BEFORE THE
ENERGY FACILITY SITING COUNCIL
OF THE STATE OF OREGON

In the Matter of the Request for Amendment #2 of the Site Certificate for the Golden Hills Wind Project

FINAL ORDER ON AMENDMENT #2

January 30, 2015
I. INTRODUCTION

The Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council (Council) issues this final order in accordance with ORS 469.405 and OAR 345-027-0070. This final order addresses a request by Golden Hills Wind Farm, LLC (Golden Hills or certificate holder), formerly a wholly owned subsidiary of BP Wind Energy North America Inc. (BP), for amendment of the Site Certificate for the Golden Hills Wind Project (Site Certificate) and a transfer request. The requested transfer will not change the named owner of the site certificate but will reflect a change in the ownership structure of the certificate holder. The certificate holder, Golden Hills, has been sold by its parent company, BP, to Orion Golden Hills Wind Farm, LLC (Orion or transferee), which is owned by Orion Renewable Energy Group, LLC (Orion Renewable). Golden Hills, the current certificate holder, and Orion, the transferee, submitted both the Transfer and Amendment requests.

I.A. Name and Address of Certificate Holder

Golden Hills Wind Farm, LLC
700 Louisiana Street, 33rd Floor
Houston, TX 77002

Individuals Responsible for Submitting the Request:

Elaine Albrich
Stoel Rives LLP
900 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 2600
Portland, OR 97204

Reid Buckley
Orion Golden Hills Wind Farm, LLC
Orion Renewable Energy Group, LLC
155 Grand Avenue, Suite 706
Oakland, CA 94612

Michael Rigo
BP Wind Energy North America Inc.
700 Louisiana Street, 33rd Floor
Houston, TX 77002

I.B. Description of the Facility

1 The Energy Facility Siting Council issued the Site Certificate for the Golden Hills Wind Project on June 18, 2009.
2 OAR 345-027-0100(1)(a) states that “A transfer of ownership requires a transfer of the site certificate when the person who will have the legal right to possession and control of the site or the facility does not have authority under the site certificate to construct, operate or retire the facility.”
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The Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council (Council) issued the site certificate for The Golden Hills Wind Project (facility) on June 18, 2009, authorizing a wind-energy generation facility with electrical capacity of up to 400 megawatts. The Council approved an amendment to extend the construction deadlines on May 11, 2012. As approved and amended, the facility consists of up to 267 wind turbines as well as related and supporting facilities located within permitted survey corridors on approximately 30,000 acres of privately owned, Exclusive Farm Use-zoned land both east and west of Highway 97, between the cities of Wasco and Moro in Sherman County, Oregon.3

II. THE AMENDMENT PROCESS

II.A. Description of the Proposed Amendments

II.A.1. Summary of Proposed Changes to Site Certificate

Golden Hills requests a second amendment to the Site Certificate to extend the deadlines for beginning and completing construction by two years. If the amendment is approved, the deadline for beginning construction would be extended from June 18, 2014 to June 18, 2016; and the deadline for completing construction would be extended from June 18, 2016 to June 18, 2018. Golden Hills did not satisfy the requirement in OAR 345-027-0030 to submit the construction extension request at least six months before the construction commencement deadline so must demonstrate “good cause” for the delay in submitting the request.

In addition, the certificate holder and Orion request a transfer. While the site certificate will still be held by Golden Hills, Golden Hills has a new parent company. Golden Hills Wind Farm, LLC is now owned by Orion Golden Hills Wind Farm, LLC.

The certificate holder submitted a red-line version of the sections of the Site Certificate where the certificate holder is proposing changes in its Request for Amendment dated June 13, 2014 (with new text shown with underline and deletions shown with strikethroughs), attached as Attachment A.

II.A.2. Changes to the Site Certificate

The Council approves the requested amendment to extend the deadlines for beginning and completing construction; and approves the requested transfer.

The Council adopts the certificate holder’s proposed changes, except as specifically modified, and subject to the conditions presented in the amended site certificate, attached as Attachment B.

3 Application for Site Certificate at B-1, August 10, 2007.
II.B. Procedural History

The Council issued the Final Order on the Application for Site Certificate for the Golden Hills Wind Project on May 15, 2009. The Site Certificate became effective upon execution on June 18, 2009. On December 8, 2011 the Oregon Department of Energy (department) received the Request for Amendment #1 to the Site Certificate. The request was to extend the construction deadlines by two years. The Council issued the Final Order approving Amendment #1 on May 11, 2012. That amendment extended the beginning construction date to June 18, 2014 and the construction completion date to June 18, 2016.

On June 13, 2014 the department received the Request for Amendment #2 to the Site Certificate for the Golden Hills Wind Project, jointly submitted by Golden Hills, BP and Orion. On June 27, 2014 the department sent notice of the amendment request to all persons on the Council’s mailing list, to the special list established for the facility and to an updated list of property owners supplied by the certificate holder.\(^4\) On June 27, 2014 the department sent the certificate holder instructions to send an attached memorandum to a department-provided list of reviewing agencies by July 2, 2014. The memorandum requested comments from reviewing agencies by July 30, 2014.

On June 27, 2014, the department notified the certificate holder and transferee that the proposed order would be issued no later than December 11, 2014.\(^5\) After the certificate holder requested additional time to respond to the department’s request for additional information, the department extended the deadline to issue the proposed order to December 18, 2014.\(^6\) The department issued the proposed order on December 18, 2014 recommending approval of the amendment and transfer. On the same day, the department issued notice of the proposed order in accordance with AOR 345-027-0070, specifying January 19, 2015 as the deadline for public comments and requests for a contested case on the proposed order. The department also posted notice on the agency website, along with the proposed order. The department received one written comment within proposed order comment period from Tom Lowther on behalf of EOM, Ltd. The department did not receive any requests for a contested case.

On January 26, 2014, the department sent each of the Council members a copy of the EOM comment letter and a staff report that addressed the issues raised in the comment letter and summarized relevant issues for consideration of the proposed order.

\(^4\) Several members of the public submitted a joint comment stating that the property owner list was incomplete and proper notification did not occur. The certificate holder initially submitted a property owner list that was incorrect but corrected the error before the notices were mailed. Since the originally-submitted, incorrect property owner list was mistakenly left online after the mistake was corrected, some members of the public believed that not all property owners had been notified.

\(^5\) Golden Hills (GH1) Receipt Letter for Request to Amend, June 26, 2014

\(^6\) GH1 Response to Golden Hills’ Request for RAI Extension, September 10, 2014
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Council held an informational hearing on the transfer request at the January 30, 2015, public meeting in the Dalles, Oregon. The Council considered the proposed order and the public comment at the same public meeting following the informational hearing.

At the meeting on January 30, 2015, the Council voted to approve amendment request #2 to extend the construction deadline and transfer the site certificate, subject to the revisions identified herein.

II.C. Reviewing Agency Comments on the Request for Amendment 2

Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA)
ODA commented that it reviewed the proposed amendment and had no substantive comment on the request.7

Oregon Department of Aviation (Aviation)
Aviation commented to ensure that condition IV.I.7 remains in effect.8

Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI)
DOGAMI commented to ensure that extending the construction deadlines and transferring facility ownership were the only changes being proposed.9

Department of State Lands (DSL)
DSL commented that it reviewed the proposed amendment and had no substantive comment on the request.10

Oregon Office of the Northwest Power and Conservation Council (NWPCC)
NWPCC commented that it reviewed the proposed amendment and had no substantive comment on the request.11

Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW)
ODFW had several comments. First, ODFW commented that the raptor nest surveys were outdated and recommended that those surveys be updated using current raptor survey protocols. Second, ODFW recommended the use of pre-emergent herbicide to target annual grasses within areas disturbed by construction. Finally, ODFW recommended that the

---

7 GH1 Amendment #2 (AMD 2) Agency Comment ODA, July 30, 2014
8 GH1 AMD 2 Agency Comment Aviation, July 11, 2014. Condition IV.I.7 requires that before beginning construction, the certificate holder shall submit to the FAA and the Oregon Department of Aviation a Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration identifying the proposed final locations of the turbines and related or supporting facilities and shall provide a copy of this notice to the department. The certificate holder must also notify the department of the FAA’s and Aviation’s responses as soon as they are received.
9 GH1 AMD 2 Agency Comment DOGAMI, July 11, 2014
10 GH1 AMD 2 Agency Comment DSL, July 11, 2014
11 GH1 AMD 2 Agency Comment NWPCC, July 21, 2014
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certified holder coordinate with adjacent operational wind facilities to conduct fatality monitoring in the same years to create a better data set.\textsuperscript{12}

**Oregon Public Utility Commission (OPUC)**
OPUC commented to ensure that the facility would comply with all applicable OPUC safety rules contained in OAR Chapter 860.\textsuperscript{13}

**Sherman County Planning Department**
The Sherman County Planning Department commented with a request for clarification regarding the applicability of the Sherman County Setback ordinance #39-2007.\textsuperscript{14}

**Wasco County Planning Department**
The Wasco County Planning Department commented that it reviewed the proposed amendment and had no substantive comment on the request.\textsuperscript{15}

**Oregon Water Resources Department (OWRD)**
OWRD had no new comments but reiterated that any water use will require short term authorization under a limited license unless the water is provided by a municipality.\textsuperscript{16}

### II.D. Public Comments on the Request for Amendment 2

**McArthur, Macnab, Lohrey, and Van Gilder**
Mike and Jeanney McArthur, Jim and Doreen Macnab, Brad and Donna Lohrey and Gary Van Gilder, submitted a joint comment that identified six issues: (1) the request for amendment was not submitted six months in advance of the deadline to begin construction; (2) the transfer request did not meet the notice requirements of OAR 345-027-0100; (3) the list of property owners within 500 feet of the project was not current or complete; (4) the cumulative impacts of wind development in Sherman County has not been considered; (5) the approved noise modeling indicated that the allowable noise level would be exceeded at certain properties; and (6) the current site plan violates Sherman County’s setback ordinance.\textsuperscript{17}

**Mike McArthur**
Mr. McArthur reiterated the concern that the modeling for noise impacts in the original application indicated that the allowed decibel levels would be exceeded at some properties at certain wind speeds; and that the original and amended site certificates should not have been approved. Additionally, Mr. McArthur also reiterated concern that Golden Hills had not made a sufficient case for missing the six-month extension time frame.\textsuperscript{18}

---

\textsuperscript{12} GH1 AMD 2 Agency Comment ODFW, July 21, 2014
\textsuperscript{13} GH1 AMD 2 Agency Comment PUC, July 29, 2014
\textsuperscript{14} GH1 AMD 2 Agency Comment Sherman County, July 30, 2014
\textsuperscript{15} GH1 AMD 2 Agency Comment Wasco County, July 21, 2013
\textsuperscript{16} GH1 AMD 2 Agency Comment Water Resources Dept., July 21, 2014
\textsuperscript{17} GH1 AMD 2 Public Comment McArthur et al, July 29, 2014
\textsuperscript{18} GH1 AMD 2 Public Comment McArthur, July 30, 2014
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II.E. Comments on the Proposed Order

The department received one comment on the proposed order within the comment period. The comment was submitted by Tom Lowther, the General Partner of EOM Ltd. on behalf of EOM Ltd. on January 19, 2014. The comment letter explained that EOM owns subsurface mineral rights in seven different counties in Oregon, and states that EOM’s subsurface holdings include approximately 2,600 acres of land within the Golden Hills site boundary. The comment letter claimed that EOM did not receive proper notice of the proposed amendment, identifies potential conflicts between surface uses and subsurface estate rights, and requested modified or clarified condition language. The following provides the department’s analysis of each of the issues and requests identified in the letter.

The comment letter first claimed that EOM did not receive proper notice of the proposed project and that “failure to notify EOM as a property owner is a failure of due process.” However, for the proposed amendment, the department complied with the site certificate amendment request notice requirements of OAR 345-027-0070(1)(a) and the proposed order notice requirements of OAR 345-027-0070(5). Consistent those rules, notice of the amendment request and the notice of the proposed order were sent by mail or email to the property owners shown on the most recent property tax assessment rolls. As explained in the EOM comment letter, the owners of mineral estates are not listed on the tax rolls. Therefore, the Council rules do not require that the department provide notice to subsurface estate holders as land owners. As a result, EOM cannot, and did not, claim that the department did not comply with the codified notice requirements for an amendment request. Instead, the EOM comments related to notice seemingly take issue with the notice requirements in the rules themselves. This is not the appropriate forum for challenging or amending the Council’s notice rules or the statues upon which those rules are based.

Furthermore, the rules governing notice of the amendment request and the proposed order do not limit notice to land owners on the tax rolls. Pursuant to OAR 345-027-0070(1)(b) and (5), notice of the amendment request and the proposed order must also be provided to the Council’s general mailing list and any special mailing list created for the proposed project, which includes, but is not limited to landowners on the tax assessment roles. Therefore, EOM, or any other subsurface estate owner, could sign up for the Council’s general mailing list and would receive notice of all site certificate amendment requests.

---

19 As provided in OAR 345-027-0060(1)(g), the site certificate holder must provide a list of the owners of property located within or adjacent to the site facility, as described in OAR 345-021-0010(1)(f). OAR 345-021-0010(1)(f) requires “a list of the names and mailing addresses of all owners of record, as shown on the most recent property tax assessment roll, of property located within or adjacent to the site boundary.” While not directly applicable to site certificate amendment requests, providing notice to property owners identified on the tax rolls is consistent with ORS 469.370(2)(a), which in turn references ORS 197.763(2).

20 In fact, Mr. Lowther is currently on the Council’s general mailing list and was sent notice, via email, of the Golden Hills Wind Project request for Amendment #2 on June 27, 2014 and was sent notice, via email, of the proposed order on December 18, 2014.
The comment letter next explained why the project implementation would impede access to and utilization of the mineral, and claims that Oregon law protects the right of the mineral estate owner to use the surface estate to develop the subsurface minerals. The comment letter cited several cases that describe general rules of common law. The energy facility siting process, however, is a standards based process. The comment letter did not cite any council standards, statewide planning goals, applicable substantive criteria from Sherman County’s land use code, or other applicable statutes or rules that would grant the Council the authority to resolve a potential conflict between the wind facility development on the surface and subsurface mineral access or extraction. Absent a relevant standard or other applicable law, the Council does not have the jurisdiction to deny or condition the amended site certificate to avoid the potential conflict that the comment letter identifies.

Finally, the comment letter identified the mandatory site certificate condition related to construction rights at OAR 345-027-0020(5)21 and requested that the Council modify the condition or clarify terms in the condition. The cited condition is a mandatory condition for all site certificates, and the wording cannot be modified for any specific site certificate. More importantly, the Council does not have the obligation or authority clarify the condition or add a new condition to resolve a potential property rights conflict between surface uses and subsurface mineral rights. In other words, and as described in greater detail above, the requested condition or clarification is not required for compliance with a Council standard or other applicable statute or administrative rule.

For the foregoing reasons, the department did not recommend any modifications to the proposed order in response to the comment letter submitted on behalf of EOM. The Council adopts the department’s analysis through this final order and finds that no additional modifications to the proposed order are warranted in response to the EOM comment letter.

II.F. Applicable Standards

Under ORS 469.405, “a site certificate may be amended with the approval of the Energy Facility Siting Council.” The Council has adopted rules for determining when a site certificate amendment is necessary (OAR 345-027-0030 and -0050) and rules setting out the procedure for amending or transferring a site certificate (OAR 345-027-0060, -0070, and -0100). Consistent with OAR 345-027-0100(12), the Council may act concurrently on a request to transfer a site certificate and any other amendment request. However, the Council must follow the procedures described in OAR 345-027-0100 for the transfer request and the procedures

---
21 OAR 345-027-0020(5) requires the Council to include the following condition in all site certificates, “[e]xcept as necessary for the initial survey or as otherwise allowed for wind energy facilities, transmission liens or pipelines under this section, the certificate holder shall not begin construction, as defined in OAR 345-001-0010, or create a clearing on any part of the site until the certificate holder has construction rights on all parts of the site.” The condition further explains that “[f]or the purpose of this rule, ‘construction rights’ means the legal right to engage in construction activities.”
described in OAR 345-027-0030 and 345-027-0070 for the extension of the construction
deadline.

III.F.1. Amendment to Extend Construction Deadline

OAR 345-027-0030 addresses “Amendments to Extend Construction Beginning and Completion
Deadlines.” Under OAR 345-027-0030, a site certificate holder may request an amendment to
extend the deadlines for beginning or completing the construction of a facility. The certificate
holder must submit the request “no later than six months before the date of the applicable
deadline, or, if the certificate holder demonstrates good cause for the delay in submitting the
request, no later than the applicable deadline.” If the Council grants such a request, the Council
must specify a new deadline for beginning or completing construction that are not more than
two years from the current deadlines.

In this instance, the certificate holder did not submit the request to extend the construction
deadline six months before the June 18, 2014 deadline for starting construction. Therefore,
under OAR 345-027-0030, Golden Hills is required to demonstrate good cause for the delay in
submitting the request.

Compliance with the applicable Council standards is discussed in section III below.

II.F.2. Transfer of a Site Certificate

OAR 345-027-0100 describes the procedures and process for transferring a site certificate.
Under OAR 345-027-0100(1)(a) a transfer of ownership requires a transfer of the site certificate
when the person who will have the legal right to possession and control of the site or the
facility does not have authority under the site certificate to construct, operate or retire the
facility. On May 22, 2014 BP and Orion entered into a purchase and sale agreement for Orion to
purchase Golden Hills from BP, including all of the membership interests.22 Golden Hills will
remain the certificate holder but Orion, as the new parent company of Golden Hills, has the
legal right to possess and control the facility. Therefore, pursuant to OAR 345-027-0100(1)(a), a
transfer of the site certificate is required.

To request a transfer, a transferee must submit a written request to the department that
includes the information described in OAR 345-021-0010(1)(a), (d), (f) and (m), a certification
that the transferee agrees to abide by all terms and conditions of the site certificate currently in
effect and, if known, the date of the transfer of ownership. Additionally, the Council must hold
a public informational hearing during a Council meeting before acting on the transfer request.
To approve the transfer, the Council must find that the transferee complies with the standards
described in OAR 345-022-0010 (Organizational Expertise), OAR 345-022-0050 (Retirement and
Financial Assurance Standard) and, if applicable, OAR 345-024-0710(1) (Monetary Path

22 Request for Amendment #2 (June 13, 2014) at 1-1
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Payment Requirement for facilities subject to an applicable carbon dioxide emissions standard; and that the transferee is or will be lawfully entitled to possession or control of the site or the facility described in the site certificate (OAR 345-027-0100(8)).

III. REVIEW OF THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT AND TRANSFER

III.A. Transfer Request

As required under OAR 345-027-0100, the transfer request was jointly submitted by Golden Hills and Orion, and included the documents required pursuant to OAR 345-021-0010(1)(a),(d),(f) and (m). In addition, Orion certified that it agrees to abide by all the terms and conditions of the Site Certificate currently in effect and terms and conditions that will result from this requested amendment.

As discussed above, under OAR 345-027-0100(8) the Council may approve the transfer request if the Council finds that the transferee complies with the Council’s Organizational Expertise Standard, the Retirement and Financial Assurance Standard and, if applicable, the Monetary Path Payment Requirement for facilities subject to the carbon dioxide emissions standards. Because the facility is a wind facility, carbon dioxide standards and monetary path payment requirements are not applicable to this transfer request. The transferee’s compliance with the standards is discussed below.

III.A.1. Organizational Expertise: OAR 345-022-0010

(1) To issue a site certificate, the Council must find that the applicant has the organizational expertise to construct, operate and retire the proposed facility in compliance with Council standards and conditions of the site certificate. To conclude that the applicant has this expertise, the Council must find that the applicant has demonstrated the ability to design, construct and operate the proposed facility in compliance with site certificate conditions and in a manner that protects public health and safety and has demonstrated the ability to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition. The Council may consider the applicant’s experience, the applicant’s access to technical expertise and the applicant’s past performance in constructing, operating and retiring other facilities, including, but not limited to, the number and severity of regulatory citations issued to the applicant.

(2) The Council may base its findings under section (1) on a rebuttable presumption that an applicant has organizational, managerial and technical expertise, if the applicant has an ISO 9000 or ISO 14000 certified program and proposes to design, construct and operate the facility according to that program.

---

23 Request for Amendment 2 at Attachment 2
24 Request for Amendment 2 at 5-2
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(3) If the applicant does not itself obtain a state or local government permit or approval for which the Council would ordinarily determine compliance but instead relies on a permit or approval issued to a third party, the Council, to issue a site certificate, must find that the third party has, or has a reasonable likelihood of obtaining, the necessary permit or approval, and that the applicant has, or has a reasonable likelihood of entering into, a contractual or other arrangement with the third party for access to the resource or service secured by that permit or approval.

(4) If the applicant relies on a permit or approval issued to a third party and the third party does not have the necessary permit or approval at the time the Council issues the site certificate, the Council may issue the site certificate subject to the condition that the certificate holder shall not commence construction or operation as appropriate until the third party has obtained the necessary permit or approval and the applicant has a contract or other arrangement for access to the resource or service secured by that permit or approval.

Findings of Fact

As applicable to this transfer request, subsections (1) and (2) of the Council’s Organizational Expertise Standard require that the transferee demonstrate the ability to design, construct, and operate a facility in compliance with Council standards and all site certificate conditions, as well as to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition. The Council may consider the transferee’s experience and past performance in constructing, operating and retiring other facilities. Subsections (3) and (4) address third party permits.

As the request explains, Golden Hills and Orion are both project-specific LLCs, and therefore the organizational experience rests with the parent company, Orion Renewable. As the transferee explains, Orion Renewables has considerable experience developing renewable energy projects, with nearly 3,000 MW of in operation in the United States, including EFSC-issued site certificates in Sherman County, Oregon for Biglow Canyon Wind Farm Phase I and II. The request further explains that Orion Renewable has not received any regulatory citations in constructing or operating a facility similar to Golden Hills. This history supports the transferee’s assertion that Orion has the requisite engineering and project management experience associated with generation projects to satisfy the Council’s Organizational Expertise standard. Additionally, Condition IV.B.2 of the first amended Site Certificate requires that the prior to construction, the certificate holder must identify all construction contractors and requires them to have demonstrated experience in the design, engineering and construction of similar facilities.

---

25 Request for Amendment #2 Attachment D
26 Id.
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With regard to third party permits, in the *Final Order on the Application* for the original Golden Hills site certificate, the Council determined that a single third party permit was required and has been acquired.\(^\text{27}\) As explained in that Order, the certificate holder entered into an agreement under which the facility would transmit power to the Klondike-Schoolhouse Substation by means of an existing third party transmission line serving the Hay Canyon Wind Farm, which is owned by Iberdrola Renewables. The certificate holder has previously provided the Conditional Use Permit for the Hay Canyon Wind Farm as evidence that the third party permit has been issued and a copy of the Memorandum of Shared Use Agreement as evidence that it has entered into an agreement with Iberdrola for the use of the transmission line.

Additionally, Condition IV.B.1 of the Site Certificate requires that the certificate holder notify the department of any changes in its corporate relationship with BP. The requested transfer complies with that condition requirement. Further, because the transfer proposes to change the parent company from BP to Orion Renewables, the Council adopts the following changes to Condition IV.B.1

\[\text{(IV.B.1)} \quad \text{The certificate holder shall report promptly to the Department any change in its corporate relationship with Orion Renewable Energy Group LLC, BP Alternative Energy North America Inc. ("BPAE"). The certificate holder shall report promptly to the Department any change in its access to the resources, expertise and personnel of Orion Renewable Energy Group LLC}. BPAE.\]

Based on the evidence presented in the transfer request, and the evidence in the record regarding the existing third party permit, the Council finds that the transferee has the ability to design, construct, and operate the proposed facility in compliance with all Council Standards, as required by the Organizational Expertise standard.

**Conclusion**

For the reasons discussed above, and subject to compliance with the Site Certificate conditions, the Council finds Orion, through Orion Renewable, satisfies the Organizational Expertise standard.

**III.A.2. Retirement and Financial Assurance: OAR 345-022-0050**

To issue a site certificate, the Council must find that:

\[\text{(1) The site, taking into account mitigation, can be restored adequately to a useful, non-}
\text{hazardous condition following permanent cessation of construction or operation of the}
\text{facility.}\]

\(^{27}\) *Final Order on the Application* at 21
(2) The applicant has a reasonable likelihood of obtaining a bond or letter of credit in a form and amount satisfactory to the Council to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition.

