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**Agency Review Process**

The agency review process outlined in this section aligns with the OAR 345-025-0016 agency consultation process applicable to monitoring and mitigation plans.

To afford an adequate opportunity for applicable local, state and federal agencies to review the draft plan prior to finalization and implementation, and any future plan amendments, the certificate holder shall implement the following agency review process.

**Step 1:** Certificate Holder’s Update of Draft Plan or Future Plan Amendment: The certificate holder may develop one Agricultural Assessment and Mitigation Plan to cover all construction activities for the entire facility; or, may develop individual plans per county, segment or phase, as best suited for facility construction. Based on the draft Agricultural Assessment and Mitigation Plan included as Attachment K-1 of the Final Order on the ASC, the certificate holder shall update the draft plan(s) based on facility design and construction plans. If the plan(s) are amended following finalization, the certificate holder shall clearly identify and provide basis for any proposed changes.

**Step 2:** Certificate Holder and Department Coordination on Appropriate Review Agencies and Agency Review Conference Call(s): Prior to submission of the updated draft plan, or any future amended plans, the certificate holder shall coordinate with the Department’s Compliance Officer to identify the appropriate federal, state and local agencies to be involved in the plan review process. Once appropriate federal, state and local agency contacts are identified by the Department and certificate holder, the Department’s Compliance Officer will initiate coordination between agencies to schedule review/planning conference call(s). The Department and certificate holder may agree to schedule separate conference calls per county.

The intent of the conference call(s) are to provide the certificate holder, or its contractor, an opportunity to describe details of the updated draft or amended plan; and, agency plan review schedule. Agencies may provide initial feedback on requirements to be included in the plan during the call, or may provide written comments during the 14-day comment period. The Department will request that any comments provided be supported by an analysis and local, state or federal regulatory requirement (citation).

The certificate holder may coordinate with appropriate review agencies, in advance of or outside of the established agency review process; however, this established agency review process is necessary under OAR 345-025-0016 and may result in more efficient plan finalization and amendment if managed in a consolidated process, utilizing the Department’s Compliance Officer as the lead Point of Contact.

**Step 3:** Agency Review Process: Either with, or prior to, the agency conference call(s), the certificate holder shall distribute electronic copies of the draft, or future amended, plan(s) requesting that the Department coordinate agency review comments within 14-days of receipt, or as otherwise determined feasible. Following the 14-day agency review period, the Department will consolidate comments and recommendations into the draft, or amended, plan(s), using a Microsoft Word version of the plan provided by certificate holder. Within 14-days of receipt of the agency review comments, the certificate holder shall provide an updated final version of the plan, incorporating any applicable regulatory requirements, as identified during agency review or must provide reasons supporting exclusion of recommended requirements. Final plans will be distributed to applicable review agencies by the Department, including the certificate holder’s assessment of any exclusions of agency recommendations, and a description of their opportunity for dispute resolution.
Step 4: Dispute Resolution: If any review agency considers the final, or amended, plan(s) not to adhere to applicable state, federal or local laws, Council rules, Council order, or site certificate condition or warranty, the review agency may submit a written request of the potential violation to the Department’s Compliance Officer or Council Secretary, requesting Council review during a regularly scheduled Council meeting. The Council would, as the governing body, review the violation claim and determine, through Council vote, whether the claim of violation is warranted and identify any necessary corrective actions.
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# ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIMP</td>
<td>Agricultural Impact Mitigation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC</td>
<td>Application for Site Certificate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUM</td>
<td>Animal Unit Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPA</td>
<td>Bonneville Power Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>Conservation Reserve Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFSC</td>
<td>Energy Facility Siting Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFU</td>
<td>Exclusive Farm Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSA</td>
<td>Farm Service Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIS</td>
<td>Geographic Information System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>Global positioning system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVF</td>
<td>High Value Farmland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPC</td>
<td>Idaho Power Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kV</td>
<td>kilovolt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAIP</td>
<td>National Agriculture Imagery Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASS</td>
<td>National Agricultural Statistics Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOP</td>
<td>National Organic Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRCS</td>
<td>Natural Resources Conservation Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAIN</td>
<td>Oregon Agricultural Information Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAR</td>
<td>Oregon Administrative Rule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODA</td>
<td>Oregon Department of Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODOE</td>
<td>Oregon Department of Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORS</td>
<td>Oregon Revised Statutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSP</td>
<td>Organic System Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSU</td>
<td>Oregon State University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Boardman to Hemingway Transmission Line Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAI</td>
<td>Request for Additional Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>Right-of-way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USC</td>
<td>United States Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Agriculture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DEFINITIONS

Agricultural Land: Annually cultivated or rotated land used in the production of crops; land in perennial field crops, orchards, or vineyards; land used for small fruit, nursery crops, greenhouses, or Christmas trees; improved pasture/range and hayfields; land in the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP); and previously cultivated land in government-sponsored environmental or conservation programs, not including land converted to wetlands.

Agricultural Monitor: A monitor retained and funded by Idaho Power Company (IPC), reporting directly to the Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA) and responsible for auditing IPC’s compliance with the provisions of this mitigation plan.

Agricultural Specialist: A specialist retained and funded by IPC, reporting directly to IPC and responsible for providing expert advice during each phase including construction planning, construction, restoration, post-construction monitoring, and follow-up restoration.

Cropland: Includes all agricultural land except land used for pasture/range.

Easement: The agreement(s) and/or interest in privately owned agricultural land held by IPC by virtue of which it has the right to construct, operate, and maintain the transmission line together with such other rights and obligations as may be set forth in such agreements.

Final Clean-up: Transmission line activity that occurs after the power line has been constructed. Final clean-up activities include, but are not limited to, removal of construction debris, decompaction of soil as required, installation of permanent erosion control structures, final grading, restoration of fences, and required reseeding. Once final clean-up is finished, landowners will be contacted to settle all damage issues and will be provided a form to sign confirming final settlement.

Landowner: Person(s), or their representatives, holding legal title to agricultural land in the Proposed Corridor, from whom IPC is seeking, or has obtained, a temporary or permanent easement.

Landowner’s Designee: Any person(s) legally authorized by a landowner or court of law to make decisions regarding the mitigation or restoration of agricultural impacts to such landowners’ property. Any landowner’s designee shall provide IPC with a written document signed by the landowner or a court with jurisdiction authorizing the designee to discuss, negotiate, and reach agreements with IPC.

Non-Agricultural Land: Any land that is not agricultural land as defined above.

Right-of-Way: The agricultural land included in permanent and temporary easements that IPC acquires for the purpose of constructing, operating, and maintaining the transmission line.

Tenant: Any person lawfully residing on or in possession of property and who operates a farm, has a lease, or pays rent on property for which IPC is seeking or has obtained temporary or permanent easement for from the landowner.

Tile: Artificial subsurface drainage system.

Topsoil: The uppermost part of the soil including the plow layer (Ap horizon) and other A horizons (A1, A2, etc.), but not including transition horizons (AB, AC, BA, E, etc.). It is the surface layer of the soil and generally has the darkest color and the highest content of organic matter.
1.0 OVERVIEW

Idaho Power Company (IPC) is proposing to construct, operate, and maintain a high-voltage electric transmission line between Boardman, Oregon, and the Hemingway Substation in southwestern Idaho as an extension of IPC’s electric transmission system. The Project consists of approximately 296.6 miles of electric transmission line, with 272.8 miles located in Oregon and 23.8 miles in Idaho. The Project includes 270.8 miles of single-circuit 500-kilovolt (kV) transmission line, removal of 12 miles of existing 69-kV transmission line, rebuilding of 0.9 mile of a 230-kV transmission line, and rebuilding of 1.1 miles of an existing 138-kV transmission line into a new right-of-way (ROW). Overview maps of the Project location and details of the alternative routes are included as Figures 1-1 and 1-2. Refer to Exhibit B for a complete Project description and maps of the Project.

In support of its Energy Facility Siting Council (EFSC) Application for Site Certificate (ASC), IPC provides this Agricultural Lands Assessment, describing agricultural crops and existing agricultural practices on agricultural lands and analyzing the temporary and permanent impacts that would occur as a result of the construction and operation of the Project. The Agricultural Lands Assessment identifies all lands devoted to farm use within the site boundary and surrounding lands within 500 feet of the Site Boundary (Agricultural Assessment Area). The Site Boundary for the 500-kilovolt (kV) transmission line is a 500-foot-wide area within which IPC will locate the transmission line and is described in Exhibit C, Section 3.5, Site Boundary. The Site Boundary for the remaining Project features varies by the type of feature (see Exhibit C, Section 3.5, Table C-24).

---

1 For the purposes of this document, the term “agricultural lands” is used to describe lands defined in Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 215.203(2)(a) as “farm use lands.”
Figure 1-1. Location Map
Figure 1-2. Detail of Alternatives and 230-kV and 138-kV Rebuilds
2.0 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Analysis Area

The Analysis Area for Exhibit K is the Site Boundary and one-half mile from the Site Boundary (see Second Amended Project Order, Table 2). For purposes of this Agricultural Assessment, IPC analyzed the agricultural lands within the Analysis Area by reviewing agricultural practices within each relevant county (see Section 3.0). IPC analyzed in more detail those agricultural lands occurring within the Site Boundary and 500 feet from the Site Boundary by conducting an agricultural lands field survey, landowner survey, and analyzing the potential impacts to the farm practices on those lands (see Section 2.2 through 2.4, and Section 5.0).

2.2 Agricultural Assessment Area

The Agricultural Assessment evaluates all farm practices either observed or expected on lands within the site boundary\(^2\) and on surrounding lands within 500 feet of the site boundary (Agricultural Assessment Area), as provided by the Oregon Department of Energy (ODOE). See Request for Additional Information 2 (Sept. 25, 2014) (request number K15 states that the assessment should include “surrounding lands within 500 feet of any site boundary, in addition to those lands within the site boundary”).

2.3 Agricultural Lands Field Survey

Areas potentially containing agricultural lands within the Agricultural Assessment Area were visually surveyed from public roads. Prior to beginning field surveys, potential agricultural use areas were identified using aerial imagery from 2014 and 2015 National Agriculture Imagery Program and 2016 Google Earth imagery for verification. Fieldwork was conducted during October 2016. The field crew verified the presence and absence of agricultural land uses and noted, where visible from public roads, the type of crop or crops being grown and whether land was under irrigation. Data from the visual surveys were recorded using a laptop computer loaded with aerial imagery and a global positioning system (GPS). A customized data collection form allowed the crew to record information about individual field sites, discernable crop types, and irrigation practices. Crop boundaries were digitized from aerial imagery using ArcGIS. Data gathered from field surveys and landowner surveys were used to estimate the amount and type of agricultural land within the Agricultural Assessment Area. Crop boundaries and resulting acreages in this analysis were derived from ground-truthing aerial imagery and represent an estimate of actual agricultural land uses and practices.

Most of the federal lands were categorized as rangeland or rangeland/timber, except for any federally owned lands that are managed for an agricultural purpose. In those cases, the agricultural crop observed was noted.

2.4 Agricultural Landowner Survey

A survey of agricultural landowners was undertaken based on land parcels crossed by the route as planned in 2011. Landowners identified as having agricultural land uses on their parcels were sent a letter and questionnaire to complete regarding the agricultural uses of their lands. They were provided an opportunity to complete the questionnaire online or return a form. Landowners who did not complete the survey online or return a form were contacted by e-mail then by

\(^2\) The Site Boundary is defined in OAR 345-001-0010(55) as “the perimeter of the site of the proposed energy facility, its related or supporting facilities, all temporary laydown and staging areas, and all corridors and micrositing corridors proposed by the applicant.”
telephone to complete the survey. Of the 344 parcels identified to have agricultural land uses in 2011, survey data were obtained on 211 (61.3 percent). Because the ASC route was only recently determined, subsequent surveys of agricultural landowners were not attempted.

2.5 Identification of Conservation Reserve Program Agricultural Lands

Some of the agricultural lands within the ApASC corridor in eastern Oregon are currently under contract in U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) reserve programs. These programs include the Farm Service Agency’s (FSA) CRP, the Natural Resources Conservation Service’s (NRCS) Grassland Reserve Program, and the Wetland Reserve Program administered by the NRCS. These lands are not presently used for agriculture, but would likely revert to agricultural use if they were not part of one of the reserve programs. Section 1619 of the 2008 Food, Conservation and Energy Act, 7 United States Code (USC) 8791, limits the disclosure of information about individual landowners or the programs they participate in. IPC will obtain property specific reserve program data for landowners in advance of developing specific mitigation programs.

CRP lands undergo a lengthy certification process that does not allow for easy entry into nor exit from the program. Using several dates of aerial imagery ranging from 1996 to 2014, our analyst was able to determine whether lands recently underwent any tilling, crop cycling, or harvest. The categorization of CRP land was further bolstered by field observations of tilled soil, standing crop stubble, or other typically weedy species. Tilling and standing stubble presence are both indicators of active farming. Presence of weedy or semi-natural species coupled with no evidence of land preparation indicated CRP participation within a particular parcel.

2.6 Compilation of Agricultural Lands Data

Agricultural survey data were compiled based on four main datasets: 1) Individual parcels, 2) county boundaries, 3) field/land use boundaries, and 4) the Agricultural Assessment Area. Although the data were mostly complete for each of these datasets, the parcel data included several unaccounted for areas that coincided with road and water features. These areas appeared to be state or federally owned or federally administered areas. To account for the potential crop/land use of these areas, we returned to the data to assess what type of right-of-way (ROW) best represented these areas. Both transportation (road/transport ROW) and river/stream ROW categories were used to account for these parcel omissions coinciding with either rail-lines or roads and waterbodies.

Performing an exhaustive accounting of crop and land use from data that do not align adds potential error and complexity. Field boundaries, county boundaries, and ROWs often crossed each other within the digital GIS layers. Many of the digital boundaries did not overlap neatly to create clean intersections. For example, a field boundary (digitized from the aerial photo) may cross several parcels and a road ROW. The county line may also intersect one or more of the polygons. Each additional overlapping, but non-aligned, dataset added to gaps, slivers, and overlaps in the final dataset.

Since several areas of the Project corridor were inaccessible, photo-interpretation of crop type required reviewing multiple years of imagery. To determine whether fields were dryland farmed, wheat, or CRP required referencing multiple dates of imagery as well.

