

Exhibit W

Retirement

Boardman to Hemingway Transmission Line Project



*1221 West Idaho Street
Boise, Idaho 83702*

Mark Stokes, Project Leader
(208) 388-2483
mstokes@idahopower.com

Zach Funkhouser, Permitting
(208) 388-5375
zfunkhouser@idahopower.com

Application for Site Certificate

September 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	W-1
2.0	APPLICABLE RULES AND AMENDED PROJECT ORDER PROVISIONS	W-1
2.1	General Standards for Siting Facilities	W-1
2.2	Site Certificate Application Requirements	W-1
2.3	Amended Project Order Provisions	W-1
3.0	ANALYSIS	W-2
3.1	Estimated Useful Life	W-2
3.2	Site Restoration Activities.....	W-2
3.3	Site Restoration Costs.....	W-6
3.4	Restoration Cost Estimate Methods and Assumptions	W-6
3.5	Monitoring Plan for Hazardous Materials Is Unnecessary	W-7
4.0	IDAHO POWER'S PROPOSED SITE CERTIFICATE CONDITIONS	W-7
5.0	CONCLUSION	W-10
6.0	COMPLIANCE CROSS-REFERENCES.....	W-10

LIST OF TABLES

Table W-1. Compliance Requirements and Relevant Cross-References..... W-10

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment W-1. Facilities Removal and Site Restoration Cost Estimate

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

EFSC or Council	Energy Facility Siting Council
IPC	Idaho Power Company
OAR	Oregon Administrative Rules
ODOE	Oregon Department of Energy
Project	Boardman to Hemingway Transmission Line Project
Second Amended Project Order	Second Amended Project Order, Regarding Statutes, Administrative Rules, and Other Requirements Applicable to the Proposed BOARDMAN TO HEMINGWAY TRANSMISSION LINE (July 26, 2018)

Exhibit W

Retirement

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Exhibit W demonstrates the Boardman to Hemingway Transmission Line Project (Project) site, taking into account mitigation, can be restored adequately to a useful, non-hazardous condition. High-voltage transmission lines, including the Project, are designed and maintained to remain in service in perpetuity. For this reason, it is highly unlikely the Project would ever be retired. Nevertheless, Exhibit W describes the actions necessary to restore the Project site in the unlikely event the Project is retired. Further, Exhibit W provides a financial analysis of the costs associated with such site restoration.

2.0 APPLICABLE RULES AND SECOND AMENDED PROJECT ORDER PROVISIONS

2.1 General Standards for Siting Facilities

The Retirement Standard set forth at Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) 345-022-0050 requires that:

- (1) *The site, taking into account mitigation, can be restored adequately to a useful, non-hazardous condition following permanent cessation of construction or operation of the facility.*
- (2) *The applicant has a reasonable likelihood of obtaining a bond or letter of credit in a form and amount satisfactory to the Council to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition.*

2.2 Site Certificate Application Requirements

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w) provides Exhibit W must include the following information about site restoration:

- (A) *The estimated useful life of the proposed facility.*
- (B) *Specific actions and tasks to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition.*
- (C) *An estimate, in current dollars, of the total and unit costs of restoring the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition.*
- (D) *A discussion and justification of the methods and assumptions used to estimate site restoration costs.*
- (E) *For facilities that might produce site contamination by hazardous materials, a proposed monitoring plan, such as periodic environmental site assessment and reporting, or an explanation why a monitoring plan is unnecessary.*

2.3 Second Amended Project Order Provisions

The Second Amended Project Order includes the following discussion:

The application shall provide an estimate of retirement costs, including a detailed explanation and justification of the methodology it uses to estimate retirement costs. The

estimated retirement costs shall include information related to all facility components. The underlying details regarding the estimated retirement costs for the facility components can be included in Exhibit B or in Exhibit W of the application, but Exhibit W must clearly articulate the methodology and results. The Council's Retirement and Financial Assurance standard requires evidence that the site can be restored, following facility retirement, to a useful and non-hazardous condition.

(Second Amended Project Order, Section III(w)).

3.0 ANALYSIS

3.1 Estimated Useful Life

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w)(A): The applicant shall include: the estimated useful life of the proposed facility.

As a general matter and with respect to the Project, Idaho Power Company (IPC) designs, constructs, and operates its transmission system with the intent that the system's transmission lines and related facilities (including stations) will remain in service in perpetuity. Over time, and as necessary, transmission line components and related facilities may be rebuilt using new materials and hardware. However, the transmission projects as a whole are expected to be operated, in order to provide reliable and safe transmission capacity, for an indefinitely long duration.

IPC's estimate regarding the perpetually useful life of the Project is based on the company's experience as well as research regarding other utility companies' operations. IPC has never retired any transmission line. Moreover, IPC has found such retirements are extremely rare throughout the industry—occurring only when a line is rerouted. Given the high demand for transmission services, the high cost of building new transmission lines, and the intrinsic value of transmission rights-of-way, it virtually never makes sense to retire a transmission line project.

Accordingly, IPC estimates that the Project will have a perpetual useful life. To the extent the Council requires IPC to identify a more definite timeframe, IPC estimates that the useful life of the Project will be in excess of 100 years.

3.2 Site Restoration Activities

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w)(B): Specific actions and tasks to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition.

OAR 345-022-0050(1): The site, taking into account mitigation, can be restored adequately to a useful, non-hazardous condition following permanent cessation of construction or operation of the facility.

In the unlikely event IPC is required to retire the Project, it will do so in accordance with an EFSC-approved retirement plan, as required by OAR 345-027-0020(9). The retirement plan would include, pursuant to OAR 345-027-0110(5), the following information:

- (a) *A plan for retirement that provides for completion of retirement without significant delay and that protects public health, safety and the environment.*
- (b) *A description of actions the certificate holder proposes to take to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition, including information on how impacts to fish, wildlife and the environment would be minimized during the retirement process.*

(c) A current detailed cost estimate and a plan for ensuring the availability of adequate funds for completion of retirement.

(d) An updated list of the owners of property located within or adjacent to the site of the facility, as described in OAR 345-021-0010(1)(f).

