



**REGIONAL SOLUTIONS OFFICE
GOVERNOR KATE BROWN**

**Greater Eastern Oregon Regional Solutions
Advisory Committee Meeting**

Representing Wheeler, Gilliam, Morrow, Umatilla, Grant, Harney, and Malheur Counties

**Thursday, July 12, 2018
10:00 am- 12:00 pm**

**Grant County Regional Airport
Conference Room
72000 Airport Road
John Day, OR**

Call-in is available at: 877-411-9748, participant code: 1220301 #

- | | |
|----------|--|
| 10:00 am | Welcome and introductions – Cam Preus, Convener |
| 10:05 am | Approval of minutes |
| 10:08 am | Public Comment - all |
| 10:10 am | Review spreadsheet and matrix for all applications |
| 10:30 am | Discussion of applications and scoring matrix; public comment |
| 11:45 am | Finalization of prioritization for Grant & Loan Review Committee |
| 12:00 pm | Adjourn |

The meeting location is accessible to persons with disabilities. To request an interpreter for the hearing impaired or for other accommodations for persons with disabilities, please make requests at least 48 hours before the meeting to Lisa Howard at 503-378-6502 or lisa.howard@oregon.gov, or by TTY: Oregon Relay Services at 1-800-735-2900.



**REGIONAL SOLUTIONS OFFICE
GOVERNOR KATE BROWN**

**Greater Eastern Oregon Regional Solutions
Advisory Committee Meeting**

Representing Wheeler, Gilliam, Morrow, Umatilla, Grant, Harney, and Malheur Counties

**Friday, June 8, 2018
10:00 am- 12:00 pm**

**Port of Morrow
Riverfront Center
Sand Hollow Room
2 Marine Drive
Boardman, OR**

Minutes of the Meeting

10:00 am Welcome and introductions – Cam Preus, Convener

Cam Preus called the meeting to order and welcomed new committee member Bill Tovey from the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation to the committee. Then everyone went around and provided introductions both on the phone and in person. Steve Shaffer then moved to approve the minutes from the previous meeting. Peter Mitchell seconded the motion and the motion was approved unanimously.

10:05 am Public Comment - all

There was no public testimony.

10:06 am Discuss criteria for Regional Infrastructure Fund responses

Courtney Warner Crowell, Regional Solutions Coordinator, reviewed the criteria for the Regional Infrastructure Fund applications and explained the process that the advisory committee will go through at today's meeting and at the July meeting. Courtney then explained the process that the Regional Solutions team went through to develop the scoring on the matrix's that were provided to the advisory committee members and feedback that the Governor's office has received from legislators about the program and the type of projects they are looking for from the regions.

10:15 am Regional Infrastructure Fund applicant presentations (5 min presentation, 5 min Q&A)

Each of the nine projects presented for 5 min each followed by 5 minutes of Q&A by the committee. This was the line-up for the presentations.

10:15 am-City of Pendleton



**REGIONAL SOLUTIONS OFFICE
GOVERNOR KATE BROWN**

10:25 am- City of Umatilla

10:35 am- City of Arlington

10:45 am- Port of Morrow

10:55 am- City of Hines

11:05 am- City of Condon

11:15 am- City of Hermiston

11:25 am- Grant County

11:35 am- City of Milton-Freewater

- Public Comment- there was no public comment

11:45 am **Discussion of applications and scoring matrix**

The committee had a brief discussion of the applications and the additional information that the staff agreed to put together for the next meeting in July where the committee will be making their priority recommendations. Steve Shaffer underscored the importance to the committee members to talk with legislators about the amount of time and energy that is put into these applications and decision making and how frustrated the committee members and local leaders are with the lack of decision-making from the local level and the small amount of money that is allocated to this funding source.

12:00 pm Cam Preus adjourned the meeting.



REGIONAL SOLUTIONS OFFICE
GOVERNOR KATE BROWN

Meeting Attendance

Advisory Committee Members in Attendance

Pete Runnels, Harney County Judge
Melissa Lindsay, Morrow County Commissioner
Rick Minster, Grant County
Don Rice, Greenwood Resources
Bill Tovey, CTUIR
Peter Mitchell, Port of Arlington
Cam Preus, BMCC
Steve Shaffer, Gilliam County Judge
Bob Levy, Windy River Farms
Fred Flippence, Harney Electric Co-Op
Tim Mabry, Oregon Community Foundation

Regional Solutions Team Members

Courtney Warner Crowell, Governor's Office
Linda Hayes-Gorman- DEQ
Melisa Drugge, Business Oregon
Shanna Bailey, Business Oregon
Scott Fairley, Business Oregon

Visitors

Peggy Lynch, League of Women Voters
Tamra Mabbot, City of Umatilla
Russ Pelleberg, City of Umatilla
Robb Corbett, City of Pendleton
Steve Chrisman, City of Pendleton
Miff Devin, Port of Morrow
Kathryn Greiner, City of Condon
Judy Erwin, City of Hines
Haley Walker, Grant County Airport
Kevin, Grant County Airport
Mike Watkins, City of Milton-Freewater
Laurel Sweeney, City of Milton-Freewater
Ned Morris, with City of Milton-Freewater folks



REGIONAL SOLUTIONS

Greater Eastern Priorities Adopted October 11, 2017

The following priorities are all related and implementation of each is required to advance the regional economy.

