

Minor Report for Ashland

For the 2023 reporting year

This report was submitted by Ashland to meet the requirements of OAR 660-012-0900(6) for the 2023 reporting year. The department has reviewed the submittal as provided in OAR 660-012-0915(1) and deemed it complete. This document was generated by the department using information submitted by Ashland.

OAR 660-012-0900(6)(a)

(a) A narrative summary of the state of coordinated land use and transportation planning in the planning area over the reporting year, including any relevant activities or projects undertaken or planned by the city or county;

In the 2023 reporting year, the City of Ashland collaborated with the Rogue Valley Council of Governments (RVCOG) and 3J Consulting (3J) to study potential Climate Friendly Area (CFA) locations and complete an Equity Analysis and Engagement Plan. Throughout the planning process, city staff engaged with members of the community through a public open house meeting, an on-line survey, and regular Planning Commission and City Council meetings. The CFA Study with Equity Analysis was submitted in December 2023.

Additionally, the City through its City Council and Planning Commission evaluated parking management options in early 2023. This culminated in the preparation and adoption of code amendments eliminating off-street parking requirements citywide and establishing design standards for parking lots consistent with OAR 660.012-0400 by December 31, 2023 (with an approved extension of time).

OAR 660-012-0900(6)(b)

(b) The planning horizon date of the acknowledged transportation system plan, a summary of any amendments made to the transportation system plan over the reporting year, and a forecast of planning activities over the near future that may include amendments to the transportation system plan;

TSP planning horizon date: 2034

Summary of amendments to the TSP:

None.

Forecast of future planning activities:

We anticipate completion of a complete TSP update pursuant to the revisions to OAR 660-012 by early 2026, well in advance of the 2034 horizon of the current acknowledged TSP. We have grant approval from ODOT and are now in the process of finalizing the scope of work and contract documents.

OAR 660-012-0900(6)(c)

(c) Copies of reports made in the reporting year for progress towards centering the voices of underserved populations in processes at all levels of decision-making as provided in OAR 660-012-0130 and a summary of any equity analyses conducted as provided in OAR 660-012-0135; and

Any included reports are attached to this document.

Summary of equity analyses:

An engagement-focused equity analysis was conducted when the City, RVCOG and 3J Consulting studied potential CFA candidate areas in 2023. The details of that analysis are provided in the attached CFA Study.

OAR 660-012-0900(6)(d)

(d) Any alternatives reviews undertaken as provided in OAR 660-012-0830, including those underway or completed.

None.



Chapter 3: Anti-Displacement Mitigation Strategies

CFA Redevelopment Outcomes

Due to the nature of the regulations, an area designated as a climate friendly area gains the capability to be redeveloped for a wide variety of uses and dense housing types. While these factors intend to promote nodes not reliant on personal automobile use, they also have the capability of creating modernized, attractive, and competitively priced developments which can subsequently displace protected classes. This trend, known as gentrification, can become a component of a climate friendly areas if cities do not carefully analyze a CFA's location and consider proper phase 2 protections to ensure the developments remains accessible to all populations.

Anti-Displacement Map Analysis

Recognizing this potential threat, DLCDC has prepared an anti-displacement guide. This guide classifies areas by neighborhood type which are characterized by their income profile, vulnerable classes, amount of precarious housing, housing market activity, and overall neighborhood demographic change. Each area is identified through the DLCDC anti-displacement map, which can be found here: [Anti-Displacement Map](#)

Each neighborhood type is categorized as one of the following:

Affordable and Vulnerable

The tract is identified as a low-income tract, which indicates a neighborhood has lower median household income and whose residents are predominantly low-income compared to the city average. The neighborhood also includes precariously housed populations with vulnerability to gentrification and displacement. However, housing market in the neighborhood is still stable with no substantial activities yet. At this stage, the demographic change is not under consideration.

Early Gentrification

This type of neighborhood represents the early phase in the gentrification. The neighborhood is categorized as a low-income tract having vulnerable people and precarious housing. The tract has a hot housing market, yet no considerable changes are found in demographics related to gentrification.

Active Gentrification

These neighborhoods are identified as low-income tracts with a high share of vulnerable people and precarious housing. The tracts are experiencing substantial changes in housing price or having relatively high housing costs found in their housing markets. They exhibit gentrification-related demographic change. The latter three neighborhoods on the table are designated as high-income tracts. They have hot housing market as they have higher rent and home value with higher appreciation rates than the city average. They also do not have precarious housing anymore. However, Late Gentrification type still has vulnerable people with experiences in gentrification related demographic changes.