With respect to the actions to be taken to restore the site, the retirement plan will provide for, among other things, the following:

- Removal of all facilities. For the transmission line, these facilities include all support structures, conductors, overhead shield wires, and communication sites. For the station, these facilities include an interconnecting bus system, switches, breakers, and instrumentation for the control and protection of the equipment.
- Removal of the foundations for each support structure to a depth of one (1) foot below grade, depending on ground slope. Any foundations in Exclusive Farm Use zoned lands will be removed to a depth 3 feet below grade.
- Restoration of all structure locations and access roads to a useful, non-hazardous condition consistent with site zoning, including Exclusive Farm Use zoning (see Exhibit K, Attachment K-1 for the Agricultural Mitigation Plan). This restoration will include restoring the site to a condition suitable for uses comparable with the surrounding land uses, intended land use, and then-current technologies.

To ensure the Project site, taking into account mitigation, can be restored adequately to a useful, non-hazardous condition following permanent cessation of construction or operation of the facility, IPC proposes that the Council include the following conditions in the site certificate providing for the same:

Mandatory Condition 6 [OAR 345-025-0006(7)]: *The certificate holder shall prevent the development of any conditions on the site that would preclude restoration of the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition to the extent that prevention of such site conditions is within the control of the certificate holder.*

Mandatory Condition 7 [OAR 345-025-0006(9)]: *The certificate holder shall retire the facility if the certificate holder permanently ceases construction or operation of the facility. The certificate holder shall retire the facility according to a final retirement plan approved by the Council, as described in OAR 345-027-0110. The certificate holder shall pay the actual cost to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition at the time of retirement, notwithstanding the Council's approval in the site certificate of an estimated amount required to restore the site.*

Mandatory Condition 12 [OAR 345-025-0006(16)]: *If the Council finds that the certificate holder has permanently ceased construction or operation of the facility without retiring the facility according to a final retirement plan approved by the Council, as described in OAR 345-027-0110, the Council shall notify the certificate holder and request that the certificate holder submit a proposed final retirement plan to the Office within a reasonable time not to exceed 90 days. If the certificate holder does not submit a proposed final retirement plan by the specified date, the Council may direct the Department to prepare a proposed final retirement plan for the Council's approval. Upon the Council's approval of the final retirement plan, the Council may draw on the bond or letter of credit described in section (8) to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition according to the final retirement plan, in addition to any penalties the Council may impose under OAR chapter 345, division 29. If the amount of the bond or*

letter of credit is insufficient to pay the actual cost of retirement, the certificate holder shall pay any additional cost necessary to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition. After completion of site restoration, the Council shall issue an order to terminate the site certificate if the Council finds that the facility has been retired according to the approved final retirement plan.

OAR 345-022-0050(2): The applicant has a reasonable likelihood of obtaining a bond or letter of credit in a form and amount satisfactory to the Council to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition.

In Exhibit M, IPC shows that it has a reasonable likelihood of obtaining a bond or letter of credit in a form and amount satisfactory to the Council to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition, including providing a letter from Wells Fargo Bank providing assurance that, if required, IPC will be able to secure a letter of credit in an amount sufficient to retire the Project and restore the site to a useful and non-hazardous condition (see Exhibit M, Attachment M-2). To ensure such financial assurances are in place, IPC proposes that the Council include the following mandatory and proposed conditions in the site certificate providing for the same:

Mandatory Condition 7 [OAR 345-025-0006(9)]: *The certificate holder shall retire the facility if the certificate holder permanently ceases construction or operation of the facility. The certificate holder shall retire the facility according to a final retirement plan approved by the Council, as described in OAR 345-027-0110. The certificate holder shall pay the actual cost to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition at the time of retirement, notwithstanding the Council's approval in the site certificate of an estimated amount required to restore the site.*

Mandatory Condition 12 [OAR 345-025-0006(16)]: *If the Council finds that the certificate holder has permanently ceased construction or operation of the facility without retiring the facility according to a final retirement plan approved by the Council, as described in OAR 345-027-0110, the Council shall notify the certificate holder and request that the certificate holder submit a proposed final retirement plan to the Office within a reasonable time not to exceed 90 days. If the certificate holder does not submit a proposed final retirement plan by the specified date, the Council may direct the Department to prepare a proposed final retirement plan for the Council's approval. Upon the Council's approval of the final retirement plan, the Council may draw on the bond or letter of credit described in section (8) to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition according to the final retirement plan, in addition to any penalties the Council may impose under OAR chapter 345, division 29. If the amount of the bond or letter of credit is insufficient to pay the actual cost of retirement, the certificate holder shall pay any additional cost necessary to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition. After completion of site restoration, the Council shall issue an order to terminate the site certificate if the Council finds that the facility has been retired according to the approved final retirement plan.*

Retirement and Financial Assurance Condition 1: *During the Construction Phase, the bond or letter of credit, which may be issued by one or more financial institutions, shall be submitted in the following form and amount:*

a. For purposes of this condition, the "Construction Phase" is defined as the period commencing at the time work is performed on the site the cost of which exceeds \$250,000—excluding surveying, exploration, or other activities to define or characterize the site—and ending when the facility is placed in service.

- b. The amount of the bond or letter of credit will be increased on a quarterly basis to correspond with the progress of the construction of the facility at the beginning of each quarter. The amount of the bond or letter of credit at the beginning of any such quarterly period will be equal to the product of (i) the certificate holder's estimate of the total decommissioning costs for the facility, which is \$140,902,000; and (ii) a fraction, the numerator of which is the number of quarters that have passed since commencement of construction, and the denominator of which will be the number of quarters the certificate holder estimates to complete the Construction Phase; provided that in all cases the number resulting from the calculation shall not exceed 1.0.
- c. To begin with, the certificate holder and the Department shall assume a 3-year Construction Phase period comprising twelve quarterly periods. Therefore, for the first quarter of the Construction Phase, the bond or letter of credit will be maintained in an amount equal to one-twelfth (1/12) of the total estimated decommissioning costs. At the end of the first year of construction—i.e., four quarters—the amount of the bond or letter of credit will be equal to four-twelfths (4/12) or 33 percent of the total estimated decommissioning costs.
- d. The amount of the bond or letter of credit may be amended from time to time by agreement of the certificate holder and the Department to account for adjustments in the construction schedule. Such amendments may be made without amendment to the site certificate. The Council authorizes the Department to agree to amendments of the amount; however, the Council retains the authority to approve, reject, or modify any amendment of the plan agreed to by the Department.

