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## PROCEEDINGS

 June 20, 2019-4:30 p.m.La Grande, Oregon

VICE CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Here.
SECRETARY CORNETT: Kent Howe?
COUNCILLOR HOWE: Here.
SECRETARY CORNETT: Marcy Grail?
COUNCILLOR GRAIL: Here.
SECRETARY CORNETT: Betty Roppe?
COUNCILLOR ROPPE: Here.
SECRETARY CORNETT: Confirm Betty Roppe is on the phone.

You have a quorum, Mr. Chair.
CHAIRMAN BEYELER: Thank you, sir.
Are there any agenda modifications?
SECRETARY CORNETT: Mr. Chair, there are no 5 agenda modifications at this time.

1
2
3 th
4 f after you finish your other call. If you would like to address the Council, please do not do use the speakerphone feature because it creates feedback. 3 For those signed into the webinar, please do not broadcast your webcam. Energy Facility Siting Council meeting shall

CHAIRMAN BEYELER: I'd like to express appreciation to the Blue Mountain Conference Center and the Oregon National Guard Armory for providing the venue for the size audience we were expecting tonight.

I have the following announcements: Comment cards, green cards, if you wish to speak tonight, you need to get with -- they are at the back table and then hand those to either Max Farbman or Sarah Esterson back in the back of the room so she can get them to the hearing's officer.

The little cards have the -- you can get all the items associated with B 2 H that come in the future. And this is for the general delivery of Oregon Department of Energy information for presiding Council.

I have the following announcements. Please put your phone on silent so as to not interrupt the meeting. Those participating via the AT\&T phone line, please mute your phone. And if you receive a phone call, please hang up from this call and dial back in Page 5 be conducted in a respectful and courteous manner, where everyone is allowed to state their positions at the appropriate times, consistent with the Council rules and procedures.

Willful, accusatory, offensive, insulting, threatening, insolent or slanderous comments which disrupt the Council meeting are not acceptable.
Pursuant to Oregon Administrative Rule Chapter 345-011-0080, any person who engages in unacceptable conduct which disrupts the meeting, may be expelled.

I am now going to hand the meeting over to Alison Greene Webster, who is the Council-appointed hearing officer for the Boardman to Hemingway Project and will be the presiding officer for tonight's public hearing.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you, Chair. And welcome everybody that is here this evening here in person and the people on the phone.

Before we get started, I believe Council
Member Grail has a comment to make. So Council Member Grail, please.

COUNCILLOR GRAIL: For the record, this is Councillor Grail. I do not intend to vote on any Energy Facility Siting Council actions relevant to the proposed 5 Boardman to Hemingway transmission line. I was employed
by Pacific Power from approximately 2001 until 2006. I have no financial interest in Pacific Power, PacifiCorp or any other related entity. However, as a condition of my accepting appointment to this Council, I agreed to recuse myself from voting on any projects owned by Pacific Power.

Given Pacific Power's involvement with the proposed Boardman to Hemingway transmission line, I will be recusing myself from voting on any matters requiring Council action on this project.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
This is the public hearing in Union County on the draft proposed order, which we shortened to DPO, on the application for site certificate for the Boardman to Hemingway transmission line. I am Alison Webster, a presiding administrative law judge at the Oregon Office of Administrative Hearings, and I am the EFSC-appointed hearing officer for these hearings. I am serving as the presiding officer for these series of five hearings that we are holding. I am independent from the Department of Energy and my role here tonight is basically to facilitate this hearing so that it all goes smoothly and everybody that wants to be heard can be heard.

Today is June 20, 2019. It is now $4: 33$ p.m.
24
25 We are located at the Blue Mountain Conference Center in
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La Grande, Oregon.
2 Before we hear public comment, Kellen
3 Tardaewether, from the Oregon Department of Energy, and
4 she is the senior siting analyst and lead on the
5 Boardman to Hemingway transmission line facility, and
she'll provide a county overview of the proposed
transmission line within Union County, and she'll
summarize the opportunities for the public to
participate in the EFSC process at the DPO phase.
MS. TARDAEWETHER: Thank you, Ms. Greene Webster.

For the record, my name is Kellen
Tardaewether. I'm the senior siting analyst at the
Oregon Department of Energy. I am sitting over here
next to Council Member Howe because at the previous
hearings I was sitting at the testimony table, and the
majority of my presentation is not only for Council's
information, to give them a preview of the
county-specific items that are in the draft proposed order and in the application, but the vast majority of my presentation is actually for you folks. So I don't want to sit with my back to you. So I'm going to be mostly talking to you and to Council members.

As the hearing officer mentioned, I'm going to
24 25 be providing an overview and then I'm going to go over

1 some items on how to generate and provide helpful comments on the record at these hearings and during the comment period.

I hope folks can see this okay. This is what we call the procedural history. Really, the main takeaway from this slide is that this project has been around for a while. Most of you have also been around for a while and probably are familiar with this transmission line proposal.

The applicant began the state process, I refer to it as the "EFSC" process. It's E-F-S-C, which is the Energy Facility Siting Council, which is essentially the state process. So when I say "EFSC process," that's what I mean.

So the applicant submitted its notice of intent in 2010. You'll see that there's a series of milestones that happened, and then there is this pause from like 2014 to 2017. In that time the applicant opted to essentially pause the state process, what we are here doing now, as they went through the federal review process, and most of you are familiar with that. It was led by the Bureau of Land Management or BLM, and it's the NEPA review process.

So the applicant waited to proceed through that process to get the kind of final route as a result
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1 of that process and restarted or resubmitted their application in 2017. And then you'll kind of see from 2017 there has been a series of, in relative time, in B2H time, fairly quickly that lead us up to this point.

So we are at the hearings now. So looking ahead, this is for Council, and we've gone over this, but this is also for you folks of what to expect. This is the last public hearing where you can provide written and oral testimony this week. However, next week there are -- we are going to be doing the same hearings on Wednesday and Thursday in Pendleton and Boardman.

So once that hearing closes, that is the last of the public hearings, which is the opportunity to provide oral testimony. However, your opportunity to provide written comments stays open until July 23rd. So that's an important thing to note that there are still opportunities. So, for instance, if your neighbor or somebody you know who is interested is maybe out of town, they can attend the other ones if they are back because there's also not a restriction on being a resident of a particular county being able to participate and provide testimony at any of the hearings.

After the record closes, so the comment period closes on that July 23rd, we, the Department of Energy,

1 the Oregon Department of Energy, we're going to take all 2 of the comments we received and are going to respond to 3 them. And then at a future Council meeting, I don't 4 know which Council meeting yet because it just depends 5 on how many comments are received and how long it takes, 6 but the next step will be the Council's review of draft proposed order. And this is where I or another Department staff present to the Council in as much detail as humanly possible and at a meeting, will present the draft proposed order. And then we will also present to Council how the Department addressed comments we received. So that is going to happen at a future Council meeting.

And then after that we will issue a proposed order, which is the document that has the response to the comments. It then will have direction from Council and any responses from the applicant in it. When the proposed order is issued, that is the notice of contested case.

So anybody commenting during this comment time

I'm going to stay on this slide for a little bit, and hopefully it won't put people to sleep.

But the intent of this slide is to talk about specific items and siting constraints and opportunities within Union County. I would like to point out and talk about siting, which means the location of or locating a facility. I like talking about it in the context of opportunities and constraints because there are ways of locating energy facilities that minimize impacts, and that would be considered an opportunity. And then there is other constraints which are resources that the applicant sites the facility to avoid or reduce these impacts.

On the maps here, the green, if you can see them, is Forest Service land, and there is a little bit of yellow, the yellow portions are BLM-owned land.
These are intended to be high level; they are not intended to have a lot of detail. We do have maps that have a lot more detail where you can kind of zoom in to the area where you live in the application and online.

So for the proposed route in Union County
22 there is approximately 40 miles of proposed transmission
23 line. Some of the siting opportunities are that the
24 facility is sited within the Wallowa-Whitman National 25 Forest utility corridor, and that seems kind of

1 counterintuitive that they are in a utility corridor through a forest, but it's a federally-designated utility corridor. That utility corridor also passes through the Blue Mountain for a scenic corridor, so there is some areas that cross into that.

On that note and related to that, this is also for Council's information to kind of give them an idea on what some of you folks may be commenting on tonight.

With respect to forest land, because there are forest lands within this region, as you folks know, there is in the land use section of the draft proposed order, there is a discussion of Goal 4 forest lands and a goal exception, and kind of the notes, and that's on page, starts on page 222.

But the interesting thing about that goal, that difference, is that the applicant is proposing a 300 -foot right-of-way in Goal 4 forest land; so basically forest land, which is a wider right-of-way than in other areas that are not forest lands. And I know that there are many concerns about the recent forest fires down in California, et cetera. And so this is one area where the wider right-of-way is intended for several purposes, but one of them is to reduce potential vegetation within the right-of-way that may cause any fire issues.
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1 The other areas in the draft proposed order 2 and in the attachments where one can look if you're
3 concerned about fires and you're wondering what the 4 applicant is proposing on how to reduce the risks from fires, there is a Vegetation Management Plan that is an attachment to the draft proposed order. There is also the right-of-way clearing assessment, which is also attached to the draft proposed order. Both of those kind of outline how the applicant, at what frequencies 10 they are going to go through and clear vegetation at the intervals.

Also, in the general standard of review, I think it's a thrilling section of the draft proposed order, there is also conditions of approval that will appear in the site certificate that outline when inspections would need to be done and when reporting would have to be provided, and those items will be included in it.

Folks know that the Ladd Marsh Wildlife area is near La Grande. It's actually a really interesting area -- well, to go and visit, we have gone and visited, but also with respect to an area that is protected under the Council standards and the language under the Council standards. So Ladd Marsh Wildland area is talked about in the Protected Areas, under the Protected Areas.

1 In the draft proposed order, beginning on page $240--$ and on the next line I'm going to talk about the 3 alternative routes in a little bit more -- but in the 4 context of Ladd Marsh there is a proposed route and then
5 there is the alternative route. And there are
6 recommended conditions of approval with respect to 7 the -- if either route is selected, there's a condition 8 of approval that it would apply to the proposed route,
9 meaning that the applicant would be required to
coordinate with ODFW who manages Ladd Marsh.
And then the protected area is condition
No. 2, applies to the Morgan Lake Alternative, which
that condition actually says there would not be any
facility components allowed to be sited within the
boundary of Ladd Marsh. So that's just a couple notes on that.

And then Oregon Trail. So there are several Oregon Trail segments in Union County and also that are potentially impacted within the analysis area. The Oregon Trail, along with a lot of other resources, are discussed in several different places in the draft proposed order. But I just wanted to point out one of the segments of the Oregon Trail within Union County that's discussed in the draft proposed order is Whiskey Creek. And then I wanted to point out on page 408 of
the draft proposed order we have the recommended historic, cultural, archaeological condition 1, which actually requires the applicant in their design to design the facility to avoid direct impacts on the Oregon Trail. That is not just Union County; that is to Oregon Trail resources.

So that's just something to keep in mind, that those are direct impacts to any segment of the Oregon Trail that would be avoided.

I'm going to talk about -- I see Morgan Lake is on here, but I'm going to talk about it a little bit more on the next slide.

This is really small and you probably can't read it, which is okay, because I'm not going to read it in any detail. These are taken from the application, Exhibit C, and these tables are also within the draft proposed order. What they do is they say how many facility components are in Union County for the proposed route and the alternative route. So it has the number of towers and multiuse areas, which are like construction areas.

The main thing, and I have reiterated this at 22 23 the last hearing, so I'm going to kind of reiterate with 24 more specificity tonight, is how the Council's process 25 treats alternatives.

Now, I know a lot of folks have participated in the siting process during the NEPA review, and the NEPA review is the federal process, and the Bureau of Land Management issued its preferred route in its record of decision. As folks are familiar with, it was a long process, and we weighed several alternatives, and the end result of the federal process was the federal agency, the BLM, asserting preference and basically saying this is the preferred route, this is the route that's issued in the record of decision.

The Council's process is what we described as a standards-based review process. So it does not result in the Council asserting a preference on a route. The Council is tasked with evaluating the applicant's proposal in this application against all of the Council standards.

So really, an applicant for any facility can propose any array of routes or alternatives, and the Council will go through each one and state: Does it meet all of the standards or doesn't it? So it's possible you could have three alternatives or three routes and, say, two meet all of the Council standards and get it approved, and one doesn't meet a standard or several standards, and so, therefore, does not get approved, or all routes are approved. In that situation

Page 17
the applicant can decide which route they would go with.
So it's an important thing. Standards-based review is the Council is going to review all of the alternatives in the proposed route that are proposed in the application. Now, this is a wrinkle here because we know that there is the Morgan Lake alternative, which is right over here, and then the proposed route. And as folks know, what's missing is what was called the Glass Hill alternative. The Glass Hill route was the one that was issued in the BLM's ROD. It is not in this application. However, the portions on the Morgan Lake alternative cross the same areas as the Glass Hill on federal lands. It's a nuance, but I guess my point is that Council cannot review that alternative because it's not in the application.

So really, in your comments tonight, it would be most useful for Council to focus on how the proposed route and/or Morgan Lake alternative do or do not meet the Council's standards, because even though there is that Glass Hill alternative in the federal permitting process, it's not in this application, and so the Council can't really do anything with that.

I hope that makes sense, and I can talk more about it if anybody has questions.

So lastly -- I just have a few more slides and

1 then we will start the public testimony. Speaking of 2 the Morgan Lake alternative, as folks know, Morgan Lake 3 is a site that tourists visit, the locals visit, it's very popular with recreation.

In the recreation section of the draft proposed order, there is -- we discussed Morgan Lake Park, which is managed by the City of La Grande. And there is actually the recommended recreation condition 1, where the Department imposed modifications to the towers to use a different, a shorter tower and a different tower design to reduce the visual impacts to recreational opportunities at the park. So that's an example of how visual impacts were mitigated, and that would only apply, of course, if that alternative was selected.

So let's see. I can answer more questions, but I'm going to move on with that because I actually don't have very much time for my portion here.

My next couple slides -- for the folks that attended, thank you. The informational meetings on the complete application, these slides are from there, but I'm just going to kind of quickly go over them. Ms. Alison Greene Webster, she's going to go over the 4 specific details that we have to procedurally go over to 25 give you folks guidance for how to best submit comments,
and I'm going to try to do that in a way that is most
helpful to you.

So folks received the notice of the DPO, which had information about these hearings and the comment period. This is another slide, there's lots of words on it. There's two concepts here. Basically, one, the first bullet point is the timing. Time matters. The time to comment is now, it's at one of these hearings in writing or in oral testimony or in writing to the Department before the close of the record, which at this time is July 23rd.

The second part of this slide is the content of your comments. And this is to preserve your right to participate later in the automatic contested case in the Council's review process.

So to participate in the -- yes, to
participate later, there's this term -- I'm so sorry, I
swear we didn't do it, but they say "sufficient
specificity." I know it's vague. What does that mean? That is what it is. So we have some guidance, and I'll get to that.
22
23 kind of lends to sufficient specificity. It says: A
24 person must present facts that support a person's
25 position on the issue. And so I don't know if folks can
2
3
4
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person must present facts that support a person's
position on the issue. And so I don't know if folks can
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1 see this slide again too well, but it's examples, as best we can give, of effective commenting as compared to like ineffective commenting.

So examples of effective comments are comments that reference a specific Council standard or a rule or a statute, and then you provide specific information of how information, either in the application or in the draft proposed order or DPO, do or don't meet that standard or rule or statute.

Effective comments, another example is stating supporting facts in comments and attaching reference material. So if you have studies or reference materials, provide those, but help us understand what you're seeing so we -- when I say "we," the Department and Council -- so we understand how your comment is associated or how that study goes back and supports or doesn't support meeting the Council standard for whatever that issue is.

And then it also helps if you reference specific pages in the application or the DPO. Again, helping us understand what the issue is, and commenting with sufficient specificity to afford the Department, us, the applicant, Idaho Power, and the Council an adequate opportunity to respond to the comments. So that's some guidance.

## So less effective commenting are just stating

 support, which you totally can do these, but there's just not a lot we can do with them. So just stating support or opposition for the facility.Only providing your reference materials, so only giving a report but not tying it to the order or to a standard, that is less effective. Also, raising issues outside of the Council's jurisdiction, and then making unsubstantiated statements.

So I hope that helps. Again, there's going to be some more direction, and then we'll do oral testimony. But I did just want to take -- I know that there's a lot of confusion between the alternatives and the routes, but I really do want to reiterate that Council's jurisdiction is, and their view or scope of what they can review is what's in the application. And what's in the application is the proposed route and that Morgan Lake alternative.

Unless Council has any questions for me.
Thank you, folks.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: All right.
Good evening. Just as some procedural things, we are going to get started with the public testimony in just a moment here. Just so you know, we have a lot of people that have turned in forms. We may have some
people on the phone that also want to give testimony. So we will be starting -- and I'll come back around to this -- but we'll be giving people 7 minutes initially to make their comments. And we ask you to be as succinct as possible so that everybody that wants to have an opportunity to give testimony tonight can do so.

If we still have time and you didn't get all of your comments in, we could maybe come back to you, but on the first trip up here, let's please limit your comments to 7 minutes.

Also, we will be taking a break, probably close, between 6:00 or 6:30 we will be taking a break for about 10 minutes, and then we'll reconvene and continue on with the public testimony.

So on the official part here, the purpose of the public hearing is to provide an opportunity for the public and the applicant, if it wishes to do so, to present oral and written testimony on the Boardman to Hemingway transmission line application for site certificate and the draft proposed order. The 62-day record of the public hearing comment period on the draft proposed order closes July 23, 2019, at 5 p.m.

Anyone who wishes to provide oral testimony must fill out a registration form located near the entrance. Department staff can provide a form if you
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1 have not received a form to fill out. Any forms that 2 have not been filled out and provided to Department staff, please do so at this time, as I am going to begin establishing the time each commenter will have in person and over the telephone lines. I gave you that magic number of 7 minutes.

I will call each person up to testify individually and will also indicate who the next person will be to testify so that they can be prepared.

It looks like there is two seats here. So you can either -- if you are the No. 2 person, you can either come up or you can move to the front so we can move this process along.

Is there anybody on the phone that would like to give a comment and, if so, please sort of indicate your presence?

I don't hear anybody. I will come back around in case somebody joins us later on the phone.

At this time I have approximately 20 in-person 20 commenters. The DPO has allotted 3 hours for public 21 testimony, which is 180 minutes. I'm going to come back 22 around and allow time for people that may join us later.
23 But as I indicated, because we have a lot of people we
24 want to hear from tonight, we are going to go with 257 minutes up here.

