Agenda/Notes
Office of Governor Kotek — Racial Justice Council (RJC)
October 15, 2026
Virtual - Zoom Webinar
1:00 – 4:30 p.m.

CHAIR

E	Governor Tina Kotek

MEMBERS

X	Ana Molina	X	Josie Silverman-Mendez	X	Paul Solomon
A	Annie Valtierra-Sanchez	A	John Washington	A	Perla Medina Alvarez Lucio
X	Angela Uherbelau	X	Jimmy Howard	X	Shay Huber
E	Ciara Pressler	A	Latiffe Amado	E	Star Khan
X	Clara Soh	X	Maria Elena Guerra "Meg"	X	Teresa Cisneros
X	Côi Vũ	X	Marin Arreola	X	Thien Lieu
E	Cynthia Richardson	X	Marcus LeGrand	X	Tristen Edwards
X	James Alan Parker	X	Mark Jackson	E	Yulissa Magana
A	James Cador	A	Matt Newell-Ching	X	Reyna Lopez
X	Jackie Leung	X	Melinda Del Rio	X	Yoni Khan
A	Justice Rajee				

OTHER ATTENDEES

X	Andre Bealer	X	Javier Cervantes	X	Yasmin Solorio

Topic/Lead	Notes/Main Points	Decisions/ Action Items
Welcome/purpose/ community agreements	*Meeting starts at 1:05pm. Javier shares welcome slides. Andre shares plan for the work session. Meeting is to help folks understand work for committees and creating SMART goals. What does it look like to have a shared framework when we move collective priorities forward.* *No official votes today, just over consensus on topics.* *Meeting norms:* • *Assume positive intent while acknowledging impact* • *Make space for all voices* • *Focus on systems rather than individuals* • *Stay future-oriented* • *Seek clarity before agreement* • *Be conscious of our time* • *Speak up and say what you think.*	
Establish Equity and Racial Justice Framework	*Andre shares suggested questions to think about what we are trying to achieve when it comes to a certain priority.* • What inequities are we trying to change? • Which communities are most impacted most and disproportionately impacted?	

- What structural barriers contribute to these inequities?
- How will this priority improve racial equity?
- Who benefits? Who's impacted and who's at the table?
- Who may be unintentionally left out?
- How are we addressing root/systemic racism or bias?
- Does RJC have ability to influence or change this within the system?
- Who is the target of our advocacy efforts?

Teresa: Systems of accountability need to be in place that aren't so backlogged. When we go out in our professional lives and community, we are ready. But we face ostracization from community due to harm that can happen if we speak up against these systemic issues. Humans are interpreting and holding/not holding equitability. Performative is what is in right now, that is what causes us to remain here. Can we have the conversation to have each other's back in the world and systems.

Andre: I think what you are talking about what is the work we are doing with these priorities to the work on the ground. Hold it to the goal of the priorities.

Yoni: Meeting norm slide was great. Coming around framework for equity, I think that sometimes we have blind spots and a lot of folks doing the positive work aren't always connecting impact for one system portion to the other portion. That often means it's the community in between like nonprofits to fill cracks.

Ana: Thinking about this framework and questions, are these that we are asking of ourselves as were identifying priorities or where and what context are we applying these questions?

Andre: We will take one priority from each committee and ask these questions. The answers to those questions will help to develop goals for those priorities. Example Technical Assistance Program (TAP) at Business Oregon.

Marin: Historically TAP has been around a long time but it was taken off in budget during recession, then added back by Governor Brown. That's a systemic issue. When it comes to framework for RJC how do agencies see us to make sure they know we have value and influence. Sometimes they don't understand or know what our role is and that is sometimes and issue. Some are good with working with us and others are not. Accountability for engagement to work with us and have real communication in engagement.

Andre: We're trying to do a better job on our side by brining them in more regularly so there's a relationship. Agencies have such broad missions and having them come talk to us doesn't get us to the outcomes unless we have what we need in partnership.

Clara: Can we float idea with second bullet point to expand second bullet point to "most and disproportionately impacted". Would be good to recognize intensity of impact for some more than others.

