REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND APPLICATION
EVALUATION CRITERIA SCORING MATRIX

Each RS Team should complete only one Scoring Matrix per application within their region.

Applicant (Sec A):	**City of Hines**	Region Name:	**Greater Eastern Oregon**
Project Name (Sec D):	**Hines SMP Lift Station Replacement**	Min-Max Funds Requested (Qu 3):	$ **150,000** to $ **200,000**

Eligible projects receiving the highest ranking are those that address regional priorities, are ready to proceed immediately, technically and financially feasible, cost-efficient, not better suited for other state funding, have strong community support, and have project management capacity.

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
Sec A. The applicant is an eligible entity. *(Eligible entity is local government, e.g., city or county authority, entity organized under state statute or city or county charter, council of government, port, or public school. Note: Other entities may receive funds as a final beneficiary, but a local government sponsor must be the applicant. If not eligible, do not complete the remainder of the evaluation. RDO should immediately contact the applicant regarding reason for ineligibility. If deadline has not passed, they may submit a revised application.)*	○	●	○	○
Notes / Justification: The City of Hines is the applicant which is an eligible entity.				
Pg 3. The project addresses one or more adopted Regional Solutions priorities.	○	○	●	○
Notes / Justification: The applicant chose 2 of the regional priorities which the team agreed with. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.				
Qu 1. The project is consistent with the Department's strategic plan priorities.	○	○	●	○
Notes / Justification: The applicant chose 2 of the strategic plan priorities which the team agreed with. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.				

City of Hines	Greater Eastern Oregon
Hines SMP Lift Station Replacement	$ 150,000 to $ 200,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
Qu 2. The project helps meet the sustainable community objectives as noted in ORS 184.423(2).	○	●	○	○
Notes / Justification: The team made a decision that if any of the sustainable community objectives were selected and we agreed with them, the project would get an A.				
Sec E-F. The need for the project is clearly identified and it is clear how the project would address the identified need.	○	●	○	○
Notes / Justification: The need for the project is clearly identified, however, this is the type of infrastructure investment that many other communities are in need of and are funding through loans.				
Qu 4. The project has demonstrated community support and letters of support were included with the application.	○	○	●	○
Notes / Justification: The application includes letters of support however, no private businesses included letters.				
Qu 6. The project results in the retention / creation of long-term jobs in the region directly impacted by the project, and/or the project removes impediments to job retention / creation in the same region. *(If the project advances to the Grant & Loan Review Committee, supplemental information may be requested for this section.)*	●	○	○	○
Notes / Justification: The project does not create or retain any long-term jobs.				
Sec G / Qu 7 / Sec K. The project is ready to proceed and the entity has identified all applicable and required permits within the project schedule.	○	○	●	○
Notes / Justification: The project appears ready to proceed.				

City of Hines	Greater Eastern Oregon
Hines SMP Lift Station Replacement	$ 150,000 to $ 200,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
Sec H. The RIF is the best source of State of Oregon funding for the project.	○	○	●	○
Notes / Justification: This project could be funded w/ loans through other state & federal funding sources, however, the City has already taken out multiple loans on infrastructure and grant sources would be helpful.				
Sec I. The entity has committed funding contributions from other public, private, or philanthropic resources, to help complete the project.	●	○	○	○
Notes / Justification: The city has no other committed funding sources.				
Qu 8-11. The project has a sustainable business plan and does not require or rely upon continuing subsidies from the Department for ongoing operations.	○	○	○	●
Comments: The city would take over ongoing operations costs through sewer fund.				

Overall Evaluation			
Not Qualified	Acceptable	Strong	Outstanding
2	**3**	**5**	**1**
Comments:			