

# **Exhibit P**

## **Fish and Wildlife Habitats and Species**

---

**Yellow Rosebush Energy Center  
September 2025**

**Prepared for  
Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC**

**Prepared by**



This page intentionally left blank

## Table of Contents

|       |  |    |
|-------|--|----|
| 1.0   | Introduction .....   | 1  |
| 2.0   | Analysis Area .....  | 1  |
| 3.0   | Agency Consultation .....  | 1  |
| 4.0   | Description of Biological and Botanical Surveys Performed – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(A) ..                 | 2  |
| 4.1   | Information Review.....  | 2  |
| 4.1.1 | Desktop Review .....   | 2  |
| 4.2   | Field Surveys .....  | 3  |
| 4.2.1 | Wildlife Habitat Mapping and Categorization Surveys.....   | 3  |
| 4.2.2 | Special Status Wildlife Species Surveys .....  | 4  |
| 4.2.3 | Special Status Plant Species Surveys.....  | 4  |
| 4.2.4 | Raptor Nest Survey.....  | 4  |
| 5.0   | Identification and Description of Habitat – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(B)(C) .....                           | 5  |
| 5.1   | Description of Fish and Wildlife Habitat in the Analysis Area.....                                       | 6  |
| 6.0   | Identification of State Sensitive Species and Site-Specific ODFW Issues – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(D)..... | 10 |
| 6.1   | Survey Results .....   | 10 |
| 6.2   | Site-Specific Issues Identified by ODFW .....  | 22 |
| 7.0   | Baseline Survey of Habitat Use by State Sensitive Species – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(E) ....               | 23 |
| 8.0   | Description of Potential Adverse Impacts – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(F).....                                | 24 |
| 8.1   | Potential Impacts to Fish and Wildlife Habitat .....   | 24 |
| 8.1.1 | Category 2 Habitat .....   | 27 |
| 8.1.2 | Category 6 Habitat .....   | 28 |
| 8.2   | Potential Impacts to State Sensitive Species .....   | 28 |
| 8.2.1 | Mammals.....   | 29 |
| 8.2.2 | Birds.....   | 30 |
| 8.2.3 | Reptiles.....  | 34 |
| 8.2.4 | Fish.....  | 35 |
| 9.0   | Measures to Avoid, Reduce, or Mitigate Impacts – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(G) .....                         | 36 |
| 9.1   | Avoidance and Minimization .....   | 36 |
| 9.1.1 | During Facility Design and Micrositing.....  | 36 |
| 9.1.2 | Prior to Construction.....   | 37 |

|       |   |    |
|-------|---|----|
| 9.1.3 | During Construction.....                                      | 37 |
| 9.1.4 | During Operation.....   | 38 |
| 9.2   | Mitigation.....   | 39 |
| 9.3   | Compliance with ODFW Mitigation Goals – OAR 635-415-0025..... | 40 |
| 10.0  | Monitoring Program – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(H).....           | 40 |
| 11.0  | Conclusion.....   | 40 |
| 12.0  | Submittal Requirements and Approval Standards.....            | 41 |
| 12.1  | Submittal Requirements.....                                   | 41 |
| 12.2  | Approval Standards.....                                       | 42 |
| 13.0  | References.....   | 42 |

### **List of Tables**

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table P-1. ODFW Habitat Categorization .....   | 5  |
| Table P-2. Habitat Types and Categories within the Analysis Area.....  | 7  |
| Table P-3. Acres of Habitat Categories and Types within the Micrositing Corridor and the Analysis Area ..... | 9  |
| Table P-4. State Sensitive Species with the Potential to Occur within the Analysis Area .....                | 11 |
| Table P-5. Potential Impacts by Habitat Category, Type and Subtype .....                                     | 25 |
| Table P-6. ODFW Raptor Nest Buffers and Seasonal Restrictions .....  | 38 |
| Table P-7. Submittal Requirements Matrix .....   | 41 |
| Table P-8. Approval Standard .....   | 42 |

## List of Figures

Figure P-1. Fish & Wildlife Analysis Area

Figure P-2. 2023 Survey Area within Facility Site Boundary

Figure P-3. Preliminary Habitat Categories within the Analysis Area

Figure P-4. Habitat Subtypes & Final Habitat Categories within the Analysis Area

Figure P-5. Special Status Species Observations within the Analysis Area **(Confidential – provided under separate cover)**

Figure P-6. 2023 In-Use Raptor Nests

## List of Attachments

Attachment P-1. Biological Survey Reports **(2023 Wildlife, Habitat, and Raptor Nest Survey Report is confidential and provided under separate cover)**

Attachment P-2. Draft Habitat Mitigation Plan

Attachment P-3. Draft Revegetation and Reclamation Plan

Attachment P-4. Draft Noxious Weed Control Plan

## Acronyms and Abbreviations

|           |  |
|-----------|--|
| APLIC     | Avian Powerline Interaction Committee  |
| Applicant | Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC     |
| BGEPA     | Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act   |
| EFSC      | Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council  |
| Facility  | Yellow Rosebush Energy Center          |
| GIS       | geographic information systems         |
| O&M       | operations and maintenance             |
| OAR       | Oregon Administrative Rules            |
| ODFW      | Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife |
| ORBIC     | Oregon Biodiversity Information Center |
| USFWS     | U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service         |

## **1.0 Introduction**

Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC (Applicant) seeks to develop the Yellow Rosebush Energy Center (Facility), a solar energy generation facility, battery energy storage system, and related or supporting facilities in Wasco and Sherman counties, Oregon.

This Exhibit P was prepared to meet the submittal requirements in Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) 345-021-0010(1)(p). Exhibit P provides information on the fish and wildlife habitats and species that could be affected by the Facility, other than the species addressed in Exhibit Q.

## **2.0 Analysis Area**

The analysis area for fish and wildlife habitat is the proposed site boundary plus a 0.5-mile buffer, as defined by OAR 345-001-0010(35)(c) and as confirmed by the Project Order (ODOE 2024). The proposed site boundary is defined in detail in Exhibits B and C. The fish and wildlife habitat analysis area is shown on Figure P-1. A portion of the proposed site boundary is designated as the proposed microsite corridor, where solar arrays and all other related and supporting facilities may be located. The Applicant performed field surveys within the majority of the proposed microsite corridor, while desktop analysis was used to understand the area within the proposed site boundary, the 0.5-mile buffer around the proposed site boundary, and the portion of the microsite corridor not accessible for field surveys along the alternate generation-tie line and areas with severe slopes along Buck Hollow and Hauser canyons (Figure P-1; Attachment P-1).

## **3.0 Agency Consultation**

To inform wildlife use of the site boundary, the Applicant consulted with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), as summarized below:

- Met with ODFW staff via video call on May 31, 2023, to discuss survey methods. ODFW concurred with the survey methods, including timing and extent of surveys.
- Met with ODFW staff at the Facility site on June 15, 2023, to discuss habitat mapping and strategies to reduce Facility habitat impacts.
- Met with ODFW staff via video call on August 30 and December 14, 2023, to discuss mitigation options for Facility habitat impacts.
- Met with USFWS staff via video call on December 5, 2023, to discuss eagle avoidance and minimization measures.

## 4.0 Description of Biological and Botanical Surveys Performed – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(A)

*OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p) Information about the fish and wildlife habitat and the fish and wildlife species, other than the species addressed in subsection (q) that could be affected by the proposed facility, providing evidence to support a finding by the Council as required by OAR 345-022-0060. The applicant must include:*

*OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(A) A description of biological and botanical surveys performed that support the information in this exhibit, including a discussion of the timing and scope of each survey.*

This section describes the biological and botanical surveys conducted in support of this exhibit as required under OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(A), including the timing and scope of each survey. Biological and botanical surveys included an initial desktop-level review, followed by field surveys.

### 4.1 Information Review

#### 4.1.1 Desktop Review

Prior to conducting field surveys in 2023, the Applicant conducted a desktop review to identify special status fish and wildlife species that had the potential to occur in the analysis area, including federal and state endangered, threatened, proposed, and candidate species; species of concern; birds of conservation concern; sensitive and sensitive-critical species; and Oregon Conservation Strategy species (Attachment P-1; OCS 2016; ODFW 2021a; ODFW 2021b; ORBIC 2019; ORBIC 2023a; USFWS 2021; USFWS 2023a; USFWS 2023b). The Applicant reviewed habitat and range information for special status fish and wildlife species known to occur in Wasco County and Sherman County and the Columbia Plateau/Columbia Basin to develop the list of species that had the potential to occur within the analysis area. Species were eliminated from consideration if their habitat was absent from the analysis area, or their range did not overlap with the analysis area; species were included if they have the potential for vagrancy at the Facility.

The Applicant identified target rare plants species with the potential to occur within the analysis area based on known occurrences recorded by herbaria and other sources (Burke Museum of Natural History and Culture 2023; ODA 2023; Oregon Flora 2023a; Oregon Flora 2023b; Oregon Flora 2023c; ORBIC 2019; ORBIC 2023a; ORBIC 2023b; USFWS 2023a). The Applicant identified all vascular plants listed as endangered or threatened by the USFWS under the federal Endangered Species Act, as well as candidates and species proposed for listing, and plants listed as endangered, threatened, or candidates for listing by the Oregon Department of Agriculture under the Oregon Endangered Species Act.

In addition to reviewing publicly available sources, the Applicant submitted a request to the Oregon Biodiversity Information Center (ORBIC) to obtain site-specific records of special status species occurrences and sensitive habitats within 10 miles of the Facility (ORBIC 2023a). The Applicant

also reviewed aerial photographs, National Wetlands Inventory data, the National Hydrography Dataset, and big game winter range spatial data to preliminarily identify ODFW habitats within the analysis area (ODFW 2013; USFWS 2022; USFWS 2023c; USGS 2023; Leal 2020; ORBIC 2023a).

## **4.2 Field Surveys**

The Applicant conducted field surveys within the majority of the proposed microsites corridor in June 2023. The Applicant did not have land access to the alternate generation-tie line corridor or areas with severe slopes (greater than 30 percent) along Buck Hollow and Hauser canyons (1,050 acres) during the 2023 survey season; this area received a desktop review in June 2023 and will be field surveyed prior to construction, as appropriate. Because the steeper slopes do not fall within the microsites corridor, they will not be impacted by construction or operations of the Facility. Therefore, the only area not yet surveyed that may be affected by construction is the alternate generation-tie line corridor. If special status species are found during any additional surveys conducted prior to construction, the Applicant will work with ODFW and the Oregon Department of Energy to avoid and minimize impacts during construction to the extent possible. Figure P-2 shows the extent of surveys within the proposed microsites corridor in 2023. A detailed description of the surveys can be found in the biological survey reports (Attachment P-1).

### ***4.2.1 Wildlife Habitat Mapping and Categorization Surveys***

Prior to conducting field surveys, the Applicant mapped preliminary habitat polygons using aerial photography, previous habitat assessments, and U.S. Department of Agriculture CropScape Cropland Geographic Information System (GIS) data to identify the range of habitat types within the analysis area (ODFW 2013; USDA-NASS 2023). The Applicant conducted wildlife and habitat surveys within the majority of the proposed microsites corridor in June 2023 to field verify habitat mapping. Surveyors confirmed or recategorized areas of relatively homogenous vegetation and characterized the composition and structure of habitat types. Each delineated vegetation polygon was assigned a habitat type, subtype, and habitat quality category guided by the draft habitat categorization table in Attachment P-1. Data characterizing a particular habitat type and quality described representative conditions of all such polygons. A minimum mapping unit of 1 acre was used, except for specialized habitat types, such as cliffs.

Surveyors walked meandering transects within non-cultivated land inside the proposed microsites corridor, scanning the landscape and mapping habitats within the proposed microsites corridor. Following field surveys, the digitized boundaries were downloaded and processed in a GIS program, and the field datasheets were incorporated into the spatial data. Data were reviewed for quality control and processed to incorporate wetlands and waters data. Habitat types and categories were not assigned to wetlands and waters in the field; they were derived from data collected during wetlands and waters surveys where available, following the habitat categorization field effort.

Outside of the accessible areas within the proposed microsites corridor, but inside the analysis area, habitats were categorized based on desktop analysis. The alternate generation-tie line and steep canyon slopes (i.e., the non-accessible portion of the microsites corridor) were also analyzed

via desktop (Figure P-2). Data from National Wetlands Inventory and National Hydrography Dataset were incorporated into desktop-delineated habitat within the analysis area (USFWS 2023c, USGS 2023; Figure P-2). The Applicant believes that desktop data for these areas accurately represent habitat types for the purpose of identifying wildlife species that may occur in the analysis area. The extent of field surveys conducted in 2023 are shown in Figure P-2. For the complete survey methods employed, see Attachment P-1. Results of the combined desktop analysis and field surveys are detailed in Section 5.0.

#### ***4.2.2 Special Status Wildlife Species Surveys***

Special status wildlife species surveys were conducted concurrent to habitat categorization surveys in June 2023. Surveyors walked meandering transects within non-cultivated land inside the proposed microsite corridor, focusing on areas likely to support special status wildlife species. Areas unlikely to support special status species (i.e., cultivated land, developed areas) were surveyed primarily from field vehicles, using the same method as described above for habitat mapping. Surveyors alternately scanned the landscape, the sky, and the ground looking for special status wildlife species and recognizable sign. In addition to pedestrian surveys, biologists installed motion-activated game cameras within the proposed microsite corridor to document white-tailed jackrabbit (*Lepus townsendii*) presence, as recommended by ODFW (Attachment P-1). During surveys, biologists recorded the location of special status wildlife species (or recognizable sign) and recorded information on the number of individuals and their behavior. Surveyors also kept a running list of all wildlife species observed and documented special habitats and unique features such as raptor nests, cliffs, rimrock, rock outcrops, and talus slopes, if encountered. Following field surveys, the digitized data were downloaded and processed in a GIS program and were reviewed for quality control and assurance.

#### ***4.2.3 Special Status Plant Species Surveys***

The Applicant conducted botanical field surveys within the majority of the proposed microsite corridor in June 2023. Special status plant species with the potential to occur within the analysis area are discussed in Exhibit Q.

#### ***4.2.4 Raptor Nest Survey***

Raptor nest surveys were conducted concurrent to habitat categorization and special status wildlife surveys in June 2023. Surveyors systematically searched raptor nest habitat within the proposed microsite corridor and surrounding 0.5-mile buffer by vehicle and on foot. Nesting substrate within the proposed microsite corridor was investigated from public and private roads and on foot. Property outside the proposed microsite corridor but within the 0.5-mile buffer was searched by scanning suitable nesting habitat from public roads or from the edge of the proposed microsite corridor boundary. A 0.5-mile buffer around the alternate generation tie-line was also searched for raptor nests from public roads. Periodic stops were made to scan suitable habitat (e.g., trees, utility towers, power poles, and rock outcrops) and examine nests with the aid of binoculars.

Due to the lack of publicly accessible areas within the 0.5-mile buffer, some areas were not visited in 2023 and will need to be surveyed prior to construction where possible. To determine the status of a nest, biologists made observations on the behavior of adults, presence of young, signs of nest building, or whitewash. Biologists also documented American crow (*Corvus brachyrhynchos*) and common raven (*Corvus corax*) nests. Although not raptors, American crow and common raven nests were recorded during the surveys because they are stick nests that could be used by nesting raptors during subsequent breeding seasons. Following field surveys, the digitized data were downloaded and processed in a GIS program and were reviewed for quality control and assurance.

## 5.0 Identification and Description of Habitat – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(B)(C)

*OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(B) Identification of all fish and wildlife habitat in the analysis area, classified by the general fish and wildlife habitat categories as set forth in OAR 635-415-0025 and the sage-grouse specific habitats described in the Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation Strategy for Oregon at OAR 635-140-0000 through 635-140-0025 (core, low density, and general habitats), and a description of the characteristics and condition of that habitat in the analysis area, including a table of the areas of permanent disturbance and temporary disturbance (in acres) in each habitat category and subtype;*

*OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(C) A map showing the locations of the habitat identified in (B);*

The ODFW Fish and Wildlife Habitat Mitigation Policy (OAR 635-415-0025) provides a framework for assigning one of six category types to habitats based on the relative importance of these habitats to fish and wildlife species. The definition of each category type is shown in Table P-1.

**Table P-1. ODFW Habitat Categorization**

| ODFW Habitat Category        | Definition <sup>1</sup>  |
|------------------------------|--|
| 1                            | Irreplaceable, essential habitat for a fish or wildlife species, population, or a unique assemblage of species and is limited on either a physiographic province or site-specific basis, depending on the individual species, population or unique assemblage. |
| 2                            | Essential habitat for a fish or wildlife species, population, or unique assemblage of species and is limited either on a physiographic province or site-specific basis depending on the individual species, population or unique assemblage.                   |
| 3                            | Essential habitat for fish and wildlife, or important habitat for fish and wildlife that is limited either on a physiographic province or site-specific basis, depending on the individual species or population.  |
| 4                            | Important habitat for fish and wildlife species.   |
| 5                            | Habitat for fish and wildlife having high potential to become either essential or important habitat.   |
| 6                            | Habitat that has low potential to become essential or important habitat for fish and wildlife.   |
| 1. Source: OAR 635-415-0025. |  |

## **5.1 Description of Fish and Wildlife Habitat in the Analysis Area**

Table P-2 describes habitat categories and types found within the analysis area. During field surveys, the Applicant identified habitat that met the definitions for Category 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 habitats based on primarily vegetative characteristics (Attachment P-1). Based on consultation with ODFW, the Applicant mapped areas within ODFW-designated Mule Deer Winter Range (ODFW 2013) as Category 2 habitat, except for cultivated cropland and developed land, which is Category 6 habitat. Table P-2 describes the vegetation and other characteristics of each habitat type and category within the analysis area; however, all areas not mapped as Category 6 were ultimately determined to be Category 2, as ODFW-designated Mule Deer Winter Range covers the entirety of the analysis area.

Table P-2. Habitat Types and Categories within the Analysis Area

| Habitat Type   | Habitat Subtype  | Category 2   | Category 3  | Category 4  | Category 5   | Category 6 |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|------------|
| Big Game Winter Range Habitat Overlay applies to all habitat sub-types except for cropland (i.e., Wheat Fields and Other Row Crops) and developed areas (i.e., Urban and Mixed Environs) |  | Mule Deer Winter Range as designated by ODFW (2013).   | N/A   | N/A   | N/A  | N/A        |
| Open Water – Lakes, Rivers, Streams  | <b>Permanent Ponds/Lakes</b><br>Open water areas, including natural lakes, reservoirs, stock ponds, beaver ponds | <i>Natural lakes or beaver ponds with high-quality habitat.</i>  | Most other open water areas with lower-quality habitat (for example, some habitat requisites missing or bullfrogs abundant).  | <i>Highly degraded open water area, dominated by non-native vegetation or no vegetation around margins (for example, highly degraded stock pond).</i> | N/A  | N/A        |
|  | <b>Seasonal Ponds</b><br>Open water areas that contain water part of the year                                    | <i>Seasonal ponds with high quality, mostly native vegetation.</i>   | <i>Seasonal ponds with lower-quality habitat that is still dominated by native plant species.</i>   | Highly degraded, with a higher proportion of non-native vegetation or no vegetation around margins (for example, a seasonal stock pond).              | Habitat almost completely dominated by non-native plant species or otherwise highly degraded.                    | N/A        |
|  | <b>Perennial Streams</b><br>Streams mapped by USGS having permanent (year-round) flow                            | Fish-bearing natural stream channels that support native, migratory fish based on StreamNet data or input from ODFW fish biologists; and provides good spawning (gravel beds present, non-embedded) and/or rearing habitat, with native emergent, shrub, or forested riparian margins. | <i>Fish-bearing natural stream channels that do not support native, migratory fish based on StreamNet data or input from ODFW fish biologists; and provide marginal spawning (gravel present in pockets/30% embedded) and/or rearing habitat; or non-fish-bearing natural stream channels that drain into fish-bearing streams based on StreamNet data.</i> | Non-fish-bearing natural stream channels that do not directly drain into fish-bearing streams.  | N/A  | N/A        |
|  | <b>Intermittent or Ephemeral Streams</b><br>Streams mapped by USGS as intermittent                               | Fish-bearing natural stream channels that support native, migratory fish based on StreamNet data or input from ODFW fish biologists; and provides good spawning (gravel beds present, non-embedded) and/or rearing habitat, with native emergent, shrub, or forested riparian margins. | Fish-bearing natural stream channels that do not support native, migratory fish based on StreamNet data or input from ODFW fish biologists; and provide marginal spawning (gravel present in pockets/30% embedded) and/or rearing habitat; or non-fish-bearing natural stream channels which drain into fish-bearing streams based on StreamNet data.       | Non-fish-bearing natural stream channels that do not directly drain into fish-bearing streams.  | Non-fish-bearing ephemeral streams or excavated channels with high restoration potential; not important habitat. | N/A        |
| Wetlands   | <b>Emergent Wetlands</b><br>Emergent wetlands with herbaceous vegetation   | High quality habitat, dominated by native species.   | Mixture of native and non-native plant species and low to moderate disturbance  | N/A   | Farmed or previously filled wetlands; highly disturbed, dominated by non-native plant species.                   | N/A        |
|  | <b>Scrub-shrub Wetlands</b><br>Wetlands with woody vegetation less than 20 feet tall                             | High quality habitat, dominated by native plant species.   | Mixture of native and non-native plant species and low to moderate disturbance.   | N/A   | <i>Farmed or previously filled wetlands; highly disturbed, dominated by non-native plant species.</i>            | N/A        |

| Exhibit P: Fish and Wildlife Habitats and Species   |  |   |  |  |   |   |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| Habitat Type  | Habitat Subtype  | Category 2  | Category 3   | Category 4   | Category 5  | Category 6  |
|   | <b>Forested Wetlands</b><br>Forests (defined as areas with a minimum of 40% canopy closure > 20 feet tall), dominated by wetland indicator species | Exceptional habitat; well-buffered, with few or no non-native plant species, relatively undisturbed surroundings, or part of a large wetland complex, old-growth, or large sawtimber stage.                                   | Mixture of native and non-native plant species at sapling, pole, sawtimber stage.  | N/A  | N/A   | N/A   |
| <b>Riparian Forest and Natural Shrubland Complexes</b>  | <b>Eastside (Interior) Riparian</b>  | <i>High quality, diverse riparian areas that are not degraded.</i>  | <i>Typical mid-seral riparian, provides wildlife habitat.</i>  | <i>Provides marginal habitat; somewhat degraded.</i>   | <i>Highly degraded; dominated by non-native plant species.</i>  | N/A   |
| <b>Upland Grassland, Shrub-steppe and Shrubland</b>   | <b>Eastside Grasslands</b><br>Grassland areas with few shrubs (not irrigated or cultivated/planted)  | <i>Undisturbed habitat dominated by native species (i.e., greater than 75% ground cover is native), or moderately disturbed habitat (i.e., between 50 to 75% ground cover is native) that contains a sagebrush component.</i> | Moderately disturbed habitat with a mix of natives and non-natives (i.e., between 50 to 75% ground cover is native), or highly disturbed habitat (i.e., between 15 to 50% ground cover is native) that contains a sagebrush component. | Highly disturbed habitat with a high percentage of non-native plant species (i.e., between 15 to 50% ground cover is native), or very highly disturbed habitats (i.e., less than 15% ground cover is native) that contain a sagebrush component. | Very highly disturbed habitats with a high percentage of non-native plant species (i.e., less than 15% ground cover is native), but which do not contain a sagebrush component. | N/A   |
|   | <b>Shrub-steppe</b><br>Grassland and shrubland mosaic  | High degree of cover; contains native shrubs and native grasses; good structure/forage for wildlife. Understory dominated by native species. More diversity than Category 3 habitat.  | Habitat that is limited within the area (e.g., relatively undisturbed habitat); high degree of cover; moderate cover by weeds, moderate structure/forage for wildlife.   | Important wildlife habitat that is moderately to heavily degraded and weedy habitat.   | Very low quality dominated by non-native species with high restoration potential.   | N/A   |
| <b>Agriculture, Pasture, and Mixed Environs</b>   | <b>Planted Grasslands</b>  | N/A   | <i>Croplands planted to grassland with characteristics necessary to potentially provide essential habitat for sensitive wildlife due to cover and forage quality.</i>  | Croplands planted to grassland that lack later seral stage vegetative communities or are of less importance as wildlife habitat due to management or location.   | <i>Croplands planted to grassland that lack later seral stage vegetative communities and are highly disturbed or degraded, and have high restoration potential.</i>             | N/A   |
|   | <b>Wheat Fields and Other Row Crops</b>  | N/A   | N/A  | N/A  | N/A   | Active agricultural areas with low potential for restoration. |
| <b>Cliffs, Caves, and Talus</b>   |  | <i>Sites with known bat colonies.</i>   | Sites without known bat colonies.  | N/A  | N/A   | N/A   |
| <b>Urban and Mixed Environs</b>   |  | N/A   | N/A  | N/A  | N/A   | All developed areas.  |
| Note: Italicized text describes habitat subtypes and categories not found within the analysis area but presented for comparative purposes. No Category 1 habitat occurs within the analysis area. |  |   |  |  |   |   |

Table P-3 shows the acreages of each habitat type and habitat category within the analysis area and within the proposed micrositeing corridor, including the habitat categories initially assigned in the field and the final habitat categories following incorporation of the Mule Deer Winter Range overlay. The locations of each habitat category are shown in Figure P-3, both as they were field-surveyed within the proposed micrositeing corridor and as they were desktop-delineated within the analysis area. Figure P-4 shows the location of each habitat type and final habitat category considering the Mule Deer Winter Range overlay.

**Table P-3. Acres of Habitat Categories and Types within the Micrositeing Corridor and the Analysis Area**

| Final Habitat Category | Preliminary Habitat Category | Habitat Type-Subtype              | Acres within Micrositeing Corridor | Acres within Analysis Area |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2                      | 2                            | Emergent Wetlands                 | —                                  | 3.9                        |
|                        |                              | Forested Wetlands                 | 0.4                                | 5.1                        |
|                        |                              | Intermittent or Ephemeral Streams | —                                  | 0.1                        |
|                        |                              | Perennial Streams                 | —                                  | 53.0                       |
|                        |                              | Scrub-shrub Wetlands              | —                                  | 2.9                        |
|                        |                              | Shrub-steppe                      | 71.9                               | 72.3                       |
|                        | <b>Category 2 Total</b>      |                                   | <b>72.3</b>                        | <b>137.3</b>               |
| 2                      | 3                            | Cliffs, Caves, and Talus          | 19.7                               | 1,399.4                    |
|                        |                              | Eastside Grasslands               | 402.3                              | 947.9                      |
|                        |                              | Emergent Wetlands                 | 0.3                                | 4.4                        |
|                        |                              | Forested Wetlands                 | 0.2                                | 0.3                        |
|                        |                              | Intermittent or Ephemeral Streams | 0.1                                | 212.3                      |
|                        |                              | Permanent Ponds/Lakes             | —                                  | 4.1                        |
|                        |                              | Scrub-shrub Wetlands              | —                                  | 3.1                        |
|                        |                              | Shrub-steppe                      | 2,463.8                            | 4,477.7                    |
|                        | <b>Category 3 Total</b>      |                                   | <b>2,886.2</b>                     | <b>7,049.2</b>             |
| 2                      | 4                            | Eastside Grasslands               | 1,362.0                            | 4,447.8                    |
|                        |                              | Intermittent or Ephemeral Streams | 0.2                                | 80.4                       |
|                        |                              | Perennial Streams                 | —                                  | 0.6                        |
|                        |                              | Planted Grasslands                | 1,247.3                            | 1,313.7                    |
|                        |                              | Seasonal Ponds                    | —                                  | 1.7                        |
|                        |                              | Shrub-steppe                      | 149.2                              | 1,425.1                    |
|                        | <b>Category 4 Total</b>      |                                   | <b>2,758.7</b>                     | <b>7,269.2</b>             |
| 2                      | 5                            | Eastside Grasslands               | 949.4                              | 1,672.8                    |
|                        |                              | Emergent Wetlands                 | 0.5                                | 241.6                      |

| Final<br>Habitat<br>Category   | Preliminary<br>Habitat<br>Category | Habitat Type-Subtype              | Acres<br>within<br>Micrositing<br>Corridor | Acres within<br>Analysis Area |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
|  |                                    | Intermittent or Ephemeral Streams | 4.4  | 6.4                           |
|  |                                    | Seasonal Ponds                    | 1.4  | 5.2                           |
|  |                                    | Shrub-steppe                      | —  | 107.9                         |
|  | Category 5 Total                   |                                   | 955.6                                      | 2,033.8                       |
| Category 2 Final Total   |                                    |                                   | 6,672.9                                    | 16,489.5                      |
| 6  | 6                                  | Wheat Fields and Other Row Crops  | 303.2                                      | 1,605.1                       |
|  |                                    | Urban and Mixed Environs          | 49.7                                       | 287.6                         |
|  | Category 6 Total                   |                                   | 352.9                                      | 1,892.7                       |
| Category 6 Final Total   |                                    |                                   | 352.9                                      | 1,892.7                       |
| Grand Total  |                                    |                                   | 7,025.8                                    | 18,382.2                      |
| Note: numbers may not sum correctly due to rounding. “— “ means no acres.                              |                                    |                                   |  |                               |
| 1. Acres represent area within the Analysis Area not impact areas. Impacts are discussed in Section 8. |                                    |                                   |  |                               |

## 6.0 Identification of State Sensitive Species and Site-Specific ODFW Issues – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(D)

*OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(D) Based on consultation with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) and appropriate field study and literature review, identification of all State Sensitive Species that might be present in the analysis area and a discussion of any site-specific issues of concern to ODFW.*

### 6.1 Survey Results

Based on the desktop analysis and field surveys (Section 4.0), 21 state sensitive species, two eagle species, and one ODFW species of interest have the potential to occur within the analysis area (Table P-4). State endangered, threatened, and candidate species are addressed in Exhibit Q. Of these 21 state sensitive species, 8 are sensitive-critical species and 13 are sensitive species in the Columbia Plateau/Columbia Basin Ecoregion (Table P-4). While adverse impacts to bald eagles (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*) and golden eagles (*Aquila chrysaetos*) are not expected due to construction and operation of the Facility, eagles are addressed briefly in this document as a species of concern protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA), even though they are not state sensitive species. The white-tailed jackrabbit is addressed at the request of ODFW even though they are not a state sensitive species in the Columbia Plateau/Columbia Basin Ecoregion.

Table P-4. State Sensitive Species with the Potential to Occur within the Analysis Area

| Common Name<br><i>Scientific Name</i>   | Federal Status <sup>1/</sup> | ODFW Status in Columbia Plateau/Columbia Basin <sup>2/</sup> | Habitat and Species Information   | Sensitive Period(s) <sup>3</sup>   | Observed or Expected Occurrence within Analysis Area  | Potential Use of Habitat within Analysis Area               |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|
| <b>Mammals</b>                          |                              |  |   |  |   |   |
| hoary bat<br><i>Lasiurus cinereus</i>   | -                            | S  | Associated with deciduous and coniferous forests and woodland edges and forages among forest brush and riparian corridors. Roosts in tree foliage and cavities. Will uncommonly use rock crevices and caves. Shows roost fidelity across years. | During roosting periods, especially spring and early summer maternal roosting period. This species is not believed to hibernate in Oregon. | No bat species were observed during surveys, although no acoustic surveys targeting bats were performed. Occurs in Wasco County (ORBIC 2019). | Limited habitat available. Potential transient during fall. |
| pallid bat<br><i>Antrozous pallidus</i> | -                            | S  | Associated with rocky terrain in arid, desert landscapes with shrub-steppe components. Roosts in abandoned buildings, narrow crevices of bridges, cliffs, mines or in ponderosa pine snags. Forages on the ground in open areas.                | During roosting periods, especially spring and early summer maternal roosting and winter hibernation roosting periods.                     | No bat species were observed during surveys, although no acoustic surveys targeting bats were performed. Occurs in Wasco County (ORBIC 2019). | Potential foraging, roosting in cliff habitat.              |

| Common Name<br><i>Scientific Name</i>                      | Federal Status <sup>1/</sup> | ODFW Status in Columbia Plateau/Columbia Basin <sup>2/</sup> | Habitat and Species Information   | Sensitive Period(s) <sup>3</sup>   | Observed or Expected Occurrence within Analysis Area  | Potential Use of Habitat within Analysis Area   |
|--|------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|
| silver-haired bat<br><i>Lasionycteris noctivagans</i>      | -                            | S  | Associated with older Douglas-fir/western hemlock and ponderosa pine forests as well as juniper woodland habitat near streams, ponds and lakes. Roosts in tree cavities, under loose bark, caves, mines and in abandoned buildings. | During roosting periods, especially spring and early summer maternal roosting and winter hibernation roosting periods. Sources are undecided if this species hibernates in Oregon. | No bat species were observed during surveys, although no acoustic surveys targeting bats were performed. Occurs in Wasco County (ORBIC 2019). | Limited habitat available. Potential transient. |
| spotted bat<br><i>Euderma maculatum</i>                    | -                            | S  | Found in a variety of habitat types including arid shrub-steppe, juniper shrublands, grasslands, montane coniferous stands, and open ponderosa pine woodlands. Highly associated with cliffs and crevices in canyons.               | During roosting periods, especially spring and early summer maternal roosting and winter hibernation roosting periods.   | No bat species were observed during surveys, although no acoustic surveys targeting bats were performed. Occurs in Wasco County (ORBIC 2019). | Potential foraging, roosting in cliff habitat.  |
| Townsend's big-eared bat<br><i>Corynorhinus townsendii</i> | -                            | SC   | Associated with desert scrub-shrub habitat in eastern Oregon. Cave/mine obligate; associated with this habitat type year-round.   | During roosting periods, especially spring and early summer maternal roosting and winter hibernation roosting periods.   | No bat species were observed during surveys, although no acoustic surveys targeting bats were performed. Occurs in Wasco County (ORBIC 2019). | Potential foraging, roosting in cliff habitat.  |

| Common Name<br><i>Scientific Name</i>                           | Federal Status <sup>1/</sup> | ODFW Status in Columbia Plateau/ Columbia Basin <sup>2/</sup> | Habitat and Species Information  | Sensitive Period(s) <sup>3</sup>  | Observed or Expected Occurrence within Analysis Area                                 | Potential Use of Habitat within Analysis Area                            |
|---|------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| white-tailed jackrabbit<br><i>Lepus townsendii</i> <sup>4</sup> | –                            | –   | Associated with open grasslands and desert sagebrush plains as well as open coniferous forest and alpine meadow habitats.  | During reproductive periods which vary by climate, but generally occur in the spring.   | Scat observed within grassland and shrub-steppe habitats (Attachment P-1).           | Breeding, foraging, transient in shrub-steppe and grasslands.            |
| <b>Birds</b>  |                              |   |  |   |  |  |
| bald eagle<br><i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i> <sup>4</sup>      | BCC, BGEPA                   | –   | Nests in forested areas adjacent to large bodies of water. Nests in trees, rarely on cliff faces and ground nests in treeless areas. Known to scavenge opportunistically on carcasses in otherwise unsuitable habitat particularly during migration. | During the reproductive and nesting periods which occur February through mid-August.    | Not observed during surveys. Occurs in Wasco County and Sherman County (ORBIC 2019). | Cliff nesting habitat available. Possible migrant or transient.          |
| Brewer's sparrow<br><i>Spizella breweri</i>                     | BCC                          | S   | Closely associated with sagebrush steppe, generally with a canopy height of more than 5 feet. Often associated with big sagebrush ( <i>Artemisia tridentata</i> ). Nest in thick crowns or low in brush, or in clumps of grass.                      | During the reproductive and nesting periods which occur mid-April through late July.    | Observed in grassland and shrub-steppe habitat (Attachment P-1).                     | Breeding, foraging, migrating in shrub-steppe and grasslands.            |
| western burrowing owl<br><i>Athene cunicularia hypugaea</i>     | SOC                          | SC  | Associated with open, treeless areas with available burrows excavated by other species. Diurnal in the breeding season but become nocturnal in the winter.   | During the reproductive and nesting periods which occur early April through the spring. | Not observed during surveys. Occurs in Wasco County and Sherman County (ORBIC 2019). | Potential breeding, foraging in shrub-steppe, grasslands, and croplands. |

| Common Name<br><i>Scientific Name</i>       | Federal Status <sup>1/</sup> | ODFW Status in Columbia Plateau/Columbia Basin <sup>2/</sup> | Habitat and Species Information   | Sensitive Period(s) <sup>3</sup>  | Observed or Expected Occurrence within Analysis Area                                 | Potential Use of Habitat within Analysis Area                            |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| common nighthawk<br><i>Chordeiles minor</i> | -                            | S  | Nests on bare ground in open areas ranging from forest clearings and unused gravel roads to rocky scablands and dry streambeds. Forages for insects in all habitats, including urban and developed environments.                      | During the reproductive and nesting periods which occur late May through summer.      | Not observed during surveys. Occurs in Wasco County and Sherman County (ORBIC 2019). | Potential breeding, hunting, roosting, migrating in all mapped habitats. |
| ferruginous hawk<br><i>Buteo regalis</i>    | BCC, SOC                     | SC   | Open, grassy areas and shrub-steppe with scattered shrubs or trees for perching and nesting. Can nest in juniper or cottonwood trees near small streams, on rocky sites with an expansive view, on rimrock, or on undisturbed ground. | During the reproductive and nesting periods which occur mid-April through the spring. | Observed hunting in grassland habitat (Attachment P-1).                              | Hunting, migrating, breeding in all mapped habitats.                     |

| Common Name<br><i>Scientific Name</i>                 | Federal Status <sup>1/</sup> | ODFW Status in Columbia Plateau/ Columbia Basin <sup>2/</sup> | Habitat and Species Information   | Sensitive Period(s) <sup>3</sup>   | Observed or Expected Occurrence within Analysis Area                                      | Potential Use of Habitat within Analysis Area   |
|---|------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| golden eagle<br><i>Aquila chrysaetos</i> <sup>4</sup> | BCC, BGEPA                   | -   | Usually nests on cliffs but also can nest in trees. Breeds in open and semi-open habitats at a variety of elevations, in tundra, shrublands, grasslands, woodland-brushlands, and coniferous forests, farmland and riparian areas. Typically forages in open habitats like grasslands, areas with steppe-like vegetation. | During the reproductive and nesting periods which occur February through mid-August. | Known nesting occurrences in Buck Hollow Canyon (ORBIC 2023a, Leal 2020, Attachment P-1). | Nests present along cliffs. The proposed alternate generation-tie line is approximately 1.2 miles from the nearest golden eagle nest, and the proposed microsite corridor boundary is approximately 0.3 miles from the nearest nest. Migrant occurrence likely. |
| grasshopper sparrow<br><i>Ammodramus savannarum</i>   | -                            | S   | Associated with medium height grassland and sagebrush-steppe, typically small vegetative clumps amongst bare ground. Often inhabits native grassland habitat with less than 35 percent shrub cover.   | During the reproductive and nesting periods which occur April through September.     | Observed within grassland and shrub-steppe habitat (Attachment P-1).                      | Breeding, foraging, migrating in shrub-steppe and grasslands.   |

| Common Name<br><i>Scientific Name</i>            | Federal Status <sup>1/</sup> | ODFW Status in Columbia Plateau/Columbia Basin <sup>2/</sup> | Habitat and Species Information  | Sensitive Period(s) <sup>3</sup>  | Observed or Expected Occurrence within Analysis Area                                 | Potential Use of Habitat within Analysis Area                                      |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Lewis's woodpecker<br><i>Melanerpes lewis</i>    | BCC, SOC                     | SC   | Ponderosa pine forests, oak woodlands, oak-pine woodlands, cottonwood riparian forests, and areas burned by wildfires. Specifically requires aerial insects for foraging, large snags for nesting (especially soft or well-decayed snags), and relatively open canopy for flycatching. | During the reproductive and nesting periods which occur late April through August.    | Not observed during surveys. Occurs in Wasco County and Sherman County (ORBIC 2019). | Possible migrant.  |
| loggerhead shrike<br><i>Lanius ludovicianus</i>  | BCC                          | S  | Associated with open areas containing occasional shrubs and trees for nesting, and elevated perch sites for hunting and singing. Commonly associated with sagebrush steppe, juniper woodlands, mountain shrublands, and open oak and pine woodlands.                                   | During the reproductive and nesting periods which occur mid-March through mid-August. | Observed hunting on power lines (Attachment P-1).                                    | Breeding, hunting, migrating in all mapped habitats.                               |
| long-billed curlew<br><i>Numenius americanus</i> | BCC                          | SC   | Associated nesting habitat includes eastern Oregon grasslands and prairies with low density shrub cover, near water or wet meadows. Dryland wheat areas are also sometimes used as nesting and foraging habitat.   | During the reproductive and nesting periods which occur late March through August.    | Not observed during surveys. Occurs in Wasco County (ORBIC 2019).                    | Potential to nest and forage in shorter grass areas or cropland. Possible migrant. |