	Jimmy: As we think about our framework I'm struck by how this group has to believe the system isn't working but also believe the system has to work to do the work. We believe in process of legislation and community building. Would like to see that somewhere here. We must believe system can change and move the needle. I believe broken systems can be fixed but think we will have to agree as a group. **Coi-Vu**: Who benefits is who holds power within the system. And who do we need to advocate for. **Jimmy**: Sometimes where we target our changes and advocacy, wondering if our work needs to continue to focus where we are pointing our change to? Legislature isn't always it. Can framework include breakdown on who is the target of our advocacy efforts? *Council agrees on shared framework:* • What inequities are we trying to change? • Which communities are most impacted most and disproportionately impacted? • What structural barriers contribute to these inequities? • How will this priority improve racial equity? • Who benefits? Who's impacted and who's at the table? • Who may be unintentionally left out? • How are we addressing root/systemic racism or bias? • Does RJC have ability to influence or change this within the system? **Andre**: Committees will do a priority planning worksheet with SMART goals, what will take to get to those, and part of that is identifying who is the right person and advocacy.	
Break	None	
- Clarify and Consolidate Priority Ideas	*Criminal Justice Reform Committee (CJRC)* *Priority – Alternative to Incarceration* **Tristen**: Alternative to Incarceration. A lot is related to geriatric population, and it's no longer a suitable place for people with acute medical issues. We are collaborating with the Governor's Office, Partnership with Safety and Justice, and others, to build an alternative plan to build a new system. *Prompt: What inequities are we trying to change?* **Paul**: Over representation of communities of color. **Tristen**: Data represents over representation in those populations. **Andre**: What are structural barriers contributing to this? **Paul**: Tristen touched on them. A lot are policing, everything from outcomes in the court system to how people access legal representation	

to what happens once they're in custody. Over representation in disciplinary segregation. Deeply embedded in system.

Andre: Does RJC have ability to change this in the system?

Paul: Has been a topic of conversation in the criminal justice reform community for years. Do we need to tear it down or is it rebuildable. Our perspective on RJC we want to believe we can make that change.

Tristen: This priority is more focused on developing out that plan. We do have a lot of ability and authority within RJC to build that plan, do think it will require level of funding appropriated for study that PSU is going to do and workgroup being put together. When we're considering if RJC can address this priority, I think yes.

Andre: Good example of how we want to think about work and alternatives to incarceration and points we need to aim for. As we develop our pathways, what is it that we need to focus our energy. It's about the overincarceration of POC and over policing and lack of representation.

Housing and Homelessness Committee
Priority selection - Permanent supportive housing

Yoni: Highest level of resources and obtain housing stability whether its physical abilities, demographics, to allow them to have a housing retention strategy. Folks whoa re facing life on streets due to affordability issues…. It's about finding an ongoing level of service and care to find stability on a permanent basis.

1. Community benefits.
2. Nonprofits are relieved to navigate from silos.
3. System level – by keeping ppl housed, you're trying to prevent further cost. HR1 for example and keeping ppl enrolled in Medicaid is critical. You want to avoid being in a scenario where they cant get routine care because coverage has lapsed. It's a necessary step but without coverage they lose ability for treatment plans.

Who may be unintentionally left out? Need to start with an effective model with ongoing housing retention. Might not be able to serve everybody but finding a model to meet people's need to monitor a success.

How are we addressing root/systemic racism or bias? It's avoiding emergency dept or homeless shelter, and cycle of poverty. Each time you go through it its smaller of a reserve to get on your feet.

Does RJC have ability to change this within the system? Question of lets find a way to get blinders off and creating a collaboration of heart of permanent stability and housing. There is a message that should resonate in these times with level of parties.