Retirement and Financial Assurance Condition 2: During operation, the bond or letter of credit, which may be issued by one or more financial institutions, shall be submitted in the following form and amount:

- a. On the date that the facility is placed in service (the "In-Service Date"), the amount of the bond or letter of credit will become zero, subject to sub-paragraphs (b) and (c) of this condition.
- b. On the fiftieth anniversary of the In-Service Date, the certificate holder shall obtain and begin maintaining a bond or letter of credit in an amount that will increase on an annual basis for the next 50 years. In year 51, the amount of the bond or letter of credit will be set at one-fiftieth (1/50) of the total estimated decommissioning costs. Each year, through the 100th year of service, the bond or letter of credit will be increased by one-fiftieth (1/50) of the estimated decommissioning costs. For instance, in year 75, the bond or letter of credit will be maintained in an amount equal to twenty-five fiftieths (25/50) or 50 percent of the estimated decommissioning costs. Once the bond or letter of credit is in an amount equal to 100 percent of decommissioning costs, it will remain at that level for the life of the facility.
- c. On the fifth anniversary of the In-Service Date, and on each subsequent quinquennial thereafter, the certificate holder will report to the Council on the following subjects: (i) the physical condition of the facility; (ii) any evolving transmission or electrical technologies that could impact the continued viability of the facility; (iii) the facility's performance in the context of the larger power grid; and (iv) the certificate holder's general financial condition, including the certificate holder's then-current credit rating. Based on the information provided in such reports, or any other information received by the Council, EFSC will consider whether the certificate holder should be required to post a bond or letter of credit—other than the financial assurances set forth in sub-paragraph (b) of this

condition—and may make any appropriate order to enforce its determination. This shall include the ability of EFSC to extend the date on which the certificate holder would be required to begin posting the financial assurances set forth in sub-paragraph (b) of this condition.

3.3 Site Restoration Costs

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w)(C): An estimate, in current dollars, of the total and unit costs of restoring the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition.

IPC estimates that the total cost of restoring the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition is \$140,902,000 in fourth quarter 2016 dollars. A copy of the analysis supporting this calculation is attached as Attachment W-1.

3.4 Restoration Cost Estimate Methods and Assumptions

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w)(D): A discussion and justification of the methods and assumptions used to estimate site restoration costs.

To develop the site restoration cost estimate, IPC used the Oregon Department of Energy's (ODOE) Facility Retirement Cost Estimating Guide for Transmission Lines. Projected site restoration costs are summarized in Attachment W-1, based on the following assumptions:¹

- Mobilization and demobilization costs are estimated to be approximately 5 percent of the overall contractor's costs to remove wire, towers, and foundations and complete project restoration.
- Unit costs were developed by determining a loaded crew rate per hour for the given activity. Loaded crew rates include wages and benefits, per diem, equipment rates, contractor overheads, and profit. Hours or days per removal of a given unit were then established for the removal of wire, structures, and foundations. Crew rates and wages were developed from MS Means standard crews.
- Regarding access roads, the majority of IPC's access roads will be primitive (non-graveled) overland travel roads, and the restoration cost estimate assumes that, over the life of the Project, the access roads will see a regrowth of vegetation adjacent to and within the traveled way. As needed, vegetation management of these roads may include mowing with a brush hog or similar piece of equipment to reduce fire danger. Existing drainages may be altered and new drainages may develop depending on the location and construction of the roads. IPC's analysis assumes that regrading or reshaping of the roads to match previous land contours would create much more impact than leaving them as they have existed throughout the service life of the transmission line. For these reasons, the restoration cost for the access roads includes reseeding of the roads. The estimated cost of \$2,000 per acre for restoration and reseeding on rolling terrain was received from a national right-of-way clearing, road building, and restoration contractor.
- Graveled access roads will be built only where soil and/or moisture conditions warrant construction of a more robust road section, such as access roads to communication stations. The estimated decommissioning cost for graveled access roads is \$5,700 per acre.

¹ Where IPC used assumptions that differed from the assumptions contained in ODOE's Cost Estimating Guide for Transmission Lines workbook, IPC noted the assumptions in the "Methods/Assumptions" column.

- Roads would be restored pursuant to the EFSC-approved retirement plan so that they become a part of the natural surroundings and are no longer recognizable or usable as a road.
- Costs associated with contractor staff are included in Attachment W-1, under “Project Overhead.” This analysis assumes there would be three supervisors and three clerical staff working full time during the retirement/restoration project. The analysis also includes security guard services at three different material yards where scrap materials would be stored until hauled away. Job trailers and utilities are also included.
- Several other miscellaneous costs have been approximated, including permits, engineering, signage, fencing, traffic control, communication station removal, utility disconnects, etc. In the context of the overall estimate, these are incidental costs making up around 5 percent of the total.
- The overhead and profit adders, 10 percent and 15 percent, respectively, have been included in loaded crew rates and are consistent with current contractor overheads and profits.
- Lastly, the decommissioning estimate does not include the removal or restoration of the Hemingway Station, the 230-kilovolt line segment, or the 138-kilovolt line segment, because each is part of the larger transmission grid still required for normal transmission operations even if the Project were to be removed from service.

3.5 Monitoring Plan for Hazardous Materials Is Unnecessary

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w)(E): For facilities that might produce site contamination by hazardous materials, a proposed monitoring plan, such as periodic environmental site assessment and reporting, or an explanation why a monitoring plan is unnecessary.

For facilities that “might produce site contamination by hazardous materials,” the Council may require periodic monitoring or environmental site assessment to ensure that the contamination issues do not develop. For the reasons described in greater detail in Exhibit G, the Project is not a facility likely to produce site contamination by hazardous materials. Accordingly, IPC requests that the Council find that, in the unlikely event of retirement of the Project, IPC will be able to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition.