| Common Name<br><i>Scientific Name</i>                 | Federal Status <sup>1/</sup> | ODFW Status in Columbia Plateau/Columbia Basin <sup>2/</sup> | Habitat and Species Information   | Sensitive Period(s) <sup>3</sup>   | Observed or Expected Occurrence within Analysis Area                                | Potential Use of Habitat within Analysis Area                 |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|
| sagebrush sparrow<br><i>Artemisiospiza nevadensis</i> | BCC                          | SC   | Nests in shrub-steppe habitats. Requires high shrub cover and is closely associated with big sagebrush. During migration and in winter they are associated with dry shrublands and grasslands.  | During the reproductive and nesting periods which occur May through July.          | Not observed during surveys. Occurrence records near Maupin (Sullivan et al. 2009). | Potential breeding, migrating in shrub-steppe and grasslands. |
| Swainson's hawk<br><i>buteo swainsoni</i>             | -                            | S  | Associated with open sagebrush steppe, grassland, juniper woodlands, agricultural areas with trees, and large meadows in forested mountains. Tumbleweed and twig nests are built in trees of various sizes, most often willow or juniper trees.<br><br>Forages over open grasslands and fertile irrigated agriculture fields for small mammals. | During the reproductive and nesting periods which occur mid-April to early August. | Observed nesting and hunting (Attachment P-1).                                      | Breeding, hunting, migrating in all mapped habitats.          |
| <b>Reptiles</b>                                       |                              |  |   |  |   |   |

| Common Name<br><i>Scientific Name</i>                              | Federal Status <sup>1/</sup> | ODFW Status in Columbia Plateau/Columbia Basin <sup>2/</sup> | Habitat and Species Information   | Sensitive Period(s) <sup>3</sup>  | Observed or Expected Occurrence within Analysis Area                              | Potential Use of Habitat within Analysis Area      |
|--|------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| northern sagebrush lizard<br><i>Sceloporus graciosus graciosus</i> | SOC                          | S  | Highly associated with desert shrub-steppe habitat containing open, sun-exposed basking areas with loose sand soils between rocks, shrubs, or trees for nearby refuge.<br><br>Little is known about winter hibernation sites, but it is expected that this species hibernates in rodent burrows, under rocks and in rock crevasses. | During the reproductive and nesting periods June through mid-August.<br><br>During winter hibernation months if hibernacula is disturbed. | Observed sunning on rocks in grassland and shrub-steppe habitat (Attachment P-1). | Breeding, foraging in shrub-steppe and grasslands. |

| Common Name<br><i>Scientific Name</i>                   | Federal Status <sup>1/</sup> | ODFW Status in Columbia Plateau/ Columbia Basin <sup>2/</sup> | Habitat and Species Information  | Sensitive Period(s) <sup>3</sup>  | Observed or Expected Occurrence within Analysis Area  | Potential Use of Habitat within Analysis Area            |
|---|------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| western painted turtle<br><i>Chrysemys picta bellii</i> | -                            | SC  | Primarily aquatic (marshy ponds, small lakes, slow-moving streams, and quiet off-channel portions of rivers). Breeds in terrestrial habitats generally within 165 feet of suitable aquatic habitat. Can disperse across terrestrial habitat. | <p>During hibernation and nesting periods which could occur year-round.</p> <p>During winter hibernation within mud substrate of water body.</p> <p>Terrestrial nesting occurs between May and July, but eggs and hatchlings often stay within the nest until the following spring before migrating to water.</p> | Not observed during surveys, although no surveys targeting this species were performed. Occurs in Wasco County and Sherman County (ORBIC 2019). | Habitat limited to Buck Hollow Canyon and Hauser Canyon. |
| <b>Fish</b>   |                              |   |  |   |   |  |

| Common Name<br><i>Scientific Name</i>   | Federal Status <sup>1/</sup> | ODFW Status in Columbia Plateau/ Columbia Basin <sup>2/</sup> | Habitat and Species Information  | Sensitive Period(s) <sup>3</sup>  | Observed or Expected Occurrence within Analysis Area  | Potential Use of Habitat within Analysis Area |
|---|------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|
| Pacific lamprey<br><i>Entosphenus tridentatus</i>   | SOC                          | S   | Associated spawning habitat includes stream riffles with sand, gravel, or rock bottom depressions. Ammocetes (larval lamprey) associated with clear stream eddies with settled mud, silt, and sand. Anadromous species that migrates in the spring from the Pacific Ocean to spawning habitat. | During the extended reproductive and development periods which occur the first four to six years of life prior to migration to the Pacific Ocean and reproductive status is achieved. | Not observed during surveys; surveys were not conducted specifically for fish. Occurs in Wasco County and Sherman County (ORBIC 2019).                            | Habitat limited to Buck Hollow Canyon.        |
| Steelhead /<br>(Middle Columbia River Species Management Unit/ Evolutionarily Significant Unit, summer run)<br><i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> | T                            | SC  | Anadromous species associated with deep pools in the winter, and medium elevation, flat stretches of streams with high pool complexity in the summer. Steelhead are known to inhabit the Deschutes River and some tributaries including Buck Hollow Canyon.                                    | During the reproductive migration, spawning and juvenile rearing periods which extend throughout the year.  | Not observed during surveys; surveys were not conducted specifically for fish. Per ORBIC (2023) and StreamNet (2021), Buck Hollow Canyon is habitat for this run. | Habitat limited to Buck Hollow Canyon.        |
| western brook lamprey<br><i>Lampetra richardsoni</i>  | -                            | S   | Associated with clear freshwater creeks and medium-sized rivers with moderate gradient and pool and riffle habitats. Non-migratory species that spawns in late April to July in riffles on rock, sand, or gravel stream bottoms; eggs require temperatures of 50 - 60 °F to hatch.             | During the extended reproductive and development periods which occur the first five to six years of life prior to reaching reproductive age.  | Not observed during surveys; surveys were not conducted specifically for fish. Occurs in Wasco County and Sherman County (ORBIC 2019).                            | Habitat limited to Buck Hollow Canyon.        |

| <b>Common Name<br/><i>Scientific Name</i></b>   | <b>Federal<br/>Status<sup>1/</sup></b> | <b>ODFW<br/>Status<br/>in<br/>Columbia<br/>Plateau/<br/>Columbia<br/>Basin<sup>2/</sup></b> | <b>Habitat and Species Information</b> | <b>Sensitive<br/>Period(s)<sup>3</sup></b> | <b>Observed or<br/>Expected<br/>Occurrence within<br/>Analysis Area</b> | <b>Potential Use of<br/>Habitat within<br/>Analysis Area</b> |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| <p>Sources: Bechard et al. 2010; Brigham et al. 2011; Buehler 2000; Csuti et al. 2001; Dugger and Dugger 2002; Gervais et al. 2009; Kochert et al. 2002; Marshal et al. 2006; Martin and Carlson 1998; NatureServe 2023; Ng et al. 2017; OCS 2016; ODFW 2021a; ODFW 2021b; ORBIC 2019; ORBIC 2023a; OWE 2023; Poulin et al. 2011; Rotenberry 1990; Rotenberry et al. 1999; StreamNet 2021; Sullivan et al. 2009; USFWS 2021; USFWS 2022; USFWS 2023a; USFWS 2023b; Vickery 1996; Vierling et al. 2013; Yosef 1996.</p> <p><sup>1</sup> Federal Status: T = Threatened, SOC = Species of Concern, BCC = Bird of Conservation Concern, BGEPA = Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act.</p> <p><sup>2</sup> Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife Status: SC = Sensitive-Critical Species, S = Sensitive Species.</p> <p><sup>3</sup> Period in which species is most sensitive to disturbance, such as nesting, mating, migrating, or hibernating.</p> <p><sup>4</sup> This species does not have a special status in the Columbia Plateau/Columbia Basin ecoregion as of October 2023 but is included in this table as recommended by ODFW.</p> |  |   |  |  |   |  |

## 6.2 Site-Specific Issues Identified by ODFW

During ongoing coordination, ODFW has identified big game as a site-specific issue at the Facility, as ODFW-mapped Mule Deer Winter Range encompasses the entire analysis area. The Applicant has worked with ODFW to avoid, minimize, and mitigate impacts to big game, as described in Section 9.0. For example, in response to ODFW's comments on the Facility Notice of Intent, the Applicant will limit construction and operation activities outside the Facility's fenced area during the winter to reduce disturbance to big game. The Applicant is also working with ODFW to provide mitigation for impacts to big game winter range habitat, which has been mapped as Category 2 habitat per ODFW's recommendation.

Mule deer within the analysis area (and within the Columbia Plateau/Columbia Basin Ecoregion) are non-migratory, and ODFW expects Buck Hollow Canyon and Hauser Canyon to the north and east of the Facility to provide movement corridors for big game. The canyons are mapped Priority Wildlife Connectivity Areas, which provide important corridors for wildlife movement across the landscape (ODFW 2023). In response to ODFW's concerns about wildlife habitat connectivity, the Applicant has modified the Facility layout to set back from the canyons to the north and south where feasible. These setbacks also reduce impacts to sagebrush (shrub-steppe) habitat, an ODFW Strategy Habitat (ODFW 2016). The Facility is located in an elk de-emphasis area; therefore, there are no agency management objectives for elk at the Facility. As a result of these considerations, the Applicant anticipates impacts to big game will be minimized by siting facilities set back from the canyons to maintain habitat connectivity, and unavoidable impacts will be mitigated consistent with the ODFW Fish and Wildlife Habitat Mitigation Policy goals and standards (OAR 635-415-0025), and as described below.

ODFW also identified the white-tailed jackrabbit as a site-specific issue at the Facility due to known observations nearby, though they are not a state sensitive species in the Columbia Plateau/Columbia Basin Ecoregion. The Applicant consulted with ODFW to adapt surveys to detect white-tailed jackrabbits if present (e.g., use of game cameras) and documented habitat suitability specifically for this species. The Applicant has also worked with ODFW to avoid, minimize, and mitigate impacts to the white-tailed jackrabbit, as described in Section 9.0. For example, the Applicant is considering fencing specifications to allow movement through the Facility such as fixed-knot (or a similar wildlife-friendly option) and raising the fence approximately 6 to 8 inches from the ground to accommodate small animal movement. The Applicant is also working with ODFW to provide white-tailed jackrabbit benefits in mitigation actions. Unavoidable impacts will be mitigated consistent with the fish and wildlife habitat mitigation goals, the standards of OAR 635-415-0025, and as described below.

## 7.0 Baseline Survey of Habitat Use by State Sensitive Species – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(E)

*OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(E) A baseline survey of the use of habitat in the analysis area by species identified in (D) performed according to a protocol approved by the Department and ODFW.*

Table P-4 includes a description of expected habitat for each sensitive or otherwise applicable species with the potential to occur in the analysis area, whether the species is known to occur within the analysis area or nearby, and the potential for each species' use of the habitats identified within the analysis area. Field surveys were designed to document state sensitive species if present, and targeted areas likely to support these species; however, species not documented during surveys were not necessarily considered absent from the analysis area, as species that are present within the analysis area only seasonally (e.g., during winter) or only during a particular time of day (e.g., nocturnal or crepuscular species) would not have been documented during surveys. Additionally, field surveys may not have documented all habitat use by an observed species. As a result, the Applicant assumed presence of the state sensitive species identified in Table P-4 based on the presence of suitable habitat in the analysis area and determined habitat use of the analysis area by these species based on known habitat associations in combination with the results of field surveys.

Six state sensitive species and one ODFW species of concern were detected within the analysis area during 2023 surveys (Figure P-5). Survey methods are discussed in Section 4.2, and additional details on the results are provided in Attachment P-1. Observed habitat use by each of these species is as follows:

- **Swainson's hawk:** This species was observed in four locations hunting primarily in open grasslands, and in one location nesting in a conifer tree (Figure P-6).
- **Ferruginous hawk:** This species was observed in one location hunting in open grassland.
- **Brewer's sparrow:** This species was observed in two locations within grassland and shrub-steppe habitats.
- **Grasshopper sparrow:** This species was observed in eight locations, primarily in grassland habitat.
- **Loggerhead shrike:** This species was observed in two locations perched on power lines near developed areas.
- **Northern sagebrush lizard:** Individuals observed in three locations sunning on rocks in grassland and shrub-steppe habitats.
- **White-tailed jackrabbit:** Characteristic scat observed in seven locations in grasslands and shrub-steppe habitats. All scat found is assumed to be white-tailed jackrabbit scat.

## **8.0 Description of Potential Adverse Impacts – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(F)**

*OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(F) A description of the nature, extent and duration of potential adverse impacts on the habitat identified in (B) and species identified in (D) that could result from construction, operation and retirement of the proposed facility.*

Construction and operation of the Facility would result in both permanent and temporary impacts to wildlife and their habitats. As described in detail in Exhibit B, the Applicant proposes to construct the Facility in phases. The impact analysis presented in this exhibit represents a fully built-out scenario but takes into consideration a phased construction schedule. Habitat mitigation and vegetation management associated with construction and operation are discussed in this section, and in more detail in the Habitat Mitigation Plan (Attachment P-2) and the Revegetation and Reclamation Plan (Attachment P-3). However, as the fenced areas of the Facility are considered permanently impacted for the purposes of habitat mitigation, revegetation efforts described in this application primarily address limited areas of temporary impact outside the fenced area that will be restored following construction.

### **8.1 Potential Impacts to Fish and Wildlife Habitat**

Due to the multi-year construction schedule of the Facility, both permanent and temporary impacts to fish and wildlife habitat will occur in phases over this time period. Permanent impact areas are those that would be converted from the existing condition to a different condition for the life of the Facility, including within the fenced solar array area where vegetation may not necessarily be disturbed but the habitat will no longer be available to mule deer and other big game. Temporary impact areas are those areas that would be disturbed during construction activities but would not become permanent parts of the Facility. Direct impacts to habitat include permanent loss and temporary disturbance of some specific habitat types; indirect impacts may include increased potential for the invasion of noxious weeds, particularly along fence lines and roads. Before each phase of construction, some areas within the construction footprint will be cleared of vegetation, with permanent and temporary impacts to habitats within the proposed microsite corridor. These habitats are identified and described in Section 5.0, and Table P-5 provides the number of acres that will be permanently or temporarily impacted by the Facility, organized by habitat category and subtype. Exhibit C provides the total, cumulative disturbance impact acreage by Facility component.

Table P-5. Potential Impacts by Habitat Category, Type and Subtype

| Final Habitat Category | Preliminary Habitat Category | Habitat Type                                 | Habitat Subtype                   | Impacts (Acres) |           |
|------------------------|------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
|                        |                              |  |                                   | Permanent       | Temporary |
| 2                      | 2                            | Upland Grassland, Shrub-steppe and Shrubland | Shrub-steppe                      | 52.8            | 4.2       |
|                        |                              | Open Water – Lakes, Rivers, Streams          | Perennial Streams                 | —               | <0.1*     |
|                        |                              | Wetlands                                     | Scrub-shrub Wetlands              | —               | <0.1*     |
|                        | Category 2 Total             |  |                                   | 52.8            | 4.3       |
| 2                      | 3                            | Cliffs, Caves, and Talus                     | Cliffs, Caves, and Talus          | 0.1             | 3.7       |
|                        |                              | Open Water – Lakes, Rivers, Streams          | Intermittent or Ephemeral Streams | <0.1*           | <0.1*     |
|                        |                              | Upland Grassland, Shrub-steppe and Shrubland | Eastside Grasslands               | 228             | 28.8      |
|                        |                              | Upland Grassland, Shrub-steppe and Shrubland | Shrub-steppe                      | 1,210           | 69.0      |
|                        |                              | Wetlands                                     | Emergent Wetlands                 | <0.1*           | —         |
|                        | Category 3 Total             |  |                                   | 1,438           | 102       |
| 2                      | 4                            | Agriculture, Pasture, and Mixed Environs     | Planted Grasslands                | 1,144           | 14.6      |
|                        |                              | Open Water – Lakes, Rivers, Streams          | Intermittent or Ephemeral Streams | <0.1*           | <0.1*     |
|                        |                              | Upland Grassland, Shrub-steppe and Shrubland | Eastside Grasslands               | 1,123           | 48.2      |
|                        |                              | Upland Grassland, Shrub-steppe and Shrubland | Shrub-steppe                      | 63.7            | 18.1      |
|                        | Category 4 Total             |  |                                   | 2,331           | 80.9      |
| 2                      | 5                            | Open Water – Lakes, Rivers, Streams          | Intermittent or Ephemeral Streams | 0.3*            | 0.2*      |
|                        |                              | Open Water – Lakes, Rivers, Streams          | Seasonal Ponds                    | 0.2*            | 0.4*      |
|                        |                              | Upland Grassland, Shrub-steppe and Shrubland | Eastside Grasslands               | 847             | 10.5      |
|                        |                              | Wetlands                                     | Emergent Wetlands                 | 0.3*            | —         |

| Final<br>Habitat<br>Category  | Preliminary<br>Habitat<br>Category | Habitat Type                                | Habitat Subtype                     | Impacts (Acres) |           |
|---|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
|   |                                    |   |                                     | Permanent       | Temporary |
|   | Category 5 Total                   |   |                                     | 848             | 11.1      |
| Category 2 Final Total  |                                    |   |                                     | 4,670           | 198       |
| 6   | 6                                  | Agriculture, Pasture,<br>and Mixed Environs | Wheat Fields and Other<br>Row Crops | 292             | 15.2      |
|   |                                    | Urban and Mixed<br>Environs                 | Urban and Mixed<br>Environs         | 30.3            | 13.1      |
|   | Category 6 Total                   |   |                                     | 322             | 28.3      |
| Category 6 Final Total  |                                    |   |                                     | 322             | 28.3      |
| Grand Total   |                                    |   |                                     | 4,992           | 226       |
| Note: Totals in this table may not be precise due to rounding. “-” means no acres while <0.1 means greater than zero but less than 0.05 acres.  |                                    |   |                                     |                 |           |
| * Impacts to wetlands and Waters of the State will be avoided during final design (see Exhibit J). Wetlands and Waters of the State within the fenced solar array area are considered permanently impacted for the purposes of estimating habitat impacts, but will not be disturbed by the Facility. |                                    |   |                                     |                 |           |

Solar array areas will be fenced, and all areas inside the fence are considered permanently disturbed. In addition to the solar array, the collector substation, the operations and maintenance (O&M) building, and the battery storage area will be fenced, as required by electrical code or security needs (see Exhibits B and C). While these areas are considered permanently disturbed, the Applicant intends to manage low-height native plant habitat inside the fenced area. Both the application of gravel and the revegetation of areas within the fenced areas may effectively provide habitat for some wildlife species, as described in Section 8.2.

All temporary disturbance areas are outside the fenced solar arrays. Temporary impact areas are those areas that would be disturbed during construction activities but would not become permanent parts of the Facility. Temporary disturbances will occur related to the improvement of existing roads, and during the construction of collector and transmission lines, new roads, staging areas, and fences. Some areas of temporary disturbance, such as staging areas, will be graveled during construction, and will be reclaimed by removing the gravel surface, re-grading to match adjacent contours, and reseeding. The specific extent of each component’s temporary impact is detailed in Exhibit C, and is described in terms of a total, worst-case scenario impact for the full duration of phased construction.

The duration of this temporal loss varies among habitats, with shrub-steppe habitats generally taking more than five years to recover, and grassland around five years (Bakker and van Diggelen 2006; McArthur and Stevens 2004; Pyke et al. 2015; Rosentreter 2005; Wambolt et al. 2001; Watts and Wambolt 1996). Restoration of the temporary impact areas will occur following construction phases, as described in the Revegetation and Reclamation Plan (Attachment P-3).

The Applicant has and will continue to minimize or avoid impacts to high-quality habitat through the proposed microsite considerations described in Section 9.0 and will mitigate for impacts that cannot be avoided as described in the Habitat Mitigation Plan (Attachment P-2). Impacts to state sensitive and other applicable species are described in Section 8.2. Impacts by habitat category, and specifically to ODFW-designated Mule Deer Winter Range habitat, are described below.

### **8.1.1 Category 2 Habitat**

The analysis area is located entirely within the Mule Deer Winter Range, and as such, all habitat field categorized as Category 3, 4, or 5 has been mapped as Category 2 habitat, per ODFW's recommendation. Permanent Category 2 habitat impacts are primarily to eastside grassland (approximately 44 percent of permanently impacted areas), followed by shrub-steppe (approximately 26 percent), and planted grassland (approximately 23 percent) (Table P-5). Approximately 68 percent of the grassland and shrub-steppe habitat located in the permanent impact areas were determined to be highly or very highly disturbed habitat (Categories 4 and 5). Approximately 31 percent of all grassland and shrub-steppe habitat within the permanent impact areas were characterized as moderately disturbed habitat (Category 3). Approximately 1 percent of the permanent impact areas were characterized as Category 2, or high-quality minimally disturbed habitat.

Areas characterized as Category 3 grasslands in the permanent impact areas are categorized as such due to a dominant bluebunch wheatgrass (*Pseudoroegneria spicata*) component. Bluebunch wheatgrass is an infrequent component of the Category 4 and 5 grasslands in the permanent impact areas. Areas characterized as Category 4 planted grasslands in the permanent impact areas are categorized as such due to an alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) component, which while not native, provides forage for big game. These areas contain a higher proportion of weedy plant species such as cheatgrass, medusahead, rush skeleton weed (*Chondrilla juncea*) and tumble mustard (*Sisymbrium altissimum*), non-native species such as intermediate wheatgrass (*Thinopyrum intermedium*), and are often heavily disturbed by grazing. These non-native species are present and occasionally co-dominant in areas of Category 3 grassland habitat as well.

Field-delineated Category 2 shrub-steppe in permanent impact areas are characterized by mature big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata*) and rubber rabbitbrush (*Ericameria nauseosa*) with a high degree of cover and an understory of dominant native grasses such as bluebunch wheatgrass, and dominant native forbs such as buckwheat (*Eriogonum* sp.), yarrow (*Achillea millefolium*), and desert yellow fleabane (*Erigeron linearis*). Category 3 shrub-steppe habitat within the permanent impact areas is primarily concentrated in the northern half of the proposed microsite corridor and along drainages. Dominant shrub layer species in these areas include stiff/scabland sagebrush (*Artemisia rigida*), big sagebrush, and rubber rabbitbrush. Some areas of Category 3 shrub-steppe contain an encroaching western juniper (*Juniperus occidentalis*) component. Cliffs, caves, talus habitat in both permanent and temporary impact areas was entirely field-delineated as Category 3 habitat and ultimately considered Category 2 habitat due to overlap with Mule Deer Winter Range. Cliffs, caves,

and talus habitat within permanent and temporary impact areas is primarily present along drainages in the north and eastern portions of the proposed microsinning corridor.

Although Table P-5 identifies permanent and temporary impacts to emergent wetlands, scrub-shrub wetlands, perennial streams, seasonal ponds, and intermittent or ephemeral streams, impacts to wetlands and Waters of the State will be avoided during final design as described in Exhibit J. Wetlands and Waters of the State within the fenced solar array area are considered permanently impacted for the purposes of habitat impacts, but will not be disturbed by the Facility. Ephemeral streams proposed to be impacted by the Facility are described in Exhibit J.

Temporary impacts to Category 2 habitat are primarily eastside grassland and shrub-steppe habitats (Table P-5). Eastside grasslands account for 40 percent of all temporary impact areas, and shrub-steppe habitat accounts for approximately 38 percent of all temporary impact areas. Planted grasslands account for 9 percent of temporarily impacted habitat.

Temporary disturbance to the already moderately to very highly disturbed shrub-steppe and grassland habitats at the Facility will have a limited impact on mule deer forage, as these temporary impacts will not result in loss of high-quality forage habitat. Approximately 47 percent of the temporarily impacted areas of grassland and shrub-steppe habitat were categorized (field or desktop) as Categories 4 and 5 (highly disturbed and very highly disturbed, respectively; Table P-2). Approximately 50 percent of the grassland and shrub-steppe habitat that will be temporarily impacted was categorized as Category 3 (moderately disturbed). Approximately 2 percent of the grassland and shrub-steppe habitat was field-categorized as Category 2 (high-quality habitat dominated by native species). Deer require high-quality forage to maintain overall good body condition during the winter (ODFW 2003). Deer populations are most productive in early to mid-seral vegetation, which can be adversely impacted by juniper incursion into shrub-steppe habitat, wildfire, seeding with non-native species, and non-native invasive weeds (deVos et al. 2003; ODFW 2014). The areas temporarily disturbed by construction will be converted to an early seral stage, which could improve overall habitat quality in these areas, and therefore benefit deer when suitable deer forage species are planted following construction.

### ***8.1.2 Category 6 Habitat***

There are potential temporary and permanent impacts to Category 6 habitat within the proposed microsinning corridor. Dryland crops (i.e., winter wheat and triticale/barley)(i.e., winter wheat), cattle rangeland and urban and mixed environs (i.e., roads and other developed areas) are proposed to be impacted.

## **8.2 Potential Impacts to State Sensitive Species**

This section addresses potential impacts to state sensitive and other applicable species identified in Section 6.0. Habitat modification resulting from construction activities will occur in both temporary and permanent impact areas, and the associated impacts will vary by species. In addition to these habitat-related impacts (e.g., habitat loss and modification), potential adverse impacts to sensitive species due to construction and operation may include the introduction of noxious weeds and other

non-native invasive species, potential nesting and breeding disturbance, electrocution, powerline collision, structure collision, vehicular collision, disturbance related to artificial lighting, entrapment within open vertical pipes, disturbance to wintering big game, and entrapment within fenced areas.

### **8.2.1 Mammals**

Five state sensitive bat species have the potential to occur within the analysis area: hoary bats, pallid bats, silver-haired bats, spotted bats, and Townsend's big-eared bats (Table P-4). Areas of cliffs and juniper trees are located within the analysis area along creek canyons, and construction and operation of the Facility may have limited impacts to these roosting habitats. Impacts to foraging habitats such as wetlands and waters have been avoided and minimized. Additionally, construction activities will generally occur during daylight hours when bats are generally absent, and thus construction activities are not anticipated to disturb foraging bats.

Any impacts to bats that do occur would likely be limited to late summer and fall, during the migratory period for tree-roosting bats. Post-construction bat mortality data at utility scale photovoltaic solar energy sites are limited; however, three publicly available studies from California sites have reported small numbers of bat carcasses found both during fatality searches and incidentally (WEST 2017). Data from non-photovoltaic solar projects with higher bat fatalities reported (e.g., a power-trough facility in California) suggest that the timing of potential bat fatalities at solar facilities is primarily in late summer and fall. While cause of mortality in these studies is generally inconclusive based on the condition of the carcasses when found, some of these may be due to collision with project infrastructure. Insects may be attracted to lighting around structures, which may in turn attract bats to forage near project infrastructure. Thus, artificial lighting at night may increase the risk of collision fatalities. However, the potential for collision risk due to artificial night lights will be avoided and minimized, as described in Section 9.0. As a result, construction and operation of the Facility are anticipated to have minimal impact on these bat species.

Mule deer are not a state sensitive species; however, potential direct, adverse impacts to mule deer within ODFW-identified Mule Deer Winter Range have been identified in response to consultation with ODFW. A study investigating habitat use and mule deer survival in eastern Oregon identified that mortality risks varied between male and female populations (Mulligan 2015). For mule deer males, the cumulative risk was highest for legal harvest, with predation the next highest cause of mortality for this sex. For females, the cumulative risk was highest for predation, with anthropogenic causes (vehicles, fences) and illegal harvest also important sources of mortality. Winter survival does not appear to be the primary limiting factor for adult mule deer; however, low coverage and quality of forage in wintering habitat can result in poor deer condition, and thus, survival (ODFW 2003). Agency recommendations have been considered during the design and selection of fence components and layout, as discussed in Section 9.0. The potential for vehicle collisions during all phases of construction and operation of the Facility are also addressed in Section 9.0. Additional potential impacts include displacement from foraging in temporary impact

areas due to vegetation removal, and disturbance by construction activities in the winter, when deer are nutritionally stressed (ODFW 2014).

White-tailed jackrabbits are not a state sensitive species; however, populations are declining in Oregon and potential adverse impacts to this species have been identified in response to consultation with ODFW. Throughout its range, research indicates loss of grassland habitat has significantly contributed to population declines of the white-tailed jackrabbit (Brown et al. 2018; Brown et al. 2019, Lim 1987, Simes et al. 2015). Even partial changes in grassland ecosystems may negatively impact white-tailed jackrabbits by changing nutritional availability and removing shelter from predators. Reduced availability of nutritious vegetation can negatively impact reproductive performance (Brown 1947, Beaudoin and Beaudoin 2012). During spring, summer, and fall months white-tailed jackrabbits primarily feed on grasses and forbs, while shrubs become an important food source in winter months (Simes et al. 2015). Potential adverse impacts include displacement from foraging in temporary impact areas due to vegetation removal, alteration of vegetation community, and disturbance by construction activities in the winter when white-tailed jackrabbits are nutritionally stressed. Agency recommendations have been considered and will continue to be considered during the design and selection of fence components and layout, as discussed in Section 9.0. The potential for vehicle collisions during all phases of construction and operation of the Facility are also addressed in Section 9.0, though this impact is less likely due to the crepuscular and nocturnal habits of this species.

### **8.2.2 Birds**

Ten state sensitive bird species and two eagle species have the potential to occur within the analysis area (Table P-4). Construction and operation of the Facility will result in some temporary and permanent impacts to habitat, which could displace nesting and foraging birds. However, birds using habitat within the proposed microsite corridor are expected to relocate to other comparable habitat in the analysis area and the greater vicinity of the Facility. Avian mortality at the Facility due to collision with infrastructure is also possible, although the available data on avian mortality at utility scale solar energy sites suggests mortality at photovoltaic facilities is comparatively low.

A study focusing on avian use at photovoltaic installations at or near five airports in the United States found that passerine species, including red-winged blackbirds, sometimes use shade provided by panels on summer days, and sometimes perch on panels to sing in the early part of the breeding season (DeVault et al. 2014). DeVault et al. (2014) also found that while insectivorous avian species were observed foraging near the arrays, the abundance of foraging birds was similar to the abundance in nearby grasslands. No fatalities were clearly attributable to collision with panels.

The limited avian mortality and usage data for utility scale solar energy sites suggests that mortality at photovoltaic facilities in particular is low; therefore, impacts to sensitive bird species with the potential to occur within the proposed microsite corridor are addressed below in terms of habitat removal, structural collision, vehicular collision, artificial lighting and nesting disturbance during

construction and operation. Measures described in Section 9.0 will be used to minimize or avoid these potential impacts.

- **Bald eagle** (BGEPA). Bald eagles were not observed within the analysis area during 2023 special status species surveys. Surveys occurred during the breeding period, when this species was most likely to be observed. No bald eagle nests are located within 5 miles of the proposed micrositing corridor (ORBIC 2023a). Bald eagles are observed during all months of the year in Wasco County and Sherman County (Sullivan et al. 2009). Bald eagles primarily hunt in or near aquatic habitats, such as the nearby Deschutes River, but opportunistically forage on carrion particularly in winter (Buehler 2000). Powerline collision and electrocution are the primary potential, adverse impacts to bald eagles, mainly during migration and winter.
- **Brewer's sparrow** (state sensitive). Brewer's sparrows were observed during 2023 surveys (Figure P-5). This species uses shrublands, generally with a canopy height of more than 5 feet. Brewer's sparrows are most closely associated with big sagebrush (OCS 2016, Rotenberry et al. 1999). Limited stands of big sagebrush of this size were observed during field surveys. Potential adverse impacts to this species due to the construction and operation of the Facility are habitat loss and potential nesting disturbance in areas where limited stands of larger shrubs may be located. Additionally, collision with infrastructure during nocturnal migration may be an adverse impact to this species. Several studies have shown that birds can be attracted to artificial lighting on human infrastructure, which can result in collision (Gehring et al. 2009; Kerlinger et al. 2010; Poot et al. 2008). Impacts to this species have been minimized through the shielding of operational lighting, as described in Section 9.0.
- **Burrowing owl** (state sensitive-critical). Burrowing owls were not observed within the analysis area during 2023 special status species surveys. Surveys occurred during the breeding period, when this species was most likely to be observed. This species breeds in burrows excavated by other animals in open areas with a high proportion of bare ground (OCS 2016). This species is generally migrant, but a small proportion in neighboring Idaho and Washington have been found to overwinter (Poulin et al. 2011). Arrival in Oregon likely occurs in March; egg-laying begins in April. Dispersal generally occurs in during September. Potential adverse impacts to this species during construction are nesting and foraging habitat loss (burrows and grassland, respectively), and vehicle collision. Generally tolerant of human activity, and opportunistic hunters for insects and small mammals, burrowing owls may use the operating Facility to hunt, and may also nest if burrows become available. Potential operational impacts to this species include collision with vehicles during the breeding season.
- **Common nighthawk** (state sensitive). Common nighthawk was not observed in the analysis area during 2023 surveys. A long-distance migrant, this species is only present in Oregon during its breeding season, arriving in mid- to late-May (Brigham et al. 2011). Surveys occurred during the breeding period, when this species was most likely to be

observed. Common nighthawks are rarely observed in Wasco County or Sherman County after August (Sullivan et al. 2009). Common nighthawks are most active at dusk and dawn. Surveys were conducted during the day only, lowering the potential to observe common nighthawks in flight during their typical crepuscular activity period. Construction and operation of the Facility could pose a risk to these birds, which nest on a variety of substrates in open areas including bare ground, gravel, and lithosol. Males also tend to roost on gravel roads, and therefore may roost in temporary impact areas in use during construction such as staging areas. During construction and operation, nesting disturbance and collision with vehicles may adversely impact this species.

- **Ferruginous hawk** (state sensitive-critical). Ferruginous hawks were observed in the analysis area during 2023 surveys (Figure P-5). This species occurs in open, grassy areas and shrub-steppe with scattered shrubs or trees for perching and nesting. They can nest in juniper or cottonwood trees near small streams, on rocky sites with an expansive view, on rimrock, or on undisturbed ground (OCS 2016). Available habitat within the proposed micrositeing corridor is appropriate for nesting and hunting during the breeding season and hunting during migration. Surveys occurred during the breeding period, when this species was most likely to be observed. Ferruginous hawks can be present on breeding territories as early as late February to early March and can be found in Oregon in small numbers year-round (Ng et al. 2017; Sullivan et al. 2009). In addition to potential electrocution and powerline collision, impacts to this species include habitat loss and potential nesting disturbance if ferruginous hawks build new nests adjacent to but outside the proposed micrositeing corridor, although these impacts will be minimized, as described in Section 9.0. No ferruginous hawk nests were found during 2023 surveys.
- **Golden eagle** (BGEPA). Golden eagles were not observed during 2023 surveys; however, they are known to nest on the rocky cliffs along Buck Hollow Canyon within the analysis area (ORBIC 2023a). Three historic nest locations in Buck Hollow Canyon were visited during 2023 surveys; one nest was present but inactive. Surveys occurred during the breeding period, when this species was most likely to be observed. Habitat within the proposed micrositeing corridor is appropriate for hunting year-round, and the canyons in the analysis area are suitable for nesting. Vegetation will be removed inside the fenced areas during each phase of construction, resulting in the loss of available hunting areas; however, given the extent of available habitat for hunting within the analysis area, this impact is limited. Golden eagles are opportunistic, but generally prey on medium and small mammals such as rabbits and squirrels. These species occur in habitat abundantly available throughout the analysis area in particular, and in Wasco County and Sherman County in general. The proposed alternate generation-tie line is approximately 1.2 miles from the nearest golden eagle nest, and the proposed micrositeing corridor boundary is approximately 0.3 miles from the nearest nest. Potential impacts include powerline collision and electrocution and habitat disturbance due to the construction and operation of the Facility. However, these impacts will be limited by avoidance and minimization measures, as described in Section 9.0.

- **Grasshopper sparrow** (state sensitive). Grasshopper sparrows were recorded during 2023 special status species surveys (Figure P-5). This species uses dry grasslands with low shrub cover for breeding (OCS 2016). In Oregon, this species breeds primarily in native bunchgrass. Its breeding period generally begins in May (Vickery 1996). Fall migration timing is poorly understood for this secretive species, but data suggest migration is underway in September. Surveys occurred during the time period when this species is present and breeding in Oregon. This species was generally detected by identifying its song rather than by observing a perched or flying bird. The grasshopper sparrow's singing fluctuates by both season and day, and can vary with changing weather. Seasonal song frequency also varies between populations in different geographic areas. In Oregon, populations breed in different locations from year to year depending on the suitability of habitat (Csuti et al. 2001), further contributing to the difficulties in consistent detection of this species. Construction and operation of the Facility will result in the loss of some suitable breeding and foraging habitat for grasshopper sparrow. Generally a nocturnal migrant, this species may be attracted to artificial lights during migration; therefore, collision is an additional potential, adverse impact to this species during construction and operation of the Facility.
- **Lewis's woodpecker** (sensitive-critical). Lewis's woodpeckers were not observed during 2023 special status species surveys. Surveys occurred during the breeding period, when this species was most likely to be observed. This cavity-nesting species may find nesting opportunities in the riparian canyons adjacent to the proposed microsite corridor (Vierling et al. 2013). Juniper trees found within the proposed microsite corridor are not typical breeding habitat for this species in Oregon (Csuti et al. 2001). This species has limited potential to occur at the Facility as a vagrant during migration. Construction of the Facility will not result in a loss of habitat for this species. A diurnal migrant, this species will not be adversely impacted by artificial lighting.
- **Loggerhead shrike** (state sensitive). Loggerhead shrikes were observed during 2023 surveys (Figure P-5). This species uses patches of tall brush or trees in open habitats for nesting and roosting, and forages in open areas with grasses and bare ground (Csuti et al. 2001; OCS 2016). Loggerhead shrikes can establish territories as early as mid-February and complete nests as early as mid-March in some states; however, data indicate that early to mid-March is the early arrival period for this species in Wasco County and Sherman County and that nesting is underway by April (Csuti et al. 2001; Sullivan et al. 2009; Yosef 1996). While these birds nest early in the season, they produce two broods per year, and are present Oregon through September. The primary potential adverse effects to loggerhead shrike are habitat loss and nesting disturbance. Little information exists regarding whether this species is a nocturnal or diurnal migrant; impact to this species during migration due to artificial lighting is unknown.
- **Long-billed curlew** (state sensitive-critical). Long-billed curlews were not observed during 2023 surveys. Surveys occurred during the breeding period, when this species was most

likely to be observed. This grassland-associated species prefers shorter grass, and can occur in agricultural fields (Dugger and Dugger 2002; OCS 2016). The timing of this species' migration, whether diurnal or nocturnal, is poorly understood. Long-billed curlews arrive in Oregon late March to early April, initiate nesting through early May, and are rarely observed in Wasco County after June (Sullivan et al. 2009). The Facility is west of their typical breeding range in Oregon; however, long-billed curlews may identify the Facility as stopover habitat during migration, as revegetation with a low-growing seed mix may create their preferred, open, short-grass habitat within the Facility. Therefore, potential adverse impacts due to Facility operation are limited to the migration window for this species during the spring and early summer and consist only of potential collision with vehicles intermittently operating on site.

