indicators

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 04-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_NW_301**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 33 **T** 10S **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 6.0 % / 3.4 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 38.9377 **Long.:** -117 33.9434 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Baker silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. Hydric soil verification plot.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Phalaris arundinacea	80	☑ 80.0%	FACW
2. Leymus cinereus	20	☑ 20.0%	FAC
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	100	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	80	x 2 =	160
FAC species	20	x 3 =	60
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		220 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.200

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_NW_301

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-5	10YR 2/2	100					Silty Clay Loam	
5-12	10YR 2/2	100					Sandy Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: cobbles

Depth (inches): 12

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

refusal at 12 in. due to cobble.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 04-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_NW_302**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 33 **T** 10S **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Lowland **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** flat **Slope:** 0.0 % / 0.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 38.9709 **Long.:** -117 33.9520 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Jett silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks: Drought conditions. Hydric soil verification plot.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)				
1. Bromus tectorum	15	☑	100.0%	UPL
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	15		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 85 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	15	x 5 =	75
Column Totals:	15 (A)		75 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 5.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Bare ground is mainly rock.

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_NW_302

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Soil made up of gravel and cobble, no soil pit dug. appears to be fill material.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

No hydric indicators

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_W_011a**

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 13 **T** 9S **R** 40E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 5.0 % / 2.9 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 47.1982 **Long.:** -117 46.1092 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ateron very stony loam, 12 to 35 percent slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks: Drought conditions. SEEP FED WETLAND PLOT. SOIL DISTURBANCE FROM CATTLE TRAMPLING.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.		☐	0.0%	
2.		☐	0.0%	
3.		☐	0.0%	
4.		☐	0.0%	
5.		☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)				
1. Polypogon monspeliensis	20	☑	28.6%	FACW
2. Carex nebrascensis	15	☑	21.4%	OBL
3. Poa fendlerana	35	☑	50.0%	FACU
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	70		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.		☐	0.0%	
2.		☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 30 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 66.7% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	15	x 1 =	15
FACW species	20	x 2 =	40
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	35	x 4 =	140
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	70 (A)		195 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.786

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**

☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]

☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**

☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

null

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_W_011a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-4	10YR	2/1	100						Silty Clay Loam	
4-10	7.5YR	4/2	95	7.5YR	4/6	5	C	M	Silty Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☑ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: cobble

Depth (inches): 10 in

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Surface disturbance due to cattle trampling soils and adjacent road.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☑ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	1
Water Table Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

standing water on surface.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_W_011b**

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 13 **T** 9S **R** 40E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 8.7 % / 5.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 47.1998 **Long.:** -117 46.1040 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ateron very stony loam, 12 to 35 percent slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks: Drought conditions.UPLAND PLOT.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.		☐	0.0%	
2.		☐	0.0%	
3.		☐	0.0%	
4.		☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus	15	☑	60.0%	UPL
2. Chrysothamnus nauseosus	10	☑	40.0%	UPL
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	25		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Agropyron cristatum	30	☑	75.0%	UPL
2. Poa secunda	10	☑	25.0%	FACU
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	40		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.		☐	0.0%	
2.		☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 60 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	10	x 4 =	40
UPL species	55	x 5 =	275
Column Totals:	65 (A)		315 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.846

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**

☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]

☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**

☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

null

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_W_011b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR 3/2	100					Silty Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_W_012a**

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 23 **T** 9S **R** 40E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 8.7 % / 5.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 45.6114 **Long.:** -117 46.1389 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ateron very stony loam, 12 to 35 percent south slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ◉ No ○
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	

Remarks: Drought conditions. WETLSND PLOT. LEAKING WATER TANK IS THE SOURCE.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
3.		☐ 0.0%	
4.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
3.		☐ 0.0%	
4.		☐ 0.0%	
5.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Hordeum jubatum	90	☑ 100.0%	FAC
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	90	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 10 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	90	x 3 =	270
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	90 (A)		270 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

null

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_W_012a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	7.5YR	2/1	95	7.5YR	4/6	5	C	M	Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☑ Surface Water (A1)
- ☑ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

standing water on surface.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_W_012b**

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 23 **T** 9S **R** 40E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 8.7 % / 5.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 45.6128 **Long.:** -117 46.1378 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ateron very stony loam, 12 to 35 percent south slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. UPLAND PLOT. LEAKING WATER TANK IS THE SOURCE.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
3.		☐ 0.0%	
4.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft.)			
1. Artemisia cana	10	☑ 40.0%	FACU
2. Artemisia tridentata	15	☑ 60.0%	UPL
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	25	**= Total Cover**	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Bromus tectorum	15	☑ 42.9%	UPL
2. Festuca idahoensis	15	☑ 42.9%	FACU
3. Elymus elymoides ssp. elymoides	5	☐ 14.3%	FACU
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	35	**= Total Cover**	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 65 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	30	x 4 =	120
UPL species	30	x 5 =	150
Column Totals:	60 (A)		270 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.500

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

null

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_W_012b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 27-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_W_300a**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 28 **T** 10S **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Lowland **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 2.0 % / 1.1 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 38.6288 **Long.:** -117 33.5225 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Baker silt loam, 2 to 7 percent slopes **NWI classification:** pema

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Alopecurus arundinaceus	30	☐	30.0%	FAC
2. Carex nebrascensis	69	☑	69.0%	OBL
3. Cirsium arvense	1	☐	1.0%	FACU
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	100		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	69	x 1 =	69
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	30	x 3 =	90
FACU species	1	x 4 =	4
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		163 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.630

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**

☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]

☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**

☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_W_300a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR	2/2	98	10YR	4/6	2	C	M	Silty Clay Loam	
6-20	10YR	2/1	98	10YR	4/6	2	C	PL	Silty Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☑ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

late season survey, assume saturation earlier in the growing season. moist below 6 in. but not saturated.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 27-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_W_300b**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 28 **T** 10S **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Lowland **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 2.0 % / 1.1 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 38.6288 **Long.:** -117 33.5225 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Baker silt loam, 2 to 7 percent slopes **NWI classification:** pema

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')			
1. Pascopyrum smithii	65	☐ 76.5%	FAC
2. Poa pratensis	10	☐ 11.8%	FAC
3. Cirsium arvense	10	☐ 11.8%	FACU
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	85	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 15 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	75	x 3 =	225
FACU species	10	x 4 =	40
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	85 (A)		265 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.118

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_W_300b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-3	10YR 2/2	100					Silty Clay Loam	roots through out
3-20	10YR 2/1	100					Silty Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 27-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_W_301a**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 28 **T** 10S **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Channel (active) **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 2.0 % / 1.1 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 38.6538 **Long.:** -117 33.5550 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Baker silt loam, 2 to 7 percent slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Salix exigua	25	☑	100.0%	FACW
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	25		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Typha latifolia	50	☐	62.5%	OBL
2. Epilobium ciliatum	10	☐	12.5%	FACW
3. Veronica americana	20	☑	25.0%	OBL
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	80		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 20 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	70	x 1 =	70
FACW species	35	x 2 =	70
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	105 (A)		140 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.333

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**

☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]

☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**

☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_W_301a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR 3/2	100					Sandy Loam	roots throughout.
6-20	10YR 2/1	100					Sandy Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☑ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☑ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

no redox evident but clearly wetland soils. plot is located in an active channel.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☑ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☑ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	12
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 27-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_W_301b**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 28 **T** 10S **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Terrace **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 8.0 % / 4.6 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 38.6591 **Long.:** -117 33.5551 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Baker silt loam, 2 to 7 percent slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft.)			
1. Artemisia tridentata	20	☑ 100.0%	UPL
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	20	**= Total Cover**	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Salsola kali	20	☐ 66.7%	FACU
2. Clematis ligusticifolia	10	☑ 33.3%	FAC
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	30	**= Total Cover**	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 70 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 33.3% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	10	x 3 =	30
FACU species	20	x 4 =	80
UPL species	20	x 5 =	100
Column Totals:	50 (A)		210 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.200

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_W_301b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-3	10YR 3/2	100					Silt Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 3

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

refusal at 3 in. due to rock.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 05-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_W_302a**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 28 **T** 10S **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Lowland **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 0.0 % / 0.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 39.9353 **Long.:** -117 34.4550 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Nagle silt loam, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ◉ No ○
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Eleocharis palustris	15	☐ 25.0%	OBL
2. Bidens frondosa	15	☑ 25.0%	FACW
3. Juncus acuminatus	25	☑ 41.7%	OBL
4. Veronica americana	5	☐ 8.3%	OBL
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	60	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 40 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	45	x 1 =	45
FACW species	15	x 2 =	30
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	60 (A)		75 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.250

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

highly disturbed from cattle hoof action. Hummocked area.

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_W_302a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-5	10YR 2/1	100					Silt Loam	
5-16	10YR 2/1	100					Silty Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☑ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 16

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

no redox apparent despite saturated conditions late in season.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☑ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	5
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

Saturated in upper 5 in. Standing water immediately adjacent.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 05-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_W_302b**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 28 **T** 10S **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Lowland **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 0.0 % / 0.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 39.9363 **Long.:** -117 34.4562 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Nagle silt loam, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Onopordum acanthium	5	☐ 33.3%	UPL
2. Bromus tectorum	5	☑ 33.3%	UPL
3. Melilotus officinalis	5	☑ 33.3%	FACU
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	15	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 85 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	5	x 4 =	20
UPL species	10	x 5 =	50
Column Totals:	15 (A)		70 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.667

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_W_302b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-12	10YR	3/3	100						Silt Loam	
12-20	10YR	4/3	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	Silt Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 05-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_W_303a**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 28 **T** 10S **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Swale **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** flat **Slope:** 1.0 % / 0.6 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44.66503 **Long.:** -117.573821 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Nagle silt loam, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ◉ No ○
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Typha latifolia	50	☐ 50.0%	OBL
2. Bidens frondosa	5	☐ 5.0%	FACW
3. Carex nebrascensis	25	☑ 25.0%	OBL
4. Veronica americana	5	☐ 5.0%	OBL
5. Polypogon monspeliensis	5	☐ 5.0%	FACW
6. Juncus acuminatus	10	☐ 10.0%	OBL
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	100	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	90	x 1 =	90
FACW species	10	x 2 =	20
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		110 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.100

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

disturbedfrom livestock.

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_W_303a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR	2/1	100						Silt Loam	
6-20	10YR	2/1	100						Silty Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☑ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☑ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches): 5
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

water seeping out at 5 in.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 05-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_W_303b**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 28 **T** 10S **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Footslope **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** none **Slope:** 10.0 % / 5.7 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 39.9215 **Long.:** -117 34.4452 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Nagle silt loam, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Cardaria draba	5	☐ 33.3%	UPL
2. Bromus tectorum	5	☑ 33.3%	UPL
3. Bromus arvensis	5	☑ 33.3%	FACU
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	15	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 85 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	3	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	5	x 4 =	20
UPL species	10	x 5 =	50
Column Totals:	15 (A)		70 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.667

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_W_303b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR	2/2	90	10YR	4/2	10	D	M	Silt Loam	
6-12	10YR	2/1	90	10Y	4/1	10	D	M	Clay Loam	
12-20	10YR	2/2	90	10Y	4/1	10	D	M	Silt Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☑ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

moist at 3 in but not saturated.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 05-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_W_304a**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 28 **T** 10S **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Footslope **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** hummocky **Slope:** 4.0 % / 2.3 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 39.7908 **Long.:** -117 34.4053 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Nagle silt loam, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ◉ No ○
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Juncus acuminatus	60	☐ 75.0%	OBL
2. Festuca arundinacea	5	☐ 6.3%	FACU
3. Veronica americana	10	☐ 12.5%	OBL
4. Equisetum fluviatile	5	☐ 6.3%	OBL
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	80	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 20 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	75	x 1 =	75
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	5	x 4 =	20
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	80 (A)		95 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.188

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_W_304a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-5	10YR	2/2	95	10Y	3/1	5	D	M	Silt Loam	
5-20	10YR	2/1	95	5GY	3/1	5			Sandy Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☑ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☑ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

saturated at surface, water seeping in at 5in.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 05-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_W_304b**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 28 **T** 10S **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 15.0 % / 8.5 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 39.7861 **Long.:** -117 34.4082 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Nagle silt loam, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Cardaria draba	10	☐ 40.0%	UPL
2. Onopordum acanthium	5	☑ 20.0%	UPL
3. Bromus tectorum	5	☑ 20.0%	UPL
4. Cirsium arvense	5	☑ 20.0%	FACU
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	25	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 75 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	5	x 4 =	20
UPL species	20	x 5 =	100
Column Totals:	25 (A)		120 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.800

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_W_304b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR	2/2	90	10YR	6/3	10	D	M	Silt Loam	
6-20	10YR	4/2	50	10YR	3/4	25	C	M	Silty Clay Loam	
				10YR	2/1	25	C	M		

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☑ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker County **Sampling Date:** 22-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_W_305a**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 33 **T** 10S **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Channel (active) **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 2.0 % / 1.1 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 39.5793 **Long.:** -117 34.4069 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ruckles-Ruclick-Snellby complex, 35 to 50 percent slopes **NWI classification:** PFOA

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ◉ No ○
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Mentha arvensis	10	☐ 10.0%	FACW
2. Juncus balticus	50	☑ 50.0%	FACW
3. Dipsacus fullonum	5	☐ 5.0%	FAC
4. Epilobium ciliatum	5	☐ 5.0%	FACW
5. Canadanthus modestus	30	☑ 30.0%	FACW
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	100	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	95	x 2 =	190
FAC species	5	x 3 =	15
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		205 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.050

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_W_305a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-12	10YR	2/2	100						Muck	
12-16	10YR	2/1	100						Silty Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☑ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 16

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Refusal at 16 inches due to rock.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☑ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	11
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

Saturated to the surface.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker County **Sampling Date:** 22-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_W_305b**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 33 **T** 10S **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 8.0 **%** / 4.6 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 39.5793 **Long.:** -117 34.4069 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ruckles-Ruclick-Snellby complex, 35 to 50 percent slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. Dipsacus fullonum	100	☐ 100.0%	FAC
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	100	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	100	x 3 =	300
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		300 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_W_305b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-3	10YR	2/2	100						Loam	fine roots
3-16	10YR	2/2	100						Clay Loam	
16-20	10YR	3/1	100						Sandy Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

soils dry to bottom of oit

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker County **Sampling Date:** 22-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** BA_FL_W_305c

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** S 33 T 10S R 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 4.0 % / 2.3 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 39.5793 **Long.:** -117 34.4069 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ruckles-Ruclick-Snellby complex, 35 to 50 percent slopes **NWI classification:** PEMC

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Alopecurus pratensis	30	☐	32.3%	FACW
2. Juncus balticus	33	☑	35.5%	FACW
3. Plantago lanceolata	15	☐	16.1%	FAC
4. Rumex crispus	15	☐	16.1%	FAC
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	93		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 10 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	63	x 2 =	126
FAC species	30	x 3 =	90
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	93 (A)		216 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.323

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_W_305c

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-7	10YR	2/2	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	PL	Silt Loam	
7-14	10YR	2/2	80	10YR	4/4	20	C	M	Sandy Clay Loam	
14-20	10YR	2/1	100					PL		
									Sandy Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☑ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☑ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☑ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker County **Sampling Date:** 22-Aug-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_FL_W_305d**

Investigator(s): ES, TJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 33 **T** 10s **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** none **Slope:** 4.0 **%** / 2.3 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 39.3325 **Long.:** -117 34.4956 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ruckles-Ruclick-Snellby complex, 35 to 50 percent slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Agropyron cristatum	65	☐ 92.9%	UPL
2. Pascopyrum smithii	5	☐ 7.1%	FAC
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	70	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 30 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	5	x 3 =	15
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	65	x 5 =	325
Column Totals:	70 (A)		340 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.857

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_FL_W_305d

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-3	10YR 3/3	100					Loam	wood and roots throughout.
3-15	10YR 2/2	100					Sandy Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 15

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Refusal at 15 inches due to rock.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 07-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_NW_007**

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 27 **T** 13S **R** 44E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Flat **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** flat **Slope:** 0.0 % / 0.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 24.8296 **Long.:** -117 18.5047 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: cumulic haploxerolls **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. Hydric soil verification. upland plot.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. Poa bulbosa	30	☑ 37.5%	FACU
2. Agropyron cristatum	40	☑ 50.0%	UPL
3. Bromus tectorum	10	☐ 12.5%	UPL
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	80	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 20 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	30	x 4 =	120
UPL species	50	x 5 =	250
Column Totals:	80 (A)		370 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.625

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_NW_007

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-2	10YR 3/2	100						gravels throughout.

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: gravels

Depth (inches): 2 in

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

gravel roadbed.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 23-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_NW_206**

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 16 **T** 12S **R** 43E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Flat **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 0.0 **%** / 0.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 31.319656 **Long.:** -117 27.70764 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Taterpa loam, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks: Drought conditions. SURVEY ARE IS DOWNSTREAM FROM STOCK/RETENTION POND. HEAVILY GRAZED

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Juniperus occidentalis	2	☐	100.0%	UPL
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	2		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Artemisia tridentata	30	☑	93.8%	UPL
2. Rosa woodsii	2	☐	6.3%	FACU
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	32		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Achillea millefolium	5	☑	71.4%	FACU
2. Cardaria draba	2	☑	28.6%	UPL
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	7		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 20 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	7	x 4 =	28
UPL species	34	x 5 =	170
Column Totals:	41 (A)		198 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.829

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**

☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]

☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**

☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

GRASSES HAVE BEEN GRAZED.

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_NW_206

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR 2/1	100						

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 23-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_NW_207**

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 17 **T** 12S **R** 43E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 9.0 % / 5.1 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 31.1609 **Long.:** -117 28.3449 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Taterpa loam, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks: Drought conditions. area is down slope from spring in Aspen stand

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 3 ft diameter)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus	10	☑	100.0%	UPL
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	10		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Amsinckia tessellata	45	☑	58.4%	UPL
2. Cardaria draba	30	☑	39.0%	UPL
3. Rumex crispus	2	☐	2.6%	FAC
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	77		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	2	x 3 =	6
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	85	x 5 =	425
Column Totals:	87 (A)		431 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.954

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**

☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]

☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**

☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

GRASSES HAVE BEEN GRAZED

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_NW_207

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	2/1	100						Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 26-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_NW_208**

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 22 **T** 12S **R** 43E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Bench **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** flat **Slope:** 6.0 % / 3.4 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 30.3918 **Long.:** -117 26.1990 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ruclick very cobbly silt loam, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. SURVEY AREA IS OLD HOMESITE WITH STREAMS CONNECTING AT NE CORNER. SURVEY AREA IS ABOVE STREAM CHANNELS, NO HYDRIC INDICATORS OBSERVED

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 3 ft diameter)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Artemisia tridentata	5	☑	100.0%	UPL
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	5		**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 3 ft diameter)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Cardaria draba	10	☑	45.5%	UPL
2. Achillea millefolium	2	☐	9.1%	FACU
3. Bromus tectorum	5	☑	22.7%	UPL
4. Poa bulbosa	5	☑	22.7%	FACU
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	22		**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 20 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	4	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	7	x 4 =	28
UPL species	20	x 5 =	100
Column Totals:	27 (A)		128 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.741

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_NW_208

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	2/2	100							

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 24-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_NW_209**

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 16 **T** 13S **R** 44E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Bench **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** flat **Slope:** 4.0 **%** / 2.3 **°**

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 26.5431 **Long.:** -117 20.1477 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Powval silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☑ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. heavily grazed

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. Agropyron intermedium	10	☑ 33.3%	UPL
2. Calamagrostis rubescens	10	☑ 33.3%	UPL
3. Poa bulbosa	10	☑ 33.3%	FACU
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	30	**= Total Cover**	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	3	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	10	x 4 =	40
UPL species	20	x 5 =	100
Column Totals:	30 (A)		140 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.667

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_NW_209

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-10	10YR	2/2	100						Sandy Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 10

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 24-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_NW_210**

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 16 **T** 13S **R** 44E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Bench **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** flat **Slope:** 4.0 **%** / 2.3 **°**

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 26.5053 **Long.:** -117 20.1032 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Ruclick very cobbly silt loam, 35 to 50 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks: Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Bromus tectorum	30	☑	54.5%	UPL
2. Poa bulbosa	15	☑	27.3%	FACU
3. Calamagrostis rubescens	10	☐	18.2%	UPL
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	55		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	15	x 4 =	60
UPL species	40	x 5 =	200
Column Totals:	55 (A)		260 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.727

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**

☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]

☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**

☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_NW_210

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-14	10YR	2/2	100						Silt Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 14

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 24-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_NW_211**

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 16 **T** 13S **R** 44E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Bench **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** flat **Slope:** 3.0 **%** / 1.7 **°**

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 26.7049 **Long.:** -117 19.8542 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Powval silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☑ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. veg is heavily grazed

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 3 ft diameter)			
1. Bromus tectorum	15	☑ 71.4%	UPL
2. Onopordum acanthium	6	☑ 28.6%	UPL
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	21	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 15 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	21	x 5 =	105
Column Totals:	21 (A)		105 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 5.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

no other grasses could be identified due to grazing. all veg is brown/dry

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_NW_211

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	2.5Y	3/3	100						Sandy Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** BA_WT_W_009a

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** S 36 T 11S R 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** **Slope:** % / °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 33.3885 **Long.:** -117 30.8741 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Nagle silt loam, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** pemb

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks: Drought conditions. WETLAND PLOT

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.		☐	0.0%	
2.		☐	0.0%	
3.		☐	0.0%	
4.		☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Rosa nutkana	10	☑	100.0%	FACU
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	10		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Nasturtium officinale	80	☑	88.9%	OBL
2. Iris pseudacorus	10	☐	11.1%	OBL
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	90		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.		☐	0.0%	
2.		☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	90	x 1 =	90
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	10	x 4 =	40
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		130 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.300

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

null

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_009a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-4	10YR	2/1	95	7.5YR	4/4	5	C	M	Sandy Loam	
4-20	10YR	6/3	45	5YR	4/6	10	C	M	Sand	
20-21	6/5GY		25	2.5/10		10	C	M	Sand	second matrix color in 4-20 layer. 6/5GY AND

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☑ Surface Water (A1)
- ☑ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☑ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_W_009b**

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 36 **T** 11S **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** **Slope:** % / °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 33.3880 **Long.:** -117 30.8732 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Nagle silt loam, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** pemb

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. UPLAND PLOT

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
3.		☐ 0.0%	
4.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
3.		☐ 0.0%	
4.		☐ 0.0%	
5.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. Bromus hordeaceus ssp. hordeaceus	80	☑ 80.0%	FACU
2. Bromus tectorum	10	☐ 10.0%	UPL
3. Poa pratensis	10	☐ 10.0%	FAC
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	100	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	10	x 3 =	30
FACU species	80	x 4 =	320
UPL species	10	x 5 =	50
Column Totals:	100 (A)		400 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

null

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_009b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR 3/1	100						

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_W_009c**

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 36 **T** 11S **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 8.7 % / 5.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 33.4132 **Long.:** -117 30.7949 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Nagle silt loam, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** pemb

