REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND APPLICATION
EVALUATION CRITERIA SCORING MATRIX

Each RS Team should complete only one Scoring Matrix per application within their region.

Applicant (Sec A):	**Grant County**	Region Name:	**Greater Eastern Oregon**
Project Name (Sec D):	**Apron Reconstruction and Fuel Farm Relocation**	Min-Max Funds Requested (Qu 3):	$ **405,812** to $ **405,812**

Eligible projects receiving the highest ranking are those that address regional priorities, are ready to proceed immediately, technically and financially feasible, cost-efficient, not better suited for other state funding, have strong community support, and have project management capacity.

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
Sec A. The applicant is an eligible entity. *(Eligible entity is local government, e.g., city or county authority, entity organized under state statute or city or county charter, council of government, port, or public school. Note: Other entities may receive funds as a final beneficiary, but a local government sponsor must be the applicant. If not eligible, do not complete the remainder of the evaluation. RDO should immediately contact the applicant regarding reason for ineligibility. If deadline has not passed, they may submit a revised application.)*	○	●	○	○
Notes / Justification: Grant County is the applicant which is an eligible entity.				
Pg 3. The project addresses one or more adopted Regional Solutions priorities.	○	●	○	○
Notes / Justification: The applicant chose 1 regional priority which the team agreed with. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.				
Qu 1. The project is consistent with the Department's strategic plan priorities.	○	○	●	○
Notes / Justification: The applicant chose 4 strategic plan priorities in which the team agreed with 2. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.				

Grant County	Greater Eastern Oregon
Apron Reconstruction and Fuel Farm Relocation	$ **405,812** to $ **405,812**

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
Qu 2. The project helps meet the sustainable community objectives as noted in ORS 184.423(2).	○	●	○	○
Notes / Justification: The team made a decision that if any of the sustainable community objectives were selected and we agreed with them, the project would get an A				
Sec E-F. The need for the project is clearly identified and it is clear how the project would address the identified need.	○	○	●	○
Notes / Justification: The need for the project is clear, however, the project is not scalable and therefore could not take reduced funding.				
Qu 4. The project has demonstrated community support and letters of support were included with the application.	○	○	○	●
Notes / Justification: There are multiple letters of support including from private industry.				
Qu 6. The project results in the retention / creation of long-term jobs in the region directly impacted by the project, and/or the project removes impediments to job retention / creation in the same region. *(If the project advances to the Grant & Loan Review Committee, supplemental information may be requested for this section.)*	●	○	○	○
Notes / Justification: The project would not create or retain any jobs.				
Sec G / Qu 7 / Sec K. The project is ready to proceed and the entity has identified all applicable and required permits within the project schedule.	○	○	●	○
Notes / Justification: The project appears ready to proceed.				

Grant County	Greater Eastern Oregon
Apron Reconstruction and Fuel Farm Relocation	$ **405,812** to $ **405,812**

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
Sec H. The RIF is the best source of State of Oregon funding for the project.	○	●	○	○
Notes / Justification: The best source of funding would actually be the Connect Oregon project, however, given that Connect Oregon is already committed for the next few years. Additionally, this is not a phased project.				
Sec I. The entity has committed funding contributions from other public, private, or philanthropic resources, to help complete the project.	○	○	○	●
Notes / Justification: The applicant already has committed state funding from COAR and is submitting an FAA application.				
Qu 8-11. The project has a sustainable business plan and does not require or rely upon continuing subsidies from the Department for ongoing operations.	○	○	○	●
Comments: The airport operating budget would be responsible for ongoing operations.				

Overall Evaluation			
Not Qualified	Acceptable	Strong	Outstanding
1	**4**	**3**	**3**
Comments:			