- **Sagebrush sparrow** (state sensitive-critical). Sagebrush sparrows were not observed during 2023 surveys. Surveys occurred during the breeding period, when this species was most likely to be observed. This often difficult-to-detect species is found in shrub-steppe habitat with high shrub cover and is closely associated with big sagebrush communities (Martin and Carlson 1998; OCS 2016). Sagebrush sparrows can establish territories as early as late February and can still be found migrating in Oregon in early November. Potential adverse effects to sagebrush sparrows are habitat loss, nesting disturbance, and possibly lighting-related disturbance during migration, though its migratory behavior is poorly described.
- **Swainson's hawk** (state sensitive). This species was observed during 2023 surveys (Figure P-5). Within the proposed microsite corridor, Swainson's hawks were observed hunting and flying, and one active nest was found in a conifer tree near a residence. Swainson's hawks are open-country specialists that hunt and forage in grassland, shrub-steppe, and agricultural areas, and often focus on row-crop agriculture. Nests are frequently in lone trees or isolated shrubs in open country. In the non-breeding season, particularly during fall migration in North America, they are often observed hunting in groups behind agricultural equipment, opportunistically preying on rodents and insects (Bechard et al. 2010). Swainson's hawks typically establish breeding territories after arriving from South America in April and are rarely reported in Wasco County in September (Sullivan et al. 2009). Construction will result in permanent and temporary impacts to habitat appropriate for hunting during breeding and migration. Nesting disturbance could also occur if Swainson's hawks occupy the same nest found within the proposed microsite corridor in 2023, or if they build new nests within or adjacent to the proposed microsite corridor, although these impacts will be minimized, as described in Section 9.0.

### 8.2.3 Reptiles

Two state sensitive reptile species have the potential to occur within the analysis area: northern sagebrush lizards and western painted turtles (Table P-4). Targeted surveys for reptiles were not conducted, but special status species surveys occurred after the hibernation periods for each

species and were conducted during the day, when diurnally active reptiles have the potential to be observed in the appropriate habitat. The northern sagebrush lizard was observed during 2023 surveys and could be affected by Facility construction, as described below. Habitat for the western painted turtle (state sensitive-critical) is limited within the analysis area in general, and in the proposed micrositing corridor in particular (Table P-4). This species was not observed during 2023 surveys but occurs in Wasco County and Sherman County (ORBIC 2019). No adverse impacts to western painted turtles are anticipated.

- **Northern sagebrush lizard** (state sensitive). Northern sagebrush lizards were observed during 2023 surveys. This species typically occurs in shrub-steppe and juniper woodland habitat with sandy soils and sparse vegetation in the grass/forb layer (OCS 2016). Potential adverse impacts to this species include loss of habitat and disturbance during construction if individuals are present, although the Applicant has microsited away from the higher quality habitats in the northern portion of the micrositing corridor where this species was observed.
- **Western painted turtle** (state sensitive-critical). Western painted turtles were not observed during 2023 surveys. Potential habitat for this species within the analysis area includes slow-moving wetland areas near perennial streams. This habitat does not occur in the proposed micrositing corridor but is present in Buck Hollow Canyon within the analysis area. Predicted habitat for this species within occupied watersheds does not encompass the majority of Wasco County or Sherman County, including the analysis area (OWE 2023). No records of western painted turtles were identified by an ORBIC query submitted by the Applicant (ORBIC 2023a); however, this species occurs within Wasco County and Sherman County and is sensitive-critical in the Columbia Plateau Ecoregion (ORBIC 2019; ODFW 2021a). While terrestrial dispersal of western painted turtles (1-2 miles) has been documented, the canyon topography and lack of records for this species in the analysis area suggests that the occurrence of this species in the proposed micrositing corridor is extremely unlikely. Therefore, no adverse impacts to western painted turtles are anticipated as a result of Facility construction and operation.

#### **8.2.4 Fish**

Three state sensitive fish species have the potential to occur within the analysis area: pacific lamprey, western brook lamprey, and Middle Columbia River Evolutionarily Significant Unit summer run steelhead (Table P-4). No suitable habitat for these species will be impacted by the Facility and as a result no adverse impacts are anticipated, as described below.

- **Lamprey** (both species state sensitive). Neither lamprey species was observed during 2023 surveys, although fish surveys were not performed. Habitat for both pacific lamprey and western brook lamprey is limited to Buck Hollow Canyon within the analysis area and absent in the proposed micrositing corridor (Table P-4). Both lamprey species occur in Wasco County and Sherman County (ORBIC 2019). No lamprey habitat will be impacted by

the construction and operation of the Facility. As a result, no adverse impacts to pacific lamprey or western brook lamprey are anticipated.

- **Steelhead, Middle Columbia River Species Management Unit, summer run** (state sensitive-critical). Steelhead were not observed during 2023 surveys, although fish surveys were not performed. Habitat for steelhead occurs within the analysis area in Buck Hollow Canyon (ORBIC 2023a, StreamNet 2021), which is outside of the proposed microsinning corridor. No perennial streams and no fish-bearing streams occur within the proposed microsinning corridor, and no riparian areas associated with fish bearing streams will be impacted. Therefore, no adverse impacts to steelhead are anticipated.

## 9.0 Measures to Avoid, Reduce, or Mitigate Impacts – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(G)

*OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p) (G) A description of any measures proposed by the applicant to avoid, reduce, or mitigate the potential adverse impacts described in (F) in accordance with the general fish and wildlife habitat mitigation goals and standards described in OAR 635-415-0025 and a description of any measures proposed by the applicant to avoid, minimize, and provide compensatory mitigation for the potential adverse impacts described in (F) in accordance with the sage-grouse specific habitat mitigation requirements described in the Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation Strategy for Oregon at OAR 635-140-0000 through 635-140-0025, and a discussion of how the proposed measures would achieve those goals and requirements.*

This section identifies the avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures that have been and will be implemented to avoid, minimize, and mitigate potential adverse impacts to fish and wildlife habitat and state sensitive species, as well as big game, white-tailed jackrabbit and eagles, and it describes how these measures will meet the ODFW habitat mitigation goals. The analysis area is not within the range of the sage grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus*); therefore, the application of the Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation Strategy is not required.

### 9.1 Avoidance and Minimization

#### 9.1.1 During Facility Design and Microsinning

Measures employed during Facility design and microsinning to avoid and minimize impacts to fish and wildlife habitat, state sensitive species, and eagles included the following:

- Spiral markers will be installed on the ground wire of the alternate generation-tie line in areas over canyons or within 2 miles of a known eagle nest.
- The Applicant will use Facility-specific measures that follow Avian Powerline Interaction Committee (APLIC) guidelines for minimizing avian electrocutions (APLIC 2006). This is

expected to minimize the risk of electrocution to raptors generally, and to bald eagles, golden eagles, Swainson's hawks, and ferruginous hawks in particular.

- The Applicant will implement down-shield lighting for permanent lighting at the substation and O&M building. Outdoor lighting will be sited, limited in intensity, shielded, and hooded in a manner that prevents the lighting from projecting onto adjacent properties, roadways, and waterways. This is expected to minimize the risk of avian collision with Facility infrastructure for all birds and bats in general, but to nocturnal migrant species (including Brewer's sparrows, sagebrush sparrows, grasshopper sparrows) and to the crepuscular, insectivorous common nighthawk in particular. Down-shield lighting will be in place year-round, mitigating impacts to birds and bats both during migration and while foraging for insects at any time of the year.
- The Applicant will cap or otherwise modify vertical pipes and piles to prevent cavity-dwelling and nesting birds from entering. This also prevents any perching bird from inadvertently falling into pipes. These caps are expected to minimize the risk of fatalities to all birds (including the cavity-nesting Lewis's woodpecker), as well as small mammals and lizards such as the northern sagebrush lizard.
- Facility components will be fenced to exclude big game.
- The Applicant has micro-sited the Facility layout to set back from Buck Hollow and Hauser canyons where feasible to reduce impacts to Priority Wildlife Connectivity Areas and shrub-steppe Strategy Habitats as well as the higher quality habitats mapped in these areas.

### **9.1.2 Prior to Construction**

Measures for avoiding and minimizing impacts to state sensitive species and other wildlife will be implemented prior to construction as follows:

- As recommended by ODFW, if construction is scheduled to overlap with the raptor nesting season (February 1 – August 31), the Applicant will conduct a raptor nest survey within 2 miles of the defined work area to identify the location of raptor nests, and eagle nests in particular, that could be affected by construction. The survey protocol will be approved by ODFW, and the surveys will occur no earlier than 2 years prior to construction.

### **9.1.3 During Construction**

Measures for avoiding and minimizing impacts to fish and wildlife habitat and to state sensitive and other wildlife species will be implemented during construction as follows:

- As recommended by ODFW, the Applicant will apply the buffers and seasonal restrictions in Table P-6 around raptor nests identified during pre-construction surveys to avoid disturbance to nesting raptors as practicable. The Applicant will consult with ODFW for prior approval for exceptions to nest buffers during construction if needed.

**Table P-6. ODFW Raptor Nest Buffers and Seasonal Restrictions**

| <b>Species</b>        | <b>Spatial Buffer</b> | <b>Seasonal Restriction</b> | <b>Release Date if Unoccupied</b> |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| western burrowing owl | 0.25 mile             | April 1 to Aug 15           | May 31                            |
| golden eagle          | 0.5 mile              | Feb 1- Aug 15               | May 15                            |
| red-tailed hawk       | 300-500 feet          | Mar 1- Aug 15               | May 31                            |
| ferruginous hawk      | 0.25 mile             | Mar 15- Aug 15              | May 31                            |
| Swainson's hawk       | 0.25 mile             | April 1- Aug 15             | May 31                            |
| prairie falcon        | 0.25 mile             | Mar 15- Jul 1               | May 15                            |
| peregrine falcon      | 0.25 mile             | Jan 1- Jul 1                | May 15                            |
| American kestrel      | 0.25 mile             | Mar 1- Jul 31               | May 15                            |

- As recommended by ODFW, the Applicant will clear vegetation prior to the critical period for ground-nesting birds (April 15 – September 1) to avoid disturbing active nests. Removal of vegetation outside the breeding season will also eliminate the potential for ferruginous hawks and Swainson's hawks to establish new nests within the fenced areas. Should ground disturbance occur during this period, vegetative removal will occur prior to the critical nesting period. If vegetation removal is necessary between April 15 and September 1, a biologist will conduct a clearance survey for nesting birds prior to vegetation removal. Active nests will be flagged for avoidance.
- Prior to construction, streams, wetlands, and other sensitive habitat features (e.g., mature trees, intact sagebrush) that are not proposed to be impacted will be flagged for avoidance during construction. The Applicant will develop a map set showing these sensitive resources that will be kept on site during construction, and updated if additional information on sensitive resources is obtained. These maps will show buffer zones and temporal restrictions of sensitive resources, as applicable. As described below, construction personnel will be instructed to work outside the flagged and mapped sensitive resources.
- The Applicant will limit construction activities outside the fenced area (i.e., at the overhead collection line, transmission line, and roads) between December 1 and April 1 to minimize disturbance to wildlife, and wintering deer in particular.
- Construction vehicles will be limited to 20 miles per hour on all Facility access roads (excluding public roads). This is expected to limit impacts specifically to common nighthawks, long-billed curlews, white-tailed jackrabbits, and big game, and to wildlife in general.

#### **9.1.4 During Operation**

Following construction, measures for avoiding and minimizing impacts to fish and wildlife habitat and to state sensitive and other wildlife species will be implemented as follows:

- After Facility construction, areas where habitat was temporarily disturbed outside the fenced area will be restored to their original conditions and monitored as necessary according to provisions in the Revegetation and Reclamation Plan (Attachment P-3). Measures to minimize the spread of noxious weeds are described in the Noxious Weed Control Plan (Attachment P-4). The Noxious Weed Control Plan specifically addresses noxious weeds along solar fence lines. Revegetation and noxious weed control will minimize impact to the quality of available deer and white-tailed jackrabbit forage.
- The Applicant intends to manage low-height native vegetation inside the fenced area. Weed control measures would follow the Applicant's Noxious Weed Control Plan (Attachment P-4).
- The Applicant will contact licensed local wildlife rehabilitators capable of responding to the Facility in the event of injured wildlife, such as Think Wild in Bend, Oregon, and Blue Mountain Wildlife in Pendleton, Oregon.
- Operations and maintenance vehicles will be limited to 20 miles per hour on all Facility access roads (excluding public roads). This is expected to limit impacts specifically to burrowing owls, common nighthawks, long-billed curlews, white-tailed jackrabbits, and big game, and to all wildlife in general.
- The Applicant will use fixed-knot (or a similar wildlife-friendly option) or chain-link perimeter fencing up to 8 feet in height that may be raised off the ground approximately 6 to 8 inches to accommodate small animal movement under the fence.

## **9.2 Mitigation**

After avoidance and minimization measures have been implemented, some impacts to wildlife habitat and sensitive species will remain. Temporary<sup>1</sup> and permanent habitat loss will be mitigated for according to ODFW Habitat Mitigation Policy goals and standards, as described in the Habitat Mitigation Plan (Attachment P-2). Included in this plan are measures for conserving and enhancing sufficient acreages of wildlife habitat to compensate for those acreages temporarily and permanently impacted by the Facility. This protection will be—at a minimum—for the duration of the Facility. As recommended by ODFW during consultation, the Applicant is developing mitigation to offset the footprint of the fenced area at the Facility to provide for “no net loss, net benefit” as outlined in the Mitigation Policy. The Habitat Mitigation Plan includes success criteria and provisions for monitoring whether mitigation goals are achieved, and this plan has mitigation provisions for both temporary habitat disturbance associated with construction activities and permanent habitat loss.

---

<sup>1</sup> Much of the area that will be temporarily impacted contains habitats for which restoration and regeneration is anticipated to be less than 5 years, and thus will be fully mitigated for through successful restoration. However, shrub-steppe habitat will be impacted, some of which is anticipated to take greater than 5 years to recover, and thus will be mitigated for as described in the Habitat Mitigation Plan (Attachment P-2).

### **9.3 Compliance with ODFW Mitigation Goals – OAR 635-415-0025**

The Applicant mapped ten habitat types with the proposed micrositing corridor that meet the definition of habitat Categories 2 through 6, per OAR 635-415-0025. However, the entire analysis area is in the Mule Deer Winter Range, which ODFW considers as Category 2 habitat regardless of actual habitat types, except for agricultural and developed lands. Therefore, there are two habitat categories within the analysis area: Category 2 big game winter range, and Category 6 agricultural lands and developed areas. The Applicant will minimize Category 2 habitat impacts by siting facilities on agricultural lands within the proposed micrositing corridor to the maximum extent possible. Because there is minimal agricultural land within the proposed micrositing corridor and all other land is considered Category 2, there is limited opportunity to avoid impacts through alternatives to the proposed development action. However, the Applicant will microsite the Facility layout where feasible to reduce impacts to Priority Wildlife Connectivity Areas and high-quality (field-mapped Category 2) habitats. The Applicant will provide mitigation to offset unavoidable impacts, as described in its Habitat Mitigation Plan (Attachment P-2). This mitigation is intended to meet the goals of OAR 635-415-0025, as determined by the Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council (EFSC).

## **10.0 Monitoring Program – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(H)**

*OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p)(H) A description of the applicant's proposed monitoring plans to evaluate the success of the measures described in (G).*

The Applicant will conduct revegetation monitoring and noxious weed monitoring as described in the Revegetation and Reclamation Plan (Attachment P-3) and Noxious Weed Control Plan (Attachment P-4), respectively. The Applicant will coordinate with ODFW to determine the need for post-construction wildlife monitoring. If recommended by ODFW, the Applicant will conduct post-construction monitoring as described in a Wildlife Post-construction Monitoring Plan, which would be provided at final design prior to construction. Monitoring related to mitigation success is described in the Habitat Mitigation Plan (Attachment P-2).

## **11.0 Conclusion**

As part of the Facility siting process, the fish and wildlife habitats within the analysis area were identified and categorized pursuant to OAR 635-415-0025. Based on survey results, the Facility was adjusted to avoid impacts to field-mapped Category 2 habitat where possible (no Category 1 habitat was identified), and minimize impacts to field-mapped Category 3, 4, and 5 habitats. Unavoidable habitat impacts will be mitigated consistent with OAR 635-415-0025.

Therefore, based on the information provided in this exhibit, there is sufficient evidence upon which EFSC may find that the design, construction, and operation of the Facility, taking into account the proposed mitigation measures, are consistent with the fish and wildlife mitigation goals and

standards of OAR 635-415-0025. Accordingly, the Applicant demonstrates compliance with OAR 345-022-0060.

## 12.0 Submittal Requirements and Approval Standards

### 12.1 Submittal Requirements

**Table P-7. Submittal Requirements Matrix**

| Requirement   | Location     |
|---|--------------|
| OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p) Information about the fish and wildlife habitat and the fish and wildlife species, other than the species addressed in subsection (q) that could be affected by the proposed facility, providing evidence to support a finding by the Council as required by OAR 345-022-0060. The applicant must include:   | -            |
| (A) A description of biological and botanical surveys performed that support the information in this exhibit, including a discussion of the timing and scope of each survey;  | Section 4.0  |
| (B) Identification of all fish and wildlife habitat in the analysis area, classified by the general fish and wildlife habitat categories as set forth in OAR 635-415-0025 and the sage-grouse specific habitats described in the Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation Strategy for Oregon at OAR 635-140-0000 through -0025 (core, low density, and general habitats), and a description of the characteristics and condition of that habitat in the analysis area, including a table of the areas of permanent disturbance and temporary disturbance (in acres) in each habitat category and subtype;  | Section 5.0  |
| (C) A map showing the locations of the habitat identified in (B).   | Figure P-4   |
| (D) Based on consultation with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) and appropriate field study and literature review, identification of all State Sensitive Species that might be present in the analysis area and a discussion of any site-specific issues of concern to ODFW;   | Section 6.0  |
| (E) A baseline survey of the use of habitat in the analysis area by species identified in (D) performed according to a protocol approved by the Department and ODFW;  | Section 7.0  |
| (F) A description of the nature, extent and duration of potential adverse impacts on the habitat identified in (B) and species identified in (D) that could result from construction, operation and retirement of the proposed facility;  | Section 8.0  |
| (G) A description of any measures proposed by the applicant to avoid, reduce, or mitigate the potential adverse impacts described in (F) in accordance with the general fish and wildlife habitat mitigation goals and standards described in OAR 635-415-0025 and a description of any measures proposed by the applicant to avoid, minimize, and provide compensatory mitigation for the potential adverse impacts described in (F) in accordance with the sage-grouse specific habitat mitigation requirements described in the Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation Strategy for Oregon at OAR 635-140-0000 through 635-140-0025, and a discussion of how the proposed measures would achieve those goals and requirements; and | Section 9.0  |
| (H) A description of the applicant's proposed monitoring plans to evaluate the success of the measures described in (G).  | Section 10.0 |

## 12.2 Approval Standards

**Table P-8. Approval Standard**

| Requirement  | Location    |
|--|-------------|
| <b>OAR 345-022-0060 Fish and Wildlife Habitat</b>  | -           |
| To issue a site certificate, the Council must find that the design, construction and operation of the facility, taking into account mitigation, are consistent with:   | -           |
| (1) The general fish and wildlife habitat mitigation goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025(1) through (6) in effect as of February 24, 2017, and   | Section 9.0 |
| (2) For energy facilities that impact sage-grouse habitat, the sage-grouse specific habitat mitigation requirements of the Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation Strategy for Oregon at OAR 635-415-0025(7) and OAR 635-140-0000 through -0025 in effect as of February 24, 2017. | N/A         |

## 13.0 References

- APLIC (Avian Powerline Interaction Committee). 2006. Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines: the state of the art in 2006. Edison Electric Institute, APLIC, and the California Energy Commission. Washington D.C. and Sacramento, California.
- Bakker, J.P., and R. van Diggelen. 2006. Restoration of dry grasslands and heathlands. In *Restoration Ecology: The New Frontier*, p. 95–110, J. van Andel, and J. Aronson (editors). Blackwell Publishing, Malden, MA.
- Beaudoin A.B. and Y. Beaudoin. 2012. Urban white-tailed jackrabbits (*Lepus townsendii*) eat spike plants (*Cordyline australis*) in winter. *Canadian Field Naturalist* 126: 157– 159.
- Bechard, M. J., C. S. Houston, J. H. Saransola, and A. S. England. 2010. Swainson's Hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*), version 2.0. In *The Birds of North America* (A. F. Poole, Editor). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA.
- Brigham, R. M., J. Ng, R. G. Poulin, and S. D. Grindal. 2011. Common Nighthawk (*Chordeiles minor*), version 2.0. In *The Birds of North America* (A. F. Poole, Editor). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA.
- Brown, D.E., Beatty, G., Brown, J.E., Smith, A.T. 2018. History status, and population trends of cottontail rabbits and jackrabbits in the western United States. *Western Wildlife* 5: 16– 42.
- Brown, D.E., Smith, A.T., Frey, J.K., Schweiger, B.R. 2019. A review of the ongoing decline of the white-tailed jackrabbit. *Journal of Fish and Wildlife Management* 11(1):341–352; e1944-687X. <https://doi.org/10.3996/042019-JFWM-026>
- Brown L. 1947. Why has the white-tailed jack rabbit (*Lepus townsendii campanius* Hollister) become scarce in Kansas? *Transactions Kansas Academy Science* 49: 455– 456.

- Buehler, D. A. 2000. Bald Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*), version 2.0. In *The Birds of North America* (A. F. Poole and F. B. Gill, Editors). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA.
- Burke Museum of Natural History and Culture. 2023. Herbarium and Image Collection. University of Washington. Seattle, WA.  
<http://biology.burke.washington.edu/herbarium/imagecollection.php>. Accessed April 2023.
- Csuti, B., T.A. O'Neil, M. M. Shaughnessey, and C. J. Hak. 2001. Atlas of Oregon Wildlife: Distribution, Habitat, and Natural History.
- DeVault, T.L., T.W. Seamans, J.A. Schmidt, J.L. Belant, B.F. Blackwell, N. Mooers, L.A. Tyson, and L. VanPelt. 2014. Bird use of solar photovoltaic installations at US airports: Implications for aviation safety. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 122: 122-128. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2013.11.017.
- deVos, Jr. J.C., M.R. Conover, and N. E. Headrick. 2003. *Mule Deer Conservation: Issues and Management Strategies*. Berryman Institute Press, Utah State University, Logan. 196p.
- Dugger, B. D., and K. M. Dugger. 2002. Long-billed Curlew (*Numenius americanus*), version 2.0. In *The Birds of North America* (A. F. Poole and F. B. Gill, Editors). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA.
- Gehring, J., P. Kerlinger, and A.M. Manville, II. 2009. Communication Towers, Lights, and Birds: Successful Methods of Reducing the Frequency of Avian Collisions. *Ecological Applications* 19(2): 505-514.
- Gervais, J., D. Rosenberg, S. Barnes, C. Puchy and E. Stewart. 2009. Conservation Assessment for the Western Painted Turtle in Oregon (*Chrysemys picta bellii*). Oregon Wildlife Institute.  
<https://www.fs.fed.us/r6/sfpnw/issssp/documents/planning-docs/ca-hr-chrysemys-picta-bellii-2009-09.pdf>. Accessed May 2023.
- Kerlinger, P., J. L. Gehring, W. P. Erickson, R. Curry, A. Jain, and J. Guarnaccia. 2010. Night Migrant Fatalities and Obstruction Lighting at Wind Turbines in North America. *Wilson Journal of Ornithology* 122(4): 744-754.
- Kochert, M. N., K. Steenhof, C. L. McIntyre, and E. H. Craig. 2002. Golden Eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*), version 2.0. In *The Birds of North America* (A. F. Poole and F. B. Gill, Editors). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA.
- Leal, David. 2020. 2019 Oregon Golden Eagle Nest Locations (Shapefile). Received by Julie Garvin (Tetra Tech) from David Leal (USFWS) November 17, 2020, via email.
- Lim, B.K. 1987. *Lepus townsendii*. *Mammalian Species* 288: 1– 6.
- Marshal, J. P., Bleich, V. C., Krausman, P. R., Reed, M. L., & Andrew, N. G. 2006. Factors affecting habitat use and distribution of desert mule deer in an arid environment. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, 34(3), 609-619.

- Martin, J. W., and B. A. Carlson. 1998. Sagebrush Sparrow (*Artemisiospiza nevadensis*), version 2.0. In *The Birds of North America* (A. F. Poole, Editor). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA.
- McArthur, E. D., and R. Stevens. 2004. Composite shrubs. In *Restoring Western Ranges and Wildlands*, p. 493–537, S. B. Monsen, R. Stevens, and N.L. Shaw (comps.). Fort Collins, CO, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. General Technical Report, RMRS-GTR-136-vol-2.
- Mulligan, E. M. 2015. Survival Rates and Cause-Specific Mortality for Mule Deer in South-central Oregon. Master's Thesis, Oregon State University.
- NatureServe. 2023. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life. <http://www.natureserve.org/explorer>. Accessed September 2023.
- Ng, J., M. D. Giovanni, M. J. Bechard, J. K. Schmutz, and P. Pyle. 2017. Ferruginous Hawk (*Buteo regalis*), version 2.0. In *The Birds of North America* (P. G. Rodewald, Editor). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA.
- OCS (Oregon Conservation Strategy). 2016. Oregon Conservation Strategy species. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Salem, Oregon.
- ODA (Oregon Department of Agriculture). 2023. Oregon Listed and Candidate Plants. <https://www.oregon.gov/ODA/programs/PlantConservation/Pages/AboutPlants.aspx>. Accessed April 2023.
- ODFW (Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2003. Oregon's Mule Deer Management Plan. [http://www.dfw.state.or.us/wildlife/management\\_plans/docs/muledeerplanfinal.pdf](http://www.dfw.state.or.us/wildlife/management_plans/docs/muledeerplanfinal.pdf). Accessed May 2023.
- ODFW. 2013. ODFW Deer and Elk Winter Range for Eastern Oregon. GIS dataset. <https://nrimp.dfw.state.or.us/DataClearinghouse/default.aspx?p=202&XMLname=885.xml>. Accessed May 2023.
- ODFW. 2014. Oregon Mule Deer Initiative. 5 Year Summary 2010-2014. [https://www.dfw.state.or.us/resources/hunting/big\\_game/mule\\_deer/docs/Mule\\_Deer\\_Initiative\\_5\\_Year\\_Summary.pdf](https://www.dfw.state.or.us/resources/hunting/big_game/mule_deer/docs/Mule_Deer_Initiative_5_Year_Summary.pdf). Accessed August 2023.
- ODFW. 2021a. ODFW Sensitive Species List. [http://www.dfw.state.or.us/wildlife/diversity/species/docs/2016\\_Sensitive\\_Species\\_List.pdf](http://www.dfw.state.or.us/wildlife/diversity/species/docs/2016_Sensitive_Species_List.pdf). Accessed May 2023.
- ODFW. 2021b. Threatened, endangered and candidate fish and wildlife species. [http://www.dfw.state.or.us/wildlife/diversity/species/threatened\\_endangered\\_candidate\\_list.asp](http://www.dfw.state.or.us/wildlife/diversity/species/threatened_endangered_candidate_list.asp). Accessed May 2023.
- ODFW 2023. Oregon Connectivity Assessment and Mapping Project. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Salem, OR.

- Oregon Flora. 2023a. Oregon Plant Atlas and digitized specimen labels and submitted observations. Oregon State University. Corvallis, OR. <https://oregonflora.org/spatial/index.php>. Accessed May 2023.
- Oregon Flora. 2023b. Rare Plant Fact Sheets. Oregon State University. Corvallis, OR. <https://oregonflora.org/pages/rare-plant-factsheets.php>. Accessed May 2023.
- Oregon Flora. 2023c. Taxonomic Checklist. Oregon State University. Corvallis, OR. <https://oregonflora.org/pages/taxonomic-checklist.php>. Accessed May 2023.
- ORBIC (Oregon Biodiversity Information Center). 2019. Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species of Oregon. Institute for Natural Resources, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon.
- ORBIC. 2023a. Element Occurrence Record Digital Data Set for rare, threatened or endangered species within 5 miles of the Yellow Rosebush Energy Center. ORBIC, Institute for Natural Resources, Portland State University. Portland, OR. Received May 2023.
- ORBIC. 2023b. 2023 Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Vascular Plant List. Institute for Natural Resources, Portland State University. <https://inr.oregonstate.edu/orbic/rare-species/rare-species-oregon-publications>. Accessed May 2023.
- OWE (Oregon Wildlife Explorer). 2023. <http://oe.oregonexplorer.info/wildlife/wildlifeviewer/>. Accessed April 2023.
- Poot, H., B. J. Ens, H. de Vries, M. A. H. Donners, M. R. Wernand, and J. M. Marquenie. 2008. Green Light for Nocturnally Migrating Birds. *Ecology and Society* 13(2): 47.
- Poulin, R. G., L. D. Todd, E. A. Haug, B. A. Millsap, and M. S. Martell. 2011. Burrowing Owl (*Athene cunicularia*), version 2.0. In *The Birds of North America* (A. F. Poole, Editor). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA.
- Pyke, D.A., J. C. Chambers, M. Pellant, S. T. Knick, R. F. Miller, J. L. Beck, P. S. Doescher, E. W. Schupp, B. A. Roundy, M. Brunson, and J. D. McIver. 2015. Restoration handbook for sagebrush steppe ecosystems with emphasis on greater sage-grouse habitat—Part 1. Concepts for understanding and applying restoration: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1416, 44 p., <http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/cir1416>.
- Rosentreter, R. 2005. Sagebrush identification, ecology, and palatability relative to sage-grouse. In *Sage-grouse Habitat Restoration Symposium Proceedings; 2001 June 4-7, Boise, ID*, N. L. Shaw, M. Pellant, and S. B. Monsen (comps.), p. 3–16. Proc. RMRS-P-38. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station.
- Rotenberry, John. 1990. Weather and Reproductive Variation in Shrubsteppe Sparrows: A Hierarchical Analysis. *Ecology*. 72. 1325-1335. 10.2307/1941105.
- Rotenberry, J. T., M. A. Patten, and K. L. Preston. 1999. Brewer's Sparrow (*Spizella breweri*), version 2.0. In *The Birds of North America* (A. F. Poole and F. B. Gill, Editors). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA.

- Simes, M.T., Longshore, K.M., Nussear, K.E., Beatty, G.L., Brown, D.E., Esque, T.C. 2015. Black-tailed and white-tailed jackrabbits in the American West: history, ecology, significance, and survey methods. *Western North American Naturalist* 75: 491– 520.
- StreamNet. 2021. Fish distribution and critical habitat map data for Oregon. <https://www.streamnet.org/data/interactive-maps-and-gis-data/>. Accessed May 2023.
- Sullivan, B.L., C.L. Wood, M.J. Iliff, R.E. Bonney, D. Fink, and S. Kelling. 2009. eBird: a citizen-based bird observation network in the biological sciences. *Biological Conservation* 142: 2282-2292.
- USDA-NASS (United States Department of Agriculture National Agricultural Statistics Service). 2023. Cropland Data Layer. <https://nassgeodata.gmu.edu/CropScape/>. Accessed May 2023.
- USFWS. 2021. Birds of Conservation Concern 2021. United States Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Migratory Bird Management, Arlington, Virginia. 47 pp. <https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/birds-of-conservation-concern-2021.pdf>. Accessed May 2023.
- USFWS. 2022. Critical Habitat for Threatened & Endangered Species. Critical Habitat online map. <https://fws.maps.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=9d8de5e265ad4fe09893cf75b8dbfb77#!> Accessed May 2023.
- USFWS. 2023a. IPaC (Information for Planning and Consultation). Endangered Species in Wasco County and Sherman County. <https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/>. Accessed April 2023.
- USFWS. 2023b. Federally Listed, Proposed, Candidate, Delisted Species and Species of Concern Under the Jurisdiction of the Fish and Wildlife Service which May Occur in Oregon. [https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/OregonSpeciesStateList\\_4.pdf](https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/OregonSpeciesStateList_4.pdf). Accessed May 2023.
- USFWS. 2023c. National Wetlands Inventory website. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. <https://www.fws.gov/program/national-wetlands-inventory>. Accessed April 2023.
- USGS (US Geological Survey). 2023. National Hydrography Dataset and Watershed Boundary Dataset. <https://www.usgs.gov/national-hydrography/watershed-boundary-dataset>. Accessed April 2023.
- Vickery, P. D. 1996. Grasshopper Sparrow (*Ammodramus savannarum*), version 2.0. In *The Birds of North America* (A. F. Poole and F. B. Gill, Editors). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA.
- Vierling, K. T., V. A. Saab, and B. W. Tobalske. 2013. Lewis's Woodpecker (*Melanerpes lewis*), version 2.0. In *The Birds of North America* (A. F. Poole, Editor). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA.
- Wambolt, C.L., K.S. Walhof, and M.R. Frisina. 2001. Recovery of big sagebrush communities after burning in south-western Montana. *Journal of Environmental Management* 61:243–252.

- Watts, M.J., and C.L. Wambolt. 1996. Long-term recovery of Wyoming big sagebrush after four treatments. *Journal of Environmental Management* 46:95–105.
- WEST. 2017. Bird and Bat Conservation Strategy for the Palen Solar Photovoltaic Project, Riverside County, California. Prepared for Palen Solar III, LLC. August 21, 2017.
- Yosef, R. 1996. Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*), version 2.0. In *The Birds of North America* (A. F. Poole and F. B. Gill, Editors). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA.


This page intentionally left blank

## Figures

(Figure P-5 is confidential and provided under separate cover)

This page intentionally left blank

\\cess706g\ists\1CES\Projects\PD\X\Savion\YellowRosebush\Maps\pASC\Exhibit\_P\_20240605.aprx



# Yellow Rosebush Energy Center

## Figure P-1 Fish & Wildlife Analysis Area

SHERMAN AND WASCO  
COUNTIES, OR

- Facility Site Boundary
- Analysis Area (0.5-mile Buffer)
- City/Town
- County Boundary
- US Highway
- Local Roads
- Creeks



### Reference Map




1:65,000

WGS 1984 UTM Zone 10N



NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

\\cess706g\ists\1CES\Projects\PD\X\Savion\YellowRosebush\Maps\pASC\Exhibit\_P\_20240812.aprx




# Yellow Rosebush Energy Center

## Figure P-2 2023 Survey Area within Facility Site Boundary

SHERMAN AND WASCO  
COUNTIES, OR

- Facility Site Boundary
- Micrositing Corridor
- Analysis Area (0.5-mile Buffer)
- County Boundary
- US Highway
- Local Roads
- Creeks
- Survey Areas
  - Wildlife and Habitat Survey Area
  - Desktop Survey Area




1:65,000

WGS 1984 UTM Zone 10N

0 0.5 1 2 Miles

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

\\cess706g\jst\1\ICES\Projects\PD\X\Savion\YellowRosebush\Maps\pASC\Exhibit\_P\_20240605.aprx




**Yellow Rosebush  
Energy Center**

**Figure P-3  
Preliminary Habitat  
Categories within the  
Analysis Area**

**SHERMAN AND WASCO  
COUNTIES, OR**

- Facility Site Boundary
  - Micrositing Corridor
  - Analysis Area (0.5-mile Buffer)
  - County Boundary
  - US Highway
  - Local Roads
  - Creeks
- Habitat Category
- 2
  - 3
  - 4
  - 5
  - 6




1:65,000

WGS 1984 UTM Zone 10N


0 0.5 1 2 Miles

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

\\cess706g\ists\1CES\Projects\PD\X\Savion\YellowRosebush\Maps\pASC\Exhibit\_P\_20240605.aprx



\\cess706g\ists\1\ICES\Projects\PD\X\Savion\YellowRosebush\Maps\pASC\Exhibit\_P\pSavion\_YRB\_Exhibit\_P\_20240812.aprx



**Yellow Rosebush  
Energy Center**

**Figure P-6  
2023 In-Use  
Raptor Nests**

**SHERMAN AND WASCO  
COUNTIES, OR**

- Facility Site Boundary
  - Raptor Nest Survey Area (0.5-mile buffer)
  - County Boundary
  - US Highway
  - Local Roads
  - Creeks
- Species Using Nest, Nest Status
- Small Nests
- Common raven, In-use
  - Swainson's hawk, In-use
  - Unknown, Inactive

\*Historical golden eagle nest confirmed present but unoccupied, located north of the 0.5 mile raptor nest survey buffer.



**Reference Map**



1:65,000

WGS 1984 UTM Zone 10N



NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

## **Attachment P-1. Biological Survey Reports**

(2023 Wildlife, Habitat, and Raptor Nest Survey Report is confidential and provided under separate cover)

This page intentionally left blank

# **Botanical Survey Report**

## **Yellow Rosebush Energy Center**

**Prepared for**  
**Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC**

**Prepared by**



**Tetra Tech, Inc.**

**November 2023**

This page intentionally left blank

## Table of Contents

|     |                            |   |
|-----|----------------------------|---|
| 1.0 | Introduction.....          | 1 |
| 2.0 | Methods .....              | 1 |
| 2.1 | Target Species.....        | 1 |
| 2.2 | Survey Areas.....          | 3 |
| 2.3 | Background Review .....    | 3 |
| 2.4 | Survey Schedule.....       | 4 |
| 2.5 | Field Survey Methods ..... | 4 |
| 3.0 | Results .....              | 5 |
| 4.0 | Conclusions .....          | 8 |
| 5.0 | References .....           | 8 |

## List of Tables

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Table 1. Threatened, Endangered, and Candidate Vascular Plant Species with Potential to Occur in the Facility Site Boundary ..... | 2 |
| Table 2. Noxious Weeds Located Within the Survey Area .....   | 6 |

## List of Figures

- Figure 1. Project Location
- Figure 2. Noxious Weed Observations

## List of Attachments

- Attachment 1. Vascular Plants Observed During the 2023 Field Surveys
- Attachment 2. Site Photographs

This page intentionally left blank

## 1.0 Introduction

Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC contracted Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) to perform botanical surveys for the Yellow Rosebush Energy Center (Facility), located in Wasco County, Oregon. This report presents the methods and results for the botanical surveys. The Facility is located within Wasco County, approximately 8.5 miles east of Maupin, Oregon (Figure 1). The botanical surveys aimed to record the presence of federal or state-listed endangered, threatened, and candidate vascular plants, as well as state and county designated noxious weeds. This botanical survey report is prepared for inclusion in the Facility's Application for Site Certificate to the Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council.

## 2.0 Methods

### 2.1 Target Species

The initial list of potential primary target species included all vascular plant species listed as endangered, threatened, proposed, or candidates for listing by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) under the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA), or by the Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA) under the Oregon ESA, that are known or have potential to occur within Wasco County. Based on Tetra Tech's preliminary desktop review and knowledge of the area, there are seven state threatened, endangered, and candidate species (i.e., rare plants) that could potentially occur within the Facility Site Boundary: diffuse stickseed (*Hackelia diffusa* var. *diffusa*), disappearing monkeyflower (*Erythranthe inflatula*), dwarf evening primrose (*Eremothera* [*Camissonia*] *pygmaea*), Henderson's ricegrass (*Eriocoma* [*Achnatherum*] *hendersonii*), hepatic monkeyflower (*Erythranthe jungermannioides*), sessile mousetail (*Myosurus sessilis*), and Tygh Valley milkvetch (*Astragalus tyghensis*) (Table 1). Sources of information for the desktop review included:

- Oregon Listed Plants by County (ODA 2023a);
- Oregon Biodiversity Information Center (ORBIC) 2019 Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species of Oregon (ORBIC 2019);
- ORBIC Element Occurrence Records for the vicinity of the Facility Site Boundary (ORBIC 2023);
- Oregon Flora Digitized Collections of the Oregon State University Herbarium (Oregon Flora 2023a); and
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) Information for Planning and Consultation Resource List for the Facility Site Boundary (USFWS 2023).

Tetra Tech reviewed the results of a query to the Oregon Biodiversity Information Center (ORBIC) that was received in May 2023 (ORBIC 2023). Review of the results of the ORBIC query found that none of the seven state threatened, endangered, and candidate species Tetra Tech identified had been documented within the Facility Site Boundary, according to the ORBIC database.

Of all identified species, the presence of Tygh Valley milkvetch in the Facility Site Boundary was expected because it has been found in similar habitats nearby. These habitats consist of dry, sandy, rocky soils overlaying basalt bedrock on hillsides and valley floors within sagebrush-bunchgrass communities (ODA 2023b). Because Tygh Valley milkvetch looks similar to other related species when not in bloom, surveys were planned for June, during the flowering season of this plant (ODA 2023b).