In order to account for permanent and temporary construction impacts, areas outside the corridor were assessed. While the total area was relatively small, 1,500+ polygons were examined to determine land use and presence of existing roads.
Additionally, we found it useful to differentiate between areas of rangeland, primarily composed of shrubs and grasses, and rangeland areas with timber. The rangeland timber category is only found in the Blue Mountains between Pilot Rock, Oregon, and La Grande, Oregon.

Maps depicting aerial photographs of the agricultural types in the five-county assessment area were prepared and are provided in Appendix A.

### 3.0 OREGON AGRICULTURE

In Oregon, gross farm and ranch sales were approximately $5.7 billion in 2014 (USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service [2015]). There were approximately 2,928,680 acres harvested for agricultural crops in 2012, not including livestock range or pastureland. In the five-county study area crossed by the Project, gross farm and ranch sales accounted for $1,534,118,000 in 2012.

This section of the report provides a snapshot of Oregon agriculture for the 2012 season in the five-county study area. The crops that farmers choose to grow in any season are generally market-driven but sometimes is a matter of personal preference based on the operator’s farming background and is influenced by soil quality, government programs and regulations, proximity to markets, labor availability, land values, availability of adequate irrigation water, and other factors specific to a particular area. Crop selection and planting practices tend to vary from year to year.

The information shown in Figure 3-1 and Table 3-1 was obtained from the Oregon Agricultural Information Network (OAIN) database (Oregon State University [OSU] 2013a) and shows the 2012 gross farm and ranch sales.

![Pie chart](image)

**Figure 3-1. 2012 Preliminary Oregon Commodity Sales (OSU 2013a)**
Table 3-1. Gross Farm and Ranch Sales by County and Rank within Oregon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>2012 Gross Farm and Ranch Commodity Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morrow</td>
<td>$482,379,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>$487,096,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union</td>
<td>$99,003,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>$92,244,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malheur</td>
<td>$373,396,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for five counties</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,534,118,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: OSU 2013b

Table 3-2 presents acreage summaries of agricultural practices (e.g., crop types) or farm uses identified during the 2016 Agricultural Assessment field surveys. Rangeland, rangeland timber, wheat, and CRP accounted for approximately 80 percent of the total acreage observed. Field crop acreage within the Agricultural Assessment Analysis Area consisted of berries, canola, corn, grass seed, onions, peppermint, potatoes, and sugar beets. Alfalfa hay and wheat made up a major portion of the agricultural crop total and are addressed separately. Hybrid poplar farms, common in Morrow County, are identified in Table 3-2 as woody crops/wood lots. Project routing avoided Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs) within the Analysis Area; consequently, they are not identified in Table 3-2. The primary changes in agricultural use between 2014 and the 2016 surveys were acreage increases in field crops (alfalfa hay, corn, grapes, dry beans, potatoes, sugar beets, and wheat) in 2016 and increases in acres of rangeland and timber.

Table 3-2. Acreages of Agricultural Practices or Farm Uses in the Five-County Assessment Area during 2014 Field Surveys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agricultural Practice/Farm Use</th>
<th>Temporary Use Area Plus 500-Foot Buffer (acres)</th>
<th>Temporary Construction Disturbance (acres)</th>
<th>Permanent Operations Disturbance (acres)</th>
<th>Total (acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-irrigated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland</td>
<td>16,991.1</td>
<td>574.6</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>17,640.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland/timber</td>
<td>3,259.8</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>3,296.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>681.1</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>702.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>2,219.6</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>2,325.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallow</td>
<td>275.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>283.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road/transport ROW</td>
<td>279.4</td>
<td>135.5</td>
<td>117.5</td>
<td>632.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>273.7</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>352.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River/stream ROW</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field crops</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>157.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>162.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christmas trees/woody crops/wood lot</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Agricultural Practice/Farm Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agricultural Practice/Farm Use</th>
<th>Temporary Use Area Plus 500-Foot Buffer (acres)</th>
<th>Temporary Construction Disturbance (acres)</th>
<th>Permanent Operations Disturbance (acres)</th>
<th>Total (acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
<td>365.2</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>395.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallow</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigated pasture</td>
<td>199.3</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>234.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>205.4</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>283.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3.1 Morrow County

Morrow County was second highest in the state of Oregon for agricultural sales in 2012. The top reported commodities in Morrow County in 2012, in order of total sales, were wheat, potatoes, cattle, and alfalfa hay. Gross farm sales in 2012 for crops were $258 million, and livestock and poultry sales were $252 million. The harvested acreage in Morrow County in 2012 was 252,175 acres. Acreage of irrigated and non-irrigated agricultural lands within the Agricultural Assessment Area in 2016 is shown in Table 3-3.

Table 3-3. Estimated Irrigated and Non-Irrigated Agricultural Acreage in the Morrow County Portion of the Agricultural Assessment Area in 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agricultural Practice/Farm Use</th>
<th>Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Non-Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Total (acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland</td>
<td>8,649.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,649.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road/transport ROW</td>
<td>390.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>390.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River/stream ROW</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>107.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>107.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>385.9</td>
<td>2,244.2</td>
<td>2,630.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
<td>207.1</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berries</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christmas trees/woody crops/wood lots</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>88.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn for grain</td>
<td>130.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>130.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potatoes</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>66.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grapes</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>76.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onions</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown crop</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,022.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>11,421.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>12,443.9</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3.2 Umatilla County

Umatilla County was third highest in the state of Oregon for agricultural sales in 2012. The top reported commodities in Umatilla County in 2012, in order of total sales, were wheat, cattle, potatoes, apples, and dry storage onions. Gross farm sales in 2012 for crops were $395 million, and livestock and poultry sales were $92 million. The harvested acreage in Umatilla County in

---

3 The Oregon Agricultural Information Network (OAIN) no longer publishes detailed county agricultural statistics, consequently the 2012 data best reflects the value of farm sales and harvested acreage and is presented here.
2012 was 297,125 acres\textsuperscript{3}. Acreage of irrigated and non-irrigated agricultural lands within the Agricultural Assessment Area in 2016 is shown in Table 3-4.

**Table 3-4. Estimated Irrigated and Non-Irrigated Agricultural Acreage in the Umatilla County Portion of the Agricultural Assessment Area in 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agricultural Practice/Farm Use</th>
<th>Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Non-Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Total (acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland</td>
<td>9,387.0</td>
<td>9,387.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland/timber</td>
<td>2,316.9</td>
<td>2,316.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road/transport ROW</td>
<td>146.1</td>
<td>146.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown crop</td>
<td>344.2</td>
<td>344.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>54.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>12,246.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>12,300.2</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Union County

The top reported commodities in Union County in 2012, in order of total sales, were wheat, cattle, peppermint for oil, potatoes, and alfalfa hay. Gross farm sales in 2012 for crops were $77 million, and livestock and poultry sales were $22 million. The harvested acreage in Union County in 2012 was 94,680 acres\textsuperscript{3}. Acreage of irrigated and non-irrigated agricultural lands within the Agricultural Assessment Area in 2016 is shown in Table 3-5.

**Table 3-5. Estimated Irrigated and Non-Irrigated Agricultural Acreage in the Union County Portion of the Agricultural Assessment Area in 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agricultural Practice/Farm Use</th>
<th>Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Non-Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Total (acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland</td>
<td>2,925.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,925.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland/timber</td>
<td>10,700.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,700.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road/transport ROW</td>
<td>258.09</td>
<td></td>
<td>282.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River/stream ROW</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>27.82</td>
<td>63.96</td>
<td>126.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
<td>31.95</td>
<td></td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown crop</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>75.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>240.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>13,995.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,236.2</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4 Baker County

The top reported commodities in Baker County in 2012, in order of total sales, were cattle, potatoes, wheat, alfalfa hay, and other hay. Gross farm sales in 2012 for crops were $38 million, and livestock and poultry sales were $55 million. The harvested acreage in Baker County in 2012 was 91,700 acres\textsuperscript{3}. Acreage of irrigated and non-irrigated agricultural lands within the Agricultural Assessment Area in 2016 is shown in Table 3-6.
Table 3-6. Estimated Irrigated and Non-Irrigated Agricultural Acreage in the Baker County Portion of the Agricultural Assessment Area in 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agricultural Practice/Farm Use</th>
<th>Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Non-Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Total (acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>12,289.3</td>
<td>12,295.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland/timber</td>
<td>5,394.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,394.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road/transport ROW</td>
<td>364.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>364.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River/stream ROW</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>118.1</td>
<td>319.3</td>
<td>437.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown crop</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
<td>109.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>110.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>263.8</td>
<td>18,368.4</td>
<td>18,632.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5 Malheur County

Malheur County was fourth in the state of Oregon for agricultural sales in 2012. The top reported commodities in Malheur County in 2012, in order of total sales, were cattle, dry storage onions, corn for grain, alfalfa hay, and wheat. Gross farm sales in 2012 for crops were $219 million, and livestock and poultry sales were $154 million. The harvested acreage in Malheur County in 2012 was 131,080 acres\(^3\). Acreage of irrigated and non-irrigated agricultural lands within the Agricultural Assessment Area in 2016 is shown in Table 3-7.

Table 3-7. Estimated Irrigated and Non-Irrigated Agricultural Acreage in the Malheur County Portion of the Agricultural Assessment Area in 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agricultural Practice/Farm Use</th>
<th>Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Non-Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Total (acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>21,575.6</td>
<td>21,579.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road/transport ROW</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>184.2</td>
<td>184.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River/stream ROW</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>244.0</td>
<td>333.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallow</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
<td>225.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>225.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn for grain</td>
<td>219.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>219.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar beets</td>
<td>156.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>156.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry beans</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown crop</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>87.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallow</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>847.9</td>
<td>22,033.2</td>
<td>22,872.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The CRP, the largest by far of the reserve programs, is a voluntary federal program for agricultural landowners that protects highly erodible cropland. The USDA is authorized to provide monetary and technical support to private landowners who reserve agricultural lands for protection of wildlife, wildlife habitat, and wetlands. Through CRP, landowners can receive
annual rental payments and cost-share assistance to establish long-term, resource-conserving covers on eligible farmland. Contracts are made with landowners to set aside acreage for the reserve programs. The set-asides consist of leases that limit land use to the conservation purposes established within the programs.

In exchange for retiring highly erodible land for a 10- to 15-year period, the landowner is paid a per-acre annual rent and one-half the cost of establishing a permanent cover. The Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) awards contracts based on the following factors:

- Water quality
- Air quality
- Soil erosion
- Wildlife enhancement
- Enduring benefits

Construction of the proposed transmission line could threaten compliance with a CRP contract if above-listed factors are jeopardized. In addition, CRP contracts would need to be revised to compensate for the area occupied by the tower. This area would need to be removed from the contract.

In 2011, 2,271 Oregon farms with over 551,000 acres were enrolled in CRP and received payments totaling $28,631,923 (USDA 2011). Currently the average per acre payment to landowners enrolled in CRP in Oregon is $60 per acre and CRP payments totaled approximately $35,000,000 in 2016.

According to the FSA (Loop 2012), CRP payments made on the tower footprint area will have to be repaid to the FSA at the rate specified in the CRP contract plus interest. The tower footprint area will have to be removed from the CRP contract and not be eligible for future payments. The largest tower has a footprint of about 0.05 acre; therefore, the cost will be minimal. Temporary access roads can be constructed across CRP fields for the installation of transmission towers and lines as long as a waiver is obtained from the FSA and the land is reseeded to CRP specifications immediately after the road has been decommissioned. The acreage of CRP land impacted by permanent access roads would be disqualified from the CRP program.

### 4.0 AGRICULTURAL CROP PRACTICES

The following information on agricultural practices information for the B2H Project was obtained through visual surveys of the route and from surveys of landowners currently farming and ranching within the Agricultural Assessment Area. This information is provided as a general description of common agricultural practices. This section also addresses the types of impacts associated with transmission lines. The agricultural practices discussed here may vary based on location, equipment types used, variety of crops being grown, seasonal weather conditions, technology, market demands, and other factors. For purposes of this Agricultural Lands Assessment, agricultural land includes annually cultivated or rotated land used in the production of crops; land in perennial field crops, orchards, or vineyards; land used for small fruit, nursery crops, greenhouses, or Christmas trees; improved pasture/range and hayfields; land in the CRP; and previously cultivated land in government-sponsored environmental or conservation programs, not including land converted to wetlands. Cropland includes all agricultural land except land used for pasture/range.
Throughout the planning process, IPC has attempted to avoid siting the transmission line on agricultural lands wherever practical and technically feasible. Public ROWs are used wherever possible to reduce the overall impact on agricultural lands. It is, however, necessary to use agricultural lands for access during construction and to site portions of the Project on agricultural lands.

Most of the agricultural lands within the Agricultural Assessment Area can be considered suitable for the production of field crops. Field crops include a variety of different crop types, and production techniques vary somewhat between each crop. Field crops include all plants grown for agricultural purposes in cultivated fields but do not include orchards, Christmas trees, vineyards, or nursery stock. The most common perennial field crops grown within the Agricultural Assessment Area are field seed and grass seed crops (multiple types), wheat, and alfalfa hay. Descriptions of practices used in production of the field crops shown in Figure 3-1 are presented in this section.

4.1 Establishment of Field Crops

Establishment of field crops includes weed control, field preparation, seed bed preparation, fertilization, and seeding or planting of the crop. Annual crops mature within one season and are replanted each year. Perennial crops live and produce for several years. Perennial crops may require one or more years of development before a crop is produced.

Herbicides may be applied prior to field cultivation where perennial weeds or a heavy sod are present. Soils are tested and analyzed to determine nutrient levels and are supplemented, if necessary, according to the nutrient requirements of the crop being planted. Fertilizer and other soil amendments, such as agricultural lime and dolomite, are applied based on soil tests and previous crop history. Depending on the crop, field preparation may include mowing or chopping of the remaining residue. A subsoiler may be used to break up compacted soils. Fertilizer can be applied with ground-based equipment, a broadcast spreader, aerially, during seed application, or by injection through irrigation lines. Field preparation includes several cultivation operations with a plow, disc, field chisel, or harrow to incorporate residue from the previous crop, control weeds, incorporate fertilizer and soil amendments, and smooth the soil surface. If present, rocks may be removed from the field. The field is cultivated with a harrow or roller to create a smooth, firm seed bed. Seed is planted into a prepared field using a seed drill, which places the proper amount of seed in rows at appropriate depths and then firms the soil around the seed.