Workforce housing

Increase housing availability across types and values and address housing infrastructure needs.

Workforce availability

Increase regional workforce availability to meet current and future industry needs.

Support for current and emerging businesses

Support for traded sector, small business, and entrepreneurial job growth and retention.

Natural resources utilization

Support forestry, agriculture, mining, and recreation sectors.

Water management and development

Secure agricultural, industrial, and municipal water supply.

Competitive Communities

Access to community amenities (bike/ped facilities, broadband, and other amenities) to attract growth, development, and workforce.

Infrastructure for marketable industrial lands

Increase availability of sewer, water, roads, and other investments to be competitive in attracting business development opportunities.

Metro Regional Infrastructure Fund (RIF) Submission Summary (2017)

Project Name and Description	Funding Request	Regional Solutions Priorities	Community Support	Project Readiness/Timeliness	Job Retention/Creation	Other Potential Resources
<p>City of Pendleton- UAS Test Range Hangar</p>	<p>\$200,000 to \$300,000</p>	<p>1. Support for current and emerging business, 2. Infrastructure for industrial land</p>	<p>Letters from: Umatilla County, A3 by Airbus, Senators Wyden and Merkley</p>	<p>Ready to proceed</p>	<p>Direct jobs could be created</p>	<p>Currently in Level 2 of EDA funding process for \$3 million grant. SPWF could provide loan to city. Since the advisory committee meeting, the City has begun the process of applying for SPWF funds for another component of the UAS Test Range that is separate from this project.</p>
<p>City of Umatilla- Class A Water Filter System for Irrigation</p>	<p>\$100,000 to \$400,000</p>	<p>1. Water management and development, 2. Infrastructure for industrial lands</p>	<p>Letters from: City of Umatilla, Port of Umatilla, Oregon Water Coalition</p>	<p>Ready to proceed pending an Army Corps of Engineers permit</p>	<p>Unclear that this would directly create any jobs</p>	<p>Number of water infrastructure loan options through Business Oregon and DEQ. Oregon Water Resources Department also has grant opportunities.</p>
<p>City of Arlington- Airport runway paving</p>	<p>\$100,000</p>	<p>1. Support for current and emerging business, 2. Infrastructure for industrial land, 3. Competitive Communities</p>	<p>Letters from: Institu, Waste Management, Gilliam County</p>	<p>The project is ready to proceed with planning work</p>	<p>Unable to verify if job numbers included in application are direct or aspirational</p>	<p>Best source of funding is Connect Oregon, however, that funding won't be available for a few years. They could apply for SPWF through Business Oregon as well.</p>
<p>Port of Morrow- Artificial Recharge Project</p>	<p>\$200,000</p>			<p>Project is ready to proceed and has all the necessary permits</p>	<p>Application does not include a number of direct jobs created or retained</p>	<p>Number of water infrastructure loan options through Business Oregon and DEQ. Oregon Water Resources Department also has grant opportunities.</p>

Metro Regional Infrastructure Fund (RIF) Submission Summary (2017)

Project Name and Description	Funding Request	Regional Solutions Priorities	Community Support	Project Readiness/Timeliness	Job Retention/Creation	Other Potential Resources
City of Hines- SMP Lift Station Replacement	\$150,000 to \$200,000	1. Support for current and emerging business, 2. Infrastructure for industrial land	Letters from: Harney County Economic Development, Harney County, City of Burns	Project is ready to proceed	Jobs could be retained- waiting on verification	Could be funded with loans through the state and federal funding sources.
City of Condon- Carrier neutral communication shelter	\$100,000	1. Competitive Communities	Letters from: Condon Chamber of Commerce, Pioneer Community Development Corporation, Columbia Basin Co-Op	Project is ready to proceed	Application claims the project would create 2 direct jobs	Could be funded through state loans.
City of Hermiston- Water Storage Expansion	\$224,000 - said they could take \$200,000	1. Workforce Housing, 2. Workforce availability, 3. Support for current and emerging business, 4. Water management and development, 5. Competitive Communities	Letters from: Columbia Development Authority, Hermiston Chamber, Lamb Weston	Project is ready to proceed	Would create jobs and retain, however unable to verify the exact number	Project is already getting state loans through Business Oregon for this project. They have been awarded the full project amount.
Grant County- Airport apron reconstruction and fuel relocation	\$405,812- said they could ask Grant County for loan if they get a lower amount	1. Competitive Communities	Letters from: Hangar owner, Grant County, CO Fire	Project is ready to proceed	Would not create direct jobs	Best source of funding is Connect Oregon, however, that funding won't be available for a few years. A portion of the project could be eligible for SPWF.
City of Milton-Freewater- Wine Production Facility	\$200,000 to \$1,000,000	1. Support for current and emerging business, 2. Natural Resource utilization, 3. Competitive Communities	Letters from: Sen. Bill Hansell and Rep. Greg Smith, Willamette Valley Vineyards	Unclear what permits would be needed, would be done during planning phase	Direct jobs through facility and through the wine industry	It's possible that they could apply for SPWF, but they would have to own and operate the facility for the life of the loan. City has indicated that while they are supportive assisting in getting this project off the ground, they don't want to be in the wine industry.

REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA SCORING MATRIX

Each RS Team should complete only one Scoring Matrix per application within their region.

Applicant (Sec A):	City of Pendleton	Region Name:	Greater Eastern
Project Name (Sec D):	UAS Test Range Hangar	Min-Max Funds Requested (Qu 3):	\$ 200,000 to \$ 300,000

Eligible projects receiving the highest ranking are those that address regional priorities, are ready to proceed immediately, technically and financially feasible, cost-efficient, not better suited for other state funding, have strong community support, and have project management capacity.

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Sec A. The applicant is an eligible entity. <i>(Eligible entity is local government, e.g., city or county authority, entity organized under state statute or city or county charter, council of government, port, or public school. Note: Other entities may receive funds as a final beneficiary, but a local government sponsor must be the applicant. If not eligible, do not complete the remainder of the evaluation. RDO should immediately contact the applicant regarding reason for ineligibility. If deadline has not passed, they may submit a revised application.)</i></p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Notes / Justification: The city of Pendleton is the applicant which is an eligible entity.</p>				
<p>Pg 3. The project addresses one or more adopted Regional Solutions priorities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Notes / Justification: The applicant chose 3 regional priorities which the team agreed upon. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.</p>				
<p>Qu 1. The project is consistent with the Department's strategic plan priorities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Notes / Justification: The applicant chose 3 regional priorities which the team agreed upon. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.</p>				

City of Pendleton	Greater Eastern
UAS Test Range Hangar	\$ 200,000 to \$ 300,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Qu 2. The project helps meet the sustainable community objectives as noted in ORS 184.423(2).</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The team made a decision that if any of the sustainable community objectives were selected and we agreed with them, the project would get an A.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Sec E-F. The need for the project is clearly identified and it is clear how the project would address the identified need.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The need is clearly identified and represents an exciting opportunity for the region to expand an innovative and growing industry.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 4. The project has demonstrated community support and letters of support were included with the application.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The project has significant community support and shows the leverage opportunities from federal funds.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 6. The project results in the retention / creation of long-term jobs in the region directly impacted by the project, and/or the project removes impediments to job retention / creation in the same region. (If the project advances to the Grant & Loan Review Committee, supplemental information may be requested for this section.)</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The project represents an opportunity for direct job creation from new companies leasing space at the Pendleton airport.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
<p>Sec G / Qu 7 / Sec K. The project is ready to proceed and the entity has identified all applicable and required permits within the project schedule.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: Permits are pending but it appears the project is ready to proceed.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

City of Pendleton	Greater Eastern
UAS Test Range Hangar	\$ 200,000 to \$ 300,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
Sec H. The RIF is the best source of State of Oregon funding for the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: While Business Oregon may have some funding opportunities for this project, this project represents a good use of leverage of other state funds in the past and future federal funding.				
Sec I. The entity has committed funding contributions from other public, private, or philanthropic resources, to help complete the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: Level 2 of EDA funding process is a very good spot for the applicant to be.				
Qu 8-11. The project has a sustainable business plan and does not require or rely upon continuing subsidies from the Department for ongoing operations.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Comments: The ongoing cost of operations would be covered by lease holders of which many companies are interested.				

Overall Evaluation			
Not Qualified	Acceptable	Strong	Outstanding
	2	6	3
Comments:			

REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA SCORING MATRIX

Each RS Team should complete only one Scoring Matrix per application within their region.

Applicant (Sec A):	City of Umatilla	Region Name:	Greater Eastern
Project Name (Sec D):	Class A Water Filter System for Irrigation	Min-Max Funds Requested (Qu 3):	\$ 100,000 to \$ 400,000

Eligible projects receiving the highest ranking are those that address regional priorities, are ready to proceed immediately, technically and financially feasible, cost-efficient, not better suited for other state funding, have strong community support, and have project management capacity.

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Sec A. The applicant is an eligible entity. <i>(Eligible entity is local government, e.g., city or county authority, entity organized under state statute or city or county charter, council of government, port, or public school. Note: Other entities may receive funds as a final beneficiary, but a local government sponsor must be the applicant. If not eligible, do not complete the remainder of the evaluation. RDO should immediately contact the applicant regarding reason for ineligibility. If deadline has not passed, they may submit a revised application.)</i></p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The City of Umatilla is the applicant which is an eligible applicant.				
<p>Pg 3. The project addresses one or more adopted Regional Solutions priorities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The applicant selected 2 of the regional priorities which the team agreed with. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.				
<p>Qu 1. The project is consistent with the Department's strategic plan priorities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The applicant chose 2 of the strategic plan priorities of which the team agreed with 1 of them. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.				