The Project is not likely to cause site contamination by hazardous materials because the hazardous materials to be employed during Project construction and operation are limited to oils in transformers at the station, propane tanks at communication sites, and small quantities of lubricants, vehicle fuels, and herbicides used during Project construction and maintenance. A Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures Plan will be developed by the Engineering, Procurement, and Construction contractor and submitted to ODOE prior to commencing construction of the Project. The Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures Plan is developed to prevent and address any leakage or spills of these materials that may occur during construction and operations of the Project. Additionally, IPC will fully comply with Oregon Department of Environmental Quality requirements for storage of hazardous materials and cleanup and disposal of hazardous waste on all lands associated with the Project. Given the limited quantities of hazardous materials that will be used for the Project, site contamination is highly unlikely and therefore a monitoring plan is unnecessary.

4.0 IDAHO POWER’S PROPOSED SITE CERTIFICATE CONDITIONS

IPC proposes the following site certificate conditions to ensure compliance with the relevant EFSC standards.

Generally Applicable

Mandatory Condition 6 [OAR 345-025-0006(7)]: The certificate holder shall prevent the development of any conditions on the site that would preclude restoration of the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition to the extent that prevention of such site conditions is within the control of the certificate holder.

Mandatory Condition 7 [OAR 345-025-0006(9)]: The certificate holder shall retire the facility if the certificate holder permanently ceases construction or operation of the facility. The certificate holder shall retire the facility according to a final retirement plan approved by the Council, as described in OAR 345-027-0110. The certificate holder shall pay the actual cost to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition at the time of retirement, notwithstanding the Council's approval in the site certificate of an estimated amount required to restore the site.

Mandatory Condition 12 [OAR 345-025-0006(16)]: If the Council finds that the certificate holder has permanently ceased construction or operation of the facility without retiring the facility according to a final retirement plan approved by the Council, as described in OAR 345-027-0110, the Council shall notify the certificate holder and request that the certificate holder submit a proposed final retirement plan to the Office within a reasonable time not to exceed 90 days. If the certificate holder does not submit a proposed final retirement plan by the specified date, the Council may direct the Department to prepare a proposed final retirement plan for the Council's approval. Upon the Council's approval of the final retirement plan, the Council may draw on the bond or letter of credit described in section (8) to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition according to the final retirement plan, in addition to any penalties the Council may impose under OAR chapter 345, division 29. If the amount of the bond or letter of credit is insufficient to pay the actual cost of retirement, the certificate holder shall pay any additional cost necessary to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition. After completion of site restoration, the Council shall issue an order to terminate the site certificate if the Council finds that the facility has been retired according to the approved final retirement plan.

Prior to Construction

Mandatory Condition 14 [OAR 345-025-0006(8)]: Before beginning construction of the facility, the certificate holder shall submit to the State of Oregon, through the Council, a bond or letter of credit in a form and amount satisfactory to the Council to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition. The certificate holder shall maintain a bond or letter of credit in effect at all times until the facility has been retired. The Council may specify different amounts for the bond or letter of credit during construction and during operation of the facility.

During Construction

Retirement and Financial Assurance Condition 1: During the Construction Phase, the bond or letter of credit, which may be issued by one or more financial institutions, shall be submitted in the following form and amount:

a. For purposes of this condition, the "Construction Phase" is defined as the period commencing at the time work is performed on the site the cost of which

exceeds \$250,000—excluding surveying, exploration, or other activities to define or characterize the site—and ending when the facility is placed in service.

b. The amount of the bond or letter of credit will be increased on a quarterly basis to correspond with the progress of the construction of the facility at the beginning of each quarter. The amount of the bond or letter of credit at the beginning of any such quarterly period will be equal to the product of (i) the certificate holder's estimate of the total decommissioning costs for the facility, which is \$140,902,000; and (ii) a fraction, the numerator of which is the number of quarters that have passed since commencement of construction, and the denominator of which will be the number of quarters the certificate holder estimates to complete the Construction Phase; provided that in all cases the number resulting from the calculation shall not exceed 1.0.

c. To begin with, the certificate holder and the Department shall assume a 3-year Construction Phase period comprising twelve quarterly periods. Therefore, for the first quarter of the Construction Phase, the bond or letter of credit will be maintained in an amount equal to one-twelfth (1/12) of the total estimated decommissioning costs. At the end of the first year of construction—i.e., four quarters—the amount of the bond or letter of credit will be equal to four-twelfths (4/12) or 33 percent of the total estimated decommissioning costs.

d. The amount of the bond or letter of credit may be amended from time to time by agreement of the certificate holder and the Department to account for adjustments in the construction schedule. Such amendments may be made without amendment to the site certificate. The Council authorizes the Department to agree to amendments of the amount; however, the Council retains the authority to approve, reject, or modify any amendment of the plan agreed to by the Department.

During Operation

Retirement and Financial Assurance Condition 2: During operation, the bond or letter of credit, which may be issued by one or more financial institutions, shall be submitted in the following form and amount:

a. On the date that the facility is placed in service (the “In-Service Date”), the amount of the bond or letter of credit will become zero, subject to sub-paragraphs (b) and (c) of this condition.

b. On the fiftieth anniversary of the In-Service Date, the amount of the bond or letter of credit will increase on an annual basis for the next 50 years. In year 51, the amount of the bond or letter of credit will be set at one-fiftieth (1/50) of the total estimated decommissioning costs. Each year, through the 100th year of service, the bond or letter of credit will be increased by one-fiftieth (1/50) of the estimated decommissioning costs. For instance, in year 75, the bond or letter of credit will be maintained in an amount equal to twenty-five fiftieths (25/50) or 50 percent of the estimated decommissioning costs. Once the bond or letter of credit is in an amount equal to 100 percent of decommissioning costs, it will remain at that level for the life of the facility.

c. On the fifth anniversary of the In-Service Date, and on each subsequent quinquennial thereafter, the certificate holder will report to the Council on the following subjects: (i) the physical condition of the facility; (ii) any evolving transmission or electrical technologies that could impact the continued viability of the facility; (iii) the facility's performance in the context of the larger power grid; and (iv) the certificate holder's general financial condition, including the certificate holder's then-current credit rating. Based on the information provided in such reports, or any other information received by the Council, EFSC will consider

whether the certificate holder should be required to post a bond or letter of credit—other than the financial assurances set forth in sub-paragraph (b) of this condition—and may make any appropriate order to enforce its determination.