Teresa: Work with unhoused community and when they do get put in a

	stable housing situation, they are just left and the sense of belonging isn't there and will often relapse. Running for City Council locally and am bringing how can we have unhoused folks to volunteer or grow food or in areas where there is never enough resources. **Meg**: If I can paraphrase, having residents as a centerpiece of the housing. Not the organization per se, it's about the residents and services that we can provide. Without supportive services we can sustain and keep people in housing. Homeless community needs support. You can put them in a unit and they will be out on the streets without the supports they need. Most impacted community in housing is POC. Only 32% of homeownership is registered as black Oregonians vs 64% white Oregonians. One thing we need to keep in mind is we aren't going to have same amount of money to support what we need. One of our priorities is to protect current housing progress. **Paul**: Appreciate the inclusive framework. Want to name who might be intentionally left out with permanents supportive housing model - its people with conviction history. Majority of housing authority create barriers, they're are only two prohibitions with federal law – sex offender list and creating/manufacturing meth in public housing. Want to call that out. **Andre**: This is a great example of we have this priority and here is what we mean when it comes to supportive housing. Ensuring folks who are underserved have access. **Marin**: Yoni and Meg, do you engage with Oregon Housing Community Services (OHCS) and how is it with your committee? **Yoni**: Are you asking within RJC how we engage? **Marin**: Yes **Yoni**: Primary agencies are OHCS and Dept. of Land Conservation (DLCD). We've had a number of presentations around their agency request budget and concepts like permanent supportive housing and coordination piece. They show up and are engaged and listen, on DLCD side there is a lot of conversation on incentivizing land use policies. Hope to see more of cross engagement with Oregon Health Authority (OHA) and Dept of Human Services (DHS) as well as RJC subcommittees like Economic Opportunity Committee (EOC). When you don't meet someone with right level of resources, it falls to a service provider. **Andre**: OHCS really shows up in a great way. It's our job they understand what you all need and want to attack. We need to now frame our priorities in an action-oriented way. For example, instead of "Education", we'd say "increase access to culturally responsive education" or something along those lines. **Yoni**: Creating a model for service that has clear expectations and service to move someone into a Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) setting.	

1. Needs effective information sharing (Ex: HR1 changes and being aware three months ahead on documents needed, work requirements, or documentation like medical frailty).
2. What is the role of property management?
3. Things they aren't trained to do – checking in on who assigned to a certain Community Care Organization (CCO), having health system cut through their own red tape vs putting it on a housing service provider and hand off the responsibility. Be clear on who is doing that.

Andre: Creating model within PSH to improve provider coordination among services.

Marin: How do we put this together? We are intertwined and impact each other's work.

Meg: We have Alianza Poder in Woodburn. It's an example of how people and institutions can work together. Something that could work is asking Governor's Office to put together a meeting with just us to figure it out.

Marin: This meeting is great because it makes us acknowledge and work together.

Andre: We usually develop a one-pager with Legislative committee but if we aren't able to have a meeting they should understand what we mean. Need to run to another meeting unfortunately.

Tristen: It's a little complicated for explaining in this group. Justice Reinvestment Equity Program (JREP) and Restorative Justice (RJ) aren't listed here. Deflection and public defense aren't necessarily legislative focused. Would put Restorative Justice and RJEP on the list for advocacy. When it comes to EOCI Programming, barrier is the agreement from EOCI to help facilitate the program. It's the singular action item to effectuate that one. We have knowledge and willingness to participate. Singular barrier is communication between Governor's Office, EOCI, or DOC.

Paul: Following up by email, we might have more clarity in the near future.

Javier: As for RJ and JREP advocacy, we are in the midst of planning who we are planning to talk to in that regard.

Tristen: For JREP and RJ, the next thing we are waiting for is publishing of GRB. We want to focus on legislature and is there anything we can be doing now to do advocacy for governor itself.

Javier: You shared some letters.

Tristen: Criminal Justice Commission. Restorative Justice Program. JREP is Justice Reinvestment Equity Program. It's a counterpart to Justice Reinvestment.

Paul: Justice Reinvestment is dollars to reduce recidivism or jail and

prison. JREP funds community-based programs and services to help underserved communities and organizations.

Angela: What are the steps? The Governor herself is who is lobbied to make decisions. Can you walk us through the recommended budget, if some priorities relate to that, how and when can we talk to that advocacy so we aren't waiting until January.

Javier: We have agencies lined up for committee meetings. Legislative days are coming up December 1, 2, and 3.