**Table 1. Threatened, Endangered, and Candidate Vascular Plant Species with Potential to Occur in the Facility Site Boundary**

| Scientific Name                                  | Common Name               | Federal Status <sup>1</sup> | State Status <sup>2</sup> | Habitat  | Flowering Period <sup>3</sup>  |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| <i>Astragalus tyghensis</i>                      | Tygh Valley milkvetch     | SOC                         | T                         | Dry, sandy, rocky soils overlying basalt bedrock on hillsides and valley floors within sagebrush-bunchgrass communities. Many occurrences are located along roadsides. | Late May to mid-June. Flowering from May to early June and Fruiting in July. |
| <i>Eremothera</i> [Camissonia] <i>pygmaea</i>    | dwarf evening primrose    | -                           | C                         | Dry plains and slopes with unstable soils or on gravel in steep talus, dry washes, banks, and roadcuts.  | June-August  |
| <i>Eriocoma</i> [Achnatherum] <i>hendersonii</i> | Henderson's ricegrass     | -                           | C                         | Dry shallow rocky soils described from basalt in sagebrush or ponderosa pine. Soils are often subject to frost heave.  | May-June   |
| <i>Erythranthe inflatula</i>                     | disappearing monkeyflower | -                           | C                         | Moist gravelly, rocky areas, and low, wet fields, in sagebrush-juniper zones. Elevation: 3,900-5,600 ft.   | May-June   |
| <i>Erythranthe jungermannioides</i>              | hepatic monkeyflower      | -                           | C                         | Moist crevices and seeps in basalt cliff faces and canyon walls. Elevation: 500-3,300 ft.  | June-August (as long as water is present)                                    |

| Scientific Name  | Common Name          | Federal Status <sup>1</sup> | State Status <sup>2</sup> | Habitat   | Flowering Period <sup>3</sup>         |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| <i>Hackelia diffusa</i><br><i>var. diffusa</i>   | diffuse<br>stickseed | -                           | C                         | Shaded areas, cliffs,<br>talus, wooded flats and<br>slopes. Elevation:<br>~1000 ft. | May-June                              |
| <i>Myosurus sessilis</i>   | sessile<br>mousetail | SOC                         | C                         | Vernal pools and alkali<br>flats.   | March-May (depending<br>on hydrology) |
| <p>Sources: Burke Museum of Natural History and Culture 2023; ODA 2023a; ODA 2023b; Oregon Flora 2023a; Oregon Flora 2023b; Oregon Flora 2023c; ORBIC 2019; ORBIC 2023; USFWS 2023.</p> <p>1. SOC = Species of Concern.</p> <p>2. T = Threatened; C = Candidate for listing.</p> <p>3. Species may bloom anytime within the range presented.</p> |                      |                             |                           |   |                                       |

## 2.2 Survey Areas

The Facility Site Boundary encompasses 8,075 acres in Wasco County. Before initiating surveys, Tetra Tech conducted a review of aerial imagery of the Facility Site Boundary and excluded active agricultural fields from consideration for surveys because they do not provide suitable habitat for target rare plant species. Furthermore, at the discretion of Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC, the eastern section of the Facility Site Boundary, which includes a steep north to south running canyon, Hauser Canyon, was excluded from the survey. This decision was made due to the steepness of the terrain, which has slopes exceeding 30 percent and is unsuitable for development. The slopes exceeding 30 percent also pose a danger to the surveyors. As a result, the Survey Area described in this report consists of 7,026 acres of uncultivated habitat to the west of Hauser Canyon, which was surveyed in 2023 (Figure 1).

An additional desktop assessment of potential rare plant occurrences was conducted within the alternative generation tie line route located north of the Survey Area and for the steep, non-surveyable area within the Facility Site Boundary to the east of Hauser Canyon (see Desktop Survey Area, Figure 1). The total acreage of the Desktop Survey Area is approximately 1,049 acres.

When access was available and slopes were not hazardous to field staff, additional land was surveyed on the ground alongside Hauser Canyon within the Desktop Survey Area. This is reflected in the noxious weed observations figure (Figure 2), indicating where many instances of noxious weeds were identified outside of the Survey Area.

## 2.3 Background Review

Tetra Tech completed a review of existing literature, herbarium records, and other sources prior to field surveys to gather information about each of the rare plant species which could possibly be encountered within the Survey Area. This information was also used to inform the desktop review of the Desktop Survey Area (see section 2.1 for list of sources). Prior to conducting field surveys,

fact sheets for the target rare plant species were compiled. These fact sheets were used by the surveyor in the field and included the following:

- Photos of the target species and their habitats;
- Information detailing habitat associations;
- Range and flowering periods;
- Identifying features; and
- Characteristics distinguishing the target species from lookalikes which grow within a similar distribution.

Additionally, surveyors visited a nearby known location of Tygh Valley milkvetch. The purpose of this inspection was to determine the current phenological state of the species for ease and accuracy of identification within the Survey Area.

Lastly, Tetra Tech reviewed the list of noxious weed species designated as A, B, and T by ODA (ODA 2022) as well as noxious weed lists for Wasco County (Wasco County 2008). These lists were carried by surveyors and were referred to often while conducting surveys.

## **2.4 Survey Schedule**

Tetra Tech completed their botanical surveys from June 6-June 14, 2023, a timeframe when all of the target species, with the exception of sessile mousetail (*Myosurus sessilis*), were likely to be identifiable. Plants were considered 'identifiable' if they were expected to be flowering during the survey period based on their known bloom period (Table 1). Surveys were not conducted during the period of flowering for sessile mousetail because the plant's habitat was not likely to be found within the Survey Area. If this habitat was found, it was surveyed at a higher level of precision to increase the likelihood that the vegetative structures of sessile mousetail would be located.

## **2.5 Field Survey Methods**

Tetra Tech utilized the Intuitive Controlled survey method, a standard and widely accepted survey protocol (USFS and BLM 1999), for conducting botanical field surveys. This method involves the implementation of meandering transects throughout the Survey Area, aiming to target the full range of vegetation types, topographical features, habitats, and substrate types. During the survey, the field personnel actively search for the target species while meandering through the Survey Area. Additionally, when surveyors came across areas with high potential habitat, either identified during the pre-field review or discovered during the field visit, they performed a thorough survey for target species in that specific area. This approach allows for comprehensive surveys of areas with high potential habitat.

Standard Tetra Tech survey protocol includes recording GPS locations of any target species encountered with a tablet using ArcGIS FieldMaps software and a submeter accuracy GPS unit. Survey methods also include completing ORBIC siting forms for any rare plant population observed

and taking photos to serve as digital specimen vouchers to illustrate identifying characteristics, plant habit, and habitat.

Data collected for each rare plant population, if encountered, would include:

- Species phenology;
- Number of plants observed;
- Habitat information and associated species; and
- Visible threats.

During surveys, Tetra Tech maintained a running list of vascular plant species encountered and made informal collections of unknown species for later identification. Identification was verified using appropriate plant keys; in particular, *Flora of the Pacific Northwest* (Hitchcock and Cronquist 2018). Nomenclature follows that used by Oregon Flora (Oregon Flora 2023c). The final vascular plant species list for the Survey Area is included as Attachment 1 of this report. Site photos are included in Attachment 2.

Surveyors also recorded observations of ODA-listed noxious weeds, which included A, B, and T listed species (ODA 2022), as well as listed weeds for Wasco County (Wasco County 2008). Observations were recorded as GPS polygons including the relative density of the plants. Field bindweed (*Convolvulus arvensis*), a listed weed species, was desktop delineated with approximate locations following the field surveys.

### 3.0 Results

Botanical surveys were conducted within the 7,026-acre Survey Area from June 6-14, 2023. The Survey Area was predominately flat, with minimal elevation change. The primary habitat types could be characterized as eastside grasslands (2,714 acres, 39 percent of the total Survey Area) and shrub-steppe (2,691 acres, 38 percent of the total Survey Area). Non-native grasses including cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*), and medusahead (*Taeniatherum caput-medusae*), as well as the native perennial bunchgrass bluebunch wheatgrass (*Pseudoroegneria spicata*) were the dominant species both in eastside grasslands as well as the understory of shrub-steppe habitat. Big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata*) was the most common shrub species, while the most common tree was western juniper (*Juniperus occidentalis*), though it was less abundant than the shrubs or grasses across the expanse of the Survey Area. The western side of Hauser Canyon leading into the depths of canyon contained a higher density of western juniper stands, along with a wider variety of weedy species, including thistles (*Cirsium* sp. and *Onopordum acanthium*) and common mullein (*Verbascum thapsus*). There was minimal bare ground throughout the Survey Area, generally only found on the cliffs of Hauser Canyon. Basalt outcrops and talus slopes were occasionally found throughout the Survey Area. Overall, the Survey Area is dominated by invasive species and highly disturbed due to the current and historic farming, cattle grazing, roads, and installed fences.

Tetra Tech did not observe any target species or other threatened, endangered, proposed, or candidate vascular plant species within the Survey Area. One vernal pool, a potential habitat for sessile mousetail, was located within the Survey Area), but there was no evidence of the plant in the area, or close vicinity. Additionally, there is no evidence from desktop review of historical records that the target rare plants occur within the Desktop Survey Area.

Tetra Tech recorded seven listed noxious weed species within the Survey Area; including five ODA-listed noxious weed species and three Wasco County-listed weeds (Table 2, Figure 2). Noxious weeds were most abundant along roadsides, within drainages, and in or near current and former agricultural fields and structures such as field sheds, water troughs, and fence lines. Table 2 lists the noxious weed species observed, their noxious weed designation, and the frequency of observations.

**Table 2. Noxious Weeds Located Within the Survey Area**

| Scientific Name  | Common Name      | Status<br>(State <sup>1</sup> /Wasco<br>County <sup>2</sup> ) | Frequency  |
|--|------------------|---|--|
| <i>Centaurea diffusa</i>   | Diffuse knapweed | B*/B*   | Two observed patches, one of which is expansive.                                 |
| <i>Cirsium arvense</i>   | Canada thistle   | B*/B  | Infrequent small patches observed.   |
| <i>Cirsium vulgare</i>   | Bull thistle     | B*/Not listed   | Infrequent small patches observed.   |
| <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>  | Field bindweed   | B*/C  | Infrequently found along two-track roads within the Survey Area.                 |
| <i>Onopordum acanthium</i>   | Scotch thistle   | B/Not listed  | Rare, occurrences consisted of small to medium patches                           |
| <i>Taeniatherum caput-medusae</i>  | Medusahead       | B/Not listed  | Dominant ground cover. Near ubiquitous throughout Survey Area. Extremely common. |
| <i>Verbascum thapsus</i>   | Common mullein   | Not listed/Q  | Common alongside Hauser Canyon and in the westernmost Survey Area.               |
| <p>1. "A" designated weeds: Weeds of known economic importance which occur in the state in small enough infestations to make eradication/containment possible; or which are not known to occur, but their presence in neighboring states makes future occurrence in Oregon seem imminent. "B" designated weeds: Weeds of economic importance which are regionally abundant, but which may have limited distribution in some counties. "T" designated weeds: A priority noxious weed designated by the Oregon State Weed Board as a target for which the ODA will develop and implement a statewide management plan. "T" designated noxious weeds are species selected from either the "A" or "B" list (ODA 2022). Species marked with a (*) are targeted for biocontrol.</p> <p>2. "A" Pests: A weed of known economic importance known to occur in the county in small enough infestations to make eradication practical. "B" Pests: A weed of known economic importance and of limited distribution within the county and is subject to intensive control or eradication, where feasible, at the county level. "C" Pests: A weed that also has economic importance but is more widely spread. Control of these weeds will be limited by conditions that warrant special attention. "Q" Pests: A weed that exists in the county, but is of little, no, or undetermined economic importance. However, they are to be monitored and subject to control if they begin to appear threatening. *Within Bakeoven/Maupin area is a knapweed control zone. Control efforts are mandatory under ORS 570.510 and 570.515 (Wasco County 2008).</p> |                  |   |  |

Noxious weeds were relatively uncommon within the Survey Area; except for medusahead, an ODA B-listed non-native annual grass, which was abundant throughout the Survey Area. As noted above, medusahead was commonly observed alongside cheatgrass, another annual invasive grass, and together they were the dominant species in the Survey Area. Even when medusahead became less abundant, surveyors could not walk more than approximately 50 feet without encountering more individuals. The ubiquity of medusahead led to its omission from Figure 2 as it encompassed the entire Survey Area.

Diffuse knapweed (*Centaurea diffusa*) was found in only two locations within the Survey Area. One population was less than 0.25-acres and contained approximately 200 individuals. The second population was extensive, located along the road connecting Wilson Road to Rattlesnake Road in the center of the Survey Area and surrounding a residence located at the eastern end of Wilson Road. Along that road, the diffuse knapweed was largely in the center of the two-tracks and running parallel to the tire tracks (Figure 2).

Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*) and bull thistle (*Cirsium vulgare*) were relatively uncommon in the Survey Area and occurred only in moderate to low abundance. Bull thistle was restricted to the westernmost section of the Survey Area, while Canada thistle had a broader distribution, including several observations in the eastern section of the Survey Area, particularly in drainages and adjacent to Hauser Canyon. Three populations of Scotch thistle (*Onopordum acanthium*) were identified: one in the westernmost Survey Area and the other two within drainages to the west of Hauser Canyon.

Common mullein (*Verbascum thapsus*) was the most frequently encountered noxious weed within the Survey Area after medusahead. There were 22 occurrences of common mullein noted, primarily in drainages, along roadsides, and in disturbed regions of the Survey Area. It was often found adjacent to Hauser Canyon, often growing alongside other weedy species such as Scotch thistle, Canada thistle, and wild teasel (*Dipsacus fullonum*).

Weeds found within the Survey Area, except for common mullein, are state "B"-designated weeds. These are economically important weeds that are abundant regionally but may have limited distribution in certain counties. Intensive control measures are recommended for these weeds, determined on a case-by-case basis. Four of these B-designated noxious weeds (diffuse knapweed, Canada thistle, bull thistle, and field bindweed) are specifically targeted for biocontrol by ODA and must be managed accordingly (ODA 2023).

At the county level, two species, diffuse knapweed and Canada thistle, are listed as B pests. In Wasco County, this designation indicates that these weeds are of known economic importance but have limited distribution within the county. Intensive control is recommended for these species, where feasible, within the county. Control efforts for diffuse knapweed concentrate on the Bakeoven and Maupin regions, which are county-designated knapweed control zones. Knapweed control zones include obligatory control measures according to ORS 570.510 and 570.515 (Wasco County 2008).

Additionally, common mullein was the only Wasco County Q-listed pest species found within the Survey Area. Q-listed pests are weeds that exist in the county but have little, no, or undetermined economic importance. However, monitoring and potential control measures are still recommended if these species become threatening (Wasco County 2008).

## 4.0 Conclusions

Botanical surveys in 2023 documented no endangered, threatened, proposed, or candidate vascular plant species within the Survey Area. No precautions need be taken to avoid the disturbance of rare plants. There is also no evidence of rare plant occurrences within the Desktop Survey Area, and field surveys are anticipated to occur in the spring of 2024 along the northwestern gen-tie line to confirm the lack of rare plants in this region of the Facility Site Boundary.

Tetra Tech documented seven state or county designated noxious weed species within the Survey Area. Noxious weed populations were observed in disturbed areas, such as along roadsides, within drainages, and around both active and abandoned farming/ranching structures and corrals. They were also occasionally found in higher-quality shrub-steppe habitats, alongside native sagebrush shrubs and within western juniper stands. The state-designated noxious weed medusahead was present in high abundance throughout the Survey Area across habitat types, excluding cliff faces.

## 5.0 References

- Burke Museum of Natural History and Culture. 2023. Herbarium and Image Collection. University of Washington. Seattle, WA. Accessed at: <http://biology.burke.washington.edu/herbarium/imagecollection.php>. Accessed April 2023.
- Hitchcock, C. L., and A. Cronquist. 2018. Flora of the Pacific Northwest. University of Washington Press; second edition. Seattle, WA.
- ODA (Oregon Department of Agriculture). 2022. Noxious Weed Policy and Classification System. Noxious Weed Control Program. Salem, OR. Available at: <https://www.oregon.gov/oda/programs/weeds/oregonnoxiousweeds/pages/aboutoregonweeds.aspx>. Accessed June 2023.
- ODA. 2023a. Oregon Listed Plants by County. Available at: <http://www.oregon.gov/ODA/programs/PlantConservation/Pages/ListedPlants.aspx>. Accessed June 2023.
- ODA. 2023b. Tygh Valley milkvetch (*Astragalus tyghensis*) Fact Sheet. Available at: <https://www.oregon.gov/ODA/shared/Documents/Publications/PlantConservation/AstragalusTyghensisProfile.pdf>. Accessed June 2023.


- ORBIC (Oregon Biodiversity Information Center). 2019. Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species of Oregon. Institute for Natural Resources, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon. 136 pp.
- ORBIC (Oregon Biodiversity Information Center). 2023. Element Occurrence Record Digital Data Set for rare, threatened or endangered species for the Yellow Rosebush Project in Wasco County. ORBIC, Institute for Natural Resources, Portland State University. Portland, OR. Received May 2023.
- Oregon Flora. 2023a. Digitized Collections of the Oregon State University Herbarium. Oregon State University. Corvallis, OR. Available at: <https://oregonflora.org/collections/index.php>. Accessed June 2023.
- Oregon Flora. 2023b. Rare Plant Fact Sheets. Oregon State University. Corvallis, OR. Available at: <https://oregonflora.org/pages/rare-plant-factsheets.php>. Accessed June 2023.
- Oregon Flora. 2023c. Oregon Vascular Plant Checklist, Version 2.0. Oregon State University. Corvallis, OR. Available at: <https://oregonflora.org/pages/taxonomic-checklist.php>. Accessed June 2023.
- USFS (U.S. Forest Service) and BLM (U.S. Bureau of Land Management). 1999. Survey and Manage Survey Protocol – Vascular Plants.
- USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2023. Oregon's Endangered Species – Plants. Oregon Fish and Wildlife Office. <https://www.fws.gov/media/oregonspeciesstatelistpdf>. Accessed June 2023.
- Wasco County 2008. Noxious Weed Control List. [https://cms5.revize.com/revize/wascocounty/document\\_center/Public%20Works/wdlist08.pdf](https://cms5.revize.com/revize/wascocounty/document_center/Public%20Works/wdlist08.pdf)

This page intentionally left blank

# Figures

This page intentionally left blank






\\css706g\ists\1CES\Projects\PD\X\Savion\YellowRosebush\Maps\BotanicalSurvey\Report\_20230801.aprx



# Yellow Rosebush Energy Center

**Figure 1**  
**Project Location**

**SHERMAN AND  
WASCO COUNTIES, OR**

-  Survey Area
-  Desktop Survey Area
-  County Boundary
-  US Highway
-  Local Roads



**Reference Map**




1:60,000

WGS 1984 UTM Zone 10N



NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

\\css706g\ists\1CES\Projects\PDX\Savion\YellowRosebush\Maps\BotanicalSurveyReport\_20230801.aprx



# Yellow Rosebush Energy Center

**Figure 2**  
**Noxious Weed Observations**

**SHERMAN AND WASCO COUNTIES, OR**

Survey Area

Desktop Survey Area

County Boundary

Noxious Weed Scientific Name<sup>1</sup>

*Centaurea diffusa*

*Cirsium arvense*

*Cirsium vulgare*

*Convolvulus arvensis*<sup>2</sup>

*Onopordum acanthium*

*Verbascum thapsus*

<sup>1</sup> Medusahead (*Taeniatherum caput-medusae*), B-listed state weed, is not noted on the figure due to its ubiquity across the Survey Area.

<sup>2</sup> Desktop delineated; locations were approximated.



## Reference Map



1:60,000

WGS 1984 UTM Zone 10N

0 1 2 4 Miles

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

Earthstar Geographics

# **Attachment 1. Vascular Plants Observed During the 2023 Field Surveys**

This page intentionally left blank

### Attachment 1. Vascular Plants Observed During Surveys

| Scientific Name                   | Common Name                            | Native or Introduced? (1) | Synonyms                       | Notes   |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| <i>Achillea millefolium</i>       | Common yarrow                          | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Agoseris</i> sp.               | Mountain dandelion                     | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Allium acuminatum</i>          | Tapertip onion, Hooker's onion         | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Amsinckia lycopoides</i>       | Tarweed fiddleneck, bugloss fiddleneck | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Amsinckia tessellata</i>       | Bristly fiddleneck                     | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Antennaria</i> sp.             | Pussytoes                              | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Artemisia ludoviciana</i>      | White sagebrush                        | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Artemisia rigida</i>           | Stiff sagebrush, scabland sagebrush    | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Artemisia tridentata</i>       | Big sagebrush                          | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Asclepias fascicularis</i>     | Narrowleaf milkweed                    | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Astragalus filipes</i>         | Basalt milkvetch                       | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Astragalus purshii</i>         | Woollypod milkvetch                    | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Astragalus</i> sp.             | Milkvetch sp.                          | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Balsamorhiza careyana</i>      | Carey's balsamroot                     | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Balsamorhiza sagittata</i>     | Arrowleaf balsamroot                   | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Blepharipappus scaber</i>      | Rough eyelashweed                      | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Bromus inermis</i>             | Smooth brome                           | I                         |                                |   |
| <i>Bromus tectorum</i>            | Cheatgrass, downy chess, downy brome   | I                         |                                |   |
| <i>Centaurea diffusa</i>          | Diffuse knapweed                       | I                         |                                | ODA Noxious Weed, B List; Wasco County Weed, B Pest |
| <i>Ceratocephala testiculata</i>  | Hornseed buttercup                     | I                         | <i>Ranunculus testiculatus</i> |   |
| <i>Chaenactis douglasii</i>       | Hoary false yarrow                     | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Chenopodium album</i>          | White goosefoot, baconweed             | I                         |                                |   |
| <i>Chenopodium fremontii</i>      | Fremont's goosefoot                    | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Chenopodium rubrum</i>         | Low goosefoot                          | N                         |                                |   |
| <i>Chrysothamnus vicidiflorus</i> | Green rabbitbrush                      | N                         |                                |   |

|                                |   |   |                            |   |
|--------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|---|
| <i>Cirsium arvense</i>         | Canada thistle, creeping thistle            | I |                            | ODA Noxious Weed, B List                            |
| <i>Cirsium undulatum</i>       | Wavy leaf thistle                           | N |                            |   |
| <i>Cirsium vulgare</i>         | Bull thistle                                | I |                            | ODA Noxious Weed, B List                            |
| <i>Clematis ligusticifolia</i> | Old-man's beard, peppervine                 | N |                            |   |
| <i>Collinsia parviflora</i>    | Small flowered blue-eyed Mary               | N |                            |   |
| <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>    | Field bindweed                              | I |                            | ODA Noxious Weed, B List; Wasco County Weed, C Pest |
| <i>Collomia grandiflora</i>    | Large flowered collomia                     | N |                            |   |
| <i>Crepis atribarba</i>        | Long leaved hawksbeard, tapertip hawksbeard | N |                            |   |
| <i>Crepis barbigera</i>        | Bearded hawksbeard                          | N |                            |   |
| <i>Crepis intermedia</i>       | Gray hawksbeard, intermediate hawksbeard    | N |                            |   |
| <i>Delphinium nuttallianum</i> | Upland larkspur                             | N |                            |   |
| <i>Descurainia sophia</i>      | Flixweed, tansy mustard                     | I |                            |   |
| <i>Descurainia</i> sp.         | Flixweed spp.                               | N |                            |   |
| <i>Dieteria canescens</i>      | Hoary tansyaster                            | N |                            |   |
| <i>Dipascus fullonum</i>       | Wild teasel, Fuller's teasel                | I | <i>Dipascus sylvestris</i> |   |
| <i>Elymus elymoides</i>        | Squirreltail                                | N | <i>Sitanium hystrix</i>    |   |
| <i>Ericameria nauseosa</i>     | Rubber rabbitbrush                          | N |                            |   |
| <i>Erigeron linearis</i>       | Desert yellow daisy, lineleaf fleabane      | N |                            |   |
| <i>Eriogonum douglasii</i>     | Douglas' buckwheat                          | N |                            |   |
| <i>Eriogonum elatum</i>        | Tall buckwheat                              | N |                            |   |
| <i>Eriogonum heracleoides</i>  | Parsnipflower buckwheat                     | N |                            |   |
| <i>Erodium cicutarium</i>      | African filaree, red-stemmed filaree        | I |                            |   |
| <i>Festuca idahoensis</i>      | Idaho fescue                                | N |                            |   |
| <i>Fritillaria pudica</i>      | Yellow bells, yellow fritillary             | N |                            |   |

|   |   |   |                           |  |
|---|---|---|---------------------------|--|
| <i>Galium aparine</i>                     | Stickywilly, cleavers, catchweed bedstraw | N |                           |  |
| <i>Galium boreale</i>                     | Northern bedstraw                         | N |                           |  |
| <i>Grindelia squarrosa</i>                | Curlycup gumweed, resinweed               | N |                           |  |
| <i>Gutierrezia sarothrae</i>              | Broom snakeweed, matchweed                | N |                           |  |
| <i>Heracleum maximum</i>                  | Cow parsnip                               | N |                           |  |
| <i>Hieracium cynoglossoides</i>           | Houndstongue hawkweed                     | N |                           |  |
| <i>Holodiscus discolor</i>                | Ocean spray                               | N |                           |  |
| <i>Hordeum</i> sp.                        | Barley                                    | N |                           |  |
| <i>Idaho scapigera</i>                    | Scalegod, flatpod                         | N |                           |  |
| <i>Juniperus occidentalis</i>             | Western juniper                           | N |                           |  |
| <i>Lactuca serriola</i>                   | Prickly lettuce                           | I |                           |  |
| <i>Lepidium campestre</i>                 | Field pepperwort, field pepperweed        | I |                           |  |
| <i>Lepidium perfoliatum</i>               | Clasping pepperweed                       | I |                           |  |
| <i>Lewisia rediviva</i>                   | Bitterroot, resurrection flower           | N |                           |  |
| <i>Leymus cinereus</i>                    | Great Basin wildrye                       | N | <i>Elymus cinereus</i>    |  |
| <i>Linum lewisii</i>                      | Linum flax, blue flax                     | N |                           |  |
| <i>Lithospermum ruderae</i>               | Western gromwell, Columbia puccoon        | N |                           |  |
| <i>Lomatium nudicaule</i>                 | Barestem lomatium                         | N |                           |  |
| <i>Lomatium</i> sp.                       | Lomatium, Biscuitroot                     | N |                           |  |
| <i>Lupinus arbustus</i>                   | Longspur lupine, spur lupine              | N | <i>Lupinus laxiflorus</i> |  |
| <i>Lupinus lepidus</i> var. <i>aridus</i> | Dwarf lupine                              | N |                           |  |
| <i>Lupinus leucophyllus</i>               | Velvet lupine, wooly leaved lupine        | N |                           |  |
| <i>Lupinus sericeus</i>                   | Silky lupine                              | N |                           |  |
| <i>Medicago sativa</i>                    | Alfalfa, lucerne                          | I |                           |  |
| <i>Melilotus officinalis</i>              | Common yellow sweetclover                 | I |                           |  |
| <i>Nasturtium officinale</i>              | Watercress, yellow cress                  | I |                           |  |
| <i>Onobrychis viciifolia</i>              | Common sainfoin                           | I | <i>Onobrychis sativa</i>  |  |

|  |  |   |  |                             |
|--|--|---|--|-----------------------------|
| <i>Onopordum acanthium</i>                                     | Scotch thistle                               | I |  | ODA Noxious Weed,<br>B List |
| <i>Penstemon deustus</i> var. <i>duustus</i>                   | Hot rock penstemon                           | N |  |                             |
| <i>Penstemon rydbergii</i>                                     | Rydberg's penstemon                          | N |  |                             |
| <i>Penstemon speciosus</i>                                     | Royal penstemon                              | N |  |                             |
| <i>Perideridia gairdneri</i>                                   | Gairdner's yampah, western<br>false caraway  | N |  |                             |
| <i>Phacelia hastata</i>  | Lance leaf phacelia,<br>cordilleran phacelia | N |  |                             |
| <i>Phacelia linearis</i>                                       | Linear-leaved phacelia                       | N |  |                             |
| <i>Philadelphus lewisii</i>                                    | Lewis' mock-orange                           | N |  |                             |
| <i>Phlox hoodii</i>  | Wooly phlox                                  | N |  |                             |
| <i>Phlox longifolia</i>  | long leaved phlox                            | N |  |                             |
| <i>Phoenicaulis cheiranthoides</i>                             | Daggerpod                                    | N |  |                             |
| <i>Pinus</i> sp.   | Pine   | N |  |                             |
| <i>Poa bulbosa</i>   | Bulbous bluegrass                            | I |  |                             |
| <i>Poa secunda</i>   | Secund bluegrass                             | N |  |                             |
| <i>Polygonum aviculare</i>                                     | Prostrate knotweed                           | I |  |                             |
| <i>Populus tremuloides</i>                                     | Quaking aspen                                | I |  |                             |
| <i>Prunus virginiana</i>                                       | Bitter-berry, chokecherry                    | N |  |                             |
| <i>Pseudoroegneria spicata</i>                                 | Bluebunch wheatgrass                         | N |  |                             |
| <i>Purshia tridentata</i>                                      | Antelope bitterbrush                         | N |  |                             |
| <i>Ribes aureum</i>  | Golden currant                               | N |  |                             |
| <i>Ribes cereum</i>  | Wax currant                                  | N |  |                             |
| <i>Rosa woodsii</i>  | Wood's rose                                  | N |  |                             |
| <i>Rumex crispus</i>   | Curly dock                                   | I |  |                             |
| <i>Salix</i> sp.   | Willow                                       | N |  |                             |
| <i>Sisymbrium altissimum</i>                                   | Tumble mustard                               | I |  |                             |
| <i>Symphoricarpos<br/>rotundifolius</i> var. <i>oreophilis</i> | Roundleaf snowberry                          | N |  |                             |
| <i>Taeniatherum caput-<br/>medusae</i>                         | Medusahead rye                               | I |  | ODA Noxious Weed,<br>B List |

|   |                                       |   |                              |                           |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| <i>Taraxacum officinale</i>   | Common dandelion                      | I |                              |                           |
| <i>Tetradymia canescens</i>   | Gray horsebrush, spineless horsebrush | N |                              |                           |
| <i>Thinopyrum intermedium</i>   | Intermediate wheatgrass               | I | <i>Agropyron intermedium</i> |                           |
| <i>Tragopogon dubius</i>  | Yellow salsify                        | I |                              |                           |
| <i>Triteleia grandiflora</i>  | Large-flowered triteleia              | N | <i>Brodiaea douglasii</i>    |                           |
| <i>Typha angustifolia</i>   | Lesser cattail, narrow-leaf cattail   | N |                              |                           |
| <i>Urtica dioica</i>  | Stinging nettle                       | N |                              |                           |
| <i>Verbascum thapsus</i>  | Flannel mullein, cowboy toilet paper  | I |                              | Wasco County Weed, Q Pest |
| <i>Veronica americana</i>   | American brooklime                    | N |                              |                           |
| <i>Viola</i> sp.  | Violet                                | N |                              |                           |
| Nomenclature follows Hitchcock 2018, Oregon Flora 2023c.<br>1. N = Native, I = Introduced |                                       |   |                              |                           |

This page intentionally left blank

## **Attachment 2. Site Photographs**

This page intentionally left blank




Photo 1. Heavily disturbed, grazed grassland. Dominant species include medusahead and cheatgrass. Taken: 6/10/2023. Lat/Long: 45.14795797, -120.8638785.



Photo 2. Large population of common mullein on hillside of a drainage. Vegetation and dead stalks. Taken: 6/14/2023. Lat/Long: 45.12344175, -120.8148209.



Photo 3. Large population of common mullein on hillside of a drainage. Vegetation and dead stalks. Taken: 6/14/2023. Lat/Long: 45.12344175, -120.8148209.



Photo 4. Flowering scotch thistle. Taken: 6/14/2023. Lat/Long: 45.13117486, -120.8172027.



Photo 5. Shrub-steppe ecotype with containing sagebrush, bluebunch wheatgrass, wild buckwheat, medusahead, and cheatgrass. Taken: 6/12/2023. Lat/Long: 45.17073945, -120.8926137.




Photo 6. Higher quality upland grassland/shrub-steppe ecotypes still containing ample medusahead and cheatgrass. Taken: 6/14/2023. Lat/Long: 45.12093531, -120.8149661.



Photo 7. Planted grassland. Dominant species include bluebunch wheatgrass and alfalfa, along with the non-native annual grasses medusahead and cheatgrass. Taken: 6/10/2023. Lat/Long: 45.13493762, -120.8383803.



Photo 8. Medusahead-dominated, disturbed grassland. Fresh plants, alongside cheatgrass. Taken: 6/6/2023. Lat/Long: 45.10788465, -120.8399199.



Photo 9. Medusahead-dominated, disturbed grassland. Dried out plants alongside cheatgrass. Taken: 6/8/2023. Lat/Long: 45.16306, -120.8187924.



Photo 10. Diffuse knapweed individual in smaller, western population. Taken: 6/11/2023. Lat/Long: 45.16381843, -120.8685369.



Photo 11. Heavily disturbed, grazed grassland. Dominant species include medusahead and cheatgrass. Taken: 6/11/2023. Lat/Long: 45.14932227, -120.8543931.



Photo 12. Fresh budding Canada thistle in the hunting recreation area of the northwestern portion of the Survey Area. Taken: 6/12/2023. Lat/Long: 45.18088215, -120.8785682.



Photo 13. Dead stalks of scotch thistle. Taken: 6/12/2023. Lat/Long: 45.17061103, -120.8919795.



Photo 14. Vegetation of bull thistle alongside medusahead. Taken: 6/12/2023. Lat/Long: 45.16654068, -120.8915394.



Photo 15. Vegetation of common mullein. Taken: 6/13/2023. Lat/Long: 45.1681334, -120.8938465.



Photo 16. Common mullein plants along Bakeoven Road and Project Boundary fenceline. Taken: 6/13/2023. Lat/Long: 45.16195351, -120.9001494.

# **Attachment P-2. Draft Habitat Mitigation Plan**

This page intentionally left blank

# **Draft Habitat Mitigation Plan**

**Yellow Rosebush Energy Center  
September 2025**

**Prepared for  
Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC**

**Prepared by**



This page intentionally left blank

## Table of Contents

|       |   |    |
|-------|---|----|
| 1.0   | Introduction.....   | 1  |
| 1.1   | Pre-construction HMP Finalization.....                        | 1  |
| 2.0   | Description of the Impacts Addressed by the HMP .....         | 1  |
| 3.0   | Methods for Calculating the Size of the Mitigation Area ..... | 5  |
| 4.0   | Mitigation.....   | 7  |
| 4.1   | Tygh Ridge Ranch Habitat Mitigation Area .....                | 7  |
| 4.1.1 | Tygh Ridge Ranch Habitat Enhancement Actions .....            | 9  |
| 4.2   | Facility Habitat Mitigation Area .....                        | 11 |
| 4.2.1 | Facility Habitat Enhancement Actions .....                    | 11 |
| 4.3   | Other Mitigation Options.....                                 | 12 |
| 5.0   | Monitoring.....   | 12 |
| 6.0   | Success Criteria.....   | 13 |
| 7.0   | Amendment of the HMP.....                                     | 13 |
| 8.0   | References .....  | 14 |

## List of Tables

|          |  |    |
|----------|--|----|
| Table 1. | Potential Impacts by Habitat Category, Type and Subtype.....               | 3  |
| Table 2. | Example Facility Schedule .....  | 4  |
| Table 3. | Compensatory Mitigation Calculation .....                                  | 6  |
| Table 4. | Land Cover Types within the Tygh Ridge Ranch Habitat Mitigation Area ..... | 8  |
| Table 5. | Enhancement Actions and Benefits to Mule Deer Winter Range .....           | 11 |

## List of Figures

Figure 1. Tygh Ridge Ranch Mitigation Area

Figure 2. Facility Habitat Mitigation Area

## List of Appendices

Appendix A. Draft Habitat Mitigation Area Fire Control Plan

This page intentionally left blank

## 1.0 Introduction

This Habitat Mitigation Plan (HMP) describes how Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC (Applicant) will mitigate for the unavoidable wildlife habitat impacts of the Yellow Rosebush Energy Center (Facility). Specifically, this HMP<sup>1</sup> outlines how the Applicant will construct and operate the Facility consistent with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) Habitat Mitigation Policy. This plan addresses mitigation for both the permanent impacts of Facility components (permanent impacts) and the temporal impacts associated with the Facility construction (select temporary impacts). The Applicant proposes to protect and enhance a habitat mitigation area (HMA) and/or provide commensurate funding for a third party to enhance and monitor an HMA. In addition, the Applicant reserves the right to pursue alternative mitigation pathways if available in the future by pursuing an amendment to this HMP, as provided under Section 7.0 below. This HMP specifies preliminary habitat enhancement actions and example monitoring procedures to evaluate the success of those actions, as applicable. The Applicant identified a mitigation option for the Facility in this HMP. If it is determined at final design of any phase of Facility development that additional mitigation is needed, mitigation would be developed in a like manner as the mitigation approach identified in this HMP, subject to ODFW review and approval by Oregon Department of Energy (ODOE).

### 1.1 Pre-construction HMP Finalization

Prior to construction, this Draft HMP will be finalized based on the following:

1. Conduct a field habitat characterization of the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA;
2. Designate specific locations for habitat enhancement actions;
3. Develop monitoring protocol in coordination with ODFW and ODOE; and
4. Develop success criteria for habitat enhancement actions.

## 2.0 Description of the Impacts Addressed by the HMP

The Facility is located entirely within the ODFW Designated Mule Deer Winter Range. ODFW (2013) describes winter range in eastern Oregon as limited and essential habitat for big game; therefore, should be considered as Category 2 under ODFW's Habitat Mitigation Policy. It is not possible to site the Facility outside of the designated winter range because the Facility is location-dependent on its interconnection point at Bakeoven or Buckley substations, which are also in the winter range.

---

<sup>1</sup> This HMP will be incorporated by reference in the site certificate for the Yellow Rosebush Energy Center and must be understood in that context. It is not a "stand-alone" document.

Therefore, impacts to Category 2 are unavoidable due to the Facility's interconnection location and the overlapping mule deer winter range.

Notwithstanding the overarching habitat categorization, the area within the Facility micrositeing corridor is primarily composed of eastside grasslands (habitat type Upland Grassland, Shrub-Steppe and Shrubland; subtype Eastside Grasslands), shrub-steppe (habitat type Upland Grassland, Shrub-Steppe and Shrubland; subtype Shrub-Steppe), and planted grasslands (habitat type Agriculture, Pasture, and Mixed Environs; subtype Planted Grasslands) (Exhibit P, Tables P-2 and P-3). Essential habitat values for quality big game winter range—such as thermal cover, security from predation and harassment, quality forage, and limited disturbance—are present throughout shrub-steppe and eastside grassland habitat within the Facility micrositeing corridor, but generally lacking in planted grasslands (Exhibit P, Section 8.1.1). Approximately 72 acres of Category 2 and 2,464 acres of Category 3 shrub-steppe habitat were field-characterized within the Facility micrositeing corridor. Approximately 402 acres of Category 3 eastside grasslands habitat were field-characterized within the Facility micrositeing corridor. Category 4 planted grasslands account for 1,247 acres (18 percent) of the Facility micrositeing corridor. Areas of eastside grasslands and shrub-steppe habitat dominated by non-native plant species (Categories 4 and 5) comprise 2,460 acres (35 percent) of the Facility micrositeing corridor (see Exhibit P, Table P-3).

Permanent impact areas are those that would be converted from the existing condition to a different condition for the life of the Facility including all areas within the fenced perimeter. Fenced areas include all solar arrays, the collector substation, the operations and maintenance (O&M) building, and the battery storage area, as required by electrical code or security needs (see Exhibits B and C). Temporary impacts include a 6-foot buffer around all fenced areas that will be mitigated through successful implementation of the Revegetation Plan (Attachment P-3 to Exhibit P). However, some areas of shrub-steppe within temporary impact areas include sagebrush stands that could take longer than five years to be restored. Even where restoration of this habitat subtype is successful, there is a loss of habitat function during the restoration period. Therefore, this HMP includes mitigation for both permanently impacted habitat and select areas of temporarily impacted shrub-steppe and eastside grasslands habitat that result in a temporal loss of habitat quality.