City of Umatilla	Greater Eastern
Class A Water Filter System for Irrigation	\$ 100,000 to \$ 400,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Qu 2. The project helps meet the sustainable community objectives as noted in ORS 184.423(2).</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The team made a decision that if any of the sustainable community objectives were selected and we agreed with them, the project would get an A.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Sec E-F. The need for the project is clearly identified and it is clear how the project would address the identified need.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The project was clear in explaining the need.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 4. The project has demonstrated community support and letters of support were included with the application.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The project includes 4 letters of support, however, there are no private companies that were included.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 6. The project results in the retention / creation of long-term jobs in the region directly impacted by the project, and/or the project removes impediments to job retention / creation in the same region. (If the project advances to the Grant & Loan Review Committee, supplemental information may be requested for this section.)</p> <p>Notes / Justification: It is unclear that this project would directly create any jobs.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Sec G / Qu 7 / Sec K. The project is ready to proceed and the entity has identified all applicable and required permits within the project schedule.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The project appears ready to proceed pending an Army Corps of Engineers permit.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

City of Umatilla	Greater Eastern
Class A Water Filter System for Irrigation	\$ 100,000 to \$ 400,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
Sec H. The RIF is the best source of State of Oregon funding for the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: There are a number of other water infrastructure loan options through Business Oregon and DEQ and Water Resources Department also has grant opportunities.				
Sec I. The entity has committed funding contributions from other public, private, or philanthropic resources, to help complete the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: They have funding committed from other resources.				
Qu 8-11. The project has a sustainable business plan and does not require or rely upon continuing subsidies from the Department for ongoing operations.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Comments: The city would take on the ongoing operations of the project.				

Overall Evaluation			
Not Qualified	Acceptable	Strong	Outstanding
0	5	5	1
Comments:			

REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA SCORING MATRIX

Each RS Team should complete only one Scoring Matrix per application within their region.

Applicant (Sec A): City of Arlington	Region Name: Greater Eastern Oregon
Project Name (Sec D): Runway 6-24 Engineering for Paving and Parking Apron - Phase 1	Min-Max Funds Requested (Qu 3): \$ 100,000 to \$ 100,000

Eligible projects receiving the highest ranking are those that address regional priorities, are ready to proceed immediately, technically and financially feasible, cost-efficient, not better suited for other state funding, have strong community support, and have project management capacity.

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Sec A. The applicant is an eligible entity. <i>(Eligible entity is local government, e.g., city or county authority, entity organized under state statute or city or county charter, council of government, port, or public school. Note: Other entities may receive funds as a final beneficiary, but a local government sponsor must be the applicant. If not eligible, do not complete the remainder of the evaluation. RDO should immediately contact the applicant regarding reason for ineligibility. If deadline has not passed, they may submit a revised application.)</i></p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The applicant is the City of Arlington which is an acceptable applicant.				
<p>Pg 3. The project addresses one or more adopted Regional Solutions priorities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The City selected 3 regional priorities which the team agreed with. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.				
<p>Qu 1. The project is consistent with the Department's strategic plan priorities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The city selected all 5 strategic plan priorities which the team agreed with 3. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.				

City of Arlington	Greater Eastern Oregon
Runway 6-24 Engineering for Paving and Parking Apron - Phase 1	\$ 100,000 to \$ 100,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Qu 2. The project helps meet the sustainable community objectives as noted in ORS 184.423(2).</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The team made a decision that if any of the sustainable community objectives were selected and we agreed with them, the project would get an A.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Sec E-F. The need for the project is clearly identified and it is clear how the project would address the identified need.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The project clearly identifies the need and how this planning work would help get to the goal.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 4. The project has demonstrated community support and letters of support were included with the application.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The applicant has multiple letters of support including from private businesses.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 6. The project results in the retention / creation of long-term jobs in the region directly impacted by the project, and/or the project removes impediments to job retention / creation in the same region. (If the project advances to the Grant & Loan Review Committee, supplemental information may be requested for this section.)</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The applicant claims that 45 direct and 500 jobs retained, however, the team is unable to verify if this is accurate or aspirational.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Sec G / Qu 7 / Sec K. The project is ready to proceed and the entity has identified all applicable and required permits within the project schedule.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The project is ready to proceed with planning work.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

City of Arlington	Greater Eastern Oregon
Runway 6-24 Engineering for Paving and Parking Apron - Phase 1	\$ 100,000 to \$ 100,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
Sec H. The RIF is the best source of State of Oregon funding for the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The best source of funding for this project would actually be the Connect Oregon project, however, given that Connect Oregon is already committed for the next few years.				
Sec I. The entity has committed funding contributions from other public, private, or philanthropic resources, to help complete the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: They have \$15,000 committed from Port of Arlington.				
Qu 8-11. The project has a sustainable business plan and does not require or rely upon continuing subsidies from the Department for ongoing operations.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Comments: City of Arlington would pay for the ongoing operations. This project would be a one and one planning project.				