1 t

$$
2 \mathrm{c}
$$

3
4

Department staff will track the time of each commenter, and you should be able to view how much on time on the stop clock you have left. Please be respectful of the allotted time and the other speakers. If I or a Council member asks clarification questions of the commenter, the time will be stopped for the question and response and then restarted to allow the commenter to have the full time, the full 7 minutes to give their comment.

Any requests made to EFSC will be brought up at the conclusion of the public testimony opportunity of the hearing.

Today's hearing, as well as all of the public hearings on the Boardman to Hemingway draft proposed order, are being documented by a certified court reporter, and there will be transcripts of the testimony made available after the completion of the public hearing. We are also recording today's hearing.

The presentations, written comments, and oral testimony are part of the decision record for the proposed facility.

Here's the important, the legalese. Pursuant to OAR 345-015-0220(5)(a) and (b), please note the following: "A person who intends to raise any issue that may be the basis for a contested case must raise
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the issue in person at the hearing or in a written comment submitted to the Department of Energy before the deadline stated in the notice of the public hearing," which is July 23, 2019.
"A person who intends to raise any issue that may be the basis for a contested case must raise the issue with sufficient specificity to afford the Council, he Department of Energy and the applicant an adequate opportunity to respond, including a statement of facts that support the person's position on the issue."

We'll move to the next slide. To raise an issue in a contested test proceeding, the issue must be: Within the Council's jurisdiction; raised in writing or in person prior to the close of the record of the hearing or comment period, which is July 23rd; raised with sufficient specificity to afford Council, the Department, and the applicant an adequate opportunity to respond.

To raise an issue with sufficient specificity, a person must present facts that support the person's position on the issue.

We will now begin the public testimony. It is 5:03 p.m. I am going to ask that each speaker, when you come up if you would state your name and provide your address, and also if it's not a common spelling of your

1 name, if you would spell it for the record as well, so the court reporter can get it down correctly.

The first person in this we are going to call
up is Sandy Ryman, and then we are going to follow
Ms. Ryman with David, it looks like Moyal. When
6 Ms. Ryman finishes and the next person comes up, I will
then identify the person that follows so you'll know who the next person is going to be.

Good evening.
MS. SANDY RYMAN: Good evening. My name is Sandy Ryman, R-y-m-a-n, and my address is 604 M Avenue here in La Grande, Oregon.

I am a lifelong resident of eastern Oregon, and I have an undergraduate degree in community health and an MBA. So you can tell this is not my area of expertise. But what I currently do in my work is I look at needs and needs assessments; so I decided to focus on Exhibit N, the needs portion of the application for a site certificate.

And in doing that, I'm still wading through all the details, but I wanted to take a look at the needs portion in particular. And it discusses the fact that in September of 2009, Idaho Power's board of directors approved guidelines to establish a goal to reduce CO 2 emission intensity of the company's utility
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operations.
One of the primary sources of electrical energy for Idaho Power is currently hydroelectrical generation, and they state in the application that 5 because of the changes in stream flows and production 6 levels of existing renewable resources, they have been 7 working on assuring diversity in their energy resources 3 and they list those. And they're also working on
assuring diversity because changes in extreme flows can impact the CO2 emissions process for producing energy.

They listed eight different types of
supply-side resources. And my point No. 1 is that I question whether those aren't -- aren't more of those power resources available in southern Idaho? Because I wonder if the cost of this transmission line is considered in any of those CO2 emission calculations overall. It seems questionable as compared to more localized power sources within southern Idaho.

I also did some research in looking at the April 2015, report from the US Department of Energy. They do an energy review transmission, storage, and distribution infrastructure on it, and they came up with some facts that I found quite interesting.

So my point No. 2 is the fact that they point
of total US energy electrical consumption has been on the decline and has even been negative in the last few years. In fact, the growth rate of the US electricity load is the lowest levels since 1950.

So moving on from that, and point 3 that I want to make, is they also discuss the fact that severe weather and climate changes are currently causing significant damage to grid infrastructure, and particularly to transmission lines. And they go on to talk about the fact that there are certain regions of the country that are specifically impacted by weather events. And in the West our weather events are caused by lightning and wildfires.

And the report goes on, it also talks about five factors that need to be considered; lightning, wildfires, extreme cold, extreme winds, and vegetation growth. And really, all of those are things which can have an impact on this transmission line and could create a long-term maintenance impact for Idaho Power.

I'm going to talk also about the fact that the modeling effort which is sited in this Department of Energy report states that the modeling effort really focuses only on new transmission along existing or proposed corridors, and it doesn't consider local and regional reliability impacts of these kind of scenarios.
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factories, commercial facilities, businesses and homes. But the way that we use energy today is based more on power electronics and direct current, which is the format Thomas Edison preferred. Many of the new resources in energy, such as solar and battery energy storage, are inherently DC, which is resulting in a mismatch between AC, which is the transmission line we're talking about, and the DC-based resources and loads.

And he talks about how this electricity resources include not only cleaner natural gas plants, but distributed solar and wind farms located mainly in rural areas. This new paradigm was enabling options for smaller regional microgrids as a method of building greater resiliency, reliability, and security in our power infrastructure. And these are defined by smaller geographical boundaries. Microgrids essentially contain enough energy resources to meet the demands.

And nowhere in the application does Idaho Power talk about having looked at microgrids as an option.

So I asked myself: Why is Idaho Power looking at this long transmission line? Well, the US Department of Energy report that I cited previously says that: Currently power groups, like the American Electric
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Power, is not building new power plants; they are retiring power plants, but they are expanding their transmission network because it provides reliable financial returns at a time when an industry's main source of income, power generation, is flat.

And so I was very concerned that the whole siting methodology may not have looked at this, and I was wanting to make sure that you folks heard that.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you very much.
Following Mr. Moyal, we will have Roger Barnes on deck.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Barnes is not here.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Well, then we are going to skip Mr. Barnes and we will hear from JoAnne Marlette after --

MR. MOYAL: David Moyal.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Yes, your turn, and then Ms. Marlette.

MR. DAVID MOYAL: Thanks for allowing me to speak to members of the Council --

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: A couple things. If 2 you would use the microphone, if you would state your 23 name and your address, and if you would read a little 24 bit slower so the court reporter can take it down.

1
2 t

My name is David Moyal, and my address is 1804 Second Street here in La Grande. I appreciate the opportunity to speak to the members of the Council.

I object to the proposed routing of the B2H transmission line through Union County. I'm a resident of La Grande, and I'm very concerned because I live not much more than a mile from the proposed route. In its application for site certificate, Idaho Power states that the project is, and I quote, "not likely to result in significant adverse impacts to scenic resources and values identified as significant or important in local land use plans, tribal land management plans" --

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Mr. Moyal, if you would move the mic a little closer to you.

MR. MOYAL: Closer still? Is this okay?
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Yes.
MR. MOYAL: -- "and federal land management plans for any lands located within the analysis area described for the project."

But this conclusion is far from the case. The arguments for it can only be made by the narrowest possible interpretation of specific clauses in the Union County land use plan. The plan's general and overarching purpose, and I quote Union County's general plan, is: "The natural beauty of Union County is worthy
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of preservation and should be preserved consistent with the stated purposes of this plan."

It goes on to say on page 33 that the development will maintain or enhance the attractiveness of the area and not degrade resources. The application ignores the general purpose of the plan, basically saying, and I paraphrase it, if an area isn't specifically mentioned in the plan, in County's plan, then it lies outside the purview of the application and doesn't need evaluation.

The logic behind this dismissal of scenic resources impact is flawed. The County, in defining specific areas of concern, couldn't possibly in the 1970s have anticipated every possible project that might deleteriously affect County viewshed, hence the general mission statement of the plan, which I quoted earlier, needs to be addressed in the application before conclusions regarding scenic values can be reached.

I'll go off topic a little bit. I would like to point out the injustice in the exclusion of the City of La Grande from permitting and siting process. More than any other municipality we are impacted by this project, yet because it lies immediately outside our city limits we are excluded beyond the City Council proclamation opposing the project from the
decision-making process.
much.

Please remember as you reach your decision,
that you'll be gone tomorrow, but we'll be living with
the effects of your decision, positive or negative, for years to come. Thank you very much.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
Following Ms. Marlette we will be hearing from Virginia Mammen.

Good evening.
MS. MARLETTE: Hi. Thank you for allowing us to speak to you this evening. I am JoAnne Marlette, 2031 Court Street, Baker City, Oregon. You will probably hear pretty much what I said last night.

As you are all well aware, Oregon has an existing utility corridor which was set in place during the administration of Governor Tom McCall. I knew Tom McCall; as a matter of fact, I typed the first draft of his mother, Dorothy Lawson McCall's, book, "Ranch Under the Rimrock."

It was his love of this ranchland in Central Oregon that led him to his commitment to preserve farm and forestland. And in the early 1970s, as governor, he signed Senate Bill 100, which created a statewide land use regulatory system aimed at preserving farm and forestland.
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because the environmentalists would be after them like stink on a dog, perhaps even suing Idaho Power for all the reasons we are objecting to it coming through our private property here in northeastern Oregon. I'm sure they don't want to spend tons of their money defending this B2H proposed project through our public lands with impending threats of lawsuits at their every turn.

Also, I find quite a discrepancy as to need.
My research shows the market is not growing. Idaho
Power's billed sales for the last 10 years have been
essentially flat, if not declining. That is supported
by reports from the US government and Idaho Power's own
And I will be providing further written
24
Knowing how important preserving farm and forestland would be, a utility corridor was set from Boardman, Oregon, to the Idaho border, so that issues such as what we are having right now would not exist.
All utilities would have their own corridor and would not encroach on farm and forestland in other parts of the state. Idaho Power has consistently claimed using our existing utility corridor would cost too much money.

From what I could find, it appears to me that daho Power is not going through our public lands

$$
12
$$

15

2
3
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
Following Ms. Mammen, we will be hearing from
Adrian Henderson.
SECRETARY CORNETT: Because we are recording
it and we have people on the phone, if everybody could
speak into the mic, it will be much more helpful for us
and those on the phone.
MS. VIRGINIA MAMMEN: I'm Virginia Mammen. I
live at 405 Balsa here in La Grande. I have lived on
Balsa, off Modelaire/Hawthorne Loop for 50 years, and I
love and appreciate the area in which I live. Through
those years I have learned to appreciate the area in
which I live. Although, I have learned that the land
around me, not only under my house, but far up into the
hills above me are to be respected as much as my
neighbors are to be respected.
During that time I have also learned that
although I have taken good care of my body, age and time
demand that I not push it any farther than necessary or
it will break down in one place or another.
So too the hills west above my house. As I
have watched this land creak and grown with the seasons,
it has been plagued with fire, drought, and flooding. I
have learned it is to be respected as a living being and
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should not be pushed. In 2010, this area was determined to be a hazard area and unstable. It moves and shifts with the nudges from Mother Nature making appearances down below my house with cracks and other minor nuisances.

I don't see any respect for our hills or me or my neighbors if B 2 H comes into our area, which is rated "high" or "very high" as a landslide area, while not just to give our hills an occasional push, but to slam them with dynamite, create massive holes, introduce excessively heavy weight and strip them of their beauty, pride, and spirit while opening the opportunity for causing the changing of the underground water paths and land stability and introducing possible new elements for fire hazard. Any one of these could create catastrophic danger to the formerly quiet neighborhood below that I have enjoyed for 50 years.

The disturbance of a soil and track-out would pollute the clean area which we cherish. Then there is the noise pollution from both construction and completed project. To me this is not progress in the making but a total lack of respect and appreciation for both people and the land.

I would invite you to come walk my
24
massive construction trucks -- that is
Modelaire/Hawthorne Loop -- but to serve the hospital when needed for the residents of 37 homes of men, women, and children who walk -- I might add, there are no sidewalks on that loop -- or drive daily, a neighborhood which you could destroy, seemingly without giving it another thought. Thank you.

I will be providing written testimony another time.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you. MS. ADRIAN HENDERSON: Hello. I'm Adrian Henderson. Thank you very much for letting me speak today. I live at 219 Harrison in La Grande, Oregon. Thank you for allowing me to testify.

You've already heard about the problems with noise and invasive weeds. I am concerned with the lack of requiring Idaho Power to make sure weeds do not go to seed or make them clean their equipment before it leaves the road or moves from one person's property to another.

As a member of the Chickasaw/Choctaw/Umatilla tribe, I want to remind you of how important this is to the tribes because of how it impacts our first foods. Comments were provided by the tribes about this.

You also heard from the developer that they would be working with the counties to make more changes
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to their weed plan. What I'm concerned about is that the only thing Idaho Power is required to do are the things that you include in the site certificates. The site certificates need to state that Idaho Power must comply with the state rules that require them to protect the land from seeds being spread from their transmission line, as long as the lines are in place. This is a major problem, and why we need to be listening to the people who are here today.

A statement by the developer that they plan to fix something later means nothing if you do not include it in the site certificate. The public will no longer have the right to appeal what they are doing; in fact, they don't even need to receive the information about what the developer is actually including in their weed plans.

This is why you will be receiving in writing comments from me and others in this audience about what needs to be changed.

I hope you will address the many problems you 1 are hearing about or denying Idaho Power permission to build a transmission line that will cause huge damages, increase our electric costs, but give us nominal benefits.

Unlike Idaho Power customers, we are not

1 having blackouts, equipment failures, or other problems that this utility company are having. Maybe they would have so many more problems if they would develop energy -- so many less problems if they would develop energy resources in Idaho instead of moving in hundreds of miles of high-voltage transmission lines to get it to their customers.

Thank you very much. Appreciate it.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
Following Mr. Rosenbaum, we will hear from Lois Barry.

MR. MICHAEL ROSENBAUM: A little bit of an aside here, interestingly enough, I received an email from my insurance company today: "Help protect your home from wildfire. Find out how. Dear Michael, Wildfires can occur suddenly with little to no warning. We want to help you stay safe and prepared. Review the resources below to learn how to protect yourself and your property from wildfire."

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to present testimony to the EFSC.

My name is Michael R. Rosenbaum. I reside at 1402 First Street in La Grande. I first moved to La Grande in 1969, and I have lived here for a total of 27 years, having left and returned twice. I work
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1 seasonally for a federal agency and am currently a member of the City of La Grande committee dedicated to wildfire awareness, protection, and preparedness. The views expressed here are my own, and do not represent either my employer or the committee of which I'm a member.

According to Wikipedia, there are a total of 946 incorporated and unincorporated cities in Oregon. In the 2018 study "Exposure of human communities to wildfire in the Pacific Northwest," researchers Joe H. Scott and Julie Gilbertson-Day of Pyrologix and Richard D. Stratton of the USDA Forest Service, ranked La Grande number 40 of 50 communities with the highest community exposure ranking with 5,426 housing units exposed to wildfire and a burn probability rate of 138 .

You will note that La Grande is edged by open grasslands and timber on its southwest, west, and northwest flanks, two of these three directions housing the proposed Boardman to Hemingway transmission line.

The June 30, 2016, revision of the Union County Wildfire Protection Plan, the CWPP, details in the Union County Risk Assessment Summary of the Northeast Oregon Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, wildfire that either did encroach or had the potential to put La Grande at risk. It details

1 as well conditions that put the community's probability of and vulnerability to a future hazard event rating as high.

Note, this rating did not include any events that might be caused by or influenced by a $500-\mathrm{kV}$ power line or a failure thereof in close proximity to
La Grande. This issue will be taken up later in the testimony.

1973: The Rooster Peak Wildfire, 6,400 acres, encroachment into the southwest city limits, structures lost and the city threatened.

1986: The Frizzel Wildfire, 250 acres burned in the Mt. Emily Wildfire-Urban interface, northwest of La Grande.

2001: The Boulevard Wildfire, 150 acres in the La Grande City watershed southwest of the city.
Fuel conditions in this location remain a substantial hazard and this fire could have presented a "major event" given less favorable conditions.

In a brochure issued by the La Grande
21 Wildland-Urban Interface Committee, there were
22 approximately 34 human-caused and approximately 52
23 lightning-caused wildfires in the last 10 years in close
24 proximity to La Grande, several of which were on or near
25 to the proposed route or routes of the Boardman to
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Hemingway power line.
Let us look briefly at weather patterns in
La Grande. From the weatherspark.com website, the predominant wind direction from mid-March to mid-October is from the west. However, there are extended exceptions to this. In 2017 and 2018, smoke from remote wildfires in British Columbia, Montana, and Washington were driven into the Grande Ronde Valley by north and northeast winds. The limited visibility could have hampered early wildfire detection. It did affect livability and health issues.

Also note that the majority of thunderstorms are driven into this area of the Blue Mountains by southwest and west winds.

In a plot from the Department of Atmospheric Sciences at the University of Utah, the strongest area winds from 16 to 20 miles per hour and from 20 miles per hour to infinity are from the south. The winds were plotted from January 2017 to June 2019. The seasons of the highest winds were not noted. Additionally, these stronger winds did occur, to a lesser extent, from the west and northwest. The Western Regional Climate Center notes that from 1992 to 2002 the predominant wind direction from April to September was northwest with southerly winds October to the end of the year.

11

24 there be enough time given possible extreme fire
25 behavior, possible transmission line failure causing a
fire start, possible limited visibility preventing early detection, possible spotting from a remote ignition, and other variables bringing wildfire to the transmission 4 line route to suppress the incident in time to stop 5 encroachment into the city limits and to save structures 6 in the Wildland-Urban Interfaces that are also in proximity to the transmission line route?

These factors must be taken into account
before approval and construction of the Boardman to
Hemingway system.
In Oregon on June 14 --
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Mr. Rosenbaum, we are out of time.

MR. MICHAEL ROSENBAUM: I have got another minute. Okay?

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Okay.
MR. MICHAEL ROSENBAUM: In Oregon, on June 14, 2019, according to "The Statesman Journal," Pacific Power, with approximately 600,000 end-user customers, proposed to shut down electricity during extreme weather events, which will help limit the effects of the grid on wildfire. It is likely that other Oregon power
companies with local end users will follow suit, in my estimation.

In California, PG\&E has recently cut power in
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extreme weather conditions in several northern
California counties, including Butte County where Paradise is located.

Note that the Soda fire in 2015 in southwest
5 Idaho and Oregon was not caused by a failure in Idaho
6 Power's system, but did require the company to replace
7.5 miles of transmission line. I ask: What is the 3 guarantee to the people of La Grande, Oregon, that Idaho
Power, with no local end-user customers, will shut power
generation in the event of red flag warnings locally for extreme conditions, including low RHs of single digits to the low 20 percents, lightning activity levels of 4 and higher, extended high temperatures, severe thunderstorms with attendant high outflow winds?

I haven't gone into the issue of the changing climate of the Blue Mountains and also the frequent changes in weather patterns from year to year during fire season. The estimate in the Blue Mountains is the temperatures could increase $41 / 2$ to $61 / 2$ degrees over the next 30 years.

