REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND APPLICATION
EVALUATION CRITERIA SCORING MATRIX

Each RS Team should complete only one Scoring Matrix per application within their region.

Applicant (Sec A):	**City of Pendleton**	Region Name:	**Greater Eastern**
Project Name (Sec D):	**UAS Test Range Hangar**	Min-Max Funds Requested (Qu 3): $ **200,000** to $ **300,000**	

Eligible projects receiving the highest ranking are those that address regional priorities, are ready to proceed immediately, technically and financially feasible, cost-efficient, not better suited for other state funding, have strong community support, and have project management capacity.

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
Sec A. The applicant is an eligible entity. *(Eligible entity is local government, e.g., city or county authority, entity organized under state statute or city or county charter, council of government, port, or public school. Note: Other entities may receive funds as a final beneficiary, but a local government sponsor must be the applicant. If not eligible, do not complete the remainder of the evaluation. RDO should immediately contact the applicant regarding reason for ineligibility. If deadline has not passed, they may submit a revised application.)*	○	●	○	○
Notes / Justification: The city of Pendleton is the applicant which is an eligible entity.				
Pg 3. The project addresses one or more adopted Regional Solutions priorities.	○	○	●	○
Notes / Justification: The applicant chose 3 regional priorities which the team agreed upon. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.				
Qu 1. The project is consistent with the Department's strategic plan priorities.	○	○	●	○
Notes / Justification: The applicant chose 3 regional priorities which the team agreed upon. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.				

City of Pendleton	Greater Eastern
UAS Test Range Hangar	$ 200,000 to $ 300,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
Qu 2. The project helps meet the sustainable community objectives as noted in ORS 184.423(2).	○	●	○	○
Notes / Justification: The team made a decision that if any of the sustainable community objectives were selected and we agreed with them, the project would get an A.				
Sec E-F. The need for the project is clearly identified and it is clear how the project would address the identified need.	○	○	○	●
Notes / Justification: The need is clearly identified and represents and exciting opportunity for the region to expand an innovative and growing industry.				
Qu 4. The project has demonstrated community support and letters of support were included with the application.	○	○	○	●
Notes / Justification: The project has significant community support and shows the leverage opportunities from federal funds.				
Qu 6. The project results in the retention / creation of long-term jobs in the region directly impacted by the project, and/or the project removes impediments to job retention / creation in the same region. *(If the project advances to the Grant & Loan Review Committee, supplemental information may be requested for this section.)*	○	○	○	●
Notes / Justification: The project represents an opportunity for direct job creation from new companies leasing space at the Pendleton airport.				
Sec G / Qu 7 / Sec K. The project is ready to proceed and the entity has identified all applicable and required permits within the project schedule.	○	○	●	○
Notes / Justification: Permits are pending but it appears the project is ready to proceed.				

City of Pendleton	Greater Eastern
UAS Test Range Hangar	$ **200,000** to $ **300,000**

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
Sec H. The RIF is the best source of State of Oregon funding for the project.	○	○	●	○
Notes / Justification: While Business Oregon may have some funding opportunities for this project, this project represents a good use of leverage of other state funds in the past and future federal funding.				
Sec I. The entity has committed funding contributions from other public, private, or philanthropic resources, to help complete the project.	○	○	●	○
Notes / Justification: Level 2 of EDA funding process is a very good spot for the applicant to be.				
Qu 8-11. The project has a sustainable business plan and does not require or rely upon continuing subsidies from the Department for ongoing operations.	○	○	●	○
Comments: The ongoing cost of operations would be covered by lease holders of which many companies are interested.				

Overall Evaluation			
Not Qualified	Acceptable	Strong	Outstanding
	2	**6**	**3**
Comments:			