EO 25-26
STATE AGENCY WORKPLAN TEMPLATE

April 9, 2026

1. **Identify Relevant Executive Order Directive and Subpart**
    a. Define Key Resilience Attributes for Lands and Waters
        i. Interagency Team Shall Develop Resilience Attributes
        ii. Interagency Team Shall Develop Baseline Conditions
        iii. Lead Agencies Shall Coordinate with ODOE re Natural and Working Lands work
    b. Keep Oregon's Working Lands and Waters Working
        i. Identify and Improve Programs, Tools, and Incentives that Support Stewardship and Co-Benefits
        ii. Improve Permitting Processes for Restoration Projects on Working Lands and Waters
        iii. Improve Application and Grant Review Processes
        iv. Evaluate Opportunities to Support Long-term Resilience of Near-Shore Resources
    c. Conserve Natural Lands and Waters
        i. Protect, Conserve, Connect or Restore 10% of Lands and Waters within 10 years
        ii. Develop Innovative Mitigation Solutions
    d. Lead by Example
        i. Develop and Implement Strategies to Enhance Climate Resiliency as a Co-benefit of Existing Management Objectives
    e. Community and Wildfire Resilience
        i. Support Efforts to Create a Plan for Resilient Oregon
        ii. Implement 20-year Landscape-Resiliency Plan
        iii. Create Fire-Adapted Communities

2. **Identify Anticipated Agency Action**
    a. Summary of Agency Action (including whether it is additive to work being done now, an adjustment or elevation of work being done now or new work not currently being done)
    b. Connection to EO Directives Noted Above; note any factors that make the action particularly timely, relevant etc.
    c. Identification of any interagency collaboration and/or collaboration with external partners (e.g. community-based organizations, conservation non-profits, Tribes, others) planned as part of the work.

3. **Describe Alignments with Resiliency Attributes/Indicators:** Explain how the proposed work will help secure desired resiliency attributes. Note the attributes are *draft* for now but we will use them for the purposes of this exercise with expectation that workplans will adjust as needed to accommodate revisions. Refer to resiliency report for example indicators to help guide development of workplans.
    a. Resilient lands and waters in Oregon have the following attributes:
        i. Connectivity within and across land, sea, water, and sky
        ii. Diverse and complex habitats, species, landscapes, and aquatic systems that provide options for responding to change and disturbance
        iii. Space, time, and capacity for ecological processes to support and reinforce ecosystem function
        iv. Capacity to sustain communities, lifeways, and livelihoods

4. **Describe Relevant Metrics/Anticipated Outcomes:** Describe with as much specificity as possible, what is hoped to be accomplished over the course of the biennium. For multi-year projects, identify, if possible, a few key interim milestones. Note that you will provide information on implementation as part of semi-regular reports that will be prepared for submittal to the Governor's Office for distribution and discussion with relevant Boards/Commissions.

COMMUNITY AND WILDFIRE RESILIENCE

Subpart	Support Efforts to Create a Plan for a Resilient Oregon	Implement 20-Year Landscape Resiliency Plan	Create Fire-Adapted Communities
Anticipated Agency Action	**Microgrids:** PUC is developing a regulatory framework for microgrids to implement HB 2066 (2025), aiming to complete the first phase of this work by Q2 2027. See Docket AR 681 for more information. Anticipated actions to support integration with the PRO include: • Staff will meet with Resilience Officer to share updates on PUC process and hear from Resilience Officer about what energy resilience issues are coming up in community outreach. • Staff will share written updates with Resilience Officer as requested and consider any input from the Resilience Officer and PRO process in the current and future phases of microgrid framework development as applicable. **Wildfire Study**: The PUC is conducting a study on utility wildfire liability and accountability which will likely highlight intersections and relationships between utility wildfire mitigation efforts and broader state wildfire mitigation needs. Anticipated actions: • PUC staff will share study findings with ODF, OSFM, and Governor's Office	•	**Utility wildfire mitigation plans**: The PUC has ongoing work to review regularly submitted wildfire mitigation plans for investor-owned utilities. These plans include utility community engagement efforts to educate customers on technology and grid management approaches to minimizing the risk of wildfire ignitions from utility infrastructure. Utility actions include more direct contact with public safety partners during extreme events as well as enhancing programs related to more vulnerable populations (such as AFN). Utilities have also begun deploying technology including weather stations, weather forecasts, fire detection cameras and other capabilities that can inform public safety partners, augment data systems (such as those used by NWS). This has resulted in utilities beginning to be seen as trusted sources (based on their customer surveys) for information relating to the current state of wildfire risk as well as hosting content that can be used to inform customers about actions to take. PUC near term actions will include: • Including Staff recommendations on wildfire mitigation plans for community engagement improvements as appropriate, such as specific actions relating to partnerships between utilities, communities, community connectors and other sectors who play important roles in helping advance community resilience against a variety of events. • Continuing to evolve methods for estimating wildfire risk reduction for a variety of mitigation methods which will improve value for customers. In addition, these methods will be expanded with input from stakeholders relating to additional mitigation actions which could include impact mitigation (and be more related to actions primarily beneficial to communities) if they have sufficient consequence reduction to be the best alternative for a situation (relating to co-benefits of non-wire approaches for mitigating impacts). While the PUC's regulatory role in community hardening is limited, the degree of community hardening occurring in Oregon directly affects utility wildfire liability exposure because it affects the potential consequences of a utility ignition. Evidence from CA indicates extremely high cost to reduce utility ignitions toward zero.
Affected Attribute/Indicator	N/A – energy resilience not a focus of resilience attributes		N/A

metrics/anticipated outcomes	Microgrids can increase the energy resilience of community facilities, though the existence of a new regulatory framework is just one enabling condition for increasing microgrid deployment. Technical assistance and funding for community projects, such as resources currently offered through ODOE's CREP program and Energy Trust of Oregon, will also be necessary.		N/A
Identify Inter-Agency Collaborations/External Party Collaborations (Are we leveraging?)	**Microgrids**: ODOE staff are participating in the AR 681 docket and coordinating with PUC staff. If reporting on microgrid deployment is desired, we would need to collaborate with ODOE, Energy Trust, and utilities to fully track the number of microgrids and resilience hubs. **Wildfire Study:** PUC will keep ODF and any other interested agencies updated on study progress, including checking on potential stakeholders to consider for informal interviews and report socialization.		PUC staff actively engage in emergency support functions (ESF 2 and ESF 12). There could be opportunities to share more information about the scope and evolution of utility wildfire mitigation plans and actions with other agencies and find ways to realize more value from utility investments. This could dovetail with efforts to share updates from PUC's related wildfire study.