In conclusion, I propose that the Boardman to Hemingway transmission line, with the suggested routes in close proximity to the City of La Grande and structures in the Wildland-Urban Interface, would contribute to the vulnerability and the high probability

1 of wildfire intrusion and exposure. It would put values at risk with a failed line on the ground or involvement
of transmission lines and support structures in a wildfire. Values such as firefighting personnel and equipment, homes, structures, including medical facilities, businesses, infrastructure, private timberlands and pasture.

If the system is not a causative factor in a wildfire start, it could be a contributing factor in the rapid acceleration of unchecked wildfire spread.

Should you approve this transmission line route through the Blue Mountains, and specifically in proximity to La Grande, you are quite literally playing with fire.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
After we hear from Ms. Barry, we will hear from John Anderson.

MS. LOIS BARRY: I'm Lois Barry, L-o-i-s, B-a-r-r-y. I live at 60688 Morgan Lake Road in La Grande, which appropriately enough is the 150 acres that burned in a 1973 forest fire that Mike Rosenbaum just referred to. That is the fire that endangered the entire town of La Grande and especially the hospital.

At the moment, the current proposed Mill Creek route of the B 2 H would put three towers right across the
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middle of that 150 acres of 40 -foot high pine trees that have regrown in the last 50 years. That was an aside.

Now, I have two statements. I realize that the mission of the EFSC committee is to choose a route for the B 2 H and not to decide if it's a good project.
Even so, you should know that the B2H project has a
basic flaw. It was discussed as early as 2006, and those plans have not changed in 13 years: It is no longer needed. And if it were needed, the BLM environmentally-preferred route should be the route of choice. If you approve the site application for the B2H now, whatever route is chosen, will become the site of a $\$ 1.2$ billion stranded asset.

My second point. I'm a retired professor. I taught research writing and critical thinking for 25 years. And I have carefully read several relevant sections of Idaho Power's application. It's a substandard piece of work. It's replete with obvious inaccuracies and unsupported conclusions.

And here is a clear example of a factual inaccuracy: Page 62 refers to, quote, "extensive work in the siting study of the Morgan Lake Alternative," unquote. I doubt it was extensive because it's completely inaccurate. Morgan Lake Park is described as 204 acres, containing one lake, which is developed with

1 primitive campsites and a fishing dock. Morgan Lake Park actually contains two lakes. Morgan Lake covers 70 acres.

The other, Twin Lake, is in plain site within 300 feet of Morgan Lake, it covers 27 acres. Twin Lake is undeveloped, a wildlife and bird sanctuary, home to nesting bald eagles. It is designated as protected wetlands. In their application Idaho Power conveniently omits any references to Twin Lake.

Page 156 purports to be a map of Morgan Lake Park. According to the map legend the purple crosshatch amoeba-shaped area is Morgan Lake Park. That is wrong. The purple crosshatch is Morgan Lake. The actual boundaries of the 204 -acre park are not indicated. And obviously it's difficult to believe "extensive work on this siting study" ever occurred.

A specific example of unsupported conclusions: Page 145, Baseline condition, quote: "A goal of minimal development of Morgan Lake Park should be maintained to preserve the maximum natural setting and to encourage solitude, isolation, and limited visibility of users..."

Page 146, quote: "The landscape character is natural appearing. Scenic integrity is high as the human developments are harmonious with the landscape."

Page 149: "Vegetation will block views of the
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towers from most locations in the park," unquote.
In reality, one tower would dominate the
entrance to the park, all 130 feet of it in plain view.
4 Within the park, trees bordering the lake are no more
than 80 feet high. 130 -foot transmission towers will rise more than 50 feet above those trees, dominating the current landscape.

Idaho Power simply concludes that the inescapable sight of $500-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission lines and towers around a natural lake setting will have, quote, "no significant impact," on Morgan Lake Park. In research writing this qualifies as wishful thinking.

This is the park whose baseline, quote, "should be maintained to preserve the maximum natural setting and to encourage solitude, isolation, and limited visibility of users," unquote, because 50 years ago, no one ever imagined anything larger than a human being might ever intrude.

If this application were an airplane, it would 20 have crashed long ago. I urge the Commission to deny
21 this application for a site certificate until each
22 comment submitted at these public meetings and sent to
23 the Commission by July 23rd has been thoroughly analyzed
24 and Idaho Power has provided credible evidence to
25 support each of its conclusions of, quote, "no
significant impact."
Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Following
Mr. Anderson, we will hear from Jonathan White.
MR. JOHN ANDERSON: Thank you. Many of the
things I have to say have already been covered.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: If you could give your name and your address.
MR. JOHN ANDERSON: I'm sorry. John C.
Anderson, 409 Sunset Drive, La Grande.
Many of the things that I have to say have already been covered quite eloquently, but being short, I will say them anyway.

There are many good reasons to abandon Idaho Power's planned B2H power line. Today you may hear testimony regarding economics, geology, eminent domain, view scapes, and many others.

I would like to talk about the danger of fire. We know about the Camp Fire and the tragic consequences for Paradise, California. This and other major fires were caused by power lines owned by PG\&E.

B2H will cross the Blue Mountains west of La Grande through areas of extreme risk of wildfire. This is reckless behavior.

In 1973, the Rooster Peak Fire started 6 miles
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west of La Grande. When it was discovered it was
limited to 1 acre. Days later it had consumed 6,000 acres and had burned right up to the hospital's grounds.
It could happen again.
PG\&E and other utilities are shutting down some of their lines during times of high risk. If Idaho Power wisely followed their lead, they would lose the power they say they need during a time of peak demand.

Siting a high-voltage line through fire-prone areas is an unacceptable risk to take when this line is not needed. I don't think that Idaho Power has presented plans to mitigate this dangerous situation nor the unforeseen consequences of construction during peak fire season.

Please consider the safety of La Grande and its surroundings before you make any decisions.

Thank you. My written remarks will follow at a later time.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
Following Mr. White, we will hear from Susan Badger.

MR. JONATHAN WHITE: Jon White, 485 Modelaire Drive, La Grande.

My comment is about the blasting that would

B2H line near milepost 106 through 108 of the
IPC-preferred Mill Creek route, and that is where the line would come closest to La Grande. Although the application does not specify where blasting will occur, the applicant's blasting plans state, quote: "Blasting may be needed in certain areas with rocky terrain to excavate tower footings, prepare station pads, and to construct access roads."

The relevant Structural Standard states, in part: The applicant, through appropriate site-specific study, has adequately characterized the potential geological and soils hazards of the site and its vicinity that could be aggravated by the construction of the proposed facility.

My impression from reviewing the application is that the applicant has not fully considered the impacts of blasting on the nearby unstable slope in a populated area of La Grande, Oregon. There is map in the application that shows the B2H line at milepost 106 through 108. That map depicts where the line is about 2,500 feet from a populated "Unconsolidated Sediments" zone, and then crosses a, quote, "Landslide Deposits" zone near milepost 108.

The application also mentions in text, slope instability in a small part. Quote: "One of the
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1 landslides intersects the IPC proposed routed between towers $160 / 3$ and 106/4. Based on review of the topography and aerial photographs, this mapped landslide may impact the proposed work areas around tower 160/4. A field reconnaissance of this area should be performed as part of the geotechnical exploration program," unquote.

My concern is more about the construction process than about the integrity of the towers after construction. The application identifies the problem in general but provides no detail about the blasting or the potential effects on nearby houses in an area that the City of La Grande designates as a, quote, "Geologic Hazard Zone," unquote. We know that each tower footing will require a hole 30 to 50 feet deep, and that the bedrock underneath the line on milepost 106 to 108 will almost certainly require blasting for efficient excavation.

The application does not address this concern, and the proposed construction is simply too close to a populated area to mitigate the risk of damage to homes. The application does not comply with the relevant standard.

I will include detailed references in my
24
25 written comments. Thank you for your consideration.

1 hosting, maintenance, and campground designation, supporting that natural experience. A tower is very much at odds with this.

The application says vegetation will block views of the proposed tower. It's just not true. Trees at the proposed site are 70 , maybe 80 feet tall, but the tower 130 feet and basically ugly. The tower will be highly visible coming and going and from many locations in the park.

While people may still be able to walk and boat and camp, the quality of that natural experience will be very much compromised. "Less than significant impact" is what the application says. Give me a break.

That brings me to fire. Fire is a constant danger in a park area, and the proposed tower heightens that threat. The area is already well familiar with wildfire and subsequent loss of timber and homes, yet that risk isn't even addressed.

And then there is the road. The only access to the staging area and future maintenance is the county's Morgan Lake Road. It's the only access to town and emergency services for more than 30 families. You do the math; 30 homes, 2 drivers each, 2, 4 trips a day, 6 to 7 days a week to work, to school, church, kids, 5 medical services, and then there are people coming up

1 the road to visit, and even more park goers. That road 2 is steep, it's a 17-degree slope. They don't even let 3 you build those anymore. Besides it being steep, it's 4 narrow, windy, and in bad shape. Except for a few days 5 after its annual grading, which they just did, in case 6 you want to drive up there, I imagine, the road is 7 bumpy, rutted and loose with gravel.

Earlier this year a car-sized section of the road slumped more than a foot, causing one-way traffic for more than 3 weeks. Last year a long section of guardrail simply fell off the side of the road and remained off for months.

The prolonged pounding of large tires on heavy construction vehicles going up and down the road, that application says it will cause only temporary and less than significant impact. That is just not true. There will be significant impact to the daily users and significant and probably long-term impact to the condition of the road.

And finally there is the future. The
likelihood for this area to become a utility corridor.
Imagine a guy showing up on your front doorstep and just moving in, uninvited, unwanted, parking in your driveway, throwing stuff around your house, making noise and dust, wrecking your view for months, and you get no

1 benefit. There are no substations that benefit people in Union County or other nearby counties. And when this 3 guy finally moves out, he leaves a big swath through 4 your landscape with a permanent buzz overhead. And he says, Oh, by the way, there will probably be more of us coming. Uninvited, unwanted, offering us no benefit.

These are significant and permanent impacts. I object, especially knowing that this whole thing could have gone through uninhabited BLM land.

Thank you. I will submit details.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Following Mr. Dill, we will hear from Brian Kelly.

MR. DWIGHT DILL: Dwight Dill, I live at 7077 Aquarius Way in La Grande.

You spoke a lot this evening about raising our issues with sufficient specificity. I will be
submitting written comments at a later date. I will be
sufficiently specific. I think my comments tonight are probably more emotional.

I'd like speak to my concern regarding the environmental and visual impact of the B2H towers since they were proposed to be sited on the southern edge of La Grande near Morgan Lake. I have heard many individuals refer to Union County as a "hidden gem" in 25 Oregon. We have an incredibly beautiful valley with
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I am the restoration director with the Greater Hells Canyon Council. We are a conservation organization based right here in La Grande. We have been in existence for 52 years located in northeast Oregon.

One reason I mentioned that we have been around for 52 years is we started to prevent dam building in Hells Canyon. The reason I bring that up tonight is because when I read through the justification for this power line, it's eerily reminiscent of the justification to build the dams in Hells Canyon. As you may know, we have three existing dams in Hells Canyon, but there was a proposal in the late '60s to construct more dams that would block up the Salmon River coming out of central Idaho and the Imnaha River coming out of the heart of the Wallowa Mountains.

When they constructed the original dams, one day in $1958,4,000$ salmon came to the construction site and promptly died. In my book, that constitutes crime against nature. And we, when I say "we," the people who came before me, successfully prevented those dams from being built and prevented a crime against nature.

We have learned a lot. We have developed a lot of technology in the last 52 years, and we can do better than constructing this power line. When I was
preparing for tonight, I pulled up some comments that I had written earlier. And these comments, I won't provide them tonight because I printed them out on some other stuff I'd prefer not to share with you. But I've already sent them to you. And these are dated September 2010. I'm not going to read them, I'm just going to use them as a cheat sheet for myself to page through some of the topics that I want to cover.

I'm going to focus on forests and the grasslands and the wildlife and the fish. Just in terms of background, I have a bachelor's degree from Cornell University, where I studied forestry and arboriculture. I have been a certified arborist in good standing for the last 23 years. I have lived and worked in northeast Oregon for almost 40 years, and during that time I have studied extensively the forests and the grasslands of this area.

One of the most important aspects of our ecosystems is the connectivity of a variety, a wide variety of habitat we have here, forests and non-forests. And connectivity is the way that plants and animals can move across the landscape. As we continue to see the effects of climate change, that connectivity is going to be so much more important.

Constructing a power line through the middle

Page 63
1 of these native forests and grasslands goes right
against the concept of connectivity because by the nature of it you are disrupting it, you are creating a barrier.

It was mentioned earlier that in the forested areas that the right-of-way would be 300 feet wide. And in layman's terms what that means is there is going to be a 300 -foot wide clear-cut through all the forests that this power line crosses. 300 feet is the length of a football field. So if you stand at the zero yard line and you are looking clear down to the other end of the 100-yard football field, that is going to be width of the clear-cut through the forest.

Personally I feel like clear-cuts are not a good thing to begin with, but under a power line it's always going to be a clear-cut, and it's going to be maintained either by cutting down the trees and shrubs that grow back in or spraying with herbicide. Herbicide is a necessary tool, but it comes with a lot of environmental damages, and creating a new magnet for herbicide is really just a bad idea.

I have reviewed the environmental impact 3 statement, and I objected, we objected to the national 24 forest decision on this project. And one of the reasons 25 we did is because several hundred acres of national

1 f
forest, our shared public lands, will be clear-cut as a part of this project and will be maintained in a non-forest condition.

Also, the Forest Service has waived their
requirements for protecting riparian areas, and they
waive their protections for large trees and older trees with this project.

I have looked at the new draft proposed order for the project. I have not found a total on the
acreage of private land forests that will be clear-cut,
but I assume it's extensive also. These are really
important ecological damages that will result in this project.

Let's see, just to name a few wildlife
species, sage-grouse down in Baker County. In Union County this line would cross some of the most important and the most valuable elk habitat in the state of Oregon, just south of La Grande here. And pronghorn antelope and mule deer, they all need habitat, they all need to be able to migrate, they all need connectivity of habitat. And this line would severely damage all of those functions.

I did want to read one section that I wrote 8 years ago, 9 years ago. It says: "Rural Oregon tends to have higher poverty rates, lower wages and higher
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unemployment than the urban areas where the electricity would be shipped. Environmental justice is not served when these rural areas are saddled with the environmental cost of a transmission line and more affluent urban areas are the primary beneficiaries." That remains true, and that is just not right.

So my time is almost up. In conclusion, I
would just -- I hope -- again, I want to thank you for coming and listening, coming to the community where we all live.

I mentioned some of the challenges we face in the community, but we are a strong community. So I urge you to do the right thing and prevent this line from being built.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
Following Ms. Metlen we will have Joe Horst, and I think we will do one more after Mr. Horst. We'll hear from Gail Carbiener, then we will take our break.

MS. ANITA METLEN: Good evening. Thank you for hearing me and allowing all my fellow community members to make comments on this project. My name is Anita Metlen. I live at 65208 Hull Lane, Imbler, Oregon 97841.

I strongly agree with all the previous

1 comments about fire and environment and the viewsheds.
2 I have lived in this valley for 43 years. I've been a 3 business owner, I have raised a family. Two of those 4 businesses do involve tourism and serving local people.
5 Those people enjoy the benefits of Morgan Lake, the 6 wilderness area, Ladd Marsh, the Oregon Trail, the scenic bikeways and scenic byways that crisscross this area. These are all very important to our culture here. And Glass Hill, Glass Hill is also something that we all gaze upon and truly enjoy.

My comment is that I am opposed to
installation of this transmission line. My greatest concern is the damage that the system would cause to the viewshed or the countryside in which it travels through. I would encourage you to look to other options. I know that solar panels, batteries, and all these kinds of options are now available.

But have you even thought to maybe aboveground type conduit? Like within a house you can have conduit, where you have transportation of your power, but it is less visible. Yes, there would still be some issues, but at least we wouldn't all have to look at it all the time.

So rural areas are dependent upon tourism.
Tourism in 2014 was $\$ 12.8$ billion worth of the economy
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1 in Oregon. Certainly we have a portion of that.
Tourists do not travel to ponder the power grid. And those of us who live in the rural area made a choice to live here where the countryside is not marred by manmade structures. Please do not ruin the viewshed for eternity for your towers and lines.

Our future is the continued livability of the place that we live, work, and play. Our future requires that we maintain the viewshed that is not marred by large unsightly towers and miles of wire.

So your plan is to plan for the future; our plan is to protect our future.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
MR. JOE HORST: Hello. My name is Joe Horst. I live at 87 Hawthorne Drive. My house and property sits right next to where their proposed tensioning station lines are going to be. Because of where it is we will be able to see at least a couple of the towers from our property.

In 2002, I bought 135 acres right there. It's
22 up here on the hill, and the Oregon Trail -- there's two
23 reasons I bought it, and one was the view, it was really
24 good; the other one was the Oregon Trail came across it, 25 which really intrigued me. And I very shortly

1 thereafter contacted the Oregon Trail Society. They came and marked off the trail. So I've had this little piece of heaven up there.

In 2014, my wife and I decided to build, rebuild our house or we actually entertained the idea of building on another piece of property, having 2 acres up there and a lot of places we could build with a view, we decided to go ahead and rebuild the house where it is, not knowing what the future had in store. We could have built the house on another section of property.

The first time that I was ever -- on May 5th of 2016, I got a letter from Idaho Power about -- and this is the first time I ever heard anything about this project, ever. And it was a very short letter. It just said that -- they put bold letters in the middle of the letter, it said: "Permission to enter your property for survey and information gathering does not constitute your consent to grant a future easement."

So I didn't think too much about it, but there was a name on there. I contacted a gentleman at Idaho Power named Mike Takac for more information. And we talked about it, and I said the Oregon Trail came across my property and this and that. And he said, Well, I guess we'll have to find another place to build the line. He said, what he said was, We will have to find
another route. So I didn't think anything about it, as far as I know, it's a done deal.

On December 16, 2016, I received a second letter with some maps, and it showed a -- they weren't very detailed, they were pretty vague, and neither the proposed route or the alternative route came near my property on those maps. This letter was very short and a bunch of legal jargon, but it had a questionnaire which pertained to farming. I didn't fill it out or anything.

Then the very next letter I received was on May 12th of 2017, and the letter said it was contacting landowners whose property may be crossed by this project. At this time I was contacted by somebody from Idaho Power. He was actually contracted to come talk to me. And this is when he actually started talking to me about the tensioning station. So I went ahead and sat down and talked to him. And as it comes out, they want to use the road coming up to our house, where it comes right past our house, literally 20 feet from our front door, 10 feet from our well, with big heavy trucks and everything. And because of the tensioning station building built, according to Idaho Power, could be as many as 160 vehicles per day. That's what they said.

