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Mr. Secretary, please call the roll.
SECRETARY CORNETT: Marcy Grail?
COUNCILLOR GRAIL: Here.
SECRETARY CORNETT: Kent Howe?
COUNCILLOR HOWE: Here.
SECRETARY CORNETT: Hanley Jenkins?
VICE CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Here.
SECRETARY CORNETT: Barry Beyeler?
CHAIRMAN BEYELER: Present.
SECRETARY CORNETT: Betty Roppe?
COUNCILLOR ROPPE: Here.
SECRETARY CORNETT: Mr. Chair, you have a quorum.

CHAIRMAN BEYELER: Mr. Secretary, are there 2 any agenda modifications?

SECRETARY CORNETT: Mr. Chair, there are no
to express the Department's appreciation to the VFW for allowing us to have a meeting room in Baker City tonight.

I will say we may have a minor, a minor agenda modification. At 5 p.m. there may be some interruptions due to the VFW's Taco Night Wednesday, where they will be coming in one or two at a time and getting tacos and so on and so forth. If we have interference or an interruption, we will stop the time and then take the rest of the testimony when we get to that point.

I have the following announcements: Comment registration cards, if you wish to speak tonight, are right over there. Signing up for messages and notices for the B 2 H project you can find on this card. That's in the same location. And for general information for the Department of Energy, they'll be on this card. And all of those are on the table. Gov delivery sign-up cards are on the table.

Those participating, please put your phones on silent so as to not interrupt the meeting any more than need be. Those participating via the AT\&T phone lines, please mute your phone. And if you receive a phone call, please hang up from this call and dial back in after finishing your other call.

If you would like to address the Council,
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please do not use the speakerphone feature because it
will create feedback. For those signed on to the
webinar, please do not broadcast your webcam.
The Energy Facility Siting Council meeting shall be conducted in a respectful and courteous manner where everyone is allowed to state their positions at the appropriate times, consistent with Council rules and procedures.
Willful accusatory, offensive, insulting, threatening, insolent or slanderous comments which disrupt the Council meeting are not acceptable.
Pursuant to Oregon Administrative Rule 345-011-0080, any person who engages in unacceptable conduct which disrupts the meeting may be expelled.

I am now going to hand the meeting over to Alison Greene Webster, who is the Council-appointed hearing officer for the Boardman to Hemingway Project and will be the presiding officer for tonight's public hearing.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Good afternoon. Before I get started here, I believe that Council Member Grail has a statement to make.

COUNCILLOR GRAIL: Thank you.
For the record, this is Councillor Grail. I do not intend to vote on any Energy Facility Siting

1 Council actions relevant to the proposed Boardman to 2 Hemingway transmission line. I was employed by Pacific
3 Power from approximately 2001 until 2006. I have no 4 financial interest in Pacific Power, PacifiCorp, or any related entity.
However, as a condition of my accepting
7 appointment to the Council, I agree to recuse myself
s from voting on any projects owned by Pacific Power.
Given Pacific Power's involvement with the proposed
Boardman to Hemingway transmission line, I will be
recusing myself from voting on any matters requiring
Council action on this project.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you. All right.

This is the public hearing in Baker County on the draft proposed order, which we call DPO for short, on the application for site certificate for the Boardman to Hemingway transmission line.

I am Alison Greene Webster, the presiding administrative law judge in the Oregon Office of Administrative Hearings, and the EFSC-appointed hearing officer for these public hearings.

I am serving as the presiding officer at this hearing. I am not an employee of the Department of
Energy. I am with the Office of Administrative
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Hearings, which is an independent agency within the State of Oregon.

We are located today at the Veterans Building in Baker, Oregon. It is now 4:33. And before we go to public comments, Kellen Tardaewether from the Oregon Department of Energy, the senior siting analyst, and a lead on the Boardman to Hemingway transmission line facility, will provide a county overview of the proposed transmission line within Baker County and summarize the opportunities for the public to participate in the EFSC process at the DPO phase.

Welcome.
MS. TARDAEWETHER: Thank you. I think you can hear me.

Thank you so much. For the record, my name is Kellen Tardaewether. I'm a senior siting analyst at the Oregon Department of Energy. Let me get to -- I was just going to say, I'm wondering, Sarah, is there any way to turn off these lights? Because you can't see the screen, is that the issue? I understand it's a little bit light in here and the presentation may be hard to see. So I apologize about that in advance. We'll work and see if perhaps we could get...
(Off the record.)
MS. TARDAEWETHER: All right. Thank you,
folks.
Again, thanks to the VFW for letting us crash their Wednesday Taco Night. Again, so I'm going to go
over some about the proposed facility and facility
components within Baker County, and then at the tail end
of my presentation, I'm going to go over some assistance
on guidance of how the public can provide their comments
during this commenting opportunity.
I may take a moment to explain if there's acronyms. We understand that there's lots of acronyms in our process. And we do have a court reporter tonight that will be recording the testimony, and so some of it is for her but it's also for the members of the public so we don't get so -- so we're all talking about the same thing.

So I'll explain for those folks that can't quite see this slide, it's what we call the procedural history slide. And it basically lines out the milestones that are reached at what date in the EFSC process; so in the Council's review process, when did Idaho Power, the applicant, reach milestones.

The takeaway from this, because I don't want -- I'm not going to go through each of these, the takeaway, and most folks in the room know, that this project has been around for a while. What I'm going to
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point out with this slide is one thing, even though the applicant began the state process or the EFSC review process back in 2008 and 2010, due to the fact that they were going through the federal permitting and the NEPA review process with the federal government, led by the Bureau of Land Management, or the BLM, the applicant kind of essentially paused the state process that we're in now to get to a point in the federal process where the BLM issued its record of decision, or a ROD. Because based on the BLM's decision, that's what told, they essentially informed the applicant of where the transmission line or the proposed facility would be located on federal lands.

Based on that information, the applicant, Idaho Power, resubmitted an application to the Department in July 2017 that the Department has been reviewing. We reviewed it for completeness, we saw you folks about, what, in October, so like 8 months ago, because we had informational meetings on the complete application. So we deemed that application complete. And then from that information that's what the Department uses in putting together and issuing this draft proposed order, and that's the reason for these hearings tonight.

So let's see. So looking ahead on this

1 timeline, so as folks know, we are holding a public 2 hearing in each of the five affected counties crossed by 3 the proposed transmission line.

I wanted to let the members of the public know if somebody you know or your neighbor is occupied or busy tonight, there's nothing that prevents a resident in any one county from attending a hearing in another s county, and they may provide comments at any location.
And the dates, times, and locations of all of the
hearings, you can ask one of the staff. It's on the web page; it's in several places. It's also in the notice of the DPO.

Looking ahead, the Department anticipates issuing a third amended project order. And this is just for Council's information, the project order is not a final order; it is an administrative document that outlines the process procedural and information in the application. And the Department would like to -- the Department can amend it at any time, and it would be done just to be consistent with the project as it stands now. So that's just kind of an administrative update for the Council.

And then for the public and for the Council, the Council's review of the draft proposed order is going to happen at a later Council meeting. These
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1 meetings are for the public testimony and the oral 2 testimony. These are the hearings on the draft proposed 3 order. And then at a later date, I'm going to come up 4 or staff will come up and will actually go through each 5 of the applicable Council standards and conditions, and 6 we'll go through and guide members of the public and Council through the DPO. And then we will also go over how we've incorporated the public comments received on the record.

So looking into the future, I'm not sure what Council meeting it's going to be but it will be after the close of the record, which is July 23rd at this time.

Again, apologize, kind of hard to see. This is a map, and I understand it's not a detailed map in Baker County, this is a high-level map of the general, of the proposed route in Baker County. The proposed transmission line crosses 68.4 miles in the county.

This slide also has some bullet points, and
20 the title, it says "Key Siting Opportunities and
21 Constraints." And I wanted to talk about those because
22 those kind of are a way of framing how a transmission
23 line is sited. When I say "sited," I mean kind of
24 positioning or locating or putting in the location of
25 the transmission line.
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1 Environmental Concern, which are BLM designated areas where the BLM has a management plan for those areas.

And I kind of touched on this last night, and I'll expand a little bit so folks that are familiar or have started working their way through the draft proposed order, table PA-1 on page 233. That's the Protected Areas section of the draft proposed order. And that table outlines all of the protected areas identified in the analysis area.

So what's nice about that table is that they're organized by the distance from the proposed transmission line. So at the top of the table is the closest to -- I say "transmission line," sorry -- the site boundary. The top of the table is going to be closest to the site boundary and then the bottom of the table is further away.

So a couple other notes about the Oregon Trail segments and ACECs and just how the draft proposed order functions, is that there can be a resource, for instance, the NHOTIC or this ACEC, and it is an EFSC protected area. So it is identified as a protected area that Council would consider in its draft proposed order. It is also evaluated as a scenic resource under the Council's Scenic Resources standard. So that is another area where it would be discussed. It's also

1 in the Recreation section under the Council's Recreation 2 standard. Lastly, it would also be discussed in the 3 Council's Historic, Cultural and Archaeological 4 Resources section, which corresponds to that standard.
5 So this is very typical of some resources. They may 6 qualify for protections or evaluation under several of the Council standards.

I just wanted to make a couple notes because the Oregon Trail's a very important resource in Baker County and within this region, and tying it to items in the draft proposed order is that there is the Scenic Resources condition two, which modifies -- and so because it is a Scenic Resources condition, this is where the condition is recommended, it's in that section, but it is a condition, too. And that condition modifies the towers within the area of NHOTIC, and then it is also discussed in the other sections.

Another note about Oregon Trail Resources is there is a condition in the Historic, Cultural and Archeological Resources section, and it is based on the discussion and the proposal of Idaho Power and is actually just a kind of a good thing to know is that Idaho Power isn't proposing to directly impact Oregon Trail resources. So that's a good thing to keep in mind.
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Other constraints, for instance, those
familiar with NHOTIC, on the east side of NHOTIC is greater sage-grouse core area habitat. So that was taken under consideration, and that's also BLM lands. They were told not to site the transmission line in that area.

As folks from Baker County know, there's also a lot of agricultural areas, including irrigated ag in Baker County.
So I apologize for this being small. This is from Exhibit C in the application. This is also in the draft proposed order in the introduction portion. And this basically has the facility components that are proposed for that 68.4 miles within Baker County.

I'm not going to read these in detail, but I 16 just wanted to use this as an opportunity to highlight
17 the difference of how alternative routes are handled in
18 the Council's review process. There are no alternative
19 routes proposed in Baker County; it is just the proposed
20 route. However, as some folks know through, by
21 participating in the NEPA review process and during
22 siting studies, the applicant has looked at several
23 different routes. Also for those that are familiar with
24 other counties, there are alternative routes proposed in 25 other counties.

1 And Council understands and it's also to reiterate but also for the public to keep in mind, that the Council's process is a standards-based process. And what that means is the applicant proposes any variety of routes or alternative routes, primary routes, an applicant proposes the facility. We as staff review the application and we review the information within the context of the Council's standards, and we make recommendations to the Council for any routes proposed.
So if they meet all of the Council standards, we
recommend approval; and if they don't meet Council standards, then we would recommend that it be denied. That's just a boiled-down version of it.

So this is different from the result of the federal review process, which at the conclusion, the Bureau of Land Management, as part of that NEPA review, as folks are aware, they issued a -- in their record of decision was their agency-preferred alternative or their, I'm sorry, agency-preferred route. So the BLM essentially selected which route on federal lands and -well, which route, and told the applicant that that's where the route would go on federal lands. That won't be the result of the Council's review.

So it's possible, for instance, in the draft proposed order we are recommending approval of the

1 proposed route and of all the alternative routes, which
2 then leaves the applicant the ability to select which
3 one of those they would actually construct if the
4 project ends up being approved.
5 Wrapping this up. These are actually from when we were here at the complete application, and these are for folks that are going to provide either written or oral testimony either today or in writing after the hearings, any written comments can be submitted to the Department. There is contact information of how to submit written comments in the notice or you can ask us and we can provide that information.

So I'm just going to go through these because the presiding officer, Alison Greene-Webster, she's going to go through some of the various specific items, kind of the procedural steps that we have to go through to proceed with these hearings. But I just kind of wanted to -- there's the last slide, because you'll actually hear a lot of this, and this is the language by which we speak because it's very consistent with rules and statute and that's how we operate. But we want to be as helpful as possible.

So there's a couple concepts from this slide. One of the big points of this slide is that tiny Matters For Comments. For your ability to participate in the
process later, we would need, we, the Department and Council, would need a comment, either written or oral testimony at these hearings, before the close of the record on July 23rd.

And then the second part of this is the content of your comments. So for consideration in the contested case, your issues must be raised with sufficient specificity so that the Council, the
Department, and the applicant are afforded the
opportunity to respond. So what does that mean? It basically means, like, help us understand what your issue is so we can, so we have the opportunity to respond to that issue.

This slide I think is helpful, and I'll kind of go through it and then I'll pass it over for your oral testimony. It kind of gives the examples, as best we can give examples, of effective comments and less effective comments.

So ways that you, in your oral testimony or your written comments, if you can reference specific Council standards or rules or statutes, if you can provide specific information supporting whether or not a standard has been made, that is very helpful. That is for commenting. If you can state supporting facts and comments and attach reference materials and provide

1 reference to those reference materials, that's very 2 helpful. And then also if you can point to specific 3 pages in the application or in the draft proposed order 4 to identify what your issue is and help us understand that, that is very helpful.

And so less effective comments, and yet totally fine comments to make, but are comments of just stating support or opposition to the project or only providing those reference materials without providing a
discussion of the issue and connecting it to the
documentation. Less effective comments are raising issues outside of the Council's jurisdiction or just making unsubstantiated statements.

I think that that is my presentation, and I'll hand it over. Thank you so much.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
The purpose of the public hearing is to
provide an opportunity for the public and the applicant,
if we they wish to do so, to present oral and written
testimony on the Boardman to Hemingway transmission line
application for site certificate and draft proposed
22 order. The 62 -day record of the public hearing comment 3 period on the draft proposed order closes on July 23, 24 2019, at 5 p.m.
25
Anyone who wishes to provide oral testimony
must fill out a registration form located near the entrance.

Sarah, I believe you have the forms?
MS. ESTERSON: Blank ones, yes.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Yes, you have the blank ones.

So Sarah over there, department staff, can provide a form if you have not received one yet to fill
out. Any forms that have not been filled out and
provided to Department staff, please do so at this time, as I am going to begin establishing the time each commenter will have in person and over the teleconference line. I will call each person up to testify individually, and will also indicate who the next person will be to testify so that they can be prepared.

Do we have anybody on the phone? Do you know? IT PERSON: I don't know.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Is there anybody on the phone who would like to give public comment tonight? All right. Hearing none, I'll check back in to see if anybody joins us.

But I will go with obviously the in-person commenters to provide their testimony first, then I will repoll and hear testimony from participants on the
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phone, if there are any.
For the commenters, I would ask when you sit down, please provide your first and last name to indicate -- well, this is to indicate who you are, where you're from, and to speak clearly because the court reporter here will be taking this down.

I think at this point I have a handful of people who would like to give in-person testimony
tonight, and I'm going to give everybody about 10
minutes to provide their testimony. If you need more
time, we can make some adjustments, but ideally if you can give your testimony within 10 minutes so we can keep the hearing moving along.

We also, as indicated at the outset, there may be some interruptions of people coming in for their
tacos. We will also probably take a break sometime between 6:00 and 6:30, a 10- or 15-minute break. And if we still have commenters after that, we'll reconvene and hear from them.

Department staff will track the time for each commenter, and the commenter should be able to view how much time is remaining. If the commenting time ends and the commenter is still speaking, I will gently let you know that your time is up and we will hopefully transition to the next speaker sooner than later.

1 Please be respectful of the allotted time and the other speakers.
If I or a Council member asks a person giving testimony questions, the time will be stopped for the question and response time, and then restarted to provide the commenter the full time allotted. Any requests made to EFSC will be brought up at the conclusion of the public testimony opportunity of the hearing.

Today's hearing, as well as all of the public hearings on the B 2 H draft proposed order are being documented by a certified court reporter, and there will be transcripts of the testimony made available after completion of the public hearings. We are also recording today's hearings. The presentations, written comments, and oral testimony are part of the decision record for the proposed facility.

Now, here's the important stuff that we need to get on the record. Pursuant to OAR
345-015-0220(5)(a) and (b), please note the following: "A person who intends to raise any issue that may be the basis for a contested case must raise the issue in person at the hearing or in a written comment submitted to the Department of Energy before the July 23rd deadline stated in the notice of the public hearing.
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1 2 may be the basis for a contested case must raise the 3 issue with sufficient specificity to afford the Council, 4 the Department of Energy and the applicant an adequate 5 opportunity to respond, including a statement of facts that support the person's position on the issue.

To raise an issue in a contested case proceeding, the issue must be: Within the Council's jurisdiction; raised in writing or in person prior to the close of the record of the hearing comment period, July 23, 2019; raised with sufficient specificity to afford the Council, the Department, and the applicant an adequate opportunity to respond; to raise an issue with sufficient specificity a person must present facts that support the person's position on the issue.

We'll now begin with the public testimony. I have 5:01 p.m. And a reminder, when you sit down to give your testimony, please provide your name and address for the record at the beginning of your testimony.

The first person to call up is Mark Bennett, 22 and then after Mr. Bennett, we'll hear from Whit 23 Deschner.

1 representing Baker County Board of Commissioners. So thank you to Vice Chair Jenkins, Hanley, and the rest of the members. Thank you once again.

Baker County would like to, as I mentioned, thank you for coming out here, meeting and hearing the folks. Baker County has participated in this project for actually 12 years. Prior to even the initial onset, we met with Idaho Power and discussed the vision I guess at that point. So we have really been engaged from the get-go on this entire project.

We can safely say, between my colleague Planning Director Holly Kerns and myself, we have not missed one meeting through the entire process, through the BLM process, through the Community Advisory Process that Idaho Power put on, and also comments and objections during the entire process.

Baker County's position from the get-go, and continues to this day, is that we do not support a line going through Baker County for 71 miles; 71 miles of our county is being transected by this line. And 25 percent of the entire project is in Baker County, and yet the critical point is, Baker County has not received any mitigation in the form of a point of presence here. This is not in keeping with our comprehensive land use plan, which says -- and I'm paraphrasing here -- I
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should mention that we will be submitting written comments. I'm going to give kind of a 747 view of the project from our eyes, but we will submit by the 23rd comments.

But 25 percent of this entire project is in Baker County. And we do not have a substation, we do not have any opportunity to directly utilize the line, which is a requirement within our comprehensive land use plan. There is no direct benefits, as I mentioned, to the economy or to the environment of Baker County. And the impact to the Baker County economic drivers outweigh the minimal tax benefits that the County will be receiving.

Baker County participated, along with our community, in a year-long process initiated by Idaho Power, called the Community Advisory Process. This process caused our communities to view that their voice would be heard. That was set aside and actually thrown in the dustbin when we went through the NEPA process. Because at that point, here you have 83 percent of this line on private lands and yet for less than 20 percent ownership, the federal government dictated where this line would go. They obviously, surprisingly not, they dictated that it wouldn't be on federal lands but it would be impacting private lands. 73 percent of this

1 line is on private lands in Baker County and yet the Baker County voice is silenced in a number of these 3 areas.

As I said, the outcome, and I really recall one of my constituents here, Bruce Owens, going, You know, Mark, we don't want this line but if we can come up with this route, it will be tolerable. I didn't ask you, Bruce, hopefully I didn't get you out of context there.

But that to me is really sad. It's really sad as an elected official. This is a David-Goliath type of a situation. Baker County utilized its resources, and we're a small county, to attend those meetings, to perform context. And I do have to really thank the Oregon Department of Energy, Todd and his staff, they assisted us and worked with us the entire time. They were able -- and the Council was able to give us some funding for comments later on. But all during the NEPA process, the counties bore the cost all by themselves. And I guess that's for good or bad but that's the way it is.

Shifting the direction, as mentioned earlier, Baker County has two principal economic drivers or engines: Agriculture, which is primarily the beef industry; and tourism. We have the uninterrupted
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viewshed, we have wildlife, solitude, and as Kellen so adequately addressed, the NHOTIC, or the National Historic Oregon Trail Interpretive Center.

But both of these economic drivers are
impacted by this project. As I mentioned earlier, a
6 71-mile long freeway, 83 percent of the private land
7 transecting Baker County with no on or off-ramps,
25 percent of this entire project.
9 The ag industry will be impacted through the
placement of towers on the EFU lands nearly the entire
route, and on the high-value grounds as you look out here in Baker valley.

And it's also important to note that in Baker
County, in excess of 70 percent of the producers are
64 years of age or older. The application fails to
address this social justice issue. And I serve on the
Governor's Ag Heritage Commission and am well aware of
this throughout the state of Oregon. The expectation
that folks in this age bracket are able to review and
respond to the thousands of pages created by this
project are, at best, incredulous and sadly
discouraging. The taxpayers would be victimized by the
companies and agencies who have an expectation of them to not only digest the work but make detailed responses to this extensive criteria.

Hanley and I were laughing at the beginning.
We have 7 feet long of records and I don't even know how many file boxes.