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ◉ No ○
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	

Remarks: Drought conditions. WETLAND PLOT

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
3.		☐ 0.0%	
4.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
3.		☐ 0.0%	
4.		☐ 0.0%	
5.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. Juncus balticus	60	☑ 60.0%	FACW
2. Carex nebrascensis	30	☑ 30.0%	OBL
3. Elymus trachycaulus ssp. subsecundus	10	☐ 10.0%	FACU
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	100	**= Total Cover**	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	2	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	2	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	100.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	30	x 1 =	30
FACW species	60	x 2 =	120
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	10	x 4 =	40
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		190 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.900

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

null

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_009c

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR 3/1	100					Sandy Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☑ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☑ Surface Water (A1)
- ☑ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☑ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_W_009d**

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 36 **T** 11S **R** 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Bench **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 8.7 % / 5.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 33.4090 **Long.:** -117 30.7988 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Nagle silt loam, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** pemb

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks: Drought conditions. UPLAND PLOT

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.		☐	0.0%	
2.		☐	0.0%	
3.		☐	0.0%	
4.		☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.		☐	0.0%	
2.		☐	0.0%	
3.		☐	0.0%	
4.		☐	0.0%	
5.		☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				
1. Poa fendlerana	70	☑	70.0%	FACU
2. Agrostis stolonifera	10	☐	10.0%	FACW
3. Melissa officinalis	20	☑	20.0%	UPL
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	100	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.		☐	0.0%	
2.		☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	10	x 2 =	20
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	70	x 4 =	280
UPL species	20	x 5 =	100
Column Totals:	100 (A)		400 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**

☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]

☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**

☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

null

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_009d

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	3/1	100						Silt Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_W_010a**

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 6 **T** 12S **R** 43E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Bench **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 8.7 % / 5.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 33.2555 **Long.:** -117 30.2672 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Nagle silt loam, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ◉ No ○
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	

Remarks: Drought conditions. WETLAND PLOT. SEEP FED WETLAND IN ROAD.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
3.		☐ 0.0%	
4.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
3.		☐ 0.0%	
4.		☐ 0.0%	
5.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Polypogon monspeliensis	15	☑ 20.0%	FACW
2. Juncus bufonius	50	☑ 66.7%	FACW
3. Veronica americana	10	☐ 13.3%	OBL
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	75	**= Total Cover**	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 40 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	10	x 1 =	10
FACW species	65	x 2 =	130
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	75 (A)		140 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.867

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

null

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_010a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR	3/1	70	7.5YR	4/6	10	C	M	Sandy Loam	
			20	N	2.5/1		D	M		

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: gravels

Depth (inches): 6 in

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

seep fed wetland.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 22-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_W_010b**

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 6 **T** 12S **R** 43E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Bench **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 8.7 % / 5.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 33.2596 **Long.:** -117 30.2670 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Nagle silt loam, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. UPLAND PLOT.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
3.		☐ 0.0%	
4.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
3.		☐ 0.0%	
4.		☐ 0.0%	
5.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. Bromus tectorum	30	☑ 42.9%	UPL
2. Amsinckia tessellata	40	☑ 57.1%	UPL
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	70	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.		☐ 0.0%	
2.		☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 30 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	70	x 5 =	350
Column Totals:	70 (A)		350 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 5.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

null

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_010b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR 3/1	100					Sandy Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: gravels

Depth (inches): 6 In

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 27-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_W_013a**

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 28 **T** 13S **R** 44E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 0.0 % / 0.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 24.6204 **Long.:** -117 20.0341 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Snaker-Darkcanyon complex, 30 to 50 percent south slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks: Drought conditions. wetland plot.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Hordeum jubatum	40	☑	50.0%	FAC
2. Juncus bufonius	30	☑	37.5%	FACW
3. Dipsacus fullonum	10	☐	12.5%	FAC
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	80		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	30	x 2 =	60
FAC species	50	x 3 =	150
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	80 (A)		210 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.625

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**

☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]

☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**

☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_013a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	2/1	90	5YR	3/4	5	C	M	Silty Clay Loam	
20-21	5G	5	5							depletion in above layer

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☑ Surface Water (A1)
- ☑ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☑ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 27-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_W_013b**

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 28 **T** 13S **R** 44E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 0.0 % / 0.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 24.6144 **Long.:** -117 20.0303 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Snaker-Darkcanyon complex, 30 to 50 percent south slopes **NWI classification:** None

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. upland plot.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. Poa bulbosa	70	☑ 70.0%	FACU
2. Convolvulus arvensis	30	☑ 30.0%	UPL
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	100	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	70	x 4 =	280
UPL species	30	x 5 =	150
Column Totals:	100 (A)		430 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.300

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_013b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-15	10YR 2/2	100						

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: gravels

Depth (inches): 15

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

dry, compacted

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 27-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_W_014a**

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 28 **T** 13S **R** 44E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 26.7 % / 15.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 24.5801 **Long.:** -117 20.529 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Snaker-Darkcanyon complex, 30 to 50 percent south slopes **NWI classification:** no

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks: Drought conditions. SEEP FED WETLAND PLOT. SOIL DISTURBANCE FROM CATTLE TRAMPLING.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft.)				
1. Salix amygdaloides	5	☑	100.0%	FACW
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	5		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)				
1. Agrostis idahoensis	30	☐	31.6%	FACW
2. Hordeum jubatum	10	☐	10.5%	FAC
3. Eleocharis palustris	55	☑	57.9%	OBL
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	95		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	55	x 1 =	55
FACW species	35	x 2 =	70
FAC species	10	x 3 =	30
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		155 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.550

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**

☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]

☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**

☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_014a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	2.5Y	3/1	90	10YR	5/6	5	C	M	Clay Loam	
				7.5YR	5/6	5	C	M		

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☑ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

Shallow <1" standing water on surface.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 27-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_W_014b**

Investigator(s): TJ, SK **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 28 **T** 13S **R** 44E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 26.7 % / 15.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 24.5818 **Long.:** -117 20.0559 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Snaker-Darkcanyon complex, 30 to 50 percent south slopes **NWI classification:** no

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Cardaria draba	15	☐ 18.8%	UPL
2. Bromus tectorum	30	☑ 37.5%	UPL
3. Poa bulbosa	35	☑ 43.8%	FACU
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	80	**= Total Cover**	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 20 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	35	x 4 =	140
UPL species	45	x 5 =	225
Column Totals:	80 (A)		365 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.563

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_014b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	3/1	95	7.5YR	4/4	5	C	M	Silt Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 25-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** BA_WT_W_202

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** S 12 T 12S R 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Channel (active) **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 3.5 % / 2.0 °

Subregion (LRR): **Lat.:** 44 32.3534 **Long.:** -117 31.5723 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Damore-Silvies silt loams, 0 to 3 percent slopes **NWI classification:** R4SBA

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 3 ft diameter)				
1. Eleocharis palustris	20	☑	28.6%	OBL
2. Carex jonesii	10	☐	14.3%	OBL
3. Juncus balticus	40	☑	57.1%	FACW
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	70	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	30	x 1 =	30
FACW species	40	x 2 =	80
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	70 (A)		110 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.571

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☑ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_20

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-14	10YR	2/1	90	10YR	4/6	10	C	M	Loam	
14-20	10YR	4/4	100						Sand	no hydric indicators observed but soil is satura

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	10
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	2

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 25-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** BA_WT_W_202b

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** S 12 T 12S R 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** flat **Slope:** 5.2 % / 3.0 °

Subregion (LRR): **Lat.:** 44 32.3439 **Long.:** -117 31.5972 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Damore-Silvies silt loams, 0 to 3 percent slopes **NWI classification:** R4SBA

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Artemisia tridentata	5	☑ 100.0%	UPL
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	5	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Leymus cinereus	15	☐ 17.6%	FAC
2. Elymus glaucus	70	☑ 82.4%	FACU
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	85	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	15	x 3 =	45
FACU species	70	x 4 =	280
UPL species	5	x 5 =	25
Column Totals:	90 (A)		350 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.889

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_20

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-8	10YR	2/1	100						Silt Loam	
8-20	10YR	2/2	100						Silt Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 25-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** BA_WT_W_204A

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** S 12 T 12S R 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** undulating **Slope:** 7.0 % / 4.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 32.3103 **Long.:** -117 31.3628 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Crackler-Rouen gravelly silt loams, 30 to 50 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** PEMB

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			
1. Juncus balticus	25	☑ 67.6%	FACW
2. Hordeum pusillum	5	☐ 13.5%	FACU
3. Iris missouriensis	5	☐ 13.5%	FACW
4. Calamagrostis rubescens	2	☐ 5.4%	UPL
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	37	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	30	x 2 =	60
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	5	x 4 =	20
UPL species	2	x 5 =	10
Column Totals:	37 (A)		90 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.432

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☑ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_20

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-5	10YR	2/1	95	10YR	4/1	5	D	M	Loam	
5-14	10YR	4/1	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	Silt Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☑ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☑ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rocks

Depth (inches): 14

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☑ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☑ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 25-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** BA_WT_W_204b

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** S 12 T 12S R 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** undulating **Slope:** 7.0 % / 4.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 32.3055 **Long.:** -117 31.3785 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Crackler-Rouen gravelly silt loams, 30 to 50 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** none

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 3 ft diameter)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Artemisia tridentata	15	☑	100.0%	UPL
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	15	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Elymus elymoides	15	☑	46.9%	FACU
2. Achillea millefolium	5	☐	15.6%	FACU
3. Poa bulbosa	10	☑	31.3%	FACU
4. Rumex crispus	2	☐	6.3%	FAC
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	32	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	2	x 3 =	6
FACU species	30	x 4 =	120
UPL species	15	x 5 =	75
Column Totals:	47 (A)		201 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.277

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_20

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-15	10YR	2/2	100						Silt Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 15

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 25-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** BA_WT_W_205A

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** S 12 T 12S R 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** undulating **Slope:** 8.7 % / 5.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 32.3494 **Long.:** -117 31.568 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Crackler-Rouen gravelly silt loams, 30 to 50 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** none

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☑ **, Soil** ☑ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
veg is heavily grazed. soil is disturbed by cattle. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Iris missouriensis	5	☑	29.4%	FACW
2. Rumex crispus	2	☐	11.8%	FAC
3. Juncus balticus	10	☑	58.8%	FACW
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	17	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	15	x 2 =	30
FAC species	2	x 3 =	6
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	17 (A)		36 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.118

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☑ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
grasses difficult to ID and to assess cover due to heavy grazing.

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_20

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	2/1	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☑ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	1
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 25-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** BA_WT_W_205b

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** S 12 T 12S R 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** undulating **Slope:** 8.7 % / 5.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 32.3458 **Long.:** -117 31.0631 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Crackler-Rouen gravelly silt loams, 30 to 50 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** none

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☑ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
veg is heavily grazed. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 3 ft diameter)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Achillea millefolium	5	☑	20.0%	FACU
2. Onopordum acanthium	5	☑	20.0%	UPL
3. Poa bulbosa	15	☑	60.0%	FACU
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	25	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 15

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	20	x 4 =	80
UPL species	5	x 5 =	25
Column Totals:	25 (A)		105 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.200

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_20

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	2/1	100						Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 25-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** BA_WT_W_206A

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** S 12 T 12S R 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 5.2 % / 3.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 32.4126 **Long.:** -117 30.8359 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Lostbasin very channery loam, 12 to 35 percent south slopes **NWI classification:** PEMB

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☑ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
veg is heavily grazed. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 3 ft diameter)			
1. Juncus balticus	50	☑ 96.2%	FACW
2. Rumex crispus	2	☐ 3.8%	FAC
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	52	= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 20

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	50	x 2 =	100
FAC species	2	x 3 =	6
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	52 (A)		106 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.038

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☑ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
vegetation difficult to ID due to heavy grazing

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_20

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-11	10YR	2/1	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	Loam	
11-20	10YR	2/1	90	10YR	4/6	10	C	M	Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☑ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches):	6

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 25-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** BA_WT_W_206b

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** S 12 T 12S R 42E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** flat **Slope:** 5.2 % / 3.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 32.4155 **Long.:** -117 30.8402 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Lostbasin very channery loam, 12 to 35 percent south slopes **NWI classification:** PEMB

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☑ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:
veg is heavily grazed. Drought conditions.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 3 ft diameter)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Achillea millefolium	5	☐	11.1%	FACU
2. Poa secunda	25	☑	55.6%	FACU
3. Hordeum pusillum	10	☑	22.2%	FACU
4. Elymus elymoides	5	☐	11.1%	FACU
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	45	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0	= Total Cover		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	45	x 4 =	180
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	45 (A)		180 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.000

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **1 - Rapid Test for Hydrologic Vegetation**
- ☐ **2 - Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **4 - Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants** [1]
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_20

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR	2/1	100						Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except in MLRA 1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
- ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
- ☐ Frost Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):	0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 24-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_W_207a**

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 9 **T** 13S **R** 44E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Bench **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** flat **Slope:** 0.0 % / 0.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 26.8530 **Long.:** -117 20.0017 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Powval silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes **NWI classification:** Pema

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☑ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ◉ No ○
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	

Remarks: Drought conditions. area has likley been planted for pasture in the past.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 3 ft diameter)			
1. Asclepias speciosa	15	☑ 20.0%	FAC
2. Conium maculatum	10	☐ 13.3%	FACW
3. Calamagrostis canadensis	50	☑ 66.7%	FACW
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	75	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	60	x 2 =	120
FAC species	15	x 3 =	45
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	75 (A)		165 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.200

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_207A

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-5	10YR	2/2	95	10YR	4/6	5	C	M	Silt Loam	
5-20	10YR	3/2	85	10YR	4/6	15	C	M	Silt Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☑ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 24-Jun-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_W_207b**

Investigator(s): JR, RS **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 9 **T** 13S **R** 44E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Bench **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** flat **Slope:** 0.0 % / 0.0 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 26.8504 **Long.:** -117 20.88 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Powval silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes **NWI classification:** Pema

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☑ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. area has likley been planted for pasture in the past.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 3 ft diameter)			
1. Bromus tectorum	30	☑ 54.5%	UPL
2. Grindelia squarrosa	5	☐ 9.1%	FACU
3. Poa bulbosa	20	☑ 36.4%	FACU
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	55	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 10 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	2	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	0.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	25	x 4 =	100
UPL species	30	x 5 =	150
Column Totals:	55 (A)		250 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.545

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_207B

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-8	10YR	3/4	100						Silt Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: rock

Depth (inches): 8

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 07-Sep-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA WT W 305a**

Investigator(s): ESTJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 27 **T** 12S **R** 43E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 2.0 % / 1.1 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 29.5913 **Long.:** -117 25.7309 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Snell-Ateron complex, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** PEMB

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☑ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks: Drought conditions. SEEP FED WETLAND PLOT. SOIL DISTURBANCE FROM CATTLE TRAMPLING.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Cornus alba var. alba	2	☑	100.0%	FACW
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
3.	0	☐	0.0%	
4.	0	☐	0.0%	
5.	0	☐	0.0%	
	2		= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1. Scirpus microcarpus	40	☐	47.1%	OBL
2. Juncus articulatus	5	☐	5.9%	OBL
3. Glyceria striata	5	☐	5.9%	OBL
4. Veronica americana	15	☐	17.6%	OBL
5. Carex illota	20	☑	23.5%	FACW
6.	0	☐	0.0%	
7.	0	☐	0.0%	
8.	0	☐	0.0%	
9.	0	☐	0.0%	
10.	0	☐	0.0%	
11.	0	☐	0.0%	
	85		= Total Cover	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	0.0%	
2.	0	☐	0.0%	
	0		= Total Cover	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 15 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	65	x 1 =	65
FACW species	22	x 2 =	44
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	87 (A)		109 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.253

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**

☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]

☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**

☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA WT W 305a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-3	10YR	2/2	100						Silt Loam	
3-12	10YR	2/2	95	10Y	5/2	5	D	M	Silty Clay Loam	
12-20	5YR	3/1	90	5Y	3/1	10	D	M	Silty Clay Loam	hard concretions throughout the soil

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☑ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☑ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☑ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches): 6

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 07-Sep-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA WT W 305b**

Investigator(s): ESTJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 27 **T** 12S **R** 43E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 2.0 % / 1.1 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 29.5862 **Long.:** -117 25.7306 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Snell-Ateron complex, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:**

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks:

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft.)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1. Populus tremuloides	65	☑ 100.0%	FACU
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	65	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft.)			
1. Acer glabrum	3	☑ 60.0%	FAC
2. Rosa woodsii	2	☑ 40.0%	FACU
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	5	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Agrostis gigantea	30	☐ 31.6%	FACW
2. Cirsium arvense	45	☑ 47.4%	FACU
3. Poa pratensis	15	☐ 15.8%	FAC
4. Juncus articulatus	5	☐ 5.3%	OBL
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	95	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 40.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	5	x 1 =	5
FACW species	30	x 2 =	60
FAC species	18	x 3 =	54
FACU species	112	x 4 =	448
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	165 (A)		567 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.436

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA WT W 305b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-3	10YR	2/2	100						Silt Loam	
3-10	10YR	2/2	95	10YR	3/4	5	C	M	Silty Clay Loam	
10-16	10YR	4/2	80	10YR	3/6	20	C	M	Silty Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: compacted soils

Depth (inches): 16

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

Refusal at 16 inches due to compacted soils.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): 0

Water Table Present? Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): 0

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 07-Sep-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_W_306a**

Investigator(s): ESTJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 27 **T** 12S **R** 43E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Channel (active) **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** concave **Slope:** 2.0 % / 1.1 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 29.5506 **Long.:** -117 25.7219 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Snell-Ateron complex, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** pemb

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ◉ No ○
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	

Remarks: Drought conditions. Wetland plot in channel with perennial flow. Channel acting as a wetland. Flowing Spring box upslope from channel.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft.)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1. Populus tremuloides	50	☑ 100.0%	FACU
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	50	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft.)			
1. Acer glabrum	0	☐ 0.0%	FAC
2. Cornus alba var. alba	45	☑ 90.0%	FACW
3. Rosa woodsii	5	☐ 10.0%	FACU
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	50	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Carex illota	35	☐ 58.3%	FACW
2. Veronica americana	15	☑ 25.0%	OBL
3. Epilobium ciliatum	5	☐ 8.3%	FACW
4. Glyceria striata	5	☐ 8.3%	OBL
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	60	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 40 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 75.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	20	x 1 =	20
FACW species	85	x 2 =	170
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	55	x 4 =	220
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	160 (A)		410 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.563

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☑ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☑ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_306a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-4	10YR	2/2	100						Silt Loam	
4-10	10YR	2/2	95	10YR	3/4	5	C	M	Silt Loam	
10-16	10YR	2/2	95	10YR	3/4	5	C	M	Silty Clay Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☑ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☑ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: root

Depth (inches): 16

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

refusal at 16 inches due to roots.

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☑ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches): 6

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H **City/County:** Baker **Sampling Date:** 07-Sep-16

Applicant/Owner: IPC **State:** Oregon **Sampling Point:** **BA_WT_W_306b**

Investigator(s): ESTJ **Section, Township, Range:** **S** 27 **T** 12S **R** 43E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside **Local relief (concave, convex, none):** convex **Slope:** 6.0 % / 3.4 °

Subregion (LRR): LRR B **Lat.:** 44 29.5615 **Long.:** -117 25.7218 **Datum:** WGS 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Snell-Ateron complex, 12 to 35 percent north slopes **NWI classification:** pemb

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ○ No ◉ **(If no, explain in Remarks.)**

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **significantly disturbed?** **Are "Normal Circumstances" present?** Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ **, Soil** ☐ **, or Hydrology** ☐ **naturally problematic?** **(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)**

Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: Drought conditions. Upland plot.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft.)	**Absolute % Cover**	**Dominant Species? Rel.Strat. Cover**	**Indicator Status**
1. Populus tremuloides	10	☑ 100.0%	FACU
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	10	**= Total Cover**	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft.)			
1. Acer glabrum	15	☑ 33.3%	FAC
2. Cornus alba var. alba	25	☑ 55.6%	FACW
3. Rosa woodsii	5	☐ 11.1%	FACU
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	45	**= Total Cover**	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)			
1. Elymus glaucus	25	☐ 100.0%	FACU
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
3.	0	☐ 0.0%	
4.	0	☐ 0.0%	
5.	0	☐ 0.0%	
6.	0	☐ 0.0%	
7.	0	☐ 0.0%	
8.	0	☐ 0.0%	
9.	0	☐ 0.0%	
10.	0	☐ 0.0%	
11.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	25	**= Total Cover**	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1.	0	☐ 0.0%	
2.	0	☐ 0.0%	
	0	**= Total Cover**	

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 75 **% Cover of Biotic Crust** 0

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	2	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	4	(B)
Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	50.0%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	25	x 2 =	50
FAC species	15	x 3 =	45
FACU species	40	x 4 =	160
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	80 (A)		255 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.188

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ **Dominance Test is > 50%**
- ☐ **Prevalence Index is ≤3.0** [1]
- ☐ **Morphological Adaptations** [1] **(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)**
- ☐ **Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation** [1] **(Explain)**

[1] **Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.**

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil

Sampling Point: BA_WT_W_306b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-4	10YR	2/2	100						Silt Loam	
4-10	10YR	2/2	100						Loam	
10-16	10YR	2/2	100						Sandy Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: roots

Depth (inches): 16

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

Refusal at 16 inches due to roots

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift deposits (B3) (Noneriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H - BURNT RIVER ALT. City/County: Baker Sampling Date: 05/27/2013
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power Company State: OR Sampling Point: BA_BR_W428a
Investigator(s): ES_TJ Section, Township, Range: S34, T10S, R42E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Drainageway Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
Subregion (LRR): (LRR B) Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 44.64646560 Long: -117.55103870 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: 11A – Baker silt loam NWI classification: PSS
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? No *(if no, explain in Remarks.)*
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF Findings – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes Hydric Soil Present? Yes Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes

Remarks: ENTRENCHED DRAINAGE FUNCTIONING AS A WETLAND. STREAM FLOW INCREASES FURTHER DOWNSTREAM BUT STILL WETLAND. WATER ENTERS AND EXITS THROUGH CULVERTS.
*Precipitation was slightly above average for May 2013, but below the normal range for the 3 months prior to May 2013.

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 0)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover = 0			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1. Salix amygdaloides	50	Yes	FACW
2. Salix exigua	30	Yes	OBL
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover = 80			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1. Scirpus microcarpus	25	Yes	OBL
2. Schedonorus pratensis	25	Yes	FACU
3. Lemna minor	10	Yes	OBL
4. Epilobium ciliatum	10	Yes	FACW
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover = 70			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover = 0			

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 30
% Cover of Biotic Crust: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 83 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	65	x 1 =	65
FACW species	60	x 2 =	120
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	25	x 4 =	100
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	150 (A)		285 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.90

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
X Dominance Test is >50%
X Prevalence Index is <3.0[1]
__ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes

Remarks:

SOIL Sampling Point: BA_BR_W428a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Type	Loc	Texture	Remarks
1. 0-3	10YR 3/1	100		0			Silty Clay Loam	
2. 3-6	N2.5/0	100		0			Silty Clay Loam	
3. 6-12	N2.5/0	98	10YR 3/4	2	C	M	Silty Clay	
4. 12-20	N2.5/0	100		0			Silty Clay	
5.								
6.								
7.								
8.								

Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

___ Histosol
___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
___ Black Histic (A3)
___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
___ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)

___ Sandy Redox (S5)
___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
_X_ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
___ Redox Depressions (F8)
___ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils

___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
___ Reduced Vertic (F18)
___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):
Type:
Depth (inches):

Hydric Soil Present? Yes

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

___ Surface Water (A1)
___ High Water Table (A2)
_X_ Saturation (A3)
___ Water Marks (B1) (Nonreverine)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)
___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)

___ Salt Crust (B11)
___ Biotic Crust (B12)
___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
_X_ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (two or more required)

___ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
_X_ Drainage Patterns (B10)
___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	No	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes	Depth (inches): 10
Saturation Present?	Yes	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H - BURNT RIVER ALT. City/County: Baker Sampling Date: 05/27/2013
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power Company State: OR Sampling Point: BA_BR_W428b
Investigator(s): ES_TJ Section, Township, Range: S34, T10S, R42E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 60
Subregion (LRR): (LRR B) Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 44.64648240 Long: -117.55105230 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: 11A – Baker silt loam NWI classification:
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? No *(if no, explain in Remarks.)*
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF Findings – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes Hydric Soil Present? No Wetland Hydrology Present? No	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** No

Remarks: Upland plot is located approximately 4 feet higher than the surface of the adjacent depressional PSS wetland, inside a fenced cattle feeding area.
*Precipitation was slightly above average for May 2013, but below the normal range for the 3 months prior to May 2013.

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 0)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. 2. 3. 4. Total Cover = 0			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1. Salix exigua	20	Yes	OBL
2. 3. 4. 5. Total Cover = 20			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1. Festuca rubra	50	Yes	FAC
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. Total Cover = 50			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1. 2. Total Cover = 0			

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 50
% Cover of Biotic Crust: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	20	x 1 =	20
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	50	x 3 =	150
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	70 (A)		150 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.14

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
X Dominance Test is >50%
X Prevalence Index is <3.0[1]
___ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes

Remarks:

SOIL Sampling Point: BA_BR_W428b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Type	Loc	Texture	Remarks
1. 0-6	10YR 2/2	100		0			Silt Loam	
2. 6-8	10YR 2/2	85	7.5YR 3/4	15	C	M	Silt Loam	
3. 8-16	10YR 2/2	50		0			Silt Loam	
4. 8-16	10YR 3/2	48	10YR 3/4	2	C	M	Silt Loam	
5. 16-20	10YR 2/2	100		0			Sandy Clay Loam	
6.								
7.								
8.								

Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		**Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils**
___ Histosol	___ Sandy Redox (S5)	___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
___ Histic Epipedon (A2)	___ Stripped Matrix (S6)	___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
___ Black Histic (A3)	___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	___ Reduced Vertic (F18)
___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
___ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	___ Depleted Matrix (F3)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)
___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	___ Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and
___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	___ Vernal Pools (F9)	wetland hydrology must be present, unless
___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):
Type:
Depth (inches):

Hydric Soil Present? No

Remarks: Soil does not meet redox percentage required to meet F6.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (two or more required)
___ Surface Water (A1)	___ Salt Crust (B11)	___ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
___ High Water Table (A2)	___ Biotic Crust (B12)	___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
___ Saturation (A3)	___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
___ Water Marks (B1) (Nonreverine)	___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)	___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		_X_ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:
Surface Water Present? No Depth (inches):
Water Table Present? No Depth (inches):
Saturation Present? No Depth (inches):

Wetland Hydrology Present? No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: Upland plot is located approximately 4 feet higher than the adjacent wetland. Soils were dry to depth.

WETLAND DETERMINATION FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H BURNT City/County: Baker Sampling Date: 06/28/2013
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power Company State: OR Sampling Point: BA_BR_W446c
Investigator(s): JAD JG Section, Township, Range: S36 T11S R42E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Drainageway Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 7
Subregion (LRR): (LRR B) Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 44.55940700 Long: -117.50839740 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Nagle silt loam, 12 to 35 percent north slopes NWI classification: PEM
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes *(if no, explain in Remarks.)*
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF Findings – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes Hydric Soil Present? Yes Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes
Remarks: Wetland is located in a drainage way that is lower than hills on either side. The water source is from a seep at the south end of the wetland.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 0)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover = 0			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover = 0			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)			
1. Carex nebrascensis	90	Yes	OBL
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover = 90			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover = 0			

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 10
% Cover of Biotic Crust: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	90	x 1 =	90
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	90 (A)		90 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.00

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
X Dominance Test is >50%
X Prevalence Index is <3.0[1]
___ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes

Remarks: Bare ground consists of duff.

SOIL Sampling Point: BA_BR_W446c

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Matrix		Redox Features					
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type	Loc	Texture	Remarks
1. 0-7	10YR 3/2	100		0			Silt Loam	30% roots
2. 7-20	10YR 3/1	95	10YR 3/4	5	C	PL	Clay Loam	
3.								
4.								
5.								
6.								
7.								
8.								

Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		**Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils**
___ Histosol	___ Sandy Redox (S5)	___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
___ Histic Epipedon (A2)	___ Stripped Matrix (S6)	___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
___ Black Histic (A3)	___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	___ Reduced Vertic (F18)
_X_ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
___ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	___ Depleted Matrix (F3)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)
___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	_X_ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	___ Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	___ Vernal Pools (F9)	
___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		

Restrictive Layer (if present):
Type:
Depth (inches): 0

Hydric Soil Present? Yes

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (two or more required)
___ Surface Water (A1)	___ Salt Crust (B11)	___ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
_X_ High Water Table (A2)	___ Biotic Crust (B12)	___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
_X_ Saturation (A3)	___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
___ Water Marks (B1) (Nonreverine)	_X_ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)	___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	No	Depth (inches):
Water Table Present?	Yes	Depth (inches): 7
Saturation Present?	Yes	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: Hydrology supplied by seep and ground water.

WETLAND DETERMINATION FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H BURNT City/County: Baker Sampling Date: 06/28/2013
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power Company State: OR Sampling Point: BA_BR_W446d
Investigator(s): JAD JG Section, Township, Range: S36 T11S R42E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope (%): 15
Subregion (LRR): (LRR B) Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 44.55942200 Long: -117.50836000 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Nagle silt loam, 12 to 35 percent north slopes NWI classification:
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes *(if no, explain in Remarks.)*
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF Findings – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No Hydric Soil Present? No Wetland Hydrology Present? No	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** No
Remarks: Upland plot is located up slope of wetland.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 0)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover = 0			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15)			
1. Artemisia tridentata	30	Yes	UPL
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover = 30			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)			
1. Grindelia squarrosa	10	No	FACU
2. Bromus tectorum	50	Yes	UPL
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover = 60			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover = 0			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 10			
% Cover of Biotic Crust: 0			

Dominance Test worksheet:
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	10	x 4 =	40
UPL species	80	x 5 =	400
Column Totals:	90 (A)		440 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.89

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
___ Dominance Test is >50%
___ Prevalence Index is <3.0[1]
___ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No

Remarks: Bareground comprises of duff.

SOIL Sampling Point: BA_BR_W446d

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Matrix		Redox Features					
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type	Loc	Texture	Remarks
1. 0-4	10YR 2/2	100		100			Loam	
2. 4-9	10YR 3/2	100		0			Loam	
3. 9-16	10YR 4/4	100		0			Clay Loam	
4. 16-20	10YR 4/3	100		0			Clay Loam	contains limestone
5.								
6.								
7.								
8.								

Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		**Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils**
___ Histosol	___ Sandy Redox (S5)	___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
___ Histic Epipedon (A2)	___ Stripped Matrix (S6)	___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
___ Black Histic (A3)	___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	___ Reduced Vertic (F18)
___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
___ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	___ Depleted Matrix (F3)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)
___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	___ Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	___ Vernal Pools (F9)	
___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		

Restrictive Layer (if present):
Type:
Depth (inches): 0

Hydric Soil Present? No

Remarks: No indicators of hydric soils are met.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (two or more required)
___ Surface Water (A1)	___ Salt Crust (B11)	___ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
___ High Water Table (A2)	___ Biotic Crust (B12)	___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
___ Saturation (A3)	___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
___ Water Marks (B1) (Nonreverine)	___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)	___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:
Surface Water Present? No Depth (inches):
Water Table Present? No Depth (inches):
Saturation Present? No Depth (inches):

Wetland Hydrology Present? No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: No evidence of hydrology.

WETLAND DETERMINATION FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H BURNT City/County: Benton Sampling Date: 06/27/2013
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power Company State: OR Sampling Point: BA_BR_W451a
Investigator(s): JAD JG Section, Township, Range: S36 T11S R42E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope (%): 43
Subregion (LRR): (LRR B) Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 44.55651030 Long: -117.50542140 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Encina gravelly silt loam, 12 to 35 percent south slopes NWI classification:
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes *(if no, explain in Remarks.)*
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF Findings – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes Hydric Soil Present? Yes Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes
Remarks: Slope wetland hydrology is created by a hillside seep that has been tapped by a farmer. Water is leaking from seep area down slope.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 0)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover = 0			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover = 0			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1. Scirpus pungens	60	Yes	OBL
2. Epilobium sp	10	No	FAC
3. Mimulus guttatus	5	No	OBL
4. Veronica scutellata	5	No	OBL
5. Carex nebrascensis	5	No	OBL
6. Juncus balticus	10	No	OBL
7.			
8.			
Total Cover = 95			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover = 0			

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5
% Cover of Biotic Crust: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	85	x 1 =	85
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	10	x 3 =	30
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	95 (A)		115 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.21

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
X Dominance Test is >50%
X Prevalence Index is <3.0[1]
X Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes

Remarks: Epilobium sp. is not flowering at the time of visit. FAC indicator was given to be conservative.

SOIL Sampling Point: BA_BR_W451a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Matrix		Redox Features					
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type	Loc	Texture	Remarks
1. 0-2	10YR 4/1	100		100			Sandy Loam	
2. 2-10	10YR 2/1	90	10YR 4/2	10	C	M	Sandy Loam	20% gravel
3. 10-16	10YR 4/2	85	10YR 6/2	15	D	M	Sandy Loam	
4. 16-20	10YR 4/3	100		100			Sandy Loam	
5.								
6.								
7.								
8.								

Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		**Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils**
___ Histosol	___ Sandy Redox (S5)	___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
___ Histic Epipedon (A2)	___ Stripped Matrix (S6)	___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
___ Black Histic (A3)	___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	___ Reduced Vertic (F18)
_X_ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
___ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	___ Depleted Matrix (F3)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)
___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	_X_ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	___ Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	___ Vernal Pools (F9)	
___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		

Restrictive Layer (if present):
Type:
Depth (inches): 0

Hydric Soil Present? Yes

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (two or more required)
_X_ Surface Water (A1)	___ Salt Crust (B11)	___ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
___ High Water Table (A2)	___ Biotic Crust (B12)	___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
___ Saturation (A3)	___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
___ Water Marks (B1) (Nonreverine)	___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)	___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes	Depth (inches): 0.5
Water Table Present?	No	Depth (inches):
Saturation Present?	Yes	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: Water is coming from a spring. Diffuse sheet flow creating pockets of standing water. Water is infiltrating from top down. No water table.

WETLAND DETERMINATION FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H BURNT City/County: Baker Sampling Date: 06/27/2013
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power Company State: OR Sampling Point: BA_BR_W451b
Investigator(s): JAD JG Section, Township, Range: S36 T11S R42E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope (%): 43
Subregion (LRR): (LRR B) Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 44.55647150 Long: -117.50541770 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Encina gravelly silt loam, 12 to 35 percent south slopes NWI classification:
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes *(if no, explain in Remarks.)*
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF Findings – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No Hydric Soil Present? No Wetland Hydrology Present? No	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** No
Remarks: Upland is on the same hillside as the wetland however does not receive water from the seep.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 0)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. Juniperus occidentalis	30	Yes	UPL
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover = 30			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover = 0			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1. Melilotus officinalis	50	Yes	FACU
2. Asclepias speciosa	5	No	FAC+
3. Rosa woodsii	10	No	FACU
4. Grindelia squarrosa	5	No	FACU
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover = 70			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover = 0			

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 30
% Cover of Biotic Crust: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	5	x 3 =	15
FACU species	65	x 4 =	260
UPL species	30	x 5 =	150
Column Totals:	100 (A)		425 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.25

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
___ Dominance Test is >50%
___ Prevalence Index is <3.0[1]
___ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No

Remarks:

SOIL Sampling Point: BA_BR_W451b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Matrix		Redox Features					
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type	Loc	Texture	Remarks
1. 0-20	10YR 3/3	100		0			Sandy Clay Loam	
2.								
3.								
4.								
5.								
6.								
7.								
8.								

Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		**Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils**
___ Histosol	___ Sandy Redox (S5)	___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
___ Histic Epipedon (A2)	___ Stripped Matrix (S6)	___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
___ Black Histic (A3)	___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	___ Reduced Vertic (F18)
___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
___ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	___ Depleted Matrix (F3)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)
___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	___ Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	___ Vernal Pools (F9)	
___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		

Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): 0	**Hydric Soil Present?** No

Remarks: Uniform soil profile.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (two or more required)
___ Surface Water (A1)	___ Salt Crust (B11)	___ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
___ High Water Table (A2)	___ Biotic Crust (B12)	___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
___ Saturation (A3)	___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
___ Water Marks (B1) (Nonreverine)	___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)	___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? No Depth (inches):	**Wetland Hydrology Present?** No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: Seep water does not effect upland.

WETLAND DETERMINATION FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H TUB MTN NORTH City/County: Malheur Sampling Date: 06/11/2013
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power Company State: OR Sampling Point: MA_TM_456
Investigator(s): TJ_NK Section, Township, Range: S27 T17S R43E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley floor Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 5
Subregion (LRR): (LRR B) Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 44.06769560 Long: -117.32520720 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Frohman silt loam, 5 to 8 percent slopes NWI classification: UPL
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes *(if no, explain in Remarks.)*
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF Findings – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No Hydric Soil Present? No Wetland Hydrology Present? No	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** No
Remarks: Possible old creek channel that does not support wetland conditions.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 0)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover = 0			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover = 0			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1. Hordium murinum	60	Yes	UPL
2. Lepidium perfoliatum	25	Yes	FACU+
3. Convolvulus arvensis	5	No	FACU
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover = 90			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover = 0			

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5
% Cover of Biotic Crust: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	30	x 4 =	120
UPL species	60	x 5 =	300
Column Totals:	90 (A)		420 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.67

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
___ Dominance Test is >50%
___ Prevalence Index is <3.0[1]
___ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No

Remarks:

SOIL Sampling Point: MA_TM_456

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Matrix		Redox Features					
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type	Loc	Texture	Remarks
1. 0-12	10YR 3/3	100		0			Silty Clay Loam	
2.								
3.								
4.								
5.								
6.								
7.								
8.								

Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		**Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils**
___ Histosol	___ Sandy Redox (S5)	___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
___ Histic Epipedon (A2)	___ Stripped Matrix (S6)	___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
___ Black Histic (A3)	___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	___ Reduced Vertic (F18)
___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
___ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	___ Depleted Matrix (F3)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)
___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	___ Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	___ Vernal Pools (F9)	
___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		

Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): 0	**Hydric Soil Present?** No

Remarks: Shovel refusal at 12 inches due to hardened soils.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (two or more required)
___ Surface Water (A1)	___ Salt Crust (B11)	___ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
___ High Water Table (A2)	___ Biotic Crust (B12)	___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
___ Saturation (A3)	___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
___ Water Marks (B1) (Nonreverine)	___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)	___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? No Depth (inches): 0 Water Table Present? No Depth (inches): 0 Saturation Present? No Depth (inches): 0	**Wetland Hydrology Present?** No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: MA TM NORTH City/County: Malheur Sampling Date: 06/11/2013
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power Company State: OR Sampling Point: MA_TM_459
Investigator(s): TJ_NK Section, Township, Range: S27 T17S R44E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley floor Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 6
Subregion (LRR): (LRR B) Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 44.06248590 Long: -117.31673050 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Virtue silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes NWI classification:
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes *(if no, explain in Remarks.)*
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF Findings – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes Hydric Soil Present? No Wetland Hydrology Present? No	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** No
Remarks: Herbaceous veg dominated by UPL species. Surrounding area under active agricultural uses.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 0)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. Populus angustifolia	20	Yes	FACW
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover = 20			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1. Salix exigua	60	Yes	OBL
2. Rosa woodsii	10	No	FACU
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover = 70			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1. Bromus tectorum	70	Yes	FACU
2. Descurainia californica	10	No	NI
3. Cardaria draba	10	No	FAC
4.	5	No	
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover = 95			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover = 0			

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5
% Cover of Biotic Crust: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 66 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	60	x 1 =	60
FACW species	20	x 2 =	40
FAC species	10	x 3 =	30
FACU species	80	x 4 =	320
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	170 (A)		450 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.65

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
X Dominance Test is >50%
X Prevalence Index is <3.0[1]
___ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes

Remarks:

SOIL Sampling Point: MA_TM_459

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Matrix		Redox Features					
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type	Loc	Texture	Remarks
1. 0-7	10YR 3/2	100		0			Sandy Clay Loam	
2. 0-7	10YR 3/3	100		0			Silty Clay Loam	
3. 7-18	10YR 3/3	80	7.5YR 3/4	20	C	M	Silty Clay Loam	
4. 18-20	10YR 4/4	95	7.5YR 4/6	5	C	M	Silt Loam	
5.								
6.								
7.								
8.								

Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		**Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils**
___ Histosol	___ Sandy Redox (S5)	___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
___ Histic Epipedon (A2)	___ Stripped Matrix (S6)	___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
___ Black Histic (A3)	___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	___ Reduced Vertic (F18)
___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
___ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	____ Depleted Matrix (F3)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)
___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	___ Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	___ Vernal Pools (F9)	
___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		

Restrictive Layer (if present):
Type:
Depth (inches): 0

Hydric Soil Present? No

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (two or more required)
___ Surface Water (A1)	___ Salt Crust (B11)	___ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
___ High Water Table (A2)	___ Biotic Crust (B12)	___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
___ Saturation (A3)	___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
___ Water Marks (B1) (Nonreverine)	___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)	___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:
Surface Water Present? No Depth (inches):
Water Table Present? No Depth (inches):
Saturation Present? No Depth (inches):

Wetland Hydrology Present? No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: No hydrology present.

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: TUB MNT NORTH City/County: Malheur Sampling Date: 06/11/2013
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power Company State: OR Sampling Point: MA_TM_W460a
Investigator(s): TJ_NK Section, Township, Range: S27 T17S R44E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Drainageway Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 5
Subregion (LRR): (LRR B) Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 44.06532930 Long: -117.31545420 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Virtue silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes NWI classification: PUBFh
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes *(if no, explain in Remarks.)*
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF Findings – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes Hydric Soil Present? Yes Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes
Remarks: PONDED AREA ADJACENT TO AGRIC FIELD. AREA FED BY IRRIGATION RUNOFF.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 0)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover = 0			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15)			
1. Salix exigua	2	No	OBL
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover = 2			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)			
1. Typha latifolia	20	Yes	OBL
2. Polygonum hydropiperoides	5	No	OBL
3. Agrostis stolonifera	35	Yes	FAC
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover = 60			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover = 0			

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 40
% Cover of Biotic Crust: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	27	x 1 =	27
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	35	x 3 =	105
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	62 (A)		132 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.13

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
_X_ Dominance Test is >50%
_X_ Prevalence Index is <3.0[1]
___Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes

Remarks:

SOIL Sampling Point: MA_TM_W460a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Type	Loc	Texture	Remarks
1. 0-6	2.5Y 3/2	100		100			Sand	
2. 6-12	2.5Y 3/2	70	5PB 2.5/1	30	D	M	Sandy Loam	
3. 12-20	2.5Y 3/2	80	5YR 4/6	20	C	M	Clay Loam	SOME MEDIUM SIZE ROOTS.
4.								
5.								
6.								
7.								
8.								

Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

___ Histosol
___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
___ Black Histic (A3)
_X_ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
___ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)

___ Sandy Redox (S5)
___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
_X_ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
___ Redox Depressions (F8)
___ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils

___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
___ Reduced Vertic (F18)
___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):
Type:
Depth (inches): 0

Hydric Soil Present? Yes

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

___ Surface Water (A1)
_X_ High Water Table (A2)
_X_ Saturation (A3)
___ Water Marks (B1) (Nonreverine)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)
___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)

___ Salt Crust (B11)
___ Biotic Crust (B12)
___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
_X_ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (two or more required)

___ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
_X_ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:
Surface Water Present? No Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present? No Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present? Yes Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: SATURATION FROM TOP DOWN TO 12 INCHES LIKELY DUE TO INFLUENCE OF IRRIGATION RUNOFF ON SURFACE.

WETLAND DETERMINATION FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: TUB MNT NORTH City/County: Malheur Sampling Date: 06/11/2013
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power Company State: OR Sampling Point: MA_TM_W460b
Investigator(s): TJ_NK Section, Township, Range: S27 T17S R44E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope (%): 3
Subregion (LRR): (LRR B) Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 44.06530920 Long: -117.31540170 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Virtue silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes NWI classification:
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? No *(if no, explain in Remarks.)*
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF Findings – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No Hydric Soil Present? No Wetland Hydrology Present? No	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** No
Remarks: UPLAND PLOT 1.5 FT. HIGHER THAN WETLAND PLOT.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 0)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover = 0			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover = 0			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)			
1. Bromus mollis	40	Yes	UPL
2. BROMUS INERMIS	5	No	NL
3. Chenopodium album	20	Yes	FAC
4. Rumex crispus	15	No	FACW
5. BRASSICA SP.	5	No	NL
6. Agrostis stolonifera	5	No	FAC
7. Agropyron smithii	5	No	FACU
8.			
Total Cover = 95			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover = 0			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5			
% Cover of Biotic Crust: 0			

Dominance Test worksheet:
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	15	x 2 =	30
FAC species	25	x 3 =	75
FACU species	5	x 4 =	20
UPL species	40	x 5 =	200
Column Totals:	85 (A)		325 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.82

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
___ Dominance Test is >50%
___ Prevalence Index is <3.0[1]
___ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No

Remarks:

SOIL Sampling Point: MA_TM_W460b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Matrix		Redox Features					
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type	Loc	Texture	Remarks
1. 0-3	10YR 4/4	100		0			Fine Sand	
2. 3-13	10YR 4/3	100		0			Sandy Loam	
3.								
4.								
5.								
6.								
7.								
8.								

Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		**Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils**
___ Histosol	___ Sandy Redox (S5)	___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
___ Histic Epipedon (A2)	___ Stripped Matrix (S6)	___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
___ Black Histic (A3)	___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	___ Reduced Vertic (F18)
___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
___ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	___ Depleted Matrix (F3)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)
___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	___ Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	___ Vernal Pools (F9)	
___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		

Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): 0	**Hydric Soil Present?** No

Remarks: SHOVEL REFUSAL AT 13 IN COMPACTED SOIL. NO HYDRIC INDICATORS.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (two or more required)
___ Surface Water (A1)	___ Salt Crust (B11)	___ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
___ High Water Table (A2)	___ Biotic Crust (B12)	___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
___ Saturation (A3)	___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
___ Water Marks (B1) (Nonreverine)	___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)	___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? No Depth (inches):	**Wetland Hydrology Present?** No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: NO HYDROLOGY PRESENT.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Sampling Point: MA_W_0049a

Project/Site: B2H City/County: Malheur Sampling Date: 8/6/11

Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power State: OR Sampling Point: MA_W_0049A

Investigator(s): DM/SK Section, Township, Range: S5, T18S, R43E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): ALLUVIAL FAN Local relief (concave, convex, none): CONCAVE Slope (%): 4

Subregion (LRR): B - Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 44.0360022337 Long: -117.480598248 Datum: NAD83

Soil Map Unit Name: No Data NWI classification: None

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ◉ No ◯ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation ☐ Soil ☐ or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ◉ No ◯

Are Vegetation ☐ Soil ☐ or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉	No ◯	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉	No ◯	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ◉ No ◯
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ◉	No ◯	

Remarks: Spring fed stock watering tank, thirty feet from north end of the wetland. Vegetation trampled.

VEGETATION

Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover:	%		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum			
1. *Artemisia tridentata*	5	Yes	Not Listed
2. *Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus*	5	Yes	Not Listed
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover:	10 %		
Herb Stratum			
1. *Juncus balticus*	20	Yes	FACW
2. *Polygogon monspeliensis*	20	Yes	FACW
3. *Rumex crispus*	15	Yes	FAC
4. *Typha latifolia*	15	Yes	OBL
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover:	70 %		
Woody Vine Stratum			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover:	%		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum ____ % % Cover of Biotic Crust ____ %

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	4	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	6	(B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	66.7 %	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	15	x 1 =	15
FACW species	40	x 2 =	80
FAC species	15	x 3 =	45
FACU species		x 4 =	0
UPL species	10	x 5 =	50
Column Totals:	80 (A)		190 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.38

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☒ Dominance Test is >50%
- ☒ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ☐ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ◯

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: MA_W_

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture[3]	Remarks
0-20	10GY 6/1	60	2.5Y 7/2	40	C	M	CLAYLOAM	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.
[3]Soil Textures: Clay, Silty Clay, Sandy Clay, Loam, Sandy Clay Loam, Sandy Loam, Clay Loam, Silty Clay Loam, Silt Loam, Silt, Loamy Sand, Sand.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (**LRR C**)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (**LRR D**)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☒ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[4]:

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (**LRR C**)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR B**)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[4]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type:

Depth (inches):

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ◯

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (any one indicator is sufficient)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☒ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (**Nonriverine**)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (**Nonriverine**)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) (**Nonriverine**)
- ☒ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (**Riverine**)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (**Riverine**)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) (**Riverine**)
- ☒ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☒ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ◯	No ◉	Depth (inches):
Water Table Present?	Yes ◯	No ◉	Depth (inches):
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ◯	Depth (inches): 2

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ◯

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: HYDROLOGY IS SUPPLIED TO SOME EXTENT BY THE SPRING FED STOCK TANK ON THE NE SIDE. NO HYDROLOGY PRESENT UPSTREAM OF THE STOCK TANK.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Sampling Point: MA_W_0049b

Project/Site: B2H City/County: Malheur Sampling Date: 8/6/11

Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power State: OR Sampling Point: MA_W_0049B

Investigator(s): DM/SK Section, Township, Range: S5, T18S, R43E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): ALLUVIAL FAN Local relief (concave, convex, none): CONCAVE Slope (%): 3

Subregion (LRR): B - Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 44.0360434669 Long: -117.480608166 Datum: NAD83

Soil Map Unit Name: No Data NWI classification:

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ◉ No ◯ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation ☐ Soil ☐ or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ◉ No ◯

Are Vegetation ☐ Soil ☐ or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◯	No ◉	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◯	No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ◯ No ◉
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ◉	No ◯	

Remarks: Upland plot paired with MA_W_0049A.

VEGETATION

Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover:	%		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum			
1. *Gutierrezia sarothrae*	2	Yes	Not Listed
2. *Artemisia tridentata*	2	Yes	Not Listed
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover:	4 %		
Herb Stratum			
1. *Lepidium latifolium*	10	Yes	FAC
2. *Lactuca serriola*	5	Yes	FACU
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover:	15 %		
Woody Vine Stratum			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover:	%		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum ____ % % Cover of Biotic Crust ____ %

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	1	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	4	(B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	25.0 %	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	0
FACW species		x 2 =	0
FAC species	10	x 3 =	30
FACU species	5	x 4 =	20
UPL species	4	x 5 =	20
Column Totals:	19 (A)		70 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.68

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ◉ Dominance Test is >50%
- ◉ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ☐ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◯ No ◉

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: MA_W_

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture[3]	Remarks
0-20	2.5Y 7/2	100						

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.
[3]Soil Textures: Clay, Silty Clay, Sandy Clay, Loam, Sandy Clay Loam, Sandy Loam, Clay Loam, Silty Clay Loam, Silt Loam, Silt, Loamy Sand, Sand.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (**LRR C**)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (**LRR D**)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[4]:

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (**LRR C**)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR B**)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[4]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ●

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (any one indicator is sufficient)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☒ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (**Nonriverine**)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (**Nonriverine**)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) (**Nonriverine**)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (**Riverine**)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (**Riverine**)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) (**Riverine**)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ●	Depth (inches):
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ●	Depth (inches):
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ●	No ○	Depth (inches): 10

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ● No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Sampling Point: MA_W_0049e

Project/Site: B2H City/County: Malheur Sampling Date: 8/6/11

Applicant/Owner: IDAHO POWER State: OR Sampling Point: MA_W_0049E

Investigator(s): DM/SK Section, Township, Range: S5, T18S, R43E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Drainage Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 4

Subregion (LRR): B - Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 44.035248881 Long: -117.480529361 Datum: NAD83

Soil Map Unit Name: No Data NWI classification:

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ◉ No ◯ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation ☐ Soil ☐ or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ◉ No ◯

Are Vegetation ☐ Soil ☐ or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉	No ◯	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉	No ◯	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ◉ No ◯
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ◉	No ◯	

Remarks: Paired with MA_W_0049G. South of this point the wetland appears to have been graded as a road pullout which may have impacted the wetland feature at its downstream end.

VEGETATION

Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover:	%		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover:	%		
Herb Stratum			
1. *Rumex crispus*	10	Yes	FAC
2. *Polypogon monspeliensis*	10	Yes	FACW
3. *Euphorbia sp.*	10	Yes	NI
4. *Bromus tectorum*	5	No	Not Listed
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover:	35 %		
Woody Vine Stratum			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover:	%		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum ____ % % Cover of Biotic Crust ____ %

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	2	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	3	(B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	66.7 %	(A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	0
FACW species	10	x 2 =	20
FAC species	10	x 3 =	30
FACU species		x 4 =	0
UPL species	15	x 5 =	75
Column Totals:	35 (A)		125 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.57

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☒ Dominance Test is >50%
- ☐ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ☐ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ◯

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: MA_W_

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture[3]	Remarks
0-8	2.5Y 6/2	95	7.5YR 5/8	5	C	M		
8-20	5GY 5/1	50	2.5Y 5/2	50	C	M		

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.
[3]Soil Textures: Clay, Silty Clay, Sandy Clay, Loam, Sandy Clay Loam, Sandy Loam, Clay Loam, Silty Clay Loam, Silt Loam, Silt, Loamy Sand, Sand.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (**LRR C**)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (**LRR D**)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☒ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[4]:

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (**LRR C**)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR B**)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[4]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (any one indicator is sufficient)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (**Nonriverine**)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (**Nonriverine**)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) (**Nonriverine**)
- ☒ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (**Riverine**)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (**Riverine**)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) (**Riverine**)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☒ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Sampling Point: MA_W_0049g

Project/Site: B2H City/County: Malheur Sampling Date: 8/6/11

Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power State: OR Sampling Point: MAW0049G

Investigator(s): DM/SK Section, Township, Range: T18S R43E S5

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): DRAINAGE Local relief (concave, convex, none): CONCAVE Slope (%): 4

Subregion (LRR): B - Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 44.0351989183 Long: -117.480532788 Datum: NAD83

Soil Map Unit Name: No Data NWI classification:

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ◉ No ◯ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation [x] Soil [x] or Hydrology [x] significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ◯ No ◉

Are Vegetation [ ] Soil [ ] or Hydrology [ ] naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◯	No ◉	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◯	No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ◯ No ◉
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ◯	No ◉	

Remarks: This area had been graded for a road pullout and the wetland may have been impacted in an area approximately 50X100'.

VEGETATION

Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover:	%		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum			
1. *Artemisia tridentata*	3	Yes	Not Listed
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover:	3 %		
Herb Stratum			
1. *LEPIDIUM LATIFOLIUM*	15	Yes	FAC
2. *Amaranthus albus*	3	No	FACU
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover:	18 %		
Woody Vine Stratum			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover:	%		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum ___ % % Cover of Biotic Crust ___ %

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0 % (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	0
FACW species		x 2 =	0
FAC species	15	x 3 =	45
FACU species	3	x 4 =	12
UPL species	3	x 5 =	15
Column Totals:	21 (A)		72 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.43

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- [ ] Dominance Test is >50%
- [ ] Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- [ ] Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- [ ] Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◯ No ◉

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: MAW00

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture[3]	Remarks
0-20	2.5Y 5/2	100					Silt loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.
[3]Soil Textures: Clay, Silty Clay, Sandy Clay, Loam, Sandy Clay Loam, Sandy Loam, Clay Loam, Silty Clay Loam, Silt Loam, Silt, Loamy Sand, Sand.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (**LRR C**)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (**LRR D**)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[4]:

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (**LRR C**)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR B**)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[4]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ●

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (any one indicator is sufficient)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (**Nonriverine**)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (**Nonriverine**)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) (**Nonriverine**)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (**Riverine**)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (**Riverine**)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) (**Riverine**)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ●	Depth (inches):
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ●	Depth (inches):
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ●	Depth (inches):

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ●

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H | City/County: Malheur | Sampling Date: 8/7/11

Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power | State: OR | Sampling Point: MA_W_0091

Investigator(s): DM/SK | Section, Township, Range: S33 T18S R43E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillslope | Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave | Slope (%): 30

Subregion (LRR): B - Columbia/Snake River Plateau | Lat: 43.964588 | Long: -117.463625 | Datum: NAD83

Soil Map Unit Name: No Soil Data Available | NWI classification: PEMB, DOGW

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes (●) No ( ) (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation [ ] Soil [ ] or Hydrology [ ] significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes (●) No ( )

Are Vegetation [ ] Soil [ ] or Hydrology [ ] naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No (●)	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No (●)	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ( ) No (●)
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No (●)	

Remarks: Old canal leak that has been plugged/fixed.

VEGETATION

Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. *Salix sp*	100	Yes	NI
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover:	100%		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover:	%		

Herb Stratum			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover:	%		

Woody Vine Stratum			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover:	%		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum ____ % | % Cover of Biotic Crust ____ %

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0 % (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	0
FACW species		x 2 =	0
FAC species		x 3 =	0
FACU species		x 4 =	0
UPL species	100	x 5 =	500
Column Totals:	100 (A)		500 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 5.00

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- [●] Dominance Test is >50%
- [●] Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- [ ] Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- [ ] Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ( ) No (●)

Remarks: nothing growing beneath salix.

SOIL

Sampling Point: MA_W_(

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture[3]	Remarks
0-20	10yr 4/3	100						

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.
[3]Soil Textures: Clay, Silty Clay, Sandy Clay, Loam, Sandy Clay Loam, Sandy Loam, Clay Loam, Silty Clay Loam, Silt Loam, Silt, Loamy Sand, Sand.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[4]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[4]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ●

Remarks: possible road or canal fill.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (any one indicator is sufficient)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ●	Depth (inches):
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ●	Depth (inches):
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ●	Depth (inches):

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ●

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: hydrology likely removed when hole in canal was plugged.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H City/County: Malheur Sampling Date: 8/7/11

Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power State: OR Sampling Point: MA_W_0092

Investigator(s): DM/SK Section, Township, Range: S33 T18S R43E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hill Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 20

Subregion (LRR): B - Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 43.964596 Long: -117.464575 Datum: NAD83

Soil Map Unit Name: No Soil Data Available NWI classification: PEMB, DOGW

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ◉ No ◯ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation ☐ Soil ☐ or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ◉ No ◯

Are Vegetation ☐ Soil ☐ or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No ◉	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No ◉	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◯ No ◉
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No ◉	

Remarks: Old leak in canal. Rock fill present.

VEGETATION

Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover:	%		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum			
1.			
2. *Artemisia tridentata*	10	Yes	Not Listed
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover:	10 %		
Herb Stratum			
1. *Bromus tectorum*	10	No	Not Listed
2. *Mentha arvensis*	80	Yes	FACW
3. *Lactuca serriola*	2	No	FACU
4. *Verbascum thapsus*	5	No	Not Listed
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover:	97 %		
Woody Vine Stratum			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover:	%		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum ____ % % Cover of Biotic Crust ____ %

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0 % (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	0
FACW species	80	x 2 =	160
FAC species		x 3 =	0
FACU species	2	x 4 =	8
UPL species	25	x 5 =	125
Column Totals:	107 (A)		293 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.74

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ◉ Dominance Test is >50%
- ✕ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ☐ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◯ No ◉

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: MA_W_(

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture[3]	Remarks
0-20	10yr 4/3	100					siltyclay	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.
[3]Soil Textures: Clay, Silty Clay, Sandy Clay, Loam, Sandy Clay Loam, Sandy Loam, Clay Loam, Silty Clay Loam, Silt Loam, Silt, Loamy Sand, Sand.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (**LRR C**)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (**LRR D**)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[4]:

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (**LRR C**)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (**LRR B**)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[4]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ●

Remarks: Road or canal fill

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (any one indicator is sufficient)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (**Nonriverine**)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (**Nonriverine**)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) (**Nonriverine**)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (**Riverine**)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (**Riverine**)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) (**Riverine**)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ○ No ● Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes ○ No ● Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ○ No ● Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ●

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H City/County: Malheur Sampling Date: 8/7/11

Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power State: OR Sampling Point: MA_W_0103a

Investigator(s): DM/SK Section, Township, Range: S33 T18S R43E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Canal Local relief (concave, convex, none): Convex Slope (%): 40

Subregion (LRR): B - Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 43.964701 Long: -117.460829 Datum: NAD83

Soil Map Unit Name: No Soil Data Available NWI classification: R4SBFr, RNP

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ◉ No ◯ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation ☐ Soil ☐ or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ◉ No ◯

Are Vegetation ☐ Soil ☐ or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No ◉	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No ◉	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◯ No ◉
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No ◉	

Remarks: This is the downstream end of the canal polygon. The bank on the south is about 5' tall and 70 degree slope. Dominated by shrubs and invasive plant spp.

VEGETATION

Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. *Elaeagnus angustifolia*	5	Yes	FAC
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover:	5 %		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum			
1.			
2. *Artemisia tridentata*	30	Yes	Not Listed
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover:	30 %		
Herb Stratum			
1. *Bromus tectorum*	5	Yes	Not Listed
2. *Poa bulbosa*	5	Yes	Not Listed
3. *Lactuca serriola*	2	Yes	FACU
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover:	12 %		
Woody Vine Stratum			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover:	%		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum ____ % % Cover of Biotic Crust ____ %

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 20.0 % (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species		x 1 =	0
FACW species		x 2 =	0
FAC species	5	x 3 =	15
FACU species	2	x 4 =	8
UPL species	40	x 5 =	200
Column Totals:	47 (A)		223 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.74

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ◉ Dominance Test is >50%
- ◉ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ☐ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◯ No ◉

Remarks: There is 3-6' from road to waters edge. Very small area for WL veg to grow. polygon ma_s_0154 delineates community.

SOIL

Sampling Point: MA_W_(

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture[3]	Remarks
0-20	10yr 4/3	100					siltyloam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.
[3]Soil Textures: Clay, Silty Clay, Sandy Clay, Loam, Sandy Clay Loam, Sandy Loam, Clay Loam, Silty Clay Loam, Silt Loam, Silt, Loamy Sand, Sand.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[4]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[4]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):
Type:
Depth (inches):

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ●

Remarks: Road fill along southern bank and natural soils on north bank. Canal is also concrete lined. Not safe to dig soil pit below the lip of the road due to swift and deep water in canal.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (any one indicator is sufficient)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ●	Depth (inches):
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ●	Depth (inches):
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ●	Depth (inches):

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ●

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: Canal

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Sampling Point: MA_W_0111_0115

Project/Site: B2H | City/County: Malheur | Sampling Date: 7/21/11

Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power | State: OR | Sampling Point: MA_W_0111_0

Investigator(s): CS/MW/NK | Section, Township, Range: S11 T23S R46E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Streambank/slope | Local relief (concave, convex, none): none | Slope (%): 2

Subregion (LRR): B - Columbia/Snake River Plateau | Lat: 43.5883014 | Long: -117.0681289 | Datum: NAD 83

Soil Map Unit Name: No Soil Data Available | NWI classification: PFOA, DOGW

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ◉ No ◯ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation ☐ Soil ☐ or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ◉ No ◯

Are Vegetation ☐ Soil ☐ or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No ◉	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No ◉	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ◯ No ◉
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No ◉	

Remarks:

VEGETATION

Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. *Eleagnus angustifolia*	15	Yes	FAC
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover:	15 %		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum			
1. *Sarcobatus vermiculatus*	5	Yes	FACU
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover:	5 %		
Herb Stratum			
1. *Scirpus microcarpus*	5	No	OBL
2. *Lepidium draba*	12	No	Not Listed
3. *Solidago canadensis*	8	No	FACU
4. *Agrostis alba*	10	No	FAC*
5. *Danthonia californica*	10	No	FACU
6. *Bromus tectorum*	30	Yes	Not Listed
7. *Poa secunda*	10	No	Not Listed
8.			
Total Cover:	85 %		
Woody Vine Stratum			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover:	%		

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 15 % % Cover of Biotic Crust %

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 33.3 % (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	5	x 1 =	5
FACW species		x 2 =	0
FAC species	25	x 3 =	75
FACU species	23	x 4 =	92
UPL species	52	x 5 =	260
Column Totals:	105 (A)		432 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.11

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ◉ Dominance Test is >50%
- ◉ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ☐ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◯ No ◉

Remarks:

SOIL

Sampling Point: MA_W_(

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture[3]	Remarks
0-20	2.5 Y 4/3						Sandy clay loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix.
[3]Soil Textures: Clay, Silty Clay, Sandy Clay, Loam, Sandy Clay Loam, Sandy Loam, Clay Loam, Silty Clay Loam, Silt Loam, Silt, Loamy Sand, Sand.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- ☐ Histosol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)
- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ☐ Vernal Pools (F9)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[4]

- ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
- ☐ Reduced Vertic (F18)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[4]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type:

Depth (inches):

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (any one indicator is sufficient)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Salt Crust (B11)
- ☐ Biotic Crust (B12)
- ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed Soils (C6)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- ☐ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches):

Water Table Present? Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches):

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ○ No ◉ Depth (inches):

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: Bank along artificial side channel/slough. Dam about 25 m downstream. Channel about 4m wide.

WETLAND DETERMINATION FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H City/County: Malheur Sampling Date: 07/31/2012
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power Company State: OR Sampling Point: Malpro_631a
Investigator(s): MMJS Section, Township, Range: S32 T20S R45E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hill Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 3
Subregion (LRR): B__ Lat: 43.79119940 Long: -117.24594190 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: No Soil Data Available NWI classification: PUBH
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes *(if no, explain in Remarks.)*
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF Findings – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No Hydric Soil Present? No Wetland Hydrology Present? No	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** No
Remarks: THIS SITE IS ABSOLUTELY NOT A WETLAND. EVEN IF CATTLE WERE NOT PRESENT THERE IS STILL NO NATURAL SOURCE OF WATER TO ALLOW FOR HYDROPHYTIC VEGETATION AND HYDRIC SOIL.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 0)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover = 0			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover = 0			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1. Agrpyron cristatum	20	Yes	NI
2. Bromus tectorum	15	Yes	NI
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover = 35			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover = 0			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 65			
% Cover of Biotic Crust: 0			

Dominance Test worksheet:
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	0 (A)		0 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 0.00

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
___ Dominance Test is >50%
___ Prevalence Index is <3.0[1]
___ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No

Remarks:

SOIL Sampling Point: Malpro 631a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Matrix		Redox Features					
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type	Loc	Texture	Remarks
1. 0-20	10YR 4/3	0		0			Loam	SOIL IS VERY COMPACTED
2.								
3.								
4.								
5.								
6.								
7.								
8.								

Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		**Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils**
___ Histosol	___ Sandy Redox (S5)	___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
___ Histic Epipedon (A2)	___ Stripped Matrix (S6)	___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
___ Black Histic (A3)	___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	___ Reduced Vertic (F18)
___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
___ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	___ Depleted Matrix (F3)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)
___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	___ Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	___ Vernal Pools (F9)	
___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		

Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): 0	**Hydric Soil Present?** No

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (two or more required)
___ Surface Water (A1)	___ Salt Crust (B11)	___ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
___ High Water Table (A2)	___ Biotic Crust (B12)	___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
___ Saturation (A3)	___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
___ Water Marks (B1) (Nonreverine)	___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)	___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? No Depth (inches):	**Wetland Hydrology Present?** No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H City/County: Baker Sampling Date: 07/27/2012
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power Company State: OR Sampling Point: MApro 446-a
Investigator(s): MM LH Section, Township, Range: S24 T23S R46E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Stream terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
Subregion (LRR): B__ Lat: 43.56225580 Long: -117.05019300 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: No Soil Data Available NWI classification: PEM
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes *(if no, explain in Remarks.)*
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF Findings – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes Hydric Soil Present? Yes Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes
Remarks: THIS SOIL PIT MARKS THE BOUNDARY OF THE WETLAND	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 0)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover = 0			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1. Elaeagnus angustifolia	3	No	FAC
2. Tamarix ramosissima	10	Yes	FACW
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover = 13			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1. Eleocharis palustris	35	Yes	OBL
2. Hordeum brachyantherum	30	Yes	FACW
3. Juncus torreyi	20	Yes	FACW
4. Juncus articulatus	15	No	OBL
5. Rumex salicifolius	10	No	FACW
6. Agrostis stolonifera	25	Yes	FAC
7. Poa pratensis	5	No	FACU
8. Juncus balticus	10	No	OBL
Total Cover = 150			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover = 0			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 5			
% Cover of Biotic Crust: 5			

Dominance Test worksheet:
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	60	x 1 =	60
FACW species	70	x 2 =	140
FAC species	28	x 3 =	84
FACU species	5	x 4 =	20
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	163 (A)		304 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.87

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
_X_ Dominance Test is >50%
_X_ Prevalence Index is <3.0[1]
___ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes

Remarks:

SOIL Sampling Point: MApro 446-a

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Matrix		Redox Features					
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type	Loc	Texture	Remarks
1. 0-4	10Y 5/1	100		0			Silty Clay Loam	
2. 4-20	10Y 5/1	60		0			Clay Loam	
3. 4-20	10YR 4/2	40		0			Clay Loam	
4.								
5.								
6.								
7.								
8.								

Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		**Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils**
___ Histosol	___ Sandy Redox (S5)	___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
___ Histic Epipedon (A2)	___ Stripped Matrix (S6)	___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
___ Black Histic (A3)	___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	___ Reduced Vertic (F18)
_X_ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	_X_ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
___ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	_X_ Depleted Matrix (F3)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)
___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	___ Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and
___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	___ Vernal Pools (F9)	wetland hydrology must be present, unless
___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		disturbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if present):		
Type:		
Depth (inches): 0		**Hydric Soil Present?** Yes

Remarks: THERE ARE NO REDOX FEATURES BUT INDICATOR F3 DOES NOT REQUIRE THEM GIVEN THE COLORS OF THIS SOIL

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (two or more required)
_X_ Surface Water (A1)	___ Salt Crust (B11)	___ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
___ High Water Table (A2)	___ Biotic Crust (B12)	___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
_X_ Saturation (A3)	___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
___ Water Marks (B1) (Nonreverine)	_X_ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)	___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present?	Yes	Depth (inches): 1	
Water Table Present?	Yes	Depth (inches): 15	
Saturation Present?	Yes	Depth (inches): 1	**Wetland Hydrology Present?** Yes

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: SOIL IS SATURATED NEARLY TO SURFACE. SURFACE WATER PRESENT

WETLAND DETERMINATION FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H City/County: Malheur Sampling Date: 07/27/2012
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power Company State: OR Sampling Point: MApro 446b
Investigator(s): LH MM Section, Township, Range: S24 T23S R46E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley floor Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 1
Subregion (LRR): B Lat: 43.56217230 Long: -117.05018820 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: No Soil Data Available NWI classification: NA
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes *(if no, explain in Remarks.)*
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF Findings – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No Hydric Soil Present? No Wetland Hydrology Present? No	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** No
Remarks: UPLAND PLOT	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 0)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover = 0			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover = 0			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1. Salsola kali	5	Yes	FACU
2.	0	Yes	
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover = 5			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover = 0			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 65			
% Cover of Biotic Crust: 0			

Dominance Test worksheet:
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	5	x 4 =	20
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	5 (A)		20 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.00

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
___ Dominance Test is >50%
___ Prevalence Index is <3.0[1]
___ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No

Remarks: BRTE CADR* ACRE* ONAC*

SOIL Sampling Point: MApro 446b

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Type	Loc	Texture	Remarks
1. 0-7	10YR 4/2	100		0			Clay Loam	GRAVEL INCLUSIONS
2. 7-20	10YR 5/3	100		0			Clay	VERY FEW GRAVEL INCLUSIONS
3.								
4.								
5.								
6.								
7.								
8.								

Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		**Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils**
___ Histosol	___ Sandy Redox (S5)	___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
___ Histic Epipedon (A2)	___ Stripped Matrix (S6)	___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
___ Black Histic (A3)	___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	___ Reduced Vertic (F18)
___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
___ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	___ Depleted Matrix (F3)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)
___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	___ Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	___ Vernal Pools (F9)	
___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		

Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): 0	**Hydric Soil Present?** No

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (two or more required)
___ Surface Water (A1)	___ Salt Crust (B11)	___ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
___ High Water Table (A2)	___ Biotic Crust (B12)	___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
___ Saturation (A3)	___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
___ Water Marks (B1) (Nonreverine)	___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)	___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? No Depth (inches):	**Wetland Hydrology Present?** No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H City/County: Baker Sampling Date: 07/27/2012
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power Company State: OR Sampling Point: MApro 446c
Investigator(s): MM LH Section, Township, Range: S24 T23S R46E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Stream terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope (%): 2
Subregion (LRR): (LRR B) Columbia/Snake River Plateau Lat: 43.56251210 Long: -117.04913530 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: No Soil Data Available NWI classification: PEM
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes *(if no, explain in Remarks.)*
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF Findings – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes Hydric Soil Present? Yes Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes
Remarks: THIS IS A FRINGE WETLAND	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 0)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover = 0			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover = 0			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1. Eleocharis palustris	30	Yes	OBL
2. Juncus articulatus	20	Yes	OBL
3. Juncus torreyi	10	No	FACW
4. Epilobium ciliatum	15	Yes	FACW
5. Rumex salicifolius	10	No	FACW
6. Agrostis stolonifera	10	No	FAC
7. Cirsium arvense	5	No	FACU
8.			
Total Cover = 100			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover = 0			

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 0
% Cover of Biotic Crust: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	50	x 1 =	50
FACW species	35	x 2 =	70
FAC species	10	x 3 =	30
FACU species	5	x 4 =	20
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		170 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.70

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
X Dominance Test is >50%
X Prevalence Index is <3.0[1]
___ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes

Remarks:

SOIL Sampling Point: MApro 446c

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Matrix		Redox Features					
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type	Loc	Texture	Remarks
1. 0-3	10YR 5/3	100		0			Clay Loam	
2. 3-20	10YR 5/2	80	7.5YR 4/6	5	C	M	Clay	
3. 3-20	10YR 5/1	15		0			Clay Loam	
4.								
5.								
6.								
7.								
8.								

Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		**Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils**
___ Histosol	___ Sandy Redox (S5)	___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
___ Histic Epipedon (A2)	___ Stripped Matrix (S6)	___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
___ Black Histic (A3)	___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	___ Reduced Vertic (F18)
___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
___ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	_X_ Depleted Matrix (F3)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)
___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	___ Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	___ Vernal Pools (F9)	
___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		

Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): 0	**Hydric Soil Present?** Yes

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (two or more required)
_X_ Surface Water (A1)	___ Salt Crust (B11)	___ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
___ High Water Table (A2)	___ Biotic Crust (B12)	___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
_X_ Saturation (A3)	_X_ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
___ Water Marks (B1) (Nonreverine)	___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)	___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Depth (inches): 3 Water Table Present? Yes Depth (inches): 14 Saturation Present? Yes Depth (inches): 3	**Wetland Hydrology Present?** Yes

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: B2H City/County: Baker Sampling Date: 07/27/2012
Applicant/Owner: Idaho Power Company State: OR Sampling Point: MApro 446d
Investigator(s): LH MM Section, Township, Range: S24 T23S R46E
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley floor Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2
Subregion (LRR): B Lat: 43.56250300 Long: -117.04910340 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: No Soil Data Available NWI classification: UPLAND
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes *(if no, explain in Remarks.)*
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
Are Vegetation ___, Soil ___, or Hydrology ___ naturally problematic? (if needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF Findings – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No Hydric Soil Present? No Wetland Hydrology Present? No	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** No
Remarks: UPLAND PLOT	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 0)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Cover = 0			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Cover = 0			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1. Cirsium arvense	2	No	FACU
2. Salsola kali	2	No	FACU
3.	0	Yes	
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
Total Cover = 4			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 0)			
1.			
2.			
Total Cover = 0			

% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum: 65
% Cover of Biotic Crust: 0

Dominance Test worksheet:
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	4	x 4 =	16
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	4 (A)		16 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.00

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
___ Dominance Test is >50%
___ Prevalence Index is <3.0[1]
___ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No

Remarks: BRTE 10% ERTR* 10%

SOIL Sampling Point: MApro 446d

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

	Matrix		Redox Features					
Depth	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type	Loc	Texture	Remarks
1. 0-20	10YR 5/3	100		0			Clay	
2.								
3.								
4.								
5.								
6.								
7.								
8.								

Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		**Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils**
___ Histosol	___ Sandy Redox (S5)	___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
___ Histic Epipedon (A2)	___ Stripped Matrix (S6)	___ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
___ Black Histic (A3)	___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	___ Reduced Vertic (F18)
___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
___ Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	___ Depleted Matrix (F3)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)
___ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	___ Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	___ Vernal Pools (F9)	
___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		

Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): 0	**Hydric Soil Present?** No

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (two or more required)
___ Surface Water (A1)	___ Salt Crust (B11)	___ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
___ High Water Table (A2)	___ Biotic Crust (B12)	___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
___ Saturation (A3)	___ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
___ Water Marks (B1) (Nonreverine)	___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
___ Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
___ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	___ Other (Explain in Remarks)	___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? No Depth (inches): Water Table Present? No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? No Depth (inches):	**Wetland Hydrology Present?** No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

Streamflow Duration Field Assessment Form

Project # / Name B2H	Assessor MW/NK
Address Baker County	Date 05-25-2013
Waterway Name BA_BR_029	Coordinates at downstream end (ddd.mm.ss) Lat. 44.588395 N
Reach Boundaries	Long. -117.510791 W
Precipitation w/in 48 hours (cm) 0.60 inches	Channel Width (m) 1.5 feet
	☐ Disturbed Site / Difficult Situation (Describe in "Notes")

Observed Hydrology

% of reach w/observed surface flow NONE

% of reach w/any flow (surface or hyporheic) NONE

# of pools observed NONE

Observations

Observed Wetland Plants (and indicator status):

No hydrophytes.

Observed Macroinvertebrates:

Taxon	Indicator Status	Ephemer-optera?	# of Individuals
NONE			

Indicators

1. Are aquatic macroinvertebrates present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2. Are 6 or more individuals of the Order Ephemeroptera present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
3. Are perennial indicator taxa present? (refer to Table 1)	☐ Yes	☐ No
4. Are FACW, OBL, or SAV plants present? (Within ½ channel width)	☐ Yes	☐ No
5. What is the slope? (In percent, measured for the valley, not the stream)	4 %	

Conclusions



Single Indicators:
☐ Fish
☐ Amphibians

Finding:
☒ Ephemeral
☐ Intermittent
☐ Perennial

Notes: (explanation of any single indicator conclusions, description of disturbances or modifications that may interfere with indicators, etc.)

Difficult Situation:

Describe situation. For disturbed streams, note extent, type, and history of disturbance.

☐ Prolonged Abnormal Rainfall / Snowpack

☒ Below Average

☐ Above Average

☐ Natural or Anthropogenic Disturbance

☐ Other: _____

Additional Notes: (sketch of site, description of photos, comments on hydrological observations, etc.) Attach additional sheets as necessary.

See Appendix D Photo P241

Channel is bordered by sagebrush and junipers.

Ancillary Information:

☐ Riparian Corridor

☐ Erosion and Deposition

☐ Floodplain Connectivity

Observed Amphibians, Snake, and Fish:

Taxa	Life History Stage	Location Observed	Number of Individuals Observed

Streamflow Duration Field Assessment Form

Project # / Name B2H	Assessor JBB, SY, AL
Address Umatilla County	Date 06/10/2016
Waterway Name UM_SW_STRM_007	Coordinates at downstream end (ddd.mm.ss) Lat. 45.426909 N
Reach Boundaries	Long. -119.082847 W
Precipitation w/in 48 hours (cm) 0 inches	Channel Width (m) 6 feet
	☐ Disturbed Site / Difficult Situation (Describe in "Notes")

Observed Hydrology

% of reach w/observed surface flow 0

% of reach w/any flow (surface or hyporheic) 0

# of pools observed 0

Observations

Observed Wetland Plants (and indicator status):

None

Observed Macroinvertebrates:

Taxon	Indicator Status	Ephemer-optera?	# of Individuals
NONE			

Indicators

1. Are aquatic macroinvertebrates present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2. Are 6 or more individuals of the Order Ephemeroptera present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
3. Are perennial indicator taxa present? (refer to Table 1)	☐ Yes	☐ No
4. Are FACW, OBL, or SAV plants present? (Within ½ channel width)	☐ Yes	☐ No
5. What is the slope? (In percent, measured for the valley, not the stream)	5 %	

Conclusions



Single Indicators:
☐ Fish
☐ Amphibians

Finding:
☒ Ephemeral
☐ Intermittent
☐ Perennial

Notes: (explanation of any single indicator conclusions, description of disturbances or modifications that may interfere with indicators, etc.)

Difficult Situation:

Describe situation. For disturbed streams, note extent, type, and history of disturbance.

☐ Prolonged Abnormal Rainfall / Snowpack

☒ Below Average

☐ Above Average

☐ Natural or Anthropogenic Disturbance

☐ Other: _____

Additional Notes: (sketch of site, description of photos, comments on hydrological observations, etc.) Attach additional sheets as necessary.

See Appendix D, photo P19

Channel is bordered by herbaceous weedy upland species.

Ancillary Information:

☐ Riparian Corridor

☐ Erosion and Deposition

☐ Floodplain Connectivity

Observed Amphibians, Snake, and Fish:

Taxa	Life History Stage	Location Observed	Number of Individuals Observed

Streamflow Duration Field Assessment Form

Project # / Name B2H	Assessor JBB, SY, AL
Address Umatilla County	Date 06/10/2016
Waterway Name UM_SW_STRM_007	Coordinates at downstream end (ddd.mm.ss) Lat. 45.426909 N
Reach Boundaries	Long. -119.082847 W
Precipitation w/in 48 hours (cm) 0 inches	Channel Width (m) 6 feet
	☐ Disturbed Site / Difficult Situation (Describe in "Notes")

Observed Hydrology

% of reach w/observed surface flow 0

% of reach w/any flow (surface or hyporheic) 0

# of pools observed 0

Observations

Observed Wetland Plants (and indicator status):

None

Observed Macroinvertebrates:

Taxon	Indicator Status	Ephemer-optera?	# of Individuals
NONE			

Indicators

1. Are aquatic macroinvertebrates present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2. Are 6 or more individuals of the Order Ephemeroptera present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
3. Are perennial indicator taxa present? (refer to Table 1)	☐ Yes	☐ No
4. Are FACW, OBL, or SAV plants present? (Within ½ channel width)	☐ Yes	☐ No
5. What is the slope? (In percent, measured for the valley, not the stream)	5 %	

Conclusions



Single Indicators:
☐ Fish
☐ Amphibians

Finding:
☒ Ephemeral
☐ Intermittent
☐ Perennial

Notes: (explanation of any single indicator conclusions, description of disturbances or modifications that may interfere with indicators, etc.)

Difficult Situation:

Describe situation. For disturbed streams, note extent, type, and history of disturbance.

☐ Prolonged Abnormal Rainfall / Snowpack

☒ Below Average

☐ Above Average

☐ Natural or Anthropogenic Disturbance

☐ Other: _____

Additional Notes: (sketch of site, description of photos, comments on hydrological observations, etc.) Attach additional sheets as necessary.

See Appendix D, photo P19

Channel is bordered by herbaceous weedy upland species.

Ancillary Information:

☐ Riparian Corridor

☐ Erosion and Deposition

☐ Floodplain Connectivity

Observed Amphibians, Snake, and Fish:

Taxa	Life History Stage	Location Observed	Number of Individuals Observed

Streamflow Duration Field Assessment Form

Project # / Name B2H	Assessor JBB, SY, AL
Address Umatilla County	Date 06/10/2016
Waterway Name UM_SW_STRM_007	Coordinates at downstream end (ddd.mm.ss) Lat. 45.426909 N
Reach Boundaries	Long. -119.082847 W
Precipitation w/in 48 hours (cm) 0 inches	Channel Width (m) 6 feet
	☐ Disturbed Site / Difficult Situation (Describe in "Notes")

Observed Hydrology

% of reach w/observed surface flow 0

% of reach w/any flow (surface or hyporheic) 0

# of pools observed 0

Observations

Observed Wetland Plants (and indicator status):

None

Observed Macroinvertebrates:

Taxon	Indicator Status	Ephemer-optera?	# of Individuals
NONE			

Indicators

1. Are aquatic macroinvertebrates present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2. Are 6 or more individuals of the Order Ephemeroptera present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
3. Are perennial indicator taxa present? (refer to Table 1)	☐ Yes	☐ No
4. Are FACW, OBL, or SAV plants present? (Within ½ channel width)	☐ Yes	☐ No
5. What is the slope? (In percent, measured for the valley, not the stream)	5 %	

Conclusions



Single Indicators:
☐ Fish
☐ Amphibians

Finding:
☒ Ephemeral
☐ Intermittent
☐ Perennial

Notes: (explanation of any single indicator conclusions, description of disturbances or modifications that may interfere with indicators, etc.)

Difficult Situation:

Describe situation. For disturbed streams, note extent, type, and history of disturbance.

☐ Prolonged Abnormal Rainfall / Snowpack

☒ Below Average

☐ Above Average

☐ Natural or Anthropogenic Disturbance

☐ Other: _____

Additional Notes: (sketch of site, description of photos, comments on hydrological observations, etc.) Attach additional sheets as necessary.

See Appendix D, photo P19

Channel is bordered by herbaceous weedy upland species.

Ancillary Information:

☐ Riparian Corridor

☐ Erosion and Deposition

☐ Floodplain Connectivity

Observed Amphibians, Snake, and Fish:

Taxa	Life History Stage	Location Observed	Number of Individuals Observed

Streamflow Duration Field Assessment Form

Project # / Name B2H	Assessor JBB, SY, AL
Address Umatilla County	Date 06/10/2016
Waterway Name UM_SW_STRM_007	Coordinates at downstream end (ddd.mm.ss) Lat. 45.426909 N
Reach Boundaries	Long. -119.082847 W
Precipitation w/in 48 hours (cm) 0 inches	Channel Width (m) 6 feet
	☐ Disturbed Site / Difficult Situation (Describe in "Notes")

Observed Hydrology

% of reach w/observed surface flow 0

% of reach w/any flow (surface or hyporheic) 0

# of pools observed 0

Observations

Observed Wetland Plants (and indicator status):

None

Observed Macroinvertebrates:

Taxon	Indicator Status	Ephemer-optera?	# of Individuals
NONE			

Indicators

1. Are aquatic macroinvertebrates present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2. Are 6 or more individuals of the Order Ephemeroptera present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
3. Are perennial indicator taxa present? (refer to Table 1)	☐ Yes	☐ No
4. Are FACW, OBL, or SAV plants present? (Within ½ channel width)	☐ Yes	☐ No
5. What is the slope? (In percent, measured for the valley, not the stream)	5 %	

Conclusions



Single Indicators:
☐ Fish
☐ Amphibians

Finding:
☒ Ephemeral
☐ Intermittent
☐ Perennial

Notes: (explanation of any single indicator conclusions, description of disturbances or modifications that may interfere with indicators, etc.)

Difficult Situation:

Describe situation. For disturbed streams, note extent, type, and history of disturbance.

☐ Prolonged Abnormal Rainfall / Snowpack

☒ Below Average

☐ Above Average

☐ Natural or Anthropogenic Disturbance

☐ Other: _____

Additional Notes: (sketch of site, description of photos, comments on hydrological observations, etc.) Attach additional sheets as necessary.

See Appendix D, photo P19

Channel is bordered by herbaceous weedy upland species.

Ancillary Information:

☐ Riparian Corridor

☐ Erosion and Deposition

☐ Floodplain Connectivity

Observed Amphibians, Snake, and Fish:

Taxa	Life History Stage	Location Observed	Number of Individuals Observed

Streamflow Duration Field Assessment Form

Project # / Name B2H	Assessor JBB, SY, AL
Address Umatilla County	Date 06/10/2016
Waterway Name UM_SW_STRM_007	Coordinates at downstream end (ddd.mm.ss) Lat. 45.426909 N
Reach Boundaries	Long. -119.082847 W
Precipitation w/in 48 hours (cm) 0 inches	Channel Width (m) 6 feet
	☐ Disturbed Site / Difficult Situation (Describe in "Notes")

Observed Hydrology

% of reach w/observed surface flow 0

% of reach w/any flow (surface or hyporheic) 0

# of pools observed 0

Observations

Observed Wetland Plants (and indicator status):

None

Observed Macroinvertebrates:

Taxon	Indicator Status	Ephemer-optera?	# of Individuals
NONE			

Indicators

1. Are aquatic macroinvertebrates present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2. Are 6 or more individuals of the Order Ephemeroptera present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
3. Are perennial indicator taxa present? (refer to Table 1)	☐ Yes	☐ No
4. Are FACW, OBL, or SAV plants present? (Within ½ channel width)	☐ Yes	☐ No
5. What is the slope? (In percent, measured for the valley, not the stream)	5 %	

Conclusions



Single Indicators:
☐ Fish
☐ Amphibians

Finding:
☒ Ephemeral
☐ Intermittent
☐ Perennial

Notes: (explanation of any single indicator conclusions, description of disturbances or modifications that may interfere with indicators, etc.)

Difficult Situation:

Describe situation. For disturbed streams, note extent, type, and history of disturbance.

☐ Prolonged Abnormal Rainfall / Snowpack

☒ Below Average

☐ Above Average

☐ Natural or Anthropogenic Disturbance

☐ Other: _____

Additional Notes: (sketch of site, description of photos, comments on hydrological observations, etc.) Attach additional sheets as necessary.

See Appendix D, photo P19

Channel is bordered by herbaceous weedy upland species.

Ancillary Information:

☐ Riparian Corridor

☐ Erosion and Deposition

☐ Floodplain Connectivity

Observed Amphibians, Snake, and Fish:

Taxa	Life History Stage	Location Observed	Number of Individuals Observed

Streamflow Duration Field Assessment Form

Project # / Name B2H	Assessor JBB, SY, AL
Address Umatilla County	Date 06/10/2016
Waterway Name UM_SW_STRM_007	Coordinates at downstream end (ddd.mm.ss) Lat. 45.426909 N
Reach Boundaries	Long. -119.082847 W
Precipitation w/in 48 hours (cm) 0 inches	Channel Width (m) 6 feet
	☐ Disturbed Site / Difficult Situation (Describe in "Notes")

Observed Hydrology

% of reach w/observed surface flow 0

% of reach w/any flow (surface or hyporheic) 0

# of pools observed 0

Observations

Observed Wetland Plants (and indicator status):

None

Observed Macroinvertebrates:

Taxon	Indicator Status	Ephemer-optera?	# of Individuals
NONE			

Indicators

1. Are aquatic macroinvertebrates present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2. Are 6 or more individuals of the Order Ephemeroptera present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
3. Are perennial indicator taxa present? (refer to Table 1)	☐ Yes	☐ No
4. Are FACW, OBL, or SAV plants present? (Within ½ channel width)	☐ Yes	☐ No
5. What is the slope? (In percent, measured for the valley, not the stream)	5 %	

Conclusions



Single Indicators:
☐ Fish
☐ Amphibians

Finding:
☒ Ephemeral
☐ Intermittent
☐ Perennial

Notes: (explanation of any single indicator conclusions, description of disturbances or modifications that may interfere with indicators, etc.)

Difficult Situation:

Describe situation. For disturbed streams, note extent, type, and history of disturbance.

☐ Prolonged Abnormal Rainfall / Snowpack

☒ Below Average

☐ Above Average

☐ Natural or Anthropogenic Disturbance

☐ Other: _____

Additional Notes: (sketch of site, description of photos, comments on hydrological observations, etc.) Attach additional sheets as necessary.

See Appendix D, photo P19

Channel is bordered by herbaceous weedy upland species.