The other issue I have on that particular deal

1 is the entrance, and they've talked about it a little 2 bit previously, where you come in off of Sunset onto 3 Modelaire and it splits to Hawthorne and Modelaire. ${ }^{4}$ There is no sidewalks. It's the only entrance into the 5 place. There is a lot of bike traffic, a lot of kid 6 traffic, a lot of walking, people just walking up and down that hill. And it's a potential hazard, big time.

Idaho Power has been very deceptive, and I've
had almost no contact with them whatsoever. I don't
know what to expect. All the information I'm getting is just really meetings, and yet I'm going to have to sit there. And it's getting close enough I'll hear the buzzing. I'll see two towers. I see people walk up the Oregon Trail all the time, and they'll have to sit there and look at these huge towers as they are walking. It's beautiful up through that little piece of property up there.

I just found out about the blasting, which I have a 565 -foot well we put in when we did the house. They are going to have to do some blasting there because it's solid rock.

So it's just a potential hazard all the way around, as far as -- I'm not going to gain -- I will have no gain. Looking at these things, I'll have to listen to them, and I don't gain anything from them. So
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I don't think it's -- I'm not really sure how they can actually come and do that.

So anyway, so that was the third time I was contacted was in 2017. Then I was actually -- somewhere around the end of 2017, a gentleman with Idaho Power, I believe his name was Jeff Maffuccio, or something like that, came up to the property. We discussed a few things. I voiced my concerns one more time with him.
Then we discussed -- we discussed about maybe put a road in a different spot, the one up there. But I don't know who is going to -- as far as I can tell, they will just come in and just use the one in front of my house, and there's nothing I can do about it.

I also live in the area, and a couple of people have mentioned, about the ' 73 fire actually burned where my house sits, right across that property. So that's another concern of mine as well.

I don't think there has been any environmental impact statement done on that particular route right there either. They said something about there was one done somewhere nearby, but I'm not sure how close that was or anything.

But I'm just going to ask that you guys take us into consideration. We have to live and deal with 55 this and with no gain on it. Especially from my
perspective up there, like I said, Idaho Power has contacted me a total of four times, and I really don't know about what is going on or anything. I think they should be a little more inclusive to people who are going to be impacted by this.

So I want to thank you guys for listening, and take some of these things into serious consideration in making your decision.

Thank you very much.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
MR. GAIL CARBIENER: I'm Gail Carbiener. I'm from Bend, Oregon, but behind me are lots and lots of friends. It's almost as if I live in this county I'm over here so frequently. I represent the Oregon Trail. That is a national organization whose job it is to do what we can to protect and preserve the trail as well as educate the public. I'm proud to say that our national organization is a member of Stop B2H and has donated a substantial amount of money to their effort to Stop B2H.

On Exhibit S, Historic Properties Management Plan, at 7.2.3, which is the field crew definition, I would like to add an expert from the Oregon Trail's Association to be a member. There is many, many instances in the documents presented for the Oregon Trail where the Oregon Trail is misrepresented,
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sometimes not even on the maps and, therefore, you need an expert, and there is none on that field crew. You have got my specific recommendations in writing.

Also, I'm glad to hear that Kellen led off
tonight with information about fire. Last night she did not. And I mentioned that Idaho Power's fire prevention plan is not only weak, it is less specific than I think you are requiring us to be. For example, Idaho Power last night responded to the chairman's question about have they submitted a draft fire prevention plan, and he said that it will be submitted. That is my recollection.

They not only submitted a draft fire prevention plan, but it was forwarded to the Forest Service and to the State Forestry fire prevention and corrections, and suggestions were submitted. However, in the draft project order, the fire prevention plan has not changed. I suggest that they do that.

I recommended a couple of things in the fire prevention plan: (1) cameras could be posted to cover the area of the power line if, in fact, it is to be built; (2) Idaho Power recommends that a watch person, an individual watch person be present to report fires during construction. My recommendation is that Idaho Power provide a crew with a wildfire engine, Category 3,
which is used by most of the wildfire prevention districts, to be present during construction at all times, including after hours when the vehicles and equipment are being serviced.

Last, but not least, the vegetation management plan that is presented by Idaho Power is a copy of PacifiCorp's vegetation management plan. They did not even take off PacifiCorp's logo. How insulting can that be?

So I hope that you will hear the people here tonight, and that you will turn down and reject the current B2H.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
Let's take a break. Let's come back at 6:40, and then we will then be calling Irene Gilbert to testify followed by John Williams.

Thank you all.
(Recess taken.)
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: We are back on the record. We are going to be hearing from Irene Gilbert, and following Irene we will be hearing from John Williams.

SECRETARY CORNETT: Before we begin, I'd like to make a quick announcement. For those of you who will
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1 come in a little bit later -- Max, can you raise your hand back there? Max. Cliff, in the red shirt, if anybody has come in late, we have comment cards. If you would like to make a comment, please fill out a card. Max is holding them up right now. Go back and talk to him. You can fill them out and then he'll bring them up to us. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Also, if there is anybody that is on the phone that would like to give a comment telephonically, please speak up now so we can accommodate you. We are going to put the phone callers in at the end of the in-person testimony, but we need to know if anybody is on the line so we can have time for you. Hearing none, we will proceed and time it as if there is nobody on the phone that wants to participate.

So, Ms. Gilbert, thank you.
MS. IRENE GILBERT: My name is Irene Gilbert. I live at 2310 Adams Avenue here in La Grande. I come representing myself. I'm also the legal research analyst for Friends of the Grande Ronde Valley and a member of the board for Stop B2H.

I want to make a few just really quick
22 comments before I get into the main part of my
24 presentation. But this is some of the concerns that I
25 have: The Oregon Department of Energy does not
recognize or honor the federal protections for
threatened and endangered species; in fact, it removed
them from their rules. I asked Representative Greg
Smith to get a response from Oregon legal Council about
whether or not that was legitimate or legal. And the response that he got was, Well, they can get away with
it if -- and this was a written response -- as long as
they include all those animals in their habitat section of the evaluation.

They do not cover all of the threatened and endangered or federally protected species; and, in fact, it says that pretty much if they run into them, sort of as an aside, they will note it. So I think that's a problem.

I think that when you read through these site certificates, there is a lot of use of language to misdirect people. And in the thousands of pages of information they provide they say things like: There will be no direct impacts on things like the Oregon Trail. That means they won't put a tower right in the middle of the trail.

They have done other things, like with Ladd Marsh, they rated it on a 30-point scale, they rated the views from Ladd Marsh and rated it an 11. So I would say that is a long ways from 30. And when they say they
are protecting raptor nests, that means they won't cut one down as long as there are young in the nest; but if the young are not there, they will cut it down and put a tower right next to it.

So those are the kind of individual things that I hope people are looking at and commenting on. I could give you 50 others.

Anyway, you previously heard from me in some level of detail about noise and weeds resulting from this development. I'd like you to keep in mind that the recommendations from the Oregon Department of Energy in the draft proposed order only give information in support of their recommendation.

So I hope that you thoroughly consider the comments and the written comments that you will receive from the rest of the community here.

One thing that happened is Idaho Power chose to identify the minimum amount of land that they possibly could as a part of their site. So what that means is things like to notice those people who are impacted that they have to notify people with 250 feet of it, they really limited the amount of people who got to know that this was happening. They also then got to minimize the damages from things like farm and forestland impacts. They didn't have to do surveys in a
fairly large area.
And what's ended up happening is they've had to ask the Oregon Department of Energy and the Energy Facility Siting Council to give them an exception to the Goal 5 land use rules. And what the developers have asked is they have asked the Oregon Department of Energy to give them the exception to this for putting roads through forest lands that are not on part of the site.

Now, this is kind of interesting, because I had a contested case before the Siting Council because of the developer who was not including a transmission line in their order. What happened is I lost that contested case because the Department of Energy decided that if the developer didn't include it in their application, then it wasn't considered part of the site.

I was not real happy about losing that contested case until now, because now Idaho Power wants you to approve this exception to the forest damages that they are going to create. And unfortunately for them, it's clear in the state statutes, the agency rules, contested case results I referred to, that for site certificates the Council can only approve construction within the site.

So Idaho Power now has four options for these roads outside of the area of their site, as I see it.
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1 They can go through each individual county and go through their processes to get approval for every one of these roads they are going to put on people's property who have received no notice and have no clue what is going on. That will allow people to participate in another process like this.

They can amend the site certificate and start over with the Energy Facility Siting Council. They can try to win a court case by arguing that they should be able to have an exception for property where people have no idea that this thing is coming through and get the Energy Facility Siting Council to say, Yes, you can build roads anywhere you want outside the site.

And the fourth option, which I recommend, is to recognize that this transmission line is not needed and build local energy developments in Idaho to meet their perceived need, assuming they actually do occur.

I've said it before and I'll say it again:
The Travel Management Plan is not the only government action eastern Oregon citizens can stop if the people are active in participating and resisting.

And I am really glad to see, I want to thank everyone who showed up, because we can stop and we will stop the Boardman to Hemingway transmission line.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Following

Mr. Williams, we will hear from Peter Barry.
MR. JOHN WILLIAMS: Appreciate the opportunity
to talk here. John Williams, I live at Box 1384,
La Grande. I own property northwest and west of Morgan
Lake, and both power lines are going to cross my property.

I would like to start off and go back to something from 2009, which is the Sixth Power Plan
Overview from Northwest Power Conservation Council. And
this is the memo that apparently the folks didn't get.
The first full paragraph says, this is a summary: "The Pacific Northwest power system is faced with significant uncertainties about the direction and form of climate change policy, future fuel prices, salmon recovery actions, economic growth, and integrating rapidly growing amounts of variable wind generation. And yet the focus of the Council's power plan is clear, especially with regard to the important near-term actions.
"The Council's power plan addresses the risks these uncertainties pose for the region's electricity future and seeks an electrical resource strategy that minimizes the expected cost of, and risks to, the regional power system over the next 20 years. Across multiple scenarios considered in the development of the
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plan, one conclusion was constant: the most cost-effective and least risky resource for the region is improved efficiency of electrical use.
"In each of its power plans, the Council has found substantial amounts of conservation to be cheaper and more sustainable than most other types of generation. In this Sixth Power Plan, because of the higher costs of alternative generation sources, rapidly developing technology, and heightened concerns about global climate change, conservation holds an even larger potential for the region.
"The plan finds enough conservation to be available and cost effective to meet 85 percent of the region's load growth for the next 20 years. If developed aggressively, this conservation, combined with
the region's past successful development of energy
efficiency could constitute a resource comparable in
size to the Northwest federal hydroelectric system.
This efficiency resource will complement and protect the Northwest's heritage of clean and affordable power."

The list goes on to address --
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: If you could just slow down because we are trying to listen and she's trying to get it all down.

MR. JOHN WILLIAMS: It goes on to address the

1 reasons stated for this project in the first place,
which is enervation of variable power sources, such as wind and solar into the grid and it will increase the capacity that the transmission lines would have to provide. You can read that, and I'll skip over to what is going on with particular storage in the past 10 years.

I would like to start with 2008 or ' 09 when Nissan Leaf came out with all-electric cars that weighed 2,000 pounds and went 100 miles. And then Tesla comes along with a 4200-pound car that runs like a rocket and did 300 miles. Then Tesla further, in the aftermath of Maria in Puerto Rico, they supplied the hospital down there with power until the juice got turned back on to them.

Kodiak Island is an independent grid that was run by diesel and now is being powered by renewables. The John Day Dam on the Washington side had a project permitted for a wind farm, and that wind farm would take water from below the John Day Dam and back up above it, therefore, making the John Day Dam a more efficient battery. And then in Turkey, General Electric developed an integrated project of solar, wind, and a gas turbine to produce electricity.

It seems like this technology has moved rather
rapidly. I think we are in the crossroads of whether we need increased transmission or see if storage technology is going to make that obsolete. There is going to be a few more cards dealt in this. I've always thought at this point in time this project just needs to be kicked down the road and see what happens.

That's it.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
Following Mr. Barry, we will hear from Steven Clements.

MR. PETER BARRY: Yeah, I've got my 7 minutes
here. I'd really appreciate it if you guys would all
listen to me. Hanley, all you guys, I wish you would
all listen to me. Maybe you are all listening intently
but you are not making eye contact with these good people who have come far and worked hard all day long, and they deserve to be heard. And maybe some of their comments are not germane and they are not perfectly denoted by page and appendix and which tower that Idaho Power dreamt up, but none of us want this line.

Who wants this line? Anybody?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Not me.
MR. PETER BARRY: Stand up and --
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Mr. Barry.
MR. PETER BARRY: These people need to be
heard.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: And they need the opportunity to do so.

MR. PETER BARRY: I'm just using some of my 7
minutes. I'll burn a minute or two for that one.
But I'm passionate about this. You have seen this beautiful valley. Hanley used to live here. Unfortunately, he was a community planner, he didn't protect the viewshed. But we're NIMBYs; right? Oh, we don't want you going up our road, we don't want you going on our land.

But 300 miles, 300 miles of Oregon and you guys have a chance to derail this stupid idea. You can slow it down, derail it, you know you can. You have all of these different ways. You can allow contested case hearings. You can look at all of the stuff Stop B2H is going to submit. You can look at every one and go, Huh, that's a pretty good point. Can Idaho Power really prove that verifiably? Can they really prove it? Ten years ago, more than 10 years ago they said, We want to build this line. A for-profit corporation.

I used to think utilities were like a public service agency. They brought you water and electricity. We all love electricity. It turns out Idaho Power is a terrible juggernaut. They wanted to plug up Hells
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Canyon, the last free-flowing stretch of the Snake River, the last stretch. They lobbied hard. They spent millions of their ratepayers' dollars trying to plug up the last wide beautiful stretch of the Snake River. Took it all the way to the Supreme Court of our land, and fortunately, they had the wisdom to slam them back.

They wanted to build a coal-fired plant right by Boise that has horrific air quality. Fortunately, that was slammed down, too.

This is your chance to stop this stupid idea. We are talking about should it be built here or there. Oh, we love our view, we love our backyard. We love it here. Maybe you don't, maybe you want to live somewhere, that's fine, but we love this place. And 300 miles, and it's not federal land; it's public land, we own it. We all own the federal land; right? It's ours, it's yours.

And you guys have a chance, you have a little slice of voice; we don't. We get our 7 minutes, that's it. We can try to comprehend 20,000 pages of gibberish while trying to raise a family and hold down two jobs or raise four kids. That's what we can do. We can try to discern this crap.

It's difficult. Have you guys, have any of you read all 20,000 pages? Any of you? No one can do

1 it. Your staff can't read all 20,000 pages. They each 2 have a section, they try to understand it, and then you ask Idaho Power, What the hell does this mean? And they go, This is what it means. No, really, we've got your back. We will fill you in on that.

Have you heard of regulatory capture? That's where their staff is interacting with your staff, day and night, day and night, going out to lunch together, and they become friends and colleagues.

And no disrespect to you or your staff, I appreciate that you're doing this voluntarily. You come all the way out here, and you went to Morgan Lake. That's great you did that. We appreciate that. But we want to stop this damn thing. There's no need for it, and we can prove. There is no need for it. It would cause -- as everybody has testified, it would cause horrendous damage through our public land for our great-grandkids, not just us, but our grandkids and their kids. It would just be this ugly nightmare out there.

And it's not just because it's ugly, I don't want to see it. But we don't need it. We don't need a 300 -mile long clear-cut. We don't need it.

If any one of you or me went to a doctor and
25 said, I've got a back pain. And they said, Oh, we have
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1 got a solution, we will just put a slice down your spine and we can fix it. And you're like, Boy, that sounds pretty bad. They go, Oh, we have another option. We can slice down the other side of your spine.

Oh, that's our preferred grid and Mill Creek.
That's what we got. Thanks a lot. Slice away.
7 Then you go, I'm going to hire experts. And
for 2 years those experts study and study and study and
they spend $\$ 20$ million, the BLM I'm talking about. They
spent 20 million bucks to do this research on where is
the preferred route, not that it should be built or not.
Just if you're going to build the damn thing, where should you put it.

All those scientists, all those analysts, all those experts spending all that money and time, they said, Build it way over there. Well, Idaho Power gets to say, We don't care what you said. They paid for it, they had to pay for it. Well, they didn't pay for it, the ratepayers paid for it; right? The ratepayers had to pay a lot of money for that study. They ignored it.

So that's like us going, we pay for all these doctors to study and the doctors say, Oh, we've got a much easier treatment for you. You're not going to take that treatment, are you? You don't want to get cut 25 open, you don't want that treatment. And then someone

1 says, You know what, we have an alternative, we have an alternative solution.

This is 2018, and in another 5 years we have -- we already have solar, wind; right? We have all this stuff. We have storage. Every day it gets better, it's amazing.

So if someone says, You don't need an
operation, we can fix you with new technology, every one of us would grab that opportunity; right? Wouldn't we?
Or would we build this dinosaur because Idaho Power wants to make 70 million bucks with PacifiCorp, owned by Warren Buffet, a billionaire, he's a billionaire, and Idaho Power is a for-profit corporation; right? I'm not making this stuff up. This is true. They want to make a bunch of money. Warren Buffet probably said he'd buy Idaho Power if they shoved this line through or whatever.

We don't want it. No one in Oregon called you guys and said, Would you please build a big power line across Oregon. Nobody said that; right?

Same with Cove Power, no one is saying, Please build a pipeline across Oregon, across 200 creeks. No one is doing that except these profiteers. I don't like that, not personally, but I don't like corporations shoving their power line through our valley and across
my state. I love my state. I love Oregon. That's why I live here. I'm sure you guys love Oregon, too.

So what I'm asking you, please, all of you, please, when you hear an argument from Stop B2H, from any of these good citizens or anyone else, please have your staff analyze that material very, very carefully and then call us back if there is any questions. Don't just say, Idaho Power, oh, they've responded. Okay, that's the answer.

I saw that with the PUC. They just asked Idaho Power, How is that? And they answered. They didn't ask anybody else, What's your opinion? What's your view? What's the truth? Idaho Power lies, gives half truths, misinformation. It's inappropriate.

Can you tell I'm angry? All these people are angry, too, and a bunch more. We represent a tiny group of people, a tiny group of people. So I'm asking you, please help us slow this thing down, help us stop it.

I know you can't consider another intelligent route, if there was going to be a line, it should be somewhere else. I know you can't consider that, but we need to kill this thing. It's a stupid, terrible idea. You know it, I know it. The only people who want it is Idaho Power and PacifiCorp, and BPA pulled out; right? They are not telling anybody; right? Didn't they,
didn't BPA pull out? It's not in their budget; right?
The third partner pulled out. Why did they?
They already cancelled the big power line, or a small power line they were planning from Portland north into Washington; right? They cancelled that one. Now they pulled out, at least according to their budget, B2H isn't in their budget anymore.