Overall Evaluation			
Not Qualified	Acceptable	Strong	Outstanding
	4	5	2
Comments:			

REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA SCORING MATRIX

Each RS Team should complete only one Scoring Matrix per application within their region.

Applicant (Sec A):	Port of Morrow	Region Name: Greater Eastern Oregon
Project Name (Sec D):	Port of Morrow Artificial Recharge Project	Min-Max Funds Requested (Qu 3): \$ 200,000 to \$ 200,000

Eligible projects receiving the highest ranking are those that address regional priorities, are ready to proceed immediately, technically and financially feasible, cost-efficient, not better suited for other state funding, have strong community support, and have project management capacity.

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Sec A. The applicant is an eligible entity. <i>(Eligible entity is local government, e.g., city or county authority, entity organized under state statute or city or county charter, council of government, port, or public school. Note: Other entities may receive funds as a final beneficiary, but a local government sponsor must be the applicant. If not eligible, do not complete the remainder of the evaluation. RDO should immediately contact the applicant regarding reason for ineligibility. If deadline has not passed, they may submit a revised application.)</i></p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The Port of Morrow is the applicant which is an acceptable entity.				
<p>Pg 3. The project addresses one or more adopted Regional Solutions priorities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.				
<p>Qu 1. The project is consistent with the Department's strategic plan priorities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The applicant chose 2 of the strategic plans which the team agreed upon. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.				

Port of Morrow	Greater Eastern Oregon
Port of Morrow Artificial Recharge Project	\$ 200,000 to \$ 200,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Qu 2. The project helps meet the sustainable community objectives as noted in ORS 184.423(2).</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The team made a decision that if any of the sustainable community objectives were selected and we agreed with them, the project would get an A.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Sec E-F. The need for the project is clearly identified and it is clear how the project would address the identified need.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The need is clearly identified.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 4. The project has demonstrated community support and letters of support were included with the application.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The applicant did not include any letters of support in the application.</p>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 6. The project results in the retention / creation of long-term jobs in the region directly impacted by the project, and/or the project removes impediments to job retention / creation in the same region. (If the project advances to the Grant & Loan Review Committee, supplemental information may be requested for this section.)</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The application does not include a number of direct jobs to be created or retained, however, there is significant job growth occurring at the Port of Morrow so it is likely this would help with growth.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Sec G / Qu 7 / Sec K. The project is ready to proceed and the entity has identified all applicable and required permits within the project schedule.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The project has all the necessary permits and is ready to proceed.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Port of Morrow	Greater Eastern Oregon
Port of Morrow Artificial Recharge Project	\$ 200,000 to \$ 200,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Sec H. The RIF is the best source of State of Oregon funding for the project.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: There are a number of other water infrastructure loan options through Business Oregon and DEQ and Water Resources Department also has grant opportunities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Sec I. The entity has committed funding contributions from other public, private, or philanthropic resources, to help complete the project.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The project has committed funding from the Port of Morrow.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 8-11. The project has a sustainable business plan and does not require or rely upon continuing subsidies from the Department for ongoing operations.</p> <p>Comments: The ongoing operations will be covered by the Port of Morrow.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Overall Evaluation			
Not Qualified	Acceptable	Strong	Outstanding
1	4	3	3
<p>Comments:</p>			

REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA SCORING MATRIX

Each RS Team should complete only one Scoring Matrix per application within their region.

Applicant (Sec A):	City of Hines	Region Name: Greater Eastern Oregon
Project Name (Sec D):	Hines SMP Lift Station Replacement	Min-Max Funds Requested (Qu 3): \$ 150,000 to \$ 200,000

Eligible projects receiving the highest ranking are those that address regional priorities, are ready to proceed immediately, technically and financially feasible, cost-efficient, not better suited for other state funding, have strong community support, and have project management capacity.

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Sec A. The applicant is an eligible entity. <i>(Eligible entity is local government, e.g., city or county authority, entity organized under state statute or city or county charter, council of government, port, or public school. Note: Other entities may receive funds as a final beneficiary, but a local government sponsor must be the applicant. If not eligible, do not complete the remainder of the evaluation. RDO should immediately contact the applicant regarding reason for ineligibility. If deadline has not passed, they may submit a revised application.)</i></p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Notes / Justification: The City of Hines is the applicant which is an eligible entity.</p>				
<p>Pg 3. The project addresses one or more adopted Regional Solutions priorities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Notes / Justification: The applicant chose 2 of the regional priorities which the team agreed with. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.</p>				
<p>Qu 1. The project is consistent with the Department's strategic plan priorities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Notes / Justification: The applicant chose 2 of the strategic plan priorities which the team agreed with. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.</p>				