Ancillary Information:

☐ Riparian Corridor

☐ Erosion and Deposition

☐ Floodplain Connectivity

Observed Amphibians, Snake, and Fish:

Taxa	Life History Stage	Location Observed	Number of Individuals Observed

Streamflow Duration Field Assessment Form

Project # / Name B2H	Assessor JBB, SY, AL
Address Umatilla County	Date 06/10/2016
Waterway Name UM_SW_STRM_007	Coordinates at downstream end (ddd.mm.ss) Lat. 45.426909 N
Reach Boundaries	Long. -119.082847 W
Precipitation w/in 48 hours (cm) 0 inches	Channel Width (m) 6 feet
	☐ Disturbed Site / Difficult Situation (Describe in "Notes")

Observed Hydrology

% of reach w/observed surface flow 0

% of reach w/any flow (surface or hyporheic) 0

# of pools observed 0

Observations

Observed Wetland Plants (and indicator status):

None

Observed Macroinvertebrates:

Taxon	Indicator Status	Ephemer-optera?	# of Individuals
NONE			

Indicators

1. Are aquatic macroinvertebrates present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2. Are 6 or more individuals of the Order Ephemeroptera present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
3. Are perennial indicator taxa present? (refer to Table 1)	☐ Yes	☐ No
4. Are FACW, OBL, or SAV plants present? (Within ½ channel width)	☐ Yes	☐ No
5. What is the slope? (In percent, measured for the valley, not the stream)	5 %	

Conclusions



Single Indicators:
☐ Fish
☐ Amphibians

Finding:
☒ Ephemeral
☐ Intermittent
☐ Perennial

Notes: (explanation of any single indicator conclusions, description of disturbances or modifications that may interfere with indicators, etc.)

Difficult Situation:

Describe situation. For disturbed streams, note extent, type, and history of disturbance.

☐ Prolonged Abnormal Rainfall / Snowpack

☒ Below Average

☐ Above Average

☐ Natural or Anthropogenic Disturbance

☐ Other: _____

Additional Notes: (sketch of site, description of photos, comments on hydrological observations, etc.) Attach additional sheets as necessary.

See Appendix D, photo P19

Channel is bordered by herbaceous weedy upland species.

Ancillary Information:

☐ Riparian Corridor

☐ Erosion and Deposition

☐ Floodplain Connectivity

Observed Amphibians, Snake, and Fish:

Taxa	Life History Stage	Location Observed	Number of Individuals Observed

Streamflow Duration Field Assessment Form

Project # / Name B2H	Assessor JBB, SY, AL
Address Umatilla County	Date 06/10/2016
Waterway Name UM_SW_STRM_007	Coordinates at downstream end (ddd.mm.ss) Lat. 45.426909 N
Reach Boundaries	Long. -119.082847 W
Precipitation w/in 48 hours (cm) 0 inches	Channel Width (m) 6 feet
	☐ Disturbed Site / Difficult Situation (Describe in "Notes")

Observed Hydrology

% of reach w/observed surface flow 0

% of reach w/any flow (surface or hyporheic) 0

# of pools observed 0

Observations

Observed Wetland Plants (and indicator status):

None

Observed Macroinvertebrates:

Taxon	Indicator Status	Ephemer-optera?	# of Individuals
NONE			

Indicators

1. Are aquatic macroinvertebrates present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2. Are 6 or more individuals of the Order Ephemeroptera present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
3. Are perennial indicator taxa present? (refer to Table 1)	☐ Yes	☐ No
4. Are FACW, OBL, or SAV plants present? (Within ½ channel width)	☐ Yes	☐ No
5. What is the slope? (In percent, measured for the valley, not the stream)	5 %	

Conclusions



Single Indicators:
☐ Fish
☐ Amphibians

Finding:
☒ Ephemeral
☐ Intermittent
☐ Perennial

Notes: (explanation of any single indicator conclusions, description of disturbances or modifications that may interfere with indicators, etc.)

Difficult Situation:

Describe situation. For disturbed streams, note extent, type, and history of disturbance.

☐ Prolonged Abnormal Rainfall / Snowpack

☒ Below Average

☐ Above Average

☐ Natural or Anthropogenic Disturbance

☐ Other: _____

Additional Notes: (sketch of site, description of photos, comments on hydrological observations, etc.) Attach additional sheets as necessary.

See Appendix D, photo P19

Channel is bordered by herbaceous weedy upland species.

Ancillary Information:

☐ Riparian Corridor

☐ Erosion and Deposition

☐ Floodplain Connectivity

Observed Amphibians, Snake, and Fish:

Taxa	Life History Stage	Location Observed	Number of Individuals Observed

Streamflow Duration Field Assessment Form

Project # / Name B2H	Assessor JBB, SY, AL
Address Umatilla County	Date 06/10/2016
Waterway Name UM_SW_STRM_007	Coordinates at downstream end (ddd.mm.ss) Lat. 45.426909 N
Reach Boundaries	Long. -119.082847 W
Precipitation w/in 48 hours (cm) 0 inches	Channel Width (m) 6 feet
	☐ Disturbed Site / Difficult Situation (Describe in "Notes")

Observed Hydrology

% of reach w/observed surface flow 0

% of reach w/any flow (surface or hyporheic) 0

# of pools observed 0

Observations

Observed Wetland Plants (and indicator status):

None

Observed Macroinvertebrates:

Taxon	Indicator Status	Ephemer-optera?	# of Individuals
NONE			

Indicators

1. Are aquatic macroinvertebrates present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2. Are 6 or more individuals of the Order Ephemeroptera present?	☐ Yes	☐ No
3. Are perennial indicator taxa present? (refer to Table 1)	☐ Yes	☐ No
4. Are FACW, OBL, or SAV plants present? (Within ½ channel width)	☐ Yes	☐ No
5. What is the slope? (In percent, measured for the valley, not the stream)	5 %	

Conclusions



Single Indicators:
☐ Fish
☐ Amphibians

Finding:
☒ Ephemeral
☐ Intermittent
☐ Perennial

Notes: (explanation of any single indicator conclusions, description of disturbances or modifications that may interfere with indicators, etc.)

Difficult Situation:

Describe situation. For disturbed streams, note extent, type, and history of disturbance.

☐ Prolonged Abnormal Rainfall / Snowpack

☒ Below Average

☐ Above Average

☐ Natural or Anthropogenic Disturbance

☐ Other: _____

Additional Notes: (sketch of site, description of photos, comments on hydrological observations, etc.) Attach additional sheets as necessary.

See Appendix D, photo P19

Channel is bordered by herbaceous weedy upland species.

Ancillary Information:

☐ Riparian Corridor

☐ Erosion and Deposition

☐ Floodplain Connectivity

Observed Amphibians, Snake, and Fish:

Taxa	Life History Stage	Location Observed	Number of Individuals Observed

APPENDIX D
PHOTOLOG

This page intentionally left blank

Photo P1. Feature MO_EB_W_411. Wetland to the right of the shovel. Looking West, in Morrow County. 5/8/2013 10:16. Latitude 45.830439. Longitude -119.622327.

Photo P2. Feature MO_EB_402. Biologist nearing culvert in center of the image, no wetland or channel indicators. Looking South, in Morrow County. 5/6/2013 16:45. Latitude 45.682269. Longitude -119.622825.

Photo P3. Feature MO_EB_403. Upland swale with plowed field in the background. Looking SE, in Morrow County. 5/6/2013 17:45. Latitude 45.670168. Longitude -119.623267.

Photo P4. Feature MO_EB_408. Broad, well vegetated valley bottom with no signs of a channel. Looking West, in Morrow County. 5/7/2013 12:21. Latitude 45.660293. Longitude -119.623452.



Photo P5. Feature MO_SW_XBB_001. Swale barely discernible in the middle of a wheat field. Looking NW, in Morrow County. 6/8/2016 6:00. Latitude 45.605631. Longitude -119.615132.

Photo P6. Feature MO_SW_XBB_002. Swale in fallow agricultural field. Looking SE, in Morrow County. 6/8/2016 6:00. Latitude 45.597802. Longitude -119.61533.

Photo P7. Feature MO_SW_XBB_003. Upland swale vegetated with sagebrush, needlegrass, and cheatgrass. Looking South, in Morrow County. 6/8/2016 6:00. Latitude 45.590422. Longitude -119.615309.

Photo P8. Feature MO_SW_XBB_005. Swale in wheat field with road signs visible in the background. Looking SE, in Morrow County. 6/8/2016 6:00. Latitude 45.574526. Longitude -119.559461.

Photo P9. Feature MO_SW_XBB_305. No stream present in plowed field at mapped NHD feature. Looking North, in Morrow County. 9/7/2016 15:14. Latitude 45.574644. Longitude -119.491613.

Photo P10. Feature MO_SW_XBB_309. Upland area at mapped NHD stream. Looking South, in Morrow County. 8/26/2016 15:33. Latitude 45.545844. Longitude -119.321607.

Photo P11. Feature MO_SW_XBB_301. Road crossing at mapped NHD feature. No stream present. Looking SW, in Morrow County. 8/26/2016 15:48. Latitude 45.545712. Longitude -119.313709.

Photo P12. Feature MO_SW_XBB_006. Culvert in the center of the image, bare ground from recent culvert installation. Looking NE, in Morrow County. 6/8/2016 6:00. Latitude 45.547706. Longitude -119.305595.

Photo P13. Feature MO_SW_STRM_001. Ephemeral drainage with road in the background. Looking SW, in Morrow County. 6/8/2016 6:00. Latitude 45.548037. Longitude -119.305273.



Photo P14. Feature MO_SW_XBB_302. Upland swale with no defined bed and bank. Looking West, in Morrow County. 8/26/2016 16:10. Latitude 45.544985. Longitude -119.307693.

Photo P15. Feature MO_SW_STRM_300. Existing crossing at Butter Creek. Looking NE, in Morrow County. 8/26/2016 16:25. Latitude 45.545177. Longitude -119.308511.

Photo P16. Feature MO_SW_STRM_301. Ephemeral stream flows below existing road. Road runs from left to right in the image background. Looking SW, in Morrow County. 8/26/2016 17:03. Latitude 45.539375. Longitude -119.296134.

Photo P17. Feature MO_SW_NW_300. No wetland or stream present at mapped NWI and NHD features. Upland ravine only. Looking SW, in Morrow County. 8/26/2016 17:41. Latitude 45.535863. Longitude -119.292819.

Photo P18. Feature UM_SW_STRM_008. Perennial stream with well vegetated banks flowing through the center of the image. Looking East, in Umatilla County. 6/11/2016 1:16. Latitude 45.527087. Longitude -119.212917.

Photo P19. Feature UM_SW_STRM_007. Ephemeral channel not visible in the well vegetated depression running through the center. Looking NW, in Umatilla County. 6/11/2016 0:07. Latitude 45.427219. Longitude -119.081812.

Photo P20. Feature UM_SW_XBB_300. Well vegetated upland swale in grassland. Looking East, in Umatilla County. 9/6/2016 21:46. Latitude 45.410531. Longitude -118.911827.

Photo P21. Feature UM_SW_NW_001. Biologists in hayed field at upland plot. Looking East, in Umatilla County. 6/10/2016 17:34. Latitude 45.40948. Longitude -118.895705.

Photo P22. Feature UM_SW_NW_002. Biologists at upland plot in agricultural field. Looking East, in Umatilla County. 6/10/2016 17:46. Latitude 45.407127. Longitude -118.896312.

Photo P23. Feature UM_SW_NW_006. NWI verification in upland agricultural area. Looking North, in Umatilla County. 6/10/2016 21:42. Latitude 45.404748. Longitude -118.895814.

Photo P24. Feature UM_SW_NW_005. Access road towards creek, biologist in feature, East Birch Creek in background. Looking NW, in Umatilla County. 6/10/2016 21:01. Latitude 45.403705. Longitude -118.893673.

Photo P25. Feature UM_SW_STRM_004. West Fork of Birch Creek with cottonwood trees along both banks. Looking North, in Umatilla County. 6/10/2016 21:18. Latitude 45.404365. Longitude -118.894787.



Photo P26. Feature UM_SW_STRM_009. Vegetated drainage running from the lower left to the center of the image. Looking SW, in Umatilla County. 6/11/2016 19:58. Latitude 45.410446. Longitude -118.867274.

Photo P27. Feature UM_SW_NW_007. Upland with access road in the center of the image. Looking North, in Umatilla County. 6/11/2016 22:51. Latitude 45.435304. Longitude -118.840416.

Photo P28. Feature UM_SW_STRM_010. Depression in foreground and background with gravel road running left to right through the center. Looking North, in Umatilla County. 6/11/2016 23:06. Latitude 45.441547. Longitude -118.838151.

Photo P29. Feature UM_SW_NW_301. Mapped hydric soil but no wetland present. Looking NE, in Umatilla County. 9/6/2016 20:17. Latitude 45.441033. Longitude -118.834465.

Photo P30. Feature UM_SW_NW_300. Shovel marks upland plot confirming no hydric soil or wetlands at mapped hydric soil polygon. Looking South, in Umatilla County. 9/6/2016 19:23. Latitude 45.430572. Longitude -118.822042.

Photo P33. Feature UM_SW_STRM_013. Confluence of E. Birch Creek and its tributary. Surveyor in the center of the image. Looking SW, in Umatilla County. 6/12/2016 19:23. Latitude 45.408592. Longitude -118.802486.

Photo P34. Feature UM_SW_STRM_016. Looking upgradient at a vegetated channel with a culvert and building in the background. Looking North, in Umatilla County. 6/12/2016 23:13. Latitude 45.400086. Longitude -118.742777.

Photo P35. Feature UM_SW_STRM_002. Ephemeral channel in depression ending at the impoundment. Looking NW, in Umatilla County. 6/9/2016. Latitude 45.423029. Longitude -118.741474.

Photo P36. Feature UM_SW_NW_009. Mckay Creek in the drainage to the left, fence line on the right of the image. Looking NW, in Umatilla County. 6/12/2016 16:54. Latitude 45.458145. Longitude -118.598319.

Photo P37. Feature UM_W_0031. Wetland in the floodplain adjacent to the channel. Looking SW, in Umatilla County. 8/6/2011. Latitude 45.480767. Longitude -118.467644.

Photo P38. Feature UM_S_0145. Channel running from the bottom right to the center of the image. Pine forest in the background. Looking NE, in Umatilla County. 8/3/2011. Latitude 45.481144. Longitude -118.466573.

Photo P41. Feature UM_S_0069. Forested meadow with no signs of a channel. Looking SW, in Umatilla County. 8/7/2011. Latitude 45.475035. Longitude -118.452353.

Photo P42. Feature UM_S_0064. Upland meadow surrounded by forest, no signs of a channel. Looking East, in Umatilla County. 8/7/2011. Latitude 45.469062. Longitude -118.436504.

Photo P43. Feature UM_S_0053. Forest and meadow with no signs of a channel. Looking SW, in Umatilla County. 8/7/2011. Latitude 45.455984. Longitude -118.433515.

Photo P44. Feature UM_AS_STRM_300. Ephemeral stream adjacent to Kamela Road, culvert conveys runoff to the north. Looking NE, in Umatilla County. 9/7/2016 18:00. Latitude 45.430621. Longitude -118.362539.

Photo P45. Feature UN_ML_STRM_007. Roadside ditch with a fence line in the upper right of the image. Looking SE, in Union County. 6/14/2016 20:43. Latitude 45.318423. Longitude -118.222272.

Photo P46. Feature UN_H_0316A. Rocky upland area with shovel in the foreground, no hydric soil indicators. Looking South, in Union County. 8/24/2011. Latitude 45.317668. Longitude -118.218624.

Photo P49. Feature UN_ML_STRM_016. Perennial channel flowing in the bottom of the depression in the center of the image. Looking North, in Union County. 6/28/2016 16:36. Latitude 45.311339. Longitude -118.172955.

Photo P50. Feature UN_ML_W_015. Wetland to the left of the shovel and upland to the right. Pine forest in the background. Looking North, in Union County. 6/28/2016 16:21. Latitude 45.308989. Longitude -118.172142.

Photo P51. Feature UN_ML_W_016. Shovel marks wetland boundary with wetland to the right and upland to the left. Looking West, in Union County. 6/28/2016 17:02. Latitude 45.311114. Longitude -118.170991.

Photo P52. Feature UN_ML_NW_008. Forested site, no hydric indicators. Looking NW, in Union County. 6/28/2016 18:44. Latitude 45.30711. Longitude -118.161034.

Photo P53. Feature UN_ML_NW_009. Shovel marking upland verification plot, pine forest in the background. Looking South, in Union County. 6/28/2016 18:31. Latitude 45.306178. Longitude -118.158936.

Photo P54. Feature UN_ML_STRM_015. Boulder lined perennial channel flowing through forest. Looking North, in Union County. 6/24/2016 20:41. Latitude 45.292428. Longitude -118.173157.

Photo P55. Feature UN_ML_STRM_014. Narrow, rock lined channel flowing through a well vegetated ravine. Boulders are visible throughout. Looking East, in Union County. 6/24/2016 21:34. Latitude 45.292219. Longitude -118.171585.

Photo not available.

Photo P56. Feature UN_ML_W_008. No photo available. Looking East, in Union County. 9/22/2016 16:31. Latitude 45.294269. Longitude -118.166407.

Photo P57. Feature UN_ML_W_006. Wetland located on the left of the shovel and upland to the right. Ponderosa pine in the background. Looking NW, in Union County. 6/24/2016 18:06. Latitude 45.294194. Longitude -118.161747.

Photo P58. Feature UN_ML_STRM_013. Channel in depression running through the center of the image. Signs of livestock use is evident. Looking SE, in Union County. 6/24/2016 17:30. Latitude 45.293978. Longitude -118.161541.

Photo P59. Feature UN_ML_STRM_030. Dry, poorly defined channel with cobble and boulders in the foreground and a fence in background. Looking SE, in Union County. 6/24/2016 18:10. Latitude 45.294172. Longitude -118.161419.

Photo P60. Feature UN_ML_STRM_013. Channel in depression running through the center of the image. Signs of livestock use is evident. Looking NW, in Union County. 6/24/2016 18:18. Latitude 45.29422. Longitude -118.162033.

Photo P61. Feature UN_ML_W_005. Shovel in center of wetland, upland to the right of the image, shrubs in the background. Looking West, in Union County. 6/24/2016 16:47. Latitude 45.293252. Longitude -118.158763.

Photo P62. Feature UN_ML_STRM_030. Dry, poorly defined channel with cobble and boulders in the foreground and a fence in background. Looking SE, in Union County. 6/24/2016 17:10. Latitude 45.293617. Longitude -118.160298.

Photo P63. Feature UN_ML_W_005. Seep wetland with shovel marking the wetland plot. fence line along the right side of the image. Looking NW, in Union County. 6/24/2016 0:11. Latitude 45.29305. Longitude -118.158231.

Photo P64. Feature UN_ML_NW_010. Shovel marking upland verification plot on dry hillside. Looking South, in Union County. 6/28/2016 19:20. Latitude 45.296246. Longitude -118.140727.

Photo P65. Feature UN_ML_W_004. Wetland to the left of the shovel and upland to the right, truck in the background. Looking SE, in Union County. 6/23/2016 22:31. Latitude 45.290098. Longitude -118.149539.

Photo P66. Feature UN_ML_NW_004. Shovel marking upland plot with forest in the background. Looking South, in Union County. 6/22/2016 21:26. Latitude 45.25366. Longitude -118.088443.

Photo P67. Feature UN_ML_NW_303. Upland vegetation community at mapped hydric soil polygon. Looking South, in Union County. 8/25/2016 16:30. Latitude 45.23284. Longitude -118.069313.

Photo P68. Feature UN_ML_NW_302. Upland vegetation community at mapped hydric soil polygon. Looking SW, in Union County. 8/25/2016 16:06. Latitude 45.230053. Longitude -118.066723.

Photo P69. Feature UN_ML_NW_300. Forested upland area with no hydric indicators. Looking West, in Union County. 8/1/2016 21:00. Latitude 45.223028. Longitude -118.061209.

Photo P70. Feature UN_ML_STRM_300. Perennial stream flowing through the rocks in the foreground with a bridge crossing. Looking North, in Union County. 8/1/2016 21:37. Latitude 45.223662. Longitude -118.061001.

Photo P71. Feature UN_S_0006. Shrub dominated swale with no signs of a channel. Looking SE, in Union County. 8/27/2011. Latitude 45.207474. Longitude -118.055116.

Photo P72. Feature UN_S_0112. Dry forested swale with no signs of an active channel. Looking NW, in Union County. 8/27/2011. Latitude 45.206078. Longitude -118.055934.

Photo P73. Feature UN_S_0096. Dense forested area with no signs of a channel. Looking South, in Union County. 8/27/2011. Latitude 45.203308. Longitude -118.057337.

Photo P74. Feature UN_ML_STRM_301. Ephemeral stream at two well established road crossings with large culverts. Looking SE, in Union County. 8/1/2016 22:22. Latitude 45.205908. Longitude -118.043736.

Photo P75. Feature UN_ML_STRM_301. Culvert in the bottom left of image draining into a steep, narrow, shrub-filled ravine. Looking SE, in Union County. 8/1/2016 22:39. Latitude 45.205926. Longitude -118.043758.

Photo P76. Feature UN_ML_XBB_300. Upland forested ravine. No water feature. Looking SE, in Union County. 8/1/2016 18:34. Latitude 45.19365. Longitude -118.037166.

Photo P77. Feature UN_S_0028. Shrub dominated upland ravine with no signs of an active channel. Looking North, in Union County. 8/26/2011. Latitude 45.192163. Longitude -118.039179.

Photo P78. Feature UN_ML_STRM_306. Rocky channel entering a culvert. Looking NW, in Union County. 8/2/2016 22:45. Latitude 45.178402. Longitude -118.033811.

Photo P79. Feature UN_ML_STRM_305. Perennial stream flowing through a forested area with downed logs crossing the channel. Looking North, in Union County. 8/2/2016 22:16. Latitude 45.174854. Longitude -118.033716.

Photo P81. Feature UN_ML_STRM_304. Incised perennial stream adjacent to access road with a dense shrub overstory visible. Looking West, in Union County. 8/2/2016 22:04. Latitude 45.174275. Longitude -118.032723.

Photo P80. Feature UN_ML_NW_301. Shovel marks upland plot to confirm that no hydric soil is present. Looking East, in Union County. 8/2/2016 21:59. Latitude 45.173936. Longitude -118.031725.

Photo P82. Feature UN_S_0106. Upland meadow with no signs of a channel, forest in the background. Looking SW, in Union County. 8/10/2011. Latitude 45.429579. Longitude -118.391402.

Photo P83. Feature UN_AS_XBB_300. No stream present at mapped NHD feature at road crossing. Looking South, in Union County. 9/7/2016 18:41. Latitude 45.430453. Longitude -118.379196.

Photo P84. Feature UN_S_0046. Looking upslope with forest in the background, no signs of an active channel. Looking NE, in Union County. 8/10/2011. Latitude 45.42897. Longitude -118.379577.