Anyway, we're not getting good information from Idaho Power. You're not getting good information from Idaho Power. Don't rubber stamp this thing. Don't check it off the box. Went to La Grande, went to Pendleton; rubber stamp, build the line. Don't do it, please. Don't do it. This is your chance. You have the power to help Oregon.

Thank you for listening.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
MR. STEVEN CLEMENTS: It's kind of hard to come up here after that. Thank you, Pete.

My name is Steve Clements. I'm the mayor of La Grande. My address is 1000 Adams Avenue.

Before I start to speak, I want to thank all the people that came up here and spoke this evening. I'm particularly impressed by the background that they have, the work that they have done. They are to be commended for all the time that they've put in. It's

## Page 91

1 amazing. What I know about this project comes to about this much relative to what they know (indicating).

Anyway, thank you for the opportunity to present this evening. The La Grande City Council, which represents more than 13,000 people who will be negatively affected by this transmission line, has provided comments through staff, through our city staff at each of the steps in the process; so you have some of our input already.

I will reiterate some of that and add to it. In 2019 and '17, the La Grande City Council, in partnership with the Union County Commissioners, conducted two public meetings in this very room to hear from residents regarding the project in conjunction with the amended preliminary applications. Public sentiment expressed at those meetings overwhelmingly opposed the transmission line. You are hearing some of that this evening.

The bases for that opposition included, but was not limited to, reduced property values to homes along the proposed route; viewshed impacts throughout the area; environmental impacts both during construction and when the transmission line is operational; impacts 24 to recreational facilities such as Morgan Lake; and a 25 lack of public notice and involvement throughout the

1 process.

2

The La Grande City Council has been clear in its opposition to the project beginning with its first correspondence with ODOE in August of 2017 and again this past April in a proclamation that it made opposing the line. The City has also been consistent with its request that EFSC include mitigation to address the City's concerns if the project is approved.

We very much appreciate the inclusion of our staff's recommended conditions related to transportation and the impacts to Morgan Lake in the draft proposed order. We are hopeful that the transportation and conditions resolve the concerns raised by the City and Union County throughout the process.

Of the two routes identified in the application, the applicant has selected Mill Creek, the most impactful to La Grande. It will be visible up here on our end of the valley as the proposed route.

And the Morgan Lake, which also impacts City property because that entire Morgan Lake Park belongs to the City of La Grande. We have spent a lot of money up there keeping it and improving it as a recreational opportunity for people in this county. That is the alternative route.

And I cannot say this more emphatically: We
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1 oppose, the City of La Grande opposes both of those routes. And while I realize that the BLM-preferred route is outside of your consideration, and I appreciate what you gave us as guidelines before, the City Council is very concerned about the decision by the applicant not to submit the route which has lower social and environmental impacts than the two identified routes. I cannot understand why that route was not put in there, personally.

For the proposed route, we ask that a condition be included to require H -frames. We are talking about mitigation. Now, these are requests that we put forward. This is going to be somewhat different than what you and I agreed to.

But for the proposed route we ask that a condition be included, so that's the one up here, to require H -frames with a tower height no greater than 130 feet, with weathered steel between milepost 106/2 and milepost 108/5. Idaho Power has indicated that they agree to this level of mitigation.

For the Morgan Lake alternative, the draft proposed order includes requirements for these same H-frames between miles 5-7 of Morgan Lake as a recommended condition. The City of La Grande would like to express that as an alternative, the City would accept
$\$ 100,000$ in funding for improvements to Morgan Lake to mitigate the impacts on recreation should the Morgan 3 Lake alternative be constructed. Idaho Power has agreed 4 to this condition as well.

I want to say this again: Please do not
interpret the City's willingness to agree to
mitigations, that I just meant it as support or
acceptance of the project. We remain firmly opposed,
firmly opposed to the project for the reasons identified
in our 2017 comments of the preliminary application.
We respectfully ask that EFSC require the mitigation we are seeking in the final order if the project is approved. And while I have only a modicum of the compassion as Peter Barry, just say no.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Next, we have Mr. Larkin followed by Sheri Kanig.

MR. GREG LARKIN: Good evening. My name is Greg Larkin. I reside at 59655 Morgan Lake Road. I live on the top of Morgan Lake Road directly across from the entrance into Morgan Lake.

The Morgan Lake alternative route of the Idaho Power transmission line would be located approximately 120 yards from my residence. I'm in the process of developing my second approved home site on this property, which would be even in a closer location of

## Page 95

this transmission line in proximity to it.
I spent many years as a locomotive engineer for the Union Pacific Railroad. I suffered a permanent disability of hearing loss and tinnitus that forced me away from this career.

I can read you a screenshot from Wikipedia on tinnitus: "Tinnitus is the hearing of sound with no external sound present. While often described as a ringing, it may also sound like a clicking, hiss or roaring. Rarely, unclear voices or music are heard. The sound may be soft or loud, low pitched or high pitched and appear to be coming from one ear or both.
Most of the time, it comes on gradually. In some people, the sound causes depression or anxiety and can interfere with concentration."

I am real bad in the last 3 years. When I
left the railroad in '87, I had a testing in 1985, my
ears rang at that time 57 decibels. Approximately 10 years ago, one ear was at 72 decibels, the other one was at 75 decibels.

Now, I have great concerns, and I've been around the transmission lines before where I cannot 23 stand them, and if this is this close to my home. And 24 then to cope with it up there, or to tolerate it, I've 25 done a lot of pruning and thinning of the trees to get

1 the wind patterns to different velocities of wind to seek some relief from this, and I've been able to create this type of environment here.

Now, the facts of this B2H coming through my property, without it being there, can almost put a person a little over the top that way. It affects me every second of every day. It's a 100 -pound drill lodged in their back, to characterize it.

If this transmission line were to go through at this location, I would no longer be able to reside or fulfill my lifetime dreams and goal of living here. And I don't have the time nor the resources or anything else to seek the relief I've sought or the little bit of tranquility to deal with this issue. Well, I will leave it at this, and then I'll address some more issues.

As far as pertaining to the sound, the static hiss of this line for the peace and tranquility of our lake up there. We have a gas line that goes through, this line and this route will cross this gas line twice. If we have heavy fogs or a rainstorm, that can transmit a spark to the ground and create a fire, the electronic field.

Again, I'll repeat myself. The health hazards of this to people in this close of proximity. And the deterioration, even in the ground, the potential
deterioration in the ground of this gas pipeline. The technology, I don't know, as it goes over, through this route. It had to. There is no longer a route that was the western route that was on the radar and it's disappeared, it's gone away. And viably the effect on our county here, if that route were to go through in that direction, it would most likely have no less impact on our county here, to the residents.

I'm not a public speaker. I'll address it further in some written comments. I'll have some assistance on that.

I thank you for your time.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
We have Sheri Kanig, and following we will hear from William Whitaker.

MS. SHERI KANIG: Good afternoon or evening, everyone. My name is Sheri Kanig, and I reside at 331 Southwest Street in Yreka, California. That is located in the Klamath National Forest in Siskiyou County, northern California. I am not a resident of La Grande but a volunteer and a tourist.

I have been a co-owner of a large logging company in the Klamath National Forest for many years and also participated in fire suppression. I guess my issues today are regarding the fire danger because of
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this line.
I've also worked for the Happy Camp Ranger District in the Klamath National Forest and worked on active forest fires. I have flown with pilots over the fires and seen the devastation caused to the habitat and to the animals. The animals can't be forgotten.

I have many relatives that dedicated their careers to protecting the towns and forests from
wildland fires. These fires put the lives of
firefighters, volunteers, residents, habitat, and wildlife in jeopardy.

Living in Happy Camp at one time, I've been totally surrounded by a forest fire. All of our crew had to work on this fire. There were many dangers, we lost some of our vehicles, and the fire and long-lasting smoke caused severe health issues in many residents, including my father-in-law who passed away a couple of years later. He was a fire officer for the Forest Service for about 25 years.

I'd like to talk about a fire that affected many people that I knew. In November of 2018, near Paradise, California, a fire started on the 56 -mile Caribou-Palermo Electric transmission line. This fire started at 6:33 a.m. near a tower in Pulga -- I may be saying that wrong -- in Paradise. That day utility
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1 workers discovered that a part had separated from an arm on the tower, and that is what started that portion of the fire.

The Camp Fire in Paradise killed 85 people, destroyed 18,804 structures, and burned 153,336 acres. That is a huge devastation.

Cal Fire also identified a second ignition site. The second fire was determined to be vegetation
that got into an electrical distribution line, owned and
operated also by PG\&E. Not many people know that there
was a second cause to that fire. Those fires both
emerged.
Many family and friends that I know live in
Paradise. They lost their homes, their pets, their
livelihood. How can that ever be recovered?
If you drive through northern California on
Interstate 5 from the Oregon border, you just have to
look around. You could probably go 20 miles and notice
there was another wildfire and the total devastation that it caused.

Fires have increased each year that goes by
22 and become larger and more devastation caused; animals, 23 plants, people, homes. I mean, what can I say.
24 As a tourist and visitor from a state
25 devastated by wildfire each and every year, I can only
say this area holds a serene and untouched beauty. The landscape and wildlife are stunning; the elk, the deer, everything that you see every single day.

I spent the past two days on a parcel of land right across from Morgan Lake. We hiked for many hours and saw all the wildlife, the beauty, the untouched beauty of this area.

I think that the building of this power line will devastate this beauty, and I feel that this should not go on. That is all I have to say.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
After Mr. Whitaker is Thomas Thompson.
MR. WILLIAM WHITAKER: Good evening. My name is Bill Whitaker. I live here in La Grande at 1108 G Avenue, about a mile away from Morgan Lake Road.

I'm vice chair of the Board of Oregon Rural Action. ORA is a member organization of the Stop B2H Coalition. ORA believes that local residents, ordinary people, should be the people who are able to decide the impact of issues that dramatically affect their lives, our lives, not corporate interests making those decisions.

We have many concerns about the necessity for the cost of and the impact of the B2H transmission line.
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I will be submitting a detailed report, but in light of
the fact that many of the things that you have heard already tonight, I won't repeat. I want to just speak a
bit from my heart.
Idaho Power stated that it intended to construct its proposed power line on a route that had the most support from the community, that had the least impact on the community. The route chosen clearly lacks support from citizens of La Grande and Union County. It simply is not something that we want to have here affecting our community in many ways.

We are asking you to consider some of the impacts of this line on our community. We want you to consider, to think about the impact of construction traffic on our residential neighborhoods and the deterioration that it will cause to our streets and roads, and the danger that it would present to pedestrians walking in these neighborhoods, many of which don't even have sidewalks.

We want you, please, to consider the negative impact of the project on our unique Morgan Lake Park. You have heard vivid testimony about what the impact of power transmission towers towering 40 feet above the forest canopy in Morgan Lake would cause to the viewshed, the solitude, the beauty of that area.
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1 I'm not naive enough to know, I think, that 2 both proposals will be approved, and I'm unclear on the 3 decision on either/or how that decision which route to 4 take. If the line is to be built, I support the Morgan 5 Lake alternative for the following reasons:
6 My estimate is that it's shorter in its route, 7 and thus, by logic, less impact. It's located mostly in, not all, but more in the proposed activity in a mixed conifer forest where the moisture regimes are higher. There is ability for lower seral vegetation to re-establish, have to cut trees on. Hopefully most of those will be native.

My concern on the proposed, along the existing 240 , is the noxious weeds. I've heard testimony on the threat of wildfire, but noxious weed invasion is just as threatening as wildfire to landowners, especially if they raise cows. When that conversion from a native bunch grass to an introduced annual grass, everybody knows what cheatgrass and medusa are. There is a new invader on the scene called Ventenata dubia. I don't see that addressed in the boilerplate vegetation management plan. We have been fighting it on the existing 240 with the poles that were replaced from wood and steel.

So my fear is -- I'm retired from range and

1 established, and let's say they put access roads down that right-of-way and use it.

In eastern Oregon, trespass elk hunting is a big problem, and you want to lock your ground up so you don't spread weeds or vandals. And some of these guys are pretty ornery, to the point you need legal, just a pack of sheriffs to deal with your problems, with a person that is not going to cooperate if you ask them nicely.

So I know OHV-ATV trails, they provide funding for enforcement. I think there will have to be some sort of follow-up in the mitigation plans to help landowners to enforce the promises that Idaho Power submits.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Before you leave, can you repeat or spell the name of the invasive grass that you --

MR. THOMAS THOMPSON: Ventenata dubia. If it's not an amoeba, if it's not in the vegetation management plan, it wasn't site specific enough. Not only the power line and poles, but the access roads.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
MR. NORM CIMON: My name is Norm Cimon, C-i-m-o-n. I live at 1208 First Street. I'm a systems analyst. I'm retired but I still have my own company.
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I have acted as a consultant for the Stop B2H group.
And I'm also a board member of the same organization that Mr. Whitaker talked about, Oregon Rural Action.

I'd like to thank the Commission for making their way to La Grande to listen to our concerns. And I will be submitting a detail analysis of Exhibit H, the geology and the soils.

I feel there is a weakness in the bonding,
that there is some substantial problems with the route
itself. I don't know that there is much choices. The
fact is that the bulk of the trail, or the route that goes across the Blue Mountains goes right through severe erosion potential. So I will be submitting all of that.

What I'd like to read into the record for the future is something that I know a lot about, and I think it's going to greatly impact the future. I think we need to have this stuff in the record so that people can look back, which is the age we are in now. We are talking social media; we are talking the web. Everything is public; there is no private stuff anymore. 21 The decisions are always going to be known, whatever happens.
"An Overview: The electric grid, which has
24 remained in the same basic form for 100 years, is
25 changing very rapidly. The introduction of battery

1 storage, smart meters, and smart inverters is reworking the way that utilities participate in the marketplace. The pace of that change will [only] accelerate..."
"The key points are as follows:
"Within 10 to 15 years much of the power on the grid will come from widely distributed generating sources.
"Many of these sources will be small to moderately sized providers hosted through standalone microgrids.
"Top-down control of those thousands of emerging sources will no longer be viable."

You can't have tens of thousands of sources managed the way we've been managing it. What we need is something that looks a lot more like the Internet. That is exactly what has been proposed by our research organizations that are looking into this.
"The rules needed to provide robust management for many of those sources will mimic those of the Internet protocols which provide information from the bottom up.
"Distributed generation will make the grid: More reliable, more resilient, safer to operate."

That is all over the engineering journals. In fact, large power grids tend to collapse, and there is
no way to stop it. It's a huge argument going on in the engineering community right now about just that. The grid in a nutshell is chaotic. You cannot predict when it's going to go down. Big stuff just makes it happen more often and bigger.
"The paradigm shift will make much of the high-voltage transmission system obsolete.
"That obsolescence will occur long before the proposed 50 years of financing [for this project].
"The proposed Boardman to Hemingway 500 kV power line is unneeded. Idaho Power's own data clearly shows that the utility's electric demand has been flat" [from 2007 to 2016]."

And that's because even with population growth we are seeing efficiencies, we are seeing conservation, and we are seeing renewables. So it's all changing very, very quickly.
"The existing grid will be eclipsed by a decentralized system. High-voltage, long-distance power lines will be increasingly underutilized. Moreover, such lines are inherently unstable and dangerous. They are fire hazards in arid, semi-arid, and forested environments -- the ecosystems along any proposed route for the line in eastern Oregon."

Everything we have around us is fire prone.

1 In fact, the vegetation literally needs to burn to regenerate.
"The line will be an economic burden, enabled by an out-of-date business model with increasing risk and decreasing financial viability. An economist and ex-president of the 'Society for Risk Analysis'" -- some of these actually brought in by utilities -- "had this to say about billion dollar investments such as this one:
"If you were silly enough to think about investing in transmission, we would tell you that we don't have any idea how you're going to get reimbursed or how much you are going to get reimbursed.
"The guaranteed rate-of-return offered up to regulated utilities places that financial burden squarely on the backs of ratepayers, removing money from their pockets and" -- it takes it right out of the local economies. That is what funding this thing will do, in my opinion, because it's going to be obsolete long before that 50 -year financing lifespan. This provides context for what I will be writing up.

So you have a very difficult decision in front of you. These paradigm shifts are difficult, I will not kid you, but that's exactly what's going on, and we are starting to see it now accelerate.
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If you could imagine for a brief moment an 8th grade me, getting dropped off near Table Mountain and walking the Oregon Trail from Table Mountain to Hilgard State Park. A popular kid, I guess, too good for walking the Oregon Trail. I didn't listen, didn't pay much attention.

Fast forward, and unbeknownst to me, I married a gal that is a granddaughter of the person that owns the trail I walked or the property in which the Oregon Trail sits. So now I'm here today.

So as a person who helps out, caretake for this property, my wife and I, we became aware of the B2H power line about, around 2015, give or take.

Fast forward a little ways, we ended up having a meeting with some gentlemen in the back of the room here from Idaho Power. I asked the question of why is it that we are just now being made aware of this when it's been in the works for some time. And basically they didn't have an answer for it.

Well, unbeknownst to these guys, I was aware of a lot of the reasons why, and the reason why is money. If we can't talk about the Glass Hill route, apparently it's taboo, but it run into a lot of litigation, I get it.

So I know we can't take that into account, but

I was told that the comment period for the proposed route and the alternative route had passed. Well, the comment period for that was before we ever received the letter.

So my question to the gentlemen in the back was: What happens if the poles that go in devastate the property so much that we lose our water? There are three springs on the property, all of which are within 200 or less feet of proposed towers. If we lose those three springs, our property is no longer workable.

When I asked them this question, and much like in the ORS, the burden is on us as landowners. We have to prove by paying somebody, we aren't going to do it ourselves, but paying somebody professional to calculate the flow of water and present what damage has been done. Does that make any sense? After it's gone in we have to prove. Is that backwards? Guilty until proven innocent in our society; right?

So fast forward a little bit more, we allowed surveyors from Idaho Power, contracted surveyors, and they walked right over the Oregon Trail; they didn't even know it existed.

I encourage you to listen to these people. We are not attorneys, we are not going to comb through thousands of papers. We don't have the time, it's

1 impossible. We have families, we have jobs. We can't 2 afford litigation. A lot of us, I can't speak for 3 everybody, but I know I can't. This whole process is 4 the sacrifice of a few to serve the many. It's a divide-and-conquer approach. It's not right.

I have to answer the questions of my kids almost every weekend when we work the property, when we go to hunt, hike, whatever it is that we do. Why does that power line -- meaning the existing power line -why does that exist? I don't know, that was before my time, but it's here. What are we going to do if another one comes through? I don't know. Dad, how is this legal, how can they take our property? I don't know.