Equipment typically used for establishing field crops includes a chopper or flail pulled by a tractor; a subsoiler pulled by a tractor to reduce soil compaction; a plow pulled by a tractor to cut and bury crop residue and weeds; a disk pulled by a tractor to cultivate the soil, cut and mix weeds, and incorporate fertilizer; a chisel plow pulled by a tractor to smooth the soil surface; a harrow pulled by a tractor to prepare a smooth seedbed; a roller pulled by a tractor to lightly compact the soil and provide a firm seedbed; a fertilizer spreader to broadcast plant nutrients or other soil amendments on the seedbed; a sprayer to apply agricultural chemicals; or a seed drill pulled by a tractor to place seed.

Details of agricultural practices associated with key field crops agricultural land uses are presented in this section.

Alfalfa Hay

Alfalfa is a perennial plant with a normal plant life of 5 years or longer. Alfalfa is usually grown in irrigated fields east of the Cascades. Its livestock value is highest of all common legume hay crops. Growers harrow the fields for early weed control. Most growers apply herbicides to
control weeds only once a year. Chemical and cultural controls are available for controlling leaf and root diseases. Cultural strategies include removing infested plant debris from farm equipment; mowing dry plants; rotating with non-legume crops for 2 or more years; cutting early to reduce foliage loss; avoiding excessive irrigation; planting fully mature seed; avoiding weed spread through irrigation water or animal waste; breaking up compacted soil; and avoiding fertilization with nitrogen, which favors weed growth.

**Onions**

Onions are produced in the highest quality soil in the United States, and production costs are relatively higher than most vegetables because of this crop’s requirements for water, pest management protection, and manual labor (in the case of fresh market onions). Product quality and volume are severely affected by extreme weather conditions during the growing and harvesting periods, as well as by the storage-to-market time period. Most commercial operations are large-scale, integrated production-processing-packing systems that have ample irrigation and processing water, as well as specialized processing and storage equipment. Many field operations, such as land preparation, planting, and harvesting, can be custom hired, and most of the equipment needed for production and processing can be used for other vegetable crops.

Specialized harvesting equipment is required for the different types of onions. For storage onion harvesting, topper/loader, topper/windrower, flailer, hand-topped, and untouched harvesting may be employed. Storage onions are undercut by the harvesting machine, which picks them up out of the soil and moves them into the body of the machine where forced air vertically orients the onion so that the top can be cut by a moving blade. The waste material is deposited behind the machine and onto the field. For fresh market onions, harvest is far less mechanically oriented.

Standard practices in onion harvesting include undercutting the onions and allowing them to cure (air dry) for 2 to 3 days, clipping the tops and roots, bagging the onions in burlap sacks, transporting them to a warehouse, drying, grading, bagging or boxing, and shipping. Onions also need an appropriate "curing period" where the neck opening closes. Inadequate curing will lead to onion rot and loss of the bulbs; prolonging curing can lower bulb quality. Additional processing may include washing, peeling, coring, and cutting for special packaged products or ingredients for the prepared foods industry.

**Berries**

Berry crops are perennial and include cane fruit, blueberries, and strawberries. Cane berry crops include Marion berry, blackberry, and raspberry. Cane berries are generally planted in rows and attached to a trellis system. Land preparation for berry crops is similar to preparation for field crops. Fields are sometimes fumigated prior to planting to control pests. Cane berries and blueberries remain in production for many years. Strawberry fields are generally rotated to other crops after approximately 3 years of production because of buildup of insect pest and plant disease.

**Canola**

Canola can be grown under dryland or irrigated conditions. Canola seeds are usually planted with a conventional grain drill and rolled with the last tillage of the field. Winter canola is typically planted in mid-August while spring canola is planted in the spring. Canola seedlings develop quickly and complete well with annual weeds.
Livestock

Cattle and sheep are raised for commercial purposes within the Assessment Area and require intensive management. Cattle are generally raised in cow-calf operations or as feeder cattle. Feeder cattle are purchased to graze on summer pasture before being re-sold in the fall.

In cow-calf operations, cows are bred by artificial insemination or by mating with a bull usually in late spring. Bred cows usually graze in a pasture during the summer and fall months. Calves are born in the winter or early spring. Calves are vaccinated and provided supplemental feed, vitamins, and minerals as necessary. During the winter, when pastures do not provide adequate grazing, cattle are provided supplemental feed and sometimes a shelter to escape inclement weather. Calves remain with their mothers through summer until they are weaned at about 6 months in age. They are placed in a separate pen or pasture, given supplemental feed, and sold as feeder cattle, or they are raised to market size on the farm.

Sheep are generally raised in a pasture. They are bred in the fall, and lambs are born in the winter (usually December through March). After birth, lambs are raised with their mothers until at least 3 months in age. Ewes are generally shorn for wool in late spring. Lambs usually remain in a pasture and are sometimes provided supplemental feed. They are sent to market around the age of 5 to 6 months.

Poultry and other livestock such as horses and goats are raised for both personal and commercial use. All of these animals require careful management, including supplemental feed and protection from adverse weather.

Impacts to livestock from the transmission lines will primarily result from reduced access to certain fields during construction. Farmers may be required to move livestock to allow construction crews to access their property, which may result in the need to provide supplemental feed or additional pasture space for the animals. There will be additional costs to the rancher associated with moving cattle and having to provide supplemental feed. Temporary fences may be installed during construction for the protection of livestock and Project workers. Once construction is complete, cattle will be able to use pasture land occupied by transmission towers; however, a small amount of grazable land will be lost directly within the tower footprint. Livestock may or may not need to be moved from the ROW for construction crews to perform regular maintenance.

Impacts similar to those discussed for cattle are likely to occur for sheep, bison, and horse operations. Prior to any construction, IPC together with the landowner, the landowner’s designee, and/or tenant will need to schedule and coordinate activities to minimize impacts to livestock during and following construction.

Pasture and Rangeland

Pasture is used to provide feed for livestock during the growing season. Some pastures are used all year, but in some areas soils become excessively wet or snow covered in the winter. Pasture plants consist of natural grasses, seeded grass, or grass and clover combinations that are adapted to grazing and that provide nutritious livestock forage. In eastern Oregon, some pastureland is intensively managed, but in other areas, livestock are allowed to range freely across large tracts of open grass land. New pastures are allowed to fully establish and develop a vigorous root system before being grazed. In 2014, cattle and calves were identified as the top agricultural product in Oregon.

In a well-managed pasture system, livestock are permitted to graze pasture plants down to a certain height and are then moved to another pasture. Livestock are rotated between pastures,
allowing the plants in each pasture to recover before the next grazing period. A well-managed pasture can be productive for decades.

Weeds are controlled with herbicides or by hand removal. Some pastures are irrigated to increase forage production. If fertilized, fertilizer is generally applied in the fall or spring to increase forage production. Pastures are routinely harrowed to break up manure piles and to smooth out mole and gopher mounds.

**Marijuana**

ODA does not currently include marijuana in its annual crop statistics because of federal policy regarding this plant’s federal classification as an illegal substance. For outdoor cultivation in areas where it is legal, growers choose areas that receive 12 hours or more of sunlight a day. As of January 2016, 89 cities and counties had opted to prohibit the processing, wholesaling, or retail sales of medical marijuana. In the Northern Hemisphere, plants are started in mid-April, late May, or early June to provide plants a full 4 to 9 months of growth. Harvest is usually between mid-September and early October.

### 4.2 Pre-Harvest Period for Field Crops

Weeds, insects, plant diseases, and rodents are controlled as necessary with the use of agricultural chemicals. Row crops are cultivated to remove weeds from between plant rows. Additional fertilizer may be applied to increase crop production. Certain crops are supplemented with irrigation water pumped from a well or nearby waterbody, generally through an underground mainline. Sprinklers attached to the mainline deliver water to the crops during dry summer growing periods. Sprinkler types vary by region and crop type, but the most common types used within the Agricultural Assessment Area are center-pivot and side-roll (wheel-line) lines. Center-pivot irrigation lines propel themselves automatically in a circular pattern around the field and result in a round field (crop circle). Side-roll or wheel-line irrigation systems are generally moved mechanically with the assistance of an operator. Other irrigation methods used within the Agricultural Assessment Area are hose/pipe and sprinkler type, drip-irrigation, and flood irrigation. Impacts to irrigated lands are discussed in Section 5.0, Potential Impacts to Irrigated Lands.

Certain field crops that produce certified seed must be inspected by ODA, or by other accredited certifiers such as Oregon Tilth, to determine eligibility for the certification program under the USDA’s National Organic Program (NOP).

### 4.3 Harvest Period for Field Crops

Field crops are generally harvested from May to late fall, depending on the crop and annual weather conditions. Certain crops, such as alfalfa hay, may be harvested several times during the summer. Other field crops such as wheat, grass seed, and vegetables are harvested once annually. Corn may be harvested as late as December or January depending on soil moisture levels.

Cereal grain crops, including wheat, oats, and barley, are harvested directly when the grain is mature and are harvested from standing plants with a self-propelled field combine. In eastern Oregon, wheat is most commonly planted in the fall and harvested in late summer to early fall. Most dryland wheat fields are only farmed every other year, and the field is allowed to lie fallow for one crop season between plantings to help increase soil moisture. Occasionally, back-to-back crops are grown when conditions or market demand are appropriate. Some farmers use a “no-till” method where the field is sprayed with an herbicide following harvest. Crop stubble is
left on the field during periods when the field is fallow. This term is commonly referred to as “chem-fallow.”

Grass seed is swathed into rows at maturity and allowed to dry until the seed is sufficiently dry for safe storage. Self-propelled combines pick up the rows of cut plant material and separate the seed from the straw. The harvested seed is transferred to a nearby truck and hauled to a seed-processing and storage facility. After harvest, the straw remaining in the field is baled or burned, depending on seed type. Some grass seed fields are sanitized by propane flaming with a propane-fueled burner that is pulled slowly over the field.

Forage crops such as alfalfa hay, grass hay, and silage are harvested at a time when forage nutritional quality and crop yields are both relatively high. Hay crops are swathed by cutting the plants close to ground level and placing the material into windrows. The windrows are allowed to dry and then picked up and baled using a baling machine that is towed behind a tractor when the crop is sufficiently dry. If moisture is high, windrows may be turned and fluffed using a hay rake. If moisture levels become too low, baling may need to occur at night when dew is present. Bales are picked up mechanically or by hand and moved to a storage facility. After harvest, alfalfa fields are usually irrigated to stimulate growth for the next cutting.

Vegetable crops are harvested at maturity by hand or with specialized mechanical equipment.

Certain crops are rotated with other crops on a regular basis to increase soil fertility and to prevent establishment of certain pests and diseases. For instance, in potato cropping rotations, a crop of mustard may be grown and incorporated into the soil to suppress nematodes, weeds, and soil-borne fungal pathogens.

Many farmers now use a GPS on farm equipment to increase efficiency and to avoid over or under coverage of seed, herbicide, and other chemicals.

5.0 POTENTIAL IMPACTS TO AGRICULTURAL LANDS

5.1 Areas Potentially Impacted

The Analysis Area covers a distance of approximately 360 miles, of which 88,759 acres are considered agriculture lands. These lands include irrigated and non-irrigated cropland and also support rangeland, pasture, and CRP land.

The Agricultural Assessment Area contains approximately 2,421 acres of irrigated agricultural cropland and 78,065 acres of non-irrigated cropland. Non-irrigated pasture and rangeland occur in all five counties and account for nearly 55,628 acres of the total agricultural acreage with the largest rangeland acreage occurring in Malheur County. Tables 5-1 through 5-5 show potential impacted acreage by the major agricultural practice or farm use in each of the five counties crossed by the Project. The major agricultural practices and farm uses are summarized by irrigated versus non-irrigated status in Table 5-6.
Table 5-1. Estimated Temporary and Permanent Impact Acreage by Agricultural Practice or Farm Use in the Morrow County Portion of the Agricultural Assessment Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agricultural Practice/Farm Use</th>
<th>Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Non-Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Total (acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland</td>
<td>8,649.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,649.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road/transport ROW</td>
<td>390.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>390.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>107.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>107.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>385.9</td>
<td>2,244.2</td>
<td>2,630.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
<td>207.1</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>215.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5-2. Estimated Temporary and Permanent Impact Acreage by Agricultural Practice or Farm Use in the Umatilla County Portion of the Agricultural Assessment Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agricultural Practice/Farm Use</th>
<th>Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Non-Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Total (acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland</td>
<td>9,387.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,387.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland/timber</td>
<td>2,316.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,316.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road/transport ROW</td>
<td>146.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>146.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5-3. Estimated Temporary and Permanent Impact Acreage by Agricultural Practice or Farm Use in the Union County Portion of the Agricultural Assessment Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agricultural Practice/Farm Use</th>
<th>Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Non-Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Total (acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland</td>
<td>2,925.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,925.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland/timber</td>
<td>10,700.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,700.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road/transport ROW</td>
<td>282.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>282.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>128.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 5-4. Estimated Temporary and Permanent Impact Acreage by Agricultural Practice or Farm Use in the Baker County Portion of the Agricultural Assessment Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agricultural Practice/Farm Use</th>
<th>Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Non-Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Total (acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland</td>
<td>124.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>124.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland/timber</td>
<td>5,394.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,394.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road/transport ROW</td>
<td>364.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>364.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>118.1</td>
<td>319.3</td>
<td>437.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
<td>109.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>110.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5-5. Estimated Temporary and Permanent Impact Acreage by Agricultural Practice or Farm Use in the Malheur County Portion of the Agricultural Assessment Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agricultural Practice/Farm Use</th>
<th>Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Non-Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Total (acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>21,575.6</td>
<td>21,579.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road/transport ROW</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>184.2</td>
<td>184.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>244.0</td>
<td>333.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallow</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
<td>225.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>229.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5-6. Summary Table of Acres of Temporary (Construction) and Permanent (Operations) Impacts by Agricultural Practice or Farm Use for the Five-County Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agricultural Practice/Farm Use</th>
<th>Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Non-Irrigated Lands (acres)</th>
<th>Total (acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>42,661.3</td>
<td>42,665.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland/timber</td>
<td></td>
<td>18,411.6</td>
<td>18,411.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road/transport ROW</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1,367.0</td>
<td>1,367.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>262.2</td>
<td>801.2</td>
<td>1,063.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>524.9</td>
<td>2,244.2</td>
<td>2,769.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallow</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
<td>614.5</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>626.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Project features proposed within the site boundary and the size of their projected disturbance area are presented in Table 5-7.
Table 5-7. Site Boundary and Average Temporary/Permanent Disturbance Areas by Project Component