Angela: December meeting will be informational, if we want to inform that we need to do that before?

Javier: Will be at ARB conversations next week.

Economic Opportunity Committee (EOC)
Priority: Technical Assistance Program (TAP)

Justice: Technical Assistance Program (TAP). Can look at economic movement and priorities. It's tremendous gaps and services that never got services from other channels. Program is a vital investment. If we are going to talk about inequities we are trying to change, we can look at state of economy and how it acted and reacted with communities of color without being overly prescriptive. We can zoom out further and see that communities don't have access to wealth building and are constantly caught in the emergency loop. It's an opportunity to invest in communities.

What communities are most impacted – statewide. Rural communities and POC.

James: Wealth of families going back 100 years, there been little change and ownership. Equity a household has barely moved 100 years. Structural barriers that do not support communities.

Who may be unintentionally left out? Not sure, the bill is meant to serve those who have been underserved. They've all been highlighted as priority communities for this to serve.

Are we addressing root/systemic racism or bias? Frankly would say it's both.

Does RJC have ability to influence or change this within the system? Yes.

James: How we can keep dollars in our state and going to businesses that pay in-state taxes.

Javier: Does the priority need more clarification?

Teresa: What we are talking about is health main streets, walkable structures, community wealth circulation. It's a structural marker failure

that we don't invest in.

Javier: I think about Hillsboro. It's seen a tremendous bolster in that area. If it's not for many communities of color, and a healthy main street.

Education Committee
Priority – Improve Literacy Funding

Angela: Improve Literacy funding. Oregon has been failing literacy since 2018. Of those 37 schools, 100% are high poverty and almost 90% are kids of color. Oregon has known about these and has refused to act at a level where they need to be supportive. Do want to flag that part of the barrier standing in our way is ODE. When legislature passed literacy initiative bill, the legislature specified it should be prioritized for those low professions cools but yet ODE put it so all schools could receive same amount. It doesn't require more money and is actionable. Appreciate the chance to work through that with you.

Javier: Let's go through framework. *How will this priority improve racial equity?*

Angela: Any child with right instruction is capable. Our kids can do what we're hoping they can do with right support.

Javier: Those expectations being the same as other more well-resourced schools may have.

Angela: Wealthier communities might have access to tutoring vs others who may not. Want to put in a small thing that was in California, kids struggling did better and those that were already doing good, math improved. It's a good example of putting money where we say our values are. This feels like something we can make that change. Do think we have the power to do it in RJC.

Meg: Can we do something about changing the way that schools receive money? My understanding is if taxes are high in a neighborhood, that helps schools do well financially. Is there a way we can change that to help schools get the same amount of funding.

Javier: You are talking about the first priority, poverty weight reform. If you look at poverty-weight funding, trying to get more clarity if it's more of an admin change or legislative change. Most in need receive first look at for funding for that.

Angela: Oregon deliberately undercounts kids in poverty. And school funding formula relates to that. It's been more of a political fight rather than principles.

Health Equity and Human Services Committee (HEHSC)
Priority selection: Selects Preservation of Medicaid, Healthier Oregon, SNAP.

Javier: What inequities are we trying to change?

	Melinda: Main inequities we are trying to change is most vulnerable and BIPOC aren't the first to get cut off. **Javier**: Structural barriers? **Melinda**: Communication – like how fast information is getting to people to understand what they have to do to stay enrolled. For example, work requirements and if there's an exception under work requirements and do they understand that information. **Javier**: Convoluted nature of how communication happens and how quickly things move. How will this priority improve racial equity? **Melinda**: People will know what they need to do. Fulfill all the extra steps and they have support they do that to understand what needs to be done. **Javier**: Who is being left out? **Melinda**: CBOs, people who don't have English as a first language. **Javier**: People who are non-English dominate speakers. Thinking back to what Yoni said to intersecting things with OHA. **Melinda**: People who can't read or don't have tech. **Javier**: Preservation of Healthier Oregon and SNAP **Yoni**: It's critical to get out grants directly to community to train enrollment assisters and community health workers to guide people through this as opposed to someone more centralized process. Folks who face biggest structural barriers are hesitant to work with them. How do you get an enrollment assister inside the building or clinic. How do you get it to community-based organization. Not necessarily a request for more staff. **Melinda**: There is infrastructure. We're trying to get OHP assister stuff going. The support structure too for folks on the ground trying to provide the services as well. Another area is individuals who are non-citizens too.	
-BREAK	None	
-Develop SMART Goals / Next steps	*Javier previews SMART Goal exercise. Due to drop in meeting attendance, the SMART Goal exercise will be moved to committee meetings in December. Committee meetings start next week.* **Clara**: Want to throw out that we don't have a EEC anymore so a lot of this work hasn't been done here and want to make sure we don't get lost in shuffle with other priorities that are happening. Have a lot of thoughts how so many issues intersect but want to make sure that we don't get lost and assume. *Meeting concludes at 3:25pm.*	