Imagine that for a second, trying to answer a 9 -year-old boy of how you can have property and people just take it. It's impossible.

I feel like the Council should take into account the ability of the average person to be able to comb through this paperwork and to present an articulate argument which is being requested and demanded of us. It's impossible. The Council should take into account the average person's ability to understand and to articulate this.

So ORS says that we have to cite certain things; recreation, hunting, hiking. Hiking the Oregon
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Trail, the 8th grade me, wildlife, seeing it with my own eyes; moose, elk, deer, several species, wolves.

So I'm happy to announce, Gail was being
modest, but the last bit of it is historic properties,
the historic property. We have since allowed
6 professional archeologists on to walk the trail, mark
the trail. It has been approved and recommended to the
National Historic Preservation Society as historic
property, in which how do you mitigate that? Just
because a marker -- or a tower rather, doesn't go right
in the middle of the trail?
Guys, we are talking 300 feet or less of not only marked trail, some of the best marked trail that you will see between here and the inception of Emigrant Campground, burial sites. How do you mitigate that? You can't. How do you mitigate it for the future children? How do you mitigate that for the residents of La Grande who may not even know about this?

I talk to people all the time who don't even know this exists. Why the hell would they build another power line? I can't answer that. You cannot mitigate this. It's impossible.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
Following Ashley O'Toole, we will have Kerry

## Tweit.

MR. ASHLEY O'TOOLE: Hi. My name is Ashley O'Toole. I live at $21 / 2$ Depot Street in La Grande.
Thank you to the Council for being here and staying here
with us to the bitter end and hearing what we all have to say. I appreciate that.

I'll start with referencing a letter To the
Editor that appeared in "The Observer" that I wrote. It was published online on March 7th of this year, titled, "Nothing to gain, everything to lose: B2H Transmission line is obsolete and devastating." I am just going to read a few excerpts and sort of expand on a few of the points.
"The B2H transmission line is a 20th century solution in search of a modern problem that doesn't exist. It's wasteful, obsolete and potentially devastating."

La Grande has nothing to gain from this project and everything to lose. It will ruin our surrounding ecosystems, our hunting and recreational grounds, and our historical sites, our property values, our view of the surrounding mountains and our ability to effectively protect ourselves from devastating wildfires. All of this, to help a private corporation's customers in another state receive hydropower originally
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intended for our state and Washington.
Since 2009 , at least 12 similar proposals
across the country for these new high-voltage transmission lines have been denied, and they have been replaced by more cost-effective solutions.

I think that is it from the article. But as you can see, I'm definitely of the Stop B2H crowd, not move B 2 H crowd. So we hear people complaining about this route or that route. Let it be clear, we really are Stop B2H. I want to touch on a few points I think from both of those routes, proposed routes.

I think I wanted to, at least first ask, just because I'm not familiar with how long the Council has been in town today or yesterday or tonight or tomorrow morning, but I'm sure we have read the proposals, I'm sure we have reviewed the engineering plans and elevations and things. My question is: Perhaps, have you yet physically been on Morgan Lake Road or do you intend to be on Morgan Lake Road as you research this?

I think the points I wanted to make were how steep it is and how sharp of turns those are, and I understand that there could potentially be a mitigation plan to that effect. I would love to see where in the proposal in writing Idaho Power is really going to be 25 compelled to reach certain minimums with the municipal,

1 the effectiveness of how these in town, along the route, both at the county level and the city level.

Specifically when we talk to residents on the Modelaire and Hawthorne Loop, which is in the city limits, you guys want to try -- I should say Idaho Power 6 would love us to just kind of swallow this Morgan Lake route in exchange for, what was it, $\$ 100,000$ to make the park a little prettier? It doesn't make the towers go away. It really doesn't.

I wanted to -- let me kind of continue to expand on a few other points though. You may have also already seen what we call the "mock tower," that sort of model of the tower up on the hill facing town. That is pretty darn close to where one of the proposed towers will be on the Mill Creek route.

By my calculation and guess, if I was standing
17 in the middle of the south side of La Grande, say, on
18 the EOU football field, we will see about 13 towers, 19 plus or minus 1 or 2 . I would love to be proven wrong,
20 but I have not seen any renderings from Idaho Power of
21 what this is going to look like. Surely in their master
22 plan that they are trying to sell to you an engineer has
23 put together an elevation of a view of what these things
24 are going to look like from certain points of view
25 overhead and so on.
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1
2 they not shared this, why are we guessing what it could 3 look like from a visual standpoint?
4 I think one of the other points I wanted to
5 make was, we are sending this power over there. Idaho
6 Power did announce that there is going to be a new solar
7 plant come online, 120 megawatts just south of Twin
\& Falls. This kind of speaks to what Mr. Cimon was
9 talking about with this paradigm shift. That is solid
10 evidence of that concept, and it's announced and
11 probably touted by the same energy corporation that is touting the archaic solution to the same problem.

So we have not seen the power demands in Idaho follow the trends that they hoped they would see. The population didn't grow like we said it would, people are moving to more energy-efficient appliances and light bulbs and smart meters. So how can you tell me 2026, when this thing is scheduled to come online, that we are going to need it.

I would love Idaho Power -- I just need to see more proof from them that they actually need this line 5 22 years from now, 7, geez, 7 years from now, Matt. So 23 that's another point.
24 I think another point I'd like to touch on
25 here real quickly, looking at the time here especially,

1 I am a real estate agent. And a point that hasn't really been brought up very much, I think the Mayor briefly mentioned that property values that are going to be affected. As a real estate agent, I've already seen this in our town. If you guys are interested, there is a listing on Gekeler Lane right now, directly underneath the 230 kV line, where we currently get our power, that listing agent has told me that that house was on the market for most of last year with no success. Here we are back in the selling season, it's still sitting on the market with no success.

I can tell you with firsthand evidence, 2 years ago I sold a house that was on the market for 4 years because it was underneath the 230 kV line.

So we are not just talking about home values, but also just marketability, sellability. I mean, people pull up on the driveway and they see the giant power lines and they turn around. It's over.

There are many, many citizens on Morgan Lake Road, Wood Road, and Marvin Lane, Modelaire and Hawthorne, that are going to be dealing with this, and Idaho Power's attempt to give them a one-time cash payment for an easement to make them go away, it's not going to work for the next generation, it's not going to work to help sell that property down the line. There is
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going to be a very real effect on property values for many, many of our citizens along the route.

The last point I wanted to make was about the blasting in the geological hazard zone. Again, as a real estate agent, I can give you evidence of a home on Modelaire that was affected by recent blasting from Grande Ronde Hospital. The hospital put in a parking lot, they had to demolish or blow away a lot of earth.

When I submit my written statement -- I see my time is up. When I submit my written statement to you, I will demonstrate evidence from a home inspection immediately before and after of a 3 -inch crack in that foundation that formed. Of course, correlation is not always causation, but it's a pretty sure thing. It has something to do with all the damn dynamite that was going on for months and months right across the street.

Don't let them blast in the geological hazard zone. You are asking for landslides, you are asking for a lot of other dangerous things.

I've got more to talk about, but I want other people to speak. Again, I really thank you all for coming out this evening. Have a good night.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: I don't know yet if the Applicant wants to make any statements tonight or not, but let me know.

1 Next we will hear from Mr. Tweit, followed by 2 Michael McAllister.
3 MR. KERRY TWEIT: Hi, my name is Kerry Tweit,
4 T-w-e-i-t. I'm located, currently living at 74 West
5 Hawthorne.
6 The location of my current house is, at this point in time, from what I was told last fall by Idaho
8 Power, less than 1500 feet away from where one of the
towers are supposed to go on my property. I was told that -- last fall they showed up at my property for the first time that I talked to them on the property. And they said they were surprised and wanted to know why there was a house there. I said, Well, it's been in the plans since I bought the property 10 years ago. All they had to do was ask the County.

And he told me they weren't aware of it, and that it was going to present a problem. I said, Well, what are the alternatives? We said, Well, we either move the house or we move the transmission lines; it's too close.

That made me fairly stressed. This home that
22 I built, as you heard from Mr. Horst earlier tonight, 23 and he talked about the location being a little piece of 24 heaven. I looked for a long time before I purchased 25 property, and when I found this property, I was
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1 extremely impressed by, I was close to town, but it was remote. I have game cameras on the property. We have a 3 lot of elk, deer, bears. We get quite a few cougars 4 that come through. I usually get a dozen or so pictures 5 a year. We have fox. We have a lot of animals up 6 there. It's really a wonderful place to be.
7 The sunrise and sunsets are breathtaking, to s the point where I designed to build the house that the 9 entire roof is a deck so I can watch that every morning and every night. I actually have a hot tub up there that I sit in and watch the sunrise and the sunsets. The sunsets are, when I look that direction, are right where the towers are going to go.

Also, on my property, when I first built it for fire protection, the County required that I had approximately 1500 gallons of fire protection, 60 psi . So I put a 3000 -gallon tank on the hill, which is the exact location of where they want to put one of the towers. I'm not sure how that will be mitigated, but apparently it's going to have to go. Another plan that I wasn't aware of.

The other thing that happened through Idaho Power at the beginning was I received a phone call, it's 24 been approximately 2 years ago, from a gentleman from 25 Humboldt University telling me that he wanted to do an

1 endangered species assessment on my property. I asked him why. He said, Because there is going to be power lines built on your property. My response was, No, there is not. Nobody has ever told me this. His response was, It's a done deal, it's going to happen. I said, Well, why wasn't I told?

Immediately following that conversation, I went down to the County, I spoke with Scott Cartel [ph] and he told me that I had been notified. I said, Well, why would I be here if I had been notified. He said, Well, it says right here on the computer that you were notified. I wasn't.

So there has been some frustration in probably the clarity that Idaho Power -- I am right in the middle of a really important proposed location for them. They want to come down off the ridge and make a 90 -degree turn right on my property.

Probably another real significant issue there is when I first purchased the property I was required to do a wildlife assessment through Oregon Fish and Wildlife. The first three times that Oregon Fish and Game came up to my property they told me no. They said it was too sensitive of a wildlife corridor and they wouldn't let me build anywhere on my property. I fought that. They came out the fourth time and said that they
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would agree to let me build as long as I only built on the very eastern portion of my property.

The proposed tower that is going to go on my property and control station is right in the middle of what Fish and Wildlife told me I could not build it because it was too sensitive of an area. Another setback.

So now I have my house finished and built. I am living in my dream home. And it looks as though that is all going to change.

I haven't been told by Idaho Power how they are going to rectify the issue that my house is less than 1,500 feet from their proposed site. They haven't responded to that. All they said was that they would figure it out. So I still haven't been told that.

You have already heard from some of the other people on Hawthorne Drive about their concerns about obviously the beauty, the looking at the power lines, the sound of the transmission lines. The gentleman from Idaho Power told me that one of the main reasons that they wanted nobody within 1,500 feet of those power lines was because of the noise.

I asked him if it was a safety issue. And he said, Well, there has been people in the past that have implied that it was, but there has never been a court
litigation that had proven that. So I have to trust them on that, I guess.

I think you'll have to understand, I'm a little bit skeptical about this. Idaho Power hasn't been -- I haven't been contacted -- I mean, I have now.
But through this planning process, I really wasn't contacted. Nobody came to my place and looked at the site. I don't know if they know there is a pond right next to where they want to put this tower. I don't know if they understand I had to put a well in 700 feet deep, the water is amazing. I don't know if that will change.

The road coming up Hawthorne has to have a lot of annual maintenance on it for just three houses. The idea of them hauling that heavy equipment, and I don't know what they are going to do to improve or better that road, my concern is they will make it worse. Only because of the limited history that I've had with them hasn't really been very supportive. Tonight was the first night that I got a chance to listen to this many people talk about their concerns.

Honestly, I'm more concerned now than before I came in. I have heard a lot of information tonight that kind of would make, I think, anybody in my shoes afraid of the future of what's going to happen up there. I love this place. I think it's going to change
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dramatically. That is all I have.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
Following Mr. McAllister we have Charles
Gillis on deck.
MR. MICHAEL McALLISTER: I'm Michael
McAllister. I live at 60069 Morgan Lake Road right at the top where you confront the wind as you break the summit.

I am of the Move B2H camp, an advocate of moving and have been for at least 10 years, when the initial proposed route was presented. I am a natural resource inventory expert, and made a career inventorying fish, forest, wildlife, range, ozone damage, carbon sequestration. I collect facts from the landscape and have been in La Grande since 1979, when I lived right below lower Morgan Lake, which apparently is not recognized by Idaho Power.

The eagles built two nests right above my wall 19 tent where I lived as I went to school here at Eastern
20 Oregon University. And it's really a pleasure to be
21 here tonight with the community and hearing all of their
22 different concerns and considerations. It's always been
23 above my mental capacity to explore the rightness or
24 wrongness of the power line; so I have focused on moving
25 B2H.

1 A

## correctly.

2
Energy Facility Council for coming here. The last time
4 I spoke before you, about 10 years ago, Ryan Wolf was
5 the chairman. A very fine man. I hope he's doing well.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: If you could state your name and your address, please.

MR. CHARLES GILLIS: Charles Gillis, G-i-l-l-i-s, 1210 1/2 Adams Avenue in La Grande.

Mr . McAllister mentioned the burden of evidence, and I hope that there is a burden of proof on Idaho Power to -- that is, something along the lines of the preponderance of the evidence, or more likely than not, that they can achieve the tasks that they have to do to show the Energy Facility Siting Council that they are worthy of a site certificate.

Tonight I would like to address Exhibit M, Financial Capability. "Information about Idaho Power's financial capabilities including ability to obtain a bond or letter of credit for decommissioning site."

OAR 345-022-0050 states: "Retirement and
Financial Assurance.
"To issue a site certificate the Council must find:
"(1) The site, taking into account mitigation,
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1 can be restored adequately to a useful, nonhazardous condition following permanent cessation of construction or operation of the facility.
"(2) The applicant has a reasonable likelihood of obtaining a bond or letter of credit in a form and amount satisfactory to the Council to restore the site to a useful, nonhazardous condition.
"Idaho Power Corporation is the lead organization for B 2 H but has only a 21 percent interest.
The Bonneville Power Administration and PacifiCorp control the majority interests in B2H. Therefore BPA and PacifiCorp must pick up 79 percent of the costs associated with obtaining a bond or letter of credit in a form and amount satisfactory to the Council to restore the site to a useful, nonhazardous condition.
"Included in Project Fact Sheets provided by Idaho Power," is the statement, quote: "Economic and population growth are driving up demand for electricity among customers of Idaho Power, PacifiCorp and Bonneville Power Administration (BPA). In the next decade, the utilities will need more resources to meet customers' needs in part of Idaho and Oregon."

In October of 2018, there was testimony before
24 the Oregon Public Utilities Commission by PacifiCorp.
25 As a consequence of that testimony, there was written9 case for why B2H is needed but other segments of Energy
Gateway are not. The Company should identify the role
of B2H as a need or component in its
least-cost/least-risk portfolio and why it intends onmoving forward with the project. The Company should
also explain the size and status of any B2H transmission
service requests that have been submitted to
PacifiCorp."

Again, this is October 2018.
PacifiCorp Response:
"The project schedule and in-service date is driven by Idaho Power as the project manager, and PacifiCorp reflects that information as it is made available. PacifiCorp has not determined a need to move forward beyond the permitting phase of the project and as such is only a party to the current permit funding agreement. As the project moves to permit completion, a

Page 133
determination of next steps will be made based on customer need. To date PacifiCorp has not received any requests for service on the B2H [transmission] line." Zero. That might have changed. This is October 2018, but I haven't heard of them.

One of the concepts that I've learned in discussing and speaking with my many friends who oppose this is the concept of stranded assets. And I believe that Exhibit M is a collateral consequence of a failure of Idaho Power to meet Exhibit M's requirements would be stranded assets.

Specifically, let's hypothetically assume that the Energy Facility Siting Council gives Idaho Power the go-ahead. After 5 years of so of our county being blessed with 140 -foot power towers, the paradigm shift discussed earlier occurs, the power lines are no longer needed and we are stuck with God knows how many unnecessary power lines because the PacifiCorp and Bonneville Power Administration did not pony up the money required to restore the site to a useful nonhazardous condition.

I thank you for your time.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
Following Mr. Winters, we will hear from Bill DeLashmutt.

1 very much for being here tonight. It's a long day for you guys, I'm sure. And I hope you get to enjoy our 4 beautiful valley a little bit while you are here. s hill a lot, and there are some summers where you are 9 afraid to walk through the grass it gets so dry. I'm just afraid some time it's going to blow up in fire. So I am just going to speak to the possibility of any increase in fire risk is something that doesn't make a lot of sense to me.

Especially in light of California's experience, it just occurred to me that the fire risk is a little underappreciated. Five of the ten most destructive fires since 2015, as you may well know, are linked to the PG\&E network. PG\&E is now bankrupt. They have 50 lawsuits and $\$ 30$ billion in liabilities. And I kind of wonder if Idaho Power wants to go that route.

Californians are served by PG\&E. Idaho Power does not serve any Oregonians. So it's not as if we are getting anything out of the deal.

Paradise, interestingly, is somewhat similar
24
25 to La Grande. Its elevation is 1,800 feet; we are about

1,200 feet. They are about twice the population of La Grande. And they get three times as much rain as we do. So we are a far more arid region than they are, and we do get winds coming through here and drying patterns.

I talked to John Punches, OSU Extension
forester here, and he demurred on the B2H question, but he did say that they are tracking weather and there is more hotter days -- the days are hotter and there is more of them. He says it only takes a couple of extra
hot days for a tree to turn the corner and to stress a
tree and it will die usually by the next year. He is
seeing a pattern, as we've probably all heard, that things are going in that direction. So to me it makes no sense to invite an additional risk when we have got plenty of existing risks as it is.

The proposal states in Exhibit U, 3.5.6.2, Exhibit U, it says: The project is not expected to have significant adverse impacts on fire protection as
they've talked to all the various volunteer units along the way.

I wonder what California would say. Cal Fire, 22 again, I talked to them; they wouldn't comment. But the
23 Santa Rosa Fire Chief has been quoted as saying, they
24 have 17 states that -- I believe it was the Woolsey
25 Fire, they had firefighters from 17 states. They had
firefighters from Australia, they had almost 300
engines, 4,300 law enforcement and 2,300 National Guard.
I just don't get the impression that Idaho
Power takes very seriously the even small increase in
risk that they may present with their power line, and
it's us that is going to have to be paying the price.
So that is all I have to say. Thank you very
much for your time.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
On deck is Rod Muilenburg. But first we have Mr. DeLashmutt.

MR. BILL DeLASHMUTT: My name is Bill DeLashmutt, and I'm here representing myself. Thank you for the opportunity to present my thoughts about the B2H.