This shall include the ability of EFSC to extend the date on which the certificate holder would be required to begin posting the financial assurances set forth in sub-paragraph (b) of this condition.

5.0 CONCLUSION

Exhibit W includes the site restoration information required by OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w) and establishes that the site, taking into account mitigation, can be restored adequately to a useful, non-hazardous condition following permanent cessation of construction or operations of the facility.

6.0 COMPLIANCE CROSS-REFERENCES

Table W-1 identifies the location within this application for site certificate of the information responsive to the application submittal requirements in OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w), the Retirement Standard at OAR 345-022-0050(1), and the relevant Second Amended Project Order provisions.

Table W-1. Compliance Requirements and Relevant Cross-References

Requirement	Location
OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w)	
(w) Exhibit W. Information about site restoration, providing evidence to support a finding by the Council as required by OAR 345-022-0050(1). The applicant shall include:	
(A) The estimated useful life of the proposed facility.	Exhibit W, Section 3.1
(B) Specific actions and tasks to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition.	Exhibit W, Section 3.2
(C) An estimate, in current dollars, of the total and unit costs of restoring the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition.	Exhibit W, Section 3.3 and Attachment W-1
(D) A discussion and justification of the methods and assumptions used to estimate site restoration costs.	Exhibit W, Section 3.4 and Attachment W-1
(E) For facilities that might produce site contamination by hazardous materials, a proposed monitoring plan, such as periodic environmental site assessment and reporting, or an explanation why a monitoring plan is unnecessary.	Exhibit W, Section 3.5
OAR 345-022-0050	
(1) The site, taking into account mitigation, can be restored adequately to a useful, non-hazardous condition following permanent cessation of construction or operation of the facility.	Exhibits B, M, and W

Requirement	Location
<p>Second Amended Project Order</p> <p>The application shall provide an estimate of retirement costs, including a detailed explanation and justification of the methodology it uses to estimate retirement costs. The estimated retirement costs shall include information related to all facility components. The underlying details regarding the estimated retirement costs for the facility components can be included in Exhibit B or in Exhibit W of the application, but Exhibit W must clearly articulate the methodology and results. The Council's Retirement and Financial Assurance standard requires evidence that the site can be restored, following facility retirement, to a useful and non-hazardous condition.</p>	Exhibit W, Section 3.2 Section 3.3, Section 3.4, and Attachment W-1; Exhibit K

ATTACHMENT W-1
FACILITIES REMOVAL AND SITE RESTORATION COST ESTIMATE

Grid-Enhancing Electric Transmission Lines

Tab 01 - Summary Estimating Template

Task Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total	Comments	Methods/Assumptions
1. GENERAL COSTS						
A. PERMITS						
1. DEMOLITION	EA	0	\$0.00	\$0	All permits included in line item cost below	
2. STREET USE	EA	0	\$0.00	\$0	All permits included in line item cost below	
3. UTILITIES	EA	1	\$25,000.00	\$25,000	Pipe line, Rail, crossing and disturbance during decommissioning.	Allocation estimate - \$25K
4. EPA ASBESTOS NOTICE	EA	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A	
5. PERMITS (Temporary de-construct)	LS	1	\$24,183.12	\$24,183	Miscellaneous permits	Estimated cost to obtain necessary permits.
Task Subtotal				\$49,183		
B. MOBILIZATION & DEMOBILIZATION						
1. LABOR	LS	1	\$2,613,111.57	\$2,613,112	A project of this size is expected to have multiple mobilization costs approximately 5% of the overall Project Cost. Mobilization split 50% Labor and 50% equipment	Assumes ~2.5% of total construction cost before contingency; Taxes
2. EQUIPMENT	LS	1	\$2,613,111.57	\$2,613,112	See above	Assumes ~2.5% of total construction cost before contingency; taxes
Task Subtotal				\$5,226,223		
C. ENGINEERING						
1. ENGINEERING	HR	1300	\$145.23	\$188,799	Engineering subcontracted by Owner to develop SOW, removal specifications and support Owner during decommissioning.	Assumes 1300 hours of engineering time at average rate of \$145.23/hr.
2. LAYOUT / TESTING	LS	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A	
3. CUSTOM TOOLS & EQUIP	LS	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A	
Task Subtotal				\$188,799		
D. PROJECT OVERHEAD						
1. SUPERVISION	WK	78	\$2,475.00	\$193,050	Owner's on-site supervision and inspection during decommissioning.	Assumes weekly burdened rate of \$2475
2. FOREMAN	WK	78	\$2,200.00	\$171,600	Site Engineering	Assumes weekly burdened rate of \$2200
3. GUARD SERVICE (site security)	WK	234	\$4,624.00	\$1,082,016	Third party guard service for equipment and materials at project salvage yards.	Assumes 3 guarded sites for 78 weeks. Night and weekend service at \$4624/wk.
4. CLERICAL	WK	78	\$2,130.00	\$166,140	Office staff assistant. One per Owner supervisor.	Assumes 3 clerical (\$710/wk) for 78 week duration
5. JOBSITE OFFICE	WK	78	\$1,054.00	\$82,212	Jobsite office to house temporary demolition services personnel.	Assumes rental cost of \$1054/Week.3 trailers for 78 mo duration with hook ups.
6. TEMP. UTILITIES	WK	234	\$192.00	\$44,928	Jobsite temporary utilities during decommissioning.	Jobsite temporary utilities during decommissioning. Assumes cost of \$192/wk for each of 3 project areas for 78 week duration.
7. SPECIAL INSURANCE	LS	0	\$0.00	\$0	Included in Contractor Overheads	
8. SUBSISTENCE	WK	0	\$0.00	\$0	Included in burdened labor costs	
Task Subtotal				\$1,739,946		
E. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS / SPILL MITIGATION						
1. ASBESTOS ABATEMENT	EA	0	\$0.00	\$0	No hazardous materials expected	
2. Spill Mitigation	EA	4	\$15,000.00	\$60,000	Minor spills with petroleum products	Not expected but anticipate \$15,000 / per incident
Task Subtotal				\$60,000		
F. PROTECTION						
1. SIGNS	LS	1	\$25,000.00	\$25,000	Nominal Amount for Signage	
2. FENCES	LS	3	\$30,720.00	\$92,160	Chain link fencing around material storage/salvage yards.	Assumes \$30.72 K in fencing per storage yard for 3 yards based on Crew and materials
3. PEDESTRIAN WALKWAY	LF	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A	
4. SCAFFOLDING	SF	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A	
5. SHORING	SF	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A	
6. FLAGGING	LS	1	\$56,160.00	\$56,160	Nominal Amount for Traffic Control	Assumes crew of 2x1 day per week \$720/day. Guard structures included in conductor removal.
7. TOOLS AND CONSUMABLES	LS	0	\$0.00	\$0	Included in burdened labor costs	
Task Subtotal				\$173,320		
2. SITE CONSTRUCTION						
A. UTILITY DISCONNECTS						
1. POWER	EA	12	\$5,391.00	\$64,692	Disconnect costs from local utility.	Assumes \$5391 disconnect cost from local distribution utility for each of 9 communication stations and 3 storage / staging areas.
Task Subtotal				\$64,692		
B. PRELIMINARY WORK						
1. Communication Station Fence & Gate removal	EA	9	\$5,925.00	\$53,325	Removal of existing facility fencing and gates.	Assumes removal of fencing around 9 communication stations. Approximately \$5,925 Each
2. Storage yard Fence & Gate removal	EA	3	\$5,925.00	\$17,775	Removal of storage yard fence on completion of material removal	Assumes removal of fencing around 3 storage yards. Approximately \$5,925 Each
3. SAW CUTTING, ETC.	LF	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A	
Task Subtotal				\$71,100		