Findings of Fact

To satisfy this standard, the Council must find that the site can be restored to a useful, non-hazardous condition following permanent cessation, and that the transferee has a reasonable likelihood of obtaining a bond or comparable security, satisfactory to the Council, in an amount adequate to restore the site.

In the Final Order on the Application, the Council found that the current certificate holder could restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition. To ensure the certificate holder met its obligations, the Council adopted Conditions IV.C.1-10 in the Site Certificate. As the transferee, Orion has certified in the Request for Amendment #2 that it agrees to abide by all the terms and conditions of the First Amended Site Certificate currently in effect and all terms and conditions that will result from this amendment request. As a result, the certificate holder will be subject to the same conditions that the Council used to ensure that the certificate holder could restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition following permanent cessation of the facility's operation.

OAR 345-022-0050(2) requires the Council to determine that the transferee has a reasonable likelihood of obtaining a bond or letter of credit, in a form and amount satisfactory to the Council, to restore the sites to a useful, non-hazardous condition. In the Final Order on the Application, the Council determined that the value of the financial assurance bond or letter of credit for restoring the site would not exceed $16.491 million (2008 dollars). Orion Renewable provided a letter from Wells Fargo dated June 12, 2014, in which Wells Fargo stated that “Based upon current dollars on deposit at Wells Fargo Bank and subject to acceptable pricing, terms and requisite approvals, Wells Fargo Bank would be willing to arrange a standby letter of credit in an amount up to $16.491 million in 2008 dollars for the purpose of ensuring that the site of the Golden Hills Wind Project can be restored to a useful non-hazardous condition.”

---

28 Final Order on the Application at 219
29 These conditions require the certificate holder to submit a final retirement plan that describes the activities necessary to restore the site a useful, non-hazardous condition for Council approval (Condition IV.C.2). Condition IV.C.1 requires that the certificate holder retire the facility according to the approved retirement plan. Condition IV.C.4 requires the certificate holder to maintain a bond or letter of credit that ensures funds are available to the Council to restore the site if the certificate holder does not retire the facility as required by IV.C.10. Condition IV.C.5 requires that if the certificate holder elects to use a bond to meet the requirements of condition IV.C.4, the certificate holder will ensure that the bond complies with all applicable rules and statutes. IV.C.6 and IV.C. 7 ensure that any release of toxic substances will be properly reported and mitigated for. Condition IV.C.9 requires that the certificate holder pay the actual costs of restoring the facility to a useful non-hazardous condition at the time of retirement notwithstanding the estimated amount required to restore the site.
30 Request for Amendment 2 at 5-2.
The letter does not constitute a firm commitment from Wells Fargo to issue the letter of credit, but it is evidence that Orion Renewable could obtain the necessary letter of credit for the facility.

Based on the transferee’s representations and the evidence in the record, the Council finds that the transferee has the capacity to restore the facility site to a useful, non-hazardous condition following permanent cessation of construction, and that the transferee has demonstrated a reasonable likelihood of obtaining a bond or letter of credit, satisfactory to the Council, in amounts adequate to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition.

Conclusion

For the reasons discussed above, the Council finds that Orion Golden Hills satisfies the Council’s Retirement and Financial Assurance standard.

Department Recommendation Regarding the Transfer

Based on the foregoing, the Council finds that the transfer request satisfies the requirements under OAR 345-027-0100, including compliance with the standards described in OAR 345-022-0010 and OAR 345-022-0050, and issue an amended site certificate that authorizes Golden Hills to construct and operate the facility with Orion and Orion Renewables as its parent companies.

III.B. Review of the Proposed Amendment

III.B.1 Demonstration of Good Cause

As discussed above, Condition III.D.1 of the first amended site certificate requires the certificate holder to begin construction by June 18, 2014; and Condition III.D.2 requires completion of the construction by June 18, 2016. OAR 345-027-0030 allows for Council consideration of requests for amendments to extend the construction beginning and completion dates. That provision requires that the certificate holder submit the request, including an explanation of the need for the extension, no later than six months before the date of the applicable deadline. If the certificate holder does not submit its request to extend the construction deadlines at least six months before the deadlines, the certificate holder must demonstrate good cause for the delay in submitting the request. The site certificate holder submitted its request for extension on June 13, 2014. Accordingly, it must demonstrate good cause for the delay in submitting the request.

33 Two comments received from the public stated that the request for the extension of construction deadlines should be denied because the certificate holder did not submit a request for amendment at least six months before the applicable deadline. (Public Comment from McArthur, Macnab, Lohrey, and Van Gilder, July 29, 2014; public comment from McArthur, July 30, 2014.) Because the request was not submitted at least six months before the deadline to begin construction, the certificate holder must demonstrate good cause for the delay; however, provided good cause is shown, the delay itself does not establish a basis to deny the amendment request.
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To demonstrate good cause for the delay in filing its amendment request, the certificate holder provided a narrative timeline of the events leading up to the Request for Amendment. As described in that narrative, in April 2013, BP was placed up for sale with all of its assets, including all of its 16 operational wind farms located in the United States and other projects at various points in development, including the facility. In August, 2013 BP announced it was divesting of its development assets and immediately began marketing the facility. As further explained in the Amendment Request:

"The need for an extension to construction deadlines is driven by the sale of the Facility from BP Wind to Orion. The parties did not sign a purchase and sale agreement until March 31, 2014. In anticipation of the ownership transfer, BP Wind filed a notice of intent to transfer on April 16, 2014 but the parties delayed filing this Transfer and Amendment Request until there was certainty that the transaction would close, which occurred on May 22, 2014. Upon closing, Orion promptly engaged legal counsel and worked expeditiously to prepare this Transfer and Amendment Request. It would have been impossible for the parties to file no later than six months prior to June 18, 2014, as the transaction for the sale of the Facility simply had not materialized."

The Council agrees that the certificate holder acted promptly following the Orion’s purchase of the facility assets to file its request to extend the construction deadlines, and that the lack of a purchaser for the facility until after the six-month deadline had passed constitutes good cause for the delay in filing the construction extension request.

The Council finds that the certificate holder has demonstrated good cause for the delay in submitting the amendment request and, as a result, that the request was timely submitted.

**III.B.2 Review of Amendment Request**

OAR 345-027-0070(10)(b) establishes the Council’s scope of review for amendment requests. The Council must consider (1) whether the Council has previously granted an extension of the deadline; (2) whether there has been any change of circumstances that affects a previous Council finding that was required for issuance of a site certificate or amended site certificate; (3) whether the facility complies with all Council standards; and (4) whether the amount of the bond or letter of credit required under OAR 345-022-0050 is adequate.

**III.B.2.a. OAR 345-027-0070(10)(b)(A)**

OAR 345-027-0070(10)(b)(A) requires the Council to consider whether the Council has previously granted an extension of the deadline.

---

34 GH1 AMD2 RAI Response, September 12, 2014
35 Request for Amendment #2, at 3-1, June 13, 2014
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As discussed above, the Council has previously approved one extension of construction deadlines. However, as the certificate holder explained in its request, the sale of Golden Hills Wind Farm, LLC to Orion Golden Hills Wind Farm, LLC did not close until May 22, 2014, less than one month before the deadline to begin construction that the Council previously approved. Given the number of pre-construction conditions in the site certificate, Orion was not able to meet the June 28, 2014 deadline. The requested extension is necessary to give Orion, as the certificate holder’s new parent company, time to prepare for construction. Accordingly, the Council finds that the asset sale involving the facility justifies a second extension of the construction deadlines.

III.B.2.b. OAR 345-027-0070(10)(b)(B)

OAR 345-027-0070(1)(b)(B) requires that the Council consider “whether there has been any change of circumstances that affects a previous Council finding that was required for issuance of a site certificate or amended site certificate.”

As explained in the amendment request, and as addressed in the discussion of the Transfer Request in section II.E.2, the proposed change to the site certificate involves only the ownership of the certificate holder’s parent company. The request does not seek to enlarge the existing site boundary or change any physical components of the facility. There are no changes to the previously approved maximum of 267 turbines or the maximum generating capacity of 400 MW. Nor are there any requested changes in turbine or blade tip height. Accordingly, the Council finds, except as it relates to the transfer of ownership, that the requested amendment proposes no change of circumstances that affect previous Council findings.

III.B.3. Evaluation of Council Standards (OAR 345-027-0070(1)(b)(C))

OAR 345-027-0070(1)(b)(C) requires that the Council consider whether the facility, as amended, complies with all Council standards.

III.B.3.a. General Standard of Review: OAR 345-022-0000

(1) To issue a site certificate for a proposed facility or to amend a site certificate, the Council shall determine that the preponderance of evidence on the record supports the following conclusions:

(a) The facility complies with the requirements of the Oregon Energy Facility Siting statutes, ORS 469.300 to ORS 469.570 and 469.590 to 469.619, and the standards adopted by the Council pursuant to ORS 469.501 or the overall public benefits of the facility outweigh the damage to the resources protected by the standards the facility does not meet as described in section (2);

36 Final Order on Amendment #1
37 OAR 345-027-0070(1)(b)(B)
(b) Except as provided in OAR 345-022-0030 for land use compliance and except for those statutes and rules for which the decision on compliance has been delegated by the federal government to a state agency other than the Council, the facility complies with all other Oregon statutes and administrative rules identified in the project order, as amended, as applicable to the issuance of a site certificate for the proposed facility. If the Council finds that applicable Oregon statutes and rules, other than those involving federally delegated programs, would impose conflicting requirements, the Council shall resolve the conflict consistent with the public interest. In resolving the conflict, the Council cannot waive any applicable state statute.

* * *

Based on the following analysis, the Council finds that the proposed amendment to extend the construction deadlines satisfies the requirements of OAR 345-022-0000.

III.B.3.b. Organizational Expertise: OAR 345-022-0010

(1) To issue a site certificate, the Council must find that the applicant has the organizational expertise to construct, operate and retire the proposed facility in compliance with Council standards and conditions of the site certificate. To conclude that the applicant has this expertise, the Council must find that the applicant has demonstrated the ability to design, construct and operate the proposed facility in compliance with site certificate conditions and in a manner that protects public health and safety and has demonstrated the ability to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition. The Council may consider the applicant’s experience, the applicant’s access to technical expertise and the applicant’s past performance in constructing, operating and retiring other facilities, including, but not limited to, the number and severity of regulatory citations issued to the applicant.

(2) The Council may base its findings under section (1) on a rebuttable presumption that an applicant has organizational, managerial and technical expertise, if the applicant has an ISO 9000 or ISO 14000 certified program and proposes to design, construct and operate the facility according to that program.

(3) If the applicant does not itself obtain a state or local government permit or approval for which the Council would ordinarily determine compliance but instead relies on a permit or approval issued to a third party, the Council, to issue a site certificate, must find that the third party has, or has a reasonable likelihood of obtaining, the necessary permit or approval, and that the applicant has, or has a reasonable likelihood of entering into, a contractual or other arrangement with the third party for access to the resource or service secured by that permit or approval.
(4) If the applicant relies on a permit or approval issued to a third party and the third party does not have the necessary permit or approval at the time the Council issues the site certificate, the Council may issue the site certificate subject to the condition that the certificate holder shall not commence construction or operation as appropriate until the third party has obtained the necessary permit or approval and the applicant has a contract or other arrangement for access to the resource or service secured by that permit or approval.

Findings of Fact

As applicable to this amendment request, subsections (1) and (2) of the Council’s Organizational Expertise Standard require that the certificate holder demonstrate the ability to design, construct, and operate a facility in compliance with Council standards and all site certificate conditions, as well as to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition. The Council may consider Golden Hills’ experience and past performance in constructing, operating and retiring other facilities. Subsections (3) and (4) address third party permits. The Council addressed the Organizational Expertise Standard in section IV.B of the Final Order on Application.38 As a project specific LLC, Golden Hills relied on the organizational expertise of its parent company, BP. The Council concluded that, subject to conditions stated in the Final Order on Application, the certificate holder had the organizational expertise to construct and operate the facility and had obtained the necessary third party permits.39 The first amendment to the Site Certificate extended the construction deadlines and did not impact findings regarding the Organizational Expertise Standard. As a result the Final Order on Amendment #1 referred to the analysis in the Final Order on the Application.40

As discussed above, the current amendment request also includes a request to transfer the ownership of the certificate holder’s parent company from BP to Orion. The analysis of the requested transfer in section III.A.1 of this final order includes a demonstration of Orion’s compliance with the Organizational Expertise Standard. Accordingly, the Council determines that, through Orion Renewables, the certificate holder continues to have the ability to design, construct, and operate a facility in compliance with Council standards and all site certificate conditions, as well as to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition; and that it has secured the required third-party permit.

Conclusion

Based on the findings discussed above and in section III.A.1, the Council finds that Golden Hills satisfies the Council’s Organizational Expertise standard.

III.B.3.c. Structural Standard: OAR 345-022-0020

38 Final Order on Application at 20
39 Id.
40 Final Order on Amendment #1 at 12
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(1) Except for facilities described in sections (2) and (3), to issue a site certificate, the Council must find that:

(a) The applicant, through appropriate site-specific study, has adequately characterized the site as to the Maximum Considered Earthquake Ground Motion as shown for the site in the 2009 International Building Code and maximum probable ground motion, taking into account ground failure and amplification for the site specific soil profile under the maximum credible and maximum probable seismic events; and

(b) The applicant can design, engineer, and construct the facility to avoid dangers to human safety presented by seismic hazards affecting the site that are expected to result from maximum probable ground motion events. As used in this rule “seismic hazard” includes ground shaking, ground failure, landslide, liquefaction, lateral spreading, tsunami inundation, fault displacement, and subsidence;

(c) The applicant, through appropriate site-specific study, has adequately characterized the potential geological and soils hazards of the site and its vicinity that could, in the absence of a seismic event, adversely affect, or be aggravated by, the construction and operation of the proposed facility; and

(d) The applicant can design, engineer and construct the facility to avoid dangers to human safety presented by the hazards identified in subsection (c).

(2) The Council may issue a site certificate for a facility that would produce power from wind, solar or geothermal energy without making the findings described in section (1). However, the Council may apply the requirements of section (1) to impose conditions on a site certificate issued for such a facility.

(3) The Council may issue a site certificate for a special criteria facility under OAR 345-015-0310 without making findings described in section (1). However, the Council may apply the requirements of section (1) to impose conditions on a site certificate issued for such a facility.

Findings of Fact

Section (1) of the Structural Standard generally requires the Council to evaluate whether the certificate holder has adequately characterized the potential seismic, geological and soil hazards of the site, and can design, engineer and construct the facility to avoid dangers to
human safety from these hazards.⁴¹ Under Section (2), the Council may issue a site certificate for a wind energy facility without making findings regarding the Structural Standard; however, the Council may apply the requirements of the standard to impose site certificate conditions.⁴²

The Council addressed the Structural Standard in section V.A of the Final Order on the Application. The Council imposed five conditions to the Site Certificate to address issues related to the Structural Standard.

The first amendment to the Site Certificate extended the construction deadlines and did not impact findings regarding the Structural Standard. As a result the Final Order on Amendment #1 relied on the analysis in the Final Order on the Application.⁴³

The present request to extend construction deadlines would not result in the placement of facility components within geologic areas that have not been addressed by the Council in the approval of site certificate application and, therefore will not require any change or addition to the conditions imposed in the original site certificate.

Conclusion

The Council finds that the conditions currently imposed in the Site Certificate to address the Structural Standard ensure issues related to that standard are fully addressed.

III.B.3.d. Soil Protection: OAR 345-022-0022

To issue a site certificate, the Council must find that the design, construction and operation of the facility, taking into account mitigation, are not likely to result in a significant adverse impact to soils including, but not limited to, erosion and chemical factors such as salt deposition from cooling towers, land application of liquid effluent, and chemical spills.

Findings of Fact

The Soil Protection Standard requires the Council to find that the design, construction and operation of the facility are not likely to result in significant adverse impacts to soil.

The Council addressed the Soil Protection Standard in section IV.E of the Final Order on the Application. The Council found that the design, construction, and operation of the facility, when taking into account mitigation, would not result in a significant adverse impact to soils. The original Site Certificate the Council adopted specific conditions to control and mitigate potential

---

⁴¹ The Council does not preempt the jurisdiction of any state or local government over matters related to building code compliance.

⁴² OAR 345-022-0020(3) does not apply to this proposed facility because the facility is a not a special criteria facility under OAR 345-015-0310.

⁴³ Final Order Approving Amendment #1 at 24
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adverse impact to soils and to mitigate the risk of soil contamination during construction and operation.\textsuperscript{44}

The first amendment to the Site Certificate extended the construction deadlines and did not impact the Soil Protection Standard. As a result the Final Order on Amendment #1 relied on the analysis in the Final Order on the Application.\textsuperscript{45}

The present construction deadline extension request would not result in any soil impacts that have not been addressed by the Council or affect the facility’s compliance with the Soil Protection Standard. The certificate holder will remain subject to the conditions included in the original Site Certificate.

\textbf{Conclusion}

Based on the reasoning discussed above, and subject to continued compliance with the conditions in the original site certificate, the Council finds that the facility, as amended, complies with the Council’s Soil Protection Standard.

III.B.3.e. Land Use: OAR 345-022-0030

\textbf{(1)} To issue a site certificate, the Council must find that the proposed facility complies with the statewide planning goals adopted by the Land Conservation and Development Commission.

\textbf{(2)} The Council shall find that a proposed facility complies with section (1) if:

\begin{itemize}
\item[(a)] The applicant elects to obtain local land use approvals under ORS 469.504(1)(a) and the Council finds that the facility has received local land use approval under the acknowledged comprehensive plan and land use regulations of the affected local government; or
\item[(b)] The applicant elects to obtain a Council determination under ORS 469.504(1)(b) and the Council determines that:
  \begin{itemize}
  \item[(A)] The proposed facility complies with applicable substantive criteria as described in section (3) and the facility complies with any Land Conservation and Development Commission administrative rules and goals and any land use statutes directly applicable to the facility under ORS 197.646(3);
  \item[(B)] For a proposed facility that does not comply with one or more of the applicable substantive criteria as described in section (3), the facility otherwise
\end{itemize}
\end{itemize}

\textsuperscript{44}Golden Hills Wind Project Amended Site Certificate-Conditions IV.E.1-6
\textsuperscript{45}Id. at 17
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complies with the statewide planning goals or an exception to any applicable statewide planning goal is justified under section (4); or

(C) For a proposed facility that the Council decides, under sections (3) or (6), to evaluate against the statewide planning goals, the proposed facility complies with the applicable statewide planning goals or that an exception to any applicable statewide planning goal is justified under section (4).

*****.

Findings of Fact

The Land Use Standard requires the Council to find that a proposed facility complies with the statewide planning goals adopted by the Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC). 46 In considering this amendment request, OAR 345-027-0070(10) requires the Council to apply the applicable substantive criteria in effect on the date the certificate holder submits a request for amendment.

The applicable substantive criteria from the Sherman County Code have not changed since the department received Request for Amendment #1 on December 8, 2011. 47 As a result, the applicable substantive criteria are:

SCZO Article 3. Use Zones

SCZO Article 3. Use Zones

SCZO Section 3.1 – Exclusive Farm Use Zone, F-1 Zone

SCZO Section 3.1(1). General Purpose - To protect agricultural uses from encroachment by other incompatible uses and to provide tax incentives to assure that a maximum amount of agricultural land is retained in agricultural uses.

SCZO Section 3.1(2). Uses Permitted - In the F-1 Zone, the following uses and their accessory uses are permitted:

46 The Council must apply the Land Use Standard in conformance with the requirements of ORS 469.504. In Save Our Rural Oregon, the Oregon Supreme Court has held "under ORS 469.504(1)(b) and (5), the Council may choose to determine compliance with statewide planning goals by evaluating a facility under paragraph (A) or (B) or (C), but...it may not combine elements or methods from more than one subparagraph, except to the extent that the chosen subparagraph itself permit."

The Council may find compliance with statewide planning goals under ORS 469.504(1)(b)(A) if the Council finds that the proposed facility "complies with applicable substantive criteria from the affected local government's acknowledged comprehensive plan and land use regulations that are required by the statewide planning goals and in effect on the date the application is submitted." Under ORS 469.504(1)(b)(B) the Council must determine whether the proposed facility "otherwise [complies] with the applicable statewide planning goals." In Save Our Rural Oregon, the Oregon Supreme Court held that "paragraph (B) necessarily requires an evaluation of the same applicable substantive criteria as paragraph (A) and, to the extent those criteria are not met, directs the council to consider statewide planning goals." However, the Council may not evaluate a proposed facility under both subparagraph (A) and subparagraph (B).

47 Georgia Macnab e-mail dated July 14, 2014
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(g) Reconstruction or modification of public roads and highways, not including the addition of travel lanes, where no removal or displacement of buildings will occur, or new land parcels result.

(x) Transportation improvements.

1) Normal operation, maintenance, repair, and preservation activities of existing transportation facilities

**SCZO Section 3.1(3).** Conditional Uses Permitted - In an F-1 Zone, the following uses and their accessory uses are permitted when authorized in accordance with the requirements of Article 5 of this Ordinance and this Section:

(q) Commercial utility facilities.

(gg) Transportation Improvements. (Ord No. 22-05-2003)

**SCZO Section 3.1(4)(c)—Dimensional Standards/Setback Requirements**

(c) In an F-1 (EFU) Zone, the minimum setback requirements shall be as follows:

1) The front and rear setbacks from the property line shall be 30 feet, except that the front yard setback from the right-of-way of an arterial or major collector or road shall be 50 feet, unless approved otherwise by the Planning Commission.

2) Each side yard setback from a property line shall be a minimum of 25 feet, and for parcels or lots involving a non-farm residential use with side yard(s) adjacent to farm lands, said adjacent side yards shall be a minimum of 50 feet, unless approved otherwise by the Planning Commission.

**SCZO Section 3.7 — Natural Hazards Combining Zone (NH)**

In any zone that is combined with the (NH) Combining Zone, the requirements and standards of this Section shall apply in addition to those set forth in the primary zone, provided that if a conflict occurs, the more restrictive provisions shall govern.

**SCZO Section 3.7(1). Purpose** - The purpose of the (NH) Combining Zone is to promote and protect the public health, safety and general welfare and to minimize potential losses by providing guidelines for development in hazard areas. Development limitations are applicable to developments in areas of surface water accumulations and high groundwater, unstable or fragile soils, geological hazards, and steep slopes, generally those of 30 percent or greater.

**SCZO Section 3.7(3). Conditional Uses** - In any Zone with which the (NH) Zone is combined, all uses permitted by the primary Zone, except those set forth in Subsection (2) above, shall be permitted only as Conditional Uses and subject to the provisions of this Zone and the primary Zone. Said permits shall be processed in accordance with the provisions set forth for a Conditional Use, or as set for by this Ordinance.
SCZO Section 3.7(4). Permit for Use or Development in a (NH) Zone – No person shall
construct, reconstruct, or install a use or development unless a permit therefore has been
received, except for those uses permitted as Outright by Subsection (2) of this Section.
Except for the improvement of an existing structure which is less than substantial as
determined by a Certified Building Official or the County upon appeal, no permit shall be
issued unless the use or development will be determined to be reasonably safe from the
applicable hazard, and otherwise in compliance with the provisions of this Section, the NH
Zone, this Ordinance, and other applicable regulations.

SCZO Section 3.7(5). Application Requirements for a Use in a (NH) Zone – An application
for a use or development in a Zone with which the (NH) Zone is combined shall be
accompanied by the following:

(a) Site Investigation Report: An application for a use or development in a (NH) Zone
requires a site investigation report for the subject-affected area. The site investigation
report shall provide information on the site of the proposed use or development and
surrounding and adjacent lands that are most likely to be affected thereby. Unless the
County determines that specific items are not required, the report shall include the
information described in this Subsection, together with appropriate identification of
information sources and the date of the information. The approved site investigation
report may be require to be reference in the deed and other documents of sale, and may
be required to be recorded with the deed of record.