The Facility will not impact Category 1 habitat. No mitigation is required for impacts to Category 6 areas. Remaining Category 2, 3, 4, and 5 habitat is considered Category 2 habitat because the Facility is within ODFW's Designated Mule Deer Winter Range, which overlaps the areas of temporary and permanent impact (ODFW 2013). Based on this definition, Table 1 presents anticipated impact acres for Category 2 habitat present at the Facility, in addition to the preliminary habitat categorization.

**Table 1. Potential Impacts by Habitat Category, Type and Subtype**

| Final<br>Habitat<br>Category | Preliminary<br>Habitat<br>Category | Habitat Type                                       | Habitat Subtype                      | Impacts (Acres) |           |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
|                              |                                    |  |                                      | Permanent       | Temporary |
| 2                            | 2                                  | Upland Grassland,<br>Shrub-steppe and<br>Shrubland | Shrub-steppe                         | 52.8            | 4.2       |
|                              |                                    | Open Water – Lakes,<br>Rivers, Streams             | Perennial Streams                    | —               | <0.1*     |
|                              |                                    | Wetlands   | Scrub-shrub Wetlands                 | —               | <0.1*     |
|                              | Category 2 Total                   |  |                                      | 52.8            | 4.3       |
| 2                            | 3                                  | Cliffs, Caves, and<br>Talus                        | Cliffs, Caves, and Talus             | 0.1             | 3.7       |
|                              |                                    | Open Water – Lakes,<br>Rivers, Streams             | Intermittent or<br>Ephemeral Streams | <0.1*           | <0.1*     |
|                              |                                    | Upland Grassland,<br>Shrub-steppe and<br>Shrubland | Eastside Grasslands                  | 228             | 28.8      |
|                              |                                    | Upland Grassland,<br>Shrub-steppe and<br>Shrubland | Shrub-steppe                         | 1,210           | 69.0      |
|                              |                                    | Wetlands   | Emergent Wetlands                    | <0.1*           | —         |
|                              | Category 3 Total                   |  |                                      | 1,438           | 102       |
| 2                            | 4                                  | Agriculture, Pasture,<br>and Mixed Environs        | Planted Grasslands                   | 1,144           | 14.6      |
|                              |                                    | Open Water – Lakes,<br>Rivers, Streams             | Intermittent or<br>Ephemeral Streams | <0.1*           | <0.1*     |
|                              |                                    | Upland Grassland,<br>Shrub-steppe and<br>Shrubland | Eastside Grasslands                  | 1,123           | 48.2      |
|                              |                                    | Upland Grassland,<br>Shrub-steppe and<br>Shrubland | Shrub-steppe                         | 63.7            | 18.1      |
|                              | Category 4 Total                   |  |                                      | 2,331           | 80.9      |
| 2                            | 5                                  | Open Water – Lakes,<br>Rivers, Streams             | Intermittent or<br>Ephemeral Streams | 0.3*            | 0.2*      |
|                              |                                    | Open Water – Lakes,<br>Rivers, Streams             | Seasonal Ponds                       | 0.2*            | 0.4*      |
|                              |                                    | Upland Grassland,<br>Shrub-steppe and<br>Shrubland | Eastside Grasslands                  | 847             | 10.5      |
|                              |                                    | Wetlands   | Emergent Wetlands                    | 0.3*            | —         |
|                              | Category 5 Total                   |  |                                      | 848             | 11.1      |
| Category 2 Final Total       |                                    |  |                                      | 4,670           | 198       |

| Final<br>Habitat<br>Category  | Preliminary<br>Habitat<br>Category | Habitat Type                                | Habitat Subtype  | Impacts (Acres) |           |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--|-----------------|-----------|
|   |                                    |   |  | Permanent       | Temporary |
| 6   | 6                                  | Agriculture, Pasture,<br>and Mixed Environs | Orchards, Vineyards,<br>Wheat Fields, Other Row<br>Crops | 292             | 15.2      |
|   |                                    | Urban and Mixed<br>Environs                 | Urban and Mixed<br>Environs                              | 30.3            | 13.1      |
|   | Category 6 Total                   |   |  | 322             | 28.3      |
| Category 6 Final Total  |                                    |   |  | 322             | 28.3      |
| Grand Total   |                                    |   |  | 4,992           | 226       |
| Note: Totals in this table may not be precise due to rounding. “-” means no acres while <0.1 means greater than zero but less than 0.05 acres.  |                                    |   |  |                 |           |
| * Impacts to wetlands and Waters of the State will be avoided during final design (see Exhibit J). Wetlands and Waters of the State within the fenced solar array area are considered permanently impacted for the purposes of habitat impacts but will not be disturbed by the Facility. |                                    |   |  |                 |           |

The Applicant proposes to begin construction as soon as June 2027 and to construct the Facility in phases. The size and construction schedule for each phase will be based on market demand, but the entire Facility, including all phases, will be completed by 2035 unless the Applicant seeks an amendment to extend the construction deadline. Table 2 provides an example phased Facility schedule. The impact analysis presented in the Application for Site Certificate and mitigation outlined in this HMP represents the fully built-out scenario of 800 megawatts (MW).

**Table 2. Example Facility Schedule**

| Year        | Activity   |
|-------------|--|
| 2025        | Issuance of Yellow Rosebush Energy Center site certificate.  |
| 2027        | Final engineering and begin construction.  |
| 2027 - 2035 | Phased construction to operation.  |
| 2027 - 2035 | Phased construction to operation.  |
| 2027 - 2030 | Phase 1 construction; approximately 36 months (400 MW).  |
| 2027        | Mitigation actions commence with the start of Phase 1 construction.  |
| 2030        | Anticipated Phase 1 construction completion deadline; commence Phase 1 commercial operation.                   |
| 2032 - 2035 | Phase 2 construction; approximately 36 months (400 MW).  |
| 2032        | Mitigation actions commence with the start of Phase 2 construction.  |
| 2035        | Anticipated Phase 2 construction completion deadline; commence Phase 2 commercial operation for full buildout. |
| 2030-2075   | Facility operating life (anticipated to be 40 years from start of commercial operations).                      |
| 2075        | Facility decommissioning, site restoration, and completion of habitat mitigation requirements.                 |

### 3.0 Methods for Calculating the Size of the Mitigation Area

The acreage required for mitigation was determined based on the Facility design and actual habitat impacts (i.e., Category 2 vs. Category 6 habitat). Before beginning construction of each phase of the Facility, the Applicant will provide ODOE with a map showing the final design configuration for that phase of the Facility and a table with estimated acres of permanent and temporary impacts by habitat category (Table 1). Mitigation ratios vary depending on the preliminary habitat category and habitat subtype (Table 3). With the exception of preliminary Category 4 planted grasslands and preliminary Category 4 eastside grasslands, a mitigation ratio of 2 acres for every 1 acre of preliminary Category 2, 3, and 4 habitat affected will be used to ensure that the HMA will be large enough to achieve “no net loss” of final Category 2 habitat quantity or quality and a “net benefit.” A “net benefit” in habitat quantity or quality for impacts to habitat in Category 2 may also be achieved through habitat enhancement actions or by other means approved by ODFW. Preliminary Category 4 eastside grasslands will be mitigated at a 1.5:1 ratio, preliminary Category 4 planted grasslands will be mitigated at a 1.2:1 ratio, and preliminary Category 5 habitats will be mitigated at a ratio of 0.5:1 (Table 3). No mitigation will be implemented for impacts to Category 6 habitat.

For temporary impacts that require mitigation (i.e., temporal impacts), the HMA will include up to 0.5 acres for every 1 acre of eastside grassland and shrub-steppe habitat affected. The size of this portion of the HMA assumes that restoration of disturbed eastside grasslands and shrub-steppe habitat is successful, as determined under the Revegetation Plan (Attachment P-3 to Exhibit P).

**Table 3. Compensatory Mitigation Calculation**

| Final Habitat Category <sup>1</sup>  | Preliminary Habitat Category <sup>2</sup> | Habitat Subtype   | Impact (acres) | Mitigation Ratio | Mitigation Need (acres) |
|--|---|---|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>Permanent Impacts<sup>3</sup></b>   |   |   |                |                  |                         |
| 2  | 2   | Perennial Streams, Scrub-shrub wetlands   | —              | 2:01             | —                       |
|  |   | Shrub-steppe  | 52.8           | 2:01             | 106                     |
|  | 3   | Cliffs, Caves, Talus, Emergent Wetlands, Intermittent or Ephemeral Streams                | 0.3            | 2:01             | 0.6                     |
|  |   | Eastside Grasslands   | 228            | 2:01             | 456                     |
|  |   | Shrub-steppe  | 1,210          | 2:01             | 2,420                   |
|  | 4   | Eastside Grasslands   | 1,123          | 1.5:1            | 1,685                   |
|  |   | Intermittent or Ephemeral Streams   | 0.1            | 2:01             | 0.2                     |
|  |   | Planted Grasslands  | 1,144          | 1.2:1            | 1,373                   |
|  |   | Shrub-steppe  | 63.7           | 2:01             | 127                     |
|  | 5   | Eastside Grasslands, Emergent Wetlands, Intermittent or Ephemeral Streams, Seasonal Ponds | 848            | 0.5:1            | 424                     |
| 6  | 6   | Wheat Fields and Other Row Crops, Urban and Mixed Environs                                | 322            | None             | —                       |
| <b>Temporary Impacts<sup>4</sup></b>   |   |   |                |                  |                         |
| 2  | 2   | Shrub-steppe  | 4.2            | 0.5:1            | 2.1                     |
|  |   | Perennial Streams, Scrub-shrub wetlands   | 0.2            | None             | —                       |
|  | 3   | Cliffs, Caves, Talus, Emergent Wetlands, Intermittent or Ephemeral Streams                | 3.8            | None             | —                       |
|  |   | Eastside Grasslands   | 28.8           | 0.5:1            | 14.4                    |
|  |   | Shrub-steppe  | 69.0           | 0.5:1            | 34.5                    |
|  | 4   | Eastside Grasslands   | 48.2           | 0.5:1            | 24.1                    |
|  |   | Intermittent or Ephemeral Streams   | 0.1            | None             | —                       |
|  |   | Planted Grasslands  | 14.6           | None             | —                       |
|  |   | Shrub-steppe  | 18.1           | 0.5:1            | 9.1                     |
|  | 5   | Eastside Grasslands, Emergent Wetlands, Intermittent or Ephemeral Streams, Seasonal Ponds | 11.1           | None             | —                       |
| 6  | 6   | Wheat Fields and Other Row Crops, Urban and Mixed Environs                                | 28.3           | None             | —                       |
| <b>Total</b>   |   |   | <b>5,218</b>   |                  | <b>6,675</b>            |
| <p>1. Final Category following application of ODFW Designated Mule Deer Winter Range overlay.</p> <p>2. Current habitat condition and category as mapped by the Applicant prior to construction.</p> <p>3. Permanent impact areas based on final design and include the Facility's footprint. No mitigation offered for Category 6 habitat.</p> <p>4. Compensatory mitigation for temporal habitat loss to current Category 2, 3, or 4 Upland Grassland, Shrub-Steppe and Shrubland – Shrub-Steppe and Eastside grasslands habitat subtypes (see Table 1) due to sagebrush component. Other habitat types will be restored following the methods described in the Revegetation Plan.</p> |   |   |                |                  |                         |

## 4.0 Mitigation

The mitigation obligation for the Facility is 6,675 acres based on the ratios and calculations in Table 3. Through coordination with ODFW, the Applicant preliminarily identified two potential mitigation areas for addressing the mitigation obligation where habitat protection and enhancement is feasible and consistent with this HMP. The HMAs are on the Columbia Plateau and “in proximity” to the Facility. If it is determined at final design of any phase of Facility development that additional mitigation is needed, mitigation would be developed in a like manner as the mitigation approach identified in this HMP, subject to ODFW review and approval by ODOE. The Applicant has not eliminated the possibility for alternative mitigation options (i.e., using another potential HMA or payment to a mitigation bank) should additional suitable options be identified. The final mitigation approach will offer enough suitable habitat to achieve the ODFW goal of no net loss of habitat quantity or quality. A net benefit in habitat quantity will be achieved through a 2:1 ratio for key habitats and a net benefit in quality will be achieved through appropriate enhancement actions.

As the HMAs are largely within ODFW-mapped Mule Deer Winter Range, acquisition of these areas constitutes acquisition of primarily Category 2 habitat regardless of the habitat condition (excluding Category 6 habitat, such as cultivated cropland and developed land), and thus meets the ODFW goal of no net loss of habitat quantity (Figure 1). Successful enhancement actions (including on a subset of the acquired areas or at other in-proximity locations) would result in a net benefit in habitat quality. Prior to operation of the Facility, the Applicant will acquire the legal right to create, maintain, and protect the HMAs for the estimated 40-year life of the Facility<sup>2</sup> by means of an outright purchase, conservation easement, or similar conveyance.

### 4.1 Tygh Ridge Ranch Habitat Mitigation Area

Through coordination with ODFW, the Applicant identified parcels at Tygh Ridge Ranch available for establishing conservation easements in-proximity to the Facility (Figure 1). The identified parcels consist of 5,020 acres on Tygh Ridge Ranch near the town of Tygh Valley, approximately 15 miles northwest of the Facility. Tygh Ridge Ranch is within the Columbia Plateau ecoregion and is composed of similar habitat types suitable for in-kind mitigation. All but the southernmost portion of Tygh Ridge Ranch is within ODFW Designated Elk and Mule Deer Winter Range and therefore most of the mitigation area is Category 2 habitat. The majority of the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA is currently enrolled in year 2 of a 15-year contract with the Grassland Conservation Reserve Program (CRP). ODFW confirmed during a site visit on January 29, 2025, that the objectives of the Grassland CRP are compatible with joint use as a HMA as long as CRP funds are not used to implement enhancement actions described in this Plan.

---

<sup>2</sup> As used in this Plan, “life of the facility” means continuously until the Facility site is restored and the site certificate is terminated in accordance with Oregon Administrative Rules 345-027-0110.

The Applicant performed a desktop analysis of the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA. The property contains Flowing Water and Riparian, Grassland, and Sagebrush ODFW Conservation Strategy Habitats (ODFW 2016). The primary habitat type on the property is grassland/herbaceous (i.e., upland grasslands), followed by shrub/scrub (i.e., shrub-steppe) (Table 4; Figure 1). Oregon white oak (*Quercus garryana*) is present in Bonnie Canyon and Big Canyon along Highway 197. The presence of these habitats will allow for enhancements beneficial to wildlife in general, and big game, grassland birds, and white-tailed jackrabbits (*Lepus townsendii*) in particular. Tygh Ridge Ranch is adjacent to the White River Wildlife Management Area near Mount Hood and approximately 3.5 miles from the Lower Deschutes Wildlife Management Area. The property is known by ODFW to be used for seasonal elk migration between the Deschutes River and higher elevation forests of the Hood River National Forest. Tygh Ridge Ranch extends into one ODFW-designated Priority Wildlife Connectivity Area (PWCA) Region and two Connectors. PWCA Regions are large, contiguous areas and represent the highest-value habitat for facilitating species movement throughout the state, while Connectors represent the best available habitat for facilitating movement from region to region. Placing a conservation easement on Tygh Ridge Ranch will protect wildlife connectivity in these PWCAs for the life of the Facility. The Applicant may partner with a third-party for long-term enhancement and monitoring of the mitigation parcels.

In 2024, the Larch Creek Fire burned the western third of the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA. The fire burned quickly through the area and destroyed an internet tower on the property. The burned area provides an opportunity for uplift, as described in Section 4.1.1.

The Applicant will continue to work with ODFW to identify opportunities to protect and enhance habitats in this area, and to define the appropriate monitoring of mitigation parcels. A preliminary list of habitat enhancement actions is described below.

**Table 4. Land Cover Types within the Tygh Ridge Ranch Habitat Mitigation Area**

| Land Cover Type   | Acres              | Percent of Tygh Ridge Ranch Mitigation Area |
|---|--------------------|---|
| Cultivated Crops  | 0.1                | 0.0   |
| Deciduous Forest  | 3.4                | 0.1   |
| Developed, High Intensity   | <0.1               | <0.1  |
| Developed, Low Intensity  | 1.9                | <0.1  |
| Developed, Medium Intensity   | 1.3                | <0.1  |
| Developed, Open Space   | 105                | 2.1   |
| Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands  | 1.6                | <0.1  |
| Evergreen Forest  | 80.0               | 1.6   |
| Grassland/Herbaceous  | 2,840              | 56.4  |
| Mixed Forest  | 6.7                | 0.1   |
| Shrub/Scrub   | 1,990              | 39.5  |
| Woody Wetlands  | 8.3                | 0.2   |
| Total   | 5,040 <sup>1</sup> | 100   |
| Note: Totals in this table may not be precise due to rounding. <0.1 means greater than zero but less than 0.05 acres. |                    |   |
| 1. Total excluding cultivated crops and developed land cover types is 4,930 acres.                                    |                    |   |

#### **4.1.1 Tygh Ridge Ranch Habitat Enhancement Actions**

The Applicant or a third party will address habitat enhancement at Tygh Ridge Ranch as described in this section. The objectives of habitat enhancement are to protect habitat within the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA from degradation and to improve the quality of ODFW-designated Mule Deer Winter Range. By achieving these objectives, the Applicant can address the permanent and temporary habitat impacts of the Facility and meet the ODFW goals of no net loss of habitat quantity or quality and a net benefit in habitat quantity or quality for impacts to Category 2 habitat. The following enhancement actions were selected in consultation with ODFW and the landowner to improve habitat conditions at the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA:

1. **Shrub Planting.** The Applicant will plant sagebrush and/or bitterbrush shrubs, as recommended by ODFW, on hillsides where existing sagebrush and bitterbrush were burned in the Larch Creek Fire. The Applicant will complete the initial shrub planting within 1 year after beginning construction of the Facility. The Applicant will obtain shrubs from a qualified nursery and will identify specific planting areas after consultation with ODFW, subject to final approval by ODOE. The Applicant will mark planted shrub clusters at the time of planting for later monitoring purposes and will keep a record of the number of shrubs planted.
2. **Oregon White Oak Planting.** The Applicant will plant Oregon white oak seedlings, as recommended by ODFW, in portions of Big Canyon that were burned in the Larch Creek Fire. Oak woodlands are an Oregon Strategy Habitat in the adjacent East Cascades ecoregion and provide habitat for many wildlife species (ODFW 2016). The Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA is on the eastern edge of the Oregon white oak range, and planting seedlings will facilitate expansion of oak woodland habitat.
3. **Weed Control.** The Applicant will implement a weed control program including working with the landowner to locate and treat noxious weed infestations and invasive annual grasses. Three noxious weeds have been observed within the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA: diffuse knapweed (*Centaurea diffusa*), yellow starthistle (*Centaurea solstitialis*), and rush skeletonweed (*Chondrilla juncea*). Weeds may be controlled through mechanical or chemical treatment. The Applicant will notify the landowner and ODOE of specific chemicals to be used and when treatment will occur. When necessary to protect desirable plants, spot-spraying may be used instead of broadcast spraying. The Applicant will continue weed control monitoring at the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA, as needed, for the life of the Facility.
4. **Fire Control.** The Applicant will implement a fire control plan (Draft Habitat Mitigation Area Fire Control Plan included as Appendix A) for wildfire minimization when Facility staff are working within the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA. The fire control plan includes appropriate fire prevention measures and a protocol for fire response if a fire were to occur when Facility staff were present at the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA. If any part of the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA is damaged by future wildfire, the Applicant will assess the extent of the damage and implement appropriate actions to restore habitat quality in the damaged area.

5. Fence Maintenance and Removal. Fencing will be repaired or improved along the eastern boundary with private landowners to prevent encroachment by grazing cattle. The Applicant will remove unused boundary and internal fencing to promote big game movement through the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA.
6. Habitat Protection. The Applicant will restrict uses of the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA that are inconsistent with the goals of no net loss of habitat quantity or quality and a net benefit in Category 2 habitat quantity or quality. The landowner will retain the right to the following uses of the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA:
  - a. Hiking, hunting, horseback riding, and driving for personal recreation. Driving will be limited to existing roads except in certain cases for hunting and land management conducted by the landowner.
  - b. Existing contracts for two internet antennas: one in the northern part and one on a central ridgeline within the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA. The contract includes access to the antennas along existing roads in the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA for maintenance twice a year.
  - c. Existing contracts for research and testing. The landowner owns a drone company and uses the research and testing area to conduct research on drone applications for agriculture (Figure 1). The research and testing area is zoned Industrial/Commercial and was not included in the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA; however, the landowner will allow for use of the research and testing area to access the southern part of Tygh Ridge Ranch off of Highway 197, including staging trees or shrubs for planting and parking or storage of equipment as needed.
7. Spring/water maintenance and improvement as required for wildlife and domestic water use. There are multiple springs within the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA. The landowner maintains the spring closest to the house for domestic water use. He installed water troughs at several other springs throughout the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA and maintains them for wildlife use.

Table 5 outlines the anticipated benefits of various enhancement actions to Mule Deer Winter Range.

**Table 5. Enhancement Actions and Benefits to Mule Deer Winter Range**

| Action                    | Benefit   |
|---------------------------|---|
| Shrub Planting            | Provide access to nutritious woody vegetation during winter, especially severe winters when snow covers grass forage, in order to improve over-winter survival. Deer on winter ranges without a shrub component often have high rates of over-winter mortality (ODFW 2011).                           |
| Weed Control              | Reduce competition with desirable forage species to improve or maintain mule deer forage quality and quantity. Impacts of invasive species on Oregon's fish and wildlife resources are one of the seven most pressing conservation issues identified in the Oregon Conservation Strategy (ODFW 2016). |
| Oregon White Oak Planting | Provide acorns and foliage for forage by mule deer and other wildlife (ODFW 2016).  |
| Fence Removal             | Remove unused boundary and internal fencing to promote big game movement through the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA (ODFW 2016).  |

## 4.2 Facility Habitat Mitigation Area

In addition to the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA, the Applicant identified 1,655 acres within the Facility boundary to designate as mitigation areas (Figure 2). The Facility HMA, which borders Buck Hollow and Hauser Canyons, is designated as Mule Deer Winter Range, and extends into a PWCA Region. Designating mitigation areas within the Facility boundary will support wildlife movement in adjacent canyons. Habitat in the Facility HMA is predominantly shrub-steppe with patches of eastside grasslands. Additional mitigation areas will be identified within the Facility boundary in a like manner if additional mitigation is needed based on final Facility design.

### 4.2.1 Facility Habitat Enhancement Actions

The Applicant or a third party will implement the following habitat enhancement actions within the Facility HMA to improve wildlife habitat.

1. **Shrub Planting.** The Applicant will plant sagebrush and/or bitterbrush shrubs, as recommended by ODFW, on slopes above Buck Hollow and Hauser Canyons within the Facility HMA. The Applicant will complete initial shrub planting within 1 year after beginning construction of the Facility. The Applicant will obtain shrubs from a qualified nursery and will identify specific planting areas after consultation with ODFW, subject to final approval by ODOE. The Applicant will mark planted shrub clusters at the time of planting for later monitoring purposes and will keep a record of the number of shrubs planted.
2. **Weed Control.** The Applicant will implement a weed control program to locate and treat noxious weed infestations and invasive annual grasses within the Facility HMA. Weeds may be controlled through mechanical or chemical treatment. The Applicant will notify the

landowner and ODOE of specific chemicals to be used and when treatment will occur. When necessary to protect desirable plants, spot-spraying may be used instead of broadcast spraying. The Applicant will continue weed control monitoring, as needed, for the life of the Facility.

3. Fire Control. The Applicant will implement a fire control plan (Appendix A) for wildfire minimization when Facility staff are working within the Facility HMA. The fire control plan includes appropriate fire prevention measures and a protocol for fire response if a fire were to occur when Facility staff were present at the Facility HMA. If any part of the Facility HMA is damaged by future wildfire, the Applicant will assess the extent of the damage and implement appropriate actions to restore habitat quality in the damaged area.

### 4.3 Other Mitigation Options

The Applicant has not eliminated the possibility for alternative mitigation options (i.e., using another potential HMA or payment-to-provide) should additional suitable options be identified. If the above HMAs are not able to be secured prior to construction, the Applicant will pursue other options for mitigation. Other mitigation options may include securing an alternate HMA location or payment to an ODFW mitigation bank or other third party to protect land that is in-kind and in-proximity to habitats impacted by the Facility. If ODFW implements a payment-to-provide program to mitigate habitat impacts related to energy facilities, the Applicant could use the payment-to-provide mitigation option with the approval of ODOE and ODFW.

## 5.0 Monitoring

The Applicant will develop a monitoring protocol in coordination with ODFW and subject to ODOE review and approval. The monitoring objectives and intervals will be developed in consultation with ODOE and ODFW and could include an assessment of the following. Monitoring of the HMA will be required for the life of the Facility:

- Quantification of habitat types and ODFW habitat categories present at the HMA;
- Description of the amount and quality of vegetation at the HMA;
- Description of the year-to-date climate data;
- Success of weed control measures through monitoring of infestation extents and recommend remedial action, if needed;
- Success of shrub plantings quantitatively through belt monitoring transects as well as qualitatively through an overall assessment of the treated area;
- Documentation of fence removal;
- Wildlife observed and notes on special status species (wildlife and plants) encountered onsite during routine monitoring;

- Observations of wintering mule deer will be recorded as observed from a distance (so disturbance is kept at a minimum); and
- Record any wildfire that occurs within the HMA and any remedial actions taken to restore habitat quality in the damaged area, if applicable.

## 6.0 Success Criteria

Mitigation of permanent and temporary habitat impacts of the Facility may be considered successful if the Applicant protects and enhances sufficient habitat to meet the ODFW goals of no net loss of habitat quantity or quality and a net benefit in habitat quantity or quality for impacts to Category 2 habitat or provides commensurate funding for a third party to perform enhancement and monitoring. The Applicant must ensure protection of the required quantity and quality of habitat within the HMA for the life of the Facility, including providing commensurate funding for ODFW or a third party to do so.

The Applicant must protect a sufficient quantity of habitat to meet HMA requirements based on the final design configuration of the Facility. The Applicant will determine the actual HMA requirements for each phase of the Facility, subject to ODFW review and ODOE approval, before beginning construction. The Applicant, ODFW, or a third party may demonstrate improvement of habitat quality based on habitat categorization surveys and evidence of indicators such as survival of planted shrubs, natural recruitment of sagebrush, and successful weed control. The mitigation goal for Category 2 habitat is “no net loss” and “net benefit.” Accordingly, mitigation for temporary impacts on Category 2 habitat needs to demonstrate a net benefit in quality or quantity. Mitigation will be an equal or greater amount of acreage than what is impacted by the Facility for areas with longer recovery periods (i.e., shrub-steppe). Most of the Category 2 habitat impacted by the Facility was preliminarily identified as Category 3, 4, and 5 habitat based on vegetative characteristics such as presence of non-native species and was designated as Category 2 habitat because it falls within ODFW-designated Mule Deer Winter Range. Category 4 planted grasslands and Category 5 habitats will be mitigated at a lower ratio than other Category 2, 3, and 4 habitats within the Facility. If the Applicant cannot demonstrate that the HMA is trending toward habitat quality goals described above within five years after initial shrub plantings, then the Applicant will propose remedial action. ODOE may require supplemental planting or other corrective measures.

## 7.0 Amendment of the HMP

This HMP may be amended from time to time by agreement of the Applicant and ODOE, on behalf of the Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council (EFSC). Such amendments may be made without amendment of the site certificate. EFSC authorizes ODOE to agree to amendments to this HMP. ODOE shall notify EFSC of all amendments, and EFSC retains the authority to approve, reject, or modify any amendment of this HMP agreed to by ODOE.


## 8.0 References

- Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW). 2011. Oregon Mule Deer Initiative. January 7, 2011.  
[https://www.dfw.state.or.us/resources/hunting/big\\_game/mule\\_deer/docs/Mule\\_Deer\\_Mgmt\\_Plan\\_Final.pdf](https://www.dfw.state.or.us/resources/hunting/big_game/mule_deer/docs/Mule_Deer_Mgmt_Plan_Final.pdf). Accessed October 2023.
- ODFW. 2013. ODFW Winter Range for Eastern Oregon. GIS dataset. <https://nrimp.dfw.state.or.us/DataClearinghouse/default.aspx?p=202&XMLname=885.xml>. Accessed October 2023.
- ODFW. 2016. Oregon Conservation Strategy. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Salem, Oregon. <https://oregonconservationstrategy.org/>. Accessed October 2023.
- ODFW. 2020. Oregon Mule Deer Initiative 5 Year Summary 2015 – 2019.  
[https://www.dfw.state.or.us/resources/hunting/big\\_game/mule\\_deer/docs/Oregon%20Mule%20Deer%20Initiative%2015-19%20July%202021.pdf](https://www.dfw.state.or.us/resources/hunting/big_game/mule_deer/docs/Oregon%20Mule%20Deer%20Initiative%2015-19%20July%202021.pdf). Accessed October 2023.

# Figures

This page intentionally left blank

\\css706gifs1\ICES\Projects\PD\X\Savion\YellowRosebush\Maps\pASC\Exhibit\_P\_20240815.aprx



**Yellow Rosebush  
Energy Center**

**Figure 1  
Tygh Ridge Ranch  
Mitigation Area**

**WASCO COUNTY, OR**

- Tygh Ridge Ranch Mitigation Area
- US Highway
- State Highway
- Local Roads
- County Boundary
- Spring (Location is Approximate)
- Existing Site Access Road (Location is Approximate)
- Potential Mitigation Activity Staging Area\*

**ODFW Big Game Winter Range  
Category 2 Habitat**

- Deer
- Elk


**NLCD Land Cover**

- Cultivated Crops
- Deciduous Forest
- Developed, High Intensity
- Developed, Low Intensity
- Developed, Medium Intensity
- Developed, Open Space
- Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands
- Evergreen Forest
- Grassland/Herbaceous
- Mixed Forest
- Shrub/Scrub
- Woody Wetlands

The potential mitigation activity staging area is not included in the Tygh Ridge Ranch Habitat Mitigation Area.



**Reference Map**




1:25,000

WGS 1984 UTM Zone 10N



NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

\\cess706g\ists\1CES\Projects\PD\X\Savion\YellowRosebush\Maps\RAI\HMP\Savion\_YRB\_HMP\_20250512.aprx



- Habitat Subtype
- Cliffs, Caves, and Talus
  - Eastside Grasslands
  - Emergent Wetlands
  - Forested Wetlands
  - Intermittent or Ephemeral Streams
  - Orchards, Vineyards, Wheat Fields, Other Row Crops
  - Perennial Streams
  - Permanent Ponds/Lakes
  - Planted Grasslands
  - Scrub-shrub Wetlands
  - Seasonal Ponds
  - Shrub-steppe
  - Urban and Mixed Environs

**Yellow Rosebush Energy Center**

**Figure 2**  
**Facility Habitat Mitigation Area**

SHERMAN AND WASCO  
COUNTIES, OR

Facility Site Boundary

Habitat Mitigation Areas

Analysis Area (0.5-mile Buffer)

County Boundary

US Highway

Local Roads

Creeks

TETRA TECH

SAVION  
A RENEWABLE ENERGY COMPANY



# **Appendix A. Draft Habitat Mitigation Area Fire Control Plan**

This page intentionally left blank

# **Draft Habitat Mitigation Area Fire Control Plan**

**Yellow Rosebush Energy Center  
August 2025**

**Prepared for  
Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC**

**Prepared by**



## Table of Contents

|     |  |   |
|-----|--|---|
| 1.0 | Introduction .....   | 1 |
| 1.1 | Enhancement Action and Monitoring Timing .....                             | 1 |
| 1.2 | Habitat Mitigation Area Map.....   | 1 |
| 2.0 | Specifications for Fire Protection Equipment.....                          | 1 |
| 3.0 | Contact Information and Emergency Response Procedures .....                | 2 |
| 4.0 | Use of Vehicles and Power Driven Machinery at HMA.....                     | 3 |
| 5.0 | Fire Precaution Levels and Restrictions During Fire Season.....            | 4 |
| 6.0 | Fire-Prevention Measures and Restrictions Associated with Fire Season..... | 4 |
| 7.0 | Vegetation Management .....  | 6 |
| 8.0 | Amendments.....  | 7 |

## List of Tables

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Table 1: Fire Prevention Measures During Fire Season Summary ..... | 6 |
|--|---|

## List of Figures

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Figure 1. Tygh Ridge Ranch Mitigation Area Access Roads and Springs |  |
|---|--|

## **1.0 Introduction**

The Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC (Applicant) identified parcels at Tygh Ridge Ranch available for establishing conservation easements in-proximity to the Yellow Rosebush Energy Center (Facility) in partial fulfillment of mitigation requirements for the Facility. This Fire Control Plan (FCP) was developed to identify appropriate fire prevention measures and a protocol for fire response if a fire were to occur when Facility staff are present at the Tygh Ridge Ranch Habitat Mitigation Area (HMA). If any part of the HMA is damaged by future wildfire, the Applicant will assess the extent of damage and implement appropriate actions to restore habitat quality in the damaged area.

### **1.1 Enhancement Action and Monitoring Timing**

The Applicant proposes to begin construction of the Facility on or after June 2027 and to begin implementation of enhancement actions described in the Habitat Mitigation Plan (HMP) after the start of Facility construction. The Applicant will complete initial shrub planting within 1 year after beginning construction of the Facility. Fence removal and Oregon white oak planting will be conducted during appropriate seasonal windows after the start of construction. The Applicant will treat and monitor noxious weeds within the HMA for the life of the Facility. Monitoring will be conducted within the HMA during the growing season (April through July) for the life of the Facility on a schedule to be determined in coordination with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.

### **1.2 Habitat Mitigation Area Map**

This FCP includes an HMA map (Figure 1) that identifies the following:

- The location of HMA access roads with primary access points at the southern end of the HMA off Highway 216 and at the northern end of the HMA off Hulse Road; and
- The location of water sources (springs) within the HMA.

## **2.0 Specifications for Fire Protection Equipment**

The following fire suppression equipment will be carried in vehicles conducting enhancement actions and monitoring at the HMA:

- Fire Extinguisher: Dry chemical. 2A:10BC (5 pound), properly mounted or secured;
- Hand Shovel: Round point, "D" Handle 26 to 28 inches long with blade 12 inches long and 10 inches wide; and
- Water Container: 5-gallon capacity.

Water is available from multiple springs within the HMA (Figure 1). The landowner installed water troughs at several springs throughout the HMA and maintains them for wildlife use.

All internal combustion engines must be equipped with exhaust systems, mufflers and screens, or include an appropriate spark arrester, and must be kept in good operating condition. This requirement applies to all combustion engines (including but not limited to off-road vehicles, chainsaws, and generators) which must be equipped with a spark arrester that meets U.S. Forest Service Standard 5100-1.

All power-driven machinery will be kept clean and free of excess flammable material which may create a risk of fire.

### **3.0 Contact Information and Emergency Response Procedures**

Facility staff will report wildfire incidents to the landowner and local firefighting services in the event of a fire of any size within the HMA. In the event that a fire outside the HMA is visible to Facility staff, they will notify the local fire department.

Local fire district contact(s):

- Tygh Valley Rural Fire Protection District
  - (541) 993-4266
  - 911
- Juniper Flat Rural Fire Protection District
  - (541) 328-6388 - Eugene Walters, District Fire Chief
  - 911

Fire department response times to the site:

- The Applicant will complete this information in coordination with the above listed fire responders prior to construction.

Applicant primary contacts:

- Jeffrey Watson, Development Manager
  - Savion, LLC, 422 Admiral Blvd, Kansas City, MO 64106
  - jwatson@savionenergy.com
  - (410) 349-7679

- Christopher Powers, Senior Director, Permitting & Environmental
  - Savion, LLC, 422 Admiral Blvd, Kansas City, MO 64106
  - cpowers@savionenergy.com
  - (760) 522-7563

Contact 911 in the event of:

- A fire or emergency on-site that cannot be addressed by Facility staff and requires the assistance of fire or emergency medical personnel;
- A fire ignition within the HMA that cannot be immediately extinguished;
- Any fire off-site that does not have emergency responders on-site.

## **4.0 Use of Vehicles and Power Driven Machinery at HMA**


The following best management practices (BMPs) will be used to minimize fire risk from vehicle travel, equipment use, and fueling activities that will be implemented at the HMA during enhancement actions and monitoring:


- The movement of vehicles will be planned and managed to minimize fire risk.
- Facility staff and contractors, in coordination with the landowner, will be responsible for identifying paths for all off-road vehicle travel. All off-road vehicle travel will be required to stay on the identified paths, and no off-road vehicle travel will be permitted while working alone.
- Parking will occur on non-combustible surfaces. If Facility staff or contractors must park in dry vegetation, they will wet down vegetation under the vehicle and maintain a fire watch for 10 minutes after parking to monitor for potential ignition.
- Traveling off-road or parking in vegetated areas will be restricted during fire season as designated in this FCP.
- Fuel containers, if used, shall remain in the vehicle or equipment trailer, parked at a designated location alongside a county right-of-way. No fuel containers shall be in vehicles that exit the right-of-way.
- All power-driven machinery will be kept free of excess flammable material which may create a risk of fire.
- In the event of a stuck vehicle, workers will be instructed to shut off the engine and periodically inspect the area adjacent to the exhaust system for evidence of ignition of vegetation. Stuck vehicles will be pulled out rather than “rocked” free and the area will be inspected again after the vehicle has been moved.


## 5.0 Fire Precaution Levels and Restrictions During Fire Season

### Definitions:

 **Non-Fire Season** - Approximately October - May

 **Fire Season** - Approximately June-September, formally designated by the Oregon Department of Forestry (ODF). Under ORS 478.960 (4), a Fire Chief can establish Fire Season within a Fire District when ODF, under ORS 477.505, declares Fire Season. This begins seasonal restrictions for the public and industry.

 **Fire Weather Watch** - A fire weather watch is issued when there is a high potential for the development of a red flag event. A watch is issued 18 to 96 hours in advance of the expected onset of the criteria. The intent of a fire weather watch is to alert forecast users at least a day in advance for the purposes of resource allocation and fire fighter safety. A watch means critical fire weather conditions are possible but not imminent or occurring.

 **Red Flag Weather Warning** - A red flag warning is used to warn of impending or occurring red flag conditions. Its issuance denotes a high degree of confidence that weather and fuel conditions consistent with local red flag event criteria will occur in 48 hours or less. Specific Red Flag criteria differ for each situation and district in Oregon. Be extremely careful with open flames and other activities that emit sparks.

**Hot Work** - Any cutting, grinding, welding, or other activity that creates spark or open flame.

### **Fire Watch Service** -

Fire watch shall:

- Be physically capable and experienced to operate firefighting equipment.
- Have facilities for transportation and communications to summon assistance.
- Observe portions of the HMA where equipment activity occurred during the day.

Upon discovery of a fire, fire watch personnel must: First report the fire, summon any necessary firefighting assistance, describe intended fire suppression activities; then, after determining a safety zone and an escape route that will not be cut off if the fire increases or changes direction, immediately proceed to control and extinguish the fire, consistent with firefighting training and safety.

## 6.0 Fire-Prevention Measures and Restrictions Associated with Fire Season

HMA activities for Facility staff are impacted by Fire Restrictions during Fire Season as designed below:

### **Non-Fire Season**

- All hot work (any cutting, welding, or other activity that creates spark or open flame) must be conducted on roads or on non-combustible surfaces.
- No smoking within the HMA.

### **Fire Season**

- Before the start of each daily shift a designated individual will check the fire danger posting by the National Weather Service for any Red Flag Warnings for that day.
- All hot work must be conducted on roads or on non-combustible surfaces.
- Vehicles will be equipped with fire protection equipment as described in Section 2.0.
- Following the completion of hot work, Facility staff or contractors must maintain a fire watch for 60 minutes to monitor for potential ignition.
- Fire watch shall be on duty during any breaks and for one hour after all power-driven machinery used by the operator has been shut down for the day.
- No smoking within the HMA.