I am speaking in support of the $B 2 H$, and invite you to consider some of the reasons for supporting the line and the effect on our lives.

I understand the concerns of the opposition, particularly those of you on the route or near the route; that has to be hard. So I have a question to start things with, and nobody has to answer it, but did you apply the brakes on your car and increase energy consumption as you drove to the meeting? When you have the heat on in your house, do you open the windows and
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heat the outside air? Of course the answer is no. That wouldn't be smart. We should not ask Idaho Power, PacifiCorp, and Bonneville Power Administration to waste energy either.

I want to discuss power line losses and a few causes. We are all concerned about energy efficiency. So are Idaho Power Company, PacifiCorp, and Bonneville Power Administration. B2H will lower line losses. I can help you visualize that. Power line temperature rises when you add load to the line. The larger the load, the hotter the line becomes. This is a problem with the existing system. And we are wasting energy. B 2 H will lower the line losses on the existing system.

If you force Idaho Power Company, PacifiCorp, and Bonneville Power Administration to operate without B 2 H , you are doing the same thing as driving your car with the brake applied and turning up the heat in your house while you open the windows.

Idaho Power Company is demonstrating good corporate practices by providing low-cost power that is in the bottom 10 percent of the nation. Idaho Power Company provides you power at 25 percent less cost than the national average. That is good corporate practice.

Wind farm activity increases losses. We all talk about microgrids that locate power generation close

1 to your home. Microgrids would be nice. Instead the 2 trouble is we are locating wind farms such as the one in 3 the once naturally scenic Pyles Canyon south of 4 La Grande, the wind farms and once beautiful Columbia 5 River Gorge, and the wind farm in the once scenic Burnt 6 River Canyon on the way to Boise.
7 These unreliable sources of energy are far from your home and the load they serve. These energy sources load the power lines and increase power line losses. This unnecessary transport of very unreliable power has created the need for more ability to transport power.

Idaho Power, PacifiCorp, and Bonneville Power Administration are responding to the requirements that power be maintained to your house whether or not the wind is blowing, and they are keeping this power system together whether or not the wind is blowing.

A big item in our lives is electric cars.
Transportation accounts for a huge part of our national energy usage. We want to be able to provide energy for electric cars. Electric cars hog a lot of electric power. That will require nearly double the electric output of our power system. Let's don't stall electric cars because of stalling a power line.

Please don't pass the mess of a weak

1 infrastructure of our power system to our children and all the people in the Northwest. Let's allow Idaho Power Company, PacifiCorp, and Bonneville Power Administration to drive without their brakes on. Let's allow Idaho Power Company, PacifiCorp, and BPA to heat their house with the windows closed.

If we want to have unreliable energy sources such as the wind farms located far from where the electric load is, let's provide the power lines to carry the load and maintain system stability. If we want to provide for a huge electrical energy increase to support electric vehicles, then Idaho Power Company, PacifiCorp, and BPA have the power lines they need to handle the load.

I understand your feelings about where to put the line. Let's not allow our power system to become an obsolete mess for our children. Let's figure out the best place to locate B2H. Please make some siting suggestions to the Commission and to Idaho Power Company.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you. MR. ROD MUILENBURG: My name is Rod 24 Muilenburg. I reside at 412 16th Street, La Grande, 25 Oregon.

From what I am understanding this form provided by Idaho Power, the long and short, from what I understand, Oregon is supposed to take one for the team for the sake of Idaho. That makes me wonder. What is
it about the Idaho infrastructure and Idaho's power grid
that determines the demand from Oregon? It also makes
me wonder, why is it that you insist it be in our
backyard and not in your backyard?
I've been here my whole life. I remember the fire of '73. I remember how hard people worked to save their houses. I remember the sun disappearing, and I remember a tinder box ready to go. And you want to go with an overhead power system that the world doesn't even recognize anymore. The world puts power grids underground today. It's the future. It's how we do it. You are taxing a system that doesn't have to be taxed. These lines, they inevitably are overtaxed, and they droop, they hang, and they cause fires. And as I said, we've have got a tinder box surrounding us.

I don't know if we want to go through the inevitable again of having another fire. I don't know if we want to suffer the inevitable outcome that happened to Paradise, California, when they had only time enough to grab their purse and wallet and the shirts on their backs before their house was rendered to
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a mere foundation and a fireplace and the rest is a toxic waste element. Do we want to go through that?

And then I heard only yesterday that a diamond factory in Washington is going to demand enough voltage to supply 10,000 people to manufacture synthetic diamonds. I don't know when this ends.

But I've listened to all these people behind me talking about the eyesore we are going to see, talking about the impact. And they are mentioning things I haven't even considered; hearing problems, sound transmission lines. There's a whole lot involved that we have just barely touched the tip of the iceberg. And is it a requirement that Idaho have its power in the first place?

I don't know, I am just thinking we have got a lot of small cities, too, with the prevailing winds around this area, Ukiah, and all these little cities surrounding here, and how bad will it be? Is there enough fire suppression? Is there enough accountability for the environmental impact?

I don't think anybody here has weighed this whole thing out until they attended this forum tonight. Which, by the way, I appreciate you putting it on. I appreciate you being here. I appreciate Idaho Power for allowing us to voice our opinions.

1 But historically, like I said, the Oregon
2 Trail, we have to consider it. We have got the procurement of land, and apparently no letters were
offered for the initial route before anybody had a
chance to respond. And now this new thing comes in and we all get a surprise.

I think a lot of people have a lot more to say about this than me; so I'm just going to yield back my time.

HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
Following Irwin Smutz, we have Jeri Watson, and then I don't know if Idaho Power wants to -- okay. So then we will hear from Idaho Power after that.

MR. IRWIN SMUTZ: My name is Irwin Smutz, and I live at 59074 Foothill Road. My ranch borders the game refuge. I have got two oil lines, two gas lines, and two fiberoptic lines, and the power line that, I think your alternative route, I think the preferred route is going to be just above that power line.

I have two concerns: One of them is the fire danger. That present power line set a fire a few years ago close to Ladd Canyon. The people that ran the power line, a long distance line, failed to keep the brush cut underneath the line, and the tree grew up and that line arced and started a fire.
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1 Also, in the site, the area where they are 2 going to put the proposed power lines through that you 3 are talking about is in an unstable area. My dad went 4 up and checked the cows when I was a boy, and he got up to this real steep unstable area, and the ground had shifted because of another line that came through, an oil line, it shifted, and this pipe came out, out of the ground 5 or 6 feet in the air and made a bend.
Fortunately, it did not break, or oil or gas or whatever they put through that, would have ran down the hill.

Well, this proposed power line is going
through that area where that shift was. They cut
through shale type ground, and they kind of loosened the
thing up. So that's a thing that really kind of
concerns me. Of course, we have a lot of game of all kinds, we border the game refuge.

But I would just like to share that this is one problem that you would have. The building site where all my buildings are on the ranch there are down, of course, at the bottom of the hill, and I guess the building site where my buildings are slid off the top of the mountain some time in prehistoric history. And the geologist out there told Dad, I guess the rest of it
24 will stay up there. But that line is going to be going 25 right across that unstable land.
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quite a bit of experience on things coming through my land, and it does have everlasting consequences once these things go through.

Thank you very much.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: All right. Jeri Watson.

MS. JERI WATSON: Hello. Long day. I really appreciate you all being here. And I'm Jeri Watson, J-e-r-i, W-a-t-s-o-n, and I live at 1906 Foley Street in La Grande.

I've been here for about 40 -some years. And I moved here, I came from a city in California called Torrance, and I moved here to teach school, knowing that I wouldn't make the kind of salary here that I would make in places that I was capable of going. I'm not trying to be modest, but I'll just give you an idea of my qualifications. I could teach, I'm certified in special ed, high school, elementary school, I speak three languages; one being Spanish. The others are Japanese and obviously English. I was at the top of my class at University of Southern California, and I really could have gone anywhere if money was important to me. Enough money to get by is important.

But my folks didn't want me to come here.
24
25 They said, You can't eat the scenery. But I live every

1 day. I have seen things like, I saw a newborn elk 2 nursing off its mom on the hillside outside of my house. 3 I could live my whole life someplace in a city and not have those experiences.

So I really want to see this power line not come through here because, one, I think it will partly ruin things most certainly. I care more about kids than anything, and it will certainly make our major outdoor park that's wild inaccessible to them during the summer when they are able to go there. And I don't know how many summers that road to Morgan Lake will be really difficult to use. It is a difficult road. If you haven't experienced it, you should.

One of the teachers I taught with one time was coming down in the summer, and his wheel caught, it gets really muddy even this far from the edge. And his wheel caught in that mud and got stuck and he rolled down into that valley down there. And he moved his house, he moved his family, he had kids, and he decided that road was too dangerous for his family to be up there in the wintertime. So it's not a good road, and I'm concerned about the damage that will be done to it.

The other thing is that I am one of those that believes that the technology is such that there are other ways to meet this demand that is proposed,
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perceived in Idaho. And I do believe that Idaho Power is doing the best job that they can do, as being
financially responsible for their ratepayers and their shareholders. They are looking for the cheapest way to do this.

But there is all kinds of wealth, and one of the kinds of wealth we have is a world that is viable. A world that's not too hot and not too cold. And the alternative energy, things we have, like solar and water, are so perfect for the area that they want to serve, but it does cost more. So in order for it to not cost more, they are going this route.

But I would like all of us to look a little larger. I have all the kids I taught who are now having children of their own. The kids I first taught, when I first came here, some of them are grandparents now. I came here because I care about connections. I care about people and I care about animals and I care about connections, and I want the human race to go on for a while.

And I think that doing everything we can to
22 make that happen is incumbent upon all of us, even 23 though we have different ideas of what that might be.

1 program where it was federally instituted, brought us
2 great wealth. And I believe that we can keep some of
3 that wealth. But we can't keep going to provide electricity in the ways that we have, because -- I mean, the water is renewable from the dams. But the coal production and so forth, no, we have got to have other ways, or my grandchildren and your grandchildren, they are not going to have the kind of world we have.

And you people are government employees, and because people have so many different ideas about who should cut what and this is what I can do so that you can do -- oh, you're traveling around the world. Well, that's a lot of carbon footprints. So we all have these different things.

So it's time for government, for you guys to stand up and say, Is this really a good idea? Not just for this community, but is it really necessary to do this kind of power, to cause this kind of fire danger?

I know I'm kind of rambling here, and I didn't have much time to prepare anything. But I was down in Santa Rosa after the fire, I think it was 2015 , I was down there in January, and I saw -- my friend lived very close to the devastated area in the town of Santa Rosa. And I camped in Napa Valley and came over through Rincon Valley, which was burned up.
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1

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
Mr. Stokes.
MR. MARK STOKES: Good evening, everybody. It's getting late. Chair Beyeler, Vice Chair Jenkins, City Council member, staff, good evening. My name is Mark Stokes. I'm an engineering project leader for Idaho Power Company. My address is 1221 West Idaho Street, Boise, Idaho 83702.

MR. DAVE STANISH: I'm Dave Stanish, also with

1 Idaho Power, same address. So hopefully together we can 2 help answer your questions.

MR. MARK STOKES: After listening to all of
the comments tonight, we thought there were just a
couple of things that we wanted to get corrected on the record.

7 s presented tonight a statement was made that BPA is not a partner in the project any longer. That is not true.
10 They are still a fully committed partner. In fact, I
11 was in communication with my counterparts at BPA earlier this week before I left town. So I just want to get that on the record.

One other item here, a few speakers ago made the statement that Idaho Power does not have any customers in Oregon. And that is not true as well. We serve approximately 15 percent of our total system load is for Oregon customers that are located in Malheur and Baker Counties. So we do have a fairly substantial number of customers in Oregon.

So with that, as we have done previous nights,
David and I would like to make ourselves available to try and field any questions that Council members may have.

VICE CHAIRMAN JENKINS: So Mark and David, I'm
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going to ask a really hard question tonight: Why wasn't the BLM route proposed as a part of your application to EFSC?

MR. MARK STOKES: Back when BLM was working on getting their ROD issue, the delays in their process happened, occurred. We had to move ahead with the state process late in the application. And by the time BLM came out with their ROD, their record of decision, it was too late for us to really go back at that point.

Now, when I had conversations with BLM's program manager about this and whether that created any issues for BLM, they recognized that the Glass Hill route that you're talking about and the Morgan Lake route were identical on parcels that were under control of BLM, federal government.

So the fact that in our state application we had the Morgan Lake route did not influence or impact BLM's record of decision in their process.

VICE CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Any further questions?

CHAIRMAN BEYELER: Not from me tonight.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you, gentlemen.

MR. MARK STOKES: Thank you very much. are wrong on that. At this point they think it's
cheaper, but as Mr. Cimon outlined, it's not. In the long run, it's not cheaper. And there is no just cause to do this. It's not like there is -- it's not like we are trying to provide water to an impoverished area. It's not like bringing electricity to a third-world country who needs it to run their hospital.

There is plenty of electricity, there is plenty of ways to get it, and it's not absolutely
essential that it goes that way. And yet you are asking
people to give up their viewshed. You are putting
people's lives at risk for something that is not necessary, other than that it's cheaper, and it seems cheaper, and in the long run it's not cheaper. And that is all I have to say.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: Thank you.
We have run an hour past our allotted time.
So anybody -- do you want 2 more minutes, Ms. Barry?
MS. LOIS BARRY: This will be very short. But since you have all been so patient and listened for so long and you have heard a lot of important information, one is, from my research, that every single planned transmission line that has been canceled was considered essential until the day it was canceled.

But now I think you deserve a laugh. I want
to tell you about a B2H presentation about a year ago. They brought several graphic presentations. Someone said they wished Idaho Power would provide a presentation of what the towers would like look around the valley.

Well, this was a presentation of what the towers would look like at Morgan Lake. And so there was the blue sky and the green trees and the blue lake,
9 which in their application they describe as level, calm, and reflective, unlike every other lake in America. But rising out of the blue lake was a large transmission tower painted red. And I said, What is that? What is that about? And he said, Well, look at the caption. It says, "Red is invisible."

And that was their graphic presentation of how the towers would look at Morgan Lake. Envision this: Red towers are invisible. Okay, gang, that's what you get.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER WEBSTER: It's now 9:01 and we are going to close this hearing. And the next one will be next Wednesday night in Pendleton.
(Hearing concluded at 9:02 p.m.)
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| catch (1) | 45:23;84:13;85:10,18;90:13; | church (1) | 47:23;55:20;70:12;71:21; |
| 104:3 | 126:19;142:5 | 57:24 | 95:23;96:24;118:14;122:20; |
| Category (1) | change (7) | Cimon (7) | 123:1;137:25;142:22;148:23; |
| 73:25 | 62:23;80:14;81:10;108:3; | 2:24;102:20;106:23,23; | 154:21 |
| caught (2) | 125:10;126:11,25 | 119:8;152:16,153:1 | closed (1) |
| 146:15,17 | changed (5) | C-i-m-o-n (1) | 139:6 |
| causation (1) | 39:19;49:8;60:14;73:18; | 106:24 | closer (3) |
| 121:14 | 133:4 | cite (1) | 32:14,15;94:25 |
| causative (1) | changes (5) | 114:24 | closes (4) |
| 48:8 | 27:5,9;28:7;38:25;47:17 | cited (1) | 9:12,24,25;22:22 |
| cause (13) | changing (4) | 30:24 | closest (1) |
| 12:24;39:22;58:15;66:13; | 37:13;47:15;107:25;109:16 | cities (4) | 54:3 |
| 86:16,16;99:11;101:16,24; | chaotic (1) | 41:8;45:15;141:16,17 | clue (1) |
| 140:18;148:18;152:7;153:2 | 109:3 | citizens (5) | 79:4 |
| caused (9) | Chapter (1) | 79:20;89:5;101:9;120:19; | CO2 (3) |
| 28:12;42:5;45:18;47:5; | 5:8 | 121:2 | 26:25;27:10,16 |
| 52:21;98:5,16;99:20,22 | character (1) | City (36) | coal (1) |
| causes (2) | 50:22 | 18:7;33:20,24,24;34:12;41:2; | 148:5 |
| 95:14;137:6 | characterize (1) | 42:10,11,16,16;44:24;45:6,13; | coal-fired (1) |
| causing (4) | 96:8 | 46:5;47:23;55:13;56:15,25; | 85:7 |
| 28:7;37:13;45:25;58:9 | characterized (1) | 91:4,7,11;92:2,6,13,19,21;93:1, | Coalition (1) |
| cement (1) | 54:11 | 4,24,25;104:24;118:2,4; | 100:19 |
| 144:3 | charged (2) | 145:12;146:3;149:21 | cold (2) |
| Center (4) | 111:12,14 | City's (2) | 28:16;147:8 |
| 4:2;6:25;29:19;43:22 | Charles (5) | 92:8;94:6 | collapse (1) |
| Centerville (1) | 2:17;127:3;130:2,8,8 | claimed (1) | 108:25 |
| 45:15 | charred (1) | 35:7 | collateral (1) |
| Central (2) | 149:6 | clarification (1) | 133:9 |
| 34:20;61:15 | cheaper (8) | 24:5 | colleagues (1) |
| centralized (1) | 81:5;111:9;152:13;153:1,2, | clarity (1) | 86:9 |
| 29:25 | 12,13,13 | 124:14 | collect (1) |
| century (1) | cheapest (1) | class (1) | 127:14 |
| 116:14 | 147:4 | 145:21 | collecting (1) |
| certain (5) | cheat (1) | clauses (1) | 128:24 |
| 28:10;54:6;114:24;117:25; | 62:7 | 32:22 | Columbia (2) |
| 118:24 | cheatgrass (1) | clean (3) | 43:7;138:4 |
| certainly (5) | 103:19 | 37:19;38:18;81:20 | comb (2) |
| 55:17;60:4;67:1;146:7,8 | check (1) | cleaner (1) | 113:24;114:19 |
| certificate (10) | 90:11 | 30:11 | combined (1) |
| 6:14;13:15;22:20;26:19; | checked (1) | clear (8) ${ }^{\text {(8) }}$ (30:10;49:20;63:11:78:20; | 81:15 |
| 32:8;39:12;51:21;79:7;130:16, | 143:4 | 13:10;49:20;63:11;78:20; | coming (20) |
| 23 | chemicals (1) | 80:18;92:2;117:9;132:8 | 29:16;35:13;57:8,25;59:6; |
| certificates (4) | 104:4 | clear-cut (6) | 61:14,15;65:9,9;69:19;79:11; |
| 39:3,4;76:16;78:22 | cherish (1) | 63:8,13,16;64:1,10;86:23 | 95:12;96:4;104:4;121:22; |
| certification (1) | 37:19 | clear-cuts (1) | 126:12;130:3;135:4;145:1; |
| 56:6 | Chickasaw/Choctaw/Umatilla (1) | 63:14 | 146:15 |
| certified (3) | 38:20 | clearing (1) | commended (1) |
| 24:15;62:13;145:17 | Chief (1) | 13:7 | 90:25 |
| cessation (1) | 135:23 | clearly (3) | Comment (26) |
| 131:2 | children (5) | 101:8;109:11;111:7 | 4:5;5:20;7:2;8:3;9:24;10:20 |
| cetera (1) | 38:4;115:17;139:1,17;147:15 | Clements (4) | $19: 4,8 ; 20: 15 ; 22: 21 ; 23: 15 ; 24: 9$ |