Ag is not only directly affected -- or
threatened by the line, there is an inaccurate invasive
weed section. Your draft order fails to provide
continuous inspections and treatment for the life of the
project. The wildfire section does not address the
risks that occurred in the Paradise disaster. Lack of
long-term support to the rural fire agencies, the roads impact. Just mentioning the more superficial areas of weakness.

Perhaps the largest unaddressed threat is on the horizon. The proposed line placement will transect Baker County, causing the Baker County sage-grouse primary area of concern, which is the northwestern-most population of sage-grouse, greater sage-grouse, to be isolated from the remainder of all sage-grouse habitat and populations in the western United States. This in turn may, at a future date, result in a review of the Baker pack and a determination that it's a distinct subpopulation, which would then cause a listing of the bird as a threatened and endangered species within Baker County. This will devastate the cattle industry and the economy of the county. Once again, no mitigation has
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been offered.
In the interest that I've gone on a little longer, I'm going to quickly just jump to the bottom.
And here's -- even though I'm speaking for my three colleagues, too, I was going to beg for more time.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Do you need more time? We can --

MR. MARK BENNETT: I probably need about 2 minutes more.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: That's fine.
MR. MARK BENNETT: Thank you.
As Kellen pointed out, the NHOTIC, National Historic Oregon Trail Interpretive Center, is best described as the crown jewel of eastern Oregon tourism. Individuals travel daily from all over the world to connect with the Oregon Trail. The line will be in the front picture window of the NHOTIC, the Trail Interpretive Center. And no mitigation offered.

Baker County and the community has requested time and time again that a study be conducted to determine the feasibility, or that it doesn't work, of burying the line over that period, and we continue to put that request forward. And we would request that it be an ODOE directed to the panel in that analysis.

We also request that there is no impact to the
ag industry without mitigation, and we will provide a detailed summary of those impacts.

Probably one that's near and dear to our
hearts is that the county ag producers and Idaho
Power -- and I want to compliment the field staff, they
have worked closely with that -- but bureaucracies, be what they may, it hasn't come. We've requested a line placement movement, a micrositing, within the Durkee area, and at this point it hasn't occurred. And we would request, as a condition of approval, that the Council direct that this occur. That it meets the needs, that, once again, that it causes the least impact to the landscape and to those managing the land here and to the residents. Once again, these residents are in excess of 65,70 years old, and impacting their entire life and their way of life is just really tough.

We also, in closing, request that the Baker County comprehensive land use plan requirement of benefit to Baker County be met in that a guaranteed point of presence be placed in Baker County to serve as mitigation to meet future requirements for needs of the economy of Baker County.

Are there any questions?
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: No. Thank you.
MR. MARK BENNETT: Thank you very much.
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1 Mr. -- assuming it's Mr. Deschner, it will be Karen Yeakley.

MR. WHIT DESCHNER: My name is Whit Deschner. I live at 1640 3rd Street, Baker.

I want to preempt this speech, out of frustration, if I say anything to Idaho Power about Idaho Power, please don't take it personally. You're probably real nice people.

I appreciate the Council for hearing me. And I appreciate Marcy Grail for recusing herself off of this case. And also I want to thank Mark Bennett and Holly for their work on this.

Upon reviewing the discrepancy in the 20,000 or so EFSC standards in Oregon Administration Rule, I have found a serious flaw. Oregon Administration Rule 345-025-0007 is missing. Upon further investigation, I discovered that the key set of OARs was redacted with white-out. I failed to find the original version but I have a good idea why this was omitted. Unfortunately, I can't replicate the legalese of this administrative rule nor do I speak the language but I can give you the gist.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Mr. Deschner, if you want to slow down just a stitch so that the court reporter can take everything down.

1 2 issues. too costly. the line.

MR. WHIT DESCHNER: OAR 345-025-0007, the real
Ethics.
(a) in 2007, B2H was announced. No vote was offered whether the people wanted it or not.
(b) Under Governor Tom McCall, an energy
corridor was established for high-voltage power line
routes. It was a low-impact route. When Idaho Power
proposed B 2 H , they either ignored or deemed this route
(c) Idaho Power is a for-profit corporation traded on the New York Stock Exchange.

Roman numeral i. This brings up conflicts of interests. What is right for IPC's shareholders is not always in the best interest of the public. Idaho Power Corporation will turn a profit to satisfy shareholders at the expense of Baker County and eastern Oregon. With sparse population, Idaho Power rides roughshod through the county, dictating how and where they choose to run

Also, Roman numeral ii. A crooked playing field. Opponents are not given adequate or the same amount of time as Oregon Department of Energy or Idaho Power to review new documents or developments.

And Roman numeral iii. Skewered data, like
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averaging numbers to falsify state or bend IPC's position.
(d) The Interpretive Center opened in 1992 through a highly effective partnership of local, state, and federal government agencies, nonprofit organizations, and local residents. I'll read that again.

The Interpretive Center opened in 1992 through a highly effective partnership of local, state, and federal government agencies, nonprofit organizations, and local residents. There was a gentlemen's understanding that nothing would be built in the viewshed of the Center, nor did anyone dream that the view would be degraded in such a manner. Nothing was signed but this was Baker and handshakes were valid and honored.
(e) Idaho Power is proposing to blatantly run their up to 190 -foot tall pylons in front of the BLM's Oregon Trail Interpretive Center. Where is the BLM's voice in all of this? Why are they allowing a corporation to build in front of the BLM historical center, ruining the whole historical presentation of what the taxpayers' $\$ 16$ million national showcase interpretive center represents?

Conclusion.

1 corporation bullying its way through a small rural 3 community just because it can. Regard their contractual 4 agreement to provide fish ladders on the dams they built 5 on the Snake, but then reneged on their obligation once 6 the dams were up and running. They cannot be trusted.
7 There are no repercussions in place if they won't and \& don't follow up on their promises and again, we, the local citizens, have to live with the damages.
(b) Morals and decency have been thrown out the window. Money and greed are trying to replace them.
If approved, Idaho Power is guaranteed an $\$ 80$ million profit for itself and their partners' shareholders.
What does Baker get?
(c) This process needs to ask bigger
questions. B2H is the subject to a vetting system that can't and never has said no to other similar projects, a vetting system that is allowing this boondoggle to get its rubber stamp. This process needs a non-partial forum for fairness, a council made up of people not picked or reinstated by a governor who was backed by PacifiCorp, Idaho Power's silent partner in B2H.
(d) While these hearings are supposed to bring out the flaws in the proposed plan, they also help Idaho Power plug their leaking dike. These are problems Idaho
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1 Power should have already foreseen if they had planned better.
(e) I have fought this B2H proposal since near the beginning. It was a bad idea then and it's an even worse idea now.
(f) All we, the public, would like in this process is impartiality and that we have not been given.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
After we hear from Ms. Yeakley, we'll hear from Irene Gilbert.

MS. KAREN YEAKLEY: I made copies for the Council and the Department of Energy.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Start with your name and address.

MS. KAREN YEAKLEY: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
MS. KAREN YEAKLEY: For the record, my name is Karen Yeakley. I'm a former mayor of Baker City and the former manager of the Baker County Chamber of Commerce, and former president of the Chamber.

Let's be clear, Idaho Power is a profit-making 3 business. They are in business to make money. The 24 board of directors have a fiduciary responsibility to 25 protect the investment and provide shareholders with a

1 return on their investment. This has been 12 years, and if I was on the Idaho Power board, I would be asking if this was the best investment. I'd be jumping up and down wondering, why can't we get this done? If it was that necessary 12 years ago, it should be even more necessary today. There is new technology, and the data used is not current nor represents residents' input along the proposed route.

I'm old school, I was raised differently. I was taught to give more here while I was here before I leave. I've never seen too many people leave with their wagon full of their goodies off to heaven. So that's why I volunteer and do things. And I appreciate your time in volunteering, too. It's not an easy job. Believe me, I understand that.

In your siting standards of protecting against adverse environmental impacts, this project, due to construction, will have significant adverse impacts. Construction decreases farmland that affects our food source, the wildlife, pollinators like bees and butterflies, and cattle grazing.

Oregon Administrative Rules and Council standards have numerous references to mitigation. Mitigation will not help dead eagles, dead owls, dead blue heron, dead ducks, dead geese, dead hawks, dead
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trumpeter swans, and dead sage-grouse that we've so hard and diligently tried to protect.

It will not protect the Oregon Trail ruts at the Interpretive Center. I watch from my house busloads of students in May headed up to the center to learn of our history from across the state. Use of compensatory mitigation is not okay; dead is dead. It will not come back. The land will not come back. You cannot mitigate that, and you cannot buy off property and values and the way of life in Baker County.

We should learn from the California fires that killed 85 people and destroyed thousands of buildings. PG\&E utility company seeks bankruptcy protection over California fires. Governor Kate signed House Bill 2222 requiring annual report on wildfire protection efforts. The bill was inspired in part by the wildfire last year in Paradise, California. Frankly, I would hate to have been on that board knowing that my transmission lines caused that fire and all the damage it did to places in California.

I've enclosed an article on electric and magnetic fields affecting milk production and behavior of cows. If the transmission lines can cause that effect on cows, then what is the long-term effect? Why would we want to risk public health with the side

1 effects of the transmission lines? We don't want the transmission lines here any more than we need the oil drilling on the Oregon Coast.

I have included several news clippings and our testimony during the NEPA process for your review.

I thank you for your time.
Do you have any questions of me? Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
Following Ms. Gilbert, we'll hear from JoAnn
Marlette.
MS. IRENE GILBERT: Hi. Irene Gilbert, here representing myself, Friends of the Grande Ronde Valley, and I'm a member of the Stop B2H group. So thank you for allowing me to speak again. I spoke yesterday on noise. And actually, if anyone in the audience wants copies of my comments, I have them with me.

Today I kind of wanted to introduce with a few sort of responses to Commissioner Bennett's comment, and he talked about the need for mitigation. I would be concerned, or I am concerned in this county with the fact that this line is taking some of the very limited allotment of basically damage to sage-grouse habitat. And when you talk about mitigation, I start thinking, what could they use with that land? Could they build a manufacturing site? Would they build homes and utilize
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1 it? In this county, they can't build a garage once they run out of that allotment of sage-grouse habitat that they can damage.

So I'm really concerned about, No. 1, the lack of mitigation; No. 2, the way mitigation is dealt with.
I know with habitat impacts there is no mitigation provided whatsoever for all the farm damage. So of course, developers like to place their developments on high-value farmland. When they do provide mitigation, it's only for the basis of structures. So when you're talking about a transmission line, what they consider permanent is a basis of those big metal structures, and they make the folks reseed what they have torn up as far as the habitat around there.

I don't think that was ever the intent of the rules, but that's the way it's being interpreted. You end up with thousands and thousands of acres of damage in a 60 -acre mitigation site. I'm making that up but it really is that radical. It's unbelievable.

Anyway, I want to talk about mitigation. I 21 was reading the developer's material and they said, Oh, 22 we're going to mitigate for these damages, and we'll 23 provide land that's going to be protected permanently.
24 Well, that marsh is a federal mitigation site for the
25 Bonneville Power dam for the damages, and that's

1 supposed to be protected forever. There is supposed to
2 be absolutely no damage to that federal site. Or the F\&W gets paid every year to make sure there's absolutely no impacts to that site.

Well, this is going to impact that site. It's going to impact the animals that go back and forth daily. And so I'm not sure that when they say permanent protection that Idaho Power really means permanent protection.

I'm concerned because moving this line, I know Idaho Power has worked with people and said, Oh, they're so mad about this, we'll see if we can do a little micrositing. Well, first off, their area that they can microsite is 500 feet across. So without an amendment there's not going to be a lot of micrositing going on. And I'm concerned that if it's not in the site certificate, it isn't a guarantee and they can back out on anything they say and it will be after the period of time has lapsed when anyone can ask for a contested case.

I'm also concerned because when you move the line, you're just changing the damage to somebody else. You're changing the damage to making it apply to other animals, other people. The answer is, this is not a line that's needed, and it shouldn't be placed, and it's
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causing a whole lot of damage in this state without benefits to us.

So anyway, now I'll get on to what I mainly was going to talk about, which was weeds. And I've been kind of taking these sections one at a time, which is challenging because when you talk about weeds, you have to check about, well, eight or nine different areas in the application. I don't think that ODOE did a real good job of trying to put things in a capsule form where people can find information.

But the invasive weeds, there's a state law that says that the owner or the user of property has to assure that no invasive -- that invasive weeds do not go to seed. Now, Idaho Power has suggested that they will do annual monitoring for the first 5 years unless Oregon Department of Energy tells them they can get out of this earlier. But it's once a year. And I went through some of the invasive species of weeds that are along this transmission line, and they come to -- they bloom and go to seed at different times. So I can absolutely assure you there's nowhere on this line where a once-a-year approach to dealing with invasive weeds is going to keep them from going to seed.

Idaho Power thinks that they should only be
not making sure that nothing is going to seed along that right of way, they are seeding the whole area along this, which is creating negative impacts to our agriculture, it's a loss of agricultural growth, it is causing damages to our threatened and endangered species, it's causing damages to our habitat. There's a bunch of rules that apply when you start sending weeds out over the country.

The Indians have commented directly, saying they would like this site certificate to apply to the state law that says that vehicles and equipment have to be cleaned before they go on to a site or off of the public roadway. They have to be cleaned before they go from one landowner to another.

The developer is saying they'll put these cleaning sites at their multiple use areas. Well, those are temporary, they're a long ways away from where these areas are that they're supposed to be cleaning. So they're flat out not planning on adhering to the state statutes.

I'm a little upset about this whole weed thing because they're saying that if the weeds already exist, well, they're not responsible for more of them. If there are weeds in the area, they're not responsible for them going on to the site. Well, I can tell you right
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1 now, that when you start tearing up land and habitat, that transmission line is going to be a focal point of noxious weed development. And when they start growing along the transmission line, that means that they're going to increase all the way along it with all the private property.

And at least in Union County, I know we're in Baker County right now, but in Union County, the line is
81 percent on private land. We have 51 percent BLM land. And I wish I could remember the figures because I wrote them down in a comment for Baker. But Baker is comparable. And so you're talking about private landowners suffering because this developer wants to create a freeway that's 250 feet wide across our whole state practically.

And other things, just in terms of, I'm just throwing things out here, they're undervaluing our farmland, they're undervaluing our forest land. They're saying that in Union County, for instance, that they can destroy over 500 acres of our forest land, and that it's worth $\$ 97,000$ to our economy. I own forest land. I can tell you that I wouldn't own forest land if it was worth $\$ 97,000$ over a 50 -year period, which is kind of the period that they talk about.

They say that it's temporary impacts, their
impacts are temporary, if they don't exceed the life of the development. Now, okay, so you cut our timber down, there's no timber growing there for the life of the project, and that's a temporary impact? Hmm. Well, I think it's kind of a creative definition. There are a bunch of creative definitions about how they look at what they have to mitigate for.

Anyway, I'm actually going to give you a minute or so free time here. But I thank you. You know you'll be hearing from me again. I hope anybody here that wants help with their comments, I've been fighting with EFSC for 8 or 9 years. And while I have far more losses in my columns than wins, I do have a few wins and I'm very actively concerned about this.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you. Following Ms. Marlette, we'll hear from Michael Meyer. And Mr. Meyer, when you do come up, please provide your address and contact information.

MS. JoANN MARLETTE: Hello again. I'm JoAnn Marlette, and I live at 2031 Fort Street, Baker City, Oregon. And I am a member of Stop B2H Coalition.

Well, I think all of you are aware that Oregon has an existing utility corridor, which was set in place during the administration of Governor Tom McCall. I
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knew Tom McCall. As a matter of fact, I typed the first draft of his mother Dorothy Lawson McCall's book "Ranch Under the Rimrock."

It was his love of this ranch and to central Oregon that led him to his commitment to preserve farm and forest lands. In the early '70s as governor, he signed Senate Bill 100, which created a statewide land use regulatory system, aimed at preserving farm and forest land.

Knowing how important preserving farm and forest land would be, a utility corridor was set from Boardman, Oregon, to the Idaho border, so that issues such as what we are having right now would not exist. All the utilities would have their corridor and would not encroach on farm and forest land in other parts of the state. Idaho Power has claimed many times that using our existing utility corridor would cost them too much money.

Also, I find a discrepancy as to their need. My research shows that market is not growing. Idaho Power's bill of sales for the last 10 years have been essentially flat, if not declining. That's supported by reports from the US government and Idaho Power's own data.

And thank you so much for your time.

1 HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
2 After Mr. Meyer, we will hear from Laurie, is 3 it Solisz?
4 MR. MIKE MEYER: My name is Mike Meyer. I 5 live in Baker City. This will be one of them less 6 effective comments.
7 HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Mr. Meyer, I think 8 just for the record we do need an address more specific than just Baker City.

MR. MIKE MEYER: And why do you need my address?

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: So that we can
provide you notice of the things that are happening.
MR. MIKE MEYER: Do I -- mailing address?
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Mailing address.
MR. MIKE MEYER: Mailing address?
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Yes.
MR. MIKE MEYER: Is 3155 Grove Street, Baker City, Oregon.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
MR. MIKE MEYER: I find it unfathomable that 2 anyone from Idaho, including Idaho Power, has the 3 audacity to rape 71 miles of Baker County with what I 4 think will be unnecessary and outdated towers by the 55 time they're ever put in. And I also would like to
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1 shame anyone that would ever permit this to happen.
Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
4 Following Ms. Solisz, we'll hear from Gail, is 5 it Carbiener?

MR. GAIL CARBIENER: Close.
7 HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Sorry for maiming 8 names.
9 MS. LAURIE SOLISZ: My name is Laurie Solisz.
I'm a direct descendent of the land that this is going
1 to go across. My mailing address is P.O. Box 1110, Baker County, Oregon.

So what I have brought today, I'm not very high tech, but I have provided some pictures of how this will impact our property, which is directly below the Interpretive Center. I have four pictures here, and the shadow, which is so interesting how this works, this is what happens in the morning, sunrise, the shadow falls directly on the line where the transmission line is proposed, which I find very fascinating.

We don't have -- we just -- and this is a picture of how the line will go across these hills. And I will leave these pictures with you. The little bump 24 on the hill is the Interpretive Center. So if anyone 5 thinks that this isn't going to interrupt what's going
on with the Interpretive Center, which is a beautiful museum -- and if you people are not from here, I would highly recommend you going there. It is so inspiring. I cry every time I go. This bump is the Interpretive Center. So this is looking east. The Interpretive Center looks west, which is the towers are going to come up, supposedly not be able to be seen, under the Interpretive Center.

So we have about 300 acres. We already bear, our particular property already bears the burden of the high-voltage 230 line. That was placed in 1950. That line, they gave my ancestors, who thought it was a good idea to help get electricity, a little bit of money. However, 60 years later, we still have the line on our property. It impacts our ability to do crops, it interrupts our grazing. They were sagging close to the ground. My husband was in jeopardy on his tractor this last year. There's not much maintenance that goes on with these lines.

So the B2H, and you've already heard about the right-of-way difficulties that are going to be expected. We've already had impact from the B2H; people, they've entered our land without permission, claimed ignorance, they drive on our property, they've flown over with helicopters, interrupted the cattle. So we've already
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experienced disturbance. And everyone claims ignorance, Oh, we didn't mean to do that. Well, we didn't think, and so forth. But it happens, and we are the ones that bear that burden.

Well, I guess I ran through all my thoughts. Any questions?

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Do you want to leave the photos?

MS. LAURIE SOLISZ: I would.
And if you have any questions, you can always ask.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Any questions, Council? Thank you.

MS. LAURIE SOLISZ: Thank you for listening. Thanks for coming.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: We will next, after we hear from you, we will hear from Wayne -- is it Kaaen?

MR. WAYNE KAAEN: You're doing good on the names.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
MR. GAIL CARBIENER: My name is Gail
before.
Tonight I'm speaking a couple of times to the people behind me. Because if you read the literature that Idaho Power has provided in the fire prevention area, it's as if the California fires never existed.
They have a sentence in there that says: "In operation,
the B2H line will not significantly increase fire potential."

Now, the State of California, and the day before yesterday the State of Nevada, have legislated that their utility companies prepare a detailed fire prevention plan. I have sent to the Chairman my letter with details on what I think Idaho Power should do.

The other thing that I would like to talk to the people sitting behind me, is in reclamation. Idaho Power says that the power line will be active in perpetuity; that means forever. They provide no data, no references. 500-kilovolt power lines in the state of Oregon have begun in the 1980s. That's not a hundred years.

What's more, in reclamation, they say because
21
22 it's going to be forever, they're shifting the risk of
23 reclaiming the land to the public for the first
2450 years, because they're not going to bond reclamation
25 after and during from the time that it's in operation
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until the first 50 years. Now, that's like not insuring a new home because you don't think it's going to burn down until it gets old.

They don't provide any data. Hard data. And what's more -- I'm looking at Todd -- what's more, it concerns me that the EFSC can approve without requiring more detail.

Now, in the last 7 minutes, I have sent you
this letter as well, and again, I'm talking to the
people behind me, wearing my Oregon Trail cap. Exhibit
BB, section 3.4.2, the conclusion regarding
undergrounding the power line. Idaho Power continues to
says it's too expensive. I have sent to Mr. Beyeler,
the Chairman, and I don't know how far my letters go,
pictures of a comparison of 3.7 miles down in Chino
Hills, California, of a 500 -kilovolt power line that was put underground for 3.7 miles. Almost every foot of that ditch had a infrastructure under the ground. That cost $\$ 224$ million.