### **Fire Weather Watch**

- No hot work permitted.
- Driving and parking will only occur on permitted access roads.
- No smoking within the HMA.

### **Red Flag Weather Warning**

- No hot work permitted.
- On-site personnel must be aware of Red Flag Warning.
- Driving and parking will only occur on permitted access roads.
- No smoking within the HMA.

**Table 1: Fire Prevention Measures During Fire Season Summary**

| Requirement           |  Non-Fire Season |  Fire Season               |  Fire Weather Watch |  Red Flag Warning           |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Fire weather advisory | Not required  | Check for fire weather advisory daily before work begins.   | Check for fire weather advisory daily before work begins.  | Check for fire weather advisory daily before work begins. On-site personnel must be aware of Red Flag Warning. |
| On-site water source  | N/A   | As specified in Section 2.0   | As specified in Section 2.0  | As specified in Section 2.0  |
| Hot work              | Only permitted on roads or on non-combustible surfaces.   | Only permitted on roads or on non-combustible surfaces; fire watch required for 60 minutes after completion | Not Permitted  | Not Permitted  |
| Fire Watch Service    | Not required  | During breaks and for 60 minutes after all power-driven machinery has been shut down for the day.           | During breaks and for 60 minutes after all power-driven machinery has been shut down for the day.      | During breaks and for 60 minutes after all power-driven machinery has been shut down for the day.              |
| Driving and Parking   | As described in Section 4.0.  | As described in Section 4.0.  | Only permitted on roads or on non-combustible surfaces and Section 4.0.                                | Only permitted on roads or on non-combustible surfaces and Section 4.0.  |
| Smoking               | Not permitted   | Not permitted   | Not permitted  | Not permitted  |

## 7.0 Vegetation Management


According to mitigation requirements described in the HMP, vegetation within the HMA will be maintained for wildlife use for the life of the Facility. Fire suppression efforts would be tailored to habitat subtypes within the HMA, such as allowing grass fires to burn as long as there is not risk to people, structures, or HMA assets including planted shrubs and trees. Fire suppression efforts will be focused on existing sagebrush as well as shrub and tree plantings. If any part of the Tygh Ridge Ranch HMA is damaged by wildfire, the Applicant will assess the extent of damage and implement appropriate actions to monitor and restore habitat quality in damaged areas.

## **8.0 Amendments**

This Plan may be amended by agreement of the Applicant and the Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council (EFSC) or Oregon Department of Energy (ODOE), acting within its delegated authority of EFSC. Such amendments may be made without amendment of the Site Certificate or HMP. EFSC authorizes ODOE to agree to amendments to this Plan. ODOE will notify EFSC of all amendments, and EFSC retains the authority to approve, reject, or modify any amendment of this Plan agreed to by ODOE.

## Figure

\\css706g\is1\ICES\Projects\PD\X\Savion\YellowRosebush\Maps\RAI\Exhibit\_P\SA\_\Savon\_YRB\_Exhibit\_P\_20250820.aprx




# Yellow Rosebush Energy Center

## Figure 1 Tygh Ridge Ranch Habitat Mitigation Area Access Roads and Springs

WASCO COUNTY, OR


- Tygh Ridge Ranch Mitigation Area
- Existing Site Access Road (Location is Approximate)
- Spring (Location is Approximate)
- Potential Mitigation Activity Staging Area\*
- US Highway
- State Highway
- Local Roads
- County Boundary

The potential mitigation activity staging area is not included in the Tygh Ridge Ranch Habitat Mitigation Area.



1:45,000

WGS 1984 UTM Zone 10N



NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

## **Attachment P-3. Draft Revegetation and Reclamation Plan**

This page intentionally left blank

# **Draft Revegetation and Reclamation Plan**

**Prepared for  
Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC**

**Prepared by**



**Tetra Tech, Inc.**

**September 2025**

This page intentionally left blank.

## Table of Contents

|       |   |    |
|-------|---|----|
| 1.0   | Introduction .....                                  | 1  |
| 2.0   | Site Description .....                              | 2  |
| 3.0   | Description of Temporary and Permanent Impacts..... | 3  |
| 3.1   | Disturbance Levels.....                             | 5  |
| 3.1.1 | Facility Disturbance .....                          | 6  |
| 4.0   | Reclamation and Revegetation Methods.....           | 6  |
| 4.1   | Soil Reclamation .....                              | 7  |
| 4.2   | Site Preparation .....                              | 8  |
| 4.3   | Revegetation of Permanent Impact Areas.....         | 9  |
| 4.4   | Restoration of Temporary Disturbance Areas .....    | 10 |
| 4.4.1 | Agricultural Lands .....                            | 10 |
| 4.4.2 | Wildlife Habitat.....                               | 11 |
| 4.5   | Seeding Techniques.....                             | 11 |
| 4.5.1 | Broadcast Seeding.....                              | 11 |
| 4.5.2 | Drill Seeding .....                                 | 12 |
| 4.5.3 | Hydroseeding.....                                   | 12 |
| 4.6   | Seed Mix and Shrub Plantings.....                   | 12 |
| 5.0   | Noxious Weed Prevention and Control .....           | 13 |
| 6.0   | Revegetation Documentation .....                    | 13 |
| 7.0   | Monitoring.....                                     | 14 |
| 7.1   | Construction Compliance Monitoring.....             | 14 |
| 7.2   | Vegetation Monitoring .....                         | 14 |
| 7.2.1 | Monitoring of Permanent Impact Areas .....          | 14 |
| 7.2.2 | Monitoring of Temporary Disturbance Areas .....     | 16 |
| 7.2.3 | Adaptive Management .....                           | 20 |
| 7.3   | Cropland Revegetation Monitoring.....               | 20 |
| 7.4   | Soil Reclamation Monitoring .....                   | 21 |
| 8.0   | Roles and Responsibilities .....                    | 21 |
| 8.1   | The Applicant's Site Manager .....                  | 21 |
| 8.2   | Construction Compliance Contractor.....             | 21 |
| 8.3   | Monitoring Contractor(s).....                       | 22 |

|      |  |    |
|------|--|----|
| 8.4  | Restoration and Seeding Contractor ..... | 22 |
| 9.0  | Plan Amendment.....                      | 23 |
| 10.0 | References .....                         | 23 |

### **List of Tables**

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Table 1. Potential Permanent and Temporary Impacts by Habitat Category, Type, and Subtype ..... | 4  |
| Table 2. Grass and Forb Seed Mix .....  | 13 |
| Table 3. Number of Monitoring Sites to be Established within Each Habitat Subtype.....          | 17 |

### **List of Appendices**

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Appendix A. Revegetation Monitoring Datasheet |  |
|---|--|

## 1.0 Introduction

Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC (Applicant) seeks to develop the Yellow Rosebush Energy Center (Facility), a solar energy generation facility and battery storage project and related or supporting facilities in Wasco and Sherman counties, Oregon. This Draft Revegetation and Reclamation Plan (Plan) describes methods, success criteria, and monitoring and reporting requirements for the restoration and revegetation of areas temporarily disturbed during the construction of the Facility, as well as areas within the solar array fence that are considered permanently disturbed. This Plan will be updated, as necessary, in coordination with the Oregon Department of Energy (ODOE), the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW), the Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA), the Wasco County Weed and Pest Division, and the Sherman County Weed District, and will be updated as needed prior to construction to reflect the final layout of the Facility. The Applicant will additionally work with Oregon State University Extension, as recommended by ODFW in their comments on the Facility Notice of Intent,<sup>1</sup> to update this Plan as needed for revegetation success given the challenges realized within this ecoregion with revegetation projects.

Prior to construction, this Plan shall be finalized based on the following:

1. Applicant shall finalize the Plan based on impacts associated with the final design/layout by disturbance level, habitat type, and category.
2. Applicant shall develop and incorporate maps showing anticipated construction disturbance levels along with the total acreage and major activities associated with each level.
3. Applicant shall update Table 1 prior to construction to reflect the final impact acreage by habitat subtype for the final layout.
4. Applicant shall provide the number and location of reference sites to be utilized during short- and long-term monitoring of temporary impact areas for review and approval by ODOE in consultation with ODFW.
5. Applicant shall develop and incorporate revegetation methods for each disturbance level in consultation with ODOE, ODA, ODFW, and the county weed departments.
6. Applicant shall develop and incorporate monitoring methods for permanent impact areas in consultation with ODOE.

Prior to construction, the following shall be completed:

1. Applicant shall provide shapefiles showing anticipated construction disturbance levels at the site as a submittal to ODOE.
2. Applicant shall provide the restoration and seeding contractor's qualifications and scope of work as a submittal to ODOE.

---

<sup>1</sup> Oregon Department of Energy, Project Order for Yellow Rosebush Energy Center (January 2024)

3. Applicant shall conduct pre-construction habitat surveys at the approved reference sites for the purpose of collecting baseline quantitative data (vascular plant species present, native/non-native species present, percent cover of dominant species, percent cover of state and county listed noxious weed, and evidence of disturbance).
4. Applicant shall submit baseline soil compaction sample locations and baseline compaction results to ODOE.
5. Applicant shall hold a kick-off meeting with their construction compliance contractor, construction contractor, and ODOE at least 14 days prior to initiation of construction.
6. Applicant shall prepare a crosswalk of the final version of this Plan for use by the construction contractor. A copy of the Plan crosswalk will be provided to all participating parties prior to the kick-off meeting date.

Prior to initiation of revegetation, the following shall be completed:

1. Applicant shall hold a kick-off meeting with their construction compliance contractor, restoration and seeding contractor, and ODOE at least 14 days prior to initiation of restoration activities.
2. Applicant shall prepare a crosswalk of the final version of this Plan for use by the restoration contractor. A copy of the Plan crosswalk will be provided to all participating parties prior to the kick-off meeting date.
3. Applicant shall complete post-construction soil compaction testing and submit results for review and approval to ODOE.

Throughout construction, revegetation, and operation activities, the Applicant will take appropriate actions to prevent the spread of state and county listed noxious weeds as noxious weed control is vital to revegetation success. A stand-alone Draft Noxious Weed Control Plan has also been prepared (see Exhibit P, Attachment P-4), which contains information on state, Wasco, and Sherman County listed noxious weeds, noxious weeds observed during surveys, and treatment and monitoring of noxious weeds.

## **2.0 Site Description**

The Facility site boundary encompasses 8,075 acres approximately 9 miles east of Maupin, and approximately 6 miles west of Kent, in unincorporated Wasco County and Sherman County, Oregon (See Exhibit C). The Facility lies within the Columbia Basin Ecoregion at elevations from 1,395 ft to 2,757 feet. The Facility is located on private land, the vast majority of which is primarily used for hunting tours, rangeland/grazing, and some limited areas used for cultivation of agricultural crops.

Habitat mapping and categorization of the site were conducted for the Facility in 2023. Major habitat types included upland grassland, shrub-steppe, and shrubland (with habitat subtypes of eastside grasslands and shrub-steppe), agriculture, pasture, and mixed environs (with habitat subtypes of planted grasslands and orchards, vineyards, wheat fields, or other row crops), cliffs, caves, and talus slopes, urban and mixed environs and several types of wetlands and waters.

Additional details regarding the Facility's habitat types, subtypes, and categories can be found in Exhibit P of the Facility's Application for Site Certificate (ASC), especially Attachment P-1 (2023 Wildlife, Habitat, and Raptor Nest Survey Report). Details on potential impacts to habitat and special-status species from construction and operation of the Facility, as well as avoidance and minimization measures, can be found in the ASC Exhibits P and Q.

### **3.0 Description of Temporary and Permanent Impacts**

Construction of the Facility will result in up to approximately 226 acres of temporary impacts and 4,992 acres of permanent impacts (Table 1; see Exhibits C and P). Although actual impacts may change depending on the final layout, solar panels, and other associated facilities, this value represents the estimated maximum acreage of impact. Temporary impact areas are those that will be disturbed during construction activities, but which will not become permanent parts of the Facility. All temporary impact areas are outside the fenced solar arrays. Permanent impact areas include all areas within the solar array fence and will be mitigated as such in the Habitat Mitigation Plan (HMP; Exhibit P, Attachment P-2). This Plan addresses impact areas that will be revegetated and monitored following construction.

Temporary impacts will occur in association with the improvement of existing roads, as well as during the construction of collector and transmission lines, new roads, staging areas, and fences. The intensity of the construction impact will vary: in some areas, the impact will be relatively light; but in other areas, heavy construction activity will remove all vegetation, remove topsoil, and compact the remaining subsoil. Some areas of temporary disturbance, such as staging areas, will be graveled during construction, and will be reclaimed by removing the gravel surface, regrading to match adjacent contours, and reseeding. The specific extent of each component's temporary impact is detailed in ASC Exhibit C, and is described in terms of a total, worst-case scenario impact for the full duration of phased construction.

All areas within the solar array fence are considered a permanent impact and will be revegetated for the purposes of site stabilization to reduce erosion, dust pollution, and topsoil depletion, and to reduce potential for invasion by noxious and invasive plants. As noted above, this area is considered permanently impacted; however, vegetation within the solar array fence will be retained and/or revegetated, and this area would be reclaimed upon retirement.

Table 1 presents the estimated maximum acreage of permanent and temporary impacts to habitat subtypes associated with Facility construction and operation. Table 1 will be updated prior to construction to reflect the final impact acreage by habitat subtype for the final layout. Figures depicting the location of Facility infrastructure are included in Exhibit C, and Exhibit P includes a figure depicting these habitat subtypes within the site boundary (Exhibit P, Figure P-4).

**Table 1. Potential Permanent and Temporary Impacts by Habitat Category, Type, and Subtype**

| Final<br>Habitat<br>Category | Preliminary<br>Habitat<br>Category | Habitat Type                                     | Habitat Subtype                                       | Impacts (Acres) |           |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|-----------------|-----------|
|                              |                                    |  |   | Permanent       | Temporary |
| 2                            | 2                                  | Upland Grassland, Shrub-<br>steppe and Shrubland | Shrub-steppe  | 52.8            | 4.2       |
|                              |                                    | Open Water – Lakes,<br>Rivers, Streams           | Perennial Streams                                     | -               | <0.1*     |
|                              |                                    | Wetlands   | Scrub-shrub Wetlands                                  | -               | <0.1*     |
|                              | Category 2 Total                   |  |   | 52.8            | 4.3       |
| 2                            | 3                                  | Cliffs, Caves, and Talus                         | Cliffs, Caves, and Talus                              | 0.1             | 3.7       |
|                              |                                    | Open Water – Lakes,<br>Rivers, Streams           | Intermittent or Ephemeral<br>Streams                  | <0.1*           | <0.1*     |
|                              |                                    | Upland Grassland, Shrub-<br>steppe and Shrubland | Eastside Grasslands                                   | 228             | 28.8      |
|                              |                                    | Upland Grassland, Shrub-<br>steppe and Shrubland | Shrub-steppe  | 1,210           | 69.0      |
|                              |                                    | Wetlands   | Emergent Wetlands                                     | <0.1*           | -         |
|                              | Category 3 Total                   |  |   | 1,438           | 102       |
| 2                            | 4                                  | Agriculture, Pasture, and<br>Mixed Environs      | Planted Grasslands                                    | 1,144           | 14.6      |
|                              |                                    | Open Water – Lakes,<br>Rivers, Streams           | Intermittent or Ephemeral<br>Streams                  | <0.1*           | <0.1*     |
|                              |                                    | Upland Grassland, Shrub-<br>steppe and Shrubland | Eastside Grasslands                                   | 1,123           | 48.2      |
|                              |                                    | Upland Grassland, Shrub-<br>steppe and Shrubland | Shrub-steppe  | 63.7            | 18.1      |
|                              | Category 4 Total                   |  |   | 2,331           | 80.9      |
| 2                            | 5                                  | Open Water – Lakes,<br>Rivers, Streams           | Intermittent or Ephemeral<br>Streams                  | 0.3*            | 0.2*      |
|                              |                                    | Open Water – Lakes,<br>Rivers, Streams           | Seasonal Ponds  | 0.2*            | 0.4*      |
|                              |                                    | Upland Grassland, Shrub-<br>steppe and Shrubland | Eastside Grasslands                                   | 847             | 10.5      |
|                              |                                    | Wetlands   | Emergent Wetlands                                     | 0.3*            | -         |
|                              | Category 5 Total                   |  |   | 848             | 11.1      |
| Category 2 Final Total       |                                    |  |   | 4,670           | 198       |
| 6                            | 6                                  | Agriculture, Pasture, and<br>Mixed Environs      | Orchards, Vineyards, Wheat<br>Fields, Other Row Crops | 292             | 15.2      |
|                              |                                    | Urban and Mixed<br>Environs                      | Urban and Mixed Environs                              | 30.3            | 13.1      |
|                              | Category 6 Total                   |  |   | 322             | 28.3      |
| Category 6 Final Total       |                                    |  |   | 322             | 28.3      |
| Grand Total                  |                                    |  |   | 4,992           | 226       |

Note: Totals in this table may not be precise due to rounding. “-” means no acres while “<0.1” means greater than zero but less than 0.05 acres.

\* Impacts to wetlands and Waters of the State will be avoided during final design (see Exhibit J). Wetlands and Waters of the State within the fenced solar array area are considered permanently impacted for the purposes of habitat impacts but will not be disturbed by the Facility.

### 3.1 Disturbance Levels

Revegetation needs will be determined by a combination of disturbance level and existing vegetative cover. Disturbance levels will primarily be determined by site conditions such as slope, gradient, and existing vegetation. Disturbance levels are defined as follows:

*Level 1 - Mowing:* Mowing is used to conserve vegetative resources within a facility while mitigating risk of fire and facilitating construction activities. Vegetation is mowed to a height of generally 12 inches, but no less than 6 inches, during construction. Depending on Facility objectives, vegetation can be allowed to reach a normal height or kept trimmed to a height between 6 inches and the plant’s full height potential. Crushing of vegetation will be minimal and this disturbance level is designed to have a minimal impact on existing vegetation. This method is least likely to result in invasions of undesirable plant species.

*Level 2 – Overland Drive and Crush:* Disturbance caused by accessing a facility without significantly modifying the landscape. Vegetation is crushed to the ground, but no surface soil is removed so root structures are left intact. Even though vegetation may be damaged or destroyed, the surface soil and seed bank remain in place. Some crushed vegetation will likely sprout after disturbance ceases. These activities would result in minimal to moderate disturbance. This type of disturbance will result in a faster recovery time for vegetation compared to Levels 3 and 4. Soil seed banks remain largely in place, perennial vegetation can grow back, and minimal external efforts are necessary. This method is less likely to result in invasions of undesirable plant species compared to Levels 3 and 4.

*Level 3 – Clear and Cut:* Disturbance caused by accessing a facility including having to remove all vegetation in order to improve or provide suitable access for other equipment. All vegetation is removed, soils are compacted, and the root zone or soil A-horizon may be disturbed, but no sub-surface soil is removed. Clear and cut activities would result in moderate disturbance. This type of disturbance will result in moderate recovery times for vegetation. This method has a moderate risk for invasion of undesirable plant species. An example is imprinting to crush vegetation down into the soil or incidental grading and smoothing of surface soils.

*Level 4 – Clear and Cut with Soil Removal:* Disturbance is caused by removing all vegetation in the impact zone, soils are compacted, and surface soil and subsoil are displaced. These activities result in heavy disturbance. This type of disturbance results in an extensive recovery time for vegetation and is most likely to lead to invasions of undesirable plant species, which can result in lengthy and expensive control efforts. Includes disc-and-roll construction and other traditional construction methods where soils are disturbed and no vegetation is left intact. This category includes all work requiring the segregation and replacement of topsoils.

Prior to construction, a crosswalk of the final version of this Plan will be prepared for use by the construction contractor to facilitate Plan implementation and ensure ground disturbance is minimized to the extent practicable. A kick-off meeting with the Applicant, their construction compliance contractor, construction contractor, and ODOE will be held at least 14 days prior to construction. A copy of the Plan crosswalk will be provided to ODOE staff prior to the kick-off meeting date. Staff from either the Applicant or their construction compliance contractor will field-verify that anticipated disturbance levels are followed to the extent possible and will document any variances and the justifications for those variances for ODOE review.

### ***3.1.1 Facility Disturbance***

To the maximum extent practicable, Level 1 and Level 2 disturbance will be used during Facility construction. Existing vegetation root systems will be left intact during construction to the extent possible, although construction vehicles driving across the site may affect these existing root systems by compacting soils. Grading within solar arrays (Level 3 and 4) will be limited to areas where the slope and gradient are outside of panel and racking tolerances. Areas where the slope and gradient are within panel and racking tolerances will be graded in road, inverter, and energy storage footprints only. Preservation of existing root systems will minimize soil erosion, providing both improved compliance with stormwater and dust management requirements, facilitate revegetation success, and preserve soil productivity for future agricultural use. Construction will be coordinated and sequenced to the extent practicable with landowners to maintain land in current production and weed control until just prior to construction. This will avoid land being left unmanaged and minimize weed issues that can complicate revegetation.

Prior to construction, the Applicant will provide maps and shapefiles showing anticipated construction disturbance levels at the Facility, along with total acreage and major activities associated with each level. This will serve to demonstrate the Applicant's avoidance and minimization of ground-disturbing activities to the extent practicable.

## **4.0 Reclamation and Revegetation Methods**

This Plan addresses revegetation methods for temporary impacts to agricultural lands and wildlife habitat, as well as revegetation and management of lands within the solar array fence. Revegetation will begin as soon as feasible following completion of construction. The Applicant will restore temporarily disturbed areas by preparing the soil, followed by seeding using common application methods, as described in Section 4.4 of this Plan. Seeding and planting will be done in timely manner and in the appropriate season to facilitate germination and establishment of seeded species. The Applicant will restore temporarily disturbed areas by re-establishing slope, surface stability, and drainage features, as needed, followed by soil preparation, and seeding. Soil reclamation, site preparation and seeding techniques are described in the sections below.

The Applicant will seed all temporarily impacted lands within non-agriculture and non-developed habitat types. Restoration of temporarily disturbed developed habitat (i.e., Urban and Mixed Environs habitat subtype) will be determined on a case-by-case basis and is not covered further in this Plan. Temporary disturbances to agricultural habitat (i.e., Orchards, Vineyards, Wheat Fields, Other Row Crops habitat subtype) will be restored with the landowner's direction, as described in Section 4.4.1.

#### **4.1 Soil Reclamation**

Soil scientists use a soil penetrometer to field measure subsurface compaction in soil. This tool measures resistance (pressure) to the advance of a cone-tipped rod with a T-handle, vertically through the soil column. The metric intends to measure soil compaction that can inhibit the ability of plants to penetrate the soil. An operator pushes the penetrometer rod with a cone base into the ground with consistent force. A pressure gauge records pressure in pounds per square inch (psi), equaling levels of resistance at differing soil layers. Resistance is measured at 3-inch intervals until the meter goes above 300 psi, which is a level of soil compaction most roots cannot penetrate. For this test compaction would be measured at 3, 6, 9, and 12 inches if the soils allowed. Soil compaction testing must be completed in spring or late fall when soils are damp but not frozen. Baseline soil compaction measurements will be taken prior to construction. Baseline soil compaction sample locations and baseline compaction results will be submitted to ODOE prior to construction.

1. Baseline and post-construction soil compaction measurements and testing must be done in conditions favorable to soil testing (e.g. non-saturated or frozen soils).
2. Baseline soil compaction measurements will be documented and established by using the above protocol, or other protocol as approved by ODOE, to establish baseline soil conditions within temporary impact areas.
3. Recordation of baseline soil plots must be represented on a map based on final Facility design.
4. Post-construction soil compaction testing following the above protocols must be completed in spring or late fall when soils are damp but not frozen. Compaction testing will occur after soil stockpiles are replaced and grading is complete but prior to initiation of revegetation activities.
5. If soil monitoring demonstrates that soils are compacted more than 300 psi, then remediation activities must be completed prior to initiation of revegetation activities. See Section 4.2 below, the Facility National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 1200-C permit, and applicable site certificate conditions.

In addition, in areas where soil is removed during construction, the following measures will be taken where appropriate:

- During construction, excavated soils will be stockpiled by soil horizon, so that they can be replaced in proper order with the topsoil on the surface, preventing mixing of topsoil and subsoils and maintaining soil productivity. The conserved soil will be put back in place as topsoil prior to revegetation activities.
- Soils will be stabilized during construction using the appropriate best management practices (BMPs) as determined by the anticipated NPDES 1200-C permit.
- Soil preparation will involve standard, commonly used methods (i.e. tracking, decompaction, and tilling), and will consider all relevant site-specific factors, including slope, size of area, and erosion potential. Soils will be de-compacted if necessary to create a uniform seedbed using an agricultural disc, soil ripper, or similar equipment. Additional details regarding soil preparation are in Section 4.2.
- Topsoil and other soils from noxious weed infested areas will not be moved outside of the infested areas and will be returned to their previous location during reclamation activities to eliminate the transport of weed seeds, roots, or rhizomes. With the exception of medusahead, which is pervasive throughout the Facility, noxious weed populations will be flagged and monitored for compliance.
- Soils from weed-infested areas will be treated with a non-persistent, pre-emergent herbicide prior to initiation of revegetation efforts, depending on site-specific conditions.
- Prior to final regrade and revegetation efforts, any weeds that have grown during periods of construction dormancy should be treated as described in the Facility Noxious Weed Control Plan.
- The construction contractor will use appropriate erosion and sediment control practices (i.e., seeded or unseeded hydromulch, tackifier, weed-free erosion control blankets, weed-free or locally sourced straw mulch) to maintain topsoil during construction in both temporary and permanent impact areas.

## **4.2 Site Preparation**

When preparing the site for revegetation, soils will be optimized for seed growth. Soil preparation will involve standard, commonly used methods, and will take into account relevant site-specific factors, including topography, size of area, soil type, plant communities, and erosion potential. As noted above, existing vegetation root systems (e.g., crop stubble, fallow vegetation) will be left intact during construction to the maximum extent practicable. Areas where the slope and gradient are within the solar panel and racking tolerances will receive minimal grading, with grading in those areas limited to the roads, inverter, and energy storage footprints only. In areas where soil is removed during construction, the following measures will be taken where appropriate:

- Excavated soils will be stockpiled by soil horizon, so that they can be replaced in proper order with the topsoil on the surface, preventing the mixing of topsoil and subsoils and maintaining soil productivity. The conserved soil will be returned as topsoil prior to revegetation activities.

- Topsoil and other soils from noxious weed infested areas will not be moved outside of the infested areas and will be returned to their previous location during reclamation activities.
- Movement of topsoil and other soils from non-infested areas will be limited to eliminate the transport of hidden weed seeds, roots, or rhizomes.
- Areas of severe machine or vehicle tracking that would hinder seeding success and are unnecessary for soil stabilization will be regraded.
- Where soil compaction testing demonstrates that soils are compacted greater than 300 psi, soils will be mechanically scarified (e.g., tilling or ripping the soil) to an appropriate depth to reduce the potential effects of compaction, to maintain soil productivity, and reduce the potential for erosion on compacted soils. Dry soils should be de-compacted using an agricultural disc, soil ripper, or similar equipment.
- The Applicant or a designated construction contractor will use mulching and other appropriate practices, as required by the anticipated NPDES 1200-C permit, to control erosion and sediment during construction and revegetation work.
- Soils will be prepared into a firm, fine-textured seedbed that is relatively free of debris before seeding or planting. Shallow tilling with a disc, followed by a harrow or drag if necessary, can typically achieve this. If replaced soil is too soft, then seeds may be buried too deep to properly germinate; a roller or culti-packer should be used to pack down the soil.

In non-cropland areas, site complexity will be considered during soil preparation. For instance, it may be desirable to purposely create an uneven, patchy site that allows for depressions and other microsites that result in small variations in aspect and moisture holding to promote complexity. Soil preparation, as well as seeding techniques described in the following section, will be determined in consultation with a qualified contractor.

### **4.3 Revegetation of Permanent Impact Areas**

During construction, the Applicant will implement site stabilization measures, including seeding of all disturbed areas according to the Applicant's anticipated NPDES 1200-C permit. Approximately six months prior to commercial operation of each phase of construction, the Applicant will meet with ODFW, ODOE, and Wasco County Weed Department and Sherman County Weed District personnel to review the actual extent and conditions of impacted areas and confirm the revegetation methods to be implemented.

As portions of the Facility are prepared for installation of Facility components (i.e., grading is complete), but prior to installation, all areas with less than 70 percent vegetative cover should be seeded with a non-invasive, non-persistent cover crop. The cover crop will be selected based on the time of year and site conditions; for example, wheat (triticale) can be seeded from fall to early spring while peas, tillage radish, or sunflowers should be seeded in spring. Irrigation is required to

establish a cover crop in the summer. Establishment of a cover crop will stabilize soils and suppress noxious weed infestations to reduce erosion and facilitate revegetation of desired plant species.

Following completion of each construction phase, permanent impact areas will be reseeded with a mix of native or non-invasive, non-native grasses and forbs as appropriate based on disturbance level and actual site conditions. Seed will be obtained from a reputable supplier in compliance with the Oregon Seed Law (OAR 603-056). The final seed mix for areas within the solar array fence will include low growing grasses and pollinator-friendly forbs compatible with desired vegetation conditions under the solar arrays (i.e., species whose mature height would not interfere with or shade the solar array).

#### **4.4 Restoration of Temporary Disturbance Areas**

##### ***4.4.1 Agricultural Lands***

Temporarily disturbed agricultural lands will be reseeded with the appropriate crop or maintained as fallow in consultation with the landowner or farm operator. The Applicant will also consult with the landowner or farm operator to determine the seed mix, application methods, and rates for seed and fertilizer. Success of cropland revegetation will have been achieved when production of the revegetated area is comparable to that of adjacent, non-disturbed croplands of the same type.

Dryland croplands will be reseeded to match the timing of the crop rotation on adjacent cropland to facilitate easy harvest and re-establish the appropriate crop rotation. Dryland cropland that will be seeded in the year that construction is complete can be temporarily hydromulched or otherwise stabilized until seeding can occur in the fall; dryland cropland that will be fallow for a year (i.e., fallow rather than reseeded the year construction is complete) will be planted with a cover crop (dependent on timing of construction closeout) or have continued stabilization with hydromulch or other BMPs through the fallow year.

Soil compaction as a result of construction activity is a concern for restoring agricultural soils to their pre-construction productivity. Within temporary disturbance areas, the Applicant will excavate and store topsoil separately from subsoil, so that topsoil is replaced and restored appropriately. During post-construction restoration of temporary impacts to agricultural areas, the Applicant will loosen agricultural soil by mechanical scarification (tilling or ripping the soil) to an appropriate depth to reduce the potential effects of compaction. Soil amendment, by addition of organic matter (e.g., compost), may also be necessary to alleviate compaction.

Success determination will involve consultation with the landowner or farm operator, and the Applicant will report to ODOE on the success of cropland restoration efforts. Noxious weed control is necessary for successful revegetation of croplands and will be implemented per the methods described in the Draft Noxious Weed Control Plan (Exhibit P, Attachment P-4).

#### **4.4.2 Wildlife Habitat**

During construction, the Applicant will implement site stabilization measures, including seeding of temporarily disturbed areas according to the Applicant's anticipated NPDES 1200-C permit.

Approximately 6 months prior to commercial operation of each phase of construction, the Applicant will meet with ODFW, ODOE, ODA, Wasco County Weed Department Supervisor, and the Sherman County Weed District Supervisor, as applicable, to review the actual extent and conditions of temporarily impacted areas and confirm the revegetation methods to be implemented.

#### **4.5 Seeding Techniques**

Following each construction phase, all impact areas, with the exception of temporarily disturbed developed and agricultural lands, will be reseeded with a mix of native or non-invasive, non-native grasses and forbs (see Section 4.6). Seed mixes will be obtained from a reputable supplier in compliance with ODA's Oregon Seed Laws (Oregon Administrative Rule 603-056).

The seeding methods and timing of planting will be appropriate to the seed mixes, weather conditions (e.g., precipitation, wind speed, temperature, etc.), and site conditions (including area size, slope, and erosion potential) based upon consultation with ODFW, Oregon State University Extension, and the seed supplier. Seeding between late-fall and late-winter/early-spring is typically recommended; however, the Applicant will consult with ODFW, Wasco and Sherman County Weed Supervisors, Oregon State University Extension, and/or the seed supplier to determine the optimal timing for seed application based on climatic conditions of the particular year when construction and revegetation efforts are implemented. Three common seed application methods that may be used are broadcast seeding, drill seeding, and hydroseeding; each of these are discussed further below. Other seeding methods may be proposed for review and approval prior to revegetation efforts.

##### **4.5.1 Broadcast Seeding**

Broadcast seeding is the manual or mechanical application of seed directly on the ground surface. This method may be chosen for areas with shallow and rocky soils, and the type of broadcast spreader will depend on the size of the area to be seeded and the terrain.

In this method, the seed mix would be applied using at least the application rate specified by the seed supplier for broadcast seeding. Where feasible, half of the total mix would be applied in one direction and the remaining half in a direction perpendicular to the first to ensure a more uniform spread of seeds across the target area. A tracking dye may be added to facilitate uniform seed application. Broadcasting will not be used if winds exceed 5 miles per hour.

Unsuccessful broadcast seeding is often due to lack of seed-to-soil contact. Therefore, broadcast seeding will be applied to the surface of the soil and then covered by 0.5 to 1 inch of soil by raking or harrowing to ensure soil-to-seed contact and improve success (Pyke et al. 2018).

### **4.5.2 Drill Seeding**

Drill seeding will be used on areas of sufficient size and moderate or favorable terrain to accommodate mechanical equipment. This method, which is more successful in areas with deeper soils, provides the advantage of planting the seed at a uniform depth and may provide better soil to seed contact.

Using a range seed drill, seeds will be sown according to the rates recommended by the seed supplier for drill seeding. Where feasible, half of the total mix will be applied in one direction and the second half of mix in the direction perpendicular to first half. If mulch has been applied before, it is acceptable to use a drill to plant the seeds through the mulch, as long as the drill can effectively penetrate the straw and create adequate seed-to-soil contact for germination. Drill seeding will be difficult after Facility components have been installed, so it will primarily be used if seeding occurs after grading is complete but before components are installed or in areas that were temporarily disturbed during construction that do not have any permanent infrastructure (e.g., temporary access roads, laydown areas).

### **4.5.3 Hydroseeding**

Hydroseeding is most applicable for areas where drill or broadcast seeding machinery cannot access; this usually includes steeper sloped or narrow terrain, but can be used in all terrains. Soil bed preparation is also crucial for growth success and frequently includes tracking perpendicular to the slope to create micro conditions for seed. Flat grading and compaction are not recommended. Seeding rates increase by 30 to 50 percent of broadcast seeding rates or single applications per consultation with the seed supplier and ODFW. Prior to hydroseeding the tackifier and fertilizer, if included, will be reviewed and approved in consultation with ODFW.

## **4.6 Seed Mix and Shrub Plantings**

One seed mix is proposed for revegetation efforts as shown in Table 2. All temporarily disturbed land will be revegetated with either: 1) a mix of native grasses and forbs; 2) a mix of native grasses, forbs, and shrubs; or 3) a mix of seeds designed by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) for areas enrolled in the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP), as appropriate. Composition of the final seed mix will be determined following pre-construction baseline surveys (see Section 7.2.2) and in consultation with ODOE and ODFW.

The Applicant will make all attempts to procure the approved seed mix. However, if the species included in the seed mix are not available at the time of procurement, the Applicant will obtain approval from ODOE prior to making substitutions to the approved seed mix.

The proposed Grass and Forb Seed Mix presented in Table 2 will be used for revegetation of all temporarily disturbed areas, except for areas enrolled in the CRP that have specific seeding requirements, if present at the time of revegetation. Those areas, if applicable, will be seeded with a seed mix that meets the requirements of the CRP contract and be paired with an appropriate

reference site (see Section 7.2.1). The seed mixes will be planted in late fall to early spring unless an alternate timing is approved in consultation with ODOE.

In addition to the Grass and Forb Seed Mix, basin big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata* ssp. *tridentata*) shrubs are proposed for planting during revegetation of temporarily disturbed shrub-steppe habitat. ODFW has indicated a preference for planting shrub seedlings instead of including them in seed mixes; therefore, the Applicant will prioritize planting container or bare root basin big sagebrush shrubs in temporarily disturbed areas of shrub-steppe habitat. In general, shrubs will be planted using approximately 12 foot spacing. However, shrubs can be planted “in random patterns or in clusters or islands, using mixtures of species to create natural-appearing stands” (Shaw et al. 2015). If plantings are not feasible due to availability of plant stock or cost, the Applicant will notify ODOE, and basin big sagebrush seeds will be added to the Grass and Forb Seed Mix at a rate of 0.1 to 0.2 pounds of pure live seed (PLS) per acre.

**Table 2. Grass and Forb Seed Mix**

| Scientific Name  | Common Name                                 | Type  | Percent Composition <sup>1</sup> |
|--|---|-------|----------------------------------|
| <i>Festuca idahoensis</i>  | Idaho fescue                                | Grass | 25                               |
| <i>Pseudoroegneria spicata</i>   | Bluebunch wheatgrass                        | Grass | 20                               |
| <i>Elymus elymoides</i>  | Squirreltail                                | Grass | 20                               |
| <i>Poa secunda</i>   | Sandberg bluegrass                          | Grass | 20                               |
| <i>Achillea millefolium</i>  | Common yarrow                               | Forb  | 5                                |
| <i>Eriogonum heracleoides</i>  | Parsnipflower buckwheat;<br>Wyeth buckwheat | Forb  | 5                                |
| <i>Linum lewisii</i> var. <i>lewisii</i>   | Wild blue flax                              | Forb  | 5                                |
| 1. If planting of sagebrush seedlings within areas of temporarily disturbed shrub-steppe habitat is not feasible, basin big sagebrush seed will be added to the seed mix at the rate of 0.1 5 to 0.2 pounds of PLS per acre. |   |       |                                  |

## 5.0 Noxious Weed Prevention and Control

The Applicant will implement weed prevention and control measures during construction and revegetation efforts, as described in the Noxious Weed Control Plan developed for the Facility (Attachment P-4).

## 6.0 Revegetation Documentation

The Applicant will maintain documentation of revegetation work conducted at the Facility. Documentation will include:

- Date that construction was completed;
- Acreage of each disturbance level;
- Description and photos of the affected area prior to revegetation efforts;
- Date revegetation work was initiated;
- Description of the work implemented within the revegetation area, including methods and timing;
- Supporting figures representing the location, acres affected, and pre-disturbance condition of the revegetation area; and
- Confirmation from the landowner that temporary disturbances in cropland have been satisfactorily restored.

The Applicant will meet with ODOE at least 14 days prior to initiation of revegetation efforts. The Applicant will update ODOE with these records monthly as revegetation work occurs and will provide ODOE with copies of these records along with submission of the monitoring report that is required by the anticipated site certificate.

## **7.0 Monitoring**

### **7.1 Construction Compliance Monitoring**

The Applicant or a third-party contractor will conduct compliance monitoring during the construction phase to ensure that contractors are complying with reclamation and revegetation methods outlined in Section 4.0. The construction compliance monitor will facilitate coordination meetings with the counties and ODOE, maintain compliance tracking sheets, and conduct routine check-in calls with the construction team. The compliance monitor will update compliance records periodically as reclamation and revegetation work occurs and will assist the Applicant in reporting compliance to ODOE.

### **7.2 Vegetation Monitoring**

#### ***7.2.1 Monitoring of Permanent Impact Areas***

In accordance with the Applicant's anticipated NPDES 1200-C permit, areas within the solar array fence will be revegetated to stabilize soils for the purposes of erosion and dust pollution control. Pursuant to OAR 345-022-0022, construction and operation of the Facility must not result in significant adverse impacts to soils, including but not limited to, erosion. In addition, the Wasco County Land Use Development Ordinance (WCLUDO) 19.030(C)(14) requires a weed plan be developed in consultation with the Wasco County Weed Department and implemented during construction and operation of the Facility.