(b) Background Data in Report. At a minimum, the Site Investigation Report shall contain
the following background information:

1) A general analysis of the affected site and general area’s topography and
geology, including faults, folds, geologic and engineering geologic units, and any
soils, rock and structural details important to the engineering or geological
interpretations and the their relative activity.

2) Location and approximate depths of seasonal surface water accumulations and
groundwater tables, and location and direction of all watercourses, including
intermittent flows.

3) A history of soil and water related problems on the site and adjacent lands, which
may be derived from discussions with local residents and officials and the study
of old photographs, reports and newspaper files.

4) The extent of the surface soil formation and its relationship to the vegetation of
the site, the activity of the landform, and the locations on the site and
surrounding areas.

5) The following ground photographs of the site and surrounding areas with
information showing the scale and date of photographs and their relationship to
the topographic map and profiles:
   A. A view of the general area.
   B. The site of the proposed development.
   C. Any features which are important to the interpretation of the hazard
   potential of the site, including all sites of erosion, surface or groundwater
   accumulations, or accretion.
(c) Topography Map. A topography base map at a scale of not more than 1:100 with a contour interval of 2 feet shall be prepared identifying the following features and accompanied by references to the source(s) and date(s) of information used.

1) Position of lot lines.
2) Boundaries of the property.
3) Each geological feature classification type.
4) Areas of open ground and the boundaries and species identification of major plant communities.
5) Any springs, streams, marshy areas, standing bodies of water, intermittent waterways, drainage ways, and high groundwater areas with highest annual levels.
6) Cut terraces, erosion scarps, and areas exhibiting significant surface erosion due to improper drainage and runoff concentration.
7) Geological information, including lithologic and structural details important to engineering and geologic interpretations.

(d) Subsurface Analysis. If upon initial investigation it appears there are critical areas where the establishment of geologic conditions at specific depths is required, a subsurface analysis obtained by drilling holes, well logs, and other geophysical techniques shall be conducted, or caused to be conducted by a qualified expert, by the person responsible for the site, and investigation report to include the following data as appropriate.

1) The lithology and compaction of all subsurface horizons to bedrock.
2) The depth, width, slope and bearing of all horizons containing significant amounts of silt and clay and any other subsurface layers which could reduce the infiltration of surface waters.

(e) Development Proposal. The site investigation report shall include the following information on the proposed development as applicable:

1) Plans and profiles showing the position and height of each structure, paved areas, and areas where cut and fill is required for construction.
2) The percent and location of the surface of the site, which will be covered by impermeable surfaces.
3) A stabilization program for the development describing:
   A. How much of the site will be exposed during construction and what measures will be taken to reduce erosion and soil movement during construction.
   B. A revegetation plan designed to return open soil areas, both preexisting and newly created, to a stable condition as soon as possible following construction and the period of time during which revegetated areas will receive revegetation maintenance.
   C. Areas to be protected from vegetation loss or ground water pollution shall be identified and means for protection described.

(f) Conclusions in the Site Investigation:

1) The site investigation report shall contain conclusions stating the following:
   A. How the intended use of the land is compatible with the natural conditions; and
   B. Any existing or potential hazards noted during the investigation.
2) Mitigating recommendations for specific areas of concern shall be included.
3) Conclusions shall be based on data included in the report, and the sources of information and facts relied upon shall be specifically referenced.

SCZO Section 3.7(6). Standards for Building Construction in NH Zone
(a) Building construction shall only be approved under conditions that do not adversely affect geological stability, surface or ground waters, or vegetation.
(b) The grading of land and the orientation and design of buildings shall avoid creating conditions that will cause erosion or accretion of soil, or surface and ground water contamination. Where there is some risk of these conditions occurring, a Qualified Geological or Hydrological Expert, whichever is applicable, shall certify that the design and control measures will comply with this standard.
(c) Construction work shall be scheduled and conducted to avoid erosion, and temporary stabilization measures may be needed until permanent installations are accomplished.

SCZO Section 3.7(7). Standards for an Access Route in NH Zone — An access route within a (NH) Zone shall comply with the following provisions:
(a) A road or street shall be stabilized by planking, gravel or pavement as deemed necessary; and
(b) Roadways shall be built without installation of excessive fill, diversion of water, or excessive cuts unless the site investigation determines that such conditions will not be detrimental to the area or create unwarranted maintenance problems or additional hazards.

SCZO Article 4. Supplementary Provisions

SCZO Section 4.9—Compliance with and Consideration of State and Federal Agency Rules and Regulations
Approval of any use or development proposal pursuant to the provisions of this Ordinance shall require compliance with and consideration of all applicable State and Federal agency rules and regulations.

SCZO Section 4.13 Additional Conditions to Development Proposals
The County may require additional conditions for development proposals.
1. The proposed use shall not reduce the level of service (LOS) below a D rating for the public transportation system. For developments that are likely to generate more than a V/C ratio of 75 or greater, the applicant shall provide adequate information, such as a traffic impact study or traffic counts, to demonstrate the level of impact to the surrounding road system. The developer shall be required to mitigate impacts attributable to the project.
2. The determination of the scope, area, and content of the traffic impact study shall be coordinated with the provider of the affected transportation facility, i.e., city, county, state.
3. Dedication of land for roads, transit facilities, sidewalks, bikeways, paths, or access ways shall be required where necessary to mitigate the impacts to the existing transportation system caused by the proposed use.
4. Construction of improvements such as paving, curbing, installation or contribution to traffic signals, construction of sidewalks, bikeways, access ways, paths, or roads that
serve the proposed use where necessary to mitigate the impacts to the existing transportation system caused by the proposed use.

**SCZO Article 5. Conditional Uses**

**SCZO Section 5.2 General Criteria**
In determining whether or not a Conditional Use proposal shall be approved or denied, it shall be determined that the following criteria are either met or can be met through compliance with specific conditions of approval.
1. The proposal is compatible with the applicable provisions of the County Comprehensive Plan and applicable Policies.
2. The proposal is in compliance with the requirements set forth by the applicable primary Zone, by any other applicable combining zone, and other provisions of this Ordinance that are determined applicable to the subject use.
3. That, for a proposal requiring approval or permits from other local, state, and/or federal agencies, evidence of such approval or permit compliance is established or can be assured prior to final approval.
4. The proposal is in compliance with specific standards, conditions, and limitations set forth for the subject use in this Article and other specific relative standards required by this or other County Ordinance.
5. That no approval be granted for any use which is or is expected to be found to exceed resource or public facility carrying capacities, or for any use which is found to not be in compliance with air, water, land, and solid waste or noise pollution standards.
6. That no approval be granted for any use violation of this Ordinance.

**SCZO Section 5.8 Standards Governing Specific Conditional Uses**

**SCZO Section 5.8 Standards Governing Specific Conditional Uses**

* * * *

(14) - Public Facilities and Services
(a) Public facilities including, but not limited to, utility substations, sewage treatment plants, storm water and water lines, water storage tanks, radio and television transmitters, electrical generation and transmission devices, fire stations and other public facilities shall be located so as to best serve the County or area with a minimum impact on neighborhoods, and with consideration for natural or aesthetic values.
(b) Structures shall be designed to be as unobtrusive as possible. Wherever feasible, all utility components shall be placed underground.
(c) Public facilities and services proposed within a wetland or riparian area shall provide findings that: Such a location is required and a public need exists; and Dredge, fill and adverse impacts are avoided or minimized.

**SCZO Section 5.8 Standards Governing Specific Conditional Uses**
20 - Non-farm Uses in an F-1 Zone - Non-farm uses, excluding farm related, farm accessory uses, or uses conducted in conjunction with a farm use as a secondary use thereof, may be approved upon a finding that each such use:
   1. Is compatible with farm uses described in ORS 215.203(2);
   2. Does not interfere seriously with accepted farming practices on adjacent lands devoted to farm use;
   3. Does not materially alter the overall land use pattern of the area;
   4. Is situated upon generally unsuitable land for the production of farm crops and livestock, considering the terrain, adverse soil or land conditions, drainage and flooding, vegetation, location and size of the tract, and the availability of necessary support resources for agriculture;

SCZO Article 11. Design & Improvement Standards & Requirements

SCZO Section 11.1 Compliance Required
Any land division or development and the improvements required, whether by subdivision, partitioning, creation of a street or other right of way, zoning approval, or other land development requiring approval pursuant to the provisions of this Ordinance, shall be in compliance with the design and improvement standards and requirements set forth in this Article, in any other applicable provisions of this Ordinance, in any other provisions of any other applicable County or affected City ordinance, and in any applicable provision of State statutes or administrative rules.

SCZO Section 11.2 Zoning or Other Land Development Permit or Approval
Prior to the construction, alteration, reconstruction, expansion, or change of use of any structure, lot, or parcel for which a permit or other land development approvals required by this Ordinance, a permit or approval shall be obtained from the County or the designated official.

SCZO Section 11.8 Streets and Other Public Facilities
1. It shall be the responsibility of the developer to construct all streets, curbs, sidewalks, sanitary sewers, storm sewers, water mains, and electric and telephone lines, necessary to serve the use or development in accordance with the specifications of the County and/or the serving entity.
2. Electrical lines and telephone lines shall be encouraged to be underground. Other utility lines shall be underground, unless otherwise approved by the County.
3. The location, width and grade of streets shall be considered in their relationship to existing and planned streets, to topographical conditions, to public convenience and safety, and to the proposed use or development to be reserved.
4. All streets, as far as is practicable, shall be in alignment with existing streets by continuations of the centerlines. Necessary staggered street alignment resulting in “T” intersections shall, wherever possible, leave a minimum distance of 200 feet between the centerlines of streets of approximately the same direction, and in no case shall such offset be less than 100 feet.
5. Streets shall be laid out to intersect at angles as near to right angles as practicable, and in no case shall an acute angle be less than 80 degrees unless there is a special intersection design approved by the County Roadmaster and the Superintendent of Public Works and/or the City Engineer of an affected City.
7. Whenever existing streets, adjacent to or within a tract, are of inadequate width and/or improvement standards additional right-of-way shall be provided and additional improvements may be required.

9. Except for the extension of existing streets, no street names shall be used which will duplicate or be confused with the name of an existing street in the County or within a City within a radius of 6 miles or within the boundaries of a special district such as school or fire.

10. Street grades shall not exceed 8 percent: on arterials, 10 percent on collectors, and 12 percent on all other streets including private driveways entering upon a public street or highway.

11. Centerline radii of curves shall not be less than 500 feet on arterials, 250 feet on collectors, or 100 feet on all others. Lesser or greater standards may be permitted or required by the County.

**SCZO Section 11.10 Completion or Assurance of Improvements**

Prior to Final Plat approval for a subdivision, partitioning or [public utility district] PUD, or the final approval of a land use or development pursuant to applicable zoning provisions, the owner and/or developer shall either install required improvements and repair Existing streets and other public facilities damaged in the development of the property, or shall execute and file with the County an agreement between him or herself and the County specifying the period in which improvements and repairs shall be completed and providing that, if the work is not completed within the period specified, that the County may complete the work and recover the full costs together with court costs and attorney costs necessary to collect said amounts from the developer. The agreement shall also provide for payment to the County for the cost of inspection and other engineer and/or consultant services directly attributed to the project. The developer shall file with the agreement, to assure his full and faithful performance, one of the following, pursuant to approval and acceptance by the County Court:

1. A surety bond executed by a surety company authorized to transact business in the State of Oregon in a form approved by the District Attorney or County Legal Counsel.

2. A personal bond co-signed by at least one additional person together with evidence of financial responsibility and resources of those signing the bond sufficient to provide reasonable assurance of the ability to proceed in accordance with the agreement.

3. Cash deposit.

4. Such other security as may be deemed necessary by the County Court to adequately assure completion of the required improvements.

5. Amount of Security Required. Such assurance of full and faithful performance shall be for a sum approved by the County as sufficient to cover the cost of the improvements and repairs, including related engineering, inspection and other incidental expenses, plus an additional 1:5 percent for contingencies.

**SCZO Section 11.11 [Untitled]**

Maintenance Surety Bond in an amount not less than 10 percent nor more than 25 percent of the value of all improvements to guarantee maintenance and performance for a period of not less than one year from the date of acceptance

*Sherman County Comprehensive Plan*
SCCP Section VIII. Planning Process and Citizen Involvement

SCCP Section VIII, Goal I. To provide the opportunity for all citizens and effected agencies to participate in the planning process.

Goal I, Policy I.
All land use planning public hearings, requiring public notice, shall be advertised in a general circulation newspaper and be open to the public.

Goal I, Policy II.
All effected [sic] agencies and effected [sic] landowners shall be notified by written notice of any proposed site-specific land use change.

SCCP Section XI. Physical Characteristics

SCCP Section XI, Goal I. Improve or maintain the existing quality of the physical environment within the County.

Goal I, Policy I.
Erosion control provisions shall be incorporated into the subdivision requirements of the Development Code. These shall require that the best practical methods be used to control erosion from road and building construction sites as well as other changes in land use, which may degrade the quality of the land, air and water.

SCCP Section XI, Goal II. To protect life and property from natural disasters and hazards.

SCCP Section XI, Goal III. Provide for the rational development and conservation of the aggregate resources within the County.

SCCP Section XI, Goal IV. To provide a detailed investigation of the County’s groundwater resources.

SCCP Section XI, Goal V. To maintain the multiple use management concept on Bureau of Land Management Lands within Sherman County.

SCCP Section XI, Goal VI. Encourage preservation of the rural nature [of] the Sherman County landscape.

Goal VI, Policy VII.
Trees should be considered an important feature of the landscape and therefore the County Court shall encourage the retention of this resource when practical

SCCP Section XI, Goal VII. Encourage preservation of fish and wildlife habitat in the County.

SCCP Section XI, Goal VIII. Encourage the diversity of plant and animal species within the County.

SCCP Section XII. Social Characteristics
SCCP Section XII, Goal I. To improve or maintain the current level of social services available with the County and to assure the provision of public facilities consistent with the intensity of land use.

Goal I, Policy I.

The County Court shall encourage the location of industries, businesses and commercial services to diversify activities within the County consistent with the desired population growth and other goals and policies.

Goal I, Policy IX.

The continuing loss of economic opportunities for residents of the County is of great concern to the residents. The reduction of need for agricultural based jobs due to improved farming technology and practices, the inability to keep families employed or offer employment opportunities to attract new citizens or the children of existing residents results in a stagnant or declining population. It is a matter of great urgency that the Court gives increased consideration to land use applications, which will increase economic diversity and employment opportunities. This increased consideration shall not be made to the detriment of existing residential structures. This consideration should focus on long-term job creation and should not be used as a means to allow residential and commercial uses to locate outside urban growth and rural service center (communities) boundaries.

Goal I, Policy X. Transportation Planning Policies

A. The Transportation System Plan and Land Use Review Policies
   1. All development proposals, plan amendments, or zone changes shall conform to the adopted Transportation System Plan.
   2. Operation, maintenance, repair, and preservation of existing transportation facilities shall be allowed without land use review, except where specifically regulated.

B. Local-State Coordination Policies
   2. The County shall provide notice to ODOT of land use applications and development permits for properties that have direct frontage or direct access onto a State highway. Information that should be conveyed to reviewers includes project location, proposed land use action, and location of project access points.

C. Protection of Transportation Facilities Policies
   1. The County shall protect the function of existing and planned roadways as identified in the Transportation System Plan.
   2. The County shall include a consideration of a proposal’s impact on existing or planned transportation facilities in all land use decisions.

SCCP Section XII, Goal II. To protect historical, cultural and archeological resources from encroachment by incompatible land uses and vandalism.

Goal II, Policy XI.

The following areas and structures shall be considered historically, archaeologically, or culturally significant: all archeological sites; the Sherman County Courthouse; portions of the Old Oregon Trail which are visible and pass over rangeland; and the old Union Pacific Railroad bed through DeMoss Park.

Goal II, Policy XII.
The County Court shall encourage the preservation of these archaeologically or culturally significant areas. Landowners will be encouraged to provide long-term protection to these areas.

**SCCP Section XIV. Economics**

**SCCP Section XIV, Goal I. Diversify the economic base of the County and maintain the viability of the agricultural sector.**

**SCCP Section XV. Energy**

**SCCP Section XV, Goal I. Conserve energy resources.**

**Goal I, Policy I.**

Cooperate with public agencies and private individuals in the use and development of renewable resources.

**SCCP Section XVI. Land Use**

**SCCP Section XVI, Goal I. To provide an orderly and efficient use of the lands within Sherman County.**

**Goal I, Policy IV.**

Commercial businesses, except those related to agricultural uses, should be located within the incorporated cities or within areas served by the Biggs or Kent special service districts.

**Ordinance No. 39-2007 – Setback Ordinance for Wind Power Generation Siting**

**Findings of Fact**

In the *Final Order on the Application*, the Council addressed the applicable substantive criteria submitted by the Sherman County governing body (the Special Advisory Group (SAG) appointed pursuant to ORS 469.504(5)), and determined that the facility satisfied all applicable criteria, except for the then-applicable setback provisions of SCZO 3.1.4 and the non-farm dwelling provisions of 5.8.16(d). At the time of that application, SCZO 3.1.4 required a setback from the property line of 50 feet for all project components. Golden Hills intends to locate aboveground power collection lines, transmission lines and junction boxes within 50 feet of the property line. With regard to SCZO 5.8.16(d), the Council found that the facility did not comply with the requirement that the facility be located on land “generally unsuitable” for farm use. Consequently, the Council directly applied Statewide Planning Goal 3, pursuant to ORS 469.504(1)(b)(B) and found that the proposed facility complied with that applicable Goal.

After the original site certificate was issued but before the first amendment, Sherman County adopted Ordinance No. 39-2007, which established setback requirements for wind facilities. During its review of the first request for a construction deadline extension, the Council evaluated the facility under that Ordinance and determined that, with the addition of Condition IV.D.22, the facility satisfied the County’s wind facility setback ordinance. ⁴⁸

---

⁴⁸ *Final Order Approving Amendment #1* at 16. Condition IV.D.22 ensures that the final locations of the turbines within the micrositing corridors comply with the requirements of the County’s ordinance.
The County’s applicable land use criteria have not changed since the Council approved the first amended site certificate in 2012. Consequently, the present request to extend the construction deadlines must be evaluated against the same criteria as in effect during the Council’s last review of the facility, including Ordinance No. 39-2007.

Because the Council previously concluded that the facility complied with the Land Use Standard, and the present request does not include changes to the existing site boundary or any physical components of the facility, the Council relies on its previous findings to determine that the facility, as amended, continues to comply with Sherman County’s applicable substantive criteria and directly applicable state statutes and regulations.49

One public comment expressed concern that the “current site plan violates Sherman County’s setback ordinance.”50 The comment does not include any explanation of how the facility is alleged to violate the ordinance. As explained above, when the Council evaluated the facility against the requirements of the setback ordinance in approving the 2012 amendment, the Council determined that, subject to compliance with one additional condition, the facility complies with the county’s setback ordinance. Because the current requested amendment does not seek to change the physical layout of the facility, the Council finds no basis to change the Council’s previous approval.

Conclusion

Based on reasons addressed above, and subject to compliance with the existing site certificate conditions, the Council finds that the facility, as amended, satisfies the Council’s Land Use Standard.

III.B.3.f. Protected Areas: OAR 345-022-0040

(1) Except as provided in sections (2) and (3), the Council shall not issue a site certificate for a proposed facility located in the areas listed below. To issue a site certificate for a proposed facility located outside the areas listed below, the Council must find that, taking into account mitigation, the design, construction and operation of the facility are not likely to result in significant adverse impact to the areas listed below. References in this rule to protected areas designated under federal or state statutes or regulations are to the designations in effect as of May 11, 2007:

49 ODFW recommended in its comments that the certificate holder pursue the use of pre-emergent herbicide to target annual grasses within the areas disturbed during construction. Condition IV.D.16 requires that the site certificate holder work with the Sherman County Weed Control Manager regarding taking the appropriate measures to control noxious weeds. The Council encourages the certificate holder to consider ODFW’s recommendation in developing its plan to comply with Condition IV.D.16.

50 GH1 AMD 2 Public Comment McArthur et al, July 29, 2014
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(a) National parks, including but not limited to Crater Lake National Park and Fort Clatsop National Memorial;

(b) National monuments, including but not limited to John Day Fossil Bed National Monument, Newberry National Volcanic Monument and Oregon Caves National Monument;

(c) Wilderness areas established pursuant to The Wilderness Act, 16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq. and areas recommended for designation as wilderness areas pursuant to 43 U.S.C. 1782;

(d) National and state wildlife refuges, including but not limited to Ankeny, Bandon Marsh, Baskett Slough, Bear Valley, Cape Meares, Cold Springs, Deer Flat, Hart Mountain, Julia Butler Hansen, Klamath Forest, Lewis and Clark, Lower Klamath, Malheur, McKay Creek, Oregon Islands, Sheldon, Three Arch Rocks, Umatilla, Upper Klamath, and William L. Finley;

(e) National coordination areas, including but not limited to Government Island, Ochoco and Summer Lake;

(f) National and state fish hatcheries, including but not limited to Eagle Creek and Warm Springs;

(g) National recreation and scenic areas, including but not limited to Oregon Dunes National Recreation Area, Hell's Canyon National Recreation Area, and the Oregon Cascades Recreation Area, and Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area;

(h) State parks and waysides as listed by the Oregon Department of Parks and Recreation and the Willamette River Greenway;

(i) State natural heritage areas listed in the Oregon Register of Natural Heritage Areas pursuant to ORS 273.581;

(j) State estuarine sanctuaries, including but not limited to South Slough Estuarine Sanctuary, OAR Chapter 142;

(k) Scenic waterways designated pursuant to ORS 390.826, wild or scenic rivers designated pursuant to 16 U.S.C. 1271 et seq., and those waterways and rivers listed as potentials for designation;

(l) Experimental areas established by the Rangeland Resources Program, College of Agriculture, Oregon State University: the Prineville site, the Burns (Squaw Butte) site, the Starkey site and the Union site;
(m) Agricultural experimental stations established by the College of Agriculture, Oregon State University, including but not limited to: Coastal Oregon Marine Experiment Station, Astoria Mid-Columbia Agriculture Research and Extension Center, Hood River Agriculture Research and Extension Center, Hermiston Columbia Basin Agriculture Research Center, Pendleton Columbia Basin Agriculture Research Center, Moro North Willamette Research and Extension Center, Aurora East Oregon Agriculture Research Center, Union Malheur Experiment Station, Ontario Eastern Oregon Agriculture Research Center, Burns Eastern Oregon Agriculture Research Center, Squaw Butte Central Oregon Experiment Station, Madras Central Oregon Experiment Station, Powell Butte Central Oregon Experiment Station, Redmond Central Station, Corvallis Coastal Oregon Marine Experiment Station, Newport Southern Oregon Experiment Station, Medford Klamath Experiment Station, Klamath Falls;

(n) Research forests established by the College of Forestry, Oregon State University, including but not limited to McDonald Forest, Paul M. Dunn Forest, the Blodgett Tract in Columbia County, the Spaulding Tract in the Mary's Peak area and the Marchel Tract;

(o) Bureau of Land Management areas of critical environmental concern, outstanding natural areas and research natural areas;

(p) State wildlife areas and management areas identified in OAR chapter 635, Division 8.

***

Findings of Fact

The Council addressed the Protected Area Standard in section IV.F of the Final Order on the Application and found that the proposed facility complied with the Protected Area Standard, without any required conditions. During its review of the first amendment to the Site Certificate to extend the construction deadlines, the Council determined that the request did not impact compliance with the Protected Area Standard and, therefore relied on the analysis in the Final Order on the Application.

The current amendment request to extend the construction deadlines does not seek to enlarge the existing site boundary or physical components of the facility. Nor does the amendment request changes to the previously approved range of turbine types or sizes, maximum number of turbines or maximum generation capacity. Therefore, the evidence indicates that the facility would not result in any significant adverse impact to the protected areas protected by this standard.
Conclusion

The Council finds that the facility, as amended, complies with the Protected Areas Standard.

III.B.3.g. Retirement and Financial Assurance: OAR 345-022-0050

To issue a site certificate, the Council must find that:

(1) The site, taking into account mitigation, can be restored adequately to a useful, non-hazardous condition following permanent cessation of construction or operation of the facility.

(2) The applicant has a reasonable likelihood of obtaining a bond or letter of credit in a form and amount satisfactory to the Council to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition.