City of Hines	Greater Eastern Oregon
Hines SMP Lift Station Replacement	\$ 150,000 to \$ 200,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Qu 2. The project helps meet the sustainable community objectives as noted in ORS 184.423(2).</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The team made a decision that if any of the sustainable community objectives were selected and we agreed with them, the project would get an A.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Sec E-F. The need for the project is clearly identified and it is clear how the project would address the identified need.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The need for the project is clearly identified, however, this is the type of infrastructure investment that many other communities are in need of and are funding through loans.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 4. The project has demonstrated community support and letters of support were included with the application.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The application includes letters of support however, no private businesses included letters.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 6. The project results in the retention / creation of long-term jobs in the region directly impacted by the project, and/or the project removes impediments to job retention / creation in the same region. (If the project advances to the Grant & Loan Review Committee, supplemental information may be requested for this section.)</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The applicant is working to get verification on the number of jobs retained by this project.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Sec G / Qu 7 / Sec K. The project is ready to proceed and the entity has identified all applicable and required permits within the project schedule.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The project appears ready to proceed.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

City of Hines	Greater Eastern Oregon
Hines SMP Lift Station Replacement	\$ 150,000 to \$ 200,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Sec H. The RIF is the best source of State of Oregon funding for the project.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: This project could be funded w/ loans through other state & federal funding sources, however, the City has already taken out multiple loans on infrastructure and grant sources would be helpful.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Sec I. The entity has committed funding contributions from other public, private, or philanthropic resources, to help complete the project.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The city has no other committed funding sources.</p>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 8-11. The project has a sustainable business plan and does not require or rely upon continuing subsidies from the Department for ongoing operations.</p> <p>Comments: The city would take over ongoing operations costs through sewer fund.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Overall Evaluation			
Not Qualified	Acceptable	Strong	Outstanding
1	3	6	1
<p>Comments:</p>			

REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA SCORING MATRIX

Each RS Team should complete only one Scoring Matrix per application within their region.

Applicant (Sec A): City of Condon	Region Name: Greater Eastern Oregon
Project Name (Sec D): Carrier Neutral Communication Shelter - Fiber	Min-Max Funds Requested (Qu 3): \$ 100,000 to \$ 100,000

Eligible projects receiving the highest ranking are those that address regional priorities, are ready to proceed immediately, technically and financially feasible, cost-efficient, not better suited for other state funding, have strong community support, and have project management capacity.

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Sec A. The applicant is an eligible entity. <i>(Eligible entity is local government, e.g., city or county authority, entity organized under state statute or city or county charter, council of government, port, or public school. Note: Other entities may receive funds as a final beneficiary, but a local government sponsor must be the applicant. If not eligible, do not complete the remainder of the evaluation. RDO should immediately contact the applicant regarding reason for ineligibility. If deadline has not passed, they may submit a revised application.)</i></p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Notes / Justification: City of Condon is applicant which is an acceptable entity.</p>				
<p>Pg 3. The project addresses one or more adopted Regional Solutions priorities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Notes / Justification: The applicant selected Competitive Communities which is a regional priority. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.</p>				
<p>Qu 1. The project is consistent with the Department's strategic plan priorities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Notes / Justification: The applicant selected cultivate rural economic stability which the team agreed with. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.</p>				

City of Condon	Greater Eastern Oregon
Carrier Neutral Communication Shelter - Fiber	\$ 100,000 to \$ 100,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Qu 2. The project helps meet the sustainable community objectives as noted in ORS 184.423(2).</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The team made a decision that if any of the sustainable community objectives were selected and we agreed with them, the project would get an A.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Sec E-F. The need for the project is clearly identified and it is clear how the project would address the identified need.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The applicant demonstrates that the community has already invested heavily in this project and the carrier shelter would be another step forward in this project.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 4. The project has demonstrated community support and letters of support were included with the application.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The project has four letters of support attached including private businesses that need high speed internet.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 6. The project results in the retention / creation of long-term jobs in the region directly impacted by the project, and/or the project removes impediments to job retention / creation in the same region. (If the project advances to the Grant & Loan Review Committee, supplemental information may be requested for this section.)</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The applicant claims that the project would create two direct jobs.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Sec G / Qu 7 / Sec K. The project is ready to proceed and the entity has identified all applicable and required permits within the project schedule.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: It appears that the project is ready to proceed.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

City of Condon	Greater Eastern Oregon
Carrier Neutral Communication Shelter - Fiber	\$ 100,000 to \$ 100,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
Sec H. The RIF is the best source of State of Oregon funding for the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The city of Condon would own the building, thus they would qualify for other state loan funding options.				
Sec I. The entity has committed funding contributions from other public, private, or philanthropic resources, to help complete the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The applicant has significant match from the City of Condon reserves for this project and would only need \$100,000 to complete the project.				
Qu 8-11. The project has a sustainable business plan and does not require or rely upon continuing subsidies from the Department for ongoing operations.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Comments: The applicant will receive funding from customers leasing the building and reserves. We feel this scores well on the reserves portion but no detail on timing of when and how many customers would use facility.				