Tab 01 - Summary Estimating Template

Task Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total	Comments	Methods/Assumptions
C. SITE GRADING						
1A. ACCESS ROAD RESTORATION - PRIMITIVE ROADS AND TOWER PADS	AC	374	\$2,000.00	\$748,000	Restoration includes scarifying road bed, minimal re-grading, re-seeding.	Assumes 106 miles of overland travel roads restored @ 15' width; 65 miles of bladed roads on <10% sideslopes restored @ 23' width
1B. ACCESS ROAD RESTORATION - BUILT UP ALL-WEATHER ROADS.	AC	207	\$5,700.00	\$1,181,455	Restoration includes full restoration of built-up all-weather road. Removal of gravel, re-grading as necessary for restoration of natural contours, re-seeding.	Assumes all communication station roads are included, 57 miles of new bladed roads on 10-30% side slopes @ 30' wide.
1C. ROADWAY REMOVAL (GRAVEL)	AC	0	\$0.00	\$0	Included in 0A.	
2. TOWER PADS AND COMMUNICATION STATIONS	AC	586	\$5,700.00	\$3,340,200	Restoration includes full restoration of site. Removal of gravel, re-grading as necessary for restoration of natural contours, re-seeding.	1166 structures at 150'x150' (0.5 acres) each and 9 communication stations at .3 acres ea
2. SITE PREPARATION (TOPSOIL)	AC	583	\$4,747.00	\$2,767,501	Topsoil restoration (grading&prep)	Anticipate acreage similar to area disturbed by tower area after de-construct construction. ~0.5 A per site (1166 sites)
3. SEEDING	AC	0	\$0.00	\$0	Included in 1A, 1B and 2.	
4. MASS EXCAVATION ONSITE	CY	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A	
4A. MASS EXCAVATION OFFSITE	CY	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A	
5. MASS BACKFILL ONSITE	CY	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A	
5A. MASS BACKFILL IMPORT	CY	332662	\$8.00	\$2,661,296	Backfill required to restore tower benched areas to natural contours.	Assumes 50% of bench cut had been disposed of at local landfills or elsewhere and must be imported. 50% remained on site and will be recycled.
Task Subtotal				\$10,698,452		
D. UNDERGROUND UTILITY REMOVAL						
1. ELECTRICAL DUCT BANK	EA	9	\$4,579.14	\$41,212	Remove and backfill underground ducts at communicaton sites.	Assumes 50' of ug duct at 9 comm stations. 4 person crew will complete 1 day on site
2. MH/CB/VULT REMOVAL	EA	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A	
Task Subtotal				\$41,212		
3. CONCRETE WRECKING [Imported from Tab 3]						
<i>Enter data on tab "03 Concrete Wrecking."</i>						
A. REINFORCED CONCRETE						
1. SLAB ON GRADE	EA	9	\$8,100.00	\$72,900	Each communication station will have 2 slabs (building & Propane) for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal.	Assumes 6 person crew. Loaded crew rate is \$540/hour includes equipment. Estimate 1 1/2 day per site (15 hrs)
2. MINOR FOOTINGS	CY	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A	
3. MASS FOUNDATIONS	CY	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A	
4. TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE FOUNDATIONS	CY	12380	\$300.36	\$3,718,402	Foundation removal 10 cy per 500 kV structure (4' diameter, 5' of removed length per leg, 4 legs - 2 above ground, 3' below ground), includes haul and disposal.	Assumes 6 person crew can remove foundations at 1.5 structures per day (~18 cy/day). Loaded crew rate is \$540.64/hour.
Task Subtotal				\$3,791,302		
B. NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE/OTHER						
1. DEAD MEN	CY	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A	
2. SECURITY RAILS	LF	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A	
3. CONCRETE RECYCLE	CY	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A	
4. PILING	EA	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A	
Task Subtotal				\$0		
4. BUILDING WRECKING (Assumes container construction for ease of construction (factory built) and removal)						
1. Communication Control Building	EA	9	\$10,593.00	\$95,337	Removal of control building at communication stations. Includes removal of equipment inside building, hauling and disposal.	Assumes 4-person crew will remove salvageable equipment from building in three days. Building remove, load and haul - 3 days. Loaded crew daily rate is \$3531 including equipment.
2. ELECTRICAL/MCC	SF	0	\$0.00	\$0	Included above	
Task Subtotal				\$95,337		
5. STEEL WRECKING (All steel wrecking assumes material is knocked down and put into stockpile for sorting.) [Imported from Tab 5]						
<i>Enter data on tab "05 Steel Wrecking."</i>						
1. 500-kV LATTICE TOWERS	EA	1,076	\$53,650.00	\$57,727,400	Removal of hardware and disassembly of 500 kV lattice towers.	Assumes 9 man crew to remove 1 tower in 5 days. Loaded crew rate is \$1073/hour including equipment.
2. 500-kV H-FRAME STRUCTURES	EA	90	\$21,460.00	\$1,931,400	Removal of hardware and disassembly of 500 Kv H-Frames	Assumes 9 man crew to remove 1 h-frame in 2 days. Loaded crew rate is \$1073/hour including equipment.
3. SORT/CLEAN/HAUL	EA	0	\$0.00	\$0	Included in Section 17	
4. LABOR	EA	0	\$0.00	\$0	Included above	
5. EQUIPMENT	EA	0	\$0.00	\$0	Included above	
Task Subtotal				\$59,658,800		