| $\begin{gathered} 95: 24 \\ \text { copy }(\mathbf{1}) \\ 74: 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 17 ; 10: 6 ; 12: 7 ; 15: 24 ; 16: 11 ; \\ & 17: 19 ; 19: 15 ; 21: 8,15 ; 25: 13 \\ & 80: 17,20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28:19;37:10, } 15 ; 44: 14 ; 78: 19 \\ & \text { 96:2,21 } \\ & \text { created }(3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41: 21 \\ \text { cycle }(3) \\ 111: 5,10,15 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cormorants (1) | Counsel (1) | 34:23;138:11;151:11 |  |
| 56:21 | 2:8 | creates (1) | D |
| Cornell (1) | counterintuitive (1) | 4:22 |  |
| 62:11 | 12:1 | creating (2) | Dad (3) |
| corner (1) | counterparts (1) | 63:3,20 | 114:12;143:3,23 |
| 135:10 | 150:11 | credible (1) | daily (3) |
| Cornett (10) | counties (4) | 51:24 | 38:5;56:11;58:17 |
| 2:9;3:9,11,13,15,17,19,24; | 38:25;47:2;59:2;150:19 | credit (4) | dam (4) |
| 36:5;74:24 | country (3) | 129:6;130:20;131:5,13 | 61:7;82:18,20,21 |
| corporate (3) | 28:11;117:3;153:6 | Creek (6) | damage (8) |
| 100:22;137:20,23 | countryside (2) | 14:25;48:24;54:2;87:5; | 28:8;55:21;64:21;66:13; |
| corporation (4) | 66:14;67:4 | 92:16;118:15 | 86:17;113:15;127:14;146:22 |
| 84:21;88:13;119:11;131:8 | County (43) | creeks (1) | damages (5) |
| corporations (1) | 6:12;7:6,7;9:21;11:5,21; | 88:22 | 39:22;63:20;64:12;77:24; |
| 88:24 | 14:18,23;15:5,18;32:5,23,25; | crew (4) | 78:18 |
| corporation's (1) | 33:12,15;41:21,22;44:1;47:2; | 72:21;73:2,25;98:13 | damn (3) |
| 116:24 | 59:2,24;60:2;64:15,16;72:13; | crime (2) | 86:14;87:12;121:15 |
| corrected (1) | 79:1;91:12;92:14,23;97:6,8,19; | 61:19,22 | dams (8) |
| 150:5 | 101:9;105:5,6;118:2;122:15; | crisscross (1) | 61:11,12,14,17,21;104:11; |
| corrections (1) | 123:15;124:8;128:16;129:12; | 66:7 | 111:2;148:5 |
| 73:16 | 133:14;149:5 | critical (1) | danger (9) |
| correctly (2) | County's (3) | 49:15 | 37:16;44:24;52:18;57:15; |
| 26:2;130:1 | 32:24;33:8;57:21 | cross (6) | 97:25;101:17;142:21;148:18; |
| correlation (1) | county-specific (1) | 12:5;17:12;52:22;64:16; | 149:13 |
| 121:13 | 7:19 | 80:5;96:19 | dangerous (4) |
| correspondence (1) | couple (10) | crossed (1) | 53:12;109:21;121:19;146:20 |
| 92:4 | 14:15;18:19;29:17;31:21; | 69:13 | dangers (1) |
| corridor (12) | 67:19;71:14;73:19;98:17; | crosses (2) | 98:14 |
| 11:25;12:1,3,3,4;34:15;35:2, | 135:9;150:5 | 54:22;63:9 | darn (1) |
| 5,8;58:21;124:23;129:10 | course (5) | crosshatch (2) | 118:14 |
| corridors (1) | 18:14;121:13;137:1;143:15, | 50:11,13 | data (2) |
| 28:24 | 20 | crossroads (1) | 35:23;109:11 |
| cost (9) | court (7) | 83:1 | date (3) |
| 27:15;35:8;65:4;80:23; | 24:15;26:2;31:24;34:12; | crowd (2) | 59:17;132:19;133:2 |
| 81:13;100:25;137:22;147:11, | 79:9;85:5;125:25 | 117:7,8 | dated (1) |
| 12 | courteous (1) | cultural (1) | 62:5 |
| cost-effective (2) | 5:1 | 15:2 | dates (1) |
| 81:2;117:5 | Cove (1) | culture (1) | 44:9 |
| costs (5) | 88:21 | 66:8 | Dave (3) |
| 29:9,10;39:23;81:8;131:12 | cover (3) | cumulonimbus-generated (1) | 2:24;149:25,25 |
| cougars (1) | 62:8;73:20;76:10 | $44: 13$ | David (7) |
| 123:3 | covered (2) | current (7) | 2:14;26:5;31:16,19;32:1; |
| Council (71) | 52:6,12 | 30:3;48:24;51:7;74:12 | 150:22,25 |
| 2:9;3:7;4:14,21,25;5:3,7,19, | covers (2) | 102:25;122:6;132:24 | day (19) |
| 20,24;6:4,10;7:15,23;8:12;9:6; | 50:2,5 | currently (8) | 57:23;61:18;69:24;82:18,20, |
| 10:3,4,8,11, 13, 16;13:23,23; | cows (2) | 26:16;27:3;28:7;30:25;41:1; | 21;83:16;86:7,8;88:5;96:7; |
| 16:13,14,15,19,22;17:3,14,17, | 103:17;143:4 | 60:12;120:7;122:4 | 98:25;100:3;128:12;129:7; |
| 22;20:5,15,17,23;21:19;24:5; | crack (1) | customer (1) | 134:2;145:7;146:1;153:24 |
| 25:7,16;31:20;32:3;33:24; | 121:12 | 133:2 | Days (7) |
| 40:20;61:2;76:4;78:4,10,22; | cracks (1) | customers (9) | 53:2;57:24;58:4;100:4; |
| 79:8,12;80:9;81:4;91:4,11; | 37:4 | 39:25;40:7;46:19;47:9; | 135:8,8,10 |
| 92:2;93:4;114:17,21;116:4; | crap (1) | 116:25;131:19;150:16,18,20 | DC (1) |
| 117:13;128:14;130:3,15,23; | 85:23 | customers' (1) | 30:6 |
| 131:6,14;133:13;149:21; | crashed (1) | 131:22 | DC-based (1) |
| 150:23 | 51:20 | cut (8) | 30:8 |
| Council-appointed (1) | crawled (1) | 46:25;77:1,3;87:24;103:11; | deadline (2) |
| 5:12 | 104:9 | 142:23;143:12;148:11 | 25:3;35:25 |
| Councillor (8) | creak (1) | cutting (1) | deal (8) |
| 2:4,5,6;3:14,16,18;5:22,23 | 36:23 | 63:17 | 69:2,25;71:24;96:14;104:18; |
| Council's (12) | create (7) | CWPP (1) | 106:7;124:5;134:23 |


| dealing (2) | 2:19;133:25;136:11,12,13 | 55:13 | die (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 120:21;144:10 | delays (1) | designation (1) | 135:11 |
| dealt (1) | 151:5 | 57:1 | died (1) |
| 83:4 | delegations (1) | designed (2) | 61:19 |
| Dear (1) | 111:17 | 29:24;123:8 | diesel (1) |
| 40:15 | deleteriously (1) | destroy (1) | 82:17 |
| decade (1) | 33:15 | 38:6 | difference (2) |
| 131:21 | delivery (1) | destroyed (1) | 12:16;144:14 |
| December (2) | 4:13 | 99:5 | different (13) |
| 29:22;69:3 | demand (7) | destructive (1) | 14:21;18:10,11;27:11;71:10; |
| decentralized (1) | 36:20;53:8;109:12;131:18; | 134:17 | 84:15;93:13;96:1;104:7; |
| 109:19 | 140:6;141:4;146:25 | detail (7) | 127:22;147:23;148:10,14 |
| deceptive (1) | demanded (1) | 10:9;11:18,19;15:15;55:11; | difficult (6) |
| 70:8 | 114:20 | 77:9;107:6 | 50:15;85:24;110:22,23; |
| decibels (3) | demands (2) | detailed (3) | 146:12,12 |
| 95:18,19,20 | 30:18;119:13 | 55:24;69:5;101:1 | digits (1) |
| decide (3) | demolish (1) | details (5) | 47:11 |
| 17:1;49:5;100:20 | 121:8 | 18:24;26:21;41:21,25;59:10 | Dill (4) |
| decided (5) | demonstrate (2) | detect (2) | 2:23;59:11,13,13 |
| 26:17;68:4,8;78:13;146:19 | 121:11;129:18 | 45:1,20 | dinosaur (1) |
| decision (15) | demonstrating (1) | detected (1) | 88:10 |
| 16:5,10;24:20;34:2,4;63:24; | 137:19 | 45:17 | direct (4) |
| 72:8;93:5;103:3,3;105:18; | demurred (1) | detection (4) | 15:4,8;30:3;76:19 |
| 110:22;111:20;151:8,18 | 135:6 | 43:10;44:24;45:9;46:2 | direction (9) |
| decision-making (1) | denied (1) | deterioration (3) | 10:16;21:11;29:25;43:4,24; |
| 34:1 | 117:4 | 96:25;97:1;101:16 | 80:13;97:7;123:12;135:13 |
| decisions (3) | denoted (1) | determination (1) | directions (1) |
| 53:16;100:23;107:21 | 83:19 | 133:1 | 41:18 |
| deck (4) | deny (1) | determine (1) | directly (2) |
| 31:11;123:9;127:4;136:10 | 51:20 | 105:13 | 94:19;120:6 |
| decline (1) | denying (1) | determined (3) | director (2) |
| 28:2 | 39:21 | 37:1;99:8;132:22 | 29:18;61:1 |
| declining (1) | Department (29) | determines (1) | directors (1) |
| 35:21 | 2:10;4:14;6:20;7:3,14;9:25; | 140:6 | 26:24 |
| decommissioned (1) | 10:1,8,11;18:9;19:10;20:14,22; | devastate (2) | disability (1) |
| $45: 20$ | 22:25;23:2;24:1;25:2,8,17; | 100:9;113:6 | 95:4 |
| decommissioning (1) | 27:20;28:21;29:3;30:23;43:15; | devastated (2) | disappeared (1) |
| 130:20 | 75:25;77:11;78:3,6,13 | 99:25;148:23 | 97:5 |
| decreasing (1) | dependent (1) | devastating (3) | disappearing (1) |
| 110:5 | 66:24 | 116:11,17,23 | 140:11 |
| dedicated (2) | depends (1) | devastation (4) | discern (1) |
| 41:2;98:7 | 10:4 | 98:5;99:6,19,22 | 85:23 |
| deep (2) | depicts (1) | develop (2) | discovered (2) |
| 55:15;126:10 | 54:20 | 40:3,4 | 53:1;99:1 |
| deer (4) | Deposits (1) | developed (4) | discrepancy (1) |
| 64:19;100:2;115:2;123:3 | 54:22 | 49:25;61:23;81:15;82:22 | 35:18 |
| defending (1) | Depot (1) | developer (5) | discuss (2) |
| 35:15 | 116:3 | 38:24;39:10,15;78:11,14 | 28:6;137:5 |
| defined (1) | depression (1) | developers (1) | discussed (8) |
| 30:16 | 95:14 | 78:5 | 14:21,24;18:6;49:7;71:7,9,9; |
| defining (1) | derail (2) | developing (2) | 133:16 |
| 33:12 | 84:13,14 | 81:9;94:24 | discusses (1) |
| definitely (1) | describe (1) | development (5) | 26:22 |
| 117:7 | 154:9 | 33:4;50:19;77:10;80:25; | discussing (1) |
| definition (1) | described (4) | 81:16 | 133:7 |
| 72:21 | 16:11;32:19;49:24;95:8 | developments (2) | discussion (1) |
| degrade (1) | deserve (2) | 50:24;79:16 | 12:12 |
| 33:5 | 83:17;153:25 | dial (1) | dismissal (1) |
| degree (2) | design (4) | 4:19 | 33:11 |
| 26:14;62:11 | 15:3,4;18:11;105:13 | diamond (1) | disregard (1) |
| degrees (1) | designated (2) | 141:3 | 129:3 |
| 47:19 | 50:7;105:4 | diamonds (1) | disrespect (1) |
| DeLashmutt (5) | designates (1) | 141:6 | 86:10 |


| disrupt (1) | $\begin{gathered} 49: 23 \\ \text { down (43) } \end{gathered}$ | 103:20;104:19;106:18 | edification (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| disrupting (1) | 12:21;26:2;31:24;36:21; | 29:10,11;56:24 | Edison (1) |
| 63:3 | 37:4;46:20;53:5;58:14;63:11, | dug (1) | 30:4 |
| disrupts (1) | 17;64:15;69:18;70:7;74:11; | 104:9 | Editor (1) |
| 5:10 | 77:2,3;81:23,24;82:13;83:6; | during (16) | 116:8 |
| distance (1) | 84:14;85:9,21;87:1,4;89:18; | 8:2;10:20;16:2;34:15;36:18; | educate (1) |
| 142:23 | 104:19;106:1;109:4;111:2; | 46:20;47:17;53:6,8,13,25; | 72:17 |
| distributed (3) | 120:25;124:8,16;134:7;143:10, | 62:15;73:24;74:2;91:22;146:9 | eerily (1) |
| 30:12;108:6,22 | 19;144:9;146:15,17,18;148:20, | dust (1) | 61:10 |
| distribution (3) | 22;152:12 | 58:25 | effect (4) |
| 27:22;99:9;111:6 | dozen (1) | Dwight (3) | 97:5;117:23;121:1;136:18 |
| District (1) | 123:4 | 2:23;59:13,13 | effective (6) |
| 98:3 | dozens (1) | dynamite (2) | 20:2,4,10;21:1,7;81:13 |
| districts (1) | 152:10 | 37:10;121:15 | effectively (1) |
| $74: 2$ | DPO (6) |  | $116: 23^{\circ}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { disturbance (1) } \\ & 37: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:13;7:9;19:3;20:8,20;23:20 } \\ & \text { draft (27) } \end{aligned}$ | E | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { effectiveness (1) } \\ 118: 1 \end{array}$ |
| diversity (2) | 6:13;7:19;10:6,10;12:11; | eagles (2) | effects (4) |
| 27:7,9 | 13:1,6,8,13;14:1,21,24;15:1,16; | 50:7;127:18 | 34:4;46:21;55:12;62:23 |
| divide-and-conquer (1) | 18:5;20:8;22:20,21;24:14; | ear (2) | efficiencies (1) |
| 114:5 | 34:17;64:8;73:10,13,17;77:12; | 95:12,19 | 109:15 |
| dock (1) | 92:11;93:21 | earlier (7) | efficiency (4) |
| 50:1 | dramatically (2) | 33:16;58:8;62:2;63:5; | 81:3,17,19;137:6 |
| doctor (1) | 100:21;127:1 | 122:22;133:16;150:11 | efficient (2) |
| 86:24 | drawn (1) | early (8) | 55:17;82:21 |
| doctors (2) | 60:2 | 34:22;43:10;45:1,16,20;46:1; | effort (3) |
| 87:22,22 | dream (1) | 49:7;60:6 | 28:21,22;72:19 |
| document (1) | 125:9 | ears (1) | EFSC (9) |
| 10:15 | dreams (1) | 95:18 | 7:9;8:11,13;24:10;40:21; |
| documented (1) | 96:11 | earth (1) | 49:4;92:7;94:11;151:3 |
| $24: 15$ | dreamt (1) | 121:8 | E-F-S-C (1) |
| documents (1) | 83:20 | easement (2) | 8:11 |
| 72:24 | drill (1) | 68:18;120:23 | EFSC-appointed (1) |
| $\operatorname{dog}(2)$ | 96:7 | easier (1) | 6:17 |
| 35:12;56:13 | drills (2) | 87:23 | eight (1) |
| dollar (1) | 104:10,10 | eastern (7) | 27:11 |
| 110:8 | drive (9) | 26:13;79:20;102:4;106:3; | either (12) |
| dollars (1) | 38:5;44:21;52:10;53:23; | 109:24;125:2;127:19 | $4: 8 ; 14: 7 ; 20: 7 ; 23: 11,12 ; 41: 5$ |
| 85:3 | 58:6;67:16;99:16;125:17;139:4 | eat (1) | 24;45:2;63:17;71:20;122:18; |
| domain (1) | driven (3) | 145:25 | 137:4 |
| 52:16 | 43:8,13;132:20 | eclipsed (1) | either/or (1) |
| dominate (1) | drivers (1) | 109:18 | 103:3 |
| 51:2 | 57:23 | ecological (1) | Electric (14) |
| dominated (1) | driveway (2) $58.24 \cdot 120 \cdot 17$ | 64:12 | 30:25;39:23;82:22;98:23; |
| 104:25 | 58:24;120:17 | economic (4) $60 \cdot 16 \cdot 80 \cdot 15 \cdot 110 \cdot 3 \cdot 131 \cdot 17$ | 107:23;109:12;138:18,21,21, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { dominating (1) } \\ & 51: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { driving (2) } \\ \text { 131:18; } 137: 16 \end{array}$ | 60:16;80:15;110:3;131:17 economics (1) | electrical (7) |
| donated (1) | droop (1) | 52:16 | 27:2;28:1;29:7;80:22;81:3; |
| $72: 18$ done (12) | 140:18 | economies (1) | 99:9;139:11 |
| done (12) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (3:16:69:2:71:19, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | drop (1) | 110:18 | electricity (13) |
| 13:16;69:2;71:19,21;76:22; | 45:2 | economist (1) | 28:3;29:24;30:10;46:20; |
| 90:24;95:25;105:11;113:15; | dropped (1) | 110:5 | 65:1;80:21;82:24;84:23,24; |
| 124:5;146:22;150:21 | 112:2 | economy (1) | 131:18;148:4;153:5,7 |
| door (2) 69:21;111:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { drought (1) } \\ & 36 \cdot 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 66:25 } \\ \text { ecosystems (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { electronic (1) } \\ 96: 21 \end{array}$ |
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