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Length or Count</th>
<th>Site Boundary¹</th>
<th>Construction Disturbance</th>
<th>Operations Disturbance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transmission Lines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-Circuit 500-kV</td>
<td>270.8 miles (Proposed)/33.3 miles (Alternatives)</td>
<td>500 feet (width)</td>
<td>_²</td>
<td>_²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-Circuit 230-kV</td>
<td>0.9 mile (Proposed)</td>
<td>500 feet (width)</td>
<td>_²</td>
<td>_²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-Circuit 138-kV</td>
<td>1.1 miles (Proposed)</td>
<td>500 feet (width)</td>
<td>_²</td>
<td>_²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transmission Structures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-kV Lattice</td>
<td>1,085 (Proposed)/118 (Alternative)</td>
<td>_³</td>
<td>250 x 250 feet (1.4 acres)</td>
<td>50 x 50 feet (0.06 acre)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-kV H-Frame (NWSTF area)</td>
<td>73 (Proposed)/34 (Alternative)</td>
<td>_³</td>
<td>250 x 90 feet (0.5 acres) on NWSTF / 250 x 150 feet (0.9 acres) off NWSTF</td>
<td>10 x 40 feet (0.001 acre)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-kV H-Frame (Birch Creek area)</td>
<td>6 (Proposed)</td>
<td>_³</td>
<td>250 x 250 feet (1.4 acre)</td>
<td>10 x 40 feet (0.001 acre)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-kV Y-Frame</td>
<td>8 (Alternative)</td>
<td>_³</td>
<td>Varies (0.4 acres)</td>
<td>8 x 8 feet (0.001 acre)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-kV 3-Pole Dead-end (NWSTF area)</td>
<td>1 (Proposed)/2 (Alternative)</td>
<td>_³</td>
<td>250 x 90 feet (0.5 acre)</td>
<td>10 x 90 feet (0.02 acre)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-kV 3-Pole Dead-end (Birch Creek area)</td>
<td>3 (Proposed)</td>
<td>_³</td>
<td>250 x 250 feet (1.4 acre)</td>
<td>10 x 90 feet (0.02 acre)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-kV H-Frame Dead-end (NWSTF area)</td>
<td>3 (Alternative)</td>
<td>_³</td>
<td>250 x 90 feet (0.5 acre)</td>
<td>10 x 50 feet (0.01 acre)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230-kV H-Frame</td>
<td>5 (Proposed)</td>
<td>_³</td>
<td>250 x 100 feet (0.6 acre)</td>
<td>25 x 5 feet (0.01 acre)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230-kV H-Frame (Removal)</td>
<td>9 (Proposed)</td>
<td>_³</td>
<td>150 x 100 feet (0.3 acre)</td>
<td>_⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230-kV 3-Pole Dead-end</td>
<td>4 (Proposed)</td>
<td>_³</td>
<td>250 x 150 feet (0.6 acre)</td>
<td>40 x 130 feet (0.1 acre)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138-kV H-Frame</td>
<td>8 (Proposed)</td>
<td>_³</td>
<td>150 x 250 feet (0.9 acre)</td>
<td>16.5 x 5 feet (0.001 acre)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138-kV H-Frame (Removal)</td>
<td>10 (Proposed)</td>
<td>_³</td>
<td>100 x 100 feet (0.2 acre)</td>
<td>_⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138-kV 3-Pole Dead-end</td>
<td>3 (Proposed)</td>
<td>_³</td>
<td>250 x 150 feet (0.9 acre)</td>
<td>30 x 130 feet (0.09 acre)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69-kV H-Frame (Removal)</td>
<td>94 (Proposed)</td>
<td>_³</td>
<td>90 x 90 feet (0.2 acre)</td>
<td>_⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longhorn</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>188.9 acres</td>
<td>24.4 acres</td>
<td>19.6 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component</td>
<td>Length or Count</td>
<td>Site Boundary&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Construction Disturbance</td>
<td>Operations Disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access Roads&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Road, Moderate Improvements (21-70%)</td>
<td>148.8 miles (Proposed)/13.2 miles (Alternatives)</td>
<td>100 feet (width)</td>
<td>16 feet (width)</td>
<td>14 feet (width)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Road, Extensive Improvements (71-100%)</td>
<td>73.4 miles (Proposed)/6.3 miles (Alternatives)</td>
<td>100 feet (width)</td>
<td>30 feet (width)</td>
<td>14 feet (width)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New, Bladed</td>
<td>88.8 miles (Proposed)/12.8 miles (Alternatives)</td>
<td>200 feet (width)</td>
<td>35 feet (width)</td>
<td>14 feet (width)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New, Primitive</td>
<td>117.5 miles (Proposed)/12.8 miles (Alternatives)</td>
<td>200 feet (width)</td>
<td>16 feet (width)</td>
<td>10 feet (width)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Permanent Facilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Station</td>
<td>10 (Proposed)/2 (Alternative)</td>
<td>_&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>100 x 100 feet (0.2 acre)</td>
<td>75 x 75 feet (0.1 acre)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution Power Lines to Communication Station&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7 (Proposed)/2 (Alternative)</td>
<td>50 feet (width)</td>
<td>25 feet (width)</td>
<td>14 feet (width)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporary Facilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-use Areas</td>
<td>31 (Proposed)/4 (Alternative)</td>
<td>Mapped Area Outside of Transmission Line Site Boundary</td>
<td>23 acres</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Duty Fly Yards</td>
<td>4 (Proposed)</td>
<td>Mapped Area Outside of Transmission Line Site Boundary</td>
<td>5 acres</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> Site Boundary size may be less than indicated in specific areas to avoid impacts to protected areas or for other reasons.

<sup>2</sup> No temporary or permanent disturbance expected along centerline, other than for specific Project features indicated below.

<sup>3</sup> Component will be sited entirely within centerline site boundary.

<sup>4</sup> No permanent disturbance expected once existing towers are removed.

<sup>5</sup> See the Road Classification Guide and Access Control Plan (Exhibit B, Attachment B-5) for more information about road types.

<sup>6</sup> Existing roads with no substantial improvements are defined as existing roads that require improvements along 20% or less of the entire road segment. These roads have minimal to no temporary
or permanent disturbance impacts beyond their existing road surface/profile, are not included in site boundary.

7 IPC will construct distribution lines to communication stations within their service territory.

Table 5-8 shows the acres of potential site boundary and temporary and permanent disturbance for each Project component. The locations of these features are shown in Exhibit C, Attachments C-1 and C-2.

**Table 5-8. Acres of Temporary and Permanent Impacts to Agricultural Areas by Project Component**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Site Boundary (acres)</th>
<th>Agricultural Assessment Area Including 500-Foot Buffer (acres)</th>
<th>Temporary Disturbance (acres)</th>
<th>Permanent Disturbance (acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transmission Structures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower Single-circuit 500-kV lattice</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>70.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower Single-circuit 500-kV H-frame</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower Single-circuit 500-kV 3-Pole Deadend</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower Single-circuit 230-kV 3-Pole Deadend</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower Single-circuit 138-kV H-frame</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower Single-circuit 138-kV 3-Pole Deadend</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longhorn</td>
<td>188.9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access roads</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New and existing roads</td>
<td>1,323.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>553.0</td>
<td>770.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Permanent facilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication sites</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution power lines to communication sites</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporary facilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-use areas</td>
<td>656.95</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>565.95</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light duty fly yards</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulling and tensioning sites</td>
<td>1,275.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,275.2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure work areas</td>
<td>1,838.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,838.1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**5.2 Potential Impacts**

Potential impacts of the Project include temporary (construction) and permanent (operational) disturbances, as well as the indirect impacts associated with these disturbances and the type of agricultural use disturbed. Indirect impacts may include growth-inducing effects caused by the
Project but that occur later in time or farther removed in distance. Indirect impacts may include changes in the pattern of land use, population density or growth rate, and the related effects of those changes on agriculture. The area affected by the Project will be smaller than the site boundary and will be based on the specific locations of towers, access roads, laydown areas, pulling and tensioning areas, structure work areas, and fly pads. Impacts described in the following sections are examples of the most common impacts likely to occur as a result of the Project and do not constitute an absolute list of all possible impacts.

5.3 Temporary Direct and Indirect Impacts to Field Crops from Transmission Line Construction

It is estimated that transmission line construction will occur over the course of 2 to 3 months in a particular area, depending on weather conditions and other factors. Disruption of agricultural practices near Project construction will generally be short term. Temporary impacts to field crops during construction may include the following:

- Dust during construction
- Loss or damage to standing crops if access is needed prior to harvest
- Temporary access restrictions for farm equipment and livestock during construction
- Temporary disruptions to irrigation equipment
- Disruptions to farm practices including harvest, field preparation, spraying, and fertilization through temporary direct impacts from construction equipment and staging areas.

Some grading may be needed to provide a narrow construction zone that will allow unobstructed passage of line construction equipment. Poles and other material are transported to the construction site where arms, braces, and other items will be attached to the poles while they are lying horizontally on the ground. Holes will be augured or drilled, reinforced-concrete foundations will be poured, and the towers will be set vertically and plumbed. After the towers and support structures are installed, workers will install insulators and suspension hardware and stringing blocks. Transmission wire will then be pulled in, tightened, and attached to the suspension hardware.

For agricultural land within the construction area, topsoil will be segregated and placed in a separate storage area. It will be replaced in the agricultural areas followed by cleanup and restoration work, where applicable. This will occur primarily where temporary access roads are built for construction but are then removed and the site reclaimed following construction.

Temporary direct impacts from Project construction equipment and staging areas would result in approximately 601 acres of impact to farmland. More than half of this acreage is classified as rangeland, agriculture-related roadways, CRP, and non-irrigated pasture. Temporary construction impacts include temporary facilities such as multi-use areas, light-duty fly yards, and pulling and tensioning sites.

5.4 Permanent Impacts to Field Crops from Transmission Line Construction

In both the construction area and the permanent ROW, most types of agriculture will resume after construction. IPC will provide landowners with information regarding safe operation of equipment and practices around transmission lines and towers. There are not likely to be limitations placed on the type of field crops raised directly below and within a certain distance of the transmission line; however, certain practices and types of equipment may be restricted from
operating under or around the transmission line or towers. For example, equipment taller than 15 feet off the ground will not be allowed directly beneath the lines, and field burning of grass seed crops will not be allowed within the ROW. Most modern tractors and equipment, including combines, are less than 15 feet tall, but certain implements, accessories, booms, or antennas may extend to heights greater than 15 feet during normal operation. Irrigation equipment (including center-pivot irrigation equipment) will be allowed to operate under the lines as long as no portion of the equipment is greater than 15 feet tall and the equipment is properly grounded. Water cannot be directed at the line or the towers. Maintenance of irrigation equipment will not be allowed directly beneath the lines.

Permanent impacts to agricultural land as a result of the Project are likely to include the following:

- Loss of farmable acreage due to direct impacts from permanent access roads and transmission line towers
- Loss of farmable acreage due to indirect impacts from access roads and transmission line towers (due to maneuverability issues with farm equipment)
- Soil compaction
- Damage to drainage systems (drain tiles)
- Restricted range of irrigation systems
- Soil erosion
- Distribution of noxious weeds
- Movement of soil-borne pathogens
- Dust from vehicles during maintenance activities
- Restrictions on certain crop types that can be grown under the conductors such as orchard trees, hops, or pulpwood trees
- Restrictions on certain equipment that can be used
- Safety issues for farmers and ranchers
- Yield loss due to water restrictions

Overall, permanent direct impacts from Project operations would result in impacts to 863 acres of agricultural land in the five-county area.

5.5 Impacts to Use of Aircraft for Farming Activities

Farmers frequently use helicopters and/or airplanes to aerially apply chemicals to a crop rather than using traditional ground-based equipment for application. Aerial application can be used to apply chemicals to a field as a method of avoiding crop or soil damage when soils are too wet or crops are too close to maturity to be accessed by heavy equipment. The presence of transmission lines prevents aerial access to crops directly beneath the lines, potentially decreasing crop yields. Transmission lines may also indirectly impede aerial application of chemicals to other portions of the field depending on orientation, wind direction, and other factors. Some crops receive aerial applications of chemicals up to five or six times per year. In addition, herbicides that control weeds around the base of the towers may need to be applied by hand, potentially increasing costs to the farmer. Costs could include acquisition of specialized equipment and chemicals and increased labor costs.

Farmers are increasingly using unmanned aircraft (drones) equipped with relatively low-cost sensors and cameras to survey their lands and to increase the precision of their farming
activities. Drones can identify irrigation problems and pest and fungal infestations not apparent from eye level. They can also collect infrared data highlight to differences between healthy and distressed plants. In addition, drone imagery can be used in a time-series manner to show crop changes and trouble spots. Drones have the capability to easily fly both above and below transmission lines; consequently, Project impacts on drone use is expected to be minor.

The construction of the transmission line could have a minor effect on crop spraying when applicators need to modify spraying patterns on the unaffected portion of a cultivated field or adjacent fields. The presence of construction workers in the area could delay applications.

The presence of a transmission line increases the risk to aerial applicators. However, large high-voltage transmission lines like those proposed are easier to see and provide more clearance than smaller distribution lines. The Project is not proposing the use of tower guy wires, which is a safety advantage to aerial applicators because guy wires are difficult to see and cover a larger ground space than towers without them. Aerial spraying near hills and ridges can cause downdrafts and updrafts, which means increased risks to the applicator if transmission lines are located near that type of terrain. Spray coverage uniformity could be affected by the presence of transmission lines. In order to fly safely, a safe distance between the aircraft and the line must be maintained, which may result in less-than-optimal coverage or application rate. Adverse effects on the ability of aerial applicators to provide uniform coverage could increase costs by reducing efficiency and decreasing crop yields.

Transmission lines located along the edges of fields, existing roadways, or natural boundaries, rather than through existing fields, will result in less risk to the applicator and more efficiency to the producer.

The construction of the transmission line could have a minor effect on crop spraying when applicators need to modify spraying patterns on the unaffected portion of a cultivated field or adjacent fields. The presence of construction workers in the area could delay applications.