Findings of Fact

The Retirement and Financial Assurance Standard is intended to protect the State of Oregon and its citizens if the certificate holder fails to perform its obligation to restore the site in the event the certificate holder ceases construction or operation of the facility.

The Council addressed the Retirement and Financial Assurance standard in section IV.C of the Final Order on Application. The Council concluded that, subject to conditions stated in the Final Order on Application, the certificate holder had the ability to adequately restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition following permanent cessation of construction or operation of the facility, and that the certificate holder had a reasonable likelihood of obtaining a bond or letter of credit in a form and amount satisfactory to the Council. The first amendment to the Site Certificate extended the construction deadlines and did not impact findings regarding the Organizational Expertise Standard. As a result the Final Order on Amendment #1 referred to the analysis in the Final Order on the Application.

As discussed above, the current amendment request also includes a request to transfer the ownership of the certificate holder’s parent company from BP to Orion. The analysis of the requested transfer in section III.A.2 of this proposed order includes a demonstration of Orion’s compliance with the Financial Assurances Standard. Accordingly, the Council determines that, through Orion Renewables, the certificate holder continues to have the ability to adequately restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition and continues to have a reasonable likelihood of obtaining a bond or letter of credit in a form and amount satisfactory to the Council. The Council further finds that the amount of the letter of credit, as discussed in section III.A.2 of this order, is adequate for purposes of compliance with OAR 345-027-0010(d).

51 Final Order on Application at 22  
52 Id. at 29  
53 Final Order on Amendment #1 at 12
Conclusion

Based on the findings discussed above and in section III.A.2, the Council finds that Golden Hills satisfies the Council’s Retirement and Financial Assurance standard.

III.B.3.h. Fish and Wildlife Habitat: OAR 345-022-0060

To issue a site certificate, the Council must find that the design, construction and operation of the facility, taking into account mitigation, are consistent with the fish and wildlife habitat mitigation goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025 in effect as of September 1, 2000.

Findings of Fact

The Fish and Wildlife Standard requires the Council to find that the design, construction, and operation of the facility are consistent with fish and wildlife habitat mitigation goals as set forth in OAR 635-415-0025.

The Council addressed the Fish and Wildlife Standard in section IV.M of the Final Order on the Application. The Council made findings regarding the characteristics of the habitat types within the site boundary and the State sensitive species observed within or near the lease boundaries during avian point-counts and other wildlife surveys. Based on those findings, the Council found that, subject to specified conditions, the design, construction and operation of the proposed facility, taking mitigation into consideration, would be consistent with ODFW’s habitat mitigation goals and standards.54

The first amendment to the Site Certificate extended the construction deadlines and did not impact compliance with the Fish and Wildlife Standard. As a result the Final Order on Amendment #1 relied on the analysis in the Final Order on the Application.55

In its comments on the current request to extend the construction beginning and completion deadlines, ODFW recommended that, because the raptor nest surveys are outdated, the site certificate holder complete two years of raptor nest surveys prior to beginning of construction.56 ODFW subsequently agreed that two years of raptor nest surveys, with at least one year completed prior to the beginning of construction, would provide assurance that

54 Final Order on the Application at 128
55 Final Order Approving Amendment #1 at 23
56 GH1 AMD 2 Reviewing Agency Comment ODFW, July 25, 2014. In that comment, ODFW also initially recommended that Golden Hills coordinate with nearby adjacent operational wind facilities regarding fatality monitoring. The intent of this coordination would be to create a better set of data to better understand the impacts of wind facilities on wildlife in the Columbia Plateau Ecoregion. After further consideration, ODFW determined that more scientific and policy research was needed before recommending this change. (Email from Art Martin, October 22, 2014.)
habitat was properly categorized to account for active raptor nests. To address the recommendation, the certificate holder produced a Raptor Nest Survey Protocol for the Golden Hills Wind Project, which has been approved by ODFW. That Protocol is attached as Attachment C.

To ensure continued compliance with the Fish and Wildlife Standard, the Council adopts the following condition in the amended site certificate:

(IV.M.11) The certificate holder shall conduct two (2) years of raptor nest surveys with at least one (1) year of the surveys occurring prior to the beginning of construction. The raptor nest surveys shall be conducted following the instructions set forth in the Raptor Nest Survey Protocol for Golden Hills Wind Project included as Attachment C to the Second Amended Site Certificate.

Subject to this new condition, the Council finds that the design, construction, and operation of the facility are consistent with fish and wildlife habitat mitigation goals as set forth in OAR 635-415-0025.

Conclusion

For the reasons discussed above, and subject to the existing Site Certificate conditions and Condition IV.M.11, the Council finds that the facility complies with the Council’s Fish and Wildlife Standard.

III.B.3.i. Threatened and Endangered Species: OAR 345-022-0070

To issue a site certificate, the Council, after consultation with appropriate state agencies, must find that:

(1) For plant species that the Oregon Department of Agriculture has listed as threatened or endangered under ORS 564.105(2), the design, construction and operation of the proposed facility, taking into account mitigation:

(a) Are consistent with the protection and conservation program, if any, that the Oregon Department of Agriculture has adopted under ORS 564.105(3); or

(b) If the Oregon Department of Agriculture has not adopted a protection and conservation program, are not likely to cause a significant reduction in the likelihood of survival or recovery of the species; and

(2) For wildlife species that the Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission has listed as threatened or endangered under ORS 496.172(2), the design, construction and operation
of the proposed facility, taking into account mitigation, are not likely to cause a significant reduction in the likelihood of survival or recovery of the species.

Findings of Fact

The Threatened and Endangered Species Standard requires the Council, in consultation with appropriate state agencies, to find that the construction and operation of a facility is consistent with applicable protection plans for threatened or endangered plant and animal species. The Council must also determine that the facility’s construction and operation are not likely to cause a significant reduction in a species’ survival or recovery.

The Council addressed the Threatened and Endangered Species Standard in section IV.L of the Application for Site Certificate and determined that, subject to specified conditions, the proposed facility complied with the Council’s Threatened and Endangered Species Standard. The first amendment to the Site Certificate to extend the construction deadlines and did not impact compliance with the Threatened and Endangered Species Standard and consequently, the Council relied on the analysis in the Final Order on the Application to conclude that the facility continued to meet that standard.

For this second request to extend the construction start and completions deadlines, at the department’s request the certificate holder conducted an updated desktop survey of threatened and endangered species in the area. This study showed that there have been no changes to the facility’s compliance with the Threatened and Endangered Species standard; and that, as a result of the downgrading of the status of Bald Eagles and Peregrine Falcons, the impacts to threatened and endangered species are likely to be smaller than at the time the Site Certificate was initially issued. However, because of the limitations of desktop surveys, additional field surveys would be necessary to accurately determine whether the proposed facility will have an impact on any threatened and endangered species in the survey area. To ensure the facility’s continued compliance with the Threatened and Endangered Species Standard the Council adopts the following condition in the amended site certificate:

(IV.L.3) Prior to the beginning of construction of the facility the certificate holder shall perform new field surveys for threatened and endangered species following the survey protocol set forth in the Application for Site Certificate. The certificate holder shall report the results of the field surveys to the Department, ODFW, and the Oregon Department of Agriculture. If the surveys identify the presence of threatened or endangered species within the site boundary, the certificate holder shall implement appropriate measures to avoid a significant reduction in the likelihood of survival or recovery of the

57 Final Order on the Application at 112
58 Final Order Approving Amendment #1 at 23
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species, as approved by the Department, ODFW, and the Oregon Department of Agriculture.

Subject to this additional condition, the Council finds that the construction and operation of a facility is consistent with applicable protection plans for threatened or endangered plant and animal species; and that construction and operation are not likely to cause a significant reduction in a species’ survival or recovery.

Conclusion

For the reasons discussed above, and subject to the existing Site Certificate conditions and Condition IV.L.3, the Council finds that the facility complies with the Council’s Threatened and Endangered Species Standard.

III.B.3.j. Scenic Resources: OAR 345-022-0080

(1) Except for facilities described in section (2), to issue a site certificate, the Council must find that the design, construction and operation of the facility, taking into account mitigation, are not likely to result in significant adverse impact to scenic resources and values identified as significant or important in local land use plans, tribal land management plans and federal land management plans for any lands located within the analysis area described in the project order.

Findings of Fact

The Scenic Resources Standard requires the Council to find that the design, construction, and operation of the facility are not likely to result in adverse impacts to scenic resources.

The Council addressed the Scenic Resource Standard in section IV.G of the Final Order on the Application. The Council found that subject to specified conditions to ensure adequate mitigation, the design, construction and operation of the facility, taking into account mitigation, were not likely to result in significant adverse impacts to scenic resources and values identified as significant or important in local land use plans, tribal land management plans and federal land management plans for any lands located within the analysis area.60

The first amendment to the Site Certificate to extend the construction deadlines did not impact compliance with the Scenic Resource Standard. As a result the Final Order on Amendment #1 relied on the analysis and findings in the Final Order on the Application to conclude that the facility continued to meet that standard.61

---

60 Final Order on Application at 88
61 Final Order Approving Amendment #1 at 19
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The current request to extend the construction deadlines would not alter the visual impacts on identified scenic resources or values or otherwise impact compliance with the Council’s Scenic Resources standard. The Council finds that as amended, the design, construction, and operation of the facility are not likely to result in adverse impacts to scenic resources.

**Conclusion**

For the reasons discussed above, and subject to compliance with the conditions in the Site Certificate, the Council finds that the facility, as amended, complies with the Council’s Scenic Resources standard.

**III.B.3.k. Historic, Cultural and Archaeological Resources: OAR 345-022-0090**

(1) Except for facilities described in sections (2) and (3), to issue a site certificate, the Council must find that the construction and operation of the facility, taking into account mitigation, are not likely to result in significant adverse impacts to:

(a) Historic, cultural or archaeological resources that have been listed on, or would likely be listed on the National Register of Historic Places;

(b) For a facility on private land, archaeological objects, as defined in ORS 358.905(1)(a), or archaeological sites, as defined in ORS 358.905(1)(c); and

(c) For a facility on public land, archaeological sites, as defined in ORS 358.905(1)(c).

(2) The Council may issue a site certificate for a facility that would produce power from wind, solar or geothermal energy without making the findings described in section (1). However, the Council may apply the requirements of section (1) to impose conditions on a site certificate issued for such a facility.

***

**Findings of Fact**

Section (1) of the Historic, Cultural and Archaeological Resources standard generally requires the Council to find that the proposed facility is not likely to result in significant adverse impacts to historic, cultural or archaeological resources. Under Section (2), the Council may issue a Site Certificate for a wind power facility without making findings of compliance with this section. However, the Council may impose Site Certificate conditions based on the requirements of this standard.

The Council addressed the Historic, Cultural and Archaeological Standard in section V.B of the Final Order on the Application. To ensure protection, the Council adopted Conditions V.B.1
through V.B.10 requiring mitigation measures. The first amendment to the Site Certificate to extend the construction deadlines and did not impact the Historic, Cultural and Archaeological Standard. As a result the Final Order on Amendment #1 relied on the analysis in the Final Order on the Application.

The current request to extend the construction deadlines would not change the facts on which the Council relied in its previous findings regarding the Council’s Historic, Cultural and Archaeological Resources standard. The Council finds that the existing conditions ensure adequate protection of historic, cultural and archeological resources.

**Conclusion**

The Council finds that the conditions currently imposed in the Site Certificate to address the Historic, Cultural and Archaeological Resources Standard are adequate to ensure issues related to that standard are fully addressed.

**III.B.3.l. Recreation: OAR 345-022-0100**

(1) Except for facilities described in section (2), to issue a site certificate, the Council must find that the design, construction and operation of a facility, taking into account mitigation, are not likely to result in a significant adverse impact to important recreational opportunities in the analysis area as described in the project order. The Council shall consider the following factors in judging the importance of a recreational opportunity:

(a) Any special designation or management of the location;
(b) The degree of demand;
(c) Outstanding or unusual qualities;
(d) Availability or rareness;
(e) Irreplaceability or irretrievability of the opportunity.

**Findings of Fact**

The Recreation Standard requires the Council to find that the design, construction, and operation of the facility are not likely to result in adverse impacts to important recreational opportunities.

The Council addressed the Recreation Standard in section IV.H of the Final Order on the Application. The Council found that the design, construction and operation of the facility were

---
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not likely to result in a significant adverse impact to any important recreational opportunities in
the analysis area.\textsuperscript{64} The Council did not impose any conditions related to this standard.

The first amendment to the Site Certificate to extend the construction deadlines and did not
impact compliance with the Recreation Standard. As a result the \textit{Final Order on Amendment #1}
relied on the analysis in the \textit{Final Order on the Application} to determine that the facility
continued to comply with the Recreation Standard.\textsuperscript{65}

Since the \textit{Final Order on Amendment #1}, a new state recreation area was opened within the
analysis area. However, Cottonwood Canyon State Park, which opened in September, 2013 and
is located 6.65 miles from the facility, is likely to be unaffected by the facility. The facility would
be inaudible in the park and would only be visible from isolated canyon rims.\textsuperscript{66} Therefore, the
facility would not result in a significant adverse impact to Cottonwood Canyon State Park, or
any other important recreational opportunities.

\textbf{Conclusion}

For the reasons discussed above, the Council finds that the facility, as amended, complies with
the Council’s Recreation Standard.

\textbf{III.B.3.m. Public Services: OAR 345-022-0110}

\textit{(1) Except for facilities described in sections (2) and (3), to issue a site certificate, the
Council must find that the construction and operation of the facility, taking into account
mitigation, are not likely to result in significant adverse impact to the ability of public
and private providers within the analysis area described in the project order to provide:
sewers and sewage treatment, water, storm water drainage, solid waste management,
housing, traffic safety, police and fire protection, health care and schools.}

\textit{(2) The Council may issue a site certificate for a facility that would produce power from
wind, solar or geothermal energy without making the findings described in section (1).
However, the Council may apply the requirements of section (1) to impose conditions on
a site certificate issued for such a facility.}

\textit{*** ***}

\textbf{Findings of Fact}

The Council’s Public Services standard requires the Council to evaluate a proposed facility’s
impacts on the ability of public and private service providers to supply sewer and sewage

\textsuperscript{64} \textit{Final Order on Application} at 94
\textsuperscript{65} \textit{Final Order Approving Amendment #1} at 20
\textsuperscript{66} Golden Hills RAI Response 2014-09-12
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treatment, water, stormwater drainage, solid waste management, housing, traffic safety, police
and fire protection, health care, and schools.

Under OAR 345-022-0110(2), the Council may issue a site certificate for a facility that would
produce power from wind without making findings with respect to the Public Services Standard.
However, the Council may impose site certificate conditions based upon the requirements of
the standard.

The Council addressed the Public Services Standard in section V.C of the Final Order on the
Application, and imposed numerous conditions to address the requirements of this standard.67
The first amendment to extend the construction deadlines and did not impact the Council’s
analysis or conditions regarding the Public Services Standard. As a result the Final Order on
Amendment #1 relied on the analysis in the Final Order on the Application in evaluating this
standard.68

The current request to extend the construction deadlines would not change the site boundary
or physical components of the facility. However, since the affected service providers’ ability to
provide their services could have changed, the certificate holder contacted each of the public
service providers listed in Exhibit U and received confirmation that their continuing level of
ability to provide the services listed. However, North Sherman County Rural Fire Protection
District, Moro Rural Fire Protection District and Sherman County Emergency Services expressed
renewed and continuing concern over the lack of volunteer fire fighters, especially during the
daytime hours, to accommodate the facility’s potential service needs. To address this concern
initially, Condition V.C.3 of the Site Certificate required the certificate holder to develop and
coordinate response protocols with the impacted fire districts. However, given the continuing
inadequacy of resources and present concerns expressed by the impacted service providers,
the Council revises V.C.3 as follows:

(V.C.3) During construction and operation of the facility, the certificate holder shall
develop and coordinate response protocols with the North Sherman Fire
Protection District, the Moro Rural Fire Protection District, and other wind energy
facility operators in the vicinity of Golden Hills.
Before beginning construction the certificate holder shall develop and implement
a fire safety and response plan for both construction and operation phases in
consultation with the Oregon State Fire Marshal, the Sherman County Emergency
Services, North Sherman Fire and Rescue, Moro Rural Fire Protection District and
other first-response agencies the facility will rely upon for fire protection services.
A copy of the plan must be provided to the Department at least 30 days before
beginning construction. The plan must be updated at least annually by the
agencies identified in (a) below and a copy provided to the agencies identified in

67 Final Order on Application at 94
68 Final Order Approving Amendment #1 at 26
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(a), (b), and (c) and to the Department within 30 days of the update. The fire safety and response plan shall address, at a minimum, the following:

a. Identification of agencies that participated in developing the plan;

b. Identification of agencies that are designated as first response agencies or are included in any mutual aid agreements with the facility;

c. A list of any other mutual aid agreements or fire protection associations in the vicinity of the facility;

d. Complete contact information for each agency listed in (a), (b), and (c) above, including at least two facility contacts available on a 24-hour basis;

e. Communication protocols for both routine and emergency events and the incident command system to be used in the event a fire response by multiple agencies is needed at the facility;

f. Access and fire response at the facility site during construction and operations. Fire response plans during construction shall address regular and frequent communication amongst the agencies regarding the number and location of construction sites within the site boundary, access roads that are completed and those still under construction, location of water receptacles, and a temporary signage system until permanent addresses and signs are in place;

g. The minimum designated time period of the fire season (i.e., May 1 through October 15) and the criteria to modify the designated fire season to respond to changing conditions;

h. The number, size, and location of onsite water receptacles to be staged around the facility site for firefighting purposes during the fire season; and

i. Training needs (both for facility personnel and for first responders).

j. Copies of mutual aid, fire protection association, or other agreements entered into concerning fire protection at the facility site.

The Council adopts this revised condition in order to ensure adequate public services can be provided to serve the proposed facility.

Conclusion

The Council finds subject to the revisions in Condition V.C.3, the conditions currently imposed in the Site Certificate to address the Public Services Standard are adequate to ensure issues related to that standard are fully addressed.

III.B.3.n. Waste Minimization: OAR 345-022-0120

(1) Except for facilities described in sections (2) and (3), to issue a site certificate, the Council must find that, to the extent reasonably practicable:

(a) The applicant’s solid waste and wastewater plans are likely to minimize generation of solid waste and wastewater in the construction and operation of the
facility, and when solid waste or wastewater is generated, to result in recycling and reuse of such wastes;

(b) The applicant’s plans to manage the accumulation, storage, disposal and transportation of waste generated by the construction and operation of the facility are likely to result in minimal adverse impact on surrounding and adjacent areas.

Findings of Fact

The Waste Minimization Standard requires the Council to find that the certificate holder will minimize generation of solid waste and wastewater, and manage waste generated to result in minimal adverse impacts on the surrounding and adjacent areas.

The Council addressed the Waste Minimization Standard in section V.D of the Final Order on the Application and found that the facility, with conditions, complied with the Waste Minimization standard.69 The first amendment to the Site Certificate to extend the construction deadlines did not impact compliance with the Waste Minimization Standard. As a result the Final Order on Amendment #1 relied on the analysis in the Final Order on the Application.70

The current request to extend the construction deadlines would likewise not impact the facility’s ability to comply with the Waste Minimization Standard or otherwise impact the Council’s previous findings of compliance with this standard. Accordingly, the Council finds that the certificate holder will minimize and manage solid waste and wastewater resulting in minimal adverse impacts.

Conclusion

For the reasons discussed above, and subject to compliance with the conditions in the Site Certificate, the Council finds that the facility, as amended, complies with the Council’s Waste Minimization Standard.

III.B.3.o. Division 23 Standards

The Division 23 standards apply only to “nongenerating facilities” as defined in ORS 469.503(2)(e)(K), except non-generating facilities that are related or supporting facilities. The facility is not a nongenerating facility as defined in statute and therefore Division 23 is inapplicable to the requested amendment.

III.B.3.p. Division 24 Standards

69 Final Order on Application at 144
70 Final Order on Amendment #1 at 26
The Council’s Division 24 standards include specific standards for siting facilities including wind, underground gas storage reservoirs, transmission lines and facilities that emit carbon dioxide.

Public Health and Safety Standards for Wind Energy Facilities: OAR 345-024-0010

To issue a site certificate for a proposed wind energy facility, the Council must find that the applicant:

(1) Can design, construct and operate the facility to exclude members of the public from close proximity to the turbine blades and electrical equipment.

(2) Can design, construct and operate the facility to preclude structural failure of the tower or blades that could endanger the public safety and to have adequate safety devices and testing procedures designed to warn of impending failure and to minimize the consequences of such failure.

Findings of Fact

OAR 345-024-0010 requires the Council to consider specific public health and safety standards related to wind energy facilities. In particular, the Council must evaluate the applicant’s proposed measures to exclude members of the public from close proximity to the turbine blades and electrical equipment, and the applicant’s ability to design, construct and operate the facility to prevent structural failure of the tower or blades and to provide sufficient safety devices to warn of failure.

The Council addressed the Public Health and Safety Standard for Wind Facilities in section IV.I of the Final Order on the Application and found that the certificate holder could design, construct and operate the facility to exclude members of the public from close proximity to the turbine blades and electrical equipment. The Council further found that the certificate holder could design, construct and operate the facility to preclude structural failure of the tower or blades that could endanger public safety, and to have adequate safety devices and testing procedures designed to warn of impending failure and to minimize the consequences of such failure. Accordingly, the Council found that the facility, with conditions, complied with this standard. One of those conditions, Condition IV.I.7, required that before beginning construction, the certificate holder shall submit to the FAA and the Oregon Department of Aviation a Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration identifying the proposed final locations of the turbines and related or supporting facilities and shall provide a copy of this notice to the department.

The first amendment to the Site Certificate extended the construction deadlines and did not impact compliance with the Public Health and Safety for Wind Energy Facilities Standard. As a

---

71 Id.
72 Id.
73 Final Order on Application at 98
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result the Final Order on Amendment #1 relied on the analysis in the Final Order on the Application.74

The current request to extend the construction deadlines would likewise not have any impact on compliance with the Public Health and Safety for Wind Facilities Standard. However, in its comments on the request, the Department of Aviation specifically requested that no revisions be made to Condition IV.I.7. The Council agrees and makes no changes to that condition.

Conclusion

Based on the reasoning above, and subject to compliance with the Site Certificate conditions, the Council finds that the facility, as amended, continues to comply with the Council’s Public Health and Safety Standards for Wind Energy Facilities.

Siting Standards for Wind Energy Facilities: OAR 345-024-0015

To issue a site certificate for a proposed wind energy facility, the Council must find that the applicant can design and construct the facility to reduce cumulative adverse environmental effects in the vicinity by practicable measures including, but not limited to, the following:

1. Using existing roads to provide access to the facility site, or if new roads are needed, minimizing the amount of land used for new roads and locating them to reduce adverse environmental impacts.

2. Using underground transmission lines and combining transmission routes.

3. Connecting the facility to existing substations, or if new substations are needed, minimizing the number of new substations.

4. Designing the facility to reduce the risk of injury to raptors or other vulnerable wildlife in areas near turbines or electrical equipment.

5. Designing the components of the facility to minimize adverse visual features.

6. Using the minimum lighting necessary for safety and security purposes and using techniques to prevent casting glare from the site, except as otherwise required by the Federal Aviation Administration or the Oregon Department of Aviation

Findings of Fact

74 Final Order Approving Amendment #1 at 21
The Wind Energy facility Cumulative Effects Standard requires the certificate holder to use practicable measures in designing and constructing the facility to reduce the cumulative adverse environmental effects in the vicinity. The standard does not require the Council to find that the facility would have no cumulative environmental impacts; however, the Council must find that the applicant is able to use “practicable measures” in the design and construction of the facility to reduce the cumulative effects.