I've recommended, as I hope people in the audience have, that the line be put underground in front of the Interpretive Center.

For illustration purposes, Idaho Power has
23
24 used $11 / 2$ miles and asked POWER Engineering, one of the 25 consultants, it's a good firm, but it's a consultant
that's working for Idaho Power, in the burying of a power line in Hailey-Sun Valley, Oregon [sic], that they're having difficulty with because of scenic views. POWER Engineering says this $11 / 2$ miles here at the toe of the foothill, sagebrush off irrigated land will cost \$111 million.

If it's just a straight line, it doesn't cost that much. In reality, they have not had a foot on the ground that they have documented. They've not turned over a shovel of dirt in front of that Interpretive Center that they've documented. I've documented the Chino Hills, and I've talked with those people. And they say it's probably 50,000 , but that's their guess -50 million, excuse me.

You will receive other letters from me rather than speaking this last 4 minutes, but I would certainly hope that you would seriously consider the undergrounding. POWER Engineering in their estimate states that they are a Level 5 estimate, based on their civil engineering standards. They have given the definition of a Level 5 as ratio, ballpark, blue sky, seat of the pants, idea study, prospect, estimate, concession, license, or guesstimate. That's their definitions. You've got to do better.

Thank you very much.
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1 I'd like to digress momentarily to put forth a 2 brief history of where I'm coming from. No. 1, I've been here in this area long enough to remember some of the promises that were made by Idaho Power. Fish passage either fish ladder, trucks or with -- pardon me, thank you, Todd -- or with the construction of fish-raising facilities. In every case, they have waffled under these regulations to which is part of Oregon law.

I could go on and have reams of material I've collected on this. I'll get to some of the more recent things here. Idaho Power most recently went to Oregon asking about changing the laws about fish habitat in the Snake River. They managed to do it, not because Oregon felt it was appropriate, this was against Oregon law as it stood, but because our own politicians waffled underneath this.

This has been done over and over again. Most recently in the paper as of June 6, 2019, once again, our own politicians waffled under the regulations of fish habitat, the passage of Clean Water Acts. It goes on and on.

From a personal standpoint, the property to
24 which I have that is being impacted, years ago, 20 years
25 ago, we were required by Oregon state law to build

1

## CHAIRMAN BEYELER: Was the nature of it nitrogen supersaturation? <br> MR. WAYNE KAAEN: It had to do with water temperature, it had to do with fish passage, and it had to do with pollution in the river. Those three items are the items that I researched on. <br> CHAIRMAN BEYELER: Okay. Thank you. <br> MR. WAYNE KAAEN: This is all on the Internet

 that I have got out. And the last portion is my own personal experience. Everything before that is stuff that I extracted off the Internet and Oregon laws.CHAIRMAN BEYELER: Will that be submitted?
MR. WAYNE KAAEN: I thought I just submitted
CHAIRMAN BEYELER: I mean in writing, the
MR. WAYNE KAAEN: I can do that, but I cannot afford a dozen Philadelphia lawyers to defend me either. Idaho Power can and I can't. But I suppose I could get somebody to write up something for me. Would that be appropriate?

CHAIRMAN BEYELER: Yes.
MR. WAYNE KAAEN: Okay, Barry. Thank you very much for that comment. I appreciate it.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: And if you submit
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another road that did not follow a tributary to Ladd Creek. That road was built because we were required by Oregon law to do it. This very same power line that B2H wants to put in impacts that same tributary. A 250 -foot wide swath of barren ground to which they said they did not want a road, barren ground road next to the water source that would impact the redband trout.

Question to Oregon I have: Why is it I have to obey Oregon laws and Idaho Power does not?

The other thing I question about that, too, in terms of habitat, if you look at an aerial photograph of our place, it's the only place within miles that has old-growth timber, that has been a wildlife habitat in our family for 60 years. That, in effect, is going to be erased by this B2H line.

The question remains: Who's running Oregon; Idaho or the Oregon citizens?

CHAIRMAN BEYELER: I did have one question.
MR. WAYNE KAAEN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN BEYELER: The nature of the lobbying that you have talked about with Idaho Power, that was with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife or the Oregon DEQ?

MR. WAYNE KAAEN: Near's I can tell it was
24
25 both.

1 it, please do so by July 23 rd.
2 MR. WAYNE KAAEN: Boy, that's quite a time. That really puts me under the crunch. Okay. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
Mr. Owen?
And is there anybody on the phone that would
like to give comment? Hearing none, we will take a break after we hear from Mr. Owen, probably about a 15 -minute break, and then we'll reconvene and then I have a comment card here, we'll hear from Idaho Power.

MR. BRUCE OWEN: My name is Bruce Owen. I live at 27910 Oxman Ranch Lane in Durkee, Oregon.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Your street name again.

MR. BRUCE OWEN: 27910 Oxman Ranch Lane, Durkee.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
MR. BRUCE OWEN: You're welcome.
Twenty-five years ago, I retired and moved to a lifelong dream of mine, a ranch in the Durkee area. I bought it because of the scenic value and the isolation with that. And for 25 years I've lived happily on that ranch with the elk and the deer, and all the other game animals there.

There is a power corridor through Durkee right

1 down the freeway. There's two roads on Highway 30,
2 Interstate 84, and there is a railroad track with 3 multiple tracks through Durkee. There's a gas line -4 two gas lines, as a matter of fact. And already at 5 least one power line that I know of.

And why they deviated from that direct route up the power corridor in Durkee, I have no idea. But they have put -- they've deviated to the southwest right through the middle of my ranch. I mean, right through the middle of my ranch.

I've had some discussions with Idaho Power, and they have talked to me about maybe running it down the south border of my ranch and then up the west side. I said if worse comes to worse, I can agree to that. But then I found out that they won't even talk to me about it with any authority until after this meeting that we have now and after the Siting Commission comes up with their comments.

So I really have no good feelings about what may happen. They've not promised me anything at all except that they would avoid my cabin and my house by the noise allotment area of 2,000 feet. Which, I mean, that's nice I guess. But still right through the middle of the ranch. It's been a lifelong dream, and I resent it very much.
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1 8 personal and I feel very strongly about them.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you. 10 MR. BRUCE OWEN: Do you have any questions? try and get everybody back and reconvene about 6:15.
(Recess taken.)
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: All right. Thanks everybody for taking your seat again. We're back on.

We have one more commenter before we hear back from Idaho Power. And Commissioner Bruce Nickels wanted to make a statement?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Off microphone.)
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Ma'am, I was just 3 clarifying that this is an opportunity to give your 4 comment, but the Council is not going to be answering 5 questions. But you'll have your opportunity to be heard

1 tonight. better.

Anyway, that's what I wanted to say. They were promised they would be taken care of. That's now been taken away, for whatever reason, I don't know.

There's also the Oregon Department of Energy. There hasn't been any analysis done of burial to mitigate the visual impact of the Interpretive Center or compensatory mitigation for Baker County. That Interpretive Center is very important to tourism for our whole county and all of eastern Oregon. Tourism is very important to Baker, and we have a hard enough time trying to build that up and then you take away the visual aspect of it, and you're making us go backwards
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again. And we get nothing other than grief out of it.
The last thing, you didn't comply with Baker County's land use plan. We need a substation if you're going to put this thing here. And I know substations cost a lot of money but Baker County is getting really nothing out of this but grief. And with power, extra power for Baker, we have a chance of some economic development. We need some or a lot of power for manufacturing and also business. If we don't have that, Baker County has little chance to grow because we don't have enough power; we can't attract those kind of businesses.

So that's all I have to say. Other than the fact I personally don't want to look at the dang lines because I'm living very close to the freeway so I will be able to see them, whether they're brown or whatever color you want to make them. So I really don't want to look at those. You should have put them on the other side of somebody else's hill.

So thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you. And we'll need the green sheet.

MR. BRUCE NICKELS: Yes. I told you I'd give you that.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: And I don't know if
you provided your address at the outset but if you could do that.

MR. BRUCE NICKELS: I live at 1140 F Street in Baker City, Oregon. I am a Baker County Commissioner. And I have a phone number and everybody can call me and talk to me about it.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you,
Mr. Nickels.
And did you want to --
MS. CHRISTINE MENOLASCINA: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: So this is, is it Christine Menolascina?

MS. CHRISTINE MENOLASCINA: Menolascina.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Menolascina, okay.
We'll hear from Ms. Menolascina and then we will also hear from Fuji Kreider before we -- I'm going to have Idaho Power go last just so it can have the opportunity to respond to some of the concerns that have been raised. So if you want to have a seat.

MS. CHRISTINE MENOLASCINA: I'll stand. It's Christine, C-h-r-i-s-t-i-n-e, Menolascina is
M-e-n-o-l-a-s-c-i-n-a.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: If you could provide

MR. CHRISTINE MENOLASCINA: Um-hmm. It's PO Box 84, Baker City, Oregon 97814.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
5 Baker, I think people have felt railroaded a little bit.
6 I've known this meeting is coming. I didn't know about 7 the previous meetings.

So my understanding is Idaho Power needs more power for its citizens, and it does have some of eastern Oregon, Malheur County, which is I believe east of here, more Ontario, and then to Blackfoot, Idaho. So it really doesn't affect too much of Baker or Boardman, or any of the beautiful land it will be going through.

Though a lot of it will be on irrigation and farmland, a lot of it will be going through some of our favorite places; La Grande, Pendleton, over the mountains, where truck drivers from all over the country see that, travelers from all over the country see that. Down 84 here where people traveling from Utah, Idaho, and everywhere else go down this freeway.

I understand it goes through Morgan Lake, one 22 of my favorite fishing places, along with probably many 23 others that people aren't aware of, because a lot of 24 people don't get the paper here or a lot of people don't 25 think that it will affect them. But when the windmills

1 were put up not far from here, just up on the ridge, I'm sure everybody can point out where it is or what they call the Stonehenge snow fence, which was an eyesore and not correctly placed, was put along 84 up here.

People do notice. But they're at home sitting on Facebook pushing "like," but I am not; I am here because this is what makes a difference.

So my question is, since everybody is here -is there a representative from Idaho Power here? No?

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: No, I believe we will hear from somebody from Idaho Power.

MS. CHRISTINE MENOLASCINA: And there is somebody here in this room that can hear my voice?

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Yes.
MS. CHRISTINE MENOLASCINA: Okay. So to that person who has pitched a bid to whoever to build these and start finding out everything there is to know about where to put 80 towers, how many towers are there going to be in Baker County? How many towers are there going to be from Boardman to the border? How many towers along 84 ? How many towers along a mile?

There are federal regulations that I'm sure that they know about. State regulations. I grew up in southern California; I know these towers. They are God-awful, unsightly, noisy, cancer-causing interruption
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of solitude and peace of mind, knowing that something is humming overhead, drawing power from out of your area, crossing through your yards, over your children, your food, your house, your land, to eastern Idaho. Where in return, they will give us, we can draw off the solar power, 4 percent they get from solar power which they buy from Phoenix because they can't guarantee sunshine. We're in Oregon; nobody guarantees sunshine.

So why not run it down the Snake River? Because it's a couple miles shorter. Why not run it through eastern Washington and down the border? Because it affects everybody. Yes, everybody needs power. I use blow dryers, I like coffee in the morning, everybody does. But these are gigantic, monster towers. And you don't put just one or we don't know how many, somebody knows. I have a friend who puts up solar or puts up the windmills, and before it even hits the table, those engineers know that -- this is what I was told -- it depends on how many feet it rises above the previous tower.

Now, we all go to La Grande to go shopping at Walmart because we have one grocery store in this town. So going from Walmart, do you think you're going to stay the same level or do you think you go up 2 feet, 5 feet, a hundred feet? How many towers are going to go in

1 between here and La Grande? What do you think that's 2 going to look like out in that cow pasture? Are the 3 cows going to be happy? No. They aren't happy now when
4 it gets hot or it snows. I'm not happy when it gets hot 5 and it snows. There's a lot that needs to be said and 6 done here.
7 Now, Idaho could rectify this by putting in a s natural gas plant. It's expensive but they're close to
Wyoming, and there's lots of natural gas there. And
10 Wyoming is not that pretty of a state. I've been there
many times, I used to drive long haul from Boise to Chicago twice a week. Lots of open area from Blackfoot to Sinclair. They have the big towers, they have the natural gas. There's a natural gas pipeline that runs to the West Coast. Put it in, extend it.

Don't put the towers through this valley because we're going to stop you. And I like to talk a lot. I have nothing to lose. Shoot, I've been camping for a day and look at this, I still look good.

So come on, really, we need to think about this. We need to get together, inform the people, there's got to be a solution. I know this needs to happen. We need to get eastern Oregon bigger, we need to help Idaho. I get that. But we cannot do it running down 84 where everyone sees. We cannot do that.
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There's a big mountain up there, I know there's a airstrip because I fly. Put it up there. Go over the mountain. It's going to cost more.

But even though you're not going to start
building until 2023 when most of us will be gone or in a home or not able to remember this meeting, it's going to make a difference on the kids, and the kids that are going to come and visit you in that retirement center. Not in Salem, not in Washington, DC. But every rancher, and I heard somebody saying, Oh, we're not going to get the promises. You know, it's politics. Forget about the promises, we all know how that worked out. So that's off the board.

We're all going to get together, we're going to be informed and we're not going to go on Facebook and push "like" any more. We're going to get people to these meetings. The '60s were great. We need to go there.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
MS. FUJI KREIDER: I'm Fuji Kreider, 60366
Marvin Road, La Grande. That's all you need; right?
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Yes. If you would 4 spell your last name.

MS. FUJI KREIDER: K-r-e-i-d-e-r.

1 t

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you. MS. FUJI KREIDER: I was not planning on speaking tonight but a couple things came up that I've been hearing about and I just want to make a couple comments. It won't even take the whole time I don't think.

I am with the Stop B2H Coalition. I'm a board member. We are not a NIMBY organization; we are activists fighting the line entirely. I'm not talking about moving the line; we do not want the line.

However, you will hear, as you heard tonight and you'll hear all along the way, and all the public comments will be directed towards your standards and about the siting of the line, all the impacts that you've heard tonight and more, so I won't get into that.

What I do want to just say is I related to the cost and some of the issues that I've heard tonight mentioned. Things are changing for Idaho Power. Technology is changing radically and the costs are also changing. I started in the 2015 OPUC docket. Since that time I've attended every Idaho Power Integrated Resource Planning meeting in Boise. I go every month; anywhere from two to five of us attend those meetings every month. We went through eight meetings and a workshop in the 2017 IRP and the PUC docket. We've been
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to every meeting in the 2019 IRP. The docket will be opened in the summer when OPC opens it up.

Much of what I -- well, generally a lot of what I talk about with cost I will be referring to the PUC on to protect the ratepayers. But I do want you to know that this B2H line is not the only option. It is not the only option for Idaho Power. And the options keep changing. As technology keeps changing, they have more and more options.

Also, the cost of things are going down. So back in the 2017 IRP days, when we insisted put batteries, put stored, put some alternative technologies into your Integrated Resource Plan, it was like, Oh, no, no, no, that's too far out. Ten, 20 years from now I'll say, Well, it seems like it's coming awfully sooner than that. Talk to the Idaho Power executives and stuff that are in the room, Oh, I agree with you, Fuji, yes, distributed generation and distribution is the way of the future, but that's still 20 years out.

Well, next round IRP 2 years later, we're a year and a half later, solar and batteries are in their Integrated Resource Plan. They just signed on with Jackpot Holdings, the cheapest solar in the country. Things keep changing.

Now, when you hear tonight a number of things
that people have talked about, the federal corridor, the central Oregon road, go to the federal corridor. Why don't you go that way, that's what Baker County has been saying from the beginning. Idaho Power, No, that's a hundred miles out of the way. That will cost too much.

Burying the line. Oh, can't bury the line, it might cost as much as Chino Hills that went under an interstate and shopping mall and a whole -- I mean, okay, that's what they wanted to use.

Substations, dropping off some pops along the way, some substations, the cost of that. All these costs, why are they saying it's too expensive or we can't do it? I'll tell you why. Because that changes the cost of the B 2 H portfolio.

In the 2019 round, there were 24 portfolios to beat Idaho Power's need. We won't even get into all that stuff yet, we'll maybe talk about that tomorrow in La Grande. But to meet their need now, this go-round in 2019, we listened to and they created in their computer modeling 24 portfolios; 12 with $\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{H}, 12$ without B 2 H . B 2 H portfolio is the cheapest portfolio.

If you added one of those things, the federal corridor, the burying the line or some substations, B2H is no longer the least-cost portfolio in Idaho Power's toolbox.
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So we're not going to go away. We'll take this to the PUCs in both states. We keep on, we keep going. You'll hear more tomorrow, and all of our stuff will be in writing of course by the deadline.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
Is there a last call for anybody to give
comment before we have Mr. Stokes up? Is there anybody
on the phone that's listening in that would like to give
comment? Okay. Hearing none, we'll hear from
Mr. Stokes.
MR. MARK STOKES: Good evening. My name is Mark Stokes. Address is 1221 West Idaho Street, Boise,
Idaho 83702. I'm an engineering project leader for
Idaho Power, and the project leader for the Boardman to
Hemingway project.
Here tonight, I was not going to make any
specific comments on everything that's been said this
evening but I did want to avail myself to answer any
questions that Council members may have.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Any questions, Council, for Mr. Stokes?

CHAIRMAN BEYELER: No.
VICE CHAIRMAN JENKINS: I do have a question for Mark.

Mark, one of the issues that has been raised is invasive weed spread and whether or not Idaho Power is going to be submitting an invasive weed management plan. I believe that was referred to in the application. Can you talk a little bit about that.

MR. MARK STOKES: Yes, certainly, Vice Chairman.

There's a lot of plans like the noxious weed plan that were, we call them frameworks at this point, that were developed as a part of the NEPA process, working through that with BLM. And the intent all along has been that when we get to the point where we have more certainty on the route and other things associated with the line, that we would then go back and flesh out those plans, put all the details in. And it would be at that point that we would expect to work through each of the counties to make sure that the specific plans met their needs.

So it's certainly in our plan to go out and do that. And that will all happen here roughly a year and a half, 2 years when we develop what's called the construction POD, or plan of development, which is a pretty sizable document that will include all of those other plans. There will be things in there that address section 106, cultural issues, fire prevention and
protection plans. There's a lot of them. We can go back and look at the list if we need to. But we certainly do plan on addressing those.

VICE CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Any other questions? Thank you.

What is going to happen on our end now is we, those of us, the Council members and the DOE people and me, we will be here until 8:00 or close to 8:00 in case
there's anybody that comes in that wants to provide
public testimony. But for now, it's $6: 38$ and we'll
recess and we will reconvene if somebody does join us and want to give testimony.