5.6 Impacts to Field Burning

Crop residues remaining after harvest of certain grass seed or wheat on eastern Oregon irrigated land have historically been burned to control diseases and weeds, stimulate yield, remove large volumes of straw and stubble that might interfere with crop management operations, and recycle nutrients into the soil. Field burning of grass seed crops has been reduced substantially in Oregon over the past two decades. In cases where a field is intersected by a power line, the landowner is required to register the crop as two separate fields and perform burning at two separate times, when wind and other conditions are appropriate. Burning is not allowed within a 150-foot-wide strip directly beneath the lines. This rule was established to protect large power lines (greater than 230 kV) by controlling the burning and reducing the possibility that smoke would impact the transmission lines. For safety reasons, IPC will not allow field burning within the ROW.

Transmission lines are already present in some fields within the site boundary where field burning is performed. Landowners have indicated that the cost increases dramatically and efficiency is reduced when field burning is carried out around transmission lines. The land within the no-burn area beneath the lines does not produce yields comparable to the adjacent areas that are burned. To date, no suitable alternative method to burning has been developed to produce desired yields for these grass seed species. Some landowners have switched to farming other crops as a result of previous transmission line projects. Landowners that lease

---

4 See OAR 340-266-0075(2)(a)(A) & (f).
their land to grass seed farmers growing species that require burning may lose their tenants if the cost of burning outweighs the benefits of farming the parcel. In addition, the amount of rent received by the owner from the tenant may be reduced if perceived land value is reduced because of the presence of the transmission line. Farming operations with specialized equipment and established infrastructure to produce certain crops may suffer as a result of additional transmission lines bisecting their crop lands.

5.7 Impacts to Crop Production and Irrigation

Mechanical irrigation, automated farming methods, and farming equipment with large spans (up to 100 feet) are all affected by overhead conductors and support structures. Acreages are taken out of production around the base of support structures, and the support structures are in the way of all equipment. Production costs increase as farmers need to divert their equipment around structures, make additional passes, take additional time to maneuver, skip acres, or retreat acres. Micrositing the transmission line will avoid crossing most agricultural fields. If crossing a field is necessary, structures will be placed on the outside edges of the field or parallel to the rows and will avoid diagonal field crossings. It should be noted that in areas of dense agricultural activity, such as Morrow County, the opportunity for micrositing is reduced because center-pivot irrigation circles are close to each other. In some cases, the diamond-shaped areas between pivot circles are being used by landowners to produce specialty crops. Recent (January 2015) actions by the FSA make it possible for farm operators to enroll these unirrigated corners of center-pivot crop fields in the CRP.

In currently cultivated farmland, existing crops could be damaged by transmission line construction requiring entry to fields during the active growing season. Irrigation schedules could be impacted by interruptions in power or the need to shut off the irrigation for safety purposes even if there are no direct damages to crops. Proper coordination between IPC and farm operators can help to segregate and protect topsoil and reduce potential impacts associated with ingress and egress to the ROW, damage to irrigation systems, and compaction.

Center pivots operate most efficiently when they complete the entire circle and continue in the same direction on a permanent basis. Imbalanced application of irrigation could affect crop production. Extraordinary effort was put into routing the location of the transmission line to avoid irrigated areas. Micrositing will be used to the maximum extent possible to minimize the interference of transmission structures on irrigation systems.

A tower located near the outer perimeter of a center pivot could result in the pivot being shortened and thereby reducing the total acres covered by the pivot for its entire circumference. A 100-foot reduction in the length of a quarter-section pivot will reduce the area covered by 18 acres. A common solution to deal with an immovable obstacle like a transmission tower is to use a corner machine so the last section of the pivot folds back to avoid the structure. Wheel-line irrigation systems cannot be adjusted if a structure is placed in its path. If a tower is placed in its path, the line must be partially disassembled, moved around the tower, then reassembled for continued operation, resulting in permanent inconvenience and increased labor costs.

There is an additional loss of production when structures are set close to the edge of a field such that farm equipment cannot fit between the structure and the edge of the field. It is difficult to achieve uniformity of application of pesticides and fertilizer around towers when using ground application around towers. After a ground application is made around a tower, it is difficult on the next pass for the operator to determine where the outer edge of the spray application was made and align the sprayer to avoid overlapping; consequently, double spraying is likely to occur. Depending on the product, this could result in crop damage. A transmission line crossing a field at an odd angle will also make it more difficult to maintain a uniform application. When
crossing a cultivated field is necessary, effects can be minimized in some cases by placing structures parallel to the rows, avoiding diagonal field crossings, and placing structures on edges of fields.

Approximately 104 of a total of 993 parcels within the site boundary are irrigated using a variety of methods. The remaining 889 parcels are currently non-irrigated.

Twenty-six of the proposed 1,461 towers are sited within the irrigated portion of an agricultural field. The most common irrigation method within these fields is the center-pivot style (Figure 5-1). Some towers are likely to interfere with current irrigation practices and will likely result in a reduction in overall crop yield. Proposed tower locations are only preliminary, and IPC will work with landowners to locate towers in areas that have the least impact to agricultural operations where feasible.

Placing a transmission tower in a location that obstructs the range of irrigation equipment can have a greater impact to a crop than just the footprint of the tower itself. Towers placed within a field using center-pivot–style irrigation require the irrigation line to stop and reverse direction when it reaches the tower. This irrigation practice results in a pie-shaped wedge of the field not receiving water and being effectively removed from production (Figure 5-2). Installing reversers on the center pivot incurs an additional cost. Some center-pivot–style systems have booms or sprinklers that are elevated greater than 15 feet above the ground surface. Systems in excess of 15 feet above the ground surface will not be allowed to operate under the transmission lines.

Figure 5-1. Example of a Center-Pivot–Style Irrigation System in Morrow County
Figure 5-2. Aerial Photograph Showing Reduced Farmable Acreage within a Center-Pivot–Irrigated Parcel Resulting from the Placement of a Transmission Line Tower (approximately 2 acres of this 40-acre field are lost to production because of the presence of a transmission line tower)

Side-roll or wheel-line irrigation (Figure 5-3) impacts are similar to those of center-pivot–style systems. Although more common in western Oregon, some eastern Oregon farmers use this method as well. Placement of a tower in the middle of a side-roll–irrigated field will isolate the irrigation equipment on one side of the field leaving the remainder un-irrigated. The farmer would be required to either install an additional set of irrigation equipment lines, dismantle and re-assemble the lines more frequently, switch the crop being grown to a type not requiring irrigation, or alter the method of irrigation. Placing transmission towers along the edge of a field would allow for irrigation equipment to travel the full length of the field, but its extent would likely need to be shortened, reducing the coverage of the irrigation water and overall crop yield.

Most irrigated parcels have underground water mainlines that deliver the water to the sprinklers. Placement of a transmission line over or adjacent to these mainlines may cause damage to the lines or make accessing the buried lines for maintenance difficult.
Occasionally, induced voltage from the lines to nearby metal objects occurs and can deliver a small shock to humans or livestock if the object is not grounded. Cathodic protection on buried or above-ground irrigation supply or delivery lines may be required. Water can also conduct electricity; therefore, a continuous stream of water should never be sprayed onto a line or tower.

Irrigation equipment (including center pivots) will be allowed to operate under the lines as long as no portion of the equipment is greater than 15 feet tall and the equipment is properly grounded. Water cannot be directed at the line or the towers. For safety reasons, maintenance on the irrigation equipment will not be allowed directly beneath the lines.

**5.7.1 Farming Around Tower Structures**

The amount of agricultural land acquired for the transmission line ROW is greater than the amount of farmable land lost to agricultural production. A large proportion of the ROW may remain available for normal cultivation; however, a portion of agricultural land may become unproductive because of the difficulty of moving farm machinery around structures. The amount of crop acreage lost to cultivation within the Proposed Corridor varies based on several factors, as follows:

- Type of tower structures used
- Crop type and the type of equipment and machinery used
- Location of the tower structures and access roads within a given field
- Orientation of the transmission lines in relation to the crop
Based on conversations with landowners who currently have transmission line towers in their fields, it appears that some tower locations within a field can create a loss in farmable acreage greater than the actual footprint of the tower itself. Towers located in a field headland (the area at the edge of a field required to turn the tractors and farm equipment around) hinder the maneuverability of the equipment and can expand the headland by up to four times the normal size. Towers located on steep slopes may also result in a larger un-farmable area around the base of the tower if equipment is only able to approach from one angle (Figure 5-4). Farming around towers generally results in increased time and effort. This increases the cost to the farmer and lowers his profit. Farms operating equipment over 15 feet will lose farmable acreage under the lines unless they can convert their operation and use smaller equipment.

There has been some concern about transmission lines interfering with GPS equipment used on tractors and equipment. There is no evidence to suggest that transmission lines interfere with GPS satellite signals.

Figure 5-4. Photograph Showing a Harvested Wheat Field with existing Transmission Line Structures Present within the Field. Towers located on hillsides may result in less farmable acreage compared to placement on flat ground because of reduced equipment maneuverability.

5.8 Impacts to Livestock Operations

Impacts to livestock from the transmission lines will primarily result from reduced access to certain fields during construction. Ranchers may be required to move livestock to allow construction crews to access their property, which may result in the need to provide supplemental feed or additional pasture space for the animals. There will be additional costs to the rancher associated with moving cattle and having to provide supplemental feed. Temporary fences may be installed during construction for the protection of livestock and Project workers. Once construction is complete, cattle will be able to use pastureland occupied by transmission towers; however, a small amount of grazable land will be lost directly within the tower footprint.
Livestock may or may not need to be moved from the ROW for construction crews to perform regular maintenance.

The construction of the transmission line could affect livestock grazing. Temporary loss of forage areas and disruption to grazing activities may occur during construction. Depending on access control, additional access could result in the harassment of livestock or allow livestock to access areas they may not have had access to previously (for example, if an access road crosses a ravine that livestock had previously been unable to cross or if a fence is cut or a gate left open). Transmission line construction is linear in nature, with intervals of activity and intervals of little or no activity. IPC will require construction contractors to maintain all fences and gates to allow normal activities to occur as much as possible. Nevertheless, during intense construction periods, some areas will be off limits to livestock or ranchers.

During operations and maintenance, pasture and rangelands will be removed from grazing when they are occupied by support structures, substations, communication stations, or access roads. Other operations and maintenance activities will not affect livestock grazing.

Impacts similar to those discussed for cattle are likely to occur for sheep, bison, and horse operations. Prior to any construction, IPC, together with the landowner, the landowner’s designee, and/or tenant, will need to schedule and coordinate activities to minimize impacts to livestock during and following construction.

5.9 **Impacts to Pasture/Rangeland**

Pasture is used to provide feed for livestock during the growing season. Some pastures are used all year, but in some areas, soils become excessively wet or snow covered in the winter. Pasture plants consist of natural grasses, seeded grass, or grass and clover combinations that are adapted to grazing and provide nutritious livestock forage. In eastern Oregon, some pastureland is intensively managed, but in other areas, livestock are allowed to range freely across large tracts of open grass land. New pastures are allowed to fully establish and develop a vigorous root system before being grazed.

In a well-managed pasture system, livestock are permitted to graze pasture plants down to a certain height and are then moved to another pasture. Livestock are rotated between pastures, allowing the plants in each pasture to recover before the next grazing period. A well-managed pasture can be productive for decades.

Weeds are controlled with herbicides or by hand removal. Some pastures are irrigated to increase forage production. Fertilizer is generally applied in the fall or spring to increase forage production. Pastures are routinely harrowed to break up manure piles and to smooth out mole and gopher mounds.

Temporary and permanent impacts to pastureland will be similar to those discussed above for livestock.

5.10 **Impacts to Fencing**

Constructing fences within the ROW is generally discouraged because of safety concerns and access issues for maintenance crews. Generally, it is preferred that fences be located at least 50 feet away from tower structures. Barbed wire and woven wire fences insulated from ground on wooden posts have the potential to assume an induced voltage when located near power lines. The fences may require grounding at each end and every 200 feet or more with a metal post. Electric fences may require a filter that is installed to remove voltages induced by the power lines. IPC will assist landowners in determining the best ways to safely ground permanent and/or temporary fences if problems arise.
5.11 Impacts to Organic Farming

Organic farms occur within the Agricultural Assessment Area. Practices employed by organic farms are similar to conventional farming and livestock husbandry but typically do not use pesticides, herbicides, fertilizers (non-organic), or other chemicals in their operations unless they are properly certified for use. Organic operations generally cost more to operate on a per-unit-yield basis, and the products usually command higher market prices. These operations can be especially sensitive to impacts from construction activities such as introduction of noxious weeds from road building, dust from construction equipment, and soil compaction. A specialized Organic Systems Plan will be developed between IPC and each organic farm landowner to identify site-specific construction practices that will minimize the potential for decertification as a result of construction activities. Possible practices may include equipment cleaning, planting a deep-rooted cover crop in lieu of mechanical decompaction, applying composted manure or rock phosphate, preventing the introduction of disease vectors from tobacco use, restoring and replacing beneficial bird and insect habitat, maintaining organic buffer zones, and using organic seeds for any cover crop.

5.12 Impacts to Agricultural Workers

Agricultural workers performing duties and operating equipment near and under transmission lines are at risk of electrical shock. IPC is committed to educating landowners (which may include landowners’ employees and/or tenants) about these risks and safe working practices. Some farm employees must also adhere to certain U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) rules while working around transmission lines.

5.13 Impacts from Helicopter Operations Related to Transmission Line Construction

Transmission line construction involves ROW access, staging and laydown areas, grading areas, tower/pole installation, and conductor installation. Any of these activities may involve the use of helicopters which may be staged out of multi-use areas or light-duty fly yards. Specific Project construction activities potentially involving the use of helicopters may include: delivery of construction laborers, equipment, and materials to structure sites; structure placement; hardware installation; and wire stringing operations. The use of helicopter construction methods will not change the length of access road required for the Project because vehicle access is required for each tower site regardless of the construction method used.

Helicopter operations have the potential to affect adjacent agricultural and livestock operations through:

- Blow down of tall crops, such as corn, from rotor wash.
- Spread of weed seeds and/or insect pests to other fields. This potential impact is of particular importance if helicopters are to be used in close proximity to organic farming operations.
- Noise impacts from helicopters on livestock.
- Temporary reduction in the area of pasture/range available to livestock during line construction.