The Council addressed the Cumulative Effects Standard for Wind Facilities in section IV.J of the Final Order on the Application and found that the proposed design and construction of the facility would reduce visual impact, restrict public access and reduce cumulative adverse environmental impacts in accordance with this standard. Specifically, in approving the original application, the Council considered and made findings regarding cumulative impacts of the subject facility related to (1) roads; (2) transmission lines and substations; (3) wildlife protection; (4) visual features; (5) lighting; and (6) cumulative impacts of wind projects in the Columbia Basin on bird and bat populations. As approved, the certificate holder is required to use existing county and farm roads and to construct the minimum length and width of the private roads that will be used to access the turbines. The transmission lines and the two substations are required to take advantage of existing transmission lines where possible and the power collection system is required to be installed underground. The facility is required to be designed to adhere to the 1996 Avian Powerline Interaction Committee’s suggested practices for raptor protection on powerlines and to minimize disturbances to non-agricultural habitats and provide mitigation according to ODFW’s habitat mitigation guidelines. The wind turbine towers must be coated with a neutral color matte finishes to blend in surrounding landscape. The turbines are required to have only the minimum lighting required by the FAA and the substation and O&M facilities is required to have lighting that is shielded or directed downward. The Council considered the fatality rates for various avian species at nearby wind facilities in the Columbia Plateau Ecoregion and data from scientific literature regarding avian fatalities, and determined that the projected fatality rates were acceptable to the region’s avian breeding populations.

The first amendment to the Site Certificate extended the construction deadlines and did not impact compliance with the Cumulative Effects Standard for Wind Facilities. As a result the Final Order on Amendment #1 relied on the analysis in the Final Order on the Application.

The requested amendment to extend the construction deadlines would not impact the cumulative effects of the components authorized for construction within the previously

---

75 Id.
76 Id. at 98
77 Id. at 99
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79 Id.
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81 Final Order Approving Amendment #1 at 22
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approved site boundary or otherwise change the facts upon which the Council relied in making findings regarding the cumulative effects from this wind facility. However, the department received two public comments that expressed concern that the cumulative impacts have not been adequately considered. Specifically, the commenters assert that the cumulative impacts of all the wind projects in Sherman County had not been adequately addressed, given the current density of wind facilities in the county.82

As recited above, the Cumulative Impacts for Wind Facilities requires the Council to consider the environmental impacts of the subject facility, to ensure that it can be designed, constructed and operated in a manner that reduces cumulative environmental impacts. It does not require an evaluation of the density of wind facilities throughout the county.

The requested extension will not impact the certificate holder’s obligations and conditions under the site certificate to comply with these design and operational features that will mitigate and minimize cumulative environmental impacts.

**Conclusion**

The Council finds that, subject to the existing site certificate conditions, the facility, as amended, complies with the Council’s siting Standards for Wind Energy Facilities.

**Siting Standards for Transmission Lines: OAR 345-0240-0090**

To issue a site certificate for a facility that includes any transmission line under Council jurisdiction, the Council must find that the applicant:

(1) Can design, construct and operate the proposed transmission line so that alternating current electric fields do not exceed 9 kV per meter at one meter above the ground surface in areas accessible to the public;

(2) Can design, construct and operate the proposed transmission line so that induced currents resulting from the transmission line and related or supporting facilities will be as low as reasonably achievable

**Findings of Fact**

These standards address safety hazards associated with electric fields around transmission lines. Section (1) of OAR 345-0240-0090 sets a limit for electric fields from transmission lines of not more than 9 kV per meter at one meter above the ground surface in areas that are accessible to the public. Section (2) requires measures to reduce the risk of induced current.

82 Public Comment from McArthur, Macnab, Lohrey, and Van Gilder, July 29, 2014; public comment from McArthur, July 30, 2014
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The Council addressed the Siting Standards for Transmission Lines in section IV.K of the Final Order on the Application. In the Final Order on the Application, the Council found that the certificate holder could construct and operate the proposed transmission lines so that alternating current electric fields do not exceed 9 kV per meter at one meter above the ground surface in areas accessible to the public. The Council further found that the certificate holder could design, construct and operate the proposed transmission lines so that induced currents resulting from the transmission lines will be as low as reasonably achievable. Therefore, the Council concluded that the facility complied with the Siting Standards for Transmission Lines.

The first amendment to the Site Certificate to extend the construction deadlines did not impact the safety hazards associated with electric fields around transmission lines. As a result the Final Order on Amendment #1 relied on the analysis in the Final Order on the Application.

This current request to extend the construction deadlines would not make any physical changes to the approved transmission lines and therefore would likewise not impact the facility’s ability to comply with the Siting Standards for Transmission Lines or otherwise impact the Council’s previous findings of compliance with this standard.

Conclusion

For the reasons discussed above, and subject to compliance with the conditions in the Site Certificate, the Council finds that the facility, as amended, complies with the Council’s Siting Standards for Transmission Lines.

III.B.4. Other Applicable Regulatory Requirements Under Council Jurisdiction

Under ORS 469.503(3) and under the Council’s General Standard of Review (OAR 345-022-0000), the Council must determine whether the proposed facility complies with “all other Oregon statutes and administrative rules..., as applicable to the issuance of a site certificate for the proposed facility.” In evaluating this amendment, the Council must determine whether the proposed amendment affects any finding made by the Council in earlier orders. This section

83 Id.
84 Id.
85 Final Order on Application at 106
86 Final Order Approving Amendment #1 at 22
87 In a comment on the amendment request, the Oregon Public Utility Commission (PUC) Safety and Reliability Section has requested that the Council ensure that certificate holders coordinate with PUC staff on the design and specifications of electrical transmission lines and natural gas pipelines. The PUC explained that others in the past have made inadvertent, but costly, mistakes in the design and specifications of power lines and pipelines that could have easily been corrected early if the developer had consulted with the PUC staff responsible for the safety codes and standards. Condition VI.A.4.2 requires that the certificate holder comply with all PUC rules, and coordinate the design of electric transmission lines with the PUC
88 OAR 345-027-0070(10)(c)

GOLDEN HILLS WIND PROJECT
FINAL ORDER ON AMENDMENT #2 – January 30, 2015
addresses the applicable Oregon statutes and administrative rules that are not otherwise
addressed, including noise control regulations, regulations for removal or fill of material
affecting waters of the state, and regulations for appropriating ground water.


(1) Standards and Regulations:

(b) New Noise Sources:

(A) New Sources Located on Previously Used Sites. No person owning or controlling a
new industrial or commercial noise source located on a previously used industrial or
commercial site shall cause or permit the operation of that noise source if the
statistical noise levels generated by that new source and measured at an appropriate
measurement point, specified in subsection (3)(b) of this rule, exceed the levels
specified in Table 8, except as otherwise provided in these rules. For noise levels
generated by a wind energy facility including wind turbines of any size and any
associated equipment or machinery, subparagraph (1)(b)(B)(iii) applies.

Findings of Fact

The noise control regulations in OAR 340-035-0035 apply to noise associated with operation of
the facility. The Council addressed the Noise Control Regulations in section VI.A.1 of the Final
Order on the Application. In the original application, to represent the range of turbines that
could be used at the proposed facility, the applicant provided total and octave band sound
power level data for the worst case (loudest) scenario. To ensure that the facility as-built would
comply with the noise regulations, the Council adopted four conditions that required the
certificate holder to provide information to the department about the turbines selected and the
final design layout before beginning construction. Condition VI.A.I.2 specifically requires that
the certificate holder submit a new noise analysis to the department prior to construction that
demonstrates that the facility will be in compliance with all relevant noise related
requirements. The Council found that the facility, with conditions, complied with the Noise
Control Regulations.89

The first amendment to the Site Certificate to extend the construction deadlines did not impact
compliance with the Noise Control Regulations. As a result the Final Order on Amendment #1
relied on the analysis in the Final Order on the Application.90

The requested extension to the construction deadlines does not propose any changes that
would impact the noise levels or otherwise impact the evaluation of compliance with the Noise

89 Final Order on Application at 157
90 Final Order Approving Amendment #1 at 27
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Standard. However, a public comment expressed concern that that the noise modeling
indicated that the allowable noise level would be exceeded at certain properties.\textsuperscript{91} However, as
discussed above and required under Condition VI.A.1.2, prior to construction, the certificate
holder must submit a new noise analysis to the department to demonstrate and confirm that
the facility will be in compliance with all relevant noise related requirements. Accordingly, the
Council finds that, as proposed, and subject to the existing site certificate conditions, will not
exceed the allowable noise levels under the Noise Control Regulations.

Conclusion

For the reasons discussed above, and subject to the existing site certificate conditions, the
Council finds that the facility, as amended, complies with the applicable noise control
regulations in OAR 340-035-0035.

III.B.4.b. Removal-Fill

The Oregon Removal-Fill Law (ORS 196.800 through .990) and DSL regulations (OAR 141-085-
0005 through 141-085-0090) require a Removal/Fill Permit if 50 cubic yards or more of material
is removed, filled or altered within any “waters of the state” at the proposed site.\textsuperscript{92} The U.S.
Army Corps of Engineers administers Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, which regulates the
discharge of fill into waters of the United States, and determines whether a Nationwide or
Individual Section 404 fill permit is required.

Findings of Fact

The Council addressed the Removal Fill Law in section VI.A.2 of the Final Order on the
Application. The Council found that the facility would not require a Removal Fill Permit.\textsuperscript{93} The
first amendment to the Site Certificate to extend the construction deadlines did not impact the
Removal Fill Law. As a result the Final Order on Amendment #1 relied on the analysis in the
Final Order on the Application.\textsuperscript{94}

The requested construction extension likewise would not affect any areas that were not
previously addressed by the delineation report on the wetlands and waters within the analysis
area. Therefore, the Council finds that the removal fill laws are not impacted by the requested
amendment.

Conclusion

\textsuperscript{91} Public comment from McArthur, Macnab, Lohrey and Van Gilder July 29, 2014
\textsuperscript{92} OAR 141-085-0010(225) defines “Waters of this State.” The term includes wetlands and certain other water
bodies
\textsuperscript{93} Final Order on Application at 158
\textsuperscript{94} Final Order Approving Amendment #1 at 28
The Council finds that the proposed facility, as amended, will not Section 404 Removal Fill Permit.

III.B.4.c. Water Rights

Under ORS Chapters 537 and 540 and OAR Chapter 690, OWRD administers water rights for appropriation and use of the water resources of the state. Under OAR 345-022-0000(1), the Council must determine whether the proposed facility would comply with these statutes and administrative rules.

Findings of Fact

The Council addressed the Ground Water Act in section VI.A.3 of the Final Order on the Application. The Council found that the facility would comply with the Ground Water Act of 1955 and the rules of the Water Resource Department.95

The first amendment to the Site Certificate to extend the construction deadlines did not impact compliance with the requirements of the Ground Water Act of 1955 and Water Resources Department rules. As a result the Final Order on Amendment #1 relied on the analysis in the Final Order on the Application.96

The requested extension of the construction deadlines would likewise not impact the facility’s water use or otherwise impact compliance with the Ground Water Act of 1955 or any Water Resources Department rules.

Conclusion

For the reasons discussed above, the Council finds that the facility, as amended, complies with the applicable water rights statutes and regulations.

IV. GENERAL APPLICATION OF CONDITIONS

The conditions referenced in this final order include conditions that are specifically required by OAR 345-027-0020 (Mandatory Conditions in Site Certificates), OAR 345-027-0023 (Site Specific Conditions), OAR 345-027-0028 (Monitoring Conditions) or OAR Chapter 345, Division 26 (Construction and Operation Rules for Facilities). The conditions referenced in this final order include conditions based on representations in the request for amendment and the supporting record. The Council deems these representations to be binding commitments made by the certificate holder. This final order also includes conditions that the Council finds necessary to

---

95 Final Order on Application at 158
96 Final Order Approving Amendment #1 at 28
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ensure compliance with the siting standards of OAR Chapter 345, Divisions 22 and 24, or to
protect public health and safety.

In addition to all other conditions referenced or included in this final order, the certificate
holder is subject to all conditions and requirements contained in the rules of the Council and in
local ordinances and state law in effect on the date the amended site certificate is executed.
Under ORS 469.401(2), upon a clear showing of a significant threat to the public health, safety
or the environment that requires application of later-adopted laws or rules, the Council may
require compliance with such later-adopted laws or rules.

The Council recognizes that many specific tasks related to the design, construction, operation
and retirement of the facility will be undertaken by the certificate holder’s agents or contractors.
Nevertheless, the certificate holder is responsible for ensuring that all agents and contractors
comply with all provisions of the Site Certificate.

V. GENERAL CONCLUSION AND FINAL ORDER

The proposed amendment would extend the construction and completion deadlines. In
addition, the final order includes an amended site certificate that authorizes Golden Hills to
construct and operate the facility with Orion and Orion Renewables as its parent companies,
subject to terms and conditions set forth herein.

Based on the findings and conclusions included in this order, the Council makes the following
findings:

(1) The Proposed Amendment #2 to the Golden Hills Site Certificate complies with the
requirements of the Oregon Energy Facility Siting statutes, ORS 469.300 to ORS 469.570 and
ORS 469.590 to ORS 469.619.

(2) The Proposed Amendment #2 to the Golden Hills Site Certificate complies with the
applicable standards adopted by the Council pursuant to ORS 469.501.

(3) The Proposed Amendment #2 to the Golden Hills Wind Project Site Certificate complies
with all other Oregon statutes and administrative rules that were included in and governed
by the original site certificate and are applicable to the amendment of the site certificate for
the Golden Hills Wind Project.

(4) Orion, as Golden Hills’ new parent company, complies with the standards described in
OAR 345-022-0010 and OAR 345-022-0050 and will be lawfully entitled to possession or
control of Orion Golden Hills as described in the Site Certificate as amended by this order.

Accordingly, the Council finds that the proposed amendment complies with the General
Standard of Review (OAR 345-022-0000). The Council finds, based on a preponderance of the
evidence on the record, that the Site Certificate may be amended and transferred as requested by the certificate holder and transferee.

**Final Order**

The Council approves Amendment #2 and issues an amended site certificate for the Golden Hills Wind Project, subject to the terms and conditions set forth above. In addition, the Council approves Orion as the new parent company of Golden Hills subject to the terms and conditions set forth above.

Issued this 30th day of January, 2015

The Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council

By: ________________________________
Barry Beyeler, Chair
Energy Facility Siting Council

**Attachments:**
Attachment A: Redline of Changes to ODOE-Golden Hills Wind Project Site Certificate
Attachment B: Amended Site Certificate
Attachment C: Raptor Nest Survey Protocol

**Notice of the Right to Appeal**
The right to appeal this order approving an amendment to a site certificate is provided in ORS 469.403. Pursuant to ORS 469.403, any party to a contested case proceeding on an amended site certificate application may appeal the Council’s approval or rejection of the amended site certificate application to the Oregon Supreme Court. To appeal you must file a petition for judicial review with the Supreme Court within 60 days from the day this order was served on you. If this order was personally delivered to you, the date of service is the date you received this order. If this order was mailed to you, the date of service is the date it was mailed, not the date you received it. If you do not file a petition for judicial review within the 60-day time period, you lose your right to appeal.
evidence on the record, that the Site Certificate may be amended and transferred as requested by the certificate holder and transferee.

Final Order

The Council approves Amendment #2 and issues an amended site certificate for the Golden Hills Wind Project, subject to the terms and conditions set forth above. In addition, the Council approves Orion as the new parent company of Golden Hills subject to the terms and conditions set forth above.

Issued this 30th day of January, 2015

The Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council

By: [Signature]

Barry Beyeler, Chair
Energy Facility Siting Council

Attachments:
Attachment A: Redline of Changes to ODOE-Golden Hills Wind Project Site Certificate
Attachment B: Amended Site Certificate
Attachment C: Raptor Nest Survey Protocol

Notice of the Right to Appeal
The right to appeal this order approving an amendment to a site certificate is provided in ORS 469.403. Pursuant to ORS 469.403, any party to a contested case proceeding on an amended site certificate application may appeal the Council's approval or rejection of the amended site certificate application to the Oregon Supreme Court. To appeal you must file a petition for judicial review with the Supreme Court within 60 days from the day this order was served on you. If this order was personally delivered to you, the date of service is the date you received this order. If this order was mailed to you, the date of service is the date it was mailed, not the date you received it. If you do not file a petition for judicial review within the 60-day time period, you lose your right to appeal.
D. CONSTRUCTION DEADLINES

The certificate holder shall satisfy the following administrative conditions:

(III.D.1) The certificate holder shall begin construction of the facility within by June 18, 2016 2014 within three years after the effective date of the site certificate. Under OAR 345-015-0085(8)(9), an amended site certificate is effective upon execution by the Council Chair and the applicant. The Council may grant an extension of the deadline to begin construction in accordance with OAR 345-027-0030 or any successor rule in effect at the time the request for extension is submitted. [Amendment 1] [Amendment 2]

(III.D.2) The certificate holder shall complete construction of the facility by June 18, 2017 2019.

Construction is complete when (1) the facility is substantially complete as defined by the certificate holder’s construction contract documents; (2) acceptance testing has been satisfactorily completed; and (3) the energy facility is ready to begin continuous operation consistent with the site certificate. The certificate holder shall promptly notify the Department of the date of completion of construction. The Council may grant an extension of the deadline for completing construction in accordance with OAR 345-027-0030 or any successor rule in effect at the time the request for extension is submitted. [Amendment 1] [Amendment 2]

IV.D LAND USE

(IV.D.22) Prior to construction, Certificate Holder shall demonstrate that the final location of turbines within the micrositing corridors approved by the Council will satisfy setback requirements prescribed by Section 4 of the Sherman County Wind Setback Ordinance (Ordinance No. 39-2007) unless the Council or Oregon Department of Energy has approved a variance to such setback for the turbine or the Certificate Holder has negotiated a setback agreement with the affected adjacent property owner or wind project developer. [Amendment #1]
SECOND AMENDED SITE CERTIFICATE

FOR THE

GOLDEN HILLS WIND PROJECT
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OREGON ENERGY FACILITY SITING COUNCIL
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SECOND AMENDED SITE CERTIFICATE
FOR THE
GOLDEN HILLS WIND PROJECT

I. INTRODUCTION

This site certificate for the Golden Hills Wind Project ("Golden Hills") is issued and executed in the manner provided by ORS Chapter 469, by and between the State of Oregon (the "State"), acting by and through its Energy Facility Siting Council (the "Council"), and Golden Hills Wind Farm LLC ("GHWF" or the "certificate holder").

The findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law underlying the terms and conditions of this site certificate are set forth in the Council’s Final Order in the Matter of the Application for a Site Certificate for the Golden Hills Wind Project (the “Final Order on the Application” or “Final Order”) issued on May 15, 2009, the Council’s Final Order in the Matter of the Request for Amendment #1 of the Site Certificate for the Golden Hills Wind Project (“Final Order on Amendment #1”) issued May 11, 2012, the Council’s Final Order in the Matter of the Request for Amendment #2 of the Site Certificate for the Golden Hills Wind Project (“Final Order on Amendment #2”) and incorporated herein by this reference. In interpreting this site certificate, any ambiguity shall be clarified by reference to the following, in order of priority: (1) this site certificate; (2) the Final Order on Amendment #2; (3) the Final Order on Amendment #1; (4) the Final Order on the Application; and (5) the record of the proceedings that led to the Final Order.

The definitions used in ORS 469.300 and OAR 345-001-0010 apply to terms used in this site certificate, except where otherwise stated or where the context clearly indicates otherwise.

II. SITE CERTIFICATION

1. To the extent authorized by State law and subject to the conditions set forth herein, the State approves and authorizes the certificate holder to construct, operate and retire a wind energy facility, together with certain related or supporting facilities, at the site in Sherman County, Oregon, as described in Section III of this site certificate. ORS 469.401(1).

2. This site certificate is effective until it is terminated under OAR 345-027-0110 or the rules in effect on the date that termination is sought, or until the site certificate is revoked under ORS 469.440 and OAR 345-029-0100 or the statutes and rules in effect on the date that revocation is ordered. ORS 469.401(1).

3. This site certificate does not address, and is not binding with respect to, matters that were not addressed in the Council’s Final Order on the Application for the facility. Such matters include, but are not limited to: (1) building code compliance; wage, hour and other labor regulations; local government fees and charges; and other design or operational issues that do not relate to siting the facility (ORS 469.401(4)); and (2) permits issued under statutes and rules for which the decision on compliance has been
delegated by the federal government to a State agency other than the Council. ORS 469.503(3).

4. Both the State and the certificate holder shall abide by local ordinances and State law and the rules of the Council in effect on the date this site certificate is executed. ORS 469.401(2). In addition, upon a clear showing of a significant threat to the public health, safety or the environment that requires application of later-adopted laws or rules, the Council may require compliance with such later-adopted laws or rules. ORS 469.401(2).

5. For a permit, license or other approval addressed in and governed by this site certificate, the certificate holder shall comply with applicable State and federal laws adopted in the future to the extent that such compliance is required under the respective State agency statutes and rules. ORS 469.401(2).

6. Subject to the conditions herein, this site certificate binds the State and all counties, cities and political subdivisions in Oregon as to the approval of the site and the construction, operation and retirement of the facility as to matters that are addressed in and governed by this site certificate. ORS 469.401(3).

7. Each affected State agency, county, city and political subdivision in Oregon with authority to issue a permit, license or other approval addressed in or governed by this site certificate shall, upon submission of the proper application and payment of the proper fees, but without hearings or other proceedings, issue such permit, license or other approval subject only to conditions set forth in this site certificate. ORS 469.401(3).

8. After issuance of this site certificate, each State agency or local government agency that issues a permit, license or other approval for the facility shall continue to exercise enforcement authority over such permit, license or other approval. ORS 469.401(3).

9. After issuance of this site certificate, the Council shall have continuing authority over the site and may inspect, or direct the Oregon Department of Energy (“ODOE” or the “Department”) to inspect, or request another State agency or local government to inspect, the site at any time in order to ensure that the facility is being operated consistently with the terms and conditions of this site certificate. ORS 469.430.

III. DESCRIPTION

A. THE FACILITY

1. The Energy Facility

ORS 469.300(11)(a)(J) defines the “energy facility” in this case as “[a]n electric power generating plant with an average electric generating capacity of 35 megawatts or more if the power is produced from … wind energy at a single energy facility.” The proposed “electric power generating plant” would consist of up to 267 wind turbine locations, each consisting of a turbine tower and foundation, turbine pad area, nacelle, rotor and blade assembly, and step-up
transformer. Wind turbines would be placed in survey corridors as shown in the Application for a Site Certificate. Golden Hills would have a peak electric generating capacity of up to 400 MW and an average electric generating capacity of about 133 MW.

GHWF has not yet selected the wind turbine model or models that would be installed in the facility. GHWF is requesting a site certificate that would allow the installation of up to 267 GE 1.5-MW turbines or any combination of turbines subject to specific restrictions. Under maximum conditions, turbine towers would measure up to 80 meters (263 feet) at the rotor hub, and the diameter of the rotor-swept area would be 96 meters (315 feet).

A wind turbine features a nacelle mounted on a tubular steel tower. The nacelle houses the generator and gearbox and supports the rotor and blades at the hub. The turbine tower supports and provides access to the nacelle. Each turbine unit sits on a concrete pad that accommodates the turbine pedestal, a step-up transformer and a turnout area for service vehicles. The purpose of the step-up transformer is to increase the output voltage of the wind turbine to the voltage of the power collection system. Underlying the pad would be a deep concrete turbine foundation with a surface area dependent upon the type and size of wind turbine selected.

2. Related or Supporting Facilities

GHWF proposes to construct the following related or supporting facilities:

- Power collection system
- Substations
- 230-kV transmission line
- 500-kV transmission line
- Meteorological towers
- Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition ("SCADA") System
- O&M facility
- Access roads
- Temporary laydown areas

**Power Collection System.** About 62 miles of power collection system, operating at 34.5 kV, would transport the power from the wind turbines to the substations. Some portion of the power collection system may be installed above ground to avoid impacts or to accommodate unforeseen geotechnical conditions.

**Substations.** The proposed facility would include two substations, one in the eastern section of the Golden Hills site and another in the western section of the Golden Hills site. Each substation would occupy a graveled and fenced area about 2 acres in size to facilitate a transformer, switching equipment and a parking area.

**230-kV Transmission Line.** The substation in the eastern section of the Golden Hills site would interconnect with an existing PPM Energy transmission line by means of an aboveground 0.7-mile 230-kV transmission line.
**500-kV Transmission Line.** The substation in the western section of the Golden Hills site would interconnect with the existing BPA John Day Substation by means of an aboveground 500-kV transmission line about 11 miles long.