Overall Evaluation			
Not Qualified	Acceptable	Strong	Outstanding
	5	4	2
Comments:			

REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA SCORING MATRIX

Each RS Team should complete only one Scoring Matrix per application within their region.

Applicant (Sec A):	City of Hermiston	Region Name:	Greater Eastern
Project Name (Sec D):	North Hermiston Water Storage Expansion	Min-Max Funds Requested (Qu 3):	\$ 224,000 to \$ 224,000

Eligible projects receiving the highest ranking are those that address regional priorities, are ready to proceed immediately, technically and financially feasible, cost-efficient, not better suited for other state funding, have strong community support, and have project management capacity.

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Sec A. The applicant is an eligible entity. <i>(Eligible entity is local government, e.g., city or county authority, entity organized under state statute or city or county charter, council of government, port, or public school. Note: Other entities may receive funds as a final beneficiary, but a local government sponsor must be the applicant. If not eligible, do not complete the remainder of the evaluation. RDO should immediately contact the applicant regarding reason for ineligibility. If deadline has not passed, they may submit a revised application.)</i></p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Notes / Justification: The City of Hermiston is the applicant which is an acceptable entity.</p>				
<p>Pg 3. The project addresses one or more adopted Regional Solutions priorities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
<p>Notes / Justification: The applicant chose 5 regional priorities which the team agreed upon. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.</p>				
<p>Qu 1. The project is consistent with the Department's strategic plan priorities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Notes / Justification: The applicant chose 3 strategic plan priorities and the team agreed upon 2 of those. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.</p>				

City of Hermiston	Greater Eastern
North Hermiston Water Storage Expansion	\$ 224,000 to \$ 224,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Qu 2. The project helps meet the sustainable community objectives as noted in ORS 184.423(2).</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The team made a decision that if any of the sustainable community objectives were selected and we agreed with them, the project would get an A.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Sec E-F. The need for the project is clearly identified and it is clear how the project would address the identified need.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The need for the project is clear and understandable.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 4. The project has demonstrated community support and letters of support were included with the application.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The project has 3 letters of support with private sector companies supporting the project.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 6. The project results in the retention / creation of long-term jobs in the region directly impacted by the project, and/or the project removes impediments to job retention / creation in the same region. (If the project advances to the Grant & Loan Review Committee, supplemental information may be requested for this section.)</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The project would create jobs and retain, however, the team is unable to verify if 1,411 jobs direct (non-construction) jobs would be created.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Sec G / Qu 7 / Sec K. The project is ready to proceed and the entity has identified all applicable and required permits within the project schedule.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The project appears ready to proceed.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

City of Hermiston	Greater Eastern
North Hermiston Water Storage Expansion	\$ 224,000 to \$ 224,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
Sec H. The RIF is the best source of State of Oregon funding for the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: This project could be funding by Business Oregon loan funds.				
Sec I. The entity has committed funding contributions from other public, private, or philanthropic resources, to help complete the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: It appears that the applicant has committed funds through the city of Hermiston and Umatilla County.				
Qu 8-11. The project has a sustainable business plan and does not require or rely upon continuing subsidies from the Department for ongoing operations.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Comments: New water rate payers would provide ongoing operations and maintenance.				

Overall Evaluation			
Not Qualified	Acceptable	Strong	Outstanding
	4	3	4
Comments:			

REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA SCORING MATRIX

Each RS Team should complete only one Scoring Matrix per application within their region.

Applicant (Sec A):	Grant County	Region Name: Greater Eastern Oregon
Project Name (Sec D):	Apron Reconstruction and Fuel Farm Relocation	Min-Max Funds Requested (Qu 3): \$ 405,812 to \$ 405,812

Eligible projects receiving the highest ranking are those that address regional priorities, are ready to proceed immediately, technically and financially feasible, cost-efficient, not better suited for other state funding, have strong community support, and have project management capacity.

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Sec A. The applicant is an eligible entity. <i>(Eligible entity is local government, e.g., city or county authority, entity organized under state statute or city or county charter, council of government, port, or public school. Note: Other entities may receive funds as a final beneficiary, but a local government sponsor must be the applicant. If not eligible, do not complete the remainder of the evaluation. RDO should immediately contact the applicant regarding reason for ineligibility. If deadline has not passed, they may submit a revised application.)</i></p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: Grant County is the applicant which is an eligible entity.				
<p>Pg 3. The project addresses one or more adopted Regional Solutions priorities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The applicant chose 1 regional priority which the team agreed with. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.				
<p>Qu 1. The project is consistent with the Department's strategic plan priorities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The applicant chose 4 strategic plan priorities in which the team agreed with 2. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.				