Estimated acreage of agricultural lands surrounding multi-use areas and light-duty fly yards is presented in Table 5-9.
### Table 5-9. Estimated Agricultural Acreage and Associated Crops near Helicopter Operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Helipad Location</th>
<th>Acres of Agricultural Lands within 500 feet of Helipad</th>
<th>Crops Grown on Relevant Agricultural Lands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDFY BA-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDFY MA-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDFY MA-02</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDFY UM-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU BA-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU BA-02</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Unknown Crop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU BA-03</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU BA-04</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU BA-05</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU BA-06</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Marijuana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU MA-01</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU MA-02</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU MA-03</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU MA-04</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU MA-05</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU MA-06</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU MA-07</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU MA-08</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU MA-09</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU MO-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Corn; Onions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU MO-02</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU MO-03</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>Alfalfa hay; Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU MO-04</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU MO-05</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU OW-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU OW-02</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU OW-03</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU OW-04</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU OW-05</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Alfalfa hay; Unknown Crop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU UM-01</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>Corn (for grain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU UM-02</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU UM-03</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU UM-04</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Unknown Crop; Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU UM-05</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU UM-06</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU UM-07</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Unknown Crop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU UN-02</td>
<td>256.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU UN-03</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Alfalfa hay; Potatoes; Unknown Crop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU UN-04</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>Alfalfa hay; Unknown Crop</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.14 Impacts to Future Development, Crops, and Practices

Agriculture in Oregon is subject to rapidly changing market conditions as well as to changes in crop rotation cycles. Agricultural practices also change alongside changes in crop type and
available technology. Agricultural land currently used for one purpose may be converted to crop land or pastureland in the future depending on its associated costs and benefits. Farm practices or equipment may also change in the future. Land that is currently used as pastureland/rangeland or dryland wheat could be converted to higher value crops if irrigation water and infrastructure become available. IPC will work with landowners during the siting process to identify potential impacts that may arise in the future.

6.0 ECONOMIC IMPACTS TO AGRICULTURAL OPERATIONS

6.1 Production Values

If the crop or pastureland/rangeland is rented or leased by the landowner to a tenant, the value of the land to the landowner is different than the value of the crop or the value to the tenant. Actual net income derived from crops and livestock is often much less than the market value of the crop produced as a result of production costs, many of which vary from year to year.

6.2 Crop Production Values

Some crops, such as vegetables, require intensive management and incur high production costs. Conversely some crops, such as hay, require less maintenance and management between crop establishment and harvest.

Annual variation in crop yield contributes to variations in crop value and net income generated by the crops. Crop yields can vary based on factors such as geographic location, climatic conditions, soil type and quality, soil moisture, elevation, topography, seed variety, disease and pest outbreaks, noxious weed infestations, and other factors. Annual yields and prices can vary greatly between years. Crop yields, prices, and values in the Proposed Corridor would be expected to be different at the time of implementation than the crop selection and market conditions researched in 2012 and visually surveyed in 2016.

6.3 Pastureland/Rangeland Production Values

Much of the pastureland/rangeland within the Proposed Corridor and alternate corridor segments is rented or leased to neighboring ranchers for cattle or sheep grazing. Pastureland/rangeland rental rates can be calculated on a per-acre, a cow-calf, per-head, or per–animal unit month (AUM) basis. An AUM is the amount of forage needed to sustain one cow and calf, one horse, or five sheep or goats for 1 month. The most common methods for determining pasture rental rates are on a per-acre or AUM basis. On a per-acre basis, the livestock producer pays the landowner either a monthly or annual fee based on the number of acres used for grazing. On an AUM basis, the producer pays the landowner based on the number of AUMs used. Rental rates vary widely based on factors such as forage quality, location and proximity to roads, the availability of stock water, pasture size, lease term (long- or short-term), and other factors.

For livestock production, factors such as annual climatic conditions can have severe implications on the forage production and stocking rate of a parcel of pastureland/rangeland, influencing the amount and quality of livestock that can be produced. Prices for livestock fluctuate similarly to prices of crops discussed above, but they can also vary greatly based on the quality of the livestock produced.
6.4 Crop Production Costs

Production expenses include both operating and fixed costs. Operating costs include those incurred in the production process during the course of the crop year and include tillage, planting, irrigating, spraying, fertilizing, and harvesting. Fixed costs are those that are incurred regardless of production. They include insurance, a charge for machinery and equipment depreciation, interest, and housing, plus a charge for land.

Costs to the landowners in this Project will include both one-time costs that will occur during the construction period and annual costs that will continue indefinitely after the construction is completed. The one-time costs will vary within each crop depending on when construction commences within the crop production cycle and how many operating costs have been incurred up to that point. The total cost to the landowner will depend on the month construction commences and the value of the crop being grown.

Annual costs will continue indefinitely after construction is completed because of the possible placement of towers within the field. Additional costs will result from both the lack of crops in the tower footprint and the extra cost of traversing around the tower for specific field operations.

Dryland pasture yields and available replacement forage vary greatly depending on location, soil types, and varying precipitation from year to year. If no replacement pastures are available, the only alternative for feed substitutes is to purchase replacement hay for the land removed from production by the power line area. This would be for a 2-year period: one for construction and one for pasture re-establishment.

Weed control around towers would likely require two applications per year separate from weed-control measures undertaken during the regular field operations.

Land other than that located in the tower footprint may be removed from production with the installation of a power line. Examples would be roadways or land that may be unreachable by the irrigation system because of tower interference. Added per-acre annual costs would include fixed costs, lost profit, and a charge for weed-control measures.

Planting and harvesting certain row crops such as potatoes, onions, and corn around towers can be difficult because of the large equipment size and, if necessary, the need to lift the equipment out of the ground after stopping at the tower. It may require up to 40 feet on both ends to allow for ample maneuvering and 10 feet for each side to allow for safe traversing of the equipment around the tower. This will result in a tower footprint for row crops of 0.193 acre in the middle of the field and 0.165 acre on the field edge. The width of planting machinery can make it difficult to get close to the side of the tower. Spraying and fertilizing equipment can more easily traverse around a tower base without stopping just as with the other crops.

The crop loss from edge structures is less than crop loss from structures placed in the middle of the field because irrigation lines cannot encircle the tower and equipment must maneuver around the structure. Compaction caused by the additional maneuvering plus the overlap of the fertilizer and chemicals could result in a reduction of crop yield.

6.4.1 Intangible Impacts

Many scenarios could occur that would affect crop production in agricultural fields transected by a transmission line. Determining actual damages depends on the nature and frequency of the occurrence. Destructive plant diseases or insect outbreaks may require aerial applications on a regular basis. The placement of a tower in a field will affect future aerial applications necessary to combat various production problems. Ground spraying could be considered in lieu of aerial
spraying if field conditions allow. Tillage such as disking in specific isolated areas in the field of an infected crop may be considered in some extreme situations. These types of occurrences would vary within the Project area and would have to be handled on an individual basis.

It should be noted that costs and returns are constantly changing and their future levels cannot be accurately predicted. Consequently, any future economic considerations that refer to the economic data in this report should be adjusted to reflect changes in conditions.

In assessing the economic impact on a specific property, the components included are as follows:

- One-time costs per disturbed/impacted acre to include roadways and the actual construction area
- Annual costs including the fixed costs, lost profit, and weed control in the tower footprint area plus the duplication of operations for the extra costs of farming around the tower or towers
- Annual per-acre costs for land taken out of production other than that in the tower footprint area, including roadways and land unable to be irrigated because of field obstructions
- Costs associated with the disruption of CRP programs where applicable
- The costs of reorganizing irrigation systems, including the added investment increased labor requirements

6.4.2 Hybrid Poplars

Farms producing hybrid poplars occur in the Agricultural Assessment Area and are being considered separately in this plan. If a planting is interrupted by a powerline, there would be no opportunity for replanting the impacted area, which would result in permanent lost production. It takes 10 years after planting for hybrid poplars to reach harvestable size with no income derived during that period.

Additional costs include fixed and variable costs required to produce a marketable crop. If crop removal is undertaken, there would be an indefinite period of no production whereby the landowner would incur annual costs. These would be fixed and would include water assessment fees, land charges, weed control, lost opportunity for profit, a management fee, and general overhead costs.

The approximately 25,000-acre hybrid poplar tree farm in Morrow County was sold in early 2016 and will gradually transition to dairy and more traditional irrigated agricultural crops.

7.0 EFFORTS TO MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO AGRICULTURAL LANDS

IPC estimates that most agricultural impacts will be temporary; however, impacts to certain portions of agricultural lands will be permanent. Where possible, a perpetual easement and associated temporary workspace will be purchased on private lands by means of a negotiated settlement, and payment will be based on a certified appraisal. Land used during construction of the transmission line will be restored, as nearly as possible, to former productivity. Crop reestablishment, where permissible, and crop production are expected to resume following construction. Agricultural structures such as drainage systems, irrigation systems, and fences will be repaired, or landowners will be compensated to make repairs. Damage to cropland and pasturelands/rangelands due to construction of the transmission line will be assessed, and compensation will be paid at fair market rates.
Specific construction practices will be implemented to mitigate construction impacts on soil productivity. A post-construction monitoring plan will identify remaining soil and agricultural impacts associated with construction that require additional mitigation. IPC will implement follow-up mitigation as necessary. These actions are outlined in Section 7.3. Prior to any construction, IPC, together with the landowner and/or the landowner’s designee (which may include employees, tenants, or other representatives), will strive to schedule activities to minimize impacts and identify reasonable measures to restore agricultural land to its original productivity.

7.1 Purpose of Agricultural Mitigation Plan

This Agricultural Impact Mitigation Plan identifies measures that IPC will take to avoid, mitigate, repair, and/or provide compensation for impacts that may result from the construction or operation of the Project on privately owned agricultural land. The construction standards and policies in this plan apply only to construction and operations activities occurring on privately owned agricultural land.

Activities occurring entirely on public ROWs, railroad ROWs, publicly owned land, or private land that is not agricultural land may be subject to other standards and policies. IPC will, however, adhere to the same construction standards relating to the repair of agricultural drainage tile when tiles are encountered on public highway ROWs, railroad ROWs, or publicly or privately owned land.

Section 13.0 applies only to Organic Agricultural Land as described in the NOP Rules, 7 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Parts 205.100, 205.101 and 205.202.

7.2 General Provisions

- IPC will approach the landowner to engage in discussions regarding mitigation measures and compensation for impacts on privately-owned agricultural land. If the landowner has tenants, lessees, employees, agents, or others with whom IPC may or should engage in such discussions, it is the landowner’s responsibility to inform IPC. In such cases, the landowner must provide appropriate consent, authorization(s), and/or release(s) before IPC will formally engage in discussions with non-owners (i.e., agents, employees, lessees, tenants, etc.) serving as a landowner’s designee.

- Prior to construction, IPC shall provide notification to the record owner of any land within the site boundary of the opportunity to consult with IPC for the purpose of locating and constructing the transmission line in a manner that minimizes impacts to farming operations or other operations or land uses for non-agricultural lands.
  - The initial notification to the record owner shall allow two weeks to respond to the opportunity to consult with IPC. If the record owner does not respond to IPC within two weeks of the initial notification, IPC shall provide a second notification of the opportunity to consult with IPC via certified mail. If the record owner does not respond within two weeks of the second notification, IPC will have satisfied its obligation to consult pursuant to ORS 215.276(2).
  - IPC shall establish the notification list using georeferenced maps containing property owner taxlot information, obtained from the most recent county tax assessor roll.
  - IPC shall maintain the georeferenced map and notification list, including a list of record owners that completed consultation and record owners that failed to respond.
• Upon request, IPC will provide a copy of this mitigation plan to any landowner or landowner’s designee prior to obtaining a ROW.
• The mitigation actions are subject to change by landowner or landowner’s designee, when changes are negotiated with and acceptable to IPC.
• Unless otherwise specified, IPC will retain qualified contractors to execute mitigation actions. However, IPC may be willing to negotiate mitigation actions to be performed by the landowner or landowner’s designee or others.
• Mitigation actions employed by IPC pursuant to this mitigation plan, unless otherwise specified in this mitigation plan or other agreement negotiated with an individual landowner, will be implemented within 45 days following completion of final cleanup on an affected property, or as conditions allow. Temporary repairs will be made by IPC during construction or operation as needed to minimize the risk of additional property damage or interference with access to or use of the property that may result from an extended time period needed to implement mitigation actions.
• IPC will implement the mitigation actions contained in this mitigation plan as required by all applicable permit conditions for the Project. This mitigation plan shall impose requirements upon IPC only to the extent that such requirements are imposed as conditions of the Energy Facility Siting Council Site Certificate.
• IPC will implement the mitigation actions contained in this mitigation plan to the extent that they:
  o do not conflict with the requirements of any applicable federal, state, or local rules or regulations,
  o do not conflict with the requirements of other permits and approvals that are obtained by IPC for the Project, and
  o are not determined to be unenforceable by reason of other requirements of federal, state, or local permits or authorizations issued for the Project. To the extent a mitigation action required by this agreement is determined to be unenforceable in the future due to requirements of other federal, state, or local permits or authorizations issued for the Project, IPC will inform the landowner and will work to develop a reasonable alternative mitigation action.
• Prior to construction, IPC will provide each landowner and landowner’s designee with a telephone number and address that can be used to contact IPC regarding the agricultural impact mitigation work that is performed on the landowner’s property. IPC will respond to Project inquiries and correspondence within a reasonable time.
• IPC will use good-faith efforts to obtain a written acknowledgement from each landowner or landowner’s designee upon the completion of Final Cleanup on landowner’s respective properties.
• IPC will communicate with landowners and designees regarding safe practices while working around transmission lines.
• Nothing in this document is intended to grant or suggest State jurisdiction over remedies for property compensation resolved in accordance with law.

7.3 Mitigation Actions

IPC’s negotiations for an easement are exclusively with the landowner and/or landowner’s designee. IPC will require landowner consent regarding the use of the ROW. To the maximum extent practical, IPC will reasonably restore the land to its former condition or compensate each landowner, as appropriate, for damages and/or impacts to agricultural operations caused as a result of Project construction, and as outlined in this plan. The decision to restore land or provide compensation will be made by IPC after discussion with the landowner and/or
landowner’s designee. The following mitigation actions apply to private agricultural land where applicable, unless otherwise mutually agreed upon by IPC and the landowner.

7.3.1 **Tower Placement**

During Project design, IPC’s engineering, ROWs, and permitting staff will work with landowners to address tower placement, where feasible. Sensitive areas such as those with the potential to interrupt irrigation equipment and other areas identified by landowners will be avoided, where feasible. When the preliminary design is complete, the land rights agents will review the staked tower locations with landowners. In general, towers will be located along field boundaries. Placement in field headlands or in the middle of fields will be avoided to the maximum extent possible.