Monitoring is required to demonstrate compliance with the above site stabilization and weed control requirements. The Applicant will conduct monitoring within permanent impact areas to assess the following:

- Dominant species composition;
- Relative cover of desirable and undesirable forbs and grasses;
- Percent cover of bare soil;
- Degree of erosion;
- Presence of noxious weeds; and
- Qualitative assessment of overall vigor of vegetation within revegetated areas.

Monitoring methods will be determined in consultation with ODOE prior to construction and will be incorporated as an amendment to this plan upon ODOE approval. Monitoring will be conducted by a qualified botanist or revegetation specialist and will begin within 60 days of completion of the initial site restoration effort. Monitoring will be conducted annually for five years, with the first monitoring period to occur the first growing season following initial seeding. After five years of monitoring, the Applicant will design a long-term monitoring plan in consultation with ODOE.

#### *7.2.1.1 Success Criteria*

The success criterion outlined below will demonstrate compliance with the soil protection standard (OAR 345-022-0022) and NPDES 1200-C permit requirements:

- Establish uniform (i.e., evenly distributed, without large bare areas) perennial, non-invasive vegetation that provides 70 percent or more cover on all exposed areas.

Requirements of the soil protection standard apply to the construction and operation of the Facility. Therefore, the Applicant shall maintain compliance with revegetation success criteria for all areas within the solar array fence for the life of the Facility. In each monitoring report, the Applicant will include an assessment of whether the area within the solar array fence is meeting or trending toward meeting the revegetation success criteria. Final determination of whether the Applicant is in compliance with revegetation obligations will be made by ODOE. Remedial actions and/or additional monitoring may be required in areas that are determined by ODOE not to meet the success criteria.

#### *7.2.1.2 Reporting*

Monitoring reports will be prepared and submitted annually to ODOE for the first five years. The first monitoring report will include a detailed description and timeline of site restoration methods that were implemented including species, amounts, and locations of the seed applications and dates restoration work was performed. Each monitoring report will include:

- GIS maps of revegetation areas and disturbance levels;

- Monitoring methods;
- Local climatic data (i.e., precipitation, temperature) for the monitoring month and year and percent deviation from the historical average;
- The results of the monitoring efforts;
- The investigator's assessment of whether the revegetated areas are trending toward meeting the success criteria;
- Assessments of factors impacting the ability of the revegetated area to trend towards meeting the success criteria; and
- Recommendations of remedial actions, if any.

### ***7.2.2 Monitoring of Temporary Disturbance Areas***

Following implementation of revegetation efforts, the Applicant will monitor revegetation areas as described in this section, unless the landowner has converted an area to a use that precludes meeting revegetation success criteria. Revegetation areas will be monitored by a qualified investigator annually for five years, with the first monitoring period to occur the first growing season following initial seeding.

Based on the fifth annual assessment, a long-term monitoring plan will be developed in coordination with ODOE and ODFW. This may include remedial actions, additional monitoring, and/or additional mitigation for areas that have been determined by ODOE, in consultation with ODFW, not to have met the success criteria. If it is determined, in consultation with ODOE and ODFW, that revegetated areas have met the success criteria prior to the fifth annual assessment, annual monitoring will be deemed complete, and a long-term monitoring plan will be developed in coordination with ODOE and ODFW.

#### ***7.2.2.1 Reference and Monitoring Sites***

To determine if revegetation efforts are meeting the success criteria outlined in Section 7.2.5, paired monitoring (i.e., treatment) and reference (i.e., control) sites will be established in each of the habitat subtypes that will be temporarily disturbed by construction, with the exception of agricultural lands (i.e., habitat subtype Orchards, Vineyards, Wheat Fields, Other Row Crops), cliffs/talus habitat (i.e., habitat subtype: Cliffs, Caves, and Talus), and habitat/category combinations with less than 1 acre of temporary disturbance. Reference sites are intended to represent target conditions for the revegetation effort. Vegetation within monitoring sites in revegetation areas will be compared with those in the associated reference sites to measure success of the revegetation activities.

Fifteen monitoring and seven reference sites (22 total sites) will be established and monitored. Table 3 presents the number of monitoring and reference sites that will be established within each habitat subtype anticipated to be temporarily disturbed. The number of monitoring sites was based on the extent of anticipated temporary disturbance as follows:

- Less than 1 acre of temporary disturbance = 0 sites
- 1 to 10 acres of temporary disturbance = 1 site
- 11 to 35 acres of temporary disturbance = 2 sites
- For each additional 25 acres of impacts, one additional site will be added (e.g., 36-60 acres of impact = 3 sites, 61-85 acres = 4 sites, etc.)

One reference site per habitat subtype and preliminary habitat category anticipated to be temporarily disturbed will be established. Locations will be randomly selected using existing habitat mapping.

**Table 3. Number of Monitoring Sites to be Established within Each Habitat Subtype**

| Preliminary Habitat Category   | Habitat Subtype <sup>1</sup> | Acres of Temporary Disturbance | Number of Monitoring Sites | Number of Reference Sites |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 2  | Shrub-steppe                 | 4.2                            | 1                          | 1                         |
| 3  | Eastside Grasslands          | 28.8                           | 2                          | 1                         |
|  | Shrub-steppe                 | 69.0                           | 4                          | 1                         |
| 4  | Eastside Grasslands          | 48.2                           | 3                          | 1                         |
|  | Shrub-steppe                 | 18.1                           | 2                          | 1                         |
|  | Planted Grasslands           | 14.6                           | 2                          | 1                         |
| 5  | Eastside Grasslands          | 10.5                           | 1                          | 1                         |
| <b>Total</b>   |                              |                                | 15                         | 7                         |
| 1. No monitoring is proposed for the 3.7 acres of Cliffs, Caves, and Talus habitat anticipated to be impacted because these areas consist of cliffs and talus slopes that are not vegetated. |                              |                                |                            |                           |

#### 7.2.2.2 Pre-Construction Baseline Surveys

Prior to the start of construction, surveys will be conducted during the growing season to evaluate baseline conditions within the proposed monitoring and reference sites. Both quantitative and qualitative data will be collected during the pre-construction baseline surveys as described in Section 7.2.3. Selection of appropriate sites and collection of pre-construction data will ensure that monitoring and reference sites are located in areas of similar habitat type and quality prior to disturbance. This will help ensure that comparison between monitoring and reference sites is appropriate for determining successful revegetation.

#### 7.2.2.3 Monitoring Methods

##### **Data Collection**

Both quantitative and qualitative data will be collected during pre-construction baseline surveys and post-construction annual monitoring. Quantitative data will be collected along one 150-foot

long transect located within each selected monitoring and reference site. During pre-construction baseline surveys, the exact locations of these transects will be established and the ends of each transect line will be recorded using a global positioning system unit capable of submeter accuracy. During post-construction monitoring, the transect locations within the monitoring sites may need to be adjusted if the actual disturbance footprint is smaller than the anticipated construction footprint and does not accommodate a transect. These detailed considerations for monitoring methods will be determined in consultation with ODOE and ODFW prior to implementation of monitoring.

A modified version of the Daubenmire method (NRCS and BLM 1999) tailored to address the Facility's monitoring goals will be used to assess vegetative cover and species composition along each transect. A 1.5-foot by 3-foot quadrat will be placed every 15 feet along the transect, and the percent cover of each plant species, as well as bare soil, litter, and biotic crust within each quadrat, will be recorded using Daubenmire cover classes. Site characteristics including slope, aspect, elevation, soil type, and habitat type will also be recorded. The datasheet for recording data is provided in Appendix A. In addition, photographs will also be taken at the end of each transect, and the compass bearing will be recorded for each photograph taken.

Qualitative monitoring will supplement quantitative data and help to describe overall site conditions and assess the need for remedial actions to ensure sites are progressing toward the success criteria outlined in Section 7.2.5. Qualitative data that will be collected during pre-construction baseline surveys and annual monitoring will include the following:

- Evidence of ongoing, recent, or past disturbance;
- Evidence of wildlife use;
- Degree of erosion (high, moderate, or low); and
- Overall plant vigor.

### **Data Analysis**

Based on data collected, the following parameters will be assessed for each reference and monitoring site:

- Total vegetative cover;
- Cover of native and desirable grass and forb species;
- Cover of shrubs;
- Percent cover of invasive species and state and county-designated noxious weeds;
- Proportion of native and desirable plant species; and
- Species richness (number of plant species observed).

These results will then be compared for each monitoring site and paired reference site to determine if the revegetated areas are trending toward meeting or have met the success criteria as described in Section 7.2.5.

#### 7.2.2.4 *Reporting*

Monitoring reports will be prepared and submitted to ODFW and ODOE annually for the first five years. The first monitoring report will include a detailed description and timeline of site restoration methods that were implemented including species, amounts, and locations of the seed applications and dates restoration work was performed. Each monitoring report will include:

- GIS maps of revegetation areas and disturbance levels;
- Monitoring methods;
- Local climatic data (i.e., precipitation, temperature) for the monitoring month and year and percent deviation from the historical average;
- The results of the monitoring efforts;
- The investigator's assessment of whether the revegetated areas are trending toward meeting the success criteria;
- Assessments of factors impacting the ability of the revegetated area to trend towards meeting the success criteria; and
- Recommendations of remedial actions, if any.

Findings from the annual revegetation monitoring report for temporary disturbance areas will be included as part of the Applicant's annual report to ODOE and ODFW.

#### 7.2.2.5 *Success Criteria*

Each monitoring report will include an assessment of whether the temporarily disturbed revegetated areas are meeting or trending toward meeting the success criteria. An area will be deemed successfully revegetated when the following success criteria are met:

- **Native Forbs:** The average percent cover of desirable forbs (i.e., species included in seed mixes and/or native species that have naturally colonized) will be a minimum of 75 percent of the reference site within 5 years. Richness of native and desirable forbs on a reclaimed site will be at least equal to the richness measured on the reference site within 5 years (applicable to all revegetation areas).
- **Native Shrubs:** The average cover of the shrub component will be at least 50 percent of the reference site within 5 years. At least 15 percent of the shrub density will be the dominant species found on the reference site. The richness of shrub species and the shrub density within the revegetated areas will be at least equal to the shrub species richness and density measured on the reference site (only applicable to shrub-steppe revegetation areas).

- **Native and Desirable Grasses:** Cover and richness of native and desirable (i.e., species included in seed mixes and/or native species that have naturally colonized) grass species is at least 85 percent similar to reference sites. Native and/or desirable grasses are to be seeded at rates sufficient to achieve abundance and richness characteristics of the grass component at the reference site (applicable to all revegetation areas).
- **Noxious Weeds:** Presence and cover of noxious weeds is 75 percent or less than that of the reference site (applicable to all revegetation areas).

Final determination of whether the Certificate Holder has met the revegetation obligations will be made by ODOE, in consultation with ODFW.

### ***7.2.3 Adaptive Management***

After each monitoring visit, the Applicant's qualified investigator will report to the Applicant regarding the revegetation progress of each revegetation area. If applicable, the investigator will make recommendations to the Applicant for reseeding, weed control, or other remedial measures for areas that are not showing progress toward achieving revegetation success. The investigator will provide a description of factors that may be contributing to the lack of revegetation success. The Applicant will include the investigator's recommendations for adaptive management and the measures taken in that year's monitoring report. ODOE may require reseeding, weed control, or other remedial measures in cases where success criteria have not been met.

If a revegetation area is damaged by wildfire during the first 5 years following initial seeding, the Applicant will work to restore the damaged area. The Applicant will continue to report on revegetation progress during the remainder of the 5-year period. The Applicant will report to ODOE and ODFW the area impacted by the fire (with a map or figure).

## **7.3 Cropland Revegetation Monitoring**

Records will be kept of revegetation efforts in cropland. Records will include:

- Date construction was completed;
- Description of the affected area;
- Date revegetation was initiated;
- Description of the revegetation effort; and
- Confirmation from the landowner that temporary disturbances in cropland have been satisfactorily restored.

The Applicant will update these records periodically as revegetation work occurs and will provide ODOE with copies of these records along with submission of a summary in the first annual report following construction.

## **7.4 Soil Reclamation Monitoring**

Soil measurements conducted per Section 4.1 shall be evaluated to determine whether soils within disturbance areas have compaction readings of more than 300 psi. If results show soils have compaction readings of greater than 300 psi, then remediation activities must be completed before revegetation activities can begin. Prior to initiation of revegetation, the Applicant will provide the results of soil compaction testing to ODOE. Remediation activities may include de-compacting soil with an agricultural disc, soil ripper, or similar equipment as described in Section 4.2.

## **8.0 Roles and Responsibilities**

The Applicant is responsible for the construction and operations of the Facility and implementation of the revegetation and reclamation plan activities described in this document. However, the Applicant may use contractors to complete tasks associated with their revegetation goals and monitoring needs. The Applicant will be responsible for ensuring that all contractors perform work in accordance with permit requirements and all agreed-upon methods for revegetation.

Example responsible parties and their roles may include:

### **8.1 The Applicant's Site Manager**

- Communicate findings and recommendations from Monitoring Contractor to ODOE and ODFW.
- Maintain landowner communications and provide guidance to Monitoring Contractor and Restoration and Seeding Contractor regarding landowner restrictions/requests for accessing, monitoring, and seeding on their properties.
- Attend quarterly calls with Monitoring Contractor and Restoration and Seeding Contractor.
- Attend calls with ODOE and ODFW as needed.

### **8.2 Construction Compliance Contractor**

- Prior to reclamation and revegetation, facilitate coordination meetings with the Site Manager, restoration and seeding contractor, ODFW, and ODOE.
- Perform site visits as needed to document compliance.
- Maintain compliance tracking records and assist Applicant in reporting compliance to ODOE.
- Conduct routine check-in calls with the Site Manager and restoration and seeding contractors.
- Attend calls with the Applicant, ODFW, and ODOE as needed.

### **8.3 Monitoring Contractor(s)**

- Monitoring will be conducted during pre-construction, construction, and post-construction phases. This may be done by a single qualified contractor or multiple at the Applicant's discretion.
- Communicate directly with restoration and seeding contractor. Provide maps and photos of reference and monitoring sites.
- Ensure that seeding efforts completed by the restoration and seeding contractor are occurring at an appropriate seasonal time, according to site-specific weather windows, and when soil moisture is at the appropriate level to support seedling growth.
- Perform site visits (annually and as needed) to document revegetation progress.
- Provide summary memo after each visit to the Applicant's Site Manager and restoration and seeding contractor outlining findings, recommendations, and progress on success criteria (see Sections 7.1.1 and 7.2.5).
- Communicate and attend calls with ODOE and ODFW about revegetation success as needed.
- Prepare annual report for the Facility describing revegetation progress, as outlined in Sections 7.1.2 and 7.2.4.
- Organize and attend quarterly calls with the Applicant's Site Manager and restoration and seeding contractor.

### **8.4 Restoration and Seeding Contractor**

- Communicate seeding plan to Applicant's Site Manager, notifying the Applicant's Site Manager of any changes to seed availability prior to seeding.
- Maintain records of when, where, how, and what type of seeds are being planted, and provide a copy of these records to the Applicant's Site Manager and the monitoring contractor.
- Review summary memos prepared by monitoring contractor following site visits and implement supplemental seeding recommendations, as applicable.
- Attend quarterly calls with monitoring contractor and Applicant's Site Manager.

Options for contracting and managing restoration and seeding work include:

- Having the construction contractor subcontract the revegetation work out to a qualified restoration and seeding contractor. The contract will stipulate the Applicant's right to dictate the timing, methods, and management of seeding.
- Contracting directly with the qualified restoration and seeding contractor, with the power to contractually enforce seed timing and methods.

- Having the construction compliance contractor contract with the qualified restoration and seeding contractor, with the power to contractually enforce seed timing and methods.

The restoration and seeding contractor's qualifications and scope of work will be provided as a submittal to ODOE prior to construction. Additionally, a crosswalk of the final version of this Plan will be prepared for use by the restoration contractor prior to initiation of revegetation to facilitate Plan implementation. A kick-off meeting with the Applicant, their construction compliance contractor, restoration and seeding contractor, and ODOE will be held at least 14 days prior to initiation of restoration activities. A copy of the Plan crosswalk will be provided to ODOE staff prior to the kick-off meeting date. Staff from either the Applicant or their construction compliance contractor will field-verify seeding methods and timing requirements are followed appropriately and will document any variances and the justifications for those variances. Monitoring and follow-up will be provided as described in Section 7.0 to ensure oversight and increase the probability of revegetation success.

## **9.0 Plan Amendment**

This Plan may be amended by agreement of the Applicant and the Energy Facility Siting Council (EFSC). Such amendments may be made without amendment of the site certificate. EFSC authorizes ODOE to agree to amendments to this Plan. ODOE shall notify EFSC of all amendments, and EFSC retains the authority to approve, reject, or modify any amendment of this Plan agreed to by ODOE.

## **10.0 References**

- NRCS and BLM (Natural Resources Conservation Service and Bureau of Land Management). 1996. Revised in 1997 and 1999. Sampling Vegetation Attributes. Interagency Technical Reference 1734-4. Denver, CO.
- Pyke, D., J. Chambers, M. Pellant, R. Miller, J. Beck, P. Doescher, and B. Roundy. 2018. Restoration Handbook for Sagebrush Steppe Ecosystems with Emphasis on Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat—Part 1. Concepts for Understanding and Applying Restoration. Circular 1416. Prepared in Cooperation with U.S. Joint Fire Science Program and National Interagency Fire Center, Bureau of Land Management, Great Northern Landscape Conservation, and Western Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies. U.S. Geological Survey.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/cir1416>.
- Shaw, N., A. Halford, and J.K. McAdoo. 2015. Great Basin Factsheet Series: Information and tools to conserve and restore Great Basin ecosystems. Sage Grouse Initiative, USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service. [http://www.sagegrouseinitiative.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/8\\_GBFS\\_transplanting-seedlings.pdf](http://www.sagegrouseinitiative.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/8_GBFS_transplanting-seedlings.pdf). Accessed November 2023.

Tetra Tech (Tetra Tech, Inc). 2023. Wildlife, Habitat, and Raptor Nest Survey Report. Yellow Rosebush Energy Center. Prepared for Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC. November.

## **Appendix A. Revegetation Monitoring Datasheet**

This page intentionally left blank

[illegible]

### Daubenmire Cover Classes

| <u>Cover Class</u> | <u>Range of Coverage</u> | <u>Midpoint of Range</u> | <u>Degree of Erosion (high, moderate, low):</u> |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 1                  | 0 - 5%                   | 2.5%                     | Evidence of Wildlife Use:                       |
| 2                  | 6 - 25%                  | 15.0%                    |   |
| 3                  | 25 - 50%                 | 37.5%                    |   |
| 4                  | 50 - 75%                 | 62.5%                    | Disturbances noted (past, ongoing, or recent):  |
| 5                  | 75 - 95%                 | 85.0%                    |   |
| 6                  | 95 - 100%                | 97.5%                    | Overall plant vigor:                            |

**Notes:**

This page intentionally left blank

## **Attachment P-4. Draft Noxious Weed Control Plan**

This page intentionally left blank

# **Draft**

# **Noxious Weed Control Plan**

**Yellow Rosebush Energy Center**  
**September 2025**

**Prepared for**  
**Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC**

**Prepared by**



**Tetra Tech, Inc.**

This page intentionally left blank

## Table of Contents

|     |  |    |
|-----|--|----|
| 1.0 | Introduction .....                                 | 1  |
| 2.0 | Regulatory Framework .....                         | 1  |
| 2.1 | State of Oregon .....                              | 1  |
| 2.2 | Wasco County .....                                 | 2  |
| 2.3 | Sherman County .....                               | 3  |
| 3.0 | Noxious Weeds Identified at the Facility .....     | 3  |
| 4.0 | Noxious Weed Management.....                       | 5  |
| 4.1 | Prevention .....                                   | 6  |
| 4.2 | Control Planning.....                              | 6  |
| 4.3 | Mechanical Treatment .....                         | 7  |
| 4.4 | Chemical Treatment.....                            | 8  |
| 5.0 | Monitoring .....                                   | 10 |
| 5.1 | Construction Compliance Monitoring.....            | 10 |
| 5.2 | Noxious Weed Monitoring.....                       | 11 |
| 6.0 | Roles and Responsibilities .....                   | 13 |
| 6.1 | Construction Compliance Contractor .....           | 13 |
| 6.2 | Operations Noxious Weed Monitoring Contractor..... | 13 |
| 6.3 | Facility Site Manager.....                         | 14 |
| 6.4 | Weed Management Contractor.....                    | 14 |
| 7.0 | County Weed Department Review .....                | 15 |
| 8.0 | References .....                                   | 15 |

## List of Tables

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 1. Noxious Weeds Identified within the Facility Micrositing Corridor ..... | 4  |
| Table 2. Example Monitoring Schedule .....                                       | 12 |

## **List of Appendices**

Appendix A. 2024 Oregon Department of Agriculture Noxious Weed List

Appendix B. 2008 Wasco County Noxious Weed List

Appendix C. 2024 Sherman County Noxious Weed List

Appendix D. Recommended Treatment and Timing for Noxious Weeds Observed within the Project Area

## Acronyms and Abbreviations

|           |  |
|-----------|--|
| Applicant | Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC     |
| BMP       | best management practices              |
| EPA       | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency   |
| Facility  | Yellow Rosebush Energy Center          |
| ODA       | Oregon Department of Agriculture       |
| ODFW      | Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife |
| ODOE      | Oregon Department of Energy            |
| ORS       | Oregon Revised Statutes                |
| OSWB      | Oregon State Weed Board                |

This page intentionally left blank

## 1.0 Introduction

Yellow Rosebush Energy Center, LLC (Applicant) seeks to develop the Yellow Rosebush Energy Center (Facility) in Wasco and Sherman counties, near Maupin, Oregon. This Draft Noxious Weed Control Plan was prepared to comply with Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 660-033-0130 (38)(h)(D) and describes the noxious weed control measures that will be implemented during construction and operation of the Facility. The intent of this plan is to provide clear methods to minimize the introduction and spread of designated noxious weeds from construction and operation of the Facility, control existing populations of noxious weeds within construction areas, and monitor the success of efforts to prevent and control noxious weeds for the life of the Facility. The Applicant and its contractors will be responsible for implementing the methods detailed in this plan.

## 2.0 Regulatory Framework

The Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA) lists 46 Class A noxious weed species and 98 Class B noxious weed species within the state of Oregon, 47 of which are T-designated (Appendix A). Wasco County lists 45 species of noxious weeds (Appendix B) and Sherman County lists 54 weed species (Appendix C). Many of the weed species listed in Sherman County are also listed in Wasco County. Although not all of the listed noxious weeds in Wasco and Sherman counties and noted in Appendices A and B occur in the vicinity of the Facility, the Applicant and its contractors should be aware of the entire list while monitoring and controlling weeds. Noxious weeds known to occur in the vicinity of the site boundary are discussed in Section 3.0.

### 2.1 State of Oregon

In Oregon, a noxious weed is defined under Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) 569.175 as “a terrestrial, aquatic, or marine plant designated by the State Weed Board under ORS 569.615 as among those representing the greatest public menace and as a top priority for action by weed control programs.” Noxious weeds have been declared by ORS 569.350 as a menace to public welfare, and control of these plants is the responsibility of private landowners and operators, as well as county, state, and federal governments.

The Oregon State Weed Board (OSWB) was created by ODA under ORS 569.600. OSWB provides recommendations for noxious weed control at the state level and is responsible for updating the State Noxious Weed List. The OSWB and the ODA classify noxious weeds in Oregon in accordance with the ODA Noxious Weed Classification System; there are three designations under the State’s system (Appendix A):

- **A-Listed Weed:** A weed of known economic importance which occurs in the state in small enough infestations to make eradication or containment possible; or is not known to occur, but its presence in neighboring states makes future occurrence in Oregon seem imminent.

- **Recommended Action:** Focus on prevention of new infestations through vector control, certification programs, education, outreach and surveys. New and existing infestations are prioritized for eradication or intensive control when and where found. Regionally focused, species-specific Statewide Management Strategies for A-listed weeds may be developed as necessary.
- **B-Listed Weed:** A weed of economic importance that is regionally abundant but may have limited distribution in some counties.
  - **Recommended Action:** Limited to intensive control at the state, county, or regional level as determined on a site-specific, case-by-case basis. Where implementation of a fully integrated statewide management plan is not feasible, biological control (when available) shall be the primary control method.
- **T-Designated Weed:** A designated group of weed species selected from the B list as a focus for prevention and control by the Noxious Weed Control Program. T-designated noxious weeds are determined by the Oregon State Weed Board and management actions are prioritized and informed by species-specific T-List Statewide Management Strategies created and maintained by the ODA. Action against these weeds will receive priority in accordance with the recommendations of the Statewide Management Strategy.

Additionally, Oregon sometimes implements biological control, or “biocontrol”, as part of its integrated pest management approach to managing noxious weeds. This is the practice of using host-specific natural enemies such as insects or pathogens to control noxious weeds. The ODA Noxious Weed Program has adopted the International Code of Best Practices for biological control of weeds, and several species found at the Facility may be subject to biocontrol (Appendix A). These biocontrol species are noted below (Section 3).

## 2.2 Wasco County

In Wasco County, control of noxious weeds is overseen by the Wasco County Weed and Pest Department. Wasco County has its own weed classification system that differs from the state, defining four classifications of weeds (Appendix B):

- **A Pests:** A weed of known economic importance known to occur in the county in small enough infestations to make eradication practical.
- **B Pests:** A weed of known economic importance and of limited distribution within the county and is subject to intensive control or eradication, where feasible, at the county level.
- **C Pests:** A weed that also has economic importance but is more widely spread. Control of these weeds will be limited by conditions that warrant special attention.
- **Q Pests:** A weed that exists in the county, but is of little, no, or undetermined economic importance. However, they are to be monitored and subject to control if they begin to appear threatening.

## 2.3 Sherman County

The vast majority of the proposed Facility falls within Wasco County; however, the northern section of the gen-tie line extends into Sherman County, Oregon. Sherman County follows a different noxious weed list and protocol, developed by the Sherman County Weed District. Sherman County has developed six weed classes to define weeds of significance (Appendix C):

- **A Class:** High priority. Any noxious weed which greatly endangers the overall economic well-being of the County and has a small enough distribution where eradication is possible.
- **B Class:** Moderate priority. A noxious weed which is well established in the County and has known negative impacts, but due to its distribution, eradication is not feasible.
- **C Class:** Low priority. A noxious weed which is widespread throughout the County and has known economic impacts.
- **Q Class:** Questionable list. A newly detected weed which may have some importance, but more information is needed to determine its impact on agriculture. There is only one Q Class weed currently (*Epilobium hirsutum*).
- **T Class:** Targeted list. A noxious weed from any Class that the Weed Advisory Board wishes to focus efforts and resources on. This List is reviewed annually.
- **W Class:** Watch list. Any noxious weed that may occur in neighboring counties, the State or similar environments as the County, and could potentially endanger the overall economic well-being of the County. Once detected, these weeds shall be moved to the appropriate List.

## 3.0 Noxious Weeds Identified at the Facility

Based on botanical surveys conducted by Tetra Tech biologists in June 2023, seven listed noxious weed species were observed within the Facility micro-siting corridor<sup>1</sup> (Table 1). Although these seven species will specifically be targeted for control, if any additional listed target weeds are identified in the treatment areas, they will also be flagged and treated. Table 1 lists the noxious weed species observed, their prevalence within the Facility, and their noxious weed designation (i.e., status). Locations of these noxious weeds documented during surveys are included in the Facility's Botanical Survey Report, included in Attachment P-1 of the Application for Site Certificate. Six of the seven species observed were ODA "B" listed weeds, and all seven species were listed in at least one of the two counties (Table 1; Appendices A, B, and C).

---

<sup>1</sup> The micro-siting corridor is where solar arrays and all other related and supporting facilities may be located; see Exhibit P of the Facility's Application for Site Certificate.

**Table 1. Noxious Weeds Identified within the Facility Micrositing Corridor**

| Scientific Name  | Common Name      | ODA Status     | Wasco County Status | Sherman County Status            | Prevalence   |
|--|------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| <i>Centaurea diffusa</i> <sup>1</sup>  | Diffuse knapweed | B*             | B                   | A/B (depending on county region) | Two observed patches, one of which is expansive.                                 |
| <i>Cirsium arvense</i>   | Canada thistle   | B              | B/C <sup>2</sup>    | A/B (depending on county region) | Infrequent small patches observed.   |
| <i>Cirsium vulgare</i>   | Bull thistle     | B <sup>3</sup> | Not listed          | C                                | Infrequent small patches observed.   |
| <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>  | Field bindweed   | B <sup>3</sup> | C                   | B                                | Infrequently found along two-track roads within the Survey Area.                 |
| <i>Onopordum acanthium</i>   | Scotch thistle   | B              | Not listed          | B/T                              | Rare; occurrences consisted of small to medium patches.                          |
| <i>Teaniatherum caput-medusae</i>  | Medusahead       | B              | Not listed          | C                                | Dominant ground cover. Near ubiquitous throughout Survey Area. Extremely common. |
| <i>Verbascum thapsus</i>   | Common mullein   | Not listed     | Q                   | Not listed                       | Common alongside Hauser Canyon and in the westernmost Survey Area.               |
| <p>1. Per the Wasco County Weed List, the Bakeoven/Maupin area is a knapweed control zone and control efforts are mandatory under ORS 570.510 and 570.515. The entire Facility on the Wasco County side lies within the knapweed control zone.</p> <p>2. Canada thistle is listed as “B” pest outside of forests and a “C” pest inside forests in Wasco County. The Facility lies outside the forest; therefore, this species is considered a “B” listed weed within the Facility.</p> <p>3. Weeds which could be targeted for biocontrol as part of their integrated pest management.</p> |                  |                |                     |                                  |  |

Diffuse knapweed (*Centaurea diffusa*), designated as a “B” Listed Weed in Wasco County, is present within the Facility. The broader area of the Facility is classified as a knapweed control zone according to the Wasco County Weed List, necessitating mandatory control measures under ORS 570.510 and 570.515 (Appendix B). The entire Wasco County section of the Facility falls within this control zone. Diffuse knapweed was predominately found on a two-track road alongside an agricultural field in the center of the site. Only two patches were observed, though one extended several hundred feet along the road.

Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*) and bull thistle (*Cirsium vulgare*) were both found in small, infrequent patches. Field bindweed (*Convolvulus arvensis*) was found in small populations in highly disturbed areas with bare ground, typically along two-track roads running throughout the Survey Area. Scotch thistle (*Onopordum acanthium*) is rare site-wide, with only three small to medium-sized populations observed. Common mullein (*Verbascum thapsus*) was common within the Survey Area, particularly on the slopes of Hauser Canyon and on the western boundary of the Survey Area. Common mullein was also very common along Bakeoven Road outside of the Survey Area, suggesting that it has high potential for additional spread within the Facility. Lastly, medusahead

(*Taeniatherum caput-medusae*) was ubiquitous throughout the Survey Area. Medusahead is a dominant ground-cover species Facility-wide and eradication is unlikely. Species treatment recommendations are summarized in Appendix D.

The Applicant will conduct an additional pre-construction noxious weed survey and coordinate with landowners and Counties to identify noxious weeds present at the Facility at the time of construction to better inform subsequent management actions.

## 4.0 Noxious Weed Management

This section describes the steps the Applicant will take to prevent, minimize, and control the establishment and spread of noxious weed species during both construction and operation of the Facility. The management of noxious weeds will be considered throughout all stages of construction and operation of the Facility and will include the following:

- **Prevention:** Implementing measures to prevent the introduction of and/or spread of noxious weeds during construction, operation, and maintenance activities.
- **Treatment:** Treating noxious weed populations with County-approved appropriate control methods, at appropriate time intervals.
- **Monitoring:** Assessing noxious weed changes within the Facility site boundary over time and ensuring that legacy as well as new weed populations are not increasing their distributions.

The Applicant's primary goal is to prevent the introduction of new noxious weed populations and the spread of existing noxious weed populations. Early detection and management of small weed populations are crucial for successful control efforts. New noxious weeds detected during post-construction revegetation will be considered a result of construction activities and will be controlled accordingly. If it is determined that noxious weeds have invaded areas immediately adjacent to the Facility (e.g., areas visible just beyond the outer limits of construction disturbances associated with the Facility or along access roads) as a result of construction, the Applicant will contact the landowner and seek approval to treat those noxious weed populations.

Long-term weed control methods will be described in a long-term monitoring plan as described in Section 5. Long-term weed control will be accomplished in conjunction with successful revegetation with non-weedy species as described in the Draft Revegetation and Reclamation Plan (see Exhibit P, Attachment P-3). Initial short-term weed control will be achieved either through mechanical methods (Section 4.2.1) or herbicide use (Section 4.2.2). However, it is crucial to ensure that short-term herbicide use does not impede the establishment of native perennial grass cover, which will help provide long-term control at the Facility.

## 4.1 Prevention

Prior to the start of construction, all personnel will be trained on the importance of noxious weed control. The Applicant or their construction contractor will provide information and training to all construction personnel regarding noxious weed identification and prevention strategies.

Operations and maintenance personnel will be similarly informed. The importance of preventing the introduction and/or spread of noxious weeds in areas not currently infested and controlling the proliferation of noxious weeds already present within or near the Facility will be emphasized.

The Applicant and their contractors will implement preventative best management practices (BMPs) during Facility construction and operation to help minimize invasion and spread of noxious weeds onsite. The Applicant will assess and report compliance with the BMPs as described in Section 5.1. These BMPs include, but are not limited to:

- Flagging areas of noxious weed infestations prior to construction to alert construction personnel;
- Limiting vehicle access to designated routes, whether existing roads or newly constructed roads, and the outer limits of construction disturbances per the final design for the Facility;
- Limiting vehicle traffic in noxious weed-infested areas;
- Cleaning construction vehicles at a wash station located at an onsite location prior to entering the Facility for the first time and upon leaving the Facility, or at a public car wash in the vicinity of the Facility to prevent tracking on to or off-site;
- Where feasible, not moving topsoil and other soils from noxious weed-infested areas outside of the infested areas and returning them to their previous location during reclamation activities;
- Conducting ongoing inspections of areas of temporary and permanent disturbance for noxious weeds during and after grading and construction (See Section 5);
- Displaying fact sheets describing target noxious weed species at the operations and maintenance building;
- Ensuring that seed and straw mulch used for site rehabilitation, BMPs such as straw wattles or matting, and revegetation are certified free of weed seeds and propagules;
- Preventing conditions that favor noxious weed establishment by revegetating temporarily disturbed areas as soon as possible following grading and construction;
- Completing revegetation using native seed and/or native plants. In instances where these are unavailable, non-invasive and non-persistent non-native species will be utilized instead.

## 4.2 Control Planning

Noxious weeds will be controlled using chemical or mechanical methods. The control method used will depend on the weed species and size of infestation, time of year, proximity to intact native

habitats, and resources available (Tu et al. 2001). Generally, mechanical control is best suited for small infestations of tap-rooted weeds that can be hand pulled or large occurrences in areas where mowing or soil disturbance is acceptable. Chemical control is used for most occurrences of perennial weeds with rhizomes or stolons and large occurrences of any weed in areas where mowing or soil disturbance are not recommended. Successful noxious weed control programs typically combine mechanical and chemical treatment strategies (USEPA 2008).

The Applicant will be responsible for hiring a qualified contractor, as approved by ODOE, to implement the treatment of noxious weeds. The Applicant will ensure that noxious weed management actions will be conducted by specialists with the following qualifications:

- Experience in native plant, non-native and invasive plants, and noxious weed identification;
- Experience in noxious weed mapping;
- If chemical control is used, specialists must possess a Commercial or Public Pesticide Applicator License from the ODA or possess an Immediately Supervised Pesticide Trainee License and be supervised by a licensed applicator;
- Training in noxious weed management or Integrated Pest Management with an emphasis in noxious weeds;
- Experience in coordination with agencies and private landowners; and
- No recent (within one year) violations on the contractor's record.

Recommended treatment methods for noxious weeds identified at the Facility are provided in Appendix D. The applicant and their contractors shall coordinate with County Weed Managers on selection of final control methods and species of concern.

### **4.3 Mechanical Treatment**

Mechanical treatment will be the preferred method of treatment for existing noxious weed populations where appropriate within the boundaries of the Facility. Mechanical methods may include hand pulling, mowing, tilling, discing, harrowing, or rod-weeding (Benson et al. 2011). Hand pulling whole plants or removing seed heads is effective for controlling small, isolated populations of noxious weeds in areas with sensitive habitats or occurrences that need to be treated outside the appropriate time for chemical control. Hand removal can minimize soil disturbance, preserving desirable species and limiting conditions favorable for noxious weed establishment and spread.

For some large noxious weed occurrences, mowing, tilling, discing, or other mechanical techniques may be used to reduce thatch prior to chemical application so that herbicide can more effectively make contact with the target species. However, some rhizomatous plants found within the Facility, such as field bindweed and Canada thistle, can be spread through discing or tillage; therefore, the use of these methods will be species-specific. If tilling or discing is employed in areas slated for revegetation, subsequent seeding will be conducted to re-establish desirable vegetative cover, stabilize the soil, and prevent re-invasion of noxious weeds. Previously unbroken ground or fallow

areas should not be tilled or rod-weeded to maintain native biocrusts and prevent exposing weed seeds.

#### **4.4 Chemical Treatment**

Chemical control can effectively remove noxious weeds through use of selective herbicide when mechanical control is not feasible. The herbicide used and the timing of application will differ depending on whether the species are (1) perennial, broad-leaved, or dicot weeds (e.g., thistles and knapweeds, field bindweed) or (2) annual grasses or monocots (e.g., medusahead), as appropriate herbicides differ substantially between dicots and monocots (NRCS 2020). Recommended treatment methods and treatment timings for noxious weeds identified within the Facility microsinning corridor are provided in Appendix D, subject to approval by ODA prior to implementation.

Only herbicides approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and ODA will be applied, and appropriate BMPs will be implemented during application. The status of herbicide approval (e.g., confirming herbicides are approved for use by the EPA and ODA) will be checked annually. In addition, prior to construction and every fall season during facility operation, the Applicant or its contractor will consult with the Wasco County Weed Department and Sherman County Weed District on timing, method, and application rates for each identified weed species of concern, to allow for adaptive weed management given changes in weed control effectiveness from noxious weed species tolerance to herbicide treatment over time. Results of the consultation shall be reported to ODOE within 30 days and records of implementation shall be included in the Applicant's annual monitoring report. Any alternative control methods can be proposed by the Applicant or its contractors, subject to approval by the Wasco County Weed Department and Sherman County Weed District.

Herbicides will be applied to identified, treatable, noxious weed infestations. The Applicant or their contractors will coordinate with the Wasco County Weed Department and Sherman County Weed District to determine which populations are treatable and will notify landowners of proposed herbicide use on their lands prior to application. If a noxious weed population is deemed to be untreatable (e.g., too widespread and established in an area to successfully control), the Applicant will implement the applicable prevention measures discussed in Section 4.1, subject to approval by ODOE and county weed departments.

##### *4.4.1.1 Herbicide Application and Handling*

Herbicide application will occur within the appropriate season and during the appropriate timeframe to achieve desired results, as approved by ODOE and the county weed departments. Herbicide application will adhere to EPA and ODA standards. In general, application of herbicides will not occur when the following conditions exists:

- Wind velocity exceeds 15 miles per hour for granular application, or exceeds 10 miles per hour for liquid applications;
- Snow or ice covers the foliage of target species; or

- Adverse weather conditions are forecasted within the next few days.

Hand application methods (e.g., backpack spraying) may be used in roadless areas or in rough terrain. Vehicle-mounted sprayers (e.g., handgun, boom, and injector) will be used mainly in open areas that are readily accessible by vehicle. Calibration checks of equipment will be conducted prior to spraying activities, as well as periodically throughout use, to ensure that appropriate application rates are achieved.

Herbicides will be transported to the Facility daily with the following stipulations:

- Only the quantity needed for that day's work will be transported.
- Concentrate will be transported in approved containers only, and in a manner that will prevent spilling, stored separately from food, clothing, and safety equipment.
- Mixing will be done off site and at a distance greater than 200 feet from open or flowing water, wetlands, or other sensitive species' habitat. No herbicides will be applied at these areas unless authorized by the appropriate regulatory agencies.
- All herbicide equipment and containers will be inspected daily for leaks.