**Meteorological Towers.** GHWF proposes to install up to six permanent meteorological towers ("met towers"). The met towers would be unguyed tubular structures about 85 meters (279 feet) tall and set in concrete foundations.

**SCADA System.** A fiber optic communications network would link the wind turbines to a central computer at the O&M facility. The SCADA system would collect operating and performance data from each wind turbine and Golden Hills as a whole and provide for remote operation of the wind turbines.

**O&M Facility.** A 5,000-square-foot operations and maintenance ("O&M") building would be constructed at one or the other of two locations proposed by GHWF. The O&M building would house office and workshop areas, a control room for the SCADA system, and a kitchen, bathroom and shower. The five-acre O&M facility site would include parking for vehicles. Domestic water use would not exceed 5,000 gallons per day, and domestic water would be obtained from an on-site well. Domestic wastewater would be drained into an on-site septic system.

**Access Roads.** Approximately 50 miles of new roads would be constructed to provide access to the turbine strings and other facility components. Access roads would connect to graveled turbine pad areas at the base of each wind turbine. The roads would be 20 feet wide and constructed with crushed gravel. In addition, GHWF would improve and widen some existing county and farm roads.

**Temporary Laydown Areas.** Up to seven principal, temporary laydown areas would be used to stage construction and store supplies and equipment during construction. In addition, temporary laydown areas would be required at the base of each proposed wind turbine. The laydown areas would be covered with gravel, and the gravel would be removed and the areas would be restored to their pre-construction conditions following completion of construction.

The certificate holder shall satisfy the following administrative condition:

(III.A.1) The certificate holder shall construct a facility substantially as described in the site certificate and may select GE sle 1.5-megawatt or some combination of other turbines, subject to the following restrictions and compliance with other site certificate conditions. Before beginning construction, the certificate holder shall provide to the Department a description of the turbine types selected for the facility demonstrating compliance with this condition.

(a) The total number of turbines at the facility must not exceed 267 turbines.
(b) The combined peak generating capacity of the facility must not exceed 400 megawatts.
(c) The turbine hub height must not exceed 80 meters and the maximum blade tip height must not exceed 128 meters.
(d) The minimum blade tip clearance must be 32 meters above ground.
(e) The maximum combined weight of metals in the tower (including ladders and platforms) and nacelle must not exceed 324 U.S. tons per turbine.
(f) The certificate holder shall request an amendment of the site certificate to increase the combined peak generating capacity of the facility beyond 400 megawatts, to increase the number of wind turbines to more than 267 turbines, to install wind turbines with a hub height greater than 80 meters or a blade tip height greater than 128 meters, or to install turbines with a maximum combined weight of metals in the tower (including ladders and platforms) and nacelle greater than 324 U.S. tons per turbine.

B. LOCATION OF THE FACILITY

The facility will occupy about 30,000 acres and be located near Wasco in Sherman County, Oregon. More particularly, the site would occupy portions of Sections 9, 10, 14-16, 22-26 and 34-36, Township 2 North, Range 16 East; Sections 29-32, Township 2 North, Range 17 East; Sections 1-3, 13, 24, 25 and 36, Township 1 North, Range 16 East; Sections 5-8, 14-22, 25 and 27-36, Township 1 North, Range 17 East; Sections 1-14, 16 and 17, Township 1 South, Range 17 East; and Sections 6-8, Township 1 South, Range 18 East, Willamette Meridian, Sherman County, Oregon.

C. THE SITE AND SITE BOUNDARY

The certificate holder shall satisfy the following administrative condition:

(III.C.1) Before beginning construction and after considering all micrositing factors, the certificate holder shall provide to the Department, the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (“ODFW”) and the Planning Director of Sherman County detailed maps of the facility site, showing the final locations where the certificate holder proposes to build facility components and a table showing the acres of temporary and permanent habitat impact by habitat category and subtype. The maps shall include the locations of temporary laydown areas and areas of temporary ground disturbance associated with the construction of all transmission lines. The detailed maps of the facility site shall indicate the habitat categories of all areas that would be affected during construction. In classifying the affected habitat into habitat categories, the certificate holder shall consult with ODFW. The certificate holder shall not begin ground disturbance in an affected area until the habitat assessment has been approved by the Department. The Department may employ a qualified contractor to confirm the habitat assessment by on-site inspection.

D. CONSTRUCTION DEADLINES

The certificate holder shall satisfy the following administrative conditions:

(III.D.1) The certificate holder shall begin construction of the facility within by June 18, 2014. Under OAR 345-015-0085(9), an amended site certificate is effective
upon execution by the Council Chair and the applicant. The Council may grant an
extension of the deadline to begin construction in accordance with OAR 345-027-
0030 or any successor rule in effect at the time the request for extension is
submitted. [Amendment 42]

(III.D.2) The certificate holder shall complete construction of the facility by June 18, 2019
2017. Construction is complete when (1) the facility is substantially complete as
defined by the certificate holder’s construction contract documents; (2)
acceptance testing has been satisfactorily completed; and (3) the energy facility is
ready to begin continuous operation consistent with the site certificate. The
certificate holder shall promptly notify the Department of the date of completion
of construction. The Council may grant an extension of the deadline for
completing construction in accordance with OAR 345-027-0030 or any successor
rule in effect at the time the request for extension is submitted. [Amendment 42]

(III.D.3) Before beginning construction, the certificate holder shall notify the Department
in advance of any work on the site that does not meet the definition of
“construction” in ORS 469.300(6), excluding surveying, exploration or other
activities to define or characterize the site, and shall provide to the Department a
description of the work and evidence that its value is less than $250,000.

IV. SPECIFIC FACILITY CONDITIONS

The conditions listed in this section include conditions based on representations in the
Application for a Site Certificate and supporting record. These conditions are required under
OAR 345-027-0020(10). The certificate holder must comply with these conditions in addition to
the conditions listed in Sections III, V, VI and VII. This section includes other specific facility
conditions the Council finds necessary to ensure compliance with the siting standards of OAR
Chapter 345, Divisions 22 and 24, and to protect the public health and safety. For conditions that
require subsequent review and approval of a future action, ORS 469.402 authorizes the Council
delegate the future review and approval to the Department if, in the Council’s discretion, the
delegation is warranted under the circumstances of the case.

A. [PLACEHOLDER]

B. ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERTISE

(IV.B.1) The certificate holder shall report promptly to the Department any change in
its corporate relationship with Orion Renewable Energy Group LLC BP
Alternative Energy North America Inc. (“BPAE”). The certificate holder
shall report promptly to the Department any change in its access to the
resources, expertise and personnel of Orion Renewable Energy Group
LLC BPAE.

(IV.B.2) Before beginning construction, the certificate holder shall notify the Department
of the identity and qualifications of the major design, engineering and
construction contractor(s) for the facility. The certificate holder shall select contractors that have substantial experience in the design, engineering and construction of similar facilities. The certificate holder shall report to the Department any change of major contractors.

(IV.B.3) If the certificate holder chooses a third-party contractor to operate the facility, the certificate holder shall submit to the Council the identity of the contractor so the Council may review the qualifications and capability of the contractor to meet the standards of OAR 345-022-0010. If the Council finds that a new contractor meets these standards, the Council shall not require an amendment to the site certificate for the certificate holder to hire the contractor.

(IV.B.4) Any matter of noncompliance under the site certificate shall be the responsibility of the certificate holder. Any notice of violation issued under the site certificate shall be issued to the certificate holder. Any civil penalties assessed under the site certificate shall be levied on the certificate holder.

(IV.B.5) The certificate holder shall contractually require the engineering and procurement contractor and all independent contractors and subcontractors involved in the construction and operation of the facility to comply with all applicable laws and regulations and with the terms and conditions of the site certificate. Such contractual provision shall not operate to relieve the certificate holder of responsibility under the site certificate.

(IV.B.6) The certificate holder shall obtain, or shall ensure that its contractors obtain, necessary federal, State and local permits or approvals required for the construction, operation and retirement of the facility. The certificate holder shall work with local and State fire officials to ensure compliance with all fire code regulations regarding public buildings.

(IV.B.7) During construction, the certificate holder shall have an on-site assistant construction manager who is qualified in environmental compliance to ensure compliance with all construction-related site certificate conditions. During operation, the certificate holder shall have a facility manager who is qualified in environmental compliance to ensure compliance with all ongoing site certificate conditions. The certificate holder shall notify the Department of the name, telephone number, fax number and e-mail address of these managers and shall keep the Department informed of any change in this information.

(IV.B.8) Within 72 hours after discovery of conditions or circumstances that may violate the terms or conditions of the site certificate, the certificate holder shall report the conditions or circumstances to the Department.

C. RETIREMENT AND FINANCIAL ASSURANCE
(IV.C.1) The certificate holder shall retire the facility if the certificate holder permanently ceases construction or operation of the facility. The certificate holder shall retire the facility according to a final retirement plan approved by the Council, as described in OAR 345-027-0110, and prepared pursuant to Condition (IV.C.2).

(IV.C.2) Two years before closure of the energy facility, the certificate holder shall submit to the Department a proposed final retirement plan for the facility and site, pursuant to OAR 345-027-0110, including:

(a) A plan for retirement that provides for completion of retirement within two years after permanent cessation of operation of the energy facility and that protects the public health and safety and the environment;

(b) A description of actions the certificate holder proposes to take to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition suitable for agricultural use; and

(c) A detailed cost estimate, a comparison of that estimate with the dollar amount secured by a bond or letter of credit and any amount contained in a retirement fund, and a plan for assuring the availability of adequate funds for completion of retirement.

(IV.C.3) The certificate holder shall prevent the development of any conditions on the site that would preclude restoration of the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition to the extent that prevention of such site conditions is within the control of the certificate holder.

(IV.C.4) Before beginning construction, the certificate holder shall submit to the State through the Council a bond or letter of credit in the amount described herein naming the State, acting by and through the Council, as beneficiary or payee. If the certificate holder elects to build the facility in a single phase, the initial bond or letter of credit amount is $16,491,000 (in 2008 dollars), adjusted to the date of issuance as described in (b), or the amount determined as described in (a). If the certificate holder elects to build the facility in more than one phase, the amount of the initial bond or letter of credit for each phase of construction shall be the amount determined as described in (a). The certificate holder shall adjust the amount of each bond or letter of credit on an annual basis thereafter as described in (b).

(a) The certificate holder may adjust the amount of each bond or letter of credit based on the final design configuration of the facility by applying the unit costs and general costs illustrated in Table IV.C.1 of the Final Order on the Application to the final design and calculating the financial assurance amount as described in that order, adjusted to the date of issuance as described in (b) and subject to approval by the Department.

(b) The certificate holder shall adjust the amount of each bond or letter of credit, using the following calculation and subject to approval by the Department:

(i) Adjust the subtotal component of the bond or letter of credit amount (expressed in 2008 dollars) to present value, using the U.S.
Gross Domestic Product Implicit Price Deflator, Chain-Weight, as published in the Oregon Department of Administrative Services’ “Oregon Economic and Revenue Forecast” or by any successor agency (the “Index”) and using the annual average index value for 2008 dollars and the quarterly index value for the date of issuance of the new bond or letter of credit. If at any time the Index is no longer published, the Council shall select a comparable calculation to adjust 2008 dollars to present value.

(ii) Calculate the adjusted performance bond amount as 1 percent of the new subtotal (i).

(iii) Add the subtotal (i) to the adjusted performance bond amount (ii) for the adjusted gross cost.

(iv) Calculate the adjusted administration and project management costs as 10 percent of the adjusted gross cost (iii).

(v) Calculate the adjusted future developments contingency as 10 percent of the adjusted gross cost (iii).

(vi) Add the adjusted gross cost (iii) to the sum of adjusted administration and project management costs (iv) and the adjusted future developments contingency (v) and round the resulting total to the nearest $1,000 to determine the adjusted financial assurance amount.

(c) The certificate holder shall use a form of bond or letter of credit approved by the Council.

(d) The certificate holder shall use an issuer of the bond or letter of credit approved by the Council.

(e) The certificate holder shall describe the status of the bond or letter of credit in the annual report submitted to the Council under Condition (VII.21.a.ii).

(f) The bond or letter of credit shall not be subject to revocation or reduction before retirement of the facility site.

(IV.C.5) If the certificate holder elects to use a bond to meet the requirements of Condition (IV.C.4), the certificate holder shall ensure that the surety is obligated to comply with the requirements of applicable statutes, Council rules and this site certificate when the surety exercises any legal or contractual right it may have to assume construction, operation or retirement of the energy facility. The certificate holder shall also ensure that the surety is obligated to notify the Council that it is exercising such rights and to obtain any Council approvals required by applicable statutes, Council rules and this site certificate before the surety commences any activity to complete construction, operate or retire the energy facility.

(IV.C.6) The certificate holder shall report to the Department any release of hazardous substances, pursuant to Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (“DEQ”) regulations, within one working day after the discovery of such release. This obligation shall be in addition to any other reporting requirements applicable to such a release.
(IV.C.7) If the certificate holder has not remedied a release consistent with applicable Oregon DEQ standards within six months after the date of the release, the certificate holder shall submit to the Council for its approval an independently prepared estimate of the additional cost of remediation or correction within such six-month period.

(a) Upon approval of an estimate by the Council, the certificate holder shall increase the amount of its bond or letter of credit by the amount of the estimate.

(b) In no event, however, shall the certificate holder be relieved of its obligation to exercise all due diligence in remediating a release of hazardous substances.

(IV.C.8) All funds received by the certificate holder from the salvage of equipment and buildings shall be committed to the restoration of the energy facility site to the extent necessary to fund the approved site restoration and remediation.

(IV.C.9) The certificate holder shall pay the actual cost to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition at the time of retirement, notwithstanding the Council’s approval in the site certificate of an estimated amount required to restore the site.

(IV.C.10) If the Council finds that the certificate holder has permanently ceased construction or operation of the facility without retiring the facility according to a final retirement plan approved by the Council, as described in OAR 345-027-0110 and prepared pursuant to Condition (IV.C.2), the Council shall notify the certificate holder and request that the certificate holder submit a proposed final retirement plan to the Department within a reasonable time not to exceed 90 days.

(a) If the certificate holder does not submit a proposed final retirement plan by the specified date or if the Council rejects the retirement plan that the certificate holder submits, the Council may direct the Department to prepare a proposed a final retirement plan for the Council’s approval.

(b) Upon the Council’s approval of the final retirement plan prepared pursuant to (a), the Council may draw on the bond or letter of credit described in Condition (IV.C.4) and shall use the funds to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition according to the final retirement plan, in addition to any penalties the Council may impose under OAR Chapter 345, Division 29.

(c) If the amount of the bond or letter of credit is insufficient to pay the actual cost of retirement, the certificate holder shall pay any additional cost necessary to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition.

(d) After completion of site restoration, the Council shall issue an order to terminate the site certificate if the Council finds that the facility has been retired according to the approved final retirement plan.

D. LAND USE
(IV.D.1) The certificate holder shall construct the public road improvements described in the Application for a Site Certificate to meet or exceed road standards for the road classifications in the County’s Transportation System Plan and Zoning Ordinance because roads will require a more substantial section to bear the weight of the vehicles and turbine components than would usually be constructed by the County.

(IV.D.2) The certificate holder shall ensure that no equipment or machinery is parked or stored on any county road except while in use.

(IV.D.3) The site certificate holder shall, in consultation with affected landowners, design and construct private access roads to minimize the division of existing farm units.

(IV.D.4) The certificate holder shall not locate any aboveground facility structure (including wind turbines, O&M building, substations and met towers, but not including aboveground power collection and transmission lines and poles and junction boxes) within 50 feet from any property line or within 50 feet from the right of way of any arterial or major collector road.

(IV.D.5) Aboveground transmission line structures shall not occupy areas that show gross indicators of landslide activity or marginal stability.

(IV.D.6) Collector lines in the Natural Hazards Combining Zone (“NH zone”) shall be placed under ground except in instances where it is more practical to install aboveground power collection lines and provided that the aboveground power collection lines will be designed to minimize slope stability and other NH zone hazards. The site-specific geotechnical investigation required prior to construction shall address native soil and bedrock stability concerns at cuts, fills and culvert crossings, and shall include design and construction recommendations to minimize the potential for destabilizing marginally stable slopes and the potential for stream erosion.

(IV.D.7) Prior to start of construction, the certificate holder shall submit for Sherman County Planning Department concurrence the plans and profiles described at SCZO 3.7.5(e).

(IV.D.8) Construction staging areas shall be limited to areas outside the NH zone.

(IV.D.9) Roads or streets in the NH zone shall be stabilized by planking, gravel or pavement as deemed necessary, and roadways shall be built without installation of excessive fill, diversion of water or excessive cuts unless the site investigation determines that such conditions will not be detrimental to the area or create unwarranted maintenance problems or additional hazards.

(IV.D.10) The certificate holder shall locate access roads and temporary construction laydown and staging areas, including those associated with construction of
transmission lines or placement of conductors on third-party transmission lines, to minimize disturbance with farming practices and, wherever feasible, as determined in consultation with affected landowners, shall place turbines and transmission interconnection lines along the margins of cultivated areas to reduce the potential for conflict with farm operations. The certificate holder shall place aboveground transmission and collector lines and poles and junction boxes along property lines and public road rights-of-way to the extent practicable.

(IV.D.11) During operation of the facility, the certificate holder, in cooperation with landowners, shall avoid impact on cultivated land to the extent reasonably possible when performing facility repair and maintenance activities.

(IV.D.12) Where necessary and feasible, the certificate holder shall provide access across construction trenches to fields within the facility site and otherwise provide adequate and timely access to properties during critical periods in the farming cycle, such as harvest.

(IV.D.13) Before beginning construction of the facility, the certificate holder shall record a Farm Management Easement covering the properties on which the certificate holder locates wind power generation facilities. The certificate holder shall record the easements in the real property records of Sherman County and shall file a copy of the recorded easement with the Sherman County Planning Director.

(IV.D.14) The certificate holder shall remove from Special Farm Assessment the portions of parcels on which facilities are located and shall pay all property taxes due and payable after the Special Farm Assessment is removed from such properties.

(IV.D.15) Within 90 days after beginning operation, the certificate holder shall provide to the Department and to the Sherman County Planning Director the actual latitude and longitude location or Stateplane NAD 83(91) coordinates of each turbine tower, connecting lines and transmission lines. In addition, the certificate holder shall provide to the Department and to the Sherman County Planning Director, a summary of as-built changes in the facility compared to the original plan, if any.

(IV.D.16) The certificate holder shall work with the Sherman County Weed Control manager to take appropriate measures to prevent the invasion, during and after the facility’s construction, of any weeds on the Sherman County noxious weed list.

(IV.D.17) The certificate holder shall cooperate with the Sherman County Road Department to ensure that any unusual damage or wear caused by the use of the county’s roads by the developer during the construction of the facility will be the responsibility of the developer. The Road Department will provide an assessment of road conditions in the facility area prior to the start of construction of the facility and an evaluation of the roads following completion of the facility to determine any significant change in condition. In addition, no equipment or
machinery of the developers shall be parked or stored on any county road except while in use.

(IV.D.18) Prior to start of construction, the certificate holder shall, in consultation with Sherman County, assign a 9-1-1 5-digit rural address to every tower road that intersects a State or county road. The county will provide and install the signage for these addresses.

(IV.D.19) Prior to beginning construction, the certificate holder will:
(a) Designate a route or routes for the transport of wind turbine construction material (including water, aggregate, concrete, machinery and tower pieces), with the intention of minimizing damage to non-designated roads, and provide these designations to the County Road Master;
(b) Provide to the County Road Master a written summary of possible anticipated road damage to the designated route or routes, and an estimate of the cost of repair to the designated route or routes;
(c) Establish and maintain an escrow account for so long as construction is ongoing, funded in an amount equal to the estimated cost to repair the designated route or routes consistent with the estimate provided in (b); and
(d) Conduct an inspection of the roads along the designated route or routes before and after construction with a representative of the Sherman County Road Department and an independent third party with the required expertise to inspect and evaluate paved and graveled roads. In the event a dispute arises, the third party shall be the final arbiter. The cost of the hiring of the third party shall be borne by the applicant.

(IV.D.20) Before beginning construction of facility access roads, the certificate holder shall confer with the Sherman County Road Master regarding any utility permits needed for county road right-of-ways and obtain permits for construction of all approach roads onto county roads, all in accordance with Sherman County Ordinance No. 35-2007.


(IV.D.22) Prior to construction, Certificate Holder shall demonstrate that the final location of turbines within the micrositing corridors approved by the Council will satisfy setback requirements prescribed by Section 4 of the Sherman County Wind Setback Ordinance (Ordinance No. 39-2007) unless the Council or Oregon Department of Energy has approved a variance to such setback for the turbine or the Certificate Holder has negotiated a setback agreement with the affected adjacent property owner or wind project developer. [Amendment #1]

E. SOIL PROTECTION
(IV.E.1) The certificate holder shall conduct all construction work in compliance with an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (the “ESCP”) satisfactory to the Oregon DEQ and as required under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Storm Water Discharge General Permit #1200-C. The certificate holder shall include in the ESCP any procedures necessary to meet local erosion and sediment control requirements or storm water management requirements.

(IV.E.2) Where temporary impacts will occur in cultivated areas, the certificate holder shall salvage approximately three feet of topsoil and stockpile this topsoil in windrows. The certificate holder shall protect the windrows with plastic sheeting or mulch. Upon removal of the temporary features, the certificate holder shall cultivate the subsoil to a depth of at least 12 inches (except where bedrock prohibits achieving this depth) and then redistribute the salvaged topsoil to match adjacent grades.

(IV.E.3) During facility operation, the certificate holder shall routinely inspect and maintain all roads, pads and trenched areas and, as necessary, maintain or repair erosion control measures. The certificate holder shall restore areas that are temporarily disturbed during facility maintenance or repair activities to predisturbance condition or better.

(IV.E.4) During construction and operation of the facility, the certificate holder shall implement a plan, developed in consultation with the Sherman County Weed Control manager, to control the introduction and spread of noxious weeds.

(IV.E.5) During construction, the certificate holder shall ensure that the wash down of concrete trucks occurs only at a contractor-owned batch plant or at tower foundation locations. If such wash down occurs at tower foundation locations, then the certificate holder shall ensure that wash down wastewater does not run off the construction site into otherwise undisturbed areas and that the wastewater is disposed of on backfill piles and buried underground with the backfill over the tower foundation.

(IV.E.6) During facility operation, if blade-washing becomes necessary, the certificate holder shall ensure that there is no runoff of wash water from the site or discharges to surface waters, storm sewers or dry wells. The certificate holder shall not use acids, bases or metal brighteners with the wash water. The certificate holder may use biodegradable, phosphate-free cleaners sparingly.

F. PROTECTED AREAS
[No conditions]

G. SCENIC RESOURCES

(IV.G.1) To reduce the visual impact of the facility, the certificate holder shall:
(a) Mount nacelles on smooth steel structures painted uniformly in a neutral color to blend with the surrounding landscape;
(b) Paint substation structures in a neutral color to blend with the surrounding landscape;
(c) Not allow any advertising to be used on any part of the facility;
(d) Use only those signs required for facility safety or required by law, except that the certificate holder may erect a sign to identify the facility; and
(e) Maintain any signs allowed under this condition in good repair.

(IV.G.2) The certificate holder shall design and construct the O&M facility to be generally consistent with the character of similar buildings used by commercial farmers or ranchers in the area and shall paint the building in a neutral color to blend with the surrounding landscape.

(IV.G.3) During operation of the facility, the certificate holder shall not use exterior nighttime lighting except:
(a) The minimum turbine tower lighting required or recommended by the Federal Aviation Administration (the “FAA”);
(b) Security lighting at the O&M facility and substations, provided that such lighting is shielded or directed downward to reduce glare;
(c) Minimum lighting necessary for repairs or emergencies; and
(d) As otherwise required by federal, State or local law.

H. RECREATION
[No conditions]

I. PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY STANDARDS

(IV.I.1) The certificate holder shall follow manufacturer’s recommended handling instructions and procedures to prevent damage to turbine or turbine tower components that could lead to failure.

(IV.I.2) The certificate holder shall install and maintain self-monitoring devices on each turbine, connected to a fault annunciation panel or SCADA system at the O&M facility to alert operators to potentially dangerous conditions. The certificate holder shall equip each turbine with vibration-sensing equipment that will shut down the turbine in the event of abnormal levels of vibration.