So thank you everybody.
(Hearing recessed at 6:38 p.m.)

```
                                    REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
    I, BEVERLY A. BENJAMIN, CSR No. 710, Certified
Shorthand Reporter, certify:
    That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
me at the time and place therein set forth;
    That the testimony and all objections made were
recorded stenographically by me and transcribed by me or
under my direction;
    That the foregoing is a true and correct record
of all testimony given, to the best of my ability;
    I further certify that I am not a relative or
employee of any attorney or party, nor am I financially
interested in the action.
    IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I set my hand and seal this
27th day of June 2019.
Nemilir A Nonjanmo
```

BEVERLY A. BENJAMIN, CSR
Notary Public
P.O. Box 2636

Boise, Idaho 83701-2636

|  | address (17) | ago (5) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 25 ; 23: 19 ; 27: 16 ; 28: 8 ; \\ & 35: 15 ; 44: 19 ; 46: 8,11,14,15,16 ; \end{aligned}$ | ```9:18;36:5;54:24,25;57:19 agree (3)``` |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 11: 5 \\ \text { applicant (16) } \end{array}$ |
| \$111 (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 11 ; 53: 12 ; 62: 1,25 ; 71: 13 \\ & 72: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:7;58:14;69:17 } \\ & \text { agreement (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 21 ; 9: 2,6,11,14 ; 12: 2,9 \\ & 15: 22 ; 16: 4,6,21 ; 17: 2 ; 18: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 52:6 |  |  |  |
| \$16 (1) | addressed (1) | 34:4 | $\begin{array}{r} 19: 18 ; 23: 4,12 \\ \text { application (13) } \end{array}$ |
| 33:23 | 27:2 | $\underset{15: 8 ; 42: 4}{\text { agricultural (2) }}$ |  |
| \$224 (1) | addressing (1) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 17 ; 9: 15,20,20 ; 10: 18 ; 15: 11 \\ & 16: 7 ; 17: 6 ; 19: 3,21 ; 27: 15 ; 41: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 51:19 | 73:3 | Agriculture (2) |  |
| \$80 (1) | adequate (3) | 26:24;42:4 | $72: 5$ |
| 34:12 | 23:4,13;32:22 | ahead (2) | apply (3) |
| \$97,000 (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { adequately (1) } \\ & 27: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 25 ; 10: 13 \\ & \text { aimed (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 40:23;42:7,10 |
| 43:21,23 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { aimed (1) } \\ 45: 8 \end{gathered}$ | 6:7 |
| [ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { adhering (1) } \\ & 42: 19 \\ & \text { adjustments (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45: 8 \\ \text { airstrip } \\ 67: 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 31:10,11;36:13;56:24;59:5 } \\ & \text { appreciation (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| [sic] (1) | $21: 11$ | Alison (4) |  |
| 52:2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Administration (3) } \\ 31: 15,16 ; 44: 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:7;5:16;6:19;17:14 } \\ & \text { allotment (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:1 } \\ & \text { approach (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Administrative (8) } \\ & 5: 12 ; 6: 20,21,25 ; 10: 16,21 ; \\ & 31: 21 ; 36: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $38: 22 ; 39: 2 ; 58: 22$ | 41:22 |
| ability (3) |  | 22:1,6 | 5:7;54:15;56:21 |
| $17: 2,25 ; 48: 15$ | advance (1) $7: 22$ |  | approval (3) |
| able (7) | adverse (2)$36: 17,18$ | 5:6 | 16:11,25;30:10 approve (1) |
| 21:21;26:17,17;27:19;48:7; |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { allowing (4) } \\ & 4: 2 ; 33: 20 ; 34: 18 ; 38: 14 \end{aligned}$ | approve (1) $51: 6$ |
| 61:16;67:6 <br> above (1) | Advisory (2) | Almost (1) | approved (2) |
| 65:19 | 24:14;25:16aerial (1) | $\begin{gathered} 51: 17 \\ \text { along (15) } \end{gathered}$ | 17:4;34:12 |
| absolutely (3) |  |  | 6:3 |
| 40:2,3;41:20 | $55: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 13 ; 25: 14 ; 36: 8 ; 41: 18 \\ & 42: 1,2 ; 43: 4,5 ; 63: 22 ; 64: 4,21, \\ & 21 ; 68: 12 ; 70: 10 ; 72: 11 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| acceptable (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{affect~(2)} \\ & 63: 12,25 \end{aligned}$ |  | $14: 3$ |
| 5:11 accepting (1) | affected (2) | alternative (7) | Archeological (1)14:20 |
| accepting (1) $6: 6$ | 10:2;28:4 <br> affecting (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { alternative (7) } \\ & \text { 15:17,18,24;16:5,18;17:1; } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| accusatory (1) |  | $69: 12$ | area (23) |
| 5:9 | 37:22 | always (2) | 12:18,18,21,23;13:9,21,21, <br> 25;14:16;15:3,6;28:16;30:9; <br> 40:13;42:2,24;50:5;54:3;57:20; |
| ACEC (1) |  | amend (1) |  |
| $13: 20$ ACECs | 36:19;65:12 afford (3) |  |  |
| ACECs (5) 12:11,13,23,25;13:18 | afford (3) | 10:19 | Areas (12) |
| acres (3) | afforded (1) | 10:14 | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 12,25 ; 13: 1,2,7,8 ; 15: 8 \\ & 26: 3: 28: 11: 41: 7: 42: 16.18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 39:17;43:20;48:9 | 18:9 <br> afternoon (1) | amendment (1) | 26:3;28:11;41:7;42:16,18 |
| acronyms (3) |  | amount (2) | 90025;39:14 |
| 8:10,10;12:12 | 5:20$\mathbf{a g}(\mathbf{6})$ |  |  |
| across (5) |  | 12:7;32:23 | article (2) |
| 37:6;40:14;43:14;47:11,22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ag (6) } \\ & \quad 15: 8 ; 27: 9,17 ; 28: 4 ; 30: 1,4 \end{aligned}$ | 13:9;29:24;60:18 | 37:21;56:16 |
| action (1) | Again (19) |  | aside (1) |
| 6:12 | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 2,3 ; 11: 14 ; 24: 3 ; 28: 25 ; \\ & 29: 20 ; 30: 12,14 ; 33: 7 ; 34: 8 \\ & 38: 14 ; 44: 10,20 ; 51: 9 ; 54: 18,19 ; \\ & 57: 14 ; 59: 17 ; 61: 1 \end{aligned}$ | Analyst (3) | 25:18 |
| actions (1) |  | $2: 11 ; 7: 6,16$ ancestors (1) | aspect (1)$60: 25$ |
| 6:1 |  | 48:12 |  |
| active (1) $50 \cdot 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 14 ; 59: 17 ; 61: 1 \\ & \text { against (2) } \end{aligned}$ |  | assistance (1) 8:6 |
| 50:16 actively (1) | 36:16;54:15 | 40:6,24;57:2 | assisted (1) |
| 44:14 |  | $32: 4$ |  |
| activists (1) | 27:15,19 |  | 26:16 associated (1) |
| 68:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:23;28:10;33:5,10 } \\ & \text { agency (1) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 72:13 |
| Acts (1) |  | $4: 11$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Association (1) } \\ 49: 25 \end{array}$ |
| $54: 21$ actually (10) | 7:1 agency-preferred (2) | 37:15;41:15 | 49:25 assuming (1) |
| actually (10) $11: 4 ; 14: 22 ; 17: 3,5,19 ; 24: 7$; |  |  | $\underset{31: 2}{\text { assuming (1) }}$ |
| 25:18;38:15;44:8;59:3 | 16:18,19 | 10:13 | assure (2)$41: 13,20$ |
| added (1) | agenda (3) | $7: 22 ; 11: 14 ; 15: 10$ |  |
| 70:22 | 3:22,24;4:4 |  | AT\&T (1) |


| 4:21 | 7,10;62:4,4;63:2,5,12;64:19; | better (3) | bought (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| attach (1) | 70:3 | 35:2;52:24;60:13 | 57:21 |
| 18:25 | ballpark (1) | Betty (2) | boundary (2) |
| attend (2) | 52:21 | 2:6;3:17 | 13:14,15 |
| 26:13;68:23 | bankruptcy (1) | Beyeler (16) | Box (3) |
| attended (1) | 37:13 | 2:2;3:5,15,16,21,25;51:13; | 47:11;53:24;63:2 |
| 68:21 | barren (2) | 55:18,20;56:1,7,12,15,22; | boxes (1) |
| ATTENDEES (1) | 55:5,6 | 59:11;71:23 | 28:3 |
| 2:1 | Barry (3) | bid (1) | Boy (1) |
| attending (1) | 2:2;3:15;56:23 | 64:16 | 57:2 |
| 10:7 | based (4) | big (4) | bracket (1) |
| attract (1) | 9:10,14;14:20;52:19 | 17:24;39:12;66:13;67:1 | 27:19 |
| 61:11 | basically (6) | bigger (2) | break (6) |
| audacity (1) | 8:18;12:5;15:13;18:11; | 34:15;66:23 | 21:16,17;53:8;57:8,9;59:13 |
| 46:23 | 38:22;60:7 | Bill (4) | brief (1) |
| audience (2) | basis (4) | 37:14,16;45:7,21 | 54:2 |
| 38:15;51:21 | 22:22;23:2;39:10,12 | bird (1) | bring (1) |
| authority (1) | batteries (2) | 28:23 | 34:23 |
| 58:16 | 69:12,21 | bit (5) | brings (1) |
| avail (1) | BB (1) | 7:21;13:4;48:13;63:5;72:5 | 32:13 |
| 71:19 | 51:11 | Blackfoot (2) | broadcast (1) |
| available (1) | bear (2) | 63:11;66:12 | 5:3 |
| 22:13 | 48:9;49:4 | Blank (2) | brought (2) |
| Avenue (1) | bears (1) | 20:4,6 | 22:7;47:13 |
| 49:24 | 48:10 | blatantly (1) | brown (1) |
| averaging (1) | beat (1) | 33:17 | 61:16 |
| 33:1 | 70:16 | BLM (11) | Bruce (14) |
| avoid (2) | beautiful (2) | 9:6,9;12:18;13:1,2;15:4; | 2:23,24;26:5,8;53:3;57:11, |
| $12: 10 ; 58: 21$ | 48:1;63:13 | 16:19;24:14;33:21;43:9;72:11 | 11,15,18;59:10,19;60:5;61:23; |
| aware (4) | beef (1) | BLM's (3) | 62:3 |
| 16:17;27:17;44:23;63:23 | 26:24 | 9:10;33:18,19 | build (7) |
| away (5) | bees (1) | bloom (1) | 33:21;38:24,25;39:1;54:25; |
| $13: 16 ; 42: 17 ; 60: 16,24 ; 71: 1$ | 36:20 | $41: 19$ | $60: 24 ; 64: 16$ |
| awfully (1) | beg (1) | blow (1) | Building (2) |
| 69:15 | 29:5 | 65:13 | 7:3;67:5 |
|  | began (1) | blue (2) | buildings (1) |
| B | 9:2 | 36:25;52:21 | 37:12 |
|  | begin (2) | Board (6) | built (3) |
| B2H (22) | 20:11;23:16 | 24:1;35:24;36:2;37:18; | 33:12;34:4;55:2 |
| $4: 14 ; 22: 11 ; 32: 4,9 ; 34: 16,22$ | beginning (4) | $67: 13 ; 68: 7$ | bullet (1) |
| $35: 3 ; 38: 13 ; 44: 22 ; 48: 20,22$ | 23:19;28:1;35:4;70:4 | Boardman (10) | $11: 19$ |
| $50: 7 ; 53: 25 ; 55: 3,15 ; 68: 7 ; 69: 6$ | begun (1) | 5:17;6:1,10,17;7:7;19:20; | bullying (1) |
| $70: 14,20,20,21,23$ | $50: 19$ | 45:12;63:12;64:20;71:15 | $34: 2$ |
| back (15) | behavior (1) | boiled-down (1) | bump (2) |
| 4:23;9:3;20:21;37:8,8;40:6, | 37:22 | 16:13 | 47:23;48:4 |
| 17;53:11,12;59:14,17,18; | behind (3) | Boise (3) | bunch (2) |
| 69:11;72:14;73:2 | 50:3,15;51:10 | 66:11;68:22;71:13 | 42:7;44:6 |
| backed (1) | below (1) | bond (1) | burden (2) |
| 34:21 | 47:15 | 50:24 | 48:10;49:4 |
| backwards (1) | bend (2) | Bonneville (1) | Bureau (3) |
| 60:25 | 33:1;49:23 | 39:25 | 9:6;12:11;16:16 |
| bad (2) | benefit (1) | book (1) | bureaucracies (1) |
| 26:20;35:4 | 30:19 | 45:2 | 30:6 |
| Baker (74) | benefits (3) | boondoggle (1) | burial (1) |
| 3:3;4:2;6:15;7:4,9;8:5;11:16, | 25:9,12;41:2 | 34:18 | 60:18 |
| 17;12:3,13,16;14:9;15:7,9,14, | Bennett (9) | border (4) | burn (1) |
| 19;23:25;24:1,4,6,17,19,21,22; | 2:14;23:21,22,24,25;29:8,11; | 45:12;58:13;64:20;65:11 | 51:2 |
| 25:6,10,11,14;26:1,2,12,23; | 30:25;31:12 | bore (1) | bury (1) |
| 27:7,12,13;28:15,15,21,23; | Bennett's (1) | 26:19 | 70:6 |
| 29:19;30:17,19,20,22;31:5; | 38:18 | both (3) | burying (4) |
| 32:17;33:15;34:14;35:19,20; | best (5) | 27:4;55:25;71:2 | 29:22;52:1;70:6,23 |
| 37:10;43:8,11,11;44:21;46:5,9, | 18:16;27:21;29:13;32:15; | bottom (2) | business (3) |
| 18,23;47:12;60:8,20,23;61:2,5, | 36:3 | 13:15;29:3 | 35:23,23;61:9 |


| businesses (1) | caused (2) | 45:16;48:23 | 5:10;7:5;8:7;10:8;11:8; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61:12 | 25:17;37:19 | claims (1) | 12:14;17:9,11,25;18:6,17,18, |
| busloads (1) | causes (1) | 49:1 | 20,25;19:6,7,7,11;22:16;24:15; |
| 37:4 | 30:12 | clarifying (1) | 25:2,4;26:18;38:16;44:11;46:6; |
| busy (1) | causing (4) | 59:23 | 53:7;58:18;59:7;68:5,13;71:18 |
| 10:6 | 28:15;41:1;42:5,6 | Clean (1) | Commerce (1) |
| butterflies (1) | Center (25) | 54:21 | 35:20 |
| 36:21 | 12:16,19,20;27:3;29:13,18; | cleaned (2) | Commission (2) |
| buy (2) | 33:3,8,13,19,22,24;37:4,5; | 42:12,13 | 27:17;58:17 |
| 37:9;65:7 | 47:16,24;48:1,5,6,8;51:22; | cleaning (2) | Commissioner (5) |
|  | 52:11;60:19,21;67:8 | 42:16,18 | 23:25;38:18;59:19;60:5;62:4 |
| C | central (2) | clear (1) | Commissioners (1) |
|  | 45:4;70:2 | 35:22 | 24:1 |
| cabin (1) | certainly (5) | clearly (1) | commitment (1) |
| 58:21 | 52:16;53:22;72:6,19;73:3 | 21:5 | 45:5 |
| California (8) | certainty (1) | clippings (1) | communities (1) |
| 37:11,14,17,20;50:5,9;51:16; | 72:13 | 38:4 | 25:17 |
| 64:24 | certificate (4) | close (8) | Community (5) |
| call (13) | 6:17;19:21;40:17;42:10 | 11:12;18:3;23:10;47:6; | 24:14;25:15,16;29:19;34:3 |
| 3:6,8;4:23,23,24;6:16;8:17; | certified (1) | 48:16;61:15;66:8;73:9 | companies (2) |
| 20:13;23:21;62:5;64:3;71:7; | 22:12 | closely (1) | 27:23;50:11 |
| 72:9 | Chair (3) | 30:6 | company (1) |
| called (2) | 3:19,23;24:2 | closes (1) | 37:13 |
| 25:16;72:21 | Chairman (21) | 19:23 | comparable (1) |
| came (1) | 2:2,3;3:5,14,16,21,25;50:12; | closest (2) | 43:12 |
| 68:3 | 51:14;55:18,20;56:1,7,12,15, | 13:13,15 | comparison (1) |
| camping (1) | 22;59:11;71:23,24;72:7;73:4 | closing (1) | 51:15 |
| 66:18 | challenging (1) | 30:17 | compensatory (2) |
| can (54) | 41:6 | Coalition (2) | 37:6;60:20 |
| 4:14;7:13;8:7;10:10,19; | Chamber (2) | 44:22;68:7 | complete (3) |
| 13:19;17:9,11,12;18:12,17,20, | 35:20,21 | Coast (2) | 9:19,20;17:6 |
| 21,24;19:2;20:7,15;21:11,12, | chance (2) | 38:3;66:15 | completed (1) |
| 12;24:11;26:6;29:7;31:22,25; | 61:7,10 | coffee (1) | 53:5 |
| 34:3;37:23;39:3;40:12,13,17, | changes (1) | 65:13 | completeness (1) |
| 19;41:10,16,20;42:25;43:19, | 70:13 | colleague (1) | 9:17 |
| 21;46:12;49:10;51:6;53:10,11; | changing (9) | 24:11 | completion (1) |
| 55:24;56:17,19;58:14;62:5,18; | 40:22,23;54:13;68:18,19,20; | colleagues (1) | 22:14 |
| 64:2,13;65:5;72:5;73:1 | 69:8,8,24 | 29:5 | compliment (1) |
| cancer-causing (1) | cheapest (2) | collected (1) | 30:5 |
| 64:25 | 69:23;70:21 | 54:11 | comply (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Canyon (1) } \\ 12: 24 \end{gathered}$ | check (2) 20:21;41:7 | co-located (3) | 61:2 components (2) |
| cap (1) | Chicago (1) | color (1) | 8:5;15:13 |
| 51:10 | 66:12 | 61:17 | comprehensive (3) |
| capsule (1) | children (1) | columns (1) | 24:24;25:8;30:18 |
| 41:9 | 65:3 | 44:13 | computer (1) |
| Carbiener (5) | Chino (3) | coming (8) | 70:19 |
| 2:21;47:5,6;49:22,23 | 51:15;52:12;70:7 | 4:7;21:15;24:5;49:15;53:14; | concepts (1) |
| card (3) | choose (1) | 54:2;63:6;69:15 | 17:23 |
| 4:14,16;57:10 | 32:19 | Comment (16) | Concern (3) |
| cards (2) | Christine (10) | 4:11;18:2;19:22;20:20; | 12:12;13:1;28:16 |
| 4:12,18 | 2:25;62:11,13,14,21,22;63:1, | 22:23;23:10;38:18;43:11;53:5, | concerned (7) |
| care (1) | 4;64:12,15 | 7;56:24;57:7,10;59:24;71:8,10 | 38:20,20;39:4;40:10,16,21; |
| 60:15 | C-h-r-i-s-t-i-n-e (1) | commented (1) | 44:14 |
| case (8) | 62:22 | 42:9 | concerns (2) |
| 18:7;22:22;23:2,7;31:12; | citizens (3) | commenter (6) | 51:6;62:19 |
| 40:20;54:7;73:9 | 34:9;55:17;63:9 | 20:12;21:21,21,23;22:6; | concession (1) |
| cases (1) | City (9) | 59:18 | 52:23 |
| 60:12 | 3:3;4:2;35:19;44:21;46:5,9, | commenters (3) | conclusion (4) |
| cattle (3) | 19;62:4;63:2 | 20:24;21:2,18 | 16:15;22:8;33:25;51:11 |
| 28:24;36:21;48:25 | civil (1) | commenting (3) | condition (8) |
| cause (2) | 52:20 | 8:8;18:24;21:22 | 6:6;14:12,13, 14, 15, 15,19; |
| 28:22;37:23 | claimed (2) | comments (32) | 30:10 |


| conditions (1) | corridors (1) | 42:3 | 67:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11:5 | 12:4 | creative (2) | dead (10) |
| conduct (1) | cost (14) | 44:5,6 | 36:24,24,24,25,25,25,25; |
| 5:13 | 26:19;45:17;51:19;52:5,7; | Creek (1) | 37:1,7,7 |
| conducted (2) | 61:5;67:3;68:17;69:4,10;70:5, | 55:2 | deadline (2) |
| 5:5;29:20 | 7,11,14 | criteria (1) | 22:25;71:4 |
| conflicts (1) | costly (1) | 27:25 | dealing (1) |
| 32:13 | 32:10 | Critical (3) | 41:22 |
| connect (1) | costs (2) | 12:12,25;24:22 | dealt (1) |
| 29:16 | 68:19;70:12 | crooked (1) | 39:5 |
| connecting (1) | Council (40) | 32:21 | dear (1) |
| 19:10 | 2:9;3:7;4:25;5:4,7,11,21;6:1, | crops (1) | 30:3 |
| Conners (1) | 7,12;10:22,23,25;11:5,7,11; | 48:15 | decency (1) |
| 49:24 | 13:22;14:7;16:1,9,10,11;18:2,8, | cross (1) | 34:10 |
| consider (3) | 21;22:3;23:3,12;26:17;30:11; | 12:20 | decision (4) |
| 13:22;39:11;52:17 | 31:10;34:20;35:13;36:22; | crossed (1) | 9:9,10;16:18;22:16 |
| consideration (2) | 49:13,25;59:24;71:20,22;73:8 | 10:2 | declining (1) |
| 15:4;18:6 | Council-appointed (1) | crosses (1) | 45:22 |
| consistent (3) | 5:16 | 11:18 | decreases (1) |
| 5:7;10:20;17:20 | Councillor (8) | crossing (1) | 36:19 |
| constituents (1) | 2:4,5,6;3:10,12,18;5:23,24 | 65:3 | deemed (2) |
| 26:5 | Council's (12) | crown (1) | 9:20;32:9 |
| Constraints (3) | 8:20;10:15,24;13:24;14:1,3; | 29:14 | deer (1) |
| 11:21;12:9;15:1 | 15:18;16:3,8,23;19:12;23:8 | crunch (1) | 57:23 |
| construct (1) | Counsel (1) | 57:3 | defend (1) |
| 17:3 | 2:8 | cry (1) | 56:18 |
| construction (4) | counties (5) | 48:4 | definition (2) |
| 36:18,19;54:6;72:22 | 10:2;15:24,25;26:19;72:17 | Cultural (3) | 44:5;52:21 |
| consultant (1) | country (4) | 14:3,19;72:25 | definitions (2) |
| 51:25 | 42:8;63:17,18;69:23 | current (1) | 44:6;52:24 |
| consultants (1) | County (67) | 36:7 | degraded (1) |
| 51:25 | 6:15;7:8,9;8:5;10:7,8;11:16, | cut (1) | 33:14 |
| contact (2) | 17,18;12:3,13,17;14:10;15:7,9, | 44:2 | delivery (1) |
| 17:10;44:19 | 14,19;23:25;24:1, 4,6,19,20,21, |  | $4: 17$ |
| content (1) | 22;25:6,10,11,12,14;26:1,2,12, | D | denied (1) |
| 18:6 | 13,23;27:7,14;28:15,15,24,25; |  | 16:12 |
| contested (5) | 29:19;30:4,18,19,20,22;32:17, | daily (2) | Department (26) |
| 18:7;22:22;23:2,7;40:19 | 19;35:20;37:10;38:20;39:1; | 29:15;40:7 | 2:10;4:16;6:24;7:6,17;9:16, |
| context (3) | 43:7,8,8,19;46:23;47:12;60:20, | dam (1) | 16,22;10:13,18,19;17:10;18:1, |
| 16:8;26:8,14 | 22;61:5,10;62:4;63:10;64:19; | 39:25 | 9;20:7,10;21:20;22:24;23:4,12; |
| continue (1) | $70: 3$ | damage (9) | $26: 15 ; 32: 23 ; 35: 13 ; 41: 16$ |
| 29:22 | County's (3) | 37:19;38:22;39:3,7,17;40:2, | 55:22;60:17 |
| continues (2) | 24:17;60:8;61:3 | 22,23;41:1 | Department's (1) |
| 24:18;51:12 | couple (7) | damages (5) | 4:1 |
| continuous (1) | 13:17;14:8;17:23;50:2; | $34: 9 ; 39: 22,25 ; 42: 5,6$ | depends (1) |
| $28: 7$ | 65:10;68:3,4 | dams (2) | 65:19 |
| contractual (1) | course (2) | 34:4,6 | DEQ (1) |
| 34:3 | 39:8;71:4 | dang (1) | 55:23 |
| copies (2) | court (4) | 61:14 | descendent (1) |
| 35:12;38:16 | 8:11;21:5;22:12;31:24 | data (6) | 47:10 |
| core (1) | courteous (1) | 32:25;36:6;45:24;50:17; | Deschner (7) |
| 15:3 | 5:5 | 51:4,4 | 2:15;23:23;31:2,4,4,23;32:1 |
| Cornett (8) | cow (1) | date (3) | described (1) |
| 2:9;3:9,11,13,15,17,19,23 | 66:2 | 8:19;11:3;28:20 | 29:14 |
| corporation (4) | cows (3) | dates (1) | designated (1) |
| 32:11,16;33:21;34:2 | 37:23,24;66:3 | 10:9 | 13:1 |
| correctly (1) | crash (1) | David-Goliath (1) | destroy (1) |
| 64:4 | 8:2 | 26:11 | 43:20 |
| corresponds (1) | create (2) | day (3) | destroyed (1) |
| 14:4 | 5:2;43:14 | 24:18;50:9;66:19 | 37:12 |
| corridor (11) | created (3) | days (1) | detail (2) |
| 32:7;44:24;45:11,14,17; | 27:20;45:7;70:19 | 69:11 | 15:15;51:7 |
| 57:25;58:7;59:3;70:1,2,23 | creating (1) | DC (1) | detailed (4) |