Materials	
	PPT RJC Priorities Work Session 2026.p RJC Compiled Committee Priorities

Zoom Chat

2026-10-15 12:33:20 From Javier Cervantes, Gov. Office (El, He, Him, His) to Hosts and panelists:
Agenda, October 15 from 1:00-4:30 PM
Welcome/Purpose/Community Agreements
Establish Equity and Racial Justice Framework
BREAK
Clarify and Consolidate Priority Ideas
BREAK
Develop SMART Goals
Next Steps

2026-10-15 13:01:04 From Yoni Kahn to Hosts and panelists:
Im off screen to eat lunch quickly

2026-10-15 13:03:17 From Jackie L she/they to Hosts and panelists:
I'm also off screen for eating/preparing lunch

2026-10-15 13:03:35 From Javier Cervantes, Gov. Office (El, He, Him, His) to Hosts and panelists:
Agenda, October 15 from 1:00-4:30 PM
Welcome/Purpose/Community Agreements
Establish Equity and Racial Justice Framework
BREAK
Clarify and Consolidate Priority Ideas
BREAK
Develop SMART Goals
Next Steps

2026-10-15 13:03:44 From MEG (she, her ella) to Hosts and panelists:
I am getting off the camera to also have lunch.

2026-10-15 13:04:05 From Angela Uherbelau to Hosts and panelists:
Going off camera to grab water but listening and be right back!

2026-10-15 13:04:23 From MEG (she, her ella) to Hosts and panelists:
drinking water just to be clear!

2026-10-15 13:28:39 From Thien Lieu to Hosts and panelists:
The slides are back.

2026-10-15 13:30:49 From Teresa Cisneros, Crane Clan Consulting to Hosts and panelists:
Who benefits? Who's impacted? and who's at the table?

2026-10-15 13:32:56 From Jimmy Howard | RJC to Hosts and panelists:
I've got to run to my 1:30, be back soon.

2026-10-15 13:36:05 From MEG (she, her ella) to Hosts and panelists:
too small

2026-10-15 13:36:33 From MEG (she, her ella) to Hosts and panelists:
hahaha Yasmin to the rescue1

2026-10-15 13:42:22 From Teresa Cisneros, Crane Clan Consulting to Hosts and panelists:
this is a good opportunity to look at the intersections of other committees like education where these patterns begin.

2026-10-15 13:43:10 From MEG (she, her ella) to Hosts and panelists:
How can housing help specially youth coming out of the criminal justice to better their life

2026-10-15 13:43:33 From MEG (she, her ella) to Hosts and panelists:
or better say what kind of housing is needed for those young individuals.

2026-10-15 13:44:34 From Teresa Cisneros, Crane Clan Consulting to Hosts and panelists:
and housing where affordable housing has become the new "red lining" and more heavily policed

2026-10-15 13:44:52 From Teresa Cisneros, Crane Clan Consulting to Hosts and panelists:

affordable and low-income*

2026-10-15 13:47:02 From MEG (she, her ella) to Hosts and panelists:
I vote to keep going

2026-10-15 13:47:17 From Yasmin to Hosts and panelists:
1:50pm

2026-10-15 13:50:29 From Jackie L she/they to Hosts and panelists:
Break always appreciated

2026-10-15 13:54:43 From Mark Jackson to Hosts and panelists:
Hello all. I need to sign off. Recovery from bug.