7.3.2 **Construction Scheduling**

IPC will contact landowners as soon as possible once construction time frames have been developed. IPC will consult with landowners when planning the construction schedule to
minimize impacts on soils, crops, harvesting, and other activities. Landowners might prefer to slightly alter cropping practices to decrease the potential for soil damage if they know in advance that construction crews would be working on their land.

### 7.3.3 Helicopter Operations

Impacts from helicopter operations will be minimized or avoided by:

A. Siting multi-use areas and light-duty fly yards in areas free from tall agricultural crops and livestock.
B. Coordinating with landowners to avoid conflicts with crops and livestock.
C. Avoiding take-offs/landings in close proximity to organic agriculture operations to reduce the potential for transfer of weed seeds and/or insect pests.
D. Avoiding flying in certain areas where tall crops are susceptible to blow down from rotor wash.

### 7.3.4 Damaged and Adversely Affected Drainage Tile

IPC will contact affected landowners and designees for their knowledge of tile locations prior to construction. IPC will make every attempt to probe for tile if the landowner does not know whether tile is located near a proposed tower location. Tile that is damaged, cut, or removed as a result of this probe will be repaired. The repair will be reported to the inspector. If tile is damaged by construction activities, it will be repaired in a manner that restores the tile’s operating condition. If tiles on or adjacent to transmission line construction areas are adversely affected by construction, IPC will restore the function of the tiles, including the relocation, reconfiguration, and replacement of existing tiles. Landowners may negotiate to make repairs in fair settlement with IPC. In the event the landowner chooses to take on this responsibility, IPC will not be responsible for correcting tile repairs after completion of the Project. Where damaged tiles are repaired by IPC, the following standards and policies will apply:

A. On excessively wet soils, IPC will restrict the operation of vehicles and heavy equipment or will take appropriate action where deep rutting might damage drain tiles. Damaged tiles will be repaired with materials of the same or better quality as those that were damaged. If water is flowing through a damaged tile, temporary repairs will be promptly installed and maintained until permanent repairs can be made.
B. Before completing permanent tile repairs, tiles will be examined within the work area to check for damage by construction equipment. If tiles are found to be damaged, they will be repaired to pre-construction conditions.
C. Taking into account weather and soil conditions, IPC will make efforts to complete permanent tile repairs for which it is responsible within a reasonable time frame after Final Cleanup.
D. The tile repairs will be performed by a qualified contractor or by the landowner at the landowner’s discretion.
E. IPC will be responsible for correcting and repairing tile breaks or other damages to tile systems that are discovered in the ROW, to the extent that such breaks are the result of Project construction. These damages are usually discovered after the first significant rain event. IPC will not be responsible for tile repairs IPC has paid the landowner or landowner’s designee to perform.

### 7.3.5 Installation of Additional Tiles

IPC will be responsible for installing such additional tile and other drainage measures as are necessary to properly drain wet areas in the ROW caused by construction of the Project.
7.3.6 **Construction Debris**
Project-related construction debris and material will be removed from the landowner’s property.

7.3.7 **Compaction, Rutting, Fertilization, and Soil Restoration**
A. Compaction will be alleviated on agricultural land traversed by construction equipment. Agricultural land that has been compacted will be restored to its original condition using appropriate tillage equipment, and will be performed during suitable weather conditions, as determined by the Agricultural Monitor.
B. IPC will restore rutted land as much as is practical to its pre-construction condition.
C. If there is a dispute between the landowner and IPC, the Agricultural Monitor’s opinion will be considered by IPC.
D. Decompaction and soil fertility restoration will be performed by a qualified contractor using methods and equipment suitable for the site, as approved by the Agricultural Monitor.

7.3.8 **Damaged Soil Conservation Practices**
Soil conservation practices, such as terraces and grassed waterways that are damaged by the Project construction will be restored as nearly as possible to their pre-construction condition.

7.3.9 **Weed Control**
A. On permanent ROW areas where IPC has control of the surface use of the land such as towers, access roads, or substations, IPC will provide for weed control in a manner that does not allow the spread of weeds to adjacent lands used for agriculture. Herbicide application on such areas will be conducted by an applicator licensed by the State of Oregon, in a manner mutually agreed upon with the landowner or landowner’s designee.
B. To prevent the introduction of weeds from other geographic regions, IPC will require contractors to thoroughly clean construction equipment with high-pressure washing prior to the initial move of those units to the Project construction site.
C. Construction equipment will also be cleaned periodically, especially when operating in areas with an abundance of noxious weeds, prior to moving equipment to the next construction location.
D. IPC will make reasonable efforts to obtain straw bales for erosion control and straw for mulch that are certified free of noxious and nuisance weed contamination.
E. When available, IPC will use Oregon-certified seed or equivalent for revegetation.
F. IPC will monitor the construction areas for infestations of noxious weeds and treat new infestations resulting from construction activities.

7.3.10 **Irrigation Systems**
A. If Project construction or temporary work areas intersect a spray irrigation system, IPC will establish with the landowner and/or landowner’s designee an acceptable amount of time during which the irrigation system may be out of service.
B. For crops that are being irrigated during the construction period, the maximum time that application of irrigation water can be interrupted will be 24 hours, unless otherwise agreed upon with the landowner or landowner’s designee.
C. If Project construction activities cause an interruption in irrigation which results in crop damages, appropriate compensation will be determined as described in this mitigation plan.
D. If it is feasible and mutually acceptable to IPC and the landowner, temporary measures will be implemented to allow an irrigation system to continue to operate across land on
which the transmission line is also being constructed. IPC will work with the landowner and/or landowner’s designee to identify preferable construction timeframes.

E. To avoid damaging the pipes or creating difficult access to the irrigation lines for maintenance, IPC will work with landowners to identify the location of underground water lines to avoid siting the towers above or adjacent to buried lines.

F. If irrigation lines or access to those lines for maintenance are adversely affected by the construction of the Project, IPC will restore the function of the irrigation lines, including the relocation, reconfiguration, and replacement of existing lines. The affected landowner may negotiate to undertake the responsibility for repair, relocation, reconfiguration, or replacement of damaged lines in fair settlement with IPC. In the event the landowner chooses to take on this responsibility, IPC will not be responsible for correcting repairs after construction is complete.

7.3.11 Ingress and Egress Routes

A. IPC will seek a mutually acceptable agreement with the landowner on the proposed corridor that will be used for entering and leaving the construction area prior to initiation of construction.

B. Where access ramps or pads from a road or highway to the construction area are required in agricultural fields, an underlayment of durable geotextile matting will be placed over the soil surface prior to the installation of temporary rock access fill material. The geotextile matting will be sufficiently strong to prevent rock from becoming embedded in the soil and to withstand removal of the rock without tearing. Rock and geotextile matting will be completely removed upon completion of the Project, unless otherwise agreed upon by a mutually acceptable agreement with the landowner.

7.3.12 Temporary Roads

The location of temporary roads to be used for construction purposes are identified in Exhibit C, but will also require agreement with the landowner and/or landowner’s designee.

A. Temporary roads will be designed to not impede proper drainage and will be built to mitigate soil erosion on or near the temporary roads.

B. IPC will attempt to identify existing farm lanes as preferred temporary access roads for construction.

C. Upon abandonment, temporary roads may be left intact through mutual agreement of the landowner and IPC.

D. If a temporary road is to be removed, the agricultural land upon which it is constructed will be returned to its previous use and restored as nearly as possible to the condition that existed prior to construction.

7.3.13 Topsoil Separation and Storage

Prior to construction, topsoil will be removed and stored separately at segregated locations within Project staging areas. Once construction is complete, topsoil will be replaced in the proper sequence and the disturbed area will be reclaimed, unless otherwise specified in an agreement with the landowner.

7.3.14 Excess Rock

Rock contained in any material brought to the construction area will be removed from agricultural land and used or disposed of within the Project Construction site, unless otherwise specified in an agreement with the landowner.
7.3.15 **Construction in Wet Conditions**

A. On excessively wet soils, IPC will restrict certain construction activities so that soil productivity is preserved or restored.

B. As feasible, IPC will schedule construction activities to avoid the months of greatest precipitation.

C. Damages that result from construction that occurs in wet conditions will be restored as determined by the Agricultural Monitor described in Section 7.0.

7.3.16 **Dust Control**

IPC will:

A. Control excessive dust generated during construction by controlling vehicle speed, by wetting the construction area, or by other means.

B. Coordinate with farm operators to provide adequate dust control in areas where specialty crops are susceptible to damage from dust.

7.3.17 **Prevention of Soil Erosion**

IPC will:

A. Implement erosion prevention and sediment control measures during construction in accordance with all applicable permit conditions.

B. Coordinate with the local Natural Resources Conservation Service soil conservation experts.

C. Following construction, cultivated agricultural land will generally be reseeded or replanted by the landowner. IPC will reseed and mulch non-cultivated agricultural land such as pastures and perennial grass hayfields in consultation with landowners, or will make arrangements with landowners who prefer to conduct the reseeding of these areas. IPC will reseed and mulch non-agricultural land in accordance with the Vegetation Management Plan found in Exhibit P1.

D. Follow best management practices set forth in approved stormwater and erosion control plans for the Project, which may include applying temporary mulch in the event of a seasonal shutdown, if construction or restoration activity is interrupted or delayed for an extended period, or if permanent seeding of non-cultivated areas is not completed during the recommended seeding period prior to the winter season. Temporary straw mulch may be applied to bare soil surfaces, including topsoil piles, at the rate of 4,000 pounds per acre. Interim seeding of a cover crop may be used in lieu of temporary mulching in some areas.

E. Work with the landowner or landowner’s designee to prevent erosion on cultivated agricultural lands in instances where the area disturbed by construction cannot be planted before the first winter season.

F. Excess soil and rock will be disposed of at an approved upland site within the Project construction site. IPC and the landowner may negotiate placement of fill material on site (within the Project construction site) on a case-by-case basis.

7.3.18 **Induced Voltage**

A. Very rarely, barbed wire or other metal fences paralleling transmission lines may acquire induced voltage. Electric fences around livestock enclosures may also acquire an increase in voltage levels. Cathodic protection may be required to prevent excessive corrosion of irrigation distribution lines as a result of induced voltage.
B. IPC will assist landowners in determining the best ways to safely ground permanent or temporary fences if problems arise. IPC will compensate landowners for any additional materials needed to properly ground or protect fences or irrigation equipment from induced voltage, as provided in any applicable easement or access agreement between IPC and the landowner.

7.3.19 Livestock Operations

A. IPC will work with the landowner or landowner’s designee to coordinate and schedule construction activities to minimize impacts to livestock operations. IPC will also construct temporary fences and gates during construction, as necessary. The Agricultural Monitor will ensure that construction activities follow guidelines established with the landowner and/or landowner’s designee to protect livestock and livestock operations.

B. Any fences, gates, cattle guards, or corrals damaged by construction will be repaired or replaced. The affected landowner may negotiate to undertake the responsibility for repair, relocation, reconfiguration, or replacement of damaged fences, or other livestock-related infrastructure in fair settlement with IPC. In the event the landowner chooses to take on the responsibility for repair, relocation, reconfiguration, or replacement of damaged infrastructure, IPC will not be responsible for correcting the repairs after completion of the Project.

C. In the event livestock must be relocated temporarily, or supplemental feed is necessary, IPC will reimburse the reasonable cost incurred for the transport of livestock, acquisition of temporary pastureland and/or additional supplemental feed during construction and restoration activities.

8.0 PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING CONSTRUCTION-RELATED DAMAGES AND PROVIDING COMPENSATION

A. IPC will establish a procedure for processing claims for construction-related damages. The procedure will standardize and minimize concerns in the recovery of damages and provide a degree of certainty and predictability for landowners, others, and IPC.

B. Prior to construction, IPC together with the landowner or the landowner’s designee will examine each affected property to inventory crops, livestock, fences, irrigation systems, drain tiles, roads, etc.

C. For landowners that would experience parcel fragmentation from siting of facility components, compensation should consider the valuation process described in Section 6.4 of this plan including assessment of fixed costs, lost profit, and weed control in the tower footprint area plus the duplication of operations for the extra costs of farming around the tower or towers, annual per-acre costs for land taken out of production other than that in the tower footprint area, including land unable to be irrigated because of field obstructions, and the costs of reorganizing irrigation systems, including increased labor requirements.

D. Negotiations between IPC and any affected landowner and/or landowner’s designee will be voluntary and no party is obligated to follow any particular method for computing the amount of loss for which compensation is sought or paid. Landowner or landowner’s designee may elect to settle damages with IPC in advance of construction on a mutually acceptable basis or settle after construction based on a mutually agreeable determination of actual damages.

E. If construction- or operation-related damages occur or are expected to occur, IPC and the landowner or landowner’s designee may agree to monetary or other compensation in lieu of implementing the mitigation actions set forth in Section 4.0 above.
9.0 ADVANCE NOTICE OF ACCESS TO PRIVATE PROPERTY

Once an agreement has been reached between IPC and the landowner and scheduling of construction activities has been discussed, IPC will provide the landowner or landowner’s designee advance notice before beginning construction on the property. Prior notice will consist
of a personal contact, email, letter, or a telephone contact informing the landowner or landowner’s designee of IPC’s intent to access the land.

A. Where feasible, IPC will coordinate its activities to provide access for farm equipment and livestock to fields otherwise isolated by construction activities.
B. IPC will construct temporary fences and gates across the construction area, as necessary.

10.0 AGRICULTURAL SPECIALISTS

IPC will retain qualified agricultural specialists on each work phase including construction planning, construction, restoration, post-construction monitoring, and follow-up restoration. During construction and initial restoration, IPC will designate an inspector to serve as an Agricultural Monitor. The Agricultural Monitor will provide technical assistance to construction managers, other inspectors, and construction inspectors to facilitate the effective implementation of agricultural mitigation measures.

10.1 Qualifications and Selection of Agricultural Monitor

The Agricultural Monitor will have a bachelor's degree in agronomy or soil science or equivalent work experience and/or practical experience with electric transmission line construction and restoration on agricultural land. The Agricultural Monitor will also have demonstrated practical experience in animal and range management.