| 11:15;27:24;30:2;50:11 | 52:10 | dream (3) | elected (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| details (2) | disaster (1) | 33:13;57:20;58:24 | 26:11 |
| 50:13;72:15 | 28:9 | drilling (1) | electric (1) |
| determination (1) | discouraging (1) | 38:3 | 37:21 |
| 28:21 | 27:22 | drive (2) | electricity (1) |
| determine (1) | discovered (1) | 48:24;66:11 | 48:13 |
| 29:21 | 31:18 | drivers (4) | elk (1) |
| devastate (1) | discrepancy (2) | 25:11;26:23;27:4;63:17 | 57:23 |
| 28:24 | 31:14;45:19 | dropping (1) | else (4) |
| develop (1) | discussed (4) | 70:10 | 40:22;53:3,8;63:20 |
| 72:21 | 13:25;14:2,17;24:8 | dryers (1) | else's (1) |
| developed (1) | discussion (2) | 65:13 | 61:19 |
| 72:10 | 14:21;19:10 | ducks (1) | employed (1) |
| developer (2) | discussions (1) | 36:25 | 6:2 |
| 42:15;43:13 | 58:11 | due (3) | employee (1) |
| developers (1) | disrupt (1) | 4:6;9:3;36:17 | 6:24 |
| 39:8 | 5:11 | during (7) | enclosed (1) |
| developer's (1) | disrupts (1) | 8:8;15:21;24:16;26:18;38:5; | 37:21 |
| 39:21 | 5:14 | 44:25;50:25 | encroach (1) |
| development (4) | distance (1) | Durkee (8) | 45:15 |
| 43:3;44:2;61:8;72:22 | 13:11 | 30:8;57:12,16,20,25;58:3,7; | end (3) |
| developments (2) | distinct (1) | 60:10 | 8:5;39:17;73:7 |
| 32:24;39:8 | 28:21 | dustbin (1) | endangered (2) |
| deviated (2) | distributed (1) | 25:19 | 28:23;42:5 |
| 58:6,8 | 69:18 |  | ends (2) |
| dial (1) | distribution (1) | E | 17:4;21:22 |
| 4:23 | 69:18 |  | Energy (16) |
| dictated (2) | disturbance (1) | eagles (1) | 2:10;3:7;4:16;5:4,25;6:25; |
| 25:22,24 | 49:1 | 36:24 | 7:6,17;22:24;23:4;26:15;32:6, |
| dictating (1) | ditch (1) | earlier (3) | 23;35:13;41:16;60:17 |
| 32:19 | 51:18 | 26:22;27:5;41:17 | engaged (1) |
| difference (3) | docket (3) | early (1) | 24:9 |
| 15:17;64:7;67:7 | 68:20,25;69:1 | 45:6 | engages (1) |
| different (4) | document (2) | east (3) | $5: 13$ |
| 15:23;16:14;41:7,20 | 10:16;72:23 | 15:2;48:5;63:10 | Engineering (5) |
| differently (1) | documentation (1) | eastern (7) | 51:24;52:4,18,20;71:14 |
| 36:9 | 19:11 | $29: 14 ; 32: 17 ; 60: 22 ; 63: 9$ | engineers (1) |
| difficulties (1) | documented (4) | $65: 4,11 ; 66: 23$ | $65: 18$ |
| $48: 21$ | 22:12;52:9,11,11 | easy (1) | engines (1) |
| difficulty (1) | documents (1) | 36:14 | 26:24 |
| 52:3 | 32:24 | economic (4) | enough (3) |
| digest (1) | DOE (1) | 25:11;26:23;27:4;61:7 | 54:3;60:23;61:11 |
| 27:24 | 73:8 | economy (4) | entered (1) |
| digress (1) | done (5) | 25:10;28:25;30:22;43:21 | 48:23 |
| 54:1 | 10:20;36:4;54:18;60:18;66:6 | effect (3) | entire (9) |
| dike (1) | Dorothy (1) | 37:24,24;55:14 | 24:10,13,16,21;25:5;26:16; |
| 34:25 | 45:2 | effective (7) | 27:8,10;30:15 |
| diligently (1) | down (18) | 18:17,18;19:6,11;33:4,9;46:6 | entirely (1) |
| 37:2 | 21:3,6;23:17;31:24,25;36:4; | effects (1) | 68:9 |
| direct (5) | 43:11;44:2;51:3,15;58:1,12; | 38:1 | entity (1) |
| 25:9;30:11;47:10;58:6;59:1 | 63:19,20;65:9,11;66:25;69:10 | efforts (1) | 6:5 |
| directed (2) | dozen (1) | 37:15 | entrance (1) |
| 29:24;68:13 | 56:18 | EFSC (8) | 20:2 |
| direction (1) | DPO (4) | 7:10;8:19;9:2;13:20;22:7; | environment (1) |
| 26:22 | 6:16;7:11;10:12;11:7 | 31:15;44:12;51:6 | 25:10 |
| directly (6) | draft (17) | EFSC-appointed (1) | Environmental (3) |
| 14:23;25:7;28:4;42:9;47:15, | $6: 16 ; 9: 23 ; 10: 24 ; 11: 2 ; 13: 5,7$ | 6:21 | 12:12;13:1;36:17 |
| 19 | 18,22;14:11;15:12;16:24;19:3, | EFU (1) | equipment (1) |
| Director (1) | 21,23;22:11;28:6;45:2 | 27:10 | 42:11 |
| 24:12 | draw (1) | eight (2) | erased (1) |
| directors (1) | 65:5 | 41:7;68:24 | 55:15 |
| 35:24 | drawing (1) | either (6) | essentially (4) |
| dirt (1) | 65:2 | 17:7,8;18:2;32:9;54:5;56:18 | 9:7,11;16:20;45:22 |


| established (1) | experience (1) | feature (1) | 63:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32:7 | 56:10 | 5:1 | fish-raising (1) |
| establishing (1) | experienced (1) | federal (17) | 54:7 |
| 20:11 | 49:1 | 9:4,5,8,13;16:15,20,22;25:22, | five (2) |
| ESTERSON (1) | explain (2) | 24;33:5,10;39:24;40:2;64:22; | 10:2;68:23 |
| 20:4 | 8:9,16 | 70:1,2,22 | flat (2) |
| estimate (3) | express (1) | feedback (1) | 42:19;45:22 |
| 52:18,19,22 | 4:1 | 5:2 | flaw (1) |
| Ethics (1) | extend (1) | feel (1) | 31:16 |
| 32:3 | 66:15 | 59:8 | flaws (1) |
| evaluated (1) | extensive (1) | feelings (1) | 34:24 |
| 13:23 | 27:25 | 58:19 | flesh (1) |
| evaluation (1) | extra (1) | feet (8) | 72:14 |
| 14:6 | 61:6 | 28:2;40:14;43:14;58:22; | flown (1) |
| even (11) | extracted (1) | 65:19,24,24,25 | 48:24 |
| 9:1;24:7;28:2;29:4;35:4; | 56:11 | felt (2) | fly (1) |
| 36:5;58:15;65:17;67:4;68:5; | eyes (1) | 54:15;63:5 | 67:2 |
| 70:16 | 25:3 | fence (1) | focal (1) |
| evening (4) | eyesore (1) | 64:3 | 43:2 |
| 3:5;23:24;71:12,19 | 64:3 | few (2) | folks (14) |
| everybody (10) |  | 38:17;44:13 | $8: 1,16,24 ; 9: 18 ; 10: 1 ; 12: 16$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 21: 9 ; 59: 14,17 ; 62: 5 ; 64: 2,8 \\ & 65: 12,12,13 ; 73: 14 \end{aligned}$ | F | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { fiduciary (1) } \\ 35: 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 4 ; 15: 7,20 ; 16: 17 ; 17: 7 ; 24: 6 ; \\ & 27: 19 ; 39: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| everyone (3) | F\&W (1) | field (2) | follow (2) |
| 5:6;49:1;66:25 | 40:3 | 30:5;32:22 | 34:8;55:1 |
| everywhere (1) | Facebook (2) | fields (1) | following (5) |
| 63:20 | 64:6;67:15 | 37:22 | 4:11;22:20;38:9;44:17;47:4 |
| examples (2) | Facilities (2) | fighting (2) | food (2) |
| 18:16,17 | 3:7;54:7 | 44:11;68:9 | 36:19;65:4 |
| exceed (1) | Facility (9) | figures (1) | foot (2) |
| 44:1 | 5:4,25;7:8;8:4,4;9:12;15:13; | 43:10 | 51:17;52:8 |
| except (1) | 16:6;22:17 | file (1) | foothill (1) |
| 58:21 | fact (5) | 28:3 | 52:5 |
| excess (2) | 9:3;38:21;45:1;58:4;61:14 | fill (2) | foreseen (1) |
| 27:14;30:15 | facts (3) | 20:1,8 | 35:1 |
| Exchange (1) | 18:24;23:5,14 | filled (1) | forest (8) |
| 32:12 | failed (1) | 20:9 | 43:18,20,21,22;45:6,9,11,15 |
| excuse (1) | 31:19 | final (1) | forever (3) |
| 52:14 | fails (2) | 10:16 | 40:1;50:17,22 |
| executives (1) | 27:15;28:6 | financial (1) | Forget (1) |
| 69:16 | fairness (1) | 6:4 | 67:11 |
| Exhibit (2) | 34:20 | find (6) | form (5) |
| 15:11;51:10 | falls (1) | 4:14;31:19;41:10;45:19; | 20:1,8;24:23;41:9;53:5 |
| exist (2) | 47:18 | 46:21;47:20 | former (3) |
| 42:22;45:13 | falsify (1) | finding (1) | 35:19,20,21 |
| existed (1) | 33:1 | 64:17 | forms (2) |
| 50:5 | familiar (4) | fine (2) | 20:3,9 |
| existing (5) | 12:17;13:4;15:2,23 | 19:7;29:10 | for-profit (1) |
| 12:4,6,22;44:24;45:17 | family (1) | finishing (1) | 32:11 |
| expand (1) | 55:14 | 4:24 | Fort (1) |
| 13:4 | far (5) | fire (6) | 44:21 |
| expect (1) | 39:13;44:12;51:14;64:1; | 28:10;37:19;50:4,7,11;72:25 | forth (4) |
| 72:16 | 69:14 | fires (3) | 4:8;40:6;49:3;54:1 |
| expectation (2) | farm (6) | 37:11,14;50:5 | forum (1) |
| 27:18,23 | 39:7;45:5,8,10,15;60:11 | firm (1) | 34:20 |
| expected (1) | farmland (4) | 51:25 | forward (1) |
| 48:21 | 36:19;39:9;43:18;63:15 | First (11) | 29:23 |
| expelled (1) | fascinating (1) | 3:25;20:24;21:3;23:21; | fought (1) |
| 5:14 | 47:20 | 40:13;41:15;45:1;50:23;51:1; | 35:3 |
| expense (1) | favorite (2) | 60:6,8 | found (2) |
| 32:17 | 63:16,22 | fish (7) | 31:16;58:15 |
| expensive (3) | feasibility (1) | 34:4;54:4,5,13,21;55:22;56:4 | four (1) |
| 51:13;66:8;70:12 | 29:21 | fishing (1) | 47:16 |


| frameworks (1) | 2:17;35:11;38:9,11,11 | grow (1) | 36:25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72:9 | gist (1) | 61:10 | headed (1) |
| framing (1) | 31:22 | growing (3) | 37:5 |
| 11:22 | Given (4) | 43:3;44:3;45:20 | health (1) |
| Frankly (1) | 6:9;32:22;35:7;52:20 | growth (1) | 37:25 |
| 37:17 | gives (1) | 42:4 | hear (30) |
| free (1) | 18:16 | guarantee (2) | 7:14;17:19;20:25;21:19; |
| 44:9 | giving (1) | 40:17;65:7 | 23:22;31:1;35:10,10;38:9; |
| freeway (5) | 22:3 | guaranteed (2) | 44:17;46:2;47:4;49:17,17;53:2, |
| 27:6;43:14;58:1;61:15;63:20 | God-awful (1) | 30:19;34:12 | 2,9,16;57:8,10;59:18;62:16,17; |
| friend (1) | 64:25 | guarantees (1) | 64:11,13;68:11,12;69:25;71:3, |
| 65:16 | goes (3) | 65:8 | 10 |
| Friends (1) | 48:18;54:21;63:21 | guess (5) | heard (9) |
| 38:12 | Good (14) | 24:8;26:20;49:5;52:13;58:23 | 25:18;48:20;53:4,9;59:25; |
| front (5) | 3:5;5:20;14:22,24;23:24; | guesstimate (1) | 67:10;68:11,15,17 |
| 29:17;33:18,21;51:21;52:10 | 26:20;31:20;41:9;48:12;49:19; | 52:23 | Hearing (79) |
| frustration (1) | 51:25;58:19;66:19;71:12 | guidance (1) | 2:7;5:17,19,20;6:13,15,21, |
| 31:7 | goodies (1) | 8:7 | 24;10:2,7;19:16,17,22;20:5,19, |
| Fuji (7) | 36:12 | guide (1) | 21;21:13;22:9,10,23,25;23:10; |
| 2:14;62:17;67:21,21,25;68:2; | go-round (1) | 11:6 | 24:5;29:6,10;30:24;31:1,10,23; |
| 69:17 | 70:18 |  | 35:9,14,17;38:8;44:10,16;46:1, |
| full (2) | Gov (1) | H | 7,12,15,17,20;47:3,7;49:7,12, |
| 22:6;36:12 | 4:17 |  | 16,21;53:1,13,17,19;56:25; |
| functions (1) | government (5) | habitat (12) | 57:4,7,13,17;59:9,12,16,22; |
| 13:19 | 9:5;25:22;33:5,10;45:23 | 15:3;28:18;38:22;39:2,6,14; | 60:3;61:21,25;62:8,12,15,24; |
| funding (1) | Governor (5) | 42:6;43:1;54:13,21;55:11,13 | 63:3;64:10,14;67:20,23;68:1,4; |
| 26:18 | 32:6;34:21;37:14;44:25;45:6 | Hailey-Sun (1) | 71:6,10,21;73:5,15 |
| further (2) | Governor's (1) | 52:2 | Hearings (13) |
| 13:16;31:17 | 27:17 | half (2) | 6:21,22;7:1;9:24;10:10;11:2; |
| future (4) | Grail (7) | 69:21;72:21 | 17:9,17;18:3;22:11,14,15; |
| 11:10;28:20;30:21;69:19 | 2:5;3:9,10;5:22,23,24;31:11 | Halfway (2) | 34:23 |
|  | Grande (6) | 53:23,24 | hearts (1) |
| G | 38:12;63:16;65:21;66:1; | hand (2) | 30:4 |
|  | 67:22;70:18 | 5:15;19:15 | heaven (1) |
| Gail (5) | grazing (2) | handful (1) | 36:12 |
| 2:21;47:4,6;49:22,22 | 36:21;48:16 | 21:7 | helicopters (1) |
| game (1) | great (1) | handled (1) | 48:25 |
| 57:23 | 67:17 | 15:17 | Hello (1) |
| garage (1) | greater (2) | handshakes (1) | 44:20 |
| 39:1 | 15:3;28:17 | 33:15 | help (7) |
| gas (6) | greed (1) | hang (1) | 18:11;19:4;34:24;36:24; |
| 58:3,4;66:8,9,14,14 | 34:11 | 4:23 | 44:11;48:13;66:24 |
| gave (1) | green (1) | Hanley (4) | helpful (5) |
| 48:12 | 61:22 | 2:3;3:13;24:2;28:1 | 17:22;18:14,23;19:2,5 |
| geese (1) | Greene (3) | happen (6) | Hemingway (7) |
| 36:25 | $2: 7 ; 5: 16 ; 6: 19$ | 10:25;47:1;58:20;66:23; | $5: 17 ; 6: 2,10,18 ; 7: 7 ; 19: 20$ |
| general (2) | Greene-Webster (1) | $72: 20 ; 73: 7$ | $71: 16$ |
| 4:15;11:16 | 17:14 | happening (1) | here's (2) |
| generally (1) | grew (1) | 46:13 | 22:18;29:4 |
| 69:3 | 64:23 | happens (2) | Heritage (1) |
| generation (1) | grief (2) | 47:18;49:3 | 27:17 |
| 69:18 | 61:1,6 | happily (1) | heron (1) |
| gentlemen's (1) | grocery (1) | 57:22 | $36: 25$ |
| 33:11 | 65:22 | happy (3) | herself (1) |
| gently (1) | ground (6) | 66:3,3,4 | 31:11 |
| 21:23 | 48:17;51:18;52:9;55:5,6; | hard (6) | Hi (2) |
| get-go (2) | 60:11 | 7:21;11:14;37:1;51:4;53:15; | 38:11;63:4 |
| 24:10,17 | grounds (1) | 60:23 | high (1) |
| gets (4) | 27:11 | hate (1) | 47:14 |
| 40:3;51:3;66:4,4 | group (1) | 37:17 | high-level (1) |
| gigantic (1) | 38:13 | haul (1) | 11:16 |
| 65:14 | Grove (1) | 66:11 | highlight (1) |
| Gilbert (5) | 46:18 | hawks (1) | 15:16 |