(IV.I.3) The certificate holder shall construct turbine towers with no exterior ladders or access to the turbine blades and shall install locked tower access doors. The certificate holder shall keep tower access doors locked at all times except when authorized personnel are present.

(IV.I.4) The certificate holder shall have an operational safety-monitoring program and shall inspect all turbines and turbine tower components on a regular basis. The
certificate holder shall maintain or repair turbine and turbine tower components as necessary to protect public safety.

(IV.I.5) For turbine types having pad-mounted step-up transformers, the certificate holder shall install the transformers at the base of each tower in locked cabinets designed to protect the public from electrical hazards and to avoid creation of artificial habitat for raptor prey.

(IV.I.6) To protect the public from electrical hazards, the certificate holder shall enclose the facility substations with appropriate fencing and locked gates.

(IV.I.7) Before beginning construction, the certificate holder shall submit to the FAA and the Oregon Department of Aviation (“ODA”) a Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration identifying the proposed final locations of the turbines and related or supporting facilities and shall provide a copy of this notice to the Department. The certificate holder shall notify the Department of the FAA’s and ODA’s responses as soon as they have been received.

(IV.I.8) The certificate holder shall construct all facility components in compliance with the following setback requirements:

(a) The certificate holder shall maintain a minimum distance of 110 percent of maximum blade tip height, measured from the centerline of the turbine tower to the nearest edge of any public road right-of-way. The certificate holder shall assume a minimum right-of-way width of 60 feet.

(b) The certificate holder shall maintain a minimum distance of 1,320 feet, measured from the centerline of the turbine tower to the center of the nearest residence existing at the time of tower construction.

(c) The certificate holder shall maintain a minimum distance of 110 percent of maximum blade tip height, measured from the centerline of the turbine tower to the nearest boundary of the certificate holder’s lease area.

J. SITING STANDARDS FOR WIND ENERGY FACILITIES

[No conditions]

K. SITING STANDARDS FOR TRANSMISSION LINES

(IV.K.1) The certificate holder shall install the underground segments of the 34.5-kV collector system at a minimum depth of three feet.

L. THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES

(IV.L.1) If construction of the facility begins after 2009, the certificate holder shall review the Oregon Natural Heritage Information Center and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service databases and consult with an expert designated by ODFW on an annual basis before beginning construction to determine whether nesting bald eagles or peregrine falcons have been documented to occur within two miles of the facility.
The certificate holder shall report the results of the database review and 
consultation to the Department and to ODFW and, if there have been new 
documentations of nesting bald eagles or peregrine falcons within two miles of 
the facility, the certificate holder shall implement appropriate measures to protect 
the species from adverse impact, as approved by the Department and ODFW.

(IV.L.2) The certificate holder shall implement measures to mitigate impacts to sensitive 
wildlife habitat during construction including, but not limited to, the following:
(a) Preparing maps to show sensitive areas, such as nesting or denning areas 
for sensitive wildlife species, that are off limits to construction personnel;
(b) Ensuring that a qualified person instructs construction personnel to be 
aware of wildlife in the area and to take precautions to avoid injuring or 
destroying wildlife or significant wildlife habitat; and
(c) Avoiding unnecessary road construction, temporary disturbance and 
vehicle use.

(IV.L.3) Prior to the beginning of construction of the facility the certificate holder
shall perform new field surveys for threatened and endangered species 
following the survey protocol set forth in the Application for Site Certificate.
The certificate holder shall report the results of the field surveys to the 
Department, ODFW, and the Oregon Department of Agriculture. If the 
surveys identify the presence of threatened or endangered species within the 
site boundary, the certificate holder shall implement appropriate measures to 
avoid a significant reduction in the likelihood of survival or recovery of the 
species, as approved by the Department, ODFW, and the Oregon 
Department of Agriculture.

M. FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT

(IV.M.1) The certificate holder shall implement the Habitat Mitigation and Revegetation 
Plan submitted by the certificate holder in its August 2008 application supplement 
and attached to the Final Order as Attachment B, as amended from time to time. 
Prior to start of construction, the certificate holder shall acquire the legal right to 
create, enhance, maintain and protect a habitat mitigation area so long as the site 
certificate is in effect by means of outright purchase, conservation easement or 
similar conveyance and shall provide a copy of the documentation to the 
Department. The nominal lease term shall be at least 30 years, with an option to 
extend if the facility continues operations past year 30. The mitigation area shall 
be as shown in figures 1, 2 and 3 of Attachment B to the Final Order. Any 
different mitigation area shall require prior approval of the Department in 
consultation with ODFW.

(IV.M.2) The certificate holder shall restore areas outside the permanent footprint that are 
disturbed during construction according to the methods and monitoring 
procedures described in the revegetation plan included in the Final Order as
Attachment B and as amended from time to time. Mitigation and restoration requirements in the plan shall apply to all laydown areas and other areas of temporary disturbance, including those associated with construction of transmission lines.

(IV.M.3) Permanent met towers shall not have guy wires.

(IV.M.4) The certificate holder shall survey the status of known raptor nests within 0.5 miles before ground-disturbing activities begin. If an active nest is found, and ground-disturbing activities are scheduled to begin before the end of the sensitive nesting and breeding season (mid-April to mid-August), the certificate holder will not engage in ground-disturbing activities within a 0.25-mile buffer around the nest until the nest fledges young or the nest fails, unless ODFW approves an alternative plan. If ground-disturbing construction activities continue into the sensitive nesting and breeding season for the following year, the certificate holder will not engage in ground-disturbing activities within the 0.25-mile buffer if the nest site is found to be active until the nest fledges young or the nest fails, unless ODFW approves an alternate plan.

(IV.M.5) The certificate holder will survey the status of known loggerhead shrikes nests and visit sites where non-nesting loggerhead shrikes were observed in order to determine old and new nest sites. Ground-disturbing activities will be sequenced with active raptor nests, using a 150-meter buffer.

(IV.M.6) Trees in Category 3 upland tree habitat shall not be physically harmed or removed.

(IV.M.7) The certificate holder shall conduct wildlife monitoring as described in the Wildlife Monitoring and Mitigation Plan that is included as Attachment A to the Final Order and as amended from time to time.

(IV.M.8) The certificate holder shall design and construct all aboveground transmission line support structures following the practices suggested by the Avian Powerline Interaction Committee (APLIC 1996, referenced in the Application for a Site Certificate, at P-33) and shall install anti-perching devices on transmission pole tops and cross arms where the poles are within the site or are located within one-quarter mile of any wind turbine.

(IV.M.9) The certificate holder may construct turbines and other facility components within the 900-foot corridors shown on Figures P-1 through P-10 of the Application for a Site Certificate and August 2008 supplement, subject to the following requirements addressing potential habitat impact:

(a) The certificate holder shall not construct any facility components within areas of Category 1 or Category 2 habitat and shall avoid temporary disturbance of Category 1 or Category 2 habitat, except for those acreages allowed in Table IV.M.1 in the Final Order.
(b) The certificate holder shall design and construct facility components that are the minimum size needed for safe operation of the energy facility.

(IV.M.10) During construction, the certificate holder shall protect the area within a 1300-foot buffer around any active nests of the following species during the sensitive period, as provided in this condition:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Sensitive Period</th>
<th>Early Release Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swainson’s hawk</td>
<td>April 1 to August 15</td>
<td>May 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden eagle</td>
<td>February 1 to August 31</td>
<td>May 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferruginous hawk</td>
<td>March 15 to August 15</td>
<td>May 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burrowing owl</td>
<td>April 1 to August 15</td>
<td>July 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 1300-foot buffer may be reduced, with Department approval, if there is an adequate physical barrier between the nest site and the construction impacts such that a 1300-foot buffer proves to be excessive.

During the year in which construction of any phase occurs, the certificate holder shall use a protocol approved by ODFW to determine whether there are any active nests of these species within a half-mile of any areas that would be disturbed during construction. If a nest is occupied by any of these species after the beginning of the sensitive period, the certificate holder shall not engage in high-impact construction activities (activities that involve blasting, grading or other major ground disturbance) or allow high levels of construction traffic within 1300 feet of the nest site, or such lesser distance as may be approved by the Department in the event there is an adequate physical barrier between the nest site and the construction impacts.

In addition, the certificate holder shall flag the boundaries of the 1300-foot buffer area, or such lesser distance as may be approved by the Department in the event there is an adequate physical barrier between the nest site and the construction impacts, and shall instruct construction personnel to avoid any unnecessary activity within the buffer area. The certificate holder shall direct a qualified independent third-party biological monitor, as approved by the Department, to observe the active nest sites during the sensitive period for signs of disturbance and to notify the Department of any noncompliance with this condition. If the monitor observes nest site abandonment or other adverse impact to nesting activity, the certificate holder shall implement appropriate mitigation, in consultation with ODFW and subject to the approval of the Department, unless the adverse impact is clearly shown to have a cause other than construction activity. The certificate holder may begin or resume high-impact construction activities before the ending day of the sensitive period if any known nest site is not occupied by the early release date. If a nest site is occupied, then the certificate holder may begin or resume high-impact construction before the ending day of the sensitive period with the approval of ODFW, but after the young are fledged. The certificate holder shall use a protocol approved by ODFW to
determine when the young are fledged (meaning the young are independent of the
core nest site).

(IV.M.11) The certificate holder shall conduct two (2) years of raptor nest surveys with
at least one (1) year of the surveys occurring prior to the beginning of
construction. The raptor nest surveys shall be conducted following the
instructions set forth in the Raptor Nest Survey Protocol for Golden Hills
Wind Project included as Attachment C to the Second Amended Site
Certificate.

V. STANDARDS NOT APPLICABLE TO SITE CERTIFICATE ELIGIBILITY

Under ORS 469.501(4), the Council may issue a site certificate without making the
findings required by the standards discussed in this section (Structural Standard; Historic,
Cultural and Archaeological Resources Standard; Public Services Standard; and Waste
Minimization Standard). Nevertheless, the Council may impose site certificate conditions based
on the requirements of these standards.

A. STRUCTURAL STANDARD

(V.A.1) The certificate holder shall submit a site-specific geotechnical investigation report
to the Oregon Department of Geology & Mineral Industries (“DOGAMI”). The
investigation and report shall conform to the Oregon State Board of Geologist
Examiners guidelines titled “Guidelines for Engineering Geologic Reports” and
“Guidelines for Site-Specific Seismic Hazard Reports for Essential and
Hazardous Facilities and Major and Special-Occupancy Structures in Oregon.”
The certificate holder shall provide the Department with the report and with
evidence of concurrence by DOGAMI prior to start of construction.

(V.A.2) The certificate holder shall instruct the consulting geologist and engineer to study
slope stability issues and include conclusions and recommendations about slope
stability in the site-specific geotechnical report.

(V.A.3) The certificate holder shall design and construct the facility in accordance with
requirements set forth by the State’s Building Code Division and any other
applicable codes and design procedures.

(V.A.4) The certificate holder shall design, engineer and construct the facility to avoid
dangers to human safety presented by non-seismic hazards. As used in this
condition, “non-seismic hazards” include settlement, landslides, flooding and
erosion.

(V.A.5) The certificate holder shall ensure that wind turbine corridors and major structures
are constructed with sufficient setbacks from all steeper slopes to minimize the
potential for creating unstable or marginally stable conditions.
B. HISTORIC, CULTURAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES STANDARD

(V.B.1) The certificate holder shall design the facility to avoid impacts to sites 35SH217, 35SH220, GH site 6 (above ground resource), 35SH219 and GH Isolate 6.

(V.B.2) For sites 35SH215, 35SH216 and 35SH221, the certificate holder shall avoid impacts to these sites during construction and subsequent operations. The certificate holder shall develop a Cultural Resource Management Plan (the “CRMP”) that includes a 30-meter buffer area around these listed sites designated as a “no-work zone” for all ground-disturbing activities. The certificate holder shall submit the CRMP to the State Historic Preservation Office (the “SHPO”) for concurrence and shall provide to the Department documentation confirming SHPO concurrence prior to start of construction.

(V.B.3) The certificate holder shall consult with the SHPO regarding the development of a CRMP that will address the protection of aboveground historic resources and belowground archeological resources. The CRMP shall include established protocol and procedures for unanticipated discoveries, such as the discovery of new archeological sites or Native American human remains during ground-disturbing activities, and shall document how these protocols will follow State laws and rules at ORS 358.905-961, ORS 390.235, OAR 736-051-0090 and ORS 97.740-760 as in effect on the date of this site certificate.

(V.B.4) Before beginning construction of any phase of the facility, the certificate holder shall provide to the Department a map showing the final design locations of all components of that phase of the facility and areas that would be temporarily disturbed during construction, and also showing the areas surveyed by Tetra Tech in preparing the Archeological Inventory for Golden Hills Wind Energy Development included in the Application for a Site Certificate as Attachment S-1. If there are any additional areas where ground-disturbing activities will occur that were not part of the original facility area, the certificate holder shall contact the SHPO to determine whether there will be additional impacts to cultural resources.

(V.B.5) The certificate holder shall ensure that a qualified archaeologist instructs construction personnel on the identification of cultural resources.

(V.B.6) If any cultural resources are discovered during construction activities, all work at that location shall cease immediately and the certificate holder shall contact the SHPO to determine whether it is necessary to have an archeologist travel to the worksite and assess the discovery or monitor construction activities.

(V.B.7) “No access” buffers shall be identified on construction plans and temporarily demarcated in the field before and during construction. The facility Environmental Inspector shall monitor flagged “no access” buffers around archeological sites during construction to prevent accidental damage to cultural resources. These flags or markers shall not be moved or removed during
construction activities, and construction personnel shall be advised of these restrictions.

(V.B.8) The certificate holder shall ensure that construction personnel cease all ground-disturbing activities in the immediate area if any archaeological or cultural resources are found during construction of the facility until a qualified archaeologist can evaluate the significance of the find. No construction personnel will be allowed in the discovery area except for facility management in consultation with the SHPO. The certificate holder shall notify the Department and the SHPO of the find. If the SHPO determines that the resource is significant, the certificate holder shall make recommendations to the Council for mitigation, including avoidance or data recovery, in consultation with the Department, the SHPO, the appropriate Oregon tribes and other appropriate parties. The certificate holder shall not restart work in the affected area until the certificate holder has demonstrated to the Department that it has complied with State archaeological protection and archaeological permit laws in coordination with the SHPO.

(V.B.9) The certificate holder shall ensure that construction personnel proceed carefully in the vicinity of the mapped alignment of the Oregon Trail. If any intact physical evidence of the trail is discovered, the certificate holder shall avoid any disturbance to the intact segments by redesign, reengineering or restricting the area of construction activity. The certificate holder shall promptly notify the Department and the SHPO of the discovery. The certificate holder shall consult with the Department and with the SHPO to determine appropriate mitigation measures.

(V.B.10) Upon completion of construction, the certificate holder shall consult with the Oregon Historic Trails Advisory Council regarding the appropriate content of an interpretive sign. After such consultation, the certificate holder shall place in a publicly accessible location a sign giving notice of the historic background of the facility site and surrounding areas.

C. PUBLIC SERVICES STANDARD

(V.C.1) During operation of the facility, the certificate holder shall obtain water for on-site use from one well located at the O&M facility, subject to compliance with applicable permit requirements. During operation of the facility, the certificate holder shall not use more than 5,000 gallons of water per day from the on-site well.

(V.C.2) During construction and operation of the facility, the certificate holder shall install on-site security and shall require on-site security personnel to establish a line of communication with the Sherman County Sheriff’s Office to regularly report on the status of on-site security operations.
During construction and operation of the facility, the certificate holder shall develop and coordinate response protocols with the North Sherman Fire Protection District, the Moro Rural Fire Protection District and other wind energy facility operators in the vicinity of the facility.

Before beginning construction the certificate holder shall develop and implement a fire safety and response plan for both construction and operation phases in consultation with the Oregon State Fire Marshal, the Sherman County Emergency Services, North Sherman Fire and Rescue, Moro Rural Fire Protection District and other first-response agencies the facility will rely upon for fire protection services. A copy of the plan must be provided to the Department at least 30 days before beginning construction. The plan must be updated at least annually by the agencies identified in (a) below and a copy provided to the agencies identified in (a), (b), and (c) and to the Department within 30 days of the update. The fire safety and response plan shall address, at a minimum, the following:

a. Identification of agencies that participated in developing the plan;
b. Identification of agencies that are designated as first response agencies or are included in any mutual aid agreements with the facility;
c. A list of any other mutual aid agreements or fire protection associations in the vicinity of the facility;
d. Complete contact information for each agency listed in (a), (b), and (c) above, including at least two facility contacts available on a 24-hour basis;
e. Communication protocols for both routine and emergency events and the incident command system to be used in the event a fire response by multiple agencies is needed at the facility;
f. Access and fire response at the facility site during construction and operations. Fire response plans during construction shall address regular and frequent communication amongst the agencies regarding the number and location of construction sites within the site boundary, access roads that are completed and those still under construction, location of water receptacles, and a temporary signage system until permanent addresses and signs are in place;
g. The minimum designated time period of the fire season (i.e., May 1 through October 15) and the criteria to modify the designated fire season to respond to changing conditions;
h. The number, size, and location of onsite water receptacles to be staged around the facility site for firefighting purposes during the fire season; and
i. Training needs (both for facility personnel and for first responders);
j. Copies of mutual aid, fire protection association, or other agreements entered into concerning fire protection at the facility site.

During construction of the facility, the certificate holder shall ensure that construction vehicles and equipment are operated on graveled areas to the extent possible and that open flames, such as cutting torches, are kept away from grassy areas.
(V.C.5) During construction and operation of the facility, the certificate holder shall ensure that the O&M facility and all service vehicles are equipped with shovels and portable fire extinguishers of a 4A5OBC or equivalent rating.

(V.C.6) During construction of the facility, the certificate holder shall maintain a water truck on site to respond to potential fire incidents.

(V.C.7) The certificate holder shall construct turbines on concrete pads with a minimum of 10 feet of nonflammable and non-erosive ground cover on all sides. The certificate holder shall cover turbine pad areas with nonflammable, non-erosive material immediately following exposure during construction and shall maintain the pad area covering during operation of the facility.

(V.C.8) During operation of the facility, the certificate holder shall ensure that all on-site employees receive annual fire prevention and response training, including tower rescue training, from qualified instructors or members of local fire districts and shall ensure that all employees are instructed to keep vehicles on roads and off dry grassland, except when off-road operation is required for emergency purposes.

(V.C.9) Upon beginning operation of the facility, the certificate holder shall provide to North Sherman Fire Protection District and Moro Rural Fire Protection District a site plan indicating the identification number assigned to each turbine and the location of all facility structures. During operation of the facility, the certificate holder shall ensure that appropriate district personnel have an up-to-date list of the names and telephone numbers of facility personnel available to respond on a 24-hour basis in case of an emergency on the facility site.

(V.C.10) Before and during beginning construction of the facility, the certificate holder shall develop and implement a construction-phase traffic management plan with all affected local jurisdictions.

(V.C.11) During construction of the facility, the certificate holder shall implement measures to reduce traffic impacts, including:

(a) Providing notice to all affected local jurisdictions in advance of deliveries;

(b) Providing notice to adjacent landowners and residents of Biggs Junction in advance of deliveries; and

(c) Requiring flaggers to be at appropriate locations at appropriate times during construction to direct traffic and reduce accident risks.

(V.C.12) Prior to start of construction, the certificate holder shall obtain from the Sherman County Road Department an assessment of road conditions in the facility area prior to the start of construction of the facility. The certificate holder shall also obtain from the county road department an evaluation of the roads following completion of the facility to determine any significant change in condition. The certificate shall cooperate with the Sherman County Road Department to ensure that any unusual damage or wear caused by the use of the county’s roads by the
developer during the construction of the facility will be the responsibility of the
developer. In addition, no equipment or machinery of the developers shall be
parked or stored on any county road except while in use.

(V.C.13) Prior to beginning construction, the certificate holder will
(a) Designate a route or routes for the transport of wind turbine construction
material (including water, aggregate, concrete, machinery and tower
pieces), with the intention of minimizing damage to non-designated roads,
and provide these designations to the County Road Master;
(b) Provide to the County Road Master a written summary of possible
anticipated road damage to the designated route or routes, and an estimate
of the cost of repair to the designated route or routes;
(c) Establish and maintain an escrow account for so long as construction is
ongoing funded in an amount equal to the estimated cost to repair the
designated route or routes consistent with the estimate provided in (b); and
(d) Conduct an inspection of the roads along the designated route or routes
before and after construction with a representative of the Sherman County
Road Department and an independent third party with the required
expertise to inspect and evaluate paved and graveled roads. In the event a
dispute arises, the third party shall be the final arbiter. The cost of the
hiring of the third party shall be borne by the certificate holder.

(V.C.14) The certificate holder shall work with Sherman County Emergency Manager to
assign a 9-1-1 5-digit rural address to every tower road that intersects a State or
county road. The county will provide and install the signage for these addresses.

D. WASTE MINIMIZATION STANDARD

(V.D.1) During construction, the certificate holder shall implement a waste management
plan that includes, but is not limited to, the following measures:
(a) Recycling steel and other metal scrap;
(b) Recycling wood waste;
(c) Recycling packaging wastes, such as paper and cardboard;
(d) Collecting non-recyclable waste for transport to a landfill; and
(e) Segregating all hazardous wastes, such as used oil, oily rags and oil-
absorbent materials, lubricant and cleaning solution containers, mercury-
containing lights, and lead-acid and nickel-cadmium batteries, for disposal
by a licensed firm specializing in the proper recycling or disposal of
hazardous wastes.

(V.D.2) During operation, the certificate holder shall implement a waste management plan
that includes, but is not limited to, the following measures:
(a) Training employees to minimize and recycle solid waste;
(b) Recycling paper products, metals, glass and plastics;
(c) Recycling used oil and hydraulic fluid;
(d) Collecting non-recyclable waste for transport to a landfill; and
(e) Segregating all hazardous wastes, such as used oil, oily rags and oil-absorbent materials, oil and cleaning solution containers, mercury-containing lights, and lead-acid and nickel-cadmium batteries, for disposal by a licensed firm specializing in the proper recycling or disposal of hazardous wastes.

(V.D.3) During construction, the certificate holder shall provide portable toilets for on-site sewage handling and shall ensure that they are pumped and cleaned regularly by a licensed contractor.

(V.D.4) During operation, the certificate holder shall discharge sanitary wastewater generated at the O&M facility to a licensed on-site septic system in compliance with county permit requirements. The certificate holder shall design the septic system with a discharge capacity of less than 5,000 gallons per day.

VI. OTHER APPLICABLE REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

A. REQUIREMENTS UNDER COUNCIL JURISDICTION

1. NOISE CONTROL REGULATIONS

(VI.A.1.1) To reduce noise impacts at nearby residential areas, the certificate holder shall:

(a) Confine the noisiest operation of heavy construction equipment to the daylight hours;

(b) Require contractors to install and maintain exhaust mufflers on all combustion engine-powered equipment; and

(c) Establish a complaint response system at the construction manager’s office to address noise complaints.

(VI.A.1.2) The certificate holder shall submit, for Department approval prior to construction, a complete new noise analysis for the facility as designed and generate a new table listing each noise-sensitive property, as defined in OAR 340-035-0015(38), and the predicted maximum hourly $L_{50}$ noise level at each noise-sensitive property. In addition, the certificate holder shall provide the predicted sound levels contributed by each turbine at each noise-sensitive property that does not provide a waiver of the ambient noise rule. The certificate holder shall perform the analysis using the CADNA/A by DataKustik GmbH of Munich, Germany, and shall base the analysis on the final facility design including final choice of turbine and location of all facility components. The analysis shall demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Department that each of the following requirements have been met:

(a) For any noise-sensitive property, the certificate holder shall identify the final design locations of all turbines to be built and perform a noise analysis demonstrating, in accordance with OAR 340-035-0035(1)(b)(B)(iii)(IV), that the total hourly $L_{50}$ noise level generated by the facility would not exceed 50 dBA at the appropriate measurement point. The certificate holder shall assume the following input parameters:
The maximum sound power level warranted by the manufacturer or confirmed by other means acceptable to the Department;

- The exact locations of the proposed turbines;
- Attenuation of sound due to absorption to be calculated using a methodology satisfactory to the Department;
- The use of 50°F temperature and 70 percent relative humidity in the analysis;
- A 2dB safety margin shall be added to turbine sound power levels;
- No credit for shielding of any residence by terrain; and
- All receptors treated as simultaneously downwind of all turbines.