2026-10-15 13:56:23 From Teresa Cisneros, Crane Clan Consulting to Hosts and panelists:
can we include "sense of purpose" to housing sustainability

2026-10-15 13:58:11 From MEG (she, her ella) to Hosts and panelists:
Replying to "can we include "sense of purpose" to housing susta...":
Teresa, I believe I have a similar idea, but can you expand on this "sense of purpose"

2026-10-15 14:03:24 From Teresa Cisneros, Crane Clan Consulting to Hosts and panelists:
as we discuss this and consider smart goals can we include evaluating access in rural communities where programs just aren't available and state sponsored programs are instantly maxed out

2026-10-15 14:08:47 From MEG (she, her ella) to Hosts and panelists:
I am moving to my cell phone.

2026-10-15 14:13:50 From James Alan Parker to Hosts and panelists:
brb

2026-10-15 14:14:57 From Angela Uherbelau to Hosts and panelists:
Who has the authority to create that model? Is it within the Gov's authority or legislature?

2026-10-15 14:18:45 From Teresa Cisneros, Crane Clan Consulting to Hosts and panelists:
I have to bring attention to the different culture and community dynamics in rural communites where enforcing accessibility agains discrimination, exploitative, and unsafe housing practices, while protecting teneants

2026-10-15 14:21:42 From Ana Molina to Hosts and panelists:
Thanks Andre!

2026-10-15 14:23:32 From Thien Lieu to Hosts and panelists:
I love this conversation and I appreciate what everyone is contributing. I unfortunately have a conflict that I need to address and need to leave as well. Thank you!

2026-10-15 14:27:37 From RJC Marin Arreola to Hosts and panelists:
I am sorry, but I need to log off. Great meeting!

2026-10-15 14:31:31 From Shay Huber to Hosts and panelists:
due to emergency need to log off thankyou everyone

2026-10-15 14:47:34 From Teresa Cisneros, Crane Clan Consulting to Hosts and panelists:
I have to log off. here are final thoughts and questions: 1. can we create an RJC "rubric" that determines equity that agencies, organizations etc. can run their organization, teams, policies and impacts through to track their own progress? 2. Can we use the Integrated Client Services to put out a "Racial Equity Report Card" to grade agencies, organizations, policies etc.? 3. Can we create PSAs to educate Oregonians on their rights regarding racial justice including what discrimination looks like, sounds like (Implicit and explicit bias, micragressions)?

2026-10-15 14:51:39 From FHDC MEG to Hosts and panelists:
I agree

2026-10-15 14:52:13 From FHDC MEG to Hosts and panelists:
I think we should leave the smart goals to be worked individually

2026-10-15 14:55:47 From Yoni Kahn to Hosts and panelists:
I will need to switch to my phone.

2026-10-15 15:01:22 From Paul Solomon (he/him) to Hosts and panelists:
Sorry, but I have another meeting I need to jump on. If it wraps up quickly, I will rejoin.

2026-10-15 15:04:52 From FHDC MEG to Hosts and panelists:
I am sorry but I need to leave

2026-10-15 15:05:30 From Jackie L she/they to Hosts and panelists:

brb

2026-10-15 15:12:59 From Jackie L she/they to Hosts and panelists:
back

2026-10-15 15:16:31 From James Alan Parker to Hosts and panelists:
Thank you all, but I have to jump off for my next meeting.