| highly (3) | 57:10;58:11;59:19;60:10; | 20:14 | 12:16,19;27:3;29:13,18;33:3, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33:4,9;48:3 | 62:18;63:8,11,19;64:9,11;65:4; | Individuals (1) | 8,19,24;37:4;47:16,24;48:1,4,5, |
| high-value (2) | 66:7,24;68:18,21;69:7,16;70:4, | 29:15 | 8;51:22;52:10;60:19,21 |
| 27:11;39:9 | 16,24;71:13,14,15;72:2 | industry (4) | interrupt (2) |
| high-voltage (2) | idea (6) | 26:25;27:9;28:24;30:1 | 4:20;47:25 |
| 32:7;48:11 | 31:20;35:4,5;48:13;52:22; | inform (1) | interrupted (1) |
| Highway (1) | 58:7 | 66:21 | 48:25 |
| 58:1 | ideally (1) | information (11) | interruption (2) |
| hill (2) | 21:11 | 4:15;9:14,21;10:15,17;16:7; | 4:9;64:25 |
| 47:24;61:19 | identified (2) | 17:10,12;18:22;41:10;44:19 | interruptions (2) |
| hills (4) | 13:9,21 | informational (1) | 4:5;21:15 |
| 47:22;51:16;52:12;70:7 | identify (1) | 9:19 | interrupts (1) |
| Historic (5) | 19:4 | informed (2) | 48:16 |
| 12:15;14:3,19;27:3;29:13 | ignorance (2) | 9:11;67:15 | Interstate (2) |
| historical (2) | 48:23;49:1 | infrastructure (1) | 58:2;70:8 |
| 33:21,22 | ignored (1) | 51:18 | into (5) |
| history (3) | 32:9 | initial (1) | 11:10;53:20;68:15;69:13; |
| 8:18;37:6;54:2 | II (2) | 24:7 | 70:16 |
| hits (1) | 2:3;32:21 | initiated (1) | introduce (1) |
| 65:17 | iii (1) | 25:15 | 38:17 |
| Hmm (1) | 32:25 | in-person (2) | introduction (1) |
| 44:4 | illustration (1) | 20:23;21:8 | 15:12 |
| holding (1) | 51:23 | input (1) | invasive (8) |
| 10:1 | impact (12) | 36:7 | 28:5;41:11,13,13,18,22;72:2, |
| Holdings (1) | 14:23;25:11;28:11;29:25; | insisted (1) | $3$ |
| 69:23 | 30:12;40:5,6;44:4;47:15;48:22; | 69:11 | investigation (1) |
| Holly (2) | 55:7;60:19 | insolent (1) | 31:17 |
| 24:12;31:13 | impacted (3) | 5:10 | investment (3) |
| home (3) | 27:5,9;54:24 | inspections (1) | 35:25;36:1,3 |
| 51:2;64:5;67:6 | impacting (3) | 28:7 | involvement (1) |
| homes (1) | 25:25;30:15;53:25 | inspired (1) | 6:9 |
| 38:25 | impacts (13) | 37:16 | IPC's (2) |
| honored (1) | 12:7,10;30:2;36:17,18;39:6; | inspiring (1) | 32:14;33:1 |
| 33:16 | 40:4;42:3;43:25;44:1;48:15; | 48:3 | Irene (4) |
| hope (3) | 55:4;68:14 | instance (4) | 2:17;35:11;38:11,11 |
| 44:10;51:20;52:17 | impartiality (1) | 13:20;15:1;16:24;43:19 | IRP (4) |
| hopefully (2) | . 35:7 | insulting (1) | 68:25;69:1,11,20 |
| 21:24;26:8 | important (7) | 5:9 | irrigated (2) |
| horizon (1) | 14:9;22:18;27:13;45:10; | insuring (1) | 15:8;52:5 |
| 28:14 | 60:11,21,23 | 51:1 | irrigation (1) |
| hot (2) | inaccurate (1) | Integrated (3) | 63:14 |
| 66:4,4 | 28:5 | 68:21;69:13,22 | isolated (1) |
| house (4) | include (1) | intend (1) | 28:18 |
| 37:4,14;58:21;65:4 | 72:23 | 5:25 | isolation (1) |
| Howe (3) | included (1) | intended (1) | 57:21 |
| 2:4;3:11,12 | 38:4 | 12:10 | issue (15) |
| humming (1) | including (3) | intends (2) | 7:20;18:12,13;19:4,10;22:21, |
| 65:2 | 15:8;23:5;46:22 | 22:21;23:1 | . 22;23:1,3,6,7,8,13,15;27:16 |
| hundred (3) | incorporated (1) | intent (2) | issued (2) |
| $50: 19 ; 65: 25 ; 70: 5$ | 11:8 | 39:15;72:11 | 9:9;16:17 |
| husband (1) | increase (2) | interest (3) | issues (7) |
| 48:17 | . 43:5;50:7 | 6:4;29:2;32:15 | 18:7;19:12;32:2;45:12; |
| I | incredulous (1) 27:21 | $\begin{gathered} \text { interesting (1) } \\ 47: 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68:17;72:1,25 } \\ & \text { issuing (2) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $7: 1$ | interests (1) | 9:22;10:14 |
| Idaho (70) |  | $32: 14$interference (1) | items (5) |
| 2:15;8:21;9:15;14:21,23; | Indians (1)$42: 9$inde |  | 12:1;14:10;17:15;56:5,6 |
| 24:8,15;25:15;30:4;31:7,8; |  | 4:8 |  |
| $32: 8,11,15,18,23 ; 33: 17 ; 34: 1 \text {, }$ | indicate (3) | Internet (2) | J |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12,22,24,25 ; 35: 22 ; 36: 2 ; 40: 8 \\ & 11 ; 41: 14,24 ; 45: 12,16,20,23 \end{aligned}$ | indicated (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 56:8,11 } \\ \text { interpreted (1) } \end{gathered}$ | Jackpot (1) |
| 46:22,22;50:4,13,15;51:12,23; | 21:14 | 39:16 | 69:23 |
| 52:1;54:4,12;55:9,17,21;56:19; | individually (1) | Interpretive (20) | Jenkins (6) |

2:3;3:13,14;24:2;71:24;73:4
jeopardy (1) 48:17
jewel (1) 29:14
JoAnn (4) 2:18;38:9;44:20,20
job (2) 36:14;41:9
join (1) 73:12
joins (1) 20:22
judge (1) 6:20
July (7) 9:16;11:12;18:4;19:23; 22:24;23:11;57:1
jump (1) 29:3
jumping (1) 36:3
June (3) 3:2,6;54:19
jurisdiction (2) 19:12;23:9
justice (1) 27:16
$\mathbf{K}$

Kaaen (14) 2:22;49:18,19;53:2,22,23; 55:19,24;56:3,8,13,17,23;57:2
Karen (6)
2:16;31:2;35:12,16,18,19
Kate (1) 37:14
keep (8)
14:24;16:2;21:12;41:22; 69:8,24;71:2,2
keeping (1) 24:24
keeps (1) 69:8
Kellen (5) 2:10;7:5,16;27:1;29:12
Kent (2) 2:4;3:11
Kerns (1) 24:12
Key (2) 11:20;31:18
kids (2) 67:7,7
killed (1) 37:12
kind (17) 9:7;10:21;11:14,22,23;13:3; 14:22;17:16,17;18:14,16;25:2; 38:17;41:5;43:23;44:5;61:11
knew (1) 45:1

| knowing (3) $37: 18 ; 45: 10 ; 65: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:20;46:2;47:9,9;49:9,14 } \\ & \text { law (7) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 11 ; 40: 10,22,25 ; 41: 19,21 \\ & 43: 2,4,8 ; 47: 19,19,22 ; 48: 11,12, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| known (1) | 6:20;41:11;42:11;54:9,15,25; | 14;50:7,16;51:12,16,21;52:2,7; |
| 63:6 | 55:3 | 55:3,15;58:3,5;68:9,10,10,14; |
| knows (1) | laws (3) | 69:6;70:6,6,23;72:14 |
| 65:16 | 54:13;55:9;56:11 | lines (12) |
| Kreider (6) | Lawson (1) | 4:21;8:18;12:6,7;37:18,23; |
| 2:14;62:17;67:21,21,25;68:2 | 45:2 | 38:1,2;48:19;50:18;58:4;61:14 |
| K-r-e-i-d-e-r (1) | lawyers (1) | list (1) |
| 67:25 | 56:18 | 73:2 |
|  | lead (1) | listened (1) |
| L | 7:7 | 70:19 |
|  | leader (2) | listening (2) |
| La (5) | 71:14,15 | 49:14;71:9 |
| 63:16;65:21;66:1;67:22; | leaking (1) | listing (1) |
| 70:18 | 34:25 | 28:22 |
| Lack (2) | learn (2) | literature (1) |
| 28:9;39:4 | 37:5,11 | 50:3 |
| Ladd (1) | least (3) | little (10) |
| 55:1 | 30:12;43:7;58:5 | 7:20;13:4;29:2;40:12;42:21; |
| ladder (1) | least-cost (1) | 47:23;48:13;61:10;63:5;72:5 |
| 54:5 | 70:24 | live (7) |
| ladders (1) | leave (4) | 31:5;34:9;44:21;46:5;49:23; |
| 34:4 | 36:11,11;47:23;49:7 | 57:12;62:3 |
| Lake (1) | leaves (1) | lived (1) |
| 63:21 | 17:2 | 57:22 |
| Land (29) | leaving (1) | living (1) |
| 9:6;12:11;16:16;24:24;25:8; | 59:3 | 61:15 |
| 27:6;30:13,18;37:8;38:24; | led (2) | lobbying (1) |
| 39:23;43:1,9,10,18,20,21,22; | 9:5;45:5 | 55:20 |
| 45:7,9,11,15;47:10;48:23; | legalese (1) | local (5) |
| 50:23;52:5;61:3;63:13;65:4 | 31:21 | 33:4,6,9,11;34:9 |
| landowner (1) | legislated (1) | located (3) |
| 42:14 | 50:10 | 7:3;9:13;20:1 |
| landowners (1) | less (5) | locating (1) |
| 43:13 | 18:17;19:6,11;25:21;46:5 | 11:24 |
| lands (10) | letter (2) | location (3) |
| 9:13;15:4;16:20,22;25:21,24, | 50:12;51:9 | 4:15;10:8;11:24 |
| 25;26:1;27:10;45:6 | letters (2) | locations (1) |
| landscape (2) | 51:14;52:15 | 10:9 |
| 12:7;30:13 | letting (1) | long (5) |
| Lane (2) | 8:2 | 27:6;28:2;42:17;54:3;66:11 |
| 57:12,15 | Level (3) | longer (2) |
| language (2) | 52:19,21;65:24 | 29:3;70:24 |
| 17:19;31:22 | license (1) | long-term (2) |
| lapsed (1) | 52:23 | 28:10;37:24 |
| 40:19 | life (7) | look (9) |
| large (1) | 28:7;30:16,16;37:10;44:1,3; | 27:11;44:6;55:11;61:14,18; |
| 34:1 | 59:4 | 66:2,19,19;73:2 |
| largest (1) | lifelong (2) | looked (1) |
| 28:13 | 57:20;58:24 | 15:22 |
| last (13) | light (1) | looking (5) |
| 13:3;17:18;21:3;37:16; | 7:21 | 9:25;10:13;11:10;48:5;51:5 |
| 45:21;48:18;51:8;52:16;56:9; | lights (1) | looks (1) |
| 61:2;62:18;67:24;71:7 | 7:19 | 48:6 |
| Lastly (1) | limited (1) | lose (1) |
| 14:2 | 38:21 | 66:18 |
| later (8) | line (68) | loss (1) |
| 10:25;11:3;18:1;21:25; | 6:2,10,18;7:7,9;9:12;10:3; | 42:4 |
| 26:18;48:14;69:20,21 | 11:18,23,25;12:3,19,22;13:12, | losses (1) |
| laughing (1) | 13;15:5;19:20;20:13;24:18,20; | 44:13 |
| 28:1 | 25:7,21,23;26:1,6;28:5,14; | lot (15) |
| Laurie (6) | 29:16,22;30:7;32:7,20;38:21; | 15:8;17:19;40:15;41:1;61:5, |


| 8;63:14,15,23,24;66:5,18;69:3; | 39:24 | 24:8;30:19;72:17 | modifications (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72:8;73:1 | Marvin (1) | metal (1) | 3:22,24 |
| lots (3) | 67:22 | 39:12 | modifies (2) |
| 8:10;66:9,12 | material (2) | Meyer (11) | 14:12,16 |
| love (1) | 39:21;54:10 | 44:18,18;46:2, 4, 4, 7, 10, 14, 16, | moment (1) |
| 45:4 | materials (3) | 18,21 | 8:9 |
| low-impact (1) | 18:25;19:1,9 | Meyers (1) | momentarily (1) |
| 32:8 | matter (2) | 2:19 | 54:1 |
|  | 45:1;58:4 | Michael (1) | Money (5) |
| M | matters (2) | 44:18 | 34:11;35:23;45:18;48:13; |
|  | 6:11;17:24 | microphone (2) | 61:5 |
| Ma'am (1) | may (15) | 53:21;59:21 | monitoring (1) |
| 59:22 | 4:4,5;5:14;7:21;8:9;10:8; | microsite (1) | 41:15 |
| mad (1) | 14:5;21:14;22:21;23:2;28:20; | 40:14 | monster (1) |
| 40:12 | 30:7;37:5;58:20;71:20 | micrositing (3) | 65:14 |
| magnetic (1) | maybe (2) | 30:8;40:13,15 | month (2) |
| 37:22 | 58:12;70:17 | middle (3) | 68:22,24 |
| mailing (4) | mayor (1) | 58:9,10,23 | months (1) |
| 46:14,15,16;47:11 | 35:19 | might (1) | 9:18 |
| maiming (1) | McCall (3) | 70:7 | Morals (1) |
| 47:7 | 32:6;44:25;45:1 | Mike (8) | 34:10 |
| mainly (1) | McCall's (1) | 2:19;46:4,4,10,14,16,18,21 | more (27) |
| 41:3 | 45:2 | mile (1) | 4:20;21:10;28:11;29:5,6,9; |
| maintenance (1) | mean (7) | 64:21 | 36:5,10;38:2;42:23;44:12;46:8; |
| 48:18 | 11:23;18:10;49:2;56:15; | miles (13) | 50:21;51:5,5,7;54:11;59:18; |
| makes (1) | 58:9,22;70:8 | 11:18;15:14;24:19,19;46:23; | 63:8,11;67:3,16;68:15;69:9,9; |
| 64:7 | meaning (1) | 51:15,17,24;52:4;55:12;59:3; | 71:3;72:13 |
| making (6) | 12:9 | 65:10;70:5 | Morgan (1) |
| 19:13;39:18;40:23;42:1; | means (6) | milestones (2) | 63:21 |
| 60:6,25 | 12:5;16:4;18:11;40:8;43:4; | 8:19,21 | morning (2) |
| Malheur (1) | 50:17 | milk (1) | 47:18;65:13 |
| 63:10 | meet (4) | 37:22 | most (4) |
| mall (1) | 16:10,11;30:21;70:18 | million (5) | 8:24;54:12,18;67:5 |
| 70:8 | meeting (16) | 33:23;34:12;51:19;52:6,14 | mother (1) |
| managed (2) | 3:6;4:2,20;5:4,11,14,15; | mind (3) | 45:2 |
| 12:18;54:14 | 10:25;11:11;24:5,13;58:16; | 14:25;16:2;65:1 | mountain (2) |
| Management (5) | 63:6;67:6;68:22;69:1 | mine (1) | 67:1,3 |
| 9:6;12:11;13:2;16:16;72:3 | meetings (7) | 57:20 | mountains (1) |
| manager (1) | 9:19;11:1;26:13;63:7;67:17; | minimal (1) | 63:17 |
| 35:20 | 68:23,24 | 25:12 | move (1) |
| managing (1) | meets (1) | minimize (1) | 40:21 |
| 30:13 | 30:11 | 12:10 | moved (1) |
| manner (2) | Member (5) | minor (2) | 57:19 |
| 5:5;33:14 | 5:21;22:3;38:13;44:22;68:8 | 4:4,4 | movement (1) |
| manufacturing (2) | members (6) | minute (1) | 30:8 |
| 38:25;61:9 | 8:13;10:4;11:6;24:3;71:20; | 44:9 | moving (3) |
| many (12) | 73:8 | minutes (6) | 21:13;40:10;68:10 |
| 28:3;36:11;45:16;63:22; | Menlascina (1) | 21:10,12;29:9;51:8;52:16; | much (15) |
| 64:18,19,20,21;65:15,19,25; | 2:25 | 59:13 | 7:15;19:15;21:22;30:25; |
| 66:11 | MENOLASCINA (12) | missed (1) | 45:18,25;48:18;52:8,25;56:24; |
| map (3) | 62:11,13,14,14,15,16,21,22; | 24:13 | 58:25;63:12;69:3;70:5,7 |
| 11:15,15,16 | 63:1,4;64:12,15 | missing (1) | multiple (2) |
| Marcy (3) | M-e-n-o-l-a-s-c-i-n-a (1) | 31:17 | 42:16;58:3 |
| 2:5;3:9;31:11 | 62:23 | mitigate (4) | museum (1) |
| Mark (15) | mention (1) | 37:8;39:22;44:7;60:19 | 48:2 |
| 2:14,15;23:21,24,25;26:6; | 25:1 | mitigation (19) | must (6) |
| 29:8,11;30:25;31:12;71:12,13, | mentioned (5) | $24: 23 ; 28: 25 ; 29: 18 ; 30: 1,21$ | 18:7;20:1;22:22;23:2,8,14 |
| 25;72:1,6 | 24:4;25:9;26:22;27:5;68:18 | 36:23,24;37:7;38:19,23;39:5,5, | mute (1) |
| market (1) | mentioning (1) | 6,9,18,20,24;60:9,20 | 4:22 |
| 45:20 | 28:11 | modeling (1) | myself (5) |
| Marlette (5) | messages (1) | 70:20 | 6:7,11;24:12;38:12;71:19 |
| 2:18;38:10;44:17,20,21 | 4:13 | modification (1) |  |
| marsh (1) | met (3) | 4:5 |  |


|  | nine (1) | 28:9;30:9 | opened (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 41:7 | October (1) | 33:3,8;69:2 |
|  | nitrogen (1) | 9:18 | opens (1) |
| name (14) | 56:2 | ODOE (2) | 69:2 |
| 7:15;21:3;23:18;31:4;35:14, | nobody (1) | 29:24;41:8 | operate (1) |
| 18;46:4;47:9;49:22;53:23; | 65:8 | off (14) | 17:21 |
| $57: 11,13 ; 67: 24 ; 71: 12$ | noise (3) | $3: 25 ; 7: 19,24 ; 31: 11 ; 36: 12$ | operation (2) |
| names (2) | 38:15;53:12;58:22 | 37:9;40:13;42:12;52:5;56:11; | 50:6,25 |
| 47:8;49:20 | noisy (2) | 59:21;65:5;67:13;70:10 | Opponents (1) |
| National (4) | 53:11;64:25 | offensive (1) | $32: 22$ |
| 12:15;27:2;29:12;33:23 | none (3) | 5:9 | opportunities (3) |
| natural (4) | 20:21;57:7;71:10 | offered (3) | 7:10;11:20;12:2 |
| 66:8,9,14,14 | non-partial (1) | 29:1,18;3 | opportunity (12) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { nature (2) } \\ & 55: 20 ; 56: 1 \end{aligned}$ | nonprofit (2) | $6: 20,25 ; 53: 24$ | $22: 8 ; 23: 5,13 ; 25: 7 ; 59: 23,25 ;$ |
| near (3) | 33:5,10 | Officer (61) | 62:19 |
| 20:1;30:3;35:3 | nor (3) | 2:7;5:17,18,20;6:13,22,23; | opposition (1) |
| nearby (1) | 31:22;33:13;36: <br> Northeast (1) | 17:14;19:16;20:5,19;29:6,10; <br> 30:24;31:1,23;35:9,14,17;38:8; | $\begin{gathered} \text { 19:8 } \\ \text { option (2) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $12: 20$ nearly (1) | 49:23 | $44: 16 ; 46: 1,7,12,15,17,20 ; 47: 3,$ | 69:6,7 |
| 27:10 | northwestern-most (1) | 7;49:7,12,16,21;53:1,13,17,19; | options (2) |
| Near's (1) | 28:16 | 56:25;57:4,13,17;59:9,12,16, | 69:7,9 |
| 55:24 | note (3) | 22;60:3;61:21,25;62:8,12,15, | OPUC (1) |
| necessary (2) | 14:18;22:20;27:13 | 24;63:3;64:10,14;67:20,23; | 68:20 |
| 36:5,6 | notes (2) | 68:1;71:6,21;73:5 | oral (8) |
| need (24) | 13:17;14:8 | official (1) | 11:1;17:8;18:2,16,19;19:19, |
| 4:21;18:1,2;21:10;22:18; | notice (5) | 26:11 | 25;22:16 |
| 29:6,8;38:2,19;45:19;46:8,10; | 10:11;17:11;22:25;46:13; | off-ramps (1) | order (20) |
| 61:3,8,22;66:20,21,23,23; 67:17,22;70:16,18;73:2 | notices (1) | oil (1) | $24 ; 11: 3 ; 13: 6,7,18,22 ; 14: 11$ |
| needed (1) | 4:13 | 38:2 | 15:12;16:25;19:3,22,23;22:11; |
| 40:25 | nowhere (1) | old (3) | 28:6 |
| needs (9) | 41:21 | 30:15;36:9;51:3 | Oregon (62) |
| 30:12,21;34:15,19;63:8; | noxious (2) | older (1) | 2:10;3:3;5:12;6:20;7:2,4,5, |
| 65:12;66:5,22;72:18 | 43:3;72:8 | 27:15 | 17;12:10,16;13:17;14:9,18,23; |
| negative (1) | number (3) | old-growth (1) | 26:15;27:3,18;29:13,14,16; |
| 42:3 | 26:2;62:5;69:25 | 55:13 | 31:15,16;32:17,23;33:19; |
| neighbor (1) | numbers (1) | omitted (1) | 36:22;37:3;38:3;41:15;44:22, |
| 10:5 | 33:1 | 31:20 | 23;45:5,12;46:19;47:12;49:23; |
| NEPA (7) | numeral (3) | once (8) | 50:19;51:10;52:2;53:24;54:9, |
| 9:4;15:21;16:16;25:19; | $32: 13,21,25$ | $24: 3 ; 28: 25 ; 30: 12,14 ; 34: 5 ;$ $39 \cdot 1 \cdot 41 \cdot 17 \cdot 54 \cdot 19$ | 12,14,15,25;55:3,8,9,16,17,22, |
| 26:18;38:5;72:10 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { numerous (1) } \\ 36: 23 \end{array}$ | 39:1;41:17;54:19 <br> once-a-year (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 23 ; 56: 11 ; 57: 12 ; 60: 17,22 ; 62: 4 \\ & \text { 63:2,10;65:8;66:23;70:2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Nevada (1) |  | once-a-year (1) $41: 21$ | 63:2,10;65:8;66:23;70:2 <br> Oregon-California (1) |
| 50:10 New (4) | 0 | one (24) | $49: 24$ |
| 32:12,24;36:6;51:2 |  | 4:7;9:1;10:7,10;12:14;17:3, | organization (1) |
| news (1) | OAR (2) | 24;20:8;24:13;26:5;30:3;41:5; | 68:8 |
| 38:4 | 22:19;32:1 | 42:14;46:5;51:24;55:18;58:5; | organizations (2) |
| next (6) | OARs (1) | 59:18;60:8;63:21;65:15,22; | 33:6,10 |
| 12:6;20:15;21:25;49:16; | 31:18 | 70:22;72:1 | organized (1) |
| 55:6;69:20 | obey (1) | ones (3) | 13:11 |
| NHOTIC (8) | 55:9 | 20:4,6;49:3 | original (1) |
| 12:15;13:20;14:16;15:2,2; | objections (1) | only (8) | 31:19 |
| 27:2;29:12,17 | 24:16 | 19:8;27:24;28:4;39:10; | others (1) |
| nice (3) | obligation (1) | 41:24;55:12;69:6,7 | 63:23 |
| 13:10;31:9;58:23 | 34:5 | onset (1) | out (33) |
| Nickels (7) | obviously (3) | 24:7 | 8:18;9:1;20:1,9,9;24:5;26:8; |
| 2:24;59:19;60:3,5;61:23; | 20:23;25:23;53:25 | Ontario (1) | 27:11;29:12;31:6;34:10,24; |
| 62:3,9 | occupied (1) | 63:11 | 39:2;40:17;41:16;42:8,19; |
| Night (3) | 10:5 | OPC (1) | 43:17;53:6;56:9;58:15;61:1,6; |
| 4:6;8:3;13:3 | occur (1) | 69:2 | 64:2,17;65:2;66:2;67:12;69:14, |
| NIMBY (1) | 30:11 | open (1) | 19;70:5;72:14,19 |
| 68:8 | occurred (2) | $66: 12$ | outcome (1) |