Grant County	Greater Eastern Oregon
Apron Reconstruction and Fuel Farm Relocation	\$ 405,812 to \$ 405,812

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Qu 2. The project helps meet the sustainable community objectives as noted in ORS 184.423(2).</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The team made a decision that if any of the sustainable community objectives were selected and we agreed with them, the project would get an A</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Sec E-F. The need for the project is clearly identified and it is clear how the project would address the identified need.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The need for the project is clear, however, the project is not scalable and therefore could not take reduced funding.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 4. The project has demonstrated community support and letters of support were included with the application.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: There are multiple letters of support including from private industry.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 6. The project results in the retention / creation of long-term jobs in the region directly impacted by the project, and/or the project removes impediments to job retention / creation in the same region. (If the project advances to the Grant & Loan Review Committee, supplemental information may be requested for this section.)</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The project would not create or retain any jobs.</p>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Sec G / Qu 7 / Sec K. The project is ready to proceed and the entity has identified all applicable and required permits within the project schedule.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The project appears ready to proceed.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Grant County	Greater Eastern Oregon
Apron Reconstruction and Fuel Farm Relocation	\$ 405,812 to \$ 405,812

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
Sec H. The RIF is the best source of State of Oregon funding for the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The best source of funding would actually be the Connect Oregon project, however, given that Connect Oregon is already committed for the next few years. Additionally, this is not a phased project.				
Sec I. The entity has committed funding contributions from other public, private, or philanthropic resources, to help complete the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The applicant already has committed state funding from COAR and is submitting an FAA application.				
Qu 8-11. The project has a sustainable business plan and does not require or rely upon continuing subsidies from the Department for ongoing operations.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Comments: The airport operating budget would be responsible for ongoing operations.				

Overall Evaluation			
Not Qualified	Acceptable	Strong	Outstanding
1	4	3	3
Comments:			

REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA SCORING MATRIX

Each RS Team should complete only one Scoring Matrix per application within their region.

Applicant (Sec A):	City of Milton-Freewater	Region Name:	Greater Eastern
Project Name (Sec D):	Wine Production Facility	Min-Max Funds Requested (Qu 3):	\$ 200,000 to \$ 1,000,000

Eligible projects receiving the highest ranking are those that address regional priorities, are ready to proceed immediately, technically and financially feasible, cost-efficient, not better suited for other state funding, have strong community support, and have project management capacity.

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Sec A. The applicant is an eligible entity. <i>(Eligible entity is local government, e.g., city or county authority, entity organized under state statute or city or county charter, council of government, port, or public school. Note: Other entities may receive funds as a final beneficiary, but a local government sponsor must be the applicant. If not eligible, do not complete the remainder of the evaluation. RDO should immediately contact the applicant regarding reason for ineligibility. If deadline has not passed, they may submit a revised application.)</i></p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The City of Milton-Freewater is the applicant which is an eligible entity.				
<p>Pg 3. The project addresses one or more adopted Regional Solutions priorities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The applicant selected 5 regional priorities which the team agreed upon 3 of them. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.				
<p>Qu 1. The project is consistent with the Department's strategic plan priorities.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The applicant selected 3 strategic plan priorities of which the team agreed with. The team made a decision that 1 priority = A, 2-3 = S and more than 4 = O.				

City of Milton-Freewater	Greater Eastern
Wine Production Facility	\$ 200,000 to \$ 1,000,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
<p>Qu 2. The project helps meet the sustainable community objectives as noted in ORS 184.423(2).</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The team made a decision that if any of the sustainable community objectives were selected and we agreed with them, the project would get an A.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<p>Sec E-F. The need for the project is clearly identified and it is clear how the project would address the identified need.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The need for the project was very clear and it provides an exciting opportunity for an entire industry and region.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 4. The project has demonstrated community support and letters of support were included with the application.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The project has letters from support from legislators and private industry.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
<p>Qu 6. The project results in the retention / creation of long-term jobs in the region directly impacted by the project, and/or the project removes impediments to job retention / creation in the same region. (If the project advances to the Grant & Loan Review Committee, supplemental information may be requested for this section.)</p> <p>Notes / Justification: The project would create direct job creation through this new facility as well as within the wine industry.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
<p>Sec G / Qu 7 / Sec K. The project is ready to proceed and the entity has identified all applicable and required permits within the project schedule.</p> <p>Notes / Justification: It is unclear what the land use and other permitting agencies would require. Much of this would be done during the planning phase of the project.</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

City of Milton-Freewater	Greater Eastern
Wine Production Facility	\$ 200,000 to \$ 1,000,000

Scoring Key: N = Not Qualified, A = Acceptable, S = Strong, O = Outstanding

Detail	N	A	S	O
Sec H. The RIF is the best source of State of Oregon funding for the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: There is no other state of Oregon funding that would fit this project so the RIF would appear to be the best source of funding.				
Sec I. The entity has committed funding contributions from other public, private, or philanthropic resources, to help complete the project.	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Notes / Justification: The applicant has disclosed a number of other potential sources of funding but the only committed match is \$10,000 from the City.				
Qu 8-11. The project has a sustainable business plan and does not require or rely upon continuing subsidies from the Department for ongoing operations.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Comments: Once the project is complete the revenues of the facility would pay for ongoing operations.				

Overall Evaluation			
Not Qualified	Acceptable	Strong	Outstanding
	3	4	4
Comments:			