Herbicides use will be in accordance with all manufacturer's label recommendations and warnings.

#### *4.4.1.2 Herbicide Spills and Cleanups*

All appropriate precautions will be taken to avoid herbicide spills. In the event of a spill, cleanup will be immediate. Contractors will keep spill kits in their vehicles and in an appropriate storage shed to allow for quick and effective response to spills. Items included in the spill kit will be:

- Protective clothing and gloves;
- Adsorptive clay, "kitty litter," or other commercial adsorbent;
- Plastic bags and a bucket;
- A shovel;
- A fiber brush and screw-in handle;
- A dustpan;
- Caution tape;
- Highway flares (use on existing hard-top roads only); and
- Detergent.

Response to an herbicide spill will vary with the size and location of the spill, but general procedures include:

- Stopping the leak;
- Containing the spilled material;

- Traffic control;
- Dressing the clean-up team in protective clothing;
- Cleaning up and removing the spilled herbicide, as well as the contaminated adsorptive material and soil; and
- Transporting the spilled herbicide and contaminated material to an authorized disposal site.

#### *4.4.1.3 Herbicide Spill Reporting*

All herbicide contractors will have readily available copies of the appropriate material safety data sheets for the herbicides used at their disposal and will keep copies of the material safety data sheets in the application vehicle. If an herbicide spill of any size occurs, the appropriate agency and spill coordinators will be notified promptly. In case of a spill into wetlands and waterbodies, the appropriate federal, state, and county agencies will be notified immediately. All herbicide spills equal to or greater than 200 pounds or 25 gallons of pesticide residue will be reported to the Oregon Emergency Response System in accordance with applicable laws and requirements (OAR 340-142-0050; ODEQ 2024). The Applicant will report all herbicide spills to ODOE by phone or email within 24 hours with follow-up reporting as appropriate. Incidents will also be summarized in the annual operations report.

#### *4.4.1.4 Special Considerations*

Special consideration will be provided to perennial, intermittent, and ephemeral streams/draws during treatment activities. No herbicide will be sprayed where the drift can enter standing water or saturated soil. It will be the herbicide applicators' responsibility to ensure that no herbicide or drift enters standing water, regardless of the season when the herbicide is applied. Similar considerations will be made when in proximity to agricultural fields.

## **5.0 Monitoring**

### **5.1 Construction Compliance Monitoring**

The Applicant or a qualified third-party contractor will conduct compliance monitoring during the construction phase to ensure that contractors are complying with prevention BMPs outlined in Section 4.1. The construction compliance monitor will:

- facilitate coordination meetings with the counties and ODOE,
- maintain compliance tracking sheets, verification of construction personnel training regarding noxious weed identification and prevention strategies,
- conduct routine check-in calls with the construction team, and document communication with landowners prior to chemical application. If construction extends into a growing season prior to the commercial date of operations and formal post-construction weed

monitoring, the construction compliance monitor will conduct informal but comprehensive weed surveys to inform adaptive management, and

- update compliance records periodically as work occurs and will provide the Applicant with copies of these records for submission to ODOE.

Applicant will submit copies of documentation (attendance sheets, tracking sheets, training materials, monitoring records) with the required six-month semi-annual construction reports during the construction phase.

## **5.2 Noxious Weed Monitoring**

Monitoring for noxious weeds will be conducted for the life of the Facility to assess weed growth and inform noxious weed control measures. Monitoring for noxious weed infestations will also enable the Applicant to respond to new noxious weeds infestations in a timely manner and ensure the success of the site's revegetation. Noxious weed inspections will occur across the entire Facility through visual inspection of the site while driving or walking. These inspections will be used to inform ongoing noxious weed control efforts.

Monitoring will assess the success of noxious weed treatments and document any new noxious weed infestations observed. During the first five years of monitoring, these results will be summarized in seasonal monitoring reports that describe the noxious weeds identified, treatments implemented, and treatment success (e.g., weed frequency trending towards reduction), and will make recommendations to improve treatment success (if necessary), and note any new noxious weed species or emergence. Reports will be submitted to the ODOE, ODA, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW), Wasco County, and Sherman County annually. If the Applicant contracts with the Wasco County Weed Department Supervisor or Sherman County Weed District to perform weed control at the Facility, then no monitoring report will be provided except for a statement that the county agency successfully performed the work.

Based on the success of control efforts after the fifth year of annual monitoring, the Applicant will consult with ODOE and ODFW to design a long-term weed control plan. The Applicant will maintain ongoing communication with individual landowners, the Wasco County Weed Department, and the Sherman County Weed District regarding noxious weeds at the Facility. Landowners may also contact the Applicant to report the presence of noxious weeds. The Applicant will control the reported noxious weeds on a case-by-case basis and prepare a summary of measures taken for that landowner. Otherwise, during the operational period of the Facility, the Applicant will control noxious weeds as described in the long-term weed control plan.

An example monitoring schedule is presented in Table 2. This monitoring schedule will be revised, as applicable, based on conditions observed on site (e.g., if noxious weeds are being successfully controlled, monitoring frequency will be reduced), as approved by ODOE and county weed departments.

**Table 2. Example Monitoring Schedule**

| Monitoring Type                    | Timing  | Focus   |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| Construction Compliance Monitoring | Pre-construction  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Map noxious weed infestations and flag where appropriate.</li> <li>• Verify construction personnel training on the importance of noxious weed control.</li> <li>• Coordinate with County Weed Managers</li> <li>• Obtain written approval for treatment methods from the counties and ODOE.</li> </ul>   |
|                                    | During construction   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Notify landowners of proposed herbicide use on their lands prior to application.</li> <li>• Document compliance with prevention BMPs. Report compliance to ODOE.</li> <li>• Conduct informal but comprehensive weed surveys during the growing season to inform adaptive management.</li> </ul>  |
| Operations Monitoring              | Post-construction and annually for the life of the Facility | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conduct a site-wide noxious weed survey to identify areas for treatment.</li> <li>• Work with Weed Management Contractor on a post-emergent chemical treatment, mechanical, or other treatment plan to manage small populations.</li> <li>• Report on previous treatments' effectiveness, as applicable.</li> </ul>  |
|                                    | Prior to weed treatment                                     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Obtain written approval for treatment methods from the counties and ODOE.</li> <li>• Obtain proof of advance notice to landowners ahead of weed treatments.</li> </ul>   |
|                                    | During weed treatment                                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Track where herbicide applications occur and rates of chemicals used.</li> </ul>   |
|                                    | Seasonally for the first five years                         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitor treated areas for effectiveness and to identify new noxious weed populations.</li> <li>• Make recommendations for chemical retreatment or mechanical or other controls to manage new or existing small noxious weed populations.</li> <li>• Summarize results of monitoring in seasonal monitoring reports that describe the noxious weeds identified, treatments implemented, and treatment success, make recommendations for adaptive management, and note any new noxious weed species or emergence.</li> </ul> |
|                                    | Annually for the first five years                           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Submit seasonal monitoring reports to ODOE, ODA, ODFW, Wasco County, and Sherman County.</li> </ul>  |
|                                    | After fifth year of monitoring                              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consult with ODOE and ODFW to design a long-term weed control plan.</li> </ul>   |
|                                    | Seasonally for the life of the Facility                     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitor treated areas for effectiveness and to identify new noxious weed populations.</li> </ul>   |

| Monitoring Type | Timing | Focus   |
|-----------------|--------|---|
|                 |        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Make recommendations for chemical retreatment or mechanical or other controls to manage new or existing small noxious weed populations.</li> <li>• Maintain ongoing communication with individual landowners, the Wasco County Weed Department, and the Sherman County Weed District regarding noxious weeds at the Facility.</li> </ul> |

## 6.0 Roles and Responsibilities

The Applicant is the overall responsible party for construction and operation of the Facility repower and implementation of the noxious weed management activities described in this document. However, the Applicant may use contractors to complete tasks associated with noxious weed management and monitoring. Example responsible parties and their roles may include the following:

### 6.1 Construction Compliance Contractor

- Prior to weed treatment, facilitate coordination meetings with the counties and ODOE. Assist in obtaining written approval for treatment methods including which herbicides will be used and application timing.
- Flag areas of noxious weed infestations prior to construction to alert construction personnel.
- Verify personnel training on the importance of noxious weed control. Provide information and training to construction contractor regarding noxious weed identification and prevention strategies.
- Perform site visits as needed to document compliance with BMPs.
- Maintain compliance tracking records and assist Applicant in reporting compliance to ODOE.
- Conduct routine check-in calls with the construction team.
- Confirm Applicant communication with landowners prior to chemical application.
- Attend calls with ODOE, ODA, and Wasco and Sherman counties as needed.

### 6.2 Operations Noxious Weed Monitoring Contractor

- Perform site visits (annually as needed) to document noxious weed occurrences.
- Provide summary memo after each visit to the Applicant's operations manager outlining findings and treatment recommendations.

- Communicate directly with Weed Management Contractor and provide maps and photos of noxious weed species locations to Weed Management Contractor.
- Communicate with ODA, Wasco County, and Sherman County about noxious weed survey findings and treatment plans.
- Prepare reports for the Facility describing noxious weed monitoring findings and treatments.
- Organize and attend quarterly calls with the Applicant and Weed Management Contractor.
- Attend calls with ODOE, ODA, and Wasco and Sherman counties as needed.
- Applicant will also include summary and supporting documentation of actions taken by contractor and any contractor trainings in operational annual reports for the Facility.

### **6.3 Facility Site Manager**

- Communicate findings and recommendations from Monitoring Contractor to the Weed Management Contractor.
- Review monitoring reports to ensure all treatments performed by Weed Management Contractor are documented.
- Maintain landowner communications, providing guidance to Monitoring Contractor and Weed Management Contractor regarding landowner restrictions/requests for performing noxious weed monitoring and treatment on their properties.
- Attend quarterly calls with Monitoring Contractor and Weed Management Contractor.
- Attend calls with ODA and Wasco and Sherman counties as needed.

### **6.4 Weed Management Contractor**

- Review Monitoring Contractor memos describing noxious weed occurrences and recommendations and plan appropriate treatment to address those issues.
- Communicate treatment plan to Applicant.
- Maintain records of when, where, and what type of noxious weed treatments are being performed and provides documentation of work being performed to the Facility Site Manager.
- Maintain all appropriate documentation of chemicals applied. Share documentation during quarterly calls with the Applicant and Monitoring Contractor, and prior to monitoring report preparation. Documentation should include type and quantity of herbicides applied, dates applied, and any associated EPA/Oregon Department of Environmental Quality licensing/documentation of chemicals used.
- Attend quarterly calls with the Monitoring Contractor and the Applicant.

## 7.0 County Weed Department Review

The Weed Department Supervisor for Wasco County and Weed District Supervisor for Sherman County will be provided with a copy of this plan for review. This plan will be updated, as necessary, based on their comments.

The following contact information for the Wasco County Weed Department and Sherman County Weed District will be used and updated as needed:

Merle A. Keys, Wasco County Weed Department Supervisor

Wasco County Public Works Building

2705 E. 2nd Street

The Dalles, OR 97058

(541) 506-2653

merlek@co.wasco.or.us

Rod Asher, Sherman County Weed District Supervisor

66143 Lone Rock Road

Moro, OR 97039

(541) 565-3655

## 8.0 References

Benson, J. E., R. T. Tveten, M. G. Asher, and P. W. Dunwiddie. 2011. Shrub-Steppe and Grassland Restoration Manual for the Columbia River Basin. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, Washington, in collaboration with the Bonneville Power Administration and Bureau of Land Management. October 21, 2011. Available online: <https://wdfw.wa.gov/sites/default/files/publications/01330/wdfw01330.pdf>

Ditomaso, J.M., G.B. Kyser, S. R. Oneto, R. G. Wilson, S.B. Orloff, L.W. Anderson, S.D. Wright, J.A. Roncoroni, T.L. Miller, T. S. Prather, C. Ransom, K.G. Beck, C. Duncan, K.A. Wilson, and J. J. Mann. 2013. Weed Control in Natural Areas in the Western United States. Weed Research and Information Center, University of California. 544 pp.

NRCS (Natural Resource Conservation Service). 2020. Herbaceous Weed Treatment. Conservation Practice Standard Code 315. USDA NRCS. October 2020. Available online: <https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/resources/guides-and-instructions/herbaceous-weed-treatment-ac-315-conservation-practice-standard>

ODEQ (Oregon Department of Environmental Quality). 2024. Small Quantity Hazardous Waste Generator Handbook: How to Reduce, Identify, Store, and Dispose of Hazardous Waste in Oregon. Updated March 2024. Available online:

<https://www.oregon.gov/deq/FilterDocs/SQGHandbook.pdf>

Tu, M, C. Hurd, and JM. Randall. 2001. Weed Control Methods Handbook: Tools & Techniques for Use in Natural Areas. The Nature Conservancy. Updated 2003. Available from:  
[https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/pdfs/weeds/methods\\_handbook.pdf](https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/pdfs/weeds/methods_handbook.pdf)

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2008. Integrated Vegetation Management Fact Sheet. USEPA, Office of Pesticide Programs. October 2008. Available online:  
[https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2016-03/documents/ivm\\_fact\\_sheet.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2016-03/documents/ivm_fact_sheet.pdf)

Washington State Noxious Weed Control Board (WSNWCBC). Control Options for Knapweeds. Olympia, Washington. Accessed January 2024.

## **Appendix A. 2024 Oregon Department of Agriculture Noxious Weed List**

This page intentionally left blank.



**OREGON  
DEPARTMENT OF  
AGRICULTURE**

# **Noxious Weed Policy and Classification System 2024**

## **Noxious Weed Control Program**

**Address:** 635 Capitol Street NE, Salem, Oregon 97301

**Phone:** (503) 986-4625    **Fax:** (503) 986-4786

[www.oregon.gov/ODA/programs/Weeds/Pages/AboutWeeds.aspx](http://www.oregon.gov/ODA/programs/Weeds/Pages/AboutWeeds.aspx)

## **Mission Statement**

To protect Oregon's natural resources and agricultural economy from the invasion and proliferation of invasive noxious weeds.

## **Program Overview**

The Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA) Noxious Weed Control Program provides statewide leadership for coordination and management of state listed noxious weeds. The state program focuses on noxious weed control efforts by implementing early detection and rapid response projects for new invasive noxious weeds, implementing biological control, implementing statewide inventory and survey, assisting the public and cooperators through technology transfer and noxious weed education, maintaining noxious weed data and maps for priority listed noxious weeds, and assisting land managers and cooperators with integrated weed management projects. The Noxious Weed Control Program also supports the Oregon State Weed Board (OSWB) with administration of the OSWB Grant Program, developing statewide management objectives, developing weed risk assessments, and maintaining the state noxious weed list.

Troy Abercrombie

Program Manager

[troy.abercrombie@oda.oregon.gov](mailto:troy.abercrombie@oda.oregon.gov)

(503) 986-4625

## Table of Contents

|                                       |   |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Policy and Classification System..... | 1 |
| Criteria.....                         | 3 |
| Classification Definitions.....       | 4 |
| Weed Biological Control.....          | 4 |
| A Listed Weeds.....                   | 5 |
| B Listed Weeds.....                   | 7 |

# **Noxious Weed Control Policy and Classification System**

## **Definition**

“Noxious weed” means a terrestrial, aquatic or marine plant designated by the Oregon State Weed Board under ORS 569.615 as among those representing the greatest public menace and as a top priority for action by weed control programs.

Noxious weeds have become so thoroughly established and are spreading so rapidly on private, state, county, and federally owned lands, that they have been declared by ORS 569.350 to be a menace to public welfare. Steps leading to eradication, where possible, and intensive control are necessary. It is further recognized that the responsibility for eradication and intensive control rests not only on the private landowner and operator, but also on the county, state, and federal governments.

## **Weed Control Policy**

Therefore, it shall be the policy of ODA to:

1. Assess non-native plants through risk assessment processes and make recommendations to the Oregon State Weed Board for potential listing.
2. Rate and classify weeds at the state level.
3. Prevent the establishment and spread of listed noxious weeds.
4. Encourage and implement the control or containment of infestations of listed noxious weed species and, if possible, eradicate them.
5. Develop and manage a biological weed control program.
6. Increase awareness of potential economic losses and other undesirable effects of existing and newly invading noxious weeds, and to act as a resource center for the dissemination of information.
7. Encourage and assist in the organization and operation of noxious weed control programs with government agencies and other weed management entities.
8. Develop partnerships with county weed control districts, universities, and other cooperators in the development of control methods.
9. Conduct statewide noxious weed surveys and weed control efficacy studies.

## **Weed Classification System**

The purpose of this Classification System is to:

1. Act as the ODA's official guideline for prioritizing and implementing noxious weed control projects.
2. Assist the ODA in the distribution of available funds through the Oregon State Weed Board to assist county weed programs, cooperative weed management groups, private landowners, and other weed management entities.
3. Serve as a model for private and public sectors in developing noxious weed classification systems that aid in setting effective noxious weed control strategies.

# **Criteria for Determining Economic and Environmental Significance**

## **Detrimental Effects**

1. A plant species that causes or has the potential to cause severe negative impacts to Oregon's agricultural economy and natural resources.
2. A plant species that has the potential to or does endanger native flora and fauna by its encroachment into forest, range, aquatic and conservation areas.
3. A plant species that has the potential or does hamper the full utilization and enjoyment of recreational areas.
4. A plant species that is poisonous, injurious, or otherwise harmful to humans and/or animals.

## **Plant Reproduction**

1. A plant that reproduces by seed capable of being dispersed over wide areas or that is long-lived, or produced in large numbers.
2. A plant species that reproduces and spreads by tubers, creeping roots, stolons, rhizomes, or other natural vegetative means.

## **Distribution**

1. A weed of known economic importance which occurs in Oregon in small enough infestations to make eradication/containment possible; or not known to occur, but its presence in neighboring states makes future occurrence seem imminent.
2. A weed of economic or ecological importance and of limited distribution in Oregon.
3. A weed that has not infested the full extent of its potential habitat in Oregon.

## **Difficulty of Control**

A plant species that is not easily controlled with current management practices such as chemical, cultural, biological, and physical methods.

## Noxious Weed Control Classification Definitions

Noxious weeds, for the purpose of this system, shall be listed as either A or B, and may also be designated as T, which are priority targets for control, as directed by the Oregon State Weed Board.

- **A Listed Weed:**

A weed of known economic importance which occurs in the state in small enough infestations to make eradication or containment possible; or is not known to occur, but its presence in neighboring states make future occurrence in Oregon seem imminent (Table I).

*Recommended action:* Focus on prevention of new infestations through vector control, certification programs, education, outreach and surveys. New and existing infestations are prioritized for eradication or intensive control when and where found. Regionally focused, species-specific Statewide Management Strategies for A-listed weeds may be developed as necessary.

- **B Listed Weed:**

A weed of economic importance which is regionally abundant, but which may have limited distribution in some counties (Table II).

*Recommended action:* Limited to intensive control at the state, county or regional level as determined on a site specific, case-by-case basis. Where implementation of a fully integrated statewide management plan is not feasible, biological control (when available) shall be the primary control method.

- **T-Designated Weed (T):**

A designated group of weed species selected from the B list as a focus for prevention and control by the Noxious Weed Control Program. T-designated noxious weeds are determined by the Oregon State Weed Board and management actions are prioritized and informed by species-specific T-List Statewide Management Strategies created and maintained by the ODA. Action against these weeds will receive priority in accordance with the recommendations of the Statewide Management Strategy.

### Weed Biological Control

Oregon implements biological control, or “biocontrol” as part of its integrated pest management approach to managing noxious weeds. This is the practice of using host-specific natural enemies such as insects or pathogens to control noxious weeds. The Oregon Department of Agriculture Noxious Weed Program has adopted the International Code of Best Practices for biological control of weeds. Only safe, effective, and federally-approved natural enemies will be used for biocontrol.

**Table I: A Listed Weeds**

| Common Name   | Scientific Name  |
|---|--|
| African rue   | <i>Peganum harmala</i>   |
| Camelthorn  | <i>Alhagi pseudalhagi</i>  |
| Cape-ivy  | <i>Delairea odorata</i>  |
| Coltsfoot   | <i>Tussilago farfara</i>   |
| Common frogbit  | <i>Hydrocharis morsus-ranae</i>  |
| Cordgrass<br>Common<br>Dense-flowered<br>Saltmeadow<br>Smooth | <i>Spartina anglica</i><br><i>Spartina densiflora</i><br><i>Spartina patens</i><br><i>Spartina alterniflora</i>              |
| Delta arrowhead   | <i>Sagittaria platyphyla</i>   |
| European water chestnut                                       | <i>Trapa natans</i>  |
| Flowering rush  | <i>Butomus umbellatus</i>  |
| Garden yellow loosestrife                                     | <i>Lysimachia vulgaris</i>   |
| Giant hogweed   | <i>Heracleum mantegazzianum</i>  |
| Goatgrass<br>Barbed<br>Ovate                                  | <i>Aegilops triuncialis</i><br><i>Aegilops ovata</i>   |
| Goatsrue  | <i>Galega officinalis</i>  |
| Hawkweed<br>King-devil<br>Mouse-ear<br>Orange<br>Yellow       | <i>Hieracium piloselloides</i><br><i>Hieracium pilosella</i><br><i>Hieracium aurantiacum</i><br><i>Hieracium floribundum</i> |
| Hoary alyssum   | <i>Berteroa incana</i>   |
| Hydrilla  | <i>Hydrilla verticillata</i>   |
| Japanese dodder   | <i>Cuscuta japonica</i>  |
| Kudzu   | <i>Pueraria lobata</i>   |
| Matgrass  | <i>Nardus stricta</i>  |
| Oblong spurge   | <i>Euphorbia oblongata</i>   |
| Palmer amaranth   | <i>Amaranthus palmeri</i>  |
| Paterson's curse  | <i>Echium plantagineum</i>   |
| Purple nutsedge   | <i>Cyperus rotundus</i>  |
| Ravennagrass  | <i>Saccharum ravennae</i>  |
| Squarrose knapweed  | <i>Centaurea virgata</i>   |

(Continued)

Table I: A Listed Weeds

| Common Name              | Scientific Name                    |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Starthistle              |                                    |
| Iberian                  | <i>Centaurea iberica</i>           |
| Purple                   | <i>Centaurea calcitrapa</i>        |
| Thistle                  |                                    |
| Plumeless                | <i>Carduus acanthoides</i>         |
| Smooth distaff           | <i>Carthamus baeticus</i>          |
| Taurian                  | <i>Onopordum tauricum</i>          |
| Turkish                  | <i>Carduus cinereus</i>            |
| Wetted (curly plumeless) | <i>Carduus crispus</i>             |
| Woolly distaff           | <i>Carthamus lanatus</i>           |
| Water soldiers           | <i>Stratiotes aloides</i>          |
| West Indian spongeplant  | <i>Limnobium laevigatum</i>        |
| White bryonia            | <i>Bryonia alba</i>                |
| Yellow floating heart    | <i>Nymphoides peltata</i>          |
| Yellowtuft               | <i>Alyssum murale, A. corsicum</i> |

**Table II: B Listed Weeds**

| Common Name                     | Scientific Name   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Armenian (Himalayan) blackberry | <i>Rubus armeniacus</i> ( <i>R. procerus</i> , <i>R. discolor</i> ) |
| Biddy-biddy                     | <i>Acaena novae-zelandiae</i>                                       |
| Broom                           |   |
| French*                         | <i>Genista monspessulana</i>  |
| Portuguese (T)                  | <i>Cytisus striatus</i>   |
| Scotch*                         | <i>Cytisus scoparius</i>  |
| Spanish                         | <i>Spartium junceum</i>   |
| Butterfly bush                  | <i>Buddleja davidii</i> ( <i>B. variabilis</i> )                    |
| Common bugloss (T)              | <i>Anchusa officinalis</i>  |
| Common crupina (T)              | <i>Crupina vulgaris</i>   |
| Common reed                     | <i>Phragmites australis</i> ssp. <i>australis</i>                   |
| Common viper's bugloss (T)      | <i>Echium vulgare</i>   |
| Cutleaf teasel                  | <i>Dipsacus laciniatus</i>  |
| Dyer's woad (T)                 | <i>Isatis tinctoria</i>   |
| English hawthorn                | <i>Crataegus monogyna</i>   |
| Eurasian watermilfoil           | <i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i>  |
| False brome                     | <i>Brachypodium sylvaticum</i>                                      |
| Field bindweed                  | <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>   |
| Garlic mustard (T)              | <i>Alliaria petiolata</i>   |
| Geranium                        |   |
| Herb Robert                     | <i>Geranium robertianum</i>   |
| Shiny leaf                      | <i>Geranium lucidum</i>   |
| Giant reed (T)                  | <i>Arundo donax</i>   |
| Gorse* (T)                      | <i>Ulex europaeus</i>   |
| Halogeton                       | <i>Halogeton glomeratus</i>   |
| Houndstongue                    | <i>Cynoglossum officinale</i>                                       |

\* Biocontrol (See page 4)

(T) T-Designated Weed (See page 4)

(Continued)

Table II: B Listed Weeds

| Common Name              | Scientific Name                           |
|--------------------------|---|
| Indigo bush              | <i>Amorpha fruticosa</i>                  |
| Ivy                      |   |
| Atlantic                 | <i>Hedera hibernica</i>                   |
| English                  | <i>Hedera helix</i>                       |
| Jointed goatgrass        | <i>Aegilops cylindrica</i>                |
| Jubata grass             | <i>Cortaderia jubata</i>                  |
| Knapweed                 |   |
| Diffuse*                 | <i>Centaurea diffusa</i>                  |
| Meadow*                  | <i>Centaurea pratensis</i>                |
| Russian*                 | <i>Acroptilon repens</i>                  |
| Spotted*                 | <i>Centaurea stoebe (C. maculosa)</i>     |
| Knotweed                 |   |
| Bohemian*                | <i>Fallopia x bohemica</i>                |
| Giant*                   | <i>Fallopia sachalinensis (Polygonum)</i> |
| Himalayan                | <i>Polygonum polystachyum</i>             |
| Japanese*                | <i>Fallopia japonica (Polygonum)</i>      |
| Kochia                   | <i>Kochia scoparia</i>                    |
| Lesser celandine         | <i>Ranunculus ficaria</i>                 |
| Meadow hawkweed (T)      | <i>Pilosella caespitosum (Hieracium)</i>  |
| Mediterranean sage*      | <i>Salvia aethiopis</i>                   |
| Medusahead rye           | <i>Taeniatherum caput-medusae</i>         |
| Old man's beard          | <i>Clematis vitalba</i>                   |
| Parrot feather           | <i>Myriophyllum aquaticum</i>             |
| Perennial peavine        | <i>Lathyrus latifolius</i>                |
| Perennial pepperweed (T) | <i>Lepidium latifolium</i>                |
| Pheasant's eye           | <i>Adonis aestivalis</i>                  |
| Pine echium (T)          | <i>Echium pininana</i>                    |
| Poison hemlock*          | <i>Conium maculatum</i>                   |
| Policeman's helmet       | <i>Impatiens glandulifera</i>             |
| Primrose-willow          |   |
| Large-flower (T)         | <i>Ludwigia grandiflora</i>               |
| Water primrose (T)       | <i>Ludwigia hexapetala</i>                |
| Floating (T)             | <i>Ludwigia peploides</i>                 |

\*Biocontrol (See page 4)

(T) T-Designated Weed (See page 4)

(Continued)

Table II: B Listed Weeds

| Common Name              | Scientific Name                                      |
|--------------------------|--|
| Puncturevine*            | <i>Tribulus terrestris</i>                           |
| Purple loosestrife*      | <i>Lythrum salicaria</i>                             |
| Ribbongrass (T)          | <i>Phalaris arundinacea</i> var. <i>Picta</i>        |
| Rose                     |  |
| Dog                      | <i>Rosa canina</i>                                   |
| Sweetbriar               | <i>Rosa rubiginosa</i>                               |
| Rush skeletonweed* (T)   | <i>Chondrilla juncea</i>                             |
| Saltcedar* (T)           | <i>Tamarix ramosissima</i>                           |
| Small broomrape          | <i>Orabanche minor</i>                               |
| South American waterweed | <i>Egeria densa</i> ( <i>Elodea</i> )                |
| Spanish heath            | <i>Erica lusitanica</i>                              |
| Spurge laurel            | <i>Daphne laureola</i>                               |
| Spurge                   |  |
| Leafy* (T)               | <i>Euphorbia esula</i>                               |
| Myrtle                   | <i>Euphorbia myrsinites</i>                          |
| St. Johnswort            | <i>Hypericum perforatum</i>                          |
| Sulfur cinquefoil        | <i>Potentilla recta</i>                              |
| Swainsonpea              | <i>Sphaerophysa salsula</i>                          |
| Tansy ragwort* (T)       | <i>Senecio jacobaea</i> ( <i>Jacobaea vulgaris</i> ) |
| Thistle                  |  |
| Bull                     | <i>Cirsium vulgare</i>                               |
| Canada*                  | <i>Cirsium arvense</i>                               |
| Italian                  | <i>Carduus pycnocephalus</i>                         |
| Milk                     | <i>Silybum marianum</i>                              |
| Musk                     | <i>Carduus nutans</i>                                |
| Scotch                   | <i>Onopordum acanthium</i>                           |
| Slender-flowered         | <i>Carduus tenuiflorus</i>                           |
| Toadflax                 |  |
| Dalmatian*               | <i>Linaria dalmatica</i>                             |
| Yellow*                  | <i>Linaria vulgaris</i>                              |
| Tree of heaven           | <i>Ailanthus altissima</i>                           |

\*Biocontrol (See page 4)

(T) T-Designated Weed (See page 4)

(Continued)

Table II: B Listed Weeds

| Common Name             | Scientific Name               |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Ventenata grass         | <i>Ventenata dubia</i>        |
| Whitetop                |                               |
| Hairy                   | <i>Lepidium pubescens</i>     |
| Lens-podded             | <i>Lepidium chalepensis</i>   |
| Whitetop (hoary cress)* | <i>Lepidium draba</i>         |
| Yellow archangel        | <i>Lamiastrum galeobdolon</i> |
| Yellow flag iris        | <i>Iris pseudacorus</i>       |
| Yellow nutsedge         | <i>Cyperus esculentus</i>     |
| Yellow starthistle*     | <i>Centaurea solstitialis</i> |

\*Biocontrol (See page 4)

(T) T-Designated Weed (See page 4)

This page intentionally left blank.

## **Appendix B. 2008 Wasco County Noxious Weed List**

This page intentionally left blank.



**MERLE A. KEYS, Superintendent**  
2705 E. 2<sup>nd</sup> Street  
The Dalles, OR 97058-2676  
(541)506-2650  
Fax (541)506-2651

## **WEED LIST AND CLASSIFICATIONS**

### **A PESTS**

Dyers Woad  
Houndstongue  
Kudzu  
Leafy Spurge  
Meadow Knapweed  
Mediterranean Sage  
Musk Thistle  
Purple Loosestrife  
Spotted Knapweed  
Tansy Ragwort  
Western Water  
Hemlock  
Yellow Flag Iris

### **B PESTS**

Canada Thistle (outside Forest)  
Dalmation Toadflax  
Diffuse Knapweed\*  
Kochia  
Russian Knapweed  
Rush Skeletonweed  
Scotch Broom  
Whitetop  
Yellow Starthistle  
(outside lower 15-Mile)

### **C PESTS**

Buffalobur  
California Spikeweed  
Canada Thistle (inside Forest)  
Dogbane  
Field Bindweed  
Goatgrass  
Horned-head Buttercup  
Horsetail Rush  
Jimsonweed  
Knapweed Complex  
Perennial Pepperweed  
Perennial Sowthistle  
Poison Hemlock  
Puncturevine  
Quackgrass  
Russian Thistle  
St. Johnswort  
Sandbur  
Showy Milkweed  
Spiny Cocklebur  
Wild Oats  
Yellow Starthistle  
(Inside 15-Mile)

### **Q PESTS**

Common Mullein  
Horseweed

*\* Within Bakoeven / Maupin area is a knapweed control zone. Control efforts are mandatory under ORS 570.510 and 570.515.*

### **A Pests:**

*A weed of known economic importance known to occur in the county in small enough infestations to make eradication practical.*

**B Pests:**

*A weed of known economic importance and of limited distribution within the county and is subject to intensive control or eradication, where feasible, at the county level.*

**C Pests:**

*A weed that also has economic importance but is more widely spread. Control of these weeds will be limited by conditions that warrant special attention.*

**Q Pests:**

*A weed that exists in the county, but is of little, no, or undetermined economic importance. However, they are to be monitored and subject to control if they begin to appear threatening.*

## **Appendix C. 2024 Sherman County Noxious Weed List**

This page intentionally left blank.

## Sherman County Noxious Weed List

Updated 06-05-2024

**“A” Class – HIGH PRIORITY.** Any noxious weed which greatly endangers the overall economic well – being of the County and has a small enough distribution where eradication is possible.

|                     |                                  | <u>State Listing</u> |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Houndstounge        | <i>Cynoglossum officinale L.</i> | B                    |
| Jimsonweed          | <i>Datura stramonium</i>         | --                   |
| Kochia              | <i>Kochia scoparia</i>           | B                    |
| Leafy Spurge        | <i>Euphorbia esula</i>           | B/T #                |
| Rush Skeletonweed   | <i>Chondrilla juncea</i>         | B/T #                |
| Spikeweed           | <i>Hemizonia pungens</i>         | B                    |
| Spotted Knapweed    | <i>Centaurea stoebe</i>          | B#                   |
| Yellow Flag Iris    | <i>Iris pseudacorus</i>          | A                    |
| Yellow Starthistle* | <i>Centaurea solstitialis</i>    | B #                  |

\*“A” Class south of the Biggs – Rufus frontage Road, outside the orchards and residential areas, West of Scott Canyon Road to the Deschutes River.

# Indicates weeds targeted for biocontrol.

**“B” Class – MODERATE PRIORITY.** A noxious weed which is well established in the County and has known negative impacts, but due to its distribution, eradication is not feasible.

|                               |                                       | <u>State Listing</u> |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Canada Thistle                | <i>Cirsium arvense</i>                | B #                  |
| Dalmation Toadflax            | <i>Linaria genistifolia-dalmatica</i> | B/T #                |
| Diffuse Knapweed              | <i>Centaurea diffusa</i>              | B/T#                 |
| Field Bindweed (Morningglory) | <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>           | B/T #                |
| Perennial Sowthistle          | <i>Sonchus arvensis</i>               |                      |
| Russian Knapweed              | <i>Acroptilon repens</i>              | B #                  |
| Scotch Thistle                | <i>Onopordum acanthium</i>            | B                    |
| Scouring Rush                 | <i>Equisetum laevigatum</i>           |                      |
| Showy Milkweed                | <i>Asclepias speciose</i>             |                      |
| White Top (Hoary Cress)       | <i>Cardaria draba</i>                 | B                    |
| Wild Oat                      | <i>Avena fayua</i>                    |                      |
| Yellow Starthistle**          | <i>Centaurea solstitialis</i>         | B #                  |

\*\* “B” Class east of Scott Canyon to the John Day River.

**“C” CLASS – LOW PRIORITY.** A noxious weed which is wide spread throughout the County and has known economic impacts.

|                              |                                   | <u>State Listing</u> |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Bull Thistle                 | <i>Cirsium vulgare</i>            | B #                  |
| Common Rye                   | <i>Secale cereale</i>             |                      |
| Field Dodder                 | <i>Cuscuta campestris</i>         | B                    |
| Jointed Goatgrass            | <i>Aegilops cylindrical</i>       | B                    |
| Klamath Weed (St. Johnswort) | <i>Hypericum perforatum</i>       | B #                  |
| Little Bur (Bur Buttercup)   | <i>Ranunculus testiculatus</i>    |                      |
| Marestail                    | <i>Contza Canadensis</i>          |                      |
| Medusahead Rye               | <i>Taeniatherum caput-medusae</i> | B                    |
| Perennial Pepperweed         | <i>Lepidium latifolium</i>        | B/T                  |
| Poison Hemlock               | <i>Conium macalatum</i>           | B                    |
| Prickly Lettuce              | <i>Lactuca serriola</i>           |                      |
| Puncturevine                 | <i>Triulus terrestris</i>         | B #                  |
| Quackgrass                   | <i>Elytrigia repens</i>           |                      |
| Russian Thistle              | <i>Salsola iberica</i>            |                      |
| Spiny Cockelbur              | <i>Xanthium spinosum</i>          | B                    |
| Waterhemlock, Western        | <i>Cicuta douglasii</i>           |                      |
| Wavyleaf Thistle             | <i>Cirsium undulatum</i>          |                      |

# Indicates weeds targeted for biocontrol.

**“T” CLASS - TARGETED LIST.** A noxious weed from any Class that the Weed Advisory Board wishes to focus efforts and resources on. This List will be reviewed annually.

Canada Thistle  
Dalmation Toadflax  
Jimsonweed  
Knapweed Complex  
Kochia  
Leafy Spurge  
Rush Skeletonweed  
Scotch Thistle  
Spikeweed  
White top  
Yellow Starthistle

**“Q” CLASS - QUESTIONABLE LIST.** A newly detected weed which may have some importance, but more information is needed to determine its impact on agriculture.

Hairy Willow-Herb

*Epilobium hirsutum*

**“W” CLASS - WATCH LIST.** Any noxious weed that may occur in neighboring counties, the State or similar environments as the County, and could potentially endanger the overall economic well – being of the County. Once detected, these weeds shall be moved to the appropriate List.

|                     |                              | <u>State Listing</u> |
|---------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Blessed Milkthistle | <i>Silybum marianum</i>      | B #                  |
| Camelthorn          | <i>Alhagi pseudalhagi</i>    | A                    |
| Common Crupina      | <i>Crupina vulgaris</i>      | B                    |
| Gorse               | <i>Ulex europaeus</i>        | B/T #                |
| Halogeton           | <i>Halogeton glomeratus</i>  | B                    |
| Iberian Starthistle | <i>Centaurea iberica</i>     | A/T                  |
| Italian Thistle     | <i>Carduus pycnocephalus</i> | B                    |
| Mediterranean sage  | <i>Salvia aethiopis</i>      | B                    |
| Musk Thistle        | <i>Carduus nutans</i>        | B #                  |
| Scotch Broom        | <i>Cytisus scoparius</i>     | B #                  |
| Tansy Ragwort       | <i>Senecio jacobaea</i>      | B/T #                |
| Wild – Proso Millet | <i>Panicum miliaceum</i>     |                      |

This page intentionally left blank.

## **Appendix D. Recommended Treatment and Timing for Noxious Weeds Observed within the Project Area**

This page intentionally left blank

## **Recommended Treatment and Timing for Noxious Weeds Observed within the Project Area**

**Noxious Weed:** Diffuse knapweed (*Centaurea diffusa*)

**Recommended Treatment:** Post-emergent spot application with species-specific herbicide once per year for several years. Control of regrowth and of new seedlings is much better if a competitive crop or sod is established (WSNWCB).

**Noxious Weed:** Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*)

**Recommended Treatment:** Post-emergent spot application with species-specific herbicide once per year.

**Noxious Weed:** Bull thistle (*Cirsium vulgare*)

**Recommended Treatment:** Post-emergent spot application with species-specific herbicide once per year.

**Noxious Weed:** Field bindweed (*Convolvulus arvensis*)

**Recommended Treatment:** Post-emergent spot application with species-specific herbicide. Tilling, repeatedly over 1 to 5 years. Herbicide timings vary; mechanical control (tilling) most effective 8-12 days after emergence (Ditomaso et al., 2013).

**Noxious Weed:** Scotch thistle (*Onopordum acanthium*)

**Recommended Treatment:** Post-emergent spot application with species-specific herbicide once per year.

**Noxious Weed:** Medusahead (*Teaniatherum caput-medusae*)

**Recommended Treatment:** Revegetation site-wide and herbicide application in dominant areas. Species likely too widespread to be eradicated.

**Noxious Weed:** Common mullein (*Verbascum thapsus*)

**Recommended Treatment:** Hand-pulling recommended; wooly leaves do not absorb herbicide easily such that mechanical treatments become more efficient. Complete prior to seed production (control in May-June)(UNL 2021).

This page intentionally left blank