Tab 01 - Summary Estimating Template

Task Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total	Comments	Methods/Assumptions		
6. TIMBER WRECKING (All timber wrecking assumes material is knocked down and put into stockpile for sorting).						[Imported from Tab 6]		
Enter data on tab "06 Timber Wrecking."								
1. 230-kV TIMBER TOWER	EA	0	\$4,604.13	\$0				
2. 138-kV TIMBER TOWER	EA	0	\$4,604.13	\$0				
Task Subtotal				\$0				
16. ELECTRICAL WRECKING						[Imported from Tab 16]		
Enter data on tab "16 Electrical Wrecking."								
1. TRANSFORMERS	EA	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A			
2. MOTOR CONTROL CENTER	EA	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A			
3. WIRING	LF	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A			
4. SWITCH YARD	SF	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A			
5. SWITCH YARD TOWERS	EA	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A			
6. Grounding	LF	111,200	\$0.03	\$3,336	Removal , handling & loading	Estimates 2 x laborers and vehicle approximately 2 hours per tower to retrieve and load. Worked in conjunction with foundation removal.		
7. Transmission Conductor - 500 kV	MI	286	\$76,743.60	\$21,917,972	Removal, loading and hauling of 3-1519 ACSR Conductor, Dampers, OHGW and OPGW. Includes guard structures. Unit is circuit-mile.	Estimates 16 person crew to remove one mile in 6 days. Loaded crew rate is \$1279/hour.		
8. Transmission Line (s) 230/138	MI	4	\$9,699.00	\$40,832	Includes Shield wire	Retrive and load		
9. Insulator Strings	EA	3,498	\$10.50	\$36,729	Removal Included in tower removal costs	Retrive and load-anticipate landfill disposal at ~\$60/ton		
10. Communication Stations	Ea	9	\$ 7,050.00	\$63,450	Removal of Propane and restoration (fill and grade) of the sites	Control building remove under section 4.		
Task Subtotal				\$22,062,320				
17. LOAD & HAUL								
1. LOAD & HAUL - STRUCTURAL STEEL	LD	1,166	\$5,000.00	\$5,830,000	Loading and hauling of tower steel and H-Frames to laydown/salvage yard.	Assumes 5 man crew to load/haul one structure per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour.		
2. DISPOSAL - DEBRIS	LD	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A	Assume steel will be salvaged w/o disposal fee.		
3. LOAD & HAUL CONC.	LD	0	\$0.00	\$0	Included in Concrete Wrecking			
4. DISPOSAL - CONCRETE	LD	0	\$0.00	\$0	Included in Concrete Wrecking			
5. SCRAP STEEL	LD	0	\$0.00	\$0	N/A			
Task Subtotal				\$5,830,000				
SUBTOTAL				\$109,750,686	Sum of all task subtotals.			
OVERHEAD @ COSTS + OVERHEAD		0.0%		\$0	Contractor overhead built into loaded labor costs			
				\$109,750,686				
PROFIT @ COSTS + OVERHEAD + PROFIT		0.0%		\$0	Contractor profit built into loaded labor costs			
				\$109,750,686				
INSURANCE @ COSTS + OVERHEAD + PROFIT + INSURANCE		0.0%		\$0	Contractor insurance built into overhead costs			
				\$109,750,686				
18. SCRAP CREDIT (Currently not allowed by EFSC.)				\$18,026,428	[Imported from Tab 18]			
SUBTOTAL (if scrap credit given)				\$109,750,686	Scrap credit is excluded			
19. SEPARATE SPECIALTY CONTRACTS				\$485,400	[Imported from Tab 19]			
SUBTOTAL (including specialty contracts)				\$110,236,086				
GROSS COST				\$110,236,086				
ADDERS								
PERFORMANCE BOND								
@ 1%				\$1,102,361				
GROSS COST (ADJUSTED)				\$111,338,447				
ADMINISTRATION AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT		@ 4%		\$4,453,538				
CONTINGENCY -		@ 20%		\$22,267,689				
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT CONTINGENCY								
LS				\$0	Included in spill mitigation Line E.2.			
TOTAL SITE RESTORATION COST (not adjusted)				\$138,059,674				

Grid-Enhancing Electric Transmission Lines
COST ESTIMATE FOR FACILITY SITE RESTORATION
(3rd Quarter 2016 Dollars)