Photo P85. Feature UN_S_0020. Forested upland with no signs of a channel. Looking South, in Union County. 8/10/2011. Latitude 45.428656. Longitude -118.376486.

Photo P86. Feature UN_S_0033. Forested upland area with no signs of a channel. Looking North, in Union County. 8/10/2011. Latitude 45.425413. Longitude -118.371962.

Photo P87. Feature UN_S_0047. Upland meadow with forest in the background and no signs of a channel. Looking SW, in Union County. 8/10/2011. Latitude 45.408127. Longitude -118.351713.

Photo P89. Feature UN_S_0007. Pine forest with no signs of an active channel. Looking South, in Union County. 8/11/2011. Latitude 45.371265. Longitude -118.308484.

Photo P90. Feature UN_S_0019. Pine forest with no signs of a channel. Looking NE, in Union County. 8/11/2011. Latitude 45.364297. Longitude -118.293906.

Photo P91. Feature UN_MC_STRM_001. Cutbank of an incised channel visible in the center of the image. Looking South, in Union County. 6/13/2016 23:06. Latitude 45.354569. Longitude -118.279971.

Photo P92. Feature UN_MC_STRM_002. Broad depression with a 2-track road crossing from left to right in the center of the image. Looking South, in Union County. 6/13/2016 23:06. Latitude 45.352823. Longitude -118.277021.

Photo P93. Feature UN_MC_STRM_003. Vegetation obscures channel located in the depression in the background of the image. Looking South, in Union County. 6/13/2016 23:06. Latitude 45.352246. Longitude -118.276121.

Photo P94. Feature UN_MC_STRM_004. Ephemeral channel flowing down and adjacent to powerline access road. Looking NW, in Union County. 6/13/2016 23:06. Latitude 45.351868. Longitude -118.275785.

Photo P95. Feature UN_MC_STRM_005. Looking down gradient at a stream channel located in the bottom left of the image. Looking SE, in Union County. 6/14/2016 18:32. Latitude 45.339517. Longitude -118.259419.

Photo P96. Feature UN_MC_STRM_006. Narrow channel barely visible through grass overstory. Seedling pine tree to the left. Looking SW, in Union County. 6/14/2016 18:41. Latitude 45.339302. Longitude -118.258894.

Photo P97. Feature UN_S_0076. Upland area with no signs of a channel adjacent to access road. Looking North, in Union County. 8/12/2011. Latitude 45.341309. Longitude -118.235474.

Photo P99. Feature UN_MC_W_018. Upland in the foreground with shovel marking the beginning of the wetland. Looking West, in Union County. 6/28/2016 20:46. Latitude 45.315537. Longitude -118.151311.

Photo P100. Feature UN_MC_NW_011. Dry grassland with no hydric indicators. Looking SE, in Union County. 6/28/2016 21:07. Latitude 45.315694. Longitude -118.147717.

Photo P101. Feature UN_MC_W_019. Wetland to the left of the shovel and rocky upland slope to the right. Looking NE, in Union County. 6/28/2016 21:44. Latitude 45.315142. Longitude -118.1438.

Photo P102. Feature UN_MC_NW_012. No wetland indicators at mapped hydric soil. Looking NW, in Union County. 6/28/2016 21:53. Latitude 45.31426. Longitude -118.139581.

Photo P103. Feature UN_MC_XBB_304. Upland swale at road crossing. Looking North, in Union County. 8/25/2016 20:57. Latitude 45.278817. Longitude -118.081904.

Photo P104. Feature UN_MC_XBB_303. No stream present at mapped NHD feature. Looking West, in Union County. 8/25/2016 20:29. Latitude 45.276833. Longitude -118.070852.

Photo P106. Feature UN_MC_NW_300. Upland field at mapped NWI polygon. Looking West, in Union County. 8/25/2016 19:31. Latitude 45.259465. Longitude -118.045222.

Photo P107. Feature UN_MC_STRM_301. Channel is dry with a cobble bottom running from the bottom right of the image into the culvert. Looking NE, in Union County. 8/25/2016 22:41. Latitude 45.257926. Longitude -118.049948.

Photo P108. Feature UN_MC_NW_006. Upland sagebrush community with two-track road in center of image. Looking South, in Union County. 6/23/2016 18:41. Latitude 45.210406. Longitude -118.010753.

Photo P109. Feature UN_MC_NW_006. Shovel marks upland plot adjacent to access road. Looking SW, in Union County. 6/23/2016 18:11. Latitude 45.208095. Longitude -118.006123.

Photo P111. Feature UN_MC_STRM_011. Intermittent channel with hawthorn overstory. Looking West, in Union County. 6/23/2016 16:20. Latitude 45.1997804. Longitude -118.0098682.

Photo P113. Feature UN_MC_STRM_011. Intermittent channel with culvert under road. Looking SW, in Union County. 6/23/2016 16:43. Latitude 45.202185. Longitude -118.006446.

Photo P112. Feature UN_MC_W_001. Shovel marks upland plot with wetland in the depression in the background. Looking SW, in Union County. 6/23/2016 17:34. Latitude 45.202208. Longitude -118.006463.

Photo P114. Feature UN_MC_NW_005. Shovel marking upland in dense shrub overstory. Looking West, in Union County. 6/23/2016 16:15. Latitude 45.199817. Longitude -118.00993.

Photo P115. Feature UN_MC_XBB_010. Dry slope with no channel present adjacent to gravel road. Looking North, in Union County. 6/23/2016 19:23. Latitude 45.197667. Longitude -118.013461.

Photo P116. Feature UN_MC_W_002. Shovel marking wetland edge. Looking SW, in Union County. 6/23/2016 20:11. Latitude 45.197965. Longitude -118.013985.

Photo P117. Feature UN_MC_W_003. Wetland depression with shrubs to the left and the Interstate to the right. Looking North, in Union County. 9/22/2016 16:16. Latitude 45.198437. Longitude -118.014324.

Photo P118. Feature UN_MC_STRM_011. Intermittent channel with culvert under road. Looking West, in Union County. 6/23/2016 15:38. Latitude 45.198384. Longitude -118.012522.

Photo P119. Feature UN_MC_STRM_012. Shovel marking east bank. Looking North, in Union County. 6/23/2016 19:29. Latitude 45.197823. Longitude -118.014171.

Photo P120. Feature UN_MC_W_302. Wetland bench along perennial stream. Shovel at wetland boundary. Looking East, in Union County. 8/24/2016 22:06. Latitude 45.188794. Longitude -118.000275.

Photo P121. Feature UN_MC_XBB_302. Erosional feature on upland slope, shrubs in the background. Looking SW, in Union County. 8/24/2016 23:36. Latitude 45.188668. Longitude -118.000766.

Photo P122. Feature UN_MC_W_303. Hillside seep wetland flowing through the center of the image with a 2-track road in the background. Looking West, in Union County. 8/24/2016 23:30. Latitude 45.188699. Longitude -118.000505.

Photo P123. Feature UN_MC_STRM_300. Existing access road crossing perennial stream. Culvert visible on the left side of the image. Looking West, in Union County. 8/2/2016 23:40. Latitude 45.188717. Longitude -117.999971.

Photo P124. Feature UN_LM_W_302. Emergent wetland on east side if Oregon Trail Road. Shovel at wetland boundary, upland to the right. Looking South, in Union County. 8/3/2016 20:27. Latitude 45.154276. Longitude -117.971685.

Photo P125. Feature UN_LM_STRM_300. Stream barely visible through vegetation. Looking South, in Union County. 8/3/2016 13:52. Latitude 45.154226. Longitude -117.971623.

Photo P126. Feature UN_LM_NW_305. Shovel marks upland plot in mapped hydric area but no wetland present. Looking North, in Union County. 8/23/2016 20:00. Latitude 45.153262. Longitude -117.969198.

Photo P127. Feature UN_LM_W_300. Emergent wetland adjacent to access road. Upland to the left of the shovel at wetland boundary. Looking NE, in Union County. 8/3/2016 18:49. Latitude 45.155859. Longitude -117.969759.

Photo P128. Feature UN_LM_W_301. Emergent wetland along the east side of Oregon Trail Road. Shovel in the lower left of the image. Looking SW, in Union County. 8/3/2016 19:06. Latitude 45.155672. Longitude -117.969896.

Photo P129. Feature UN_LM_W_304. Wetland depression in foreground with vehicles on the interstate in the background. Looking South, in Union County. 8/23/2016 22:56. Latitude 45.164527. Longitude -117.963711.

Photo P130. Feature UN_LM_NW_306. Mapped hydric soil area. No wetlands are present. Two-track road in the center of the image. Looking North, in Union County. 8/23/2016 20:21. Latitude 45.157514. Longitude -117.965463.

Photo P131. Feature UN_LM_W_303. Emergent wetland locatef between the access road and shoulder of I-84. Looking North, in Union County. 8/23/2016 21:55. Latitude 45.16005. Longitude -117.963992.

Photo P132. Feature UN_LM_W_303. Emergent wetland locate between the access road and shoulder of I-84 Looking North, in Union County. 8/23/2016 14:41. Latitude 45.161138. Longitude -117.963921.

Photo P133. Feature UN_LM_NW_304. Upland vegetation community at mapped hydric soil area. Looking North, in Union County. 8/23/2016 19:08. Latitude 45.148444. Longitude -117.963548.



Photo P134. Feature UN_LM_NW_303. Shovel marks upland plot in mapped hydric soil, plot confirms no hydric soil. Looking South, in Union County. 8/23/2016 18:41. Latitude 45.144304. Longitude -117.959535.

Photo P135. Feature UN_LM_NW_302. Upland vegetation community at mapped hydric soil area. Looking NE, in Union County. 8/23/2016 18:11. Latitude 45.141445. Longitude -117.961826.

Photo P136. Feature UN_LM_STRM_301. Ephemeral stream on steep slope. Upland vegetation community. Looking South, in Union County. 8/23/2016 17:39. Latitude 45.140405. Longitude -117.958079.

Photo P137. Feature UN_LM_NW_300. Shovel marks upland plot in sparsely vegetated hillside. Looking SW, in Union County. 8/23/2016 15:58. Latitude 45.133482. Longitude -117.955283.

Photo P138. Feature UN_LM_STRM_302. Ephemeral stream at access road crossing. Looking North, in Union County. 8/23/2016 16:03. Latitude 45.133478. Longitude -117.955185.

Photo P139. Feature UN_LM_NW_301. Steep upland sagebrush shrubland with on wetland indicators. Looking SW, in Union County. 8/23/2016 16:56. Latitude 45.135124. Longitude -117.951144.

Photo P140. Feature UN_LM_XBB_300. Upland grassland with no signs of a stream at mapped NHD. Looking South, in Union County. 8/24/2016 16:20. Latitude 45.131703. Longitude -117.946205.

Photo P141. Feature UN_LM_POND_300. Excavated cattle water development surrounded by bare ground and rock. Looking East, in Union County. 8/24/2016 9:49. Latitude 45.126651. Longitude -117.937718.

Photo P142. Feature UN_LM_NW_307. Ephemeral channel through area no wetland present. Looking NW, in Union County. 8/24/2016 19:14. Latitude 45.125809. Longitude -117.937286.

Photo P143. Feature UN_LM_STRM_209. Rock and cobble bottom channel with a 2-track road crossing from the upper left to lower right. Looking SE, in Union County. 6/24/2016 22:48. Latitude 45.125662. Longitude -117.936668.

Photo P144. Feature UN_LM_STRM_210. Rock bottom, dry channel in the center of the image surrounded by sagebrush shrubland. Looking NE, in Union County. 6/24/2016 22:53. Latitude 45.125358. Longitude -117.936244.

Photo P145. Feature UN_LM_STRM_228. Looking upgradient at a poorly defined channel surrounded by sagebrush shrubland. Looking NE, in Union County. 6/28/2016 20:54. Latitude 45.126256. Longitude -117.9349.

Photo P146. Feature UN_LM_STRM_226. Cutbank of an incised channel visible to the center-left of the image. 2-track road is evident. Looking SW, in Union County. 6/28/2016 20:23. Latitude 45.126425. Longitude -117.932342.

Photo P147. Feature UN_LM_XBB_301. Upland swale. No defined bed and bank. Looking SE, in Union County. 8/24/2016 20:30. Latitude 45.125574. Longitude -117.931317.

Photo P148. Feature UN_LM_STRM_227. Rock lined channel bottom with upland grass growing in it in the center of the image. Looking SW, in Union County. 6/28/2016 20:28. Latitude 45.126226. Longitude -117.931643.

Photo P149. Feature UN_LM_NW_204. Mowed hay field. Looking SW, in Union County. 6/24/2016 20:51. Latitude 45.091785. Longitude -117.928357.



Photo P150. Feature UN_H_0330. Biologist digging upland verification plot in grassland, no hydric soil indicators. Looking SW, in Union County. 8/25/2011. Latitude 45.098681. Longitude -117.910491.

Photo P151. Feature UN_LM_NW_203. Upland meadow with Scotch thistle in background. Looking SW, in Union County. 6/23/2016 22:04. Latitude 45.0281. Longitude -117.92928.

Photo P152. Feature BA_FL_017. Upland swale now used as a trail, no signs of an active channel. Looking West, in Baker County. 7/1/2013 13:56. Latitude 44.812027. Longitude -117.754689.

Photo P153. Feature BA_S_0098. Dry upland valley with no signs of a channel. Looking NW, in Baker County. 10/4/2011. Latitude 44.988162. Longitude -117.829812.

Photo P154. Feature BA_LM_NW_200. Upland plot in dry rangeland meadow with livestock in the background. Looking East, in Baker County. 6/22/2016 21:16. Latitude 44.985342. Longitude -117.819186.

Photo P155. Feature BA_LM_STRM_202. Poorly defined channel located in a broad depression running from the bottom to the top. Looking NW, in Baker County. 6/22/2016 20:53. Latitude 44.985291. Longitude -117.819116.

Photo P156. Feature BA_LM_STRM_203. Drainage ditch in a depression on the left side of the access road. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/22/2016 22:45. Latitude 44.979261. Longitude -117.835037.

Photo P157. Feature BA_LM_STRM_200. Ephemeral channel in a depression surrounded by sagebrush shrubland. Looking SE, in Baker County. 6/22/2016 19:14. Latitude 44.979871. Longitude -117.828315.

Photo P158. Feature BA_LM_XBB_200. Upland meadow with sagebrush in the background, no signs of a channel. Looking North, in Baker County. 6/22/2016 23:14. Latitude 44.966476. Longitude -117.840083.

Photo P159. Feature BA_LM_XBB_204. Upland grassland giving way to sagebrush shrubland with no signs of a channel. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/23/2016 18:00. Latitude 44.959913. Longitude -117.825143.

Photo P160. Feature BA_LM_XBB_205. Mapped as NHD but no channel present in dense sagebrush. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/23/2016 19:32. Latitude 44.958631. Longitude -117.830465.

Photo P161. Feature BA_LM_STRM_206. Looking upgradient at a poorly defined channel with sagebrush and upland grasses along the channel. Looking SE, in Baker County. 6/23/2016 17:27. Latitude 44.95724. Longitude -117.803071.



Photo P162. Feature BA_LM_XBB_203. Dense sagebrush shrubland with no signs of a channel. Looking West, in Baker County. 6/23/2016 17:12. Latitude 44.950388. Longitude -117.797923.

Photo P163. Feature BA_LM_STRM_205. Ephemeral channel in a sagebrush shrubland. Looking SW, in Baker County. 6/23/2016 16:49. Latitude 44.940833. Longitude -117.792242.

Photo P164. Feature BA_LM_XBB_202. Area mapped as NHD, but no channel features observed in sagebrush shrubland. Looking SW, in Baker County. 6/23/2016. Latitude 44.932306. Longitude -117.787837.

Photo P165. Feature BA_LM_XBB_201. Looking north along area mapped as NHD in sagebrush shrubland. Looking North, in Baker County. 6/23/2016. Latitude 44.917704. Longitude -117.783623.



Photo P166. Feature BA_LM_XBB_211. Rocky sagebrush dominated hillside. Looking SE, in Baker County. 6/24/2016 17:57. Latitude 44.905303. Longitude -117.77298.

Photo P167. Feature BA_LM_XBB_210. Sagebrush shrubland with no signs of a channel. Looking East, in Baker County. 6/24/2016 17:03. Latitude 44.885553. Longitude -117.761568.

Photo P168. Feature BA_LM_XBB_209. Two-track through sagebrush shrubland, no channel features. Looking SE, in Baker County. 6/24/2016 16:53. Latitude 44.880123. Longitude -117.758824.

Photo P169. Feature BA_LM_XBB_206. Upland meadow with fence line in the center of the image. Looking SE, in Baker County. 6/24/2016 16:32. Latitude 44.876562. Longitude -117.766109.

Photo P170. Feature BA_LM_XBB_208. Dense sagebrush shrubland with no signs of a channel. Looking SE, in Baker County. 6/24/2016 16:48. Latitude 44.878494. Longitude -117.759296.

Photo P171. Feature BA_LM_XBB_207. Dense sagebrush shrubland with powerline in the background. Looking East, in Baker County. 6/24/2016 16:40. Latitude 44.876555. Longitude -117.76135.

Photo P172. Feature BA_FL_STRM_021. Irrigation ditch with an orange tarp structure in it. Looking North, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 15:35. Latitude 44.83968. Longitude -117.749866.

Photo P173. Feature BA_FL_STRM_022. Tree in center of irrigation ditch. Looking West, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 15:47. Latitude 44.83968. Longitude -117.75007.

Photo P175. Feature BA_FL_XBB_013. Dry swale with road in background. Looking SW, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 16:47. Latitude 44.802036. Longitude -117.751393.

Photo P176. Feature BA_FL_XBB_014. Dry sagebrush swale with truck to the left. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 17:00. Latitude 44.792271. Longitude -117.747966.



Photo P177. Feature BA_FL_XBB_015. Dry swale road crossing with sagebrush along fence line. Looking North, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 17:16. Latitude 44.788792. Longitude -117.754264.

Photo P178. Feature BA_FL_XBB_016. Dry swale with sagebrush along fence line. Looking NE, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 17:19. Latitude 44.788765. Longitude -117.75506.

Photo P179. Feature BA_FL_XBB_017. Dry sagebrush swale with road in center of image. Looking NE, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 17:28. Latitude 44.788748. Longitude -117.759663.

Photo P180. Feature BA_FL_XBB_018. Dry swale crossed by road with canal in background. Looking North, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 17:38. Latitude 44.788685. Longitude -117.762652.

Photo P181. Feature BA_FL_XBB_019. Dry swale in sagebrush shrubland. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 17:59. Latitude 44.7841. Longitude -117.758189.

Photo P182. Feature BA_FL_XBB_021. Road crossing at dry swale. Looking NE, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 18:21. Latitude 44.786002. Longitude -117.763347.

Photo P183. Feature BA_FL_STRM_023. Spring-fed channel flowing through the center of the image. Signs of livestock use in area. Looking North, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 17:53. Latitude 44.784114. Longitude -117.758229.

Photo P184. Feature BA_FL_W_011. Small seep wetland adjacent to road with a notebook marking wetland in the background of the image. Looking NW, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 19:04. Latitude 44.786656. Longitude -117.768422.

Photo P185. Feature BA_FL_XBB_022. Sagebrush filled upland swale. Looking NW, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 19:31. Latitude 44.78349. Longitude -117.768527.

Photo P186. Feature BA_FL_004. Vegetated gully in sagebrush shrubland. Looking East, in Baker County. 6/30/2013 14:20. Latitude 44.778292. Longitude -117.762815.

Photo P187. Feature BA_FL_XBB_023. Upland swale with powerline in the background. Looking SW, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 19:38. Latitude 44.777803. Longitude -117.769488.

Photo P188. Feature BA_FL_XBB_024. Sagebrush and road crossing with truck to the left. Looking NW, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 19:44. Latitude 44.772123. Longitude -117.772333.

Photo P189. Feature BA_FL_STRM_024. Irrigation canal entering a cement culvert in the center of the image. Looking NW, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 14:14. Latitude 44.783051. Longitude -117.800628.

Photo P190. Feature BA_FL_XBB_025. Sagebrush shrubland with no signs of a channel. Looking SE, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 19:51. Latitude 44.768799. Longitude -117.773212.

Photo P191. Feature BA_FL_XBB_025. Upland swale with thick sagebrush and a powerline in the background. Looking North, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 19:56. Latitude 44.767594. Longitude -117.771749.

Photo P192. Feature BA_FL_W_012. Upland in the foreground with wetland starting behind the shovel. Looking NE, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 20:22. Latitude 44.760172. Longitude -117.76898.

Photo P193. Feature BA_FL_008. Facing upstream of an artificial stream created by a overflow of a stock tank. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/30/2013 16:59. Latitude 44.760112. Longitude -117.768984.

Photo P194. Feature BA_FL_XBB_026. Dry swale with road bed in the background. Looking NW, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 20:33. Latitude 44.758414. Longitude -117.768393.

Photo P195. Feature BA_FL_XBB_028. Upland swale in thick sagebrush, no signs of a channel. Looking West, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 22:29. Latitude 44.727707. Longitude -117.771101.

Photo P196. Feature BA_FL_XBB_027. Swale with interstate in background. Looking SW, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 22:19. Latitude 44.722405. Longitude -117.768854.

Photo P197. Feature BA_FL_XBB_029. Swale with road crossing, no signs of a channel. Looking SW, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 22:38. Latitude 44.721865. Longitude -117.766563.

Photo P198. Feature BA_FL_XBB_030. Road through sagebrush, no drainage feature. Looking SW, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 22:43. Latitude 44.720105. Longitude -117.761258.

Photo P199. Feature BA_FL_XBB_031. Vegetated swale with Interstate in the background. Looking SW, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 22:51. Latitude 44.719048. Longitude -117.756055.

Photo P200. Feature BA_FL_XBB_031. Sagebrush in swale with sign in the back right of the image. Looking SW, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 22:56. Latitude 44.718374. Longitude -117.757146.

Photo P201. Feature BA_FL_035. Poorly defined ephemeral drainage in the foreground with a truck in the back left of the image. Looking SE, in Baker County. 8/10/2013 8:22. Latitude 44.707865. Longitude -117.723557.

Photo P202. Feature BA_FL_040. Shovel in ditch adjacent to dirt access road. Looking SE, in Baker County. 8/10/2013 11:02. Latitude 44.706786. Longitude -117.721787.

Photo P203. Feature BA_FL_039a. Disturbed upland area with shovel marking plot location. Looking West, in Baker County. 8/10/2013 10:28. Latitude 44.703178. Longitude -117.70719.

Photo P204. Feature BA_FL_STRM_310. Ephemeral stream in a sagebrush shrubland with a fence line in the background. Looking NE, in Baker County. 8/22/2016 21:08. Latitude 44.684758. Longitude -117.634226.

Photo P205. Feature BA_FL_STRM_309. Ephemeral stream at road crossing surrounded by sagebrush shrubland. Looking NE, in Baker County. 8/22/2016 21:22. Latitude 44.67971. Longitude -117.636356.