| 26:4 | 28:9;37:17 | permanently (1) | plans (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| outdated (1) | paraphrasing (1) | 39:23 | 72:8,15,17,24;73:1 |
| 46:24 | 24:25 | permission (1) | plant (1) |
| outlines (2) | parcel (1) | 48:23 | 66:8 |
| 10:17;13:8 | 12:25 | permit (1) | playing (1) |
| outset (2) | parcels (1) | 47:1 | 32:21 |
| 21:14;62:1 | 12:24 | permitting (1) | please (17) |
| outside (1) | pardon (1) | 9:4 | 3:8;4:19,22,23;5:1,3;20:10; |
| 19:12 | 54:5 | perpetuity (1) | 21:3;22:1,20;23:18;31:8;44:19; |
| outweigh (1) | part (6) | 50:17 | 53:5,12;57:1;62:25 |
| 25:11 | 16:16;18:5;22:16;37:16; | person (13) | plug (1) |
| over (24) | 54:8;72:10 | 5:13;20:12,13,15,18;22:3,21, | 34:25 |
| 4:13;5:15;8:4,6;11:7;18:15; | participants (1) | 23;23:1,9,14,21;64:16 | pm (5) |
| $19: 15 ; 20: 7,12 ; 29: 15,22 ; 37: 13$ | $20: 25$ | personal (3) | $3: 2 ; 4: 5 ; 19: 24 ; 23: 17 ; 73: 15$ |
| 42:8;43:20,23;48:24;52:10; | participate (2) | 54:23;56:10;59:8 | PO (2) |
| 54:18,18;63:16,17,18;65:3; | 7:10;17:25 | personally (2) | 47:11;63:1 |
| 67:2 | participated (2) | 31:8;61:14 | POD (1) |
| overhead (1) | 24:6;25:14 | person's (2) | 72:22 |
| 65:2 | participating (3) | 23:6,15 | point (17) |
| overview (1) | 4:19,21;15:21 | PG\&E (1) | 4:10;9:1,8;19:2;21:7;24:9,22, |
| 7:8 | particular (1) | 37:13 | 23;25:20;30:9,20;43:2;53:7; |
| Owen (10) | 48:10 | phase (1) | 64:2;72:9,12,16 |
| 2:23;53:3,6;57:5,8,11,11,15, | partner (1) | 7:11 | pointed (1) |
| 18;59:10 | 34:22 | Philadelphia (1) | 29:12 |
| Owens (1) | partners' (1) | 56:18 | points (2) |
| 26:5 | 34:13 | Phoenix (1) | 11:19;17:24 |
| owls (1) | partnership (2) | 65:7 | politicians (2) |
| 36:24 | 33:4,9 | phone (9) | 54:16,20 |
|  | parts (1) | 4:21,22,22;20:17,20;21:1; | politics (1) |
| 43:21,22;45:23;54:16,20; | 45:15 | 57:6;62:5;71:9 | 67:11 |
| 56:9 | pass (1) | phones (1) | pollinators (1) |
| owned (1) | 18:15 | 4:19 | 36:20 |
| 6:8 | passage (3) | photograph (1) | pollution (1) |
| owner (1) | 54:5,21;56:4 | 55:11 | 56:5 |
| 41:12 | pasture (1) | photos (1) | pops (1) |
| ownership (1) | 66:2 | 49:8 | $70: 10$ |
| $25: 22$ | Patrick (1) | picked (1) | population (2) |
| Oxman (2) | $2: 8$ | $34: 21$ | $28: 17 ; 32: 18$ |
| $57: 12,15$ | paused (1) | picture (2) | populations (1) |
| P | $9: 7$ peace (1) | 29:17; $47: 22$ pictures (4) | 28:19 portfolio (4) |
|  | 65:1 | 47:14,16,23;51:15 | 70:14,21,21,24 |
| PA-1 (1) | Pendleton (1) | pipeline (1) | portfolios (2) |
| 13:6 | 63:16 | 66:14 | 70:15,20 |
| Pacific (4) | people (31) | pitched (1) | portion (2) |
| 6:2,4,8,9 | 21:8,15;31:9;32:5;34:20; | 64:16 | 15:12;56:9 |
| PacifiCorp (2) | 36:11;37:12;40:11,24;41:10; | place (6) | position (5) |
| $6: 4 ; 34: 22$ | 48:2,22;50:3,15;51:10,20; | 34:7;39:8;44:24;55:12,12; | 23:6,15;24:17;33:2;60:8 |
| pack (1) | 52:12;53:7,14;60:9,11;63:5,19, | 59:4 | positioning (1) |
| 28:21 | 23,24,24;64:5;66:21;67:16; | placed (4) | 11:24 |
| page (2) | 70:1;73:8 | 30:20;40:25;48:11;64:4 | positions (1) |
| $10: 11 ; 13: 6$ | percent (11) | placement (3) | $5: 6$ |
| pages (2) | 24:20;25:5,20,21,25;27:6,8, | 27:10;28:14;30:8 | possible (2) |
| 19:3;27:20 | 14;43:9,9;65:6 | places (4) | 16:24;17:22 |
| paid (1) | perform (1) | 10:11;37:19;63:16,22 | Post (1) |
| 40:3 | 26:14 | plan (14) | 53:24 |
| panel (1) | perhaps (2) | 13:2;24:25;25:9;30:18; | potential (1) |
| 29:24 | 7:23;28:13 | 34:24;50:12;61:3;69:13,22; | 50:8 |
| pants (1) | period (6) | 72:4,9,19,22;73:3 | Powder (1) |
| 52:22 | 19:23;23:10;29:22;40:18; | planned (1) | 12:24 |
| paper (2) | $43: 23,24$ | $35: 1$ | Power (82) |
| 54:19;63:24 | permanent (3) | Planning (4) | $2: 15 ; 6: 3,4,8 ; 8: 21 ; 9: 15 ; 14: 21$ |
| Paradise (2) | 39:12;40:7,8 | 24:12;42:19;68:2,22 | 23;24:8,15;25:16;30:5;31:7,8; |

32:7,8,11,15,18,24;33:17;34:1, 12,25;35:1,22;36:2;39:25;40:8, 11;41:14,24;45:16;46:22;50:4, 13,16,16,18;51:12,12,16,23,24; 52:1,2,4,18;54:4,12;55:3,9,21; 56:19;57:10,25;58:5,7,11;59:3, 19;60:10;61:6,7,8,11;62:18; 63:8,9;64:9,11;65:2,6,6,12; 68:18,21;69:7,16;70:4;71:15; 72:2
powerful (1)
34:1
Power's (6)
6:9;34:22;45:21,23;70:16,24
practically (1) 43:15
preempt (1) 31:6
prepare (1) 50:11
prepared (1) 20:16
presence (2) 24:23;30:20
Present (3) 3:16;19:19;23:14
presentation (4) 7:21;8:6;19:14;33:22
presentations (1) 22:15
preserve (1) 45:5
preserving (2) 45:8,10
president (1) 35:21
presiding (4) 5:18;6:19,23;17:14
pretty (2) 66:10;72:23
prevention (3) 50:4,12;72:25
prevents (1) 10:6
previous (2) 63:7;65:19
primarily (1) 26:24
primary (2) 16:5;28:16
principal (1) 26:23
prior (2) 23:9;24:7
private (7) 25:21,25;26:1;27:6;43:6,9,12
probably (7) 21:16;29:8;30:3;31:9;52:13; 57:8;63:22
problems (1) 34:25
procedural (3) 8:17;10:17;17:16

```
procedures (1)
    5:8
proceed (1)
    17:17
proceeding (1)
    23:8
process (30)
```

        7:11;8:11,20,20;9:2,3,5,7,8;
    10:17;15:18,21;16:3,3,15;18:1;
    24:13,14,14,16;25:15,16,17,19;
    26:19;34:15,19;35:7;38:5;
    72:10
    producers (2)
27:14;30:4
production (1)
37:22
profit (2)
32:16;34:13
profit-making (1)
35:22
project (23)
4:14;5:17;6:12;8:25;10:14,
15,20;17:4;19:8;24:6,10,21;
25:3,5;27:5,8,21;28:8;36:17;
44:4;71:14,15,16
projects (2)
6:8:34:17
promised (3)
58:20;60:10,15
promises (4)
34:8;54:4;67:11,12
property (9)
37:9;41:12;43:6;47:15;
48:10,15,24;53:24;54:23
proposal (2)
14:21;35:3
proposed (40)
6:1,9,16;7:8;8:4;9:12,23;
10:3,24;11:2,17,17;12:3,19,20,
21;13:6,7,11,18,22;14:11;
15:12,14,19,19,24;16:9,25;
17:1;19:3,21,23;22:11,17;
28:14;32:9;34:24;36:8;47:20
proposes (2)
16:4,6
proposing (2)
14:23;33:17
prospect (1)
52:22
protect (4)
35:25;37:2,3;69:5
Protected (6)
13:7,8,21,21;39:23;40:1
protecting (1)
36:16
protection (5)
37:13,15;40:8,9;73:1
protections (1)
14:6
provide (28)
7:8;8:7;10:8;17:7,12;18:22,
25;19:18,25;20:8,24;21:3,10;
22:6;23:18;28:6;30:1;34:4;

35:25;39:9,23;44:19;46:13;
50:17;51:4;53:5;62:24;73:10
provided (5)
20:10;39:7;47:14;50:4;62:1
providing (2) 19:9,9
public (30)
5:18;6:15,22;7:5,10;8:7,13; 10:1,4,23;11:1,6,8;16:2;19:17 18,22;20:20;22:8,10,14,25; 23:16;32:15;35:6;37:25;42:13; 50:23;68:12;73:11
PUC (2)
68:25;69:5
PUCs (1)
71:2
purpose (1)
19:17
purposes (1) 51:23
Pursuant (2) 5:12;22:19
push (1) 67:16
pushing (1)
64:6
put (24) 4:19;24:15;29:23;41:9; 42:15;46:25;51:17,21;54:1; 55:4;58:8;61:4,18;64:1,4,18; 65:15;66:15,16;67:2;69:11,12, 12;72:15
puts (3) 57:3;65:16,16
putting (4) 9:22;11:24;12:6;66:7
pylons (1) 33:18

| $\mathbf{Q}$ |
| :--- |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| qualify (1) | recall (1) |
| $14: 6$ | $26: 4$ |

quickly (1) 29:3
quieter (1) 53:10
quite (2)
8:17;57:2
quorum (1) 3:20

|  |
| :--- |
| radical (1) |
| $39: 19$ |
| radically (1) |
| $68: 19$ |
| railroad (1) |
| $58: 2$ |
| railroaded (1) |
| $63: 5$ |
| raise (6) |

22:21,22;23:1,2,7,13
raised (6)
18:7;23:9,11;36:9;62:20;
72:1
raising (1)
19:11
ran (1)
49:5
Ranch (11)
12:24;45:2,4;57:12,15,20,23;
58:9,10,13,24
rancher (1) 67:9
rape (1)
46:23
ratepayers (1) 69:5
rather (1) 52:15
ratio (1) 52:21
reach (1) 8:21
reached (1) 8:19
read (3) 15:15;33:6;50:3
reading (1) 39:21
real (3) 31:9;32:1;41:8
reality (1) 52:8
really (17) 24:9;26:4,10,10,14;30:16; 39:4,19;40:8;53:11,15;57:3; 58:19;61:5,17;63:12;66:20
reams (1) 54:10
reason (3) 9:23;59:6;60:16
recall (1)
receive (2) 4:22;52:15
received (4) 11:8;12:14;20:8;24:22
receiving (1) 25:13
recent (1) 54:11
recently (2) $54: 12,19$
ecess (2)
59:15;73:12
recessed (1) 73:15
reclaiming (1) 50:23
reclamation (3) 50:15,21,24
recommend (3) 16:11,12;48:3

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { recommendations (1) } \\ & 16: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 21:22 } \\ \text { remains (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 9 ; 13: 24 ; 14: 4,5,12,13,18 \\ & 20,24 ; 26: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42: 13 \\ \text { ROD (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| recommended (2) | 55:16 | respectful (2) | 9:9 |
| 14:14;51:20 | remember (3) | 5:5;22:1 | roll (1) |
| recommending (1) | 43:10;54:3;67:6 | respond (6) | 3:8 |
| 16:25 | reminder (1) | 18:10,13;23:5,13;27:20; | Roman (3) |
| reconvene (5) | 23:17 | 62:19 | 32:13,21,25 |
| 21:18;53:9;57:9;59:14;73:12 | reneged (1) | response (1) | Ronde (1) |
| record (15) | 34:5 | 22:5 | 38:12 |
| 5:24;7:15,24;9:9;11:9,12; | repercussions (1) | responses (2) | room (5) |
| 16:17;18:4;19:22;22:17,19; | 34:7 | 27:24;38:18 | 4:2;8:24;53:12;64:13;69:17 |
| 23:10,19;35:18;46:8 | replace (1) | responsibility (1) | Roppe (3) |
| recording (2) | 34:11 | 35:24 | 2:6;3:17,18 |
| 8:12;22:15 | replicate (1) | responsible (3) | roughly (1) |
| records (1) | 31:21 | 41:25;42:23,24 | 72:20 |
| 28:2 | repoll (1) | rest (2) | roughshod (1) |
| Recreation (2) | 20:25 | 4:10;24:2 | 32:18 |
| 14:1,1 | report (1) | restarted (1) | round (2) |
| rectify (1) | 37:15 | 22:5 | 69:20;70:15 |
| 66:7 | reporter (4) | resubmitted (1) | route (15) |
| recuse (1) | 8:11;21:6;22:12;31:25 | 9:15 | 11:17;15:20;16:19,20,21,22; |
| 6:7 | reports (1) | result (3) | $17: 1 ; 26: 7 ; 27: 11 ; 32: 8,9 ; 36: 8$ |
| recusing (2) | 45:23 | 16:14,23;28:20 | 58:6;59:1;72:13 |
| 6:11;31:11 | represent (1) | retired (1) | routes (10) |
| redacted (1) | 49:24 | 57:19 | 15:17,19,23,24;16:5,5,5,9; |
| 31:18 | representative (1) | retirement (1) | 17:1;32:8 |
| redband (1) | 64:9 | 67:8 | Rowe (1) |
| 55:7 | representing (2) | return (2) | 2:8 |
| reduce (1) | 24:1;38:12 | 36:1;65:5 | rubber (1) |
| 12:7 | represents (2) | review (15) | 34:19 |
| refer (1) | 33:24;36:7 | 8:20;9:2,5;10:24;15:18,21; | ruining (1) |
| 12:15 | request (5) | 16:6,7,15,16,23;27:19;28:20; | 33:22 |
| reference (5) | 29:23,23,25;30:10,17 | 32:24;38:5 | Rule (4) |
| 18:20,25;19:1,1,9 | requested (2) | reviewed (1) | 5:12;31:15,16,21 |
| references (2) | 29:19;30:7 | 9:17 | rules (6) |
| 36:23;50:18 | requests (1) | reviewing (2) | 5:7;17:20;18:21;36:22; |
| referred (1) | 22:7 | 9:17;31:14 | 39:16;42:7 |
| 72:4 | required (2) | rides (1) | run (6) |
| referring (1) | 54:25;55:2 | 32:18 | 32:19;33:17;39:2;53:6;65:9, |
| 69:4 | requirement (2) | ridge (1) | 10 |
| Regard (1) | 25:8;30:18 | 64:1 | running (4) |
| 34:3 | requirements (1) | right (17) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | 34:6;55:16;58:12;66:24 |
| regarding (1) | 30:21 | 4:13;6:14;7:25;12:5;20:21; | runs (1) |
| 51:11 | requiring (3) | 32:14;41:25;42:2,25;43:8; | 66:14 |
| region (2) | 6:11;37:15;51:6 | 45:13;57:25;58:8,9,23;59:16; | rural (2) |
| 12:17;14:10 | research (1) | 67:22 | 28:10;34:2 |
| registration (2) | 45:20 | right-of-way (1) | ruts (1) |
| 4:12;20:1 | researched (1) | 48:21 | 37:3 |
| regulations (4) | 56:6 | Rimrock (1) |  |
| 54:8,20;64:22,23 | reseed (1) | 45:3 | S |
| regulatory (1) | 39:13 | rises (1) |  |
| 45:8 | resent (1) | 65:19 | sad (2) |
| reinstated (1) | 58:24 | risk (2) | 26:10,10 |
| 34:21 | resident (1) | 37:25;50:22 | sadly (1) |
| reiterate (2) | 10:6 | risks (1) | 27:21 |
| 16:2;60:7 | residents (4) | 28:9 | safely (1) |
| related (2) | 30:14,14;33:6,11 | River (4) | 24:11 |
| 6:5;68:16 | residents' (1) | 12:24;54:14;56:5;65:9 | sagebrush (1) |
| relevant (1) | 36:7 | road (6) | 52:5 |
| 6:1 | resource (6) | 55:1,2,6,6;67:22;70:2 | sage-grouse (8) |
| remainder (1) | $13: 19,23 ; 14: 9 ; 68: 22 ; 69: 13$ | roads (2) | $15: 3 ; 28: 15,17,17,18 ; 37: 1$ |
| 28:18 | $22$ | 28:10;58:1 | 38:22;39:2 |
| remaining (1) | resources (10) | roadway (1) | sagging (1) |