Adjustment Factor: 1.02

GDP Index **2nd Quarter 2016:**

111.7
113.9

Est. GDP Index **2017:**

<http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/OEA/econo/>

Historical Quarterly Tables, Other indicators, Quarterly Data

General Costs		
A. PERMITS		\$49,183
B. MOBILIZATION		\$5,226,223
C. ENGINEERING		\$188,799
D. PROJECT OVERHEAD		\$1,739,946
E. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS INSPECTIONS		\$60,000
F. PROTECTION		\$173,320
General Costs Subtotal		\$7,437,471
Site Construction		
A. UTILITY DISCONNECTS		\$64,692
B. PRELIMINARY WORK		\$71,100
C. SITE GRADING		\$10,698,452
D. UNDERGROUND UTILITY REMOVAL		\$41,212
Site Construction Subtotal		\$10,875,456
Concrete Wrecking		
A. REINFORCED CONCRETE		\$3,791,302
B. NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE		\$0
Concrete Wrecking Subtotal		\$3,791,302
Building Wrecking		
		\$95,337
Steel Wrecking		
		\$59,658,800
Timber Wrecking		
		\$0
Electrical Wrecking		
		\$22,062,320
Load & Haul		
		\$5,830,000
Costs Subtotal		
Overhead @	0%	\$0
Profit @	0%	\$0
Insurance @	0%	\$0
Specialty Contracts (subcontracted work)		
		\$485,400
Subtotal		
		\$110,236,086
Subtotal Adjusted to Current Dollars		
Performance Bond @	1%	\$1,124,073
Gross Cost (Adjusted)		
Administration and Project Management @	4%	\$4,541,253
Contingency @	20%	\$22,706,265
Hazardous Materials Management Contingency		\$0
Total Site Restoration Cost (current dollars)		
		\$140,778,844
Total Site Restoration Cost (rounded to nearest \$1,000)		
		\$140,779,000

Grid-Enhancing Electric Transmission Lines
Tab 03 - Concrete Wrecking

A. Reinforced Concrete

1 Slab on Grade (CY)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	Communication Station Pads	250
2		
3		
4		
5		
	Total	250

2 Minor Footings (CY)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	N/A	
2		
3		
4		
5		
	Total	0

3 Mass Footings (CY)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	See below	
2		
3		
4		
5		
	Total	0

4 Transmission Foundations (CY)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	Remove 500 kV Tower Fdns	12,380
2	230 kV Rebuild	N/A
3	138/69kV Rebuild	N/A
4		
5	Total	12380

Tab 03 - Concrete Wrecking

B. Non-Reinforced Concrete

Dead Men (CY)		
1	Work Item	Quantity
	N/A	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	Total	0
Security Rails (LF)		
2	Work Item	Quantity
	N/A	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	Total	0
Concrete Recycle (CY)		
3	Work Item	Quantity
	N/A	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	Total	0
Piling (EA)		
4	Work Item	Quantity
	N/A	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	Total	0

Grid-Enhancing Electric Transmission Lines
Tab 05 - Steel Wrecking

1 500-kV Towers (EA)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	500 KV Steel Lattice Towers	1076
2	500 KV Steel H-Frame Structures	90
3		
4		
5		
	Total	1166

2 138/69-kV Monopole Structures (EA)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	N/A	
2		
3		
4		
5		
	Total	0

3		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	N/A	
2		
3		
4		
5		
	Total	0

4 Sort/Clean (EA)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	N/A	
2		
3		
4		
5		
	Total	0

5 Labor (EA)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	N/A	
2		
3		
4		
5		
	Total	0

6 Equipment (EA)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	N/A	
2		
3		
4		
5		
	Total	0

Grid-Enhancing Electric Transmission Lines
Tab 06 - Timber Wrecking

1 230-kV Towers (EA)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
	Total	0

2 138-kV Towers (EA)		
	Work Item	Quantity
-		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
	Total	0

Grid-Enhancing Electric Transmission Lines
Tab 16 - Electrical Wrecking

1 Transformers (EA)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	N/A	
2		
3		
4		
5		
	Total	0

2 Motor Control Center (EA)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	N/A	
2		
3		
4		
5		
	Total	0

3 Wiring (LF)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	N/A	
2		
3		
4		
5		
	Total	0

4 Switch Yard (SF)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	N/A	
2		
3		
4		
5		
	Total	0

Grid-Enhancing Electric Transmission Lines
Tab 16 - Electrical Wrecking

5 Switch Yard \Towers (EA)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	N/A	
2		
3		
4		
5		
	Total	0

6 Grounding (LF)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	Copper ground wire (incl. all str's)	111,200
2		
3		
4		
5		
	Total	111,200

7 Transmission Line Wiring (MI)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	3-1519 ACSR "Deschutes" (500 kV)	285.6
2	1/2" Steel Overhead Shield Wire	285.6
3	48-strand Optical Ground Wire	285.6
4	795 kcm ACSR "Drake" (230 kV)	0.95
5	397 kcm 26/7 ACSR "Ibis" (138 kV)	1.16
6	4/0 6/1 ACSR "Penguin" (69 kV)	N/A
7	20 ACSR "Quail" (12.5 kV)	N/A
8	3/8" Overhead Shield Wire (138 and 230 kV)	2.1
	Total	859

8 Breaker/Insulator/Misc (EA)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	Transmission Insulator Strings (500 kV)	3,498
2		
3		
4		
5		
	Total	3,498

9 Transmission Line Wiring Equipment (MI)		
	Work Item	Quantity
1	N/A	
2		
3		
4		
5		
	Total	0

Grid-Enhancing Electric Transmission Lines

Tab 18 - Scrap Value (NOT USED)

Scrap Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Rate	Value
Structure Steel	31,366	TN	171	5,374,373
Conductor Steel	1,281	TN	171	219,492
Shield Wire Steel	370	TN	171	63,397
OPGW Steel	0	TN	171	0
Hardware Steel	2,040	TN	175	358,007
Conductor Aluminum	8,066	TN	1,440	11,614,320
OPGW Aluminum	324	TN	1,160	375,840
Grounding Copper	7	TN	3,000	21,000
Equip Scrap Value				0
Total				\$ 18,026,428

Grid-Enhancing Electric Transmission Lines

Tab 19 - Separate Specialty Contracts

Subcontractor	Quantity	Unit	Unit Rate	Value
Lot Rentals	1	LS	60,000	60,000
Port-a-John Rentals	1	LS	91,200	91,200
Dumpster Rentals	1	LS	34,200	34,200
LIDAR Survey	300	MI	1,000	300,000
				0
Total				485,400