Photo P206. Feature BA_FL_XBB_302. Vegetated upland swale at mapped NHD location, no bed or bank formation. Looking SW, in Baker County. 8/22/2016 20:48. Latitude 44.687835. Longitude -117.633403.

Photo P207. Feature BA_W_0101. Shovel marks plot with a dry stock water pond in background. Looking North, in Baker County. 8/22/2011. Latitude 44.681387. Longitude -117.61341.

Photo P208. Feature BA_FL_STRM_305. Ephemeral stream adjacent to proposed access road. Looking South, in Baker County. 8/5/2016 14:53. Latitude 44.667058. Longitude -117.575536.

Photo P209. Feature BA_FL_XBB_301. Mapped NHD feature and no water feature present. Looking SE, in Baker County. 8/5/2016 14:47. Latitude 44.667036. Longitude -117.575997.

Photo P210. Feature BA_FL_W_302. Fringe wetland adjacent to stock watering pond. Signs of livestock use along banks. Looking NE, in Baker County. 8/5/2016 15:27. Latitude 44.665598. Longitude -117.574242.

Photo P211. Feature BA_FL_W_303. Spring fed wetland at head of stream, wetland on the left and upland on the right. Looking South, in Baker County. 8/5/2016 16:11. Latitude 44.66533. Longitude -117.574049.

Photo P212. Feature BA_FL_POND_300. Fringe wetland adjacent to stock watering pond. Signs of livestock use along banks. Looking NE, in Baker County. 8/5/2016 15:19. Latitude 44.665602. Longitude -117.574289.

Photo P213. Feature BA_FL_W_304. Emergent wetland contained within incised stream channel. Looking North, in Baker County. 8/5/2016 17:01. Latitude 44.663154. Longitude -117.573437.

Photo P214. Feature BA_FL_W_305. Wetland to the left of the shovel handle and teasle dominated upland to the right. Looking SW, in Baker County. 8/22/2016 16:46. Latitude 44.659654. Longitude -117.573444.

Photo P215. Feature BA_FL_STRM_306. Perennial stream through fenced cattle exclosure area. Looking South, in Baker County. 8/5/2016 18:23. Latitude 44.660477. Longitude -117.573283.

Photo P216. Feature BA_FL_W_305. Wetland to the left of the shovel and access road to the right in the background. Looking SW, in Baker County. 8/22/2016 19:21. Latitude 44.655576. Longitude -117.574986.

Photo P217. Feature BA_BR_400. Vegetated swale with yellow pipeline marker on the ground to the left of the image. Looking NW, in Baker County. 5/25/2013 9:14. Latitude 44.655161. Longitude -117.577854.

Photo P218. Feature BA_FL_STRM_307. Perennial stream degraded due to heavy cattle use Looking South, in Baker County. 8/22/2016 19:51. Latitude 44.654232. Longitude -117.575983.

Photo P219. Feature BA_FL_STRM_308. Highly degraded ephemeral stream due to cattle use. Looking North, in Baker County. 8/22/2016 20:13. Latitude 44.653285. Longitude -117.576146.

Photo P220. Feature BA_FL_POND_301. Impoundment berm to the left of the image with wetland and open water pond to the right. Looking NW, in Baker County. 8/22/2016 12:38. Latitude 44.654506. Longitude -117.575319.

Photo P221. Feature BA_BR_405. Vegetated upland swale with no signs of an active channel. Looking SE, in Baker County. 5/25/2013 13:21. Latitude 44.657772. Longitude -117.56402.

Photo P222. Feature BA_BR_406. Upland swale with basin wild-rye to the left of the image. Looking SW, in Baker County. 5/25/2013 13:29. Latitude 44.657442. Longitude -117.563567.

Photo P223. Feature BA_FL_NW_302. Gravel fill area with willow in the background, no wetland indicators. Looking South, in Baker County. 8/4/2016 21:04. Latitude 44.649552. Longitude -117.565879.

Photo P224. Feature BA_FL_STRM_302. Perennial stream along east side of existing access road Looking SE, in Baker County. 8/4/2016 20:30. Latitude 44.64921. Longitude -117.565728.

Photo P225. Feature BA_FL_STRM_303. Ditch/canal adjacent to Old Hwy 30 in thick grass cover. Looking East, in Baker County. 8/4/2016 21:13. Latitude 44.649631. Longitude -117.565809.

Photo P226. Feature BA_FL_STRM_304. Irrigation ditch not visible through thick grass in center of image, dirt road to the right. Looking South, in Baker County. 8/4/2016 21:27. Latitude 44.649583. Longitude -117.565681.

Photo P227. Feature BA_FL_NW_300. Shovel marks upland plot that confirms no wetland in NWI mapped feature. Looking North, in Baker County. 8/4/2016 18:39. Latitude 44.648117. Longitude -117.566063.

Photo P228. Feature BA_FL_NW_301. Shovel marks upland plot at mapped NWI feature adjacent to stream. Looking North, in Baker County. 8/4/2016 19:57. Latitude 44.648796. Longitude -117.56572.

Photo P229. Feature BA_FL_STRM_300. Small perennial stream along edge of existing access road. Looking East, in Baker County. 8/4/2016 18:18. Latitude 44.647568. Longitude -117.566033.

Photo P230. Feature BA_FL_STRM_301. Perennial stream flows under existing improved gravel access road Looking South, in Baker County. 8/4/2016 19:33. Latitude 44.64895. Longitude -117.565902.

Photo P231. Feature BA_FL_STRM_302. Perennial stream along east side of existing access road Looking North, in Baker County. 8/4/2016 20:19. Latitude 44.648952. Longitude -117.565709.

Photo P232. Feature BA_BR_411. Upland swale, now used as a trail with juniper in the background. Looking South, in Baker County. 5/25/2013 16:22. Latitude 44.648958. Longitude -117.553776.

Photo P233. Feature BA_BR_W_428. Shovel in thick willow community marking cent of the wetland, Interstate in the background. Looking West, in Baker County. 5/27/2013 13:34. Latitude 44.646493. Longitude -117.551028.

Photo P234. Feature BA_FL_W_300. Emergent wetland to the left of the shovel in pasture. Looking North, in Baker County. 8/4/2016 16:32. Latitude 44.643745. Longitude -117.5587.

Photo P235. Feature BA_FL_W_301. Wetland located in drainage in back right of image on far side of crossing. Looking NE, in Baker County. 8/4/2016 17:56. Latitude 44.644047. Longitude -117.559255.

Photo P236. Feature BA_FL_XBB_300. Shovel in center of image, no channel indicators present in grass field. Looking North, in Baker County. 8/4/2016 15:37. Latitude 44.643687. Longitude -117.554585.

Photo P237. Feature BA_BR_416. Ephemeral stream vegetated with upland species. Juniper on right side of ephemeral drainage. Looking NE, in Baker County. 5/26/2013 10:34. Latitude 44.628102. Longitude -117.542518.

Photo P238. Feature BA_BR_456. Narrow gully with juniper in the background, no signs of a channel. Looking NW, in Baker County. 6/29/2013 9:43. Latitude 44.614028. Longitude -117.530064.

Photo P239. Feature BA_BR_457. Dry depression with no signs of an active channel. Looking East, in Baker County. 6/29/2013 12:33. Latitude 44.60893. Longitude -117.522299.

Photo P240. Feature BA_BR_461. Dry streambed with upland plants growing in it and juniper in the background. Looking NW, in Baker County. 6/29/2013 14:52. Latitude 44.596849. Longitude -117.529388.

Photo P241. Feature BA_BR_029. Ephemeral stream with cobble and gravel bottom in center of image with juniper in the background. Looking SW, in Baker County. 5/25/2013 11:07. Latitude 44.588441. Longitude -117.51073.

Photo P243. Feature BA_WT_STRM_224. Dry channel flowing though an area of bare ground with juniper in the background. Looking SE, in Baker County. 6/28/2016 10:33. Latitude 44.587541. Longitude -117.509608.

Photo P245. Feature BA_WT_STRM_226. Dry ephemeral channel with bare ground visible along both channel banks. Looking East, in Baker County. 6/28/2016 18:15. Latitude 44.58777. Longitude -117.536875.

Photo P246. Feature BA_WT_STRM_225. Looking downstream at a thickly vegetated drainage. A large stump is apparent in the background. Looking SW, in Baker County. 6/28/2016 17:57. Latitude 44.585939. Longitude -117.538896.

Photo P247. Feature BA_WT_W_009. Shovel marks upland plot, wetland in the center and right of the image, juniper in the background. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/25/2016 18:21. Latitude 44.556505. Longitude -117.514579.

Photo P248. Feature BA_WT_W_009. Shovel marks upland plot, wetland in the center and right of the image, juniper in the background. Looking NE, in Baker County. 6/25/2016 19:04. Latitude 44.55679. Longitude -117.51334.

Photo P249. Feature BA_WT_XBB_011. Swale without bed or bank, fence line in the background. Looking North, in Baker County. 6/25/2016 19:14. Latitude 44.559926. Longitude -117.508795.

Photo P250. Feature BA_BR_W_446. Sagebrush shrubland to the left and wetland to the right in a depression. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/28/2013 14:39. Latitude 44.559406. Longitude -117.508421.

Photo P251. Feature BA_WT_W_202. Wetland to the left of the image, upland to the right, forest in the background. Looking North, in Baker County. 6/25/2016 18:37. Latitude 44.539124. Longitude -117.52659.

Photo P252. Feature BA_WT_STRM_211. Channel not visible in thick vegetation. Aspen stems and a large cottonwood trunk are visible. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/25/2016 19:05. Latitude 44.539743. Longitude -117.526517.

Photo P253. Feature BA_BR_W_451. Stock tank in center of image, seep and wetland are below tank. Looking East, in Baker County. 6/27/2013 14:37. Latitude 44.556528. Longitude -117.505575.

Photo P254. Feature BA_WT_STRM_020. Dry channel with a rock and cobble bottom running from the bottom to the top of the image. Looking NW, in Baker County. 6/25/2016 21:47. Latitude 44.563167. Longitude -117.498724.

Photo P255. Feature BA_WT_STRM_213. Rocky, dry drainage in the center of the image with a 2-track road crossing from left to right. Looking NE, in Baker County. 6/25/2016 22:10. Latitude 44.538881. Longitude -117.522877.

Photo P256. Feature BA_WT_STRM_214. Channel in the bottom of a narrow, ravine, juniper in the background. Looking NW, in Baker County. 6/25/2016 22:27. Latitude 44.541326. Longitude -117.521029.

Photo P257. Feature BA_WT_W_204. Narrow wetland depression the in front left of the image, juniper in the back right. Looking NW, in Baker County. 6/25/2016 20:10. Latitude 44.538473. Longitude -117.522786.

Photo not available.

Photo P258. Feature BA_WT_W_010. No photo available. Looking SW, in Baker County. 9/22/2016 16:36. Latitude 44.55432. Longitude -117.504479.

Photo P259. Feature BA_WT_POND_001. Dry stock pond with annual sunflower growing throughout. Looking West, in Baker County. 6/25/2016 14:07. Latitude 44.5566. Longitude -117.502846.

Photo P260. Feature BA_WT_W_205. Wetland with areas of standing water to the left of the image, upland to the right. Looking West, in Baker County. 6/25/2016 21:06. Latitude 44.53914. Longitude -117.517536.

Photo P261. Feature BA_WT_STRM_017. Dogwood riparian corridor in center of image. Channel running from the lower right into the center. Looking NE, in Baker County. 6/25/2016 19:56. Latitude 44.553402. Longitude -117.504696.

Photo P262. Feature BA_WT_STRM_018. Teasel in the right foreground with the channel running through the left/center of the image. Looking NE, in Baker County. 6/25/2016 21:15. Latitude 44.557824. Longitude -117.498558.

Photo P263. Feature BA_WT_STRM_019. Narrow channel in the center of the image with green, well vegetated banks. Looking North, in Baker County. 6/25/2016 21:37. Latitude 44.559518. Longitude -117.498077.

Photo P264. Feature BA_WT_STRM_212. Poorly defined channel originating from a spring located in the dense vegetation in the center. Looking West, in Baker County. 6/25/2016 21:29. Latitude 44.539107. Longitude -117.517793.

Photo P265. Feature BA_WT_W_206. Wetland in the center left of the image, juniper and wetland source in the background. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/25/2016 21:46. Latitude 44.54027. Longitude -117.513959.

Photo P266. Feature BA_WT_STRM_215. Flowing stream in the bottom of a narrow ravine. Looking East, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 17:11. Latitude 44.52511. Longitude -117.469509.

Photo P267. Feature BA_WT_NW_212. Whitetop in the foreground at this upland site. Looking NE, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 20:01. Latitude 44.519178. Longitude -117.472257.

Photo P268. Feature BA_WT_NW_207. Weedy upland species mix in the foreground with mature juniper in the background. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 20:05. Latitude 44.519364. Longitude -117.472416.

Photo P269. Feature BA_WT_NW_206. Shovel marks upland plot in sagebrush community. Looking East, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 18:56. Latitude 44.522133. Longitude -117.461766.

Photo P270. Feature BA_WT_STRM_216. Ravine between 2 steep hillsides. Sagebrush along both hillsides and in the background. Looking East, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 18:17. Latitude 44.519834. Longitude -117.455485.

Photo P271. Feature BA_WT_NW_208. Old house at this upland verification plot, truck in background. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 21:44. Latitude 44.506195. Longitude -117.436493.

Photo P272. Feature BA_WT_STRM_219. Garbage and rusted barrel located in channel located in the center of the image. Looking NW, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 21:38. Latitude 44.506062. Longitude -117.43635.

Photo P273. Feature BA_WT_STRM_218. Mostly vegetated channel flowing through the center of the image with large cobble and boulders. Looking North, in Baker County. 6/26/2016 21:18. Latitude 44.499442. Longitude -117.440372.

Photo P274. Feature BA_WT_STRM_307. Ephemeral stream at road crossing. Cobbles and boulders visible in the channel bottom. Looking SE, in Baker County. 9/7/2016 22:08. Latitude 44.493083. Longitude -117.432402.

Photo P275. Feature BA_WT_XBB_302. Upland in forested ravine bottom. Looking SE, in Baker County. 9/8/2016 0:29. Latitude 44.492902. Longitude -117.428907.

Photo P276. Feature BA_WT_W_305. Hillside seep wetland on edge of upland forested ravine, juniper in the background. Looking East, in Baker County. 9/7/2016 23:26. Latitude 44.493014. Longitude -117.428839.

Photo P277. Feature BA_WT_W_306. Narrow hillside seep wetland to the left of the shovel. Looking West, in Baker County. 9/8/2016 0:10. Latitude 44.492511. Longitude -117.428687.

Photo not available.

Photo P278. Feature BA_WT_STRM_308. No photo available. Looking NW, in Baker County. 9/23/2016 9:55. Latitude 44.49269. Longitude -117.428831.

Photo P279. Feature BA_WT_NW_213. Steep, sparsely vegetated hillslope with no signs a channel or wetland. Looking North, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 21:07. Latitude 44.471318. Longitude -117.378452.

Photo P280. Feature BA_WT_XBB_215. Dry upland with thistle throughout, no signs of an active channel. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 21:14. Latitude 44.470161. Longitude -117.376681.

Photo P281. Feature BA_WT_STRM_228. Thick sagebrush cover obscuring the channel that is located in the depression. Looking NE, in Baker County. 6/29/2016 16:29. Latitude 44.466082. Longitude -117.369222.

Photo P282. Feature BA_WT_STRM_220. Steep, narrow drainage located in Anderson Gulch. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 16:34. Latitude 44.459443. Longitude -117.373839.

Photo P283. Feature BA_S_0084. Shovel in the center of an upland swale with no defined bed or bank. Looking East, in Baker County. 8/11/2013 16:01. Latitude 44.456048. Longitude -117.335774.

Photo P284. Feature BApro_610. Upland vegetation on steep hillslope looking toward roadway. Looking NW, in Baker County. 8/25/2012 13:13. Latitude 44.4493. Longitude -117.334923.

Photo P286. Feature BA_WT_NW_211. Dry upland grassland with livestock and fence line in the background. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 17:45. Latitude 44.445076. Longitude -117.330929.

Photo P287. Feature BA_WT_W_207. Wetland on the right of the image, access road and upland to the left. Looking North, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 19:03. Latitude 44.447529. Longitude -117.333336.

Photo P288. Feature BA_WT_NW_209. Drainage in the background, no signs of a channel or wetland in foreground. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 17:17. Latitude 44.442356. Longitude -117.335825.

Photo P289. Feature BA_WT_NW_210. Upland in foreground with drainage in the background. Looking SW, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 17:35. Latitude 44.441676. Longitude -117.334955.

Photo P290. Feature BA_WT_NW_007. Wetland floodplain far downslope from access road. Looking North, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 16:38. Latitude 44.419322. Longitude -117.311339.

Photo P291. Feature BA_WT_NW_007. Shrub dominated draw adjacent to road. Looking SE, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 16:23. Latitude 44.416595. Longitude -117.310054.

Photo P292. Feature BA_WT_NW_007. Shovel marks soil verfication plot. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 16:05. Latitude 44.413907. Longitude -117.308411.

Photo P293. Feature BA_WT_STRM_026. Channel obscured by vegetation. Large rocks in the foreground with a small patch of shrubs in back. Looking East, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 16:53. Latitude 44.413743. Longitude -117.332961.

Photo P294. Feature BA_WT_W_013. Upland in the foreground with wetland starting behind the shovel. Dead shrub in background. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 17:35. Latitude 44.410326. Longitude -117.333904.

Photo P295. Feature BA_WT_XBB_033. Upland swale in broad grass/forb community. Looking SE, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 18:29. Latitude 44.409176. Longitude -117.333983.

Photo P296. Feature BA_WT_W_014. Seep wetland boundary with truck on access road in the background. Looking SE, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 18:22. Latitude 44.409682. Longitude -117.334313.

Photo P297. Feature BA_WT_XBB_034. Dry swale with road crossing in center of image. Looking East, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 18:34. Latitude 44.405809. Longitude -117.334102.

Photo P298. Feature BA_WT_STRM_027. Narrow green strip between two hills in the center of the image. Scotch thistle in the background. Looking NE, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 18:48. Latitude 44.399378. Longitude -117.339327.

Photo P299. Feature BA_WT_XBB_035. Upland swale with no signs of a channel. Looking NE, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 19:23. Latitude 44.396713. Longitude -117.33185.

Photo P300. Feature BA_WT_XBB_036. Swale with access road crossing in the center of the image. Looking East, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 19:30. Latitude 44.391913. Longitude -117.326784.

Photo P301. Feature BA_WT_XBB_037. Deep swale with road crossing, no culvert of signs of active channel in swale. Looking SE, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 19:42. Latitude 44.383717. Longitude -117.320258.

Photo P302. Feature BA_WT_XBB_038. Flat and broad swale with road crossing in center of image. Looking NE, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 19:53. Latitude 44.381668. Longitude -117.315812.

Photo P303. Feature BA_WT_XBB_039. Road crossing at swale, no signs of an active channel. Looking South, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 20:17. Latitude 44.373871. Longitude -117.304181.

Photo P304. Feature BA_WT_STRM_028. Perennial channel flowing down a steep drainage with a cobble and boulder channel bottom. Looking SE, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 20:10. Latitude 44.373446. Longitude -117.304973.

Photo P305. Feature BA_WT_STRM_029. Intermittent channel with truck on the interstate in the background. Looking East, in Baker County. 6/27/2016 13:50. Latitude 44.366278. Longitude -117.297159.

Photo P306. Feature BA_TM_217. Shovel located at mouth of pipe. No bed and bank on either end of pipe. Looking SW, in Baker County. 4/28/2013 13:51. Latitude 44.313282. Longitude -117.264867.

Photo P308. Feature MA_S_0048. Vegetated swale in a broad valley, no signs of an active channel. Looking South, in Malheur County. 7/25/2011. Latitude 43.853914. Longitude -117.336595.

Photo P309. Feature MA_S_0074. Dry upland valley with no signs of a channel. Looking North, in Malheur County. 7/25/2011. Latitude 43.852307. Longitude -117.327034.

Photo P310. Feature BA_TM_221. Shovel in center of gully that is used as a livestock trail, no signs of an active channel. Looking NE, in Malheur County. 4/28/2013 16:21. Latitude 44.301343. Longitude -117.248848.

Photo P311. Feature MA_TM_226. Broad grassland with building in the background, no feature at mapped NHD line. Looking SE, in Malheur County. 4/29/2013 12:26. Latitude 44.221755. Longitude -117.199472.

Photo P312. Feature MA_TM_229. Vegetated upland swale with no defined bed or bank at NHD line. Looking SW, in Malheur County. 4/29/2013 12:59. Latitude 44.220707. Longitude -117.202304.

Photo P313. Feature MA_TM_230. Steep and narrow gully with no defined bed and bank. Looking SW, in Malheur County. 4/29/2013 14:58. Latitude 44.210685. Longitude -117.201789.

Photo P314. Feature MA_TM_405. Deeply incised ephemeral channel in grassland. Looking SW, in Malheur County. 4/27/2013 8:47. Latitude 44.190669. Longitude -117.203889.

Photo P315. Feature MA_TM_411. Shovel in center of depression used as livestock trail, no channel indicators. Looking East, in Malheur County. 4/27/2013 11:47. Latitude 44.180182. Longitude -117.201727.

Photo P316. Feature MA_TM_412. Well vegetated gully in center of image, no channel indicators. Looking SE, in Malheur County. 4/27/2013 12:34. Latitude 44.170733. Longitude -117.205327.

Photo P317. Feature MA_TM_415. Well vegetated gully in broad valley bottom, no signs of an active channel. Looking SE, in Malheur County. 4/27/2013 13:21. Latitude 44.163374. Longitude -117.201646.

Photo P318. Feature MA_TM_450. Deep gully now used as a trail with no signs of an active channel. Looking East, in Malheur County. 4/28/2013 14:50. Latitude 44.135045. Longitude -117.194917.

Photo P319. Feature MA_TM_423. Deep vegetated gully in a broad valley bottom with no signs of a channel. Looking SW, in Malheur County. 4/28/2013 14:26. Latitude 44.131176. Longitude -117.200532.

Photo P320. Feature MA_TM_425. Vegetated swale in a broad valley bottom, no signs of a channel. Looking SW, in Malheur County. 4/28/2013 14:15. Latitude 44.127901. Longitude -117.202427.

Photo P321. Feature MA_TM_426. Well vegetated upland swale with no signs of a channel. Looking SE, in Malheur County. 4/28/2013 13:45. Latitude 44.124587. Longitude -117.205804.

Photo P322. Feature MA_TM_434. Shovel marks well vegetated upland swale. Looking East, in Malheur County. 2/28/2013 10:48. Latitude 44.113718. Longitude -117.20579.

Photo P323. Feature MA_TM_433. Temporary pond in foreground with swale in the background, no signs of an active channel. Looking West, in Malheur County. 4/29/2013 10:28. Latitude 44.112799. Longitude -117.206718.