(b) If the hourly L50 noise levels caused by the facility at any noise-sensitive property would increase the ambient noise level at any noise-sensitive property over the full set of wind conditions ranging from cut in to full load by more than 10 dBA, the certificate holder shall obtain a legally effective easement or real covenant from that property owner pursuant to which the owner of the property authorizes the certificate holder’s operation of the facility to increase ambient statistical noise levels L10 and L50 by more than 10 dBA at the appropriate measurement point. A legally effective easement or real covenant shall (i) include a legal description of the burdened property (the noise-sensitive property); (ii) be recorded in the real property records of the county; (iii) expressly benefit the certificate holder; (iv) expressly run with the land and bind all future owners, lessees or holders of any interest in the burdened property; and (v) not be subject to revocation without the certificate holder’s written approval.

(c) If, for any noise-sensitive property where the hourly L50 noise levels caused by the facility would increase by more than 10 dBA above the ambient level over the full range of wind conditions measured for that property and where the certificate holder has not obtained a legally effective easement or real covenant as described in (b), the certificate holder shall identify measures to reduce noise at that property either by eliminating or moving turbines, and shall perform the noise analysis again to demonstrate, in accordance with OAR 340-035-0035(1)(b)(B)(iii)(IV), that the total noise generated by the facility would meet the ambient noise degradation test at the appropriate measurement point at that noise-sensitive property. The certificate holder shall obtain Department concurrence of the new analysis prior to start of construction.

(VI.A.1.3) During operation, the certificate holder shall maintain a complaint response system to address noise complaints. The certificate holder shall promptly notify the Department of any complaints received regarding facility noise and of any actions taken by the certificate holder to address those complaints. Prior to start of commercial operation, the certificate holder shall notify, in writing, the owners of potentially affected noise-sensitive properties identified in Exhibit X of the completed Application for a Site Certificate. The notice shall inform the property owners of the procedure and contact information for filing a complaint regarding...
the noise level from the facility once it is operating. The certificate holder shall
document the issuance of this notice and provide that documentation to the
Department.

(VI.A.1.4) Prior to start of commercial operation, the certificate holder shall submit a plan
for complaint-based operational noise monitoring to the Department. Commercial
operation shall not commence until the Department has concurred in writing with
the complaint-based noise monitoring protocol. The plan shall provide for testing
at houses whose owners or occupants submit a complaint to the Council or the
Department. The plan shall include a schedule for completion of required testing
and a date certain by which written results shall be provided to the Council. If the
owner of the property that filed the complaint refuses to grant access for the
purpose of performing the noise test described in this condition after reasonable
attempts are made by the certificate holder to receive permission for access, then
the Department shall not require further corrective action.

2. REMOVAL FILL LAW
[No conditions]

3. GROUND WATER ACT
[No conditions]

4. PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY

(VI.A.4.1) The certificate holder shall take reasonable steps to reduce or manage human
exposure to electric and magnetic fields, including, but not limited to:
(a) Constructing all aboveground transmission lines at least 200 feet from any
residence or other occupied structure, measured from the centerline of the
transmission line;
(b) Fencing all areas near the facility substations to ensure that substation
equipment is not accessible to the public;
(c) Providing to landowners a map of underground and overhead transmission
lines on their property and advising landowners of possible health risks;
and
(d) Designing and maintaining all transmission lines so that alternating
current electric fields do not exceed 9 kV per meter at one meter above the
ground surface in areas accessible to the public.

(VI.A.4.2) In advance of, and during, preparation of detailed design drawings and
specifications for 230-kV, 500-kV and 34.5-kV transmission lines, the certificate
holder shall consult with the Utility Safety and Reliability Section of the Oregon
Public Utility Commission to ensure that the designs and specifications are
consistent with applicable codes and standards.

(VI.A.4.3) Prior to start of construction, the certificate holder shall submit to ODOE a
procedure for coordinating, with all affected local electric service utilities and
transmission service providers, crane movements under electric transmission lines
during construction and maintenance of the facility. The procedure shall address
subjects including, but not limited to, minimum advance notification prior to any
crane movement under an electric transmission or distribution line, protocols for
determining adequate line clearance and specific crane path locations. With the
procedure, the certificate holder shall provide evidence of concurrence by each
affected electric service utility or transmission service provider. The certificate
holder shall ensure that all employees, construction contactors and subcontractors
adhere to this procedure throughout construction and maintenance of the facility.

VII. CONDITIONS REQUIRED BY COUNCIL RULES

This section lists conditions required by OAR 345-027-0020 (Mandatory Conditions in
Site Certificates), OAR 345-027-0023 (Site Specific Conditions), OAR 345-027-0028
(Monitoring Conditions) and OAR Chapter 345, Division 26 (Construction and Operation Rules
for Facilities). These conditions should be read together with the specific facility conditions
listed in Sections III, IV, V and VI to ensure compliance with the siting standards of OAR
Chapter 345, Divisions 22 and 24, and to protect the public health and safety. In these conditions,
the definitions in OAR 345-001-0010 apply.

The obligation of the certificate holder to report information to the Department or the
Council under the conditions listed in this section and in Sections III, IV, V and VI is subject to
the provisions of ORS 192.502 et seq. and ORS 469.560. To the extent permitted by law, the
Department and the Council will not publicly disclose information that may be exempt from
public disclosure if the certificate holder has clearly labeled such information and stated the basis
for the exemption at the time of submitting the information to the Department or the Council. If
the Department or the Council receives a request for the disclosure of the information, the
Department or the Council, as appropriate, will make a reasonable attempt to notify the
certificate holder and will refer the matter to the Attorney General for a determination of whether
the exemption is applicable, pursuant to ORS 192.450.

In addition to these conditions, the certificate holder is subject to all conditions and
requirements contained in the rules of the Council and in local ordinances and State laws in
effect on the date the site certificate is executed. Under ORS 469.401(2), upon a clear showing of
a significant threat to the public health, safety or the environment that requires application of
later-adopted laws or rules, the Council may require compliance with such later-adopted laws or
rules.

The Council recognizes that many specific tasks related to the design, construction,
operation and retirement of the facility will be undertaken by the certificate holder’s agents or
contractors. Nevertheless, the certificate holder is responsible for ensuring compliance with all
provisions of the site certificate.

(VII.1) OAR 345-027-0020(1): The Council shall not change the conditions of the site
certificate except as provided for in OAR Chapter 345, Division 27.
(VII.2) OAR 345-027-0020(2): The certificate holder shall submit a legal description of the site to the Department of Energy within 90 days after beginning operation of the facility. The legal description required by this rule means a description of metes and bounds or a description of the site by reference to a map and geographic data that clearly and specifically identifies the outer boundaries that contain all parts of the facility.

(VII.3) OAR 345-027-0020(3): The certificate holder shall design, construct, operate and retire the facility:
(a) Substantially as described in the site certificate;
(b) In compliance with the requirements of ORS Chapter 469, applicable Council rules, and applicable state and local laws, rules and ordinances in effect at the time the site certificate is issued; and
(c) In compliance with all applicable permit requirements of other state agencies.

(VII.4) OAR 345-027-0020(4): The certificate holder shall begin and complete construction of the facility by the dates specified in the site certificate. [See Conditions (III.D.1) and (III.D.2).]

(VII.5) OAR 345-027-0020(5): Except as necessary for the initial survey or as otherwise allowed for wind energy facilities, transmission lines or pipelines under this section, the certificate holder shall not begin construction, as defined in OAR 345-001-0010, or create a clearing on any part of the site until the certificate holder has construction rights on all parts of the site. For the purpose of this rule, “construction rights” means the legal right to engage in construction activities. For wind energy facilities, transmission lines or pipelines, if the certificate holder does not have construction rights on all parts of the site, the certificate holder may nevertheless begin construction, as defined in OAR 345-001-0010, or create a clearing on a part of the site if the certificate holder has construction rights on that part of the site and:
(a) The certificate holder would construct and operate part of the facility on that part of the site even if a change in the planned route of the transmission line or pipeline occurs during the certificate holder’s negotiations to acquire construction rights on another part of the site; or
(b) The certificate holder would construct and operate part of a wind energy facility on that part of the site even if other parts of the facility were modified by amendment of the site certificate or were not built.

(VII.6) OAR 345-027-0020(6): If the Council requires mitigation based on an affirmative finding under any standards of Division 22 or Division 24 of OAR Chapter 345, the certificate holder shall consult with affected state agencies and local governments designated by the Council and shall develop specific mitigation plans consistent with Council findings under the relevant standards. The certificate holder must submit the mitigation plans to the Office and receive
Office approval before beginning construction or, as appropriate, operation of the facility.

(VII.7) OAR 345-027-0020(7): The certificate holder shall prevent the development of any conditions on the site that would preclude restoration of the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition to the extent that prevention of such site conditions is within the control of the certificate holder.

(VII.8) OAR 345-027-0020(8): Before beginning construction of the facility, the certificate holder shall submit to the State of Oregon, through the Council, a bond or letter of credit in a form and amount satisfactory to the Council to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition. The certificate holder shall maintain a bond or letter of credit in effect at all times until the facility has been retired. The Council may specify different amounts for the bond or letter of credit during construction and during operation of the facility. [See Condition IV.C.4.]

(VII.9) OAR 345-027-0020(9): The certificate holder shall retire the facility if the certificate holder permanently ceases construction or operation of the facility. The certificate holder shall retire the facility according to a final retirement plan approved by the Council, as described in OAR 345-027-0110. The certificate holder shall pay the actual cost to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition at the time of retirement, notwithstanding the Council’s approval in the site certificate of an estimated amount required to restore the site.

(VII.10) OAR 345-027-0020(10): The Council shall include as conditions in the site certificate all representations in the site certificate application and supporting record the Council deems to be binding commitments made by the applicant.

(VII.11) OAR 345-027-0020(11): Upon completion of construction, the certificate holder shall restore vegetation to the extent practicable and shall landscape all areas disturbed by construction in a manner compatible with the surroundings and proposed use. Upon completion of construction, the certificate holder shall remove all temporary structures not required for facility operation and dispose of all timber, brush, refuse and flammable or combustible material resulting from clearing of land and construction of the facility.

(VII.12) OAR 345-027-0020(12): The certificate holder shall design, engineer and construct the facility to avoid dangers to human safety presented by seismic hazards affecting the site that are expected to result from all maximum probable seismic events. As used in this rule “seismic hazard” includes ground shaking, landslide, liquefaction, lateral spreading, tsunami inundation, fault displacement and subsidence.

(VII.13) OAR 345-027-0020(13): The certificate holder shall notify the Department, the State Building Codes Division and the Department of Geology and Mineral Industries promptly if site investigations or trenching reveal that conditions in the
foundation rocks differ significantly from those described in the application for a site certificate. After the Department receives the notice, the Council may require the certificate holder to consult with the Department of Geology and Mineral Industries and the Building Codes Division and to propose mitigation actions.

(VII.14) OAR 345-027-0020(14): The certificate holder shall notify the Department, the State Building Codes Division and the Department of Geology and Mineral Industries promptly if shear zones, artesian aquifers, deformations or clastic dikes are found at or in the vicinity of the site.

(VII.15) OAR 345-027-0020(15): Before any transfer of ownership of the facility or ownership of the site certificate holder, the certificate holder shall inform the Department of the proposed new owners. The requirements of OAR 345-027-0100 apply to any transfer of ownership that requires a transfer of the site certificate.

(VII.16) OAR 345-027-0020(16): If the Council finds that the certificate holder has permanently ceased construction or operation of the facility without retiring the facility according to a final retirement plan approved by the Council, as described in OAR 345-027-0110, the Council shall notify the certificate holder and request that the certificate holder submit a proposed final retirement plan to the Office within a reasonable time not to exceed 90 days. If the certificate holder does not submit a proposed final retirement plan by the specified date, the Council may direct the Department to prepare a proposed a final retirement plan for the Council’s approval. Upon the Council’s approval of the final retirement plan, the Council may draw on the bond or letter of credit described in OAR 345-027-0020(8) to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition according to the final retirement plan, in addition to any penalties the Council may impose under OAR Chapter 345, Division 29. If the amount of the bond or letter of credit is insufficient to pay the actual cost of retirement, the certificate holder shall pay any additional cost necessary to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition. After completion of site restoration, the Council shall issue an order to terminate the site certificate if the Council finds that the facility has been retired according to the approved final retirement plan.

(VII.17) OAR 345-027-0023(4): If the facility includes any transmission line under Council jurisdiction:
  (a) The certificate holder shall design, construct and operate the transmission line in accordance with the requirements of the National Electrical Safety Code 2007 edition; and
  (b) The certificate holder shall develop and implement a program that provides reasonable assurance that all fences, gates, cattle guards, trailers, or other objects or structures of a permanent nature that could become inadvertently charged with electricity are grounded or bonded throughout the life of the line.
(VII.18) OAR 345-027-0023(5): If the proposed energy facility is a pipeline or a transmission line or has, as a related or supporting facility, a pipeline or transmission line, the Council shall specify an approved corridor in the site certificate and shall allow the certificate holder to construct the pipeline or transmission line anywhere within the corridor, subject to the conditions of the site certificate. If the applicant has analyzed more than one corridor in its application for a site certificate, the Council may, subject to the Council’s standards, approve more than one corridor.

(VII.19) OAR 345-027-0028: The following general monitoring conditions apply:
(a) The certificate holder shall consult with affected state agencies, local governments and tribes and shall develop specific monitoring programs for impacts to resources protected by the standards of divisions 22 and 24 of OAR Chapter 345 and resources addressed by applicable statutes, administrative rules and local ordinances. The certificate holder must submit the monitoring programs to the Department of Energy and receive Department approval before beginning construction or, as appropriate, operation of the facility.
(b) The certificate holder shall implement the approved monitoring programs described in OAR 345-027-0028(1) and monitoring programs required by permitting agencies and local governments.
(c) For each monitoring program described in OAR 345-027-0028(1) and (2), the certificate holder shall have quality assurance measures approved by the Department before beginning construction or, as appropriate, before beginning commercial operation.
(d) If the certificate holder becomes aware of a significant environmental change or impact attributable to the facility, the certificate holder shall, as soon as possible, submit a written report to the Department describing the impact on the facility and any affected site certificate conditions.

(VII.20) OAR 345-026-0048: Following receipt of the site certificate or an amended site certificate, the certificate holder shall implement a plan that verifies compliance with all site certificate terms and conditions and applicable statutes and rules. As a part of the compliance plan, to verify compliance with the requirement to begin construction by the date specified in the site certificate, the certificate holder shall report promptly to the Department of Energy when construction begins. Construction is defined in OAR 345-001-0010. In reporting the beginning of construction, the certificate holder shall describe all work on the site performed before beginning construction, including work performed before the Council issued the site certificate, and shall state the cost of that work. For the purpose of this exhibit, “work on the site” means any work within a site or corridor, other than surveying, exploration or other activities to define or characterize the site or corridor. The certificate holder shall document the compliance plan and maintain it for inspection by the Department or the Council.
(VII.21) OAR 345-026-0080: The certificate holder shall report according to the following requirements:

(a) General reporting obligation for energy facilities under construction or operating:
   (i) Within six months after beginning construction, and every six months thereafter during construction of the energy facility and related or supporting facilities, the certificate holder shall submit a semiannual construction progress report to the Department of Energy. In each construction progress report, the certificate holder shall describe any significant changes to major milestones for construction. The certificate holder shall include such information related to construction as specified in the site certificate. When the reporting date coincides, the certificate holder may include the construction progress report within the annual report described in OAR 345-026-0080.
   (ii) By April 30 of each year after beginning construction, the certificate holder shall submit an annual report to the Department addressing the subjects listed in OAR 345-026-0080. The Council Secretary and the certificate holder may, by mutual agreement, change the reporting date.
   (iii) To the extent that information required by OAR 345-026-0080 is contained in reports the certificate holder submits to other state, federal or local agencies, the certificate holder may submit excerpts from such other reports to satisfy this rule. The Council reserves the right to request full copies of such excerpted reports.

(b) In the annual report, the certificate holder shall include the following information for the calendar year preceding the date of the report:
   (i) Facility Status: An overview of site conditions, the status of facilities under construction, and a summary of the operating experience of facilities that are in operation. In this section of the annual report, the certificate holder shall describe any unusual events, such as earthquakes, extraordinary windstorms, major accidents or the like that occurred during the year and that had a significant adverse impact on the facility.
   (ii) Reliability and Efficiency of Power Production: For electric power plants, the plant availability and capacity factors for the reporting year. The certificate holder shall describe any equipment failures or plant breakdowns that had a significant impact on those factors and shall describe any actions taken to prevent the recurrence of such problems.
   (iii) Fuel Use: For thermal power plants:
      (A) The efficiency with which the power plant converts fuel into electric energy. If the fuel chargeable to power heat rate was evaluated when the facility was sited, the
certificate holder shall calculate efficiency using the same formula and assumptions, but using actual data; and

(B) The facility’s annual hours of operation by fuel type and, every five years after beginning operation, a summary of the annual hours of operation by fuel type as described in OAR 345-024-0590(5).

(iv) Status of Surety Information: Documentation demonstrating that bonds or letters of credit as described in the site certificate are in full force and effect and will remain in full force and effect for the term of the next reporting period.

(v) Monitoring Report: A list and description of all significant monitoring and mitigation activities performed during the previous year in accordance with site certificate terms and conditions, a summary of the results of those activities, and a discussion of any significant changes to any monitoring or mitigation program, including the reason for any such changes.

(vi) Compliance Report: A description of all instances of noncompliance with a site certificate condition. For ease of review, the certificate holder shall, in this section of the report, use numbered subparagraphs corresponding to the applicable sections of the site certificate.

(vii) Facility Modification Report: A summary of changes to the facility that the certificate holder has determined do not require a site certificate amendment in accordance with OAR 345-027-0050.

(viii) Nongenerating Facility Carbon Dioxide Emissions: For nongenerating facilities that emit carbon dioxide, a report of the annual fuel use by fuel type and annual hours of operation of the carbon dioxide emitting equipment as described in OAR 345-024-0630(4).

(VII.22) OAR 345-026-0105: The certificate holder and the Department of Energy shall exchange copies of all correspondence or summaries of correspondence related to compliance with statutes, rules and local ordinances on which the Council determined compliance, except for material withheld from public disclosure under state or federal law or under Council rules. The certificate holder may submit abstracts of reports in place of full reports; however, the certificate holder shall provide full copies of abstracted reports and any summarized correspondence at the request of the Department.

(VII.23) OAR 345-026-0170(1): The certificate holder shall notify the Department of Energy within 72 hours of any occurrence involving the facility if:
(a) There is an attempt by anyone to interfere with its safe operation;
(b) A natural event such as an earthquake, flood, tsunami or tornado, or a human-caused event such as a fire or explosion affects or threatens to affect the public health and safety or the environment; or
(c) There is any fatal injury at the facility.
VIII. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

To transfer this site certificate or any portion thereof or to assign or dispose of it in any other manner, directly or indirectly, the certificate holder shall comply with OAR 345-027-0100.

IX. SEVERABILITY AND CONSTRUCTION

If any provision of this agreement and certificate is declared by a court to be illegal or in conflict with any law, the validity of the remaining terms and conditions shall not be affected, and the rights and obligations of the parties shall be construed and enforced as if the agreement and certificate did not contain the particular provision held to be invalid.

X. GOVERNING LAW AND FORUM

This site certificate shall be governed by the laws of the State of Oregon. Any litigation or arbitration arising out of this agreement shall be conducted in an appropriate forum in Oregon.

XI. EXECUTION

This site certificate may be executed in counterparts and will become effective upon signature by the Chair of the Council and the authorized representative of the certificate holder.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this site certificate has been executed by the State of Oregon, acting by and through its Energy Facility Siting Council, and by Golden Hills Wind Farm LLC.

ENERGY FACILITY SITING COUNCIL

By: ______________________________
W. Bryan Wolfe, Chair
Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council

GOLDEN HILLS WIND FARM LLC

By: ______________________________
Print: ______________________________
Date: _____________________________

GOLDEN HILLS WIND PROJECT
SECOND AMENDED SITE CERTIFICATE
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MEMORANDUM

Date: September 11, 2014

To: Golden Hills Wind Farm LLC

From: WEST, Inc.

Subject: Proposed raptor nest survey protocol for Golden Hills Wind Project

Introduction

Golden Hills Wind Farm LLC (Golden Hills) is in the process of requesting an extension of the site certificate for the Golden Hills Wind Project (GHWP) in Sherman County, OR. During review of the application to extend the site certificate, it was recommended by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) that additional raptor nest surveys be conducted prior to the start of construction utilizing currently recommended survey protocols to update the data gathered during previous surveys. Raptor nest surveys for the GHWP were last conducted in 2007 and covered the project area boundary and a 2-mile buffer, with an extended survey area to the east of the project along Grass Valley Canyon and the John Day River, which specifically targeted cliff nesting species such as golden eagles and peregrine falcons. This memo was prepared to summarize the proposed survey protocol that Golden Hills intends to implement at the GHWP to satisfy the recommendation of ODFW.

Proposed Raptor Nest Survey Methods

The objective of the raptor nest survey will be to locate nests of raptors that may be subject to disturbance and displacement effects from construction and operation of the GHWP. The initial raptor nest survey will be conducted prior to leaf out to enhance the ability of observers to located nests in deciduous trees and will be timed with the early courtship period for golden eagles. This means the initial survey will likely occur late January or early February. To better document nest occupancy at all nests located during the initial survey, a follow-up survey will be conducted later in the nesting season (~April) when most species should be actively incubating eggs or brooding young.

Nest surveys will be conducted from a helicopter flown at an altitude of tree-top level to approximately 250 ft (76 m) aboveground. Surveys will target all potential raptor nesting substrates, with an emphasis on tree and cliff nesting raptors, such as red-tailed hawk (Buteo jamaicensis), Swainson’s hawk (Buteo swainsoni), great-horned owl (Bubo virginianus), golden
eagle (Aquila chrysaetos) and peregrine falcon (Falco peregrinus). Other species that nest on the ground, or in cavities, will be recorded if observed, but will not be the focus of surveys as they are difficult to detect from the air. Systematic surveys for all raptor nests will be conducted within 2-miles of proposed turbine corridors, with additional surveys targeting golden eagle nests conducted out to a maximum of 10 miles from proposed turbine corridors. Per the USFWS Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance (ECP Guidance, USFWS 2013), if recent data (i.e., with the past 5 years) are available on spacing of occupied eagle nests for the project-area nesting population, the data may be used to delineate an appropriate survey area boundary, as described in Appendix H of the ECP Guidance. If recent survey data suggest a final survey area buffer that is less than 10 miles, it will be brought to the attention of the USFWS/ODFE prior to implementation.

The Oregon Eagle Foundation (OEF) has been conducting surveys for eagle nests and monitoring known golden eagle nests throughout Oregon for several years (Isaacs 2013). Prior to implementing surveys, OEF will be contacted regarding the location of known nesting sites and recent monitoring efforts in the vicinity of the GHWP. Coordination with OEF, and potentially others (e.g., ODFW, other developers), will be used to minimize survey overlap/duplication and reduce potential disturbance from survey efforts at known nest sites. To the extent practicable, surveys will follow the methods described in the USFWS Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance document (USFWS 2013). The initial survey will include a detailed search of all potentially suitable nesting substrates, while the second survey will only target nests identified during the initial survey. New nests identified while traveling between known sites will also be documented during the second survey.

When a nest is observed, the helicopter will be moved to a position where nest status and species present can be determined. Efforts will be made to minimize disturbance to breeding raptors; generally, the greatest possible distance at which the species can be identified will be maintained, with distances varying depending upon nest location and wind conditions. Data recorded for each nest location will include species occupying the nest, nest status (inactive, eggs present, incubating, young present, adult present, unknown, or other), nest substrate (tree, shrub, rocky outcrop, cliff, or power line), number of eggs or young present, time and date of observation, and the nest location (recorded with handheld GPS units). Locations of inactive nests will be recorded and mapped as they may be occupied during subsequent years.
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