| 48:16 | 31:16 | sited (2) | 58:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salem (1) | seriously (1) | 11:23,23 | sparse (1) |
| 67:9 | 52:17 | sites (2) | 32:18 |
| sales (1) | serve (2) | 42:16;60:12 | speak (7) |
| 45:21 | 27:16;30:20 | Siting (13) | 4:12;17:20;21:5;31:22; |
| same (6) | serving (1) | 2:11;3:7;5:4,25;7:6,16; | 38:14;53:20,20 |
| 4:15;8:15;32:22;55:3,4; | 6:23 | 11:20;12:2,8;15:22;36:16; | speaker (6) |
| 65:24 | set (4) | 58:17;68:14 | 21:25;53:10, 15, 18;59:21; |
| Sarah (3) | 25:18;31:18;44:24;45:11 | sitting (2) | 60:2 |
| 7:18;20:3,7 | several (5) | 50:15;64:5 | speakerphone (1) |
| satisfy (1) | 10:11;12:13;14:6;15:22;38:4 | situation (1) | 5:1 |
| 32:16 | shadow (2) | 26:12 | SPEAKERS (2) |
| saw (1) | 47:17,18 | sizable (1) | 2:13;22:2 |
| 9:17 | shall (1) | 72:23 | speaking (5) |
| saying (7) | 5:5 | Skewered (1) | 21:23;29:4;50:2;52:16;68:3 |
| 42:9,15,22;43:19;67:10;70:4, | shame (1) | 32:25 | species (3) |
| 12 | 47:1 | sky (1) | 28:23;41:18;42:6 |
| scenic (6) | shareholders (4) | 52:21 | specific (7) |
| 13:23,24;14:11,13;52:3; | 32:14,16;34:13;35:25 | slanderous (1) | 17:15;18:20,22;19:2;46:8; |
| 57:21 | sheet (1) | 5:10 | 71:18;72:17 |
| school (1) | 61:22 | slide (8) | specificity (4) |
| 36:9 | Shifting (2) | 8:17,18;9:1;11:19;17:18,23, | 18:8;23:3,11,14 |
| screen (1) | 26:22;50:22 | 24;18:14 | speech (1) |
| 7:20 | Shoot (1) | slow (1) | 31:6 |
| seat (3) | 66:18 | 31:24 | spell (1) |
| 52:22;59:17;62:20 | shopping (2) | small (3) | 67:24 |
| second (1) | 65:21;70:8 | 15:10;26:13;34:2 | spoke (1) |
| 18:5 | short (1) | Snake (3) | 38:14 |
| Secretary (10) | 6:16 | 34:5;54:14;65:9 | spread (1) |
| 2:9;3:8,9,11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, | shorter (1) | snow (1) | 72:2 |
| 23 | 65:10 | 64:3 | staff (9) |
| section (9) | shovel (1) | snows (2) | 10:10;11:4;16:6;20:7,10; |
| 13:7;14:1,4,15,20;28:6,8; | 52:10 | 66:4,5 | 21:20;26:15;30:5;53:6 |
| 51:11;72:25 | showcase (1) | social (1) | stamp (1) |
| sections (2) | 33:23 | 27:16 | 34:19 |
| 14:17;41:5 | shows (1) | solar (5) | stand (1) |
| seed (4) | 45:20 | 65:5,6,16;69:21,23 | 62:21 |
| 41:14,20,23;42:1 | side (4) | Solisz (7) | standard (4) |
| seeding (1) | 15:2;37:25;58:13;61:19 | 2:20;46:3;47:4,9,9;49:9,14 | $13: 24 ; 14: 2,4 ; 18: 23$ |
| 42:2 | signed (5) | solitude (2) | standards (11) |
| seeks (1) | 5:2;33:15;37:14;45:7;69:22 | 27:1;65:1 | 11:5;14:7;16:8,10,12;18:21; |
| 37:13 | significant (1) | solution (1) | 31:15;36:16,23;52:20;68:13 |
| seems (1) | 36:18 | 66:22 | standards-based (1) |
| 69:15 | significantly (1) | somebody (9) | 16:3 |
| sees (1) | 50:7 | 10:5;40:22;56:20;61:19; | standpoint (1) |
| 66:25 | Signing (1) | 64:11,13;65:15;67:10;73:12 | 54:23 |
| segments (2) | 4:13 | someone (1) | stands (1) |
| 12:11;13:18 | sign-up (1) | 59:6 | 10:20 |
| select (1) | 4:17 | sometime (1) | Start (7) |
| 17:2 | silenced (1) | 21:16 | 35:14;38:23;42:7;43:1,3; |
| selected (1) | 26:2 | sooner (2) | 64:17;67:4 |
| 16:20 | silent (2) | 21:25;69:15 | started (3) |
| Senate (1) | 4:20;34:22 | sorry (4) | 5:21;13:5;68:20 |
| 45:7 | similar (1) | 13:13;16:19;47:7;53:19 | state (22) |
| sending (1) | 34:17 | sort (1) | 5:6;7:2;9:2,7;18:24;27:18; |
| 42:7 | Sinclair (1) | 38:18 | 33:1,4,9;37:6;41:1,11;42:11, |
| Senior (3) | 66:13 | source (2) | 19;43:15;45:16;50:9,10,18; |
| 2:10;7:6,16 | sit (2) | 36:20;55:7 | 54:25;64:23;66:10 |
| sent (3) | 21:2;23:17 | south (1) | stated (1) |
| 50:12;51:8,13 | site (15) | 58:13 | 22:25 |
| sentence (1) | 6:17;13:14,15;15:5;19:21; | southern (1) | statement (3) |
| 50:6 | 38:25;39:18,24;40:2,4,5,16; | 64:24 | 5:22;23:5;59:20 |
| serious (1) | 42:10,12,25 | southwest (1) | statements (1) |


| 19:13 | 25:1;72:3 | 8:22,24 | thousands (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States (3) | subpopulation (1) | talk (14) | 27:20;37:12;39:17,17 |
| 28:19;52:19;71:2 | 28:22 | 11:21;38:23;39:20;41:4,6; | threat (1) |
| statewide (1) | substation (2) | 43:24;50:14;58:15;62:6;66:17; | 28:13 |
| 45:7 | 25:6;61:3 | 69:4,16;70:17;72:5 | threatened (3) |
| stating (1) | substations (4) | talked (5) | 28:5,23;42:5 |
| 19:8 | 61:4;70:10,11,23 | 38:19;52:12;55:21;58:12; | threatening (1) |
| statute (1) | suffering (1) | 70:1 | 5:10 |
| 17:21 | 43:13 | talking (5) | three (2) |
| statutes (2) | sufficient (4) | 8:14;39:11;43:12;51:9;68:9 | 29:4;56:5 |
| 18:21;42:20 | 18:8;23:3,11,14 | tall (1) | throughout (1) |
| stay (1) | suggested (1) | 33:18 | 27:18 |
| 65:23 | 41:14 | Tardaewether (5) | throwing (1) |
| steps (1) | summarize (1) | 2:10;7:5,13,16,25 | 43:17 |
| 17:16 | 7:9 | taught (1) | thrown (2) |
| still (6) | summary (1) | 36:10 | 25:18;34:10 |
| 21:18,23;48:14;58:23;66:19; | 30:2 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a x }}$ (1) | timber (3) |
| 69:19 | summer (1) | 25:12 | 44:2,3;55:13 |
| stitch (1) | 69:2 | taxpayers (1) | timeline (1) |
| 31:24 | sunrise (1) | 27:22 | 10:1 |
| Stock (1) | 47:18 | taxpayers' (1) | times (6) |
| 32:12 | sunshine (2) | 33:23 | 5:7;10:9;41:20;45:16;50:2; |
| Stokes (7) | 65:7,8 | tearing (1) | 66:11 |
| 2:15;71:8,11,12,13,22;72:6 | superficial (1) | 43:1 | tiny (1) |
| Stonehenge (1) | 28:11 | tech (1) | 17:24 |
| 64:3 | supersaturation (1) | 47:14 | title (1) |
| stood (1) | 56:2 | technologies (1) | 11:20 |
| 54:16 | support (5) | 69:12 | today (5) |
| stop (5) | 19:8;23:6,15;24:18;28:10 | technology (3) | 7:3;17:8;36:6;38:17;47:13 |
| 4:9;38:13;44:22;66:17;68:7 | supported (1) | 36:6;68:19;69:8 | Today's (2) |
| stopped (1) | $45: 22$ | teleconference (1) | 22:10,15 |
| 22:4 | supporting (2) | 20:13 | Todd (4) |
| store (1) | 18:22,24 | telephonic (1) | 2:9;26:15;51:5;54:6 |
| 65:22 | suppose (1) | 2:6 | toe (1) |
| stored (1) | 56:19 | tells (1) | 52:4 |
| 69:12 | supposed (4) | 41:16 | together (3) |
| straight (1) | 34:23;40:1,1;42:18 | temperature (1) | 9:22;66:21;67:14 |
| 52:7 | supposedly (1) | 56:4 | told (5) |
| Straw (1) | 48:7 | temporary (4) | 9:10;15:5;16:21;61:23;65:18 |
| 12:23 | sure (7) | 42:17;43:25;44:1,4 | tolerable (1) |
| Street (6) | 11:10;40:3,7;42:1;64:2,22; | Ten (1) | 26:7 |
| 31:5;44:21;46:18;57:13; | $72: 17$ | 69:14 | Tom (3) |
| 62:3;71:13 | surprisingly (1) | terms (2) | $32: 6 ; 44: 25 ; 45: 1$ |
| strongly (1) | 25:23 | 43:16;55:11 | tomorrow (2) |
| 59:8 | swans (1) | testify (2) | 70:17;71:3 |
| structures (2) | 37:1 | 20:14,15 | tonight (16) |
| 39:10,12 | swath (1) | testimony (25) | 4:3,12;8:11;9:24;10:6;20:20; |
| students (1) | 55:5 | 4:10;8:12;11:1,2;17:8;18:3, | 21:9;50:2;53:4;60:1;68:3,11, |
| 37:5 | system (3) | 16,19;19:20,25;20:24,25;21:8, | 15,17;69:25;71:17 |
| studies (1) | 34:16,18;45:8 | 10,12;22:4,8,13,16;23:16,18, | tonight's (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} 15: 22 \\ \text { study }(2) \end{gathered}$ | T | 20;38:5;73:11,13 <br> thanks (3) | $\begin{gathered} 5: 18 \\ \text { toolbox (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 29:20;52:22 | T | 8:2;49:15;59:16 | $70: 25$ |
| stuff (5) | table (9) | thinking (1) | top (2) |
| 22:18;56:10;69:16;70:17; | $4: 17,18 ; 13: 6,8,10,12,14,16$ | 38:23 | 13:12,14 |
| 71:3 | $65: 17$ | third (1) | torn (1) |
| subject (1) | Taco (2) | 10:14 | 39:13 |
| 34:16 | 4:6;8:3 | though (4) | totally (1) |
| submit (3) | $\boldsymbol{t a c o s}(3)$ | 9:1;29:4;63:14;67:4 | 19:7 |
| 17:11;25:3;56:25 | 4:7;21:16;53:14 | thought (2) | touched (1) |
| submitted (4) | tail (1) | 48:12;56:13 | 13:3 |
| 17:9;22:23;56:12,13 | 8:5 | thoughts (1) | tough (1) |
| submitting (2) | takeaway (2) | 49:5 | 30:16 |


| tourism (4) | 37:1 | 41:15 | Veterans (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26:25;29:14;60:21,22 | trusted (1) | unnecessary (1) | 7:3 |
| towards (1) | 34:6 | 46:24 | vetting (2) |
| 68:13 | try (1) | unsightly (1) | 34:16,18 |
| tower (1) | 59:14 | 64:25 | VFW (2) |
| 65:20 | trying (3) | unsubstantiated (1) | 4:1;8:2 |
| towers (14) | 34:11;41:9;60:24 | 19:13 | VFW's (1) |
| 14:16;27:10;46:24;48:6; | turn (3) | up (41) | 4:6 |
| $64: 18,18,19,20,21,24 ; 65: 14,25$ | 7:19;28:20;32:16 | 4:13,23;11:3,4;17:4,5;20:13; | via (1) |
| 66:13,16 | turned (1) | 21:24;22:7;23:21;26:7;32:13; | 4:21 |
| town (1) | 52:9 | 33:18;34:6,8,20;36:3;37:5; | Vice (6) |
| 65:22 | Twenty-five (1) | 39:13,17,18;43:1;44:18;48:7; | 2:3;3:14;24:2;71:24;72:6; |
| track (2) | 57:19 | 56:20;58:7,13,18;60:24;64:1,1, | 73:4 |
| 21:20;58:2 | twice (1) | $4,23 ; 65: 16,16,24 ; 67: 1,2 ; 68: 3$ | victimized (1) |
| tracks (1) | 66:12 | 69:2;71:8 | 27:22 |
| 58:3 | two (6) | update (1) | view (4) |
| tractor (1) | 4:7;14:12;26:23;58:1,4; | 10:21 | 21:21;25:2,17;33:14 |
| 48:17 | 68:23 | Upon (2) | views (1) |
| traded (1) | tying (1) | 31:14,17 | 52:3 |
| 32:12 | 14:10 | upset (1) | viewshed (2) |
| Trail (12) | type (1) | 42:21 | 27:1;33:13 |
| 12:10,16;13:17;14:18,24; | 26:11 | use (12) | vision (1) |
| 27:3;29:13,16,17;33:19;37:3; | typed (1) | 5:1;15:16;24:24;25:8;30:18; | $24: 8$ |
| $51: 10$ | $45: 1$ | $37: 6 ; 38: 24 ; 42: 16 ; 45: 8 ; 61: 3 ;$ | visit (1) |
| Trails (1) | typical (1) | 65:13;70:9 | 67:8 |
| 49:25 | 14:5 | used (3) | visual (2) |
| Trail's (1) |  | 36:7;51:24;66:11 | 60:19,25 |
| 14:9 | $\mathbf{U}$ | user (1) | voice (4) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { transcripts (1) } \\ 22: 13 \end{gathered}$ | Um-hmm (1) | 41:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:17;26:2;33:20;64:13 } \\ & \text { volunteer (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| transect (1) | 63:1 | 9:22 | 36:13 |
| 28:14 | unacceptable (1) | using (1) | volunteering (1) |
| transected (1) | 5:13 | 45:17 | 36:14 |
| $24: 20$ | unaddressed (1) | Utah (1) | vote (2) |
| transecting (1) | 28:13 | 63:19 | 5:25;32:4 |
| 27:7 | unbelievable (1) | utilities (1) | voting (2) |
| transition (1) | 39:19 | 45:14 | $6: 8,11$ |
| 21:25 | under (12) | utility (6) |  |
| transmission (28) | 13:24;14:1,6;15:4;32:6;45:3; | 12:4;37:13;44:24;45:11,17; | W |
| 6:2,10,18;7:7,9;9:12;10:3; <br> 11:18,22,25;12:3,6,6,19,22; | 48:7;51:18;54:8,20;57:3;70:7 underground (2) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 50: 11 \\ \text { utilize (2) } \end{array}$ | waffled (3) |
| 13:12,13;15:5;19:20;37:18,23; | 51:17,21 | 25:7;38:25 | 54:8,16,20 |
| $38: 1,2 ; 39: 11 ; 41: 19 ; 43: 2,4 ;$ | undergrounding (2) | utilized (2) | wagon (1) |
| $47: 19$ | 51:12;52:18 | 12:2;26:12 | 36:12 |
| travel (1) | underneath (1) |  | Walmart (2) |
| 29:15 | 54:17 | V | 65:22,23 |
| travelers (1) | understands (1) |  | wants (7) |
| 63:18 traveling (1) | 16:1 | valid (1) | $38: 15 ; 43: 13 ; 44: 11 ; 53: 4,9 ;$ $55.4 ; 73.10$ |
| traveling (1) | undervaluing (2) | $33: 15$ | 55:4;73:10 |
| 63:19 treatment (1) | 43:17,18 | valley (4) | Washington (2) |
| treatment (1) | unfathomable (1) | 27:12;38:12;52:2;66:16 | $65: 11 ; 67: 9$ |
| 28:7 | $46: 21$ | value (1) | watch (1) |
| tributary (2) | Unfortunately (1) | 57:21 | 37:4 |
| 55:1,4 | 31:20 | values (1) | Water (3) |
| tried (1) | UNIDENTIFIED (5) | 37:9 | 54:21;55:6;56:3 |
| 37:2 | 53:10,15,18;59:21;60:2 | variety (1) | way (17) |
| trout (1) | uninterrupted (1) | 16:4 | $7: 19 ; 11: 22 ; 13: 5 ; 26: 20$ |
| 55:7 | 26:25 | various (1) | 30:16;34:2;37:10;39:5,16; |
| truck (1) | Union (3) | 17:15 | 41:25;42:2;43:5;68:12;69:18; |
| 63:17 | 43:7,8,19 | vehicles (1) | 70:3,5,11 |
| trucks (1) | United (1) | $42: 11$ | Wayne (13) |
| 54:5 | 28:19 | version (2) | $2: 22 ; 49: 17,19 ; 53: 22,23$ |
| trumpeter (1) | unless (1) | 16:13;31:19 | 55:19,24;56:3,8,13,17,23;57:2 |


| ways (3) | wins (2) | 38:14;50:10 | 68:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:5;18:19;42:17 | 44:13,13 | York (1) | 2017 (3) |
| weakness (1) | wish (3) | 32:12 | 9:16;68:25;69:11 |
| 28:12 | 4:12;19:19;43:10 |  | 2019 (8) |
| wearing (1) | wishes (1) | 1 | 3:2,6;19:24;23:11;54:19; |
| 51:10 | 19:25 |  | 69:1;70:15,19 |
| web (1) | within (17) | 1 (4) | 2023 (1) |
| 10:10 | 7:1,9;8:5;12:2,13,21,23; | 39:4;51:24;52:4;54:2 | 67:5 |
| webcam (1) | 14:10,16;15:14;16:7;21:12; | 1/2 (3) | 2031 (1) |
| 5:3 | 23:8;25:8;28:23;30:8;55:12 | 51:24;52:4;59:3 | 44:21 |
| webinar (1) | without (7) | 10 (3) | 2222 (1) |
| 5:3 | 19:9;30:1;40:14;41:1;48:23; | 21:9,12;45:21 | 37:14 |
| Webster (58) | 51:6;70:20 | 10- (1) | 23 (2) |
| 2:7;5:16,20;6:13,19;19:16; | wondering (2) | 21:17 | 19:23;23:11 |
| $20: 5,19 ; 29: 6,10 ; 30: 24 ; 31: 1,23$ | 7:18;36:4 | 100 (1) | $230 \text { (1) }$ |
| 35:9,14,17;38:8;44:16;46:1,7, | work (5) | 45:7 | 48:11 |
| 12,15,17,20;47:3,7;49:7,12,16, | 7:22;27:24;29:21;31:13; | 106 (1) | 233 (1) |
| 21;53:1,13,17,19;56:25;57:4, | 72:16 | 72:25 | 13:6 |
| 13,17;59:9,12,16,22;60:3; | worked (4) | 1110 (1) | 23rd (5) |
| 61:21,25;62:8,12,15,24;63:3; | 26:16;30:6;40:11;67:12 | 47:11 | 11:12;18:4;22:24;25:3;57:1 |
| 64:10,14;67:20,23;68:1;71:6, | working (3) | 1140 (1) | 24 (2) |
| 21;73:5 | 13:5;52:1;72:11 | 62:3 | 70:15,20 |
| Wednesday (2) | works (1) | 12 (5) | 25 (4) |
| 4:6;8:3 | 47:17 | 24:7;36:1,5;70:20,20 | 24:20;25:5;27:8;57:22 |
| weed (6) | workshop (1) | 1221 (1) | 250 (1) |
| 28:6;42:21;43:3;72:2,3,8 | 68:25 | 71:13 | 43:14 |
| weeds (9) | world (1) | 15-minute (2) | 250-foot (1) |
| 41:4,6,11,13,18,22;42:7,22, | 29:15 | 21:17;57:9 | 55:4 |
| 24 | worse (3) | 1640 (1) | 27910 (2) |
| week (1) | 35:5;58:14,14 | 31:5 | 57:12,15 |
| 66:12 | worth (2) | 19 (2) | 2920 (1) |
| welcome (4) | 43:21,22 | 3:2,6 | 49:23 |
| 3:5;7:12;23:24;57:18 | Wrapping (1) | 190-foot (1) |  |
| west (4) | 17:5 | 33:18 | 3 |
| 48:6;58:13;66:15;71:13 | write (1) | 1950 (1) |  |
| western (1) | 56:20 | 48:11 | 3.4.2 (1) |
| 28:19 | writing (4) | 1980s (1) | 51:11 |
| what's (6) | 17:8;23:9;56:15;71:4 | 50:19 | 3.7 (2) |
| 13:10;47:25;50:21;51:5,5; | written (9) | 1992 (2) | 51:15,17 |
| $72: 21$ | 17:7,9,11;18:2,20;19:19; | 33:3,8 | 30 (1) |
| whatsoever (1) $39: 7$ | 22:15,23;25:1 wrote (1) |  | 58:1 |
| $39: 7$ Whit (5) | wrote (1) | 2 | 300 (1) |
| 2:15;23:22;31:4,4;32:1 | Wyoming (2) | 2 (7) | 3155 (1) |
| white-out (1) | $66: 9,10$ | 29:9;39:5;59:3,13;65:24; | 46:18 |
| $\begin{gathered} 31: 19 \\ \text { whole (8) } \end{gathered}$ | Y | 69:20;72:21 | 345-011-0080 (1) 5:12 |
| 33:22;41:1;42:2,21;43:14; |  | 2,000:22 | 345-015-02205a (1) |
| 60:22;68:5;70:8 | yards (1) | 20 (4) | 22:20 |
| Who's (1) | 65:3 | 25:21;54:24;69:14,19 | 345-025-0007 (2) |
| 55:16 | Yeakley (7) | 20,000 (1) | 31:17;32:1 |
| wide (2) | 2:16;31:3;35:10,12,16,18,19 | 31:14 | 3rd (1) |
| 43:14;55:5 | year (6) | 2001 (1) | 31:5 |
| wildfire (3) | 37:16;40:3;41:17;48:18; | 6:3 |  |
| 28:8;37:15,16 | 69:21;72:20 | 2006 (1) | 4 |
| wildlife (4) | year-long (1) | 6:3 |  |
| 27:1;36:20;55:13,22 | 25:15 | 2007 (1) | $4(2)$ |
| Willful (1) | years (21) | 32:4 | 52:16;65:6 |
| 5:9 | 24:7;27:15;30:15;36:1,5; | 2008 (1) | 4:30 (1) |
| windmills (2) | 41:15;44:12;45:21;48:14; | 9:3 | 3:2 |
| 63:25;65:17 | 50:20,24;51:1;54:24,24;55:14; | 2010 (1) | 4:33 (1) |
| window (2) | $57: 19,22 ; 69: 14,19,20 ; 72: 21$ | $9: 3$ | $7: 4$ |
| 29:17;34:11 | yesterday (2) | 2015 (1) | 402 (1) |