10.2 Role of the Agricultural Monitor

IPC’s Agricultural Monitor will:
A. Be a full-time member of the inspection team;
B. Be responsible for verifying compliance with provisions of this mitigation plan during construction;
C. Work collaboratively with other inspectors, ROW agents, and other Project personnel in achieving compliance with this mitigation plan;
D. Observe construction activities on agricultural land regularly;
E. Have the authority to stop construction activities that are determined to be out of compliance with provisions of this mitigation plan;
F. Document instances of noncompliance and work with construction personnel to identify and implement appropriate corrective actions as needed;
G. Provide construction personnel with training on provisions of this mitigation plan before construction begins; and
H. Provide construction personnel with field training on specific topics as needed.

11.0 IMPACTS TO CONSERVATION RESERVE PROGRAM LANDS

IPC will work with the local USDA/FSA with jurisdiction over the CRP lands that may be impacted. CRP programs on affected areas will require special attention. Costs may include rental payments plus interest, cost share payments plus interest, CRP-Signup Incentive Payment plus interest, Conservation Practice-Wetland Restoration (CP23), one time Wetland Restoration Incentive payment plus interest and liquidated damages and any penalties for early termination of contract, if applicable, according to paragraph 577 of USDA Handbook 2-CRP.
Generally, the placement of transmission line towers within CRP fields does not reduce the payments a landowner will receive due to loss of acreage within the tower footprint.

Temporary access roads will require a waiver from the FSA as long as the road is decommissioned and reseeded to FSA specifications. New permanent access roads that impact CRP land will require coordination with the FSA, and IPC will be required to refund money to the FSA at a rate specified in the CRP for the acreage impacted from the footprint of the new road. IPC will compensate the landowner for the lost payment resulting from the reduction of those acres enrolled in the CRP contract according to the procedures for determining construction-related damages and providing compensation stated above. Since the land removed from CRP will no longer be eligible for future enrollment in CRP or for the production of crops, these factors will be considered when developing appropriate compensation.

12.0 IMPACTS TO LANDOWNERS REGARDING LAND USE AND TAX ISSUES

Landowners may be enrolled in certain county, state, or federal programs that influence taxes or land use on their property. Land that is used exclusively for farm use, but is located outside of an Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) zone, can qualify for tax reductions through the Farm Use Special Assessment if it meets certain criteria and can demonstrate that a certain amount of gross income is generated through farm use. The amount of income required to qualify for the state program varies by acreage: parcels over 30 acres must demonstrate a minimum annual gross income of $3,000 from farming; parcels between 6.5 and 30 acres must demonstrate gross income of at least $100 per acre annually; and parcels less than 6.5 acres must demonstrate gross income of $650 annually. These income requirements must be met in 3 of the 5 previous years. At the time of enrollment, the land must be under current farm use and have been used for the 2 previous years exclusively for farm use. Land within an EFU zone can qualify for the Special Assessment, but the landowner must demonstrate that the land is currently used and was used during the previous year exclusively for farm use. If the Project affects a parcel of farmland receiving the Special Assessment to the degree that the farm could not meet the requirements of the program, the landowner’s annual property taxes may increase and they may be responsible for paying back taxes if the land is used for something incompatible with farm use.

13.0 MITIGATION ACTIONS FOR ORGANIC AGRICULTURAL LAND

IPC recognizes that organic agricultural land is a unique feature of the landscape and will treat this land with the same level of care as other sensitive environmental features. The provisions of this section identify mitigation measures that apply specifically to farms that are Organic Certified or farms that are in active transition to become Organic Certified, and are intended to address the unique management and certification requirements of these operations. All protections provided in this mitigation plan will also be provided to organic agricultural land, in addition to the provisions of this section.

13.1 Organic System Plan

IPC recognizes the importance of the individualized Organic System Plans (OSPs) to the Organic Certification process. IPC will work with the landowner or landowner’s designee and a mutually acceptable third-party organic consultant to identify site-specific construction practices that will minimize the potential for decertification as a result of construction activities. Possible practices may include, but are not limited to: equipment cleaning, planting a deep-rooted cover
crop in lieu of mechanical decompaction, applications of composted manure or rock phosphate, preventing the introduction of disease vectors from tobacco use, restoration and replacement of beneficial bird and insect habitat, maintenance of organic buffer zones, use of organic seeds for any cover crop, or similar measures. IPC recognizes that some OSPs may be proprietary in nature and will respect the need for confidentiality, as appropriate.

13.2 Prohibited Substances

IPC will avoid the application of prohibited substances onto organic agricultural land. No herbicides, pesticides, fertilizers, or seeds will be applied unless requested and approved by the landowner. Likewise, no refueling, fuel or lubricant storage, or routine equipment maintenance will be allowed on organic agricultural land. Equipment will be checked prior to entry to make sure that fuel, hydraulic, and lubrication systems are in good working order before working on organic agricultural land. If prohibited substances are used on land adjacent to organic agricultural land, these substances will be used in such a way as to prevent them from entering organic agricultural land.

13.3 Temporary Road Impacts

Topsoil and subsoil layers that are removed during construction on organic agricultural land for road construction will be stored separately and replaced in the proper sequence after construction. Unless otherwise specified in the site-specific plan described above, IPC will not use this soil for other purposes, including creating access ramps at road crossings. No topsoil or subsoil (other than incidental amounts) may be removed from organic agricultural land. Likewise, organic agricultural land will not be used for storage of soil from nonorganic agricultural land.

13.4 Erosion Control

On organic agricultural land, IPC will, to the extent feasible, implement erosion control methods that are consistent with the then-current, applicable version of the OSP during construction and restoration efforts. On land adjacent to organic agricultural land, IPC’s erosion control procedures will be designed so that sediment from adjacent non-organic agricultural land will not flow along the ROW and be deposited on organic agricultural land.

13.5 Weed/Pest Control

On organic agricultural land, IPC will, to the extent feasible, implement weed and pest control methods during its construction and/or restoration efforts that are consistent with the then current, applicable version of the OSP. No prohibited substances will be used in weed or pest control on organic agricultural land. In addition, IPC will not use prohibited substances in weed or pest control on land adjacent to organic agricultural land in such a way as to allow these materials to drift onto organic agricultural land. An integrated pest management plan will be developed in accordance with current, applicable OSP and will establish appropriate methods for controlling pests within organic agricultural land during construction of the Project.

13.6 Monitoring

In addition to the responsibilities of the Agricultural Monitor described in the mitigation plan, the following will apply:
A. The Agricultural Monitor will monitor construction and restoration activities on organic agricultural land for compliance with the provisions of this section and will document any activities that may result in decertification.

B. Instances of noncompliance will be documented according to Independent Organic Inspectors Association protocol, consistent with the then-current, applicable OSP, and will be made available to the ODA, the landowner and/or landowner’s designee, the Utility Inspector, and to IPC. The Agricultural Monitor is responsible for monitoring activities on organic agricultural land and will be trained in organic inspection by the Independent Organic Inspectors Association.

13.7 Compensation for Construction Damages

The settlement of damages will be based on crop yield and/or crop quality determination and the need for additional restoration measures. Unless the landowner of organic agricultural land or landowner’s designee and IPC agree otherwise, a mutually agreed upon professional agronomist will make crop yield and quality determinations. If the crop yield or crop quality determinations indicate the need for soil testing, the testing will be conducted by a commercial laboratory that is properly certified to conduct the necessary tests and is mutually agreeable to IPC and the landowner or landowner’s designee. Fieldwork for soil testing will be conducted by a professional Soil Scientist or licensed Professional Engineer. IPC will be responsible for sampling, testing, and additional restoration activities, if needed. Landowner and/or landowner’s designee may elect to settle damages with IPC in advance of construction on a mutually acceptable basis, or to settle after construction based on a mutually agreeable determination of actual damages.

13.8 Compensation for Damages Due to Decertification

Should any portion of organic agricultural land be decertified as a result of construction activities, the settlement of damages will be based on the difference between revenue generated from the land affected before decertification and after decertification so long as a good-faith effort is made by the landowner, tenant, or other personnel to regain certification.

13.9 Definitions

In the event of a conflict between this section and the mitigation plan with respect to definitions, the definition provided in this section will prevail but only to the extent such conflicting terms are used in this section. The definition provided for the defined words used herein shall apply to all forms of the words.

Apply: To intentionally or inadvertently spread or distribute any substance onto the exposed surface of the soil.

Certifying Agent: As defined by the NOP Standards, 7 CFR Part 205.2.

Decertified or Decertification: Loss of Organic Certification.

Organic Agricultural Land: Farms or portions thereof described in 7 CFR Parts 205.100, 205.202, and 205.101.

Organic Buffer Zone: As defined by the NOP Standards, 7 CFR Part 205.2.

Organic Certification or Organic Certified: As defined by the NOP Standards, 7 CFR Part 205.100 and 7 CFR Part 205.101.

Organic System Plan: As defined by the NOP Standards, 7 CFR Part 205.2.
**Prohibited Substance:** As defined by the NOP Standards, 7 CFR Part 205.600 through 7 CFR 205.605 using the criteria provided in 7 USC 6517 and 7 USC 6518.

### 14.0 CONCLUSIONS

The proposed Project Route crosses a total of 272.8 miles of irrigated and non-irrigated farmland in Oregon. This total consists of 4.5 miles of irrigated farmland and 277.3 miles of non-irrigated farmland. The Agricultural Assessment Area, which is larger than the Proposed Corridor for the Project, includes approximately 80,486 acres of agricultural land of which 2,421 acres are irrigated lands. Within the site boundary, agricultural lands subject to temporary impacts through construction disturbance are estimated to be 553 acres. Permanent impacts to agricultural lands related to Project operations are estimated to be approximately 771 acres. IPC estimates the potential temporary construction impact to all agricultural lands, including a 500-foot buffer around all temporary use areas outside of the site boundary, to be approximately 996 acres of irrigated land and 24,007 of non-irrigated land.

- Temporary impacts to field crops discussed in Section 5.3 will be mitigated by the measures described in Sections 7.3.2 and 7.3.6–7.3.16 of the impact mitigation section.
- Permanent impacts to field crops discussed in Section 5.4 will be mitigated by the measures presented in Sections 7.3.1–7.3.18.
- Impacts to use of aircraft for farming activities (Section 5.5) will be mitigated by the measures presented in Section 7.3.3.
- Impacts associated with field burning (Section 5.6) will be mitigated by the measures presented in Section 7.2.
- Impacts to crop production and irrigation discussed in Section 5.7 will be mitigated through the measures presented in Section 7.3.10.
- Impacts to farming activities around tower structures (Section 5.7.1) will be mitigated by the measures presented in Section 7.3.1.
- Impacts to livestock operations discussed in Section 5.8 will be mitigated through the measures presented in Section 7.3.19.
- Impacts to pastureland/rangeland are discussed in Section 5.9. Impacts to these lands will be mitigated through the actions presented in Sections 7.3.6, 7.3.8, 7.3.10, 7.3.11, and 7.3.16.
- Certain fences within the ROW will have to be re-located to reduce the potential for assumption of induced voltage from power lines. Measures to address these impacts are addressed in Sections 7.3.18 and 7.3.19.
- Although specific impacts to organic agricultural lands are not anticipated based on current Project routing, protections are discussed in Section 10.0 of this document.
- Impacts to agricultural workers and measures to mitigate those impacts are discussed in Section 5.12.
- Impacts to future development, crops, and practices and measures to mitigate those impacts are discussed in Section 5.14.
- Potential economic impacts to agricultural operations are discussed in Sections 6.1–6.4. Procedures for mitigating economic impacts are addressed in Section 8.0.

Based on the results of the agricultural survey and analysis of the potential impacts and efforts to minimize and mitigate for Project impacts, the Project will not cause 1) a substantial change
in accepted farming practices; or 2) a marked increase in the cost of accepted farm practices on either lands to be directly impacted by the Project or on surrounding lands devoted to farm use.
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Source(s): IPC, ODOT, NROD, USDA, USGS, Ventyx, Esri
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Other Features
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Source(s): IPC, ODOT, NRCS, USDA, USGS, Ventyx, Esri
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- Analysis Area (500-ft buffer of Site Boundary)
- Site Boundary
- Transmission Centerline
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Mileposts
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- Tenth-mile

Other Features
- 100-foot Contours
- Stream

Source(s): IPC, ODOT, NRCS, USDA, USGS, Ventyx, Esri
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Agricultural Assessment

- Analysis Area (500-ft buffer of Site Boundary)
  - Agricultural Type
    - Irrigated Agriculture
    - Other

Project Features

- Site Boundary
- Transmission Centerline
- Alternative

Mileposts

- Mile
- Tenth-mile

Other Features

- 100-foot Contours
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Source(s): IPC, ODOT, NRCS, USDA, USGS, Ventyx, Esri
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Agricultural Assessment
Analysis Area (500-ft buffer of Site Boundary)
Agricultural Type
- Other
Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Transmission Centerline
Mileposts
- Mile
Other Features
- Tenth-mile
- 100-foot Contours
- Road
- Stream
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Agricultural Assessment

Analysis Area (500-ft buffer of Site Boundary)

Agricultural Type
- Irrigated Agriculture
- Pasture/Hay
- Other

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Transmission Centerline

Mileposts
- Mile
- Tenth-mile

Other Features
- 100-foot Contours
- Road
- Stream

Source(s): IPC, ODOT, NRCS, USDA, USGS, Ventyx, Esri
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Proposed Route

Agricultural Assessment
Analysis Area (500-ft buffer of Site Boundary)

Agricultural Type
- Irrigated Agriculture
- Other

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Transmission Centerline

Mileposts
- Mile
- Tenth-mile

Other Features
- 100-foot Contours
- Existing Transmission Lines
- Road
- Stream

Source(s): IPC, ODOT, NRCS, USDA, USGS, Ventyx, Esri
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Agricultural Assessment

Analysis Area (500-ft buffer of Site Boundary)

Agricultural Type
- Irrigated Agriculture
- Other

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Transmission Centerline

Minerals
- Mile
- Tenth-mile

Other Features
- 100-foot Contours
- Road
- Stream

Source(s): IPC, ODOT, NRCS, USDA, USGS, Ventyx, Esri
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MALHEUR COUNTY

South Canal
Succor Creek
Sec. 10
Sec. 11
Sec. 12

Proposed Route

Agricultural Assessment

Analysis Area (500-ft buffer of Site Boundary)
Agricultural Type
- Irrigated Agriculture
- Other

Project Features
- Site Boundary
- Transmission Centerline

Mileposts
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- Tenth-mile
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- 100-foot Contours
- Existing Transmission Lines
- Road
- Stream

Source(s): IPC, ODOT, NRCS, USDA, USGS, Ventyx, Esri
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