2026-10-15 15:19:15 From Jackie L she/they to Hosts and panelists:
I have to leave early today too

2026-10-15 15:19:16 From Jackie L she/they to Hosts and panelists:
Thank you folks

Racial Justice Council Priorities Work Session

Fall 2026

Facilitators:

Andre Bealer, Equity & Racial Justice Director

Javier Cervantes, Racial Justice Council Advisor

Welcome

Agenda:

- Welcome/Purpose/Community Agreements **(15 mins)**
- Establish Equity and Racial Justice Framework **(20 mins)**
- BREAK **(10 mins)**
- Clarify and Consolidate Priority Ideas **(50 mins)**
- BREAK **(10 mins)**
- Develop SMART Goals **(80 mins)**
- Next Steps **(5 mins)**



Purpose and Objectives

- **Purpose:**
  - **Focus Narrowing**

- **Objectives:**
  - **Ensure equity and racial justice grounding**
  - **Develop SMART goals**
  - **Shared desired outcomes and success measures**
  - **Refinement and implementation**



Meeting Norms

Suggested norms:

- Assume positive intent while acknowledging impact.
- Make space for all voices.
- Focus on systems rather than individuals.
- Stay future-oriented.
- Seek clarity before agreement.
- Be conscious of our time



Equity and Racial Justice Framework

- What inequities are we trying to change?
- Which communities are most impacted?
- What structural barriers contribute to these inequities?
- How will this priority improve racial equity?
- Who benefits?
- Who may be unintentionally left out?



Racial Justice Council Priorities Work Session



Clarify and Consolidate Priority Ideas

Whiteboard Activity

- List each priority
- Ask clarifying questions
- Rename priorities using action-oriented language.
  - **Instead of:**

    Education
  - **Use:**

    Increase equitable access to culturally responsive education.



Racial Justice Council Priorities Work Session



SMART Goals

Specific

- Exactly what will be accomplished?

Measurable

- How will success be measured?

Achievable

- Does the committee have influence?
- What partnerships are required?

Relevant

- How does this advance racial justice?

Time-bound

- What is the completion date?



Next Steps

- Identifying outcomes
  - Examples
    - Recommendations completed
    - Community listening sessions
    - Policy proposals
    - Reports
- Equity Review
- Final Consensus Review
- Priority Planning Worksheet



Questions

???



RJC Committee Priorities 2026

The following is a collection of the RJC Committee Priorities voted on at each committee's respective meetings in September.

Committee: CRJC
Priority: Alternative to Incarceration
Priority: Eastern Oregon Correctional Institution Restorative Justice Programming
Priority: Deflection
Priority: Public Defense
Legislative Priority: Package 104, Justice Reinvestment Equity Program Investment
Legislative Priority: Package 105, Restorative Justice Permanent Funding
Legislative Priority: Compassionate Release
Committee: EOC
Priority: Technical Assistance Program Small Business Support
Priority: DAS Procurement Transformation Project • Procurement Revisions • DAS 5-Year Work Plan
Priority: Economic Equity Investment Program (EEIP)
Priority: Future Ready Oregon Recommendations & Workforce Efforts
Committee: Education
Priority: Poverty Weight Reform (Funding) • Goal: Clarify from Oregon Department of Education (ODE) if reform can occur through an agency administrative change or a policy change at the legislative level.
Priority: Special Education (SpEd) and High-Cost Disability Education (Funding) • Goal: Adjust SpEd Cap from 11% to 15%.
Priority: Opt-Out Testing (Accountability) • Goal: Remove the option to opt-out of state testing and assessment.
Priority: Learn more about how reading and literacy for K-5 are assessed (Assessment) • Goal: Improve literacy funding and prioritizing Title I schools and schools with lowest literacy proficiency.
Committee: HEHSC
Frame: Addressing Racism as a Public Health Crisis (HB 4052)
Priority: Preservation of Medicaid, Healthier Oregon, SNAP & Understand Budget Context for Oregon Health Authority (OHA) and Dept. of Human Services (DHS) • Behavioral Health • Coordinated Care Organizations procurement process (ensuring equity-based approach to development and implementation of CCO contracts)
Priority: CBO funding specified directly for CBO's re: HR1 appropriation implementation

Priority: Data and Privacy: Updates to Medicaid and SNAP
Committee: Housing and Homelessness
1. **Priority:** Protect Current Housing Progress • Highlight and Address Affordability Challenges. • Provide a Needs Analysis & Market Study • Preservation of Homes Owned by Seniors Particularly those that are High Risk
2. **Priority:** Permanent supportive housing and service coordination between OHA and OHCS
3. **Priority:** Property Management Workforce Training in Affordable Housing