Late Gentrification

This type of neighborhood does not have predominantly low-income households, but still have vulnerable population to gentrification. Their housing market exhibits high housing prices with high appreciations as they have relatively low share of precarious housing. The neighborhood has experienced significant changes in demographics related to gentrification.

Becoming Exclusive

The neighborhoods are categorized as high-income tracts. Their population is no longer vulnerable to gentrification. Precarious housing is not found in the neighborhoods. However, the neighborhoods are still experiencing demographic change related to gentrification with hot housing market activities.

Advanced Exclusive

The neighborhoods are identified as high-income tracts. They have no vulnerable populations and no precarious housing. Their housing market has higher home value and rent compared to the city average, while their appreciation is relatively slower than the city average. No considerable demographic change is found in the neighborhoods.


Unassigned

The unassigned tracts have not experienced any remarkable changes in demographics or housing markets. The neighborhood has been stable with unnoticeable change, yet this does not necessarily mean that there is no need for extra care compared to other neighborhoods with assigned types. Planners need to engage with the communities to make sure the neighborhood is stable while aligning with community needs and desires.

Neighborhood Types Present Within the Proposed CFA

As proposed, the candidate CFA for Ashland currently lies within a census tract 18 of Jackson County, which is identified by the neighborhood type: **Late Gentrification**, see the following map.

Ashland Anti-Displacement Map



5/26/2023

City Limits


Becoming exclusive

Anti_Displacement_Typologies_2022

Late gentrification



1:54,093



County of Jackson, OR, Oregon State Parks, State of Oregon GEO, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/NASA, USGS.



Suggested Strategies

It is important to note that while the project's scope of work directly referenced DLCD's housing production strategies (HPS) as a component of the anti-displacement analysis, the City of Ashland has an approved Housing Production Strategy report which satisfies DLCD's requirements and aims to ensure sustainable and equitable residential development within the city. Because the housing production study was put out for public comment on May 23rd, 2023, the technical analysis in this report utilized DLCD's HPS for the purposes of the Climate Friendly Area analysis. Nonetheless, the technical analysis team recommends use of the in-depth HPS report produced by the City of Ashland for phase 2 of the CFA study.

Referring to DLCD's housing production strategies, which can be found [here](#), RVCOG has identified the following strategies to ensure that a climate friendly area acts as an equitable community. In selecting strategies RVCOG prioritized strategies color coded as green for the **Late Gentrification** neighborhood type for their likeliness to generate little to no adverse impact, factoring in local context and feasibility as well.

Category A: Zoning and Code Changes

A03: Density or height bonuses for affordable housing.

Cities could consider introducing a height and density bonus for developments which introduce units between 30% - 120% of the average median income (AMI). RVCOG suggests using the CFA thresholds as a potential model for such bonuses, in the case of Ashland potentially allowing an increased 10 feet of maximum height and additional 5 dwellings per acre. City Staff notes that Ashland presently allows an affordable housing density bonus of up to two market rate units for every qualifying affordable housing unit provided, accommodating up to a 35% increase in residential density.

A07: Single Room Occupancy

Single room units, such as junior accessory dwelling units, present a new housing typology not commonly considered among residential zones. Enabling this use as a permitted accessory component of a multi-unit development could provide developers with the opportunity to provide unique housing arrangements and a variety of units at different price points. (New State Law)

A14: Re-examine Mandated Ground Floor Use

The City of Bend has determined that while lively streetscape in a dense environment is a worthy goal, mandating that ground floors be occupied by commercial uses when the surrounding market forces can't support such a use can contribute to decreased development or loss of area for dwellings. City Staff notes that HB 2984, passed in the 2023 State Legislative Session, allows the conversion of buildings from commercial use to housing without a zone change or conditional-use permit. It prohibits local governments from requiring more parking and limits collection of system development charges. This statewide legislation effectively allows residential ground floor use within commercial buildings.



Category B: Reduce regulatory Impediments

B10: Public Facility Planning

Factoring that some of the proposed CFA sites are largely vacant, assisting in providing public facilities could make these sites more attractive for development. Furthermore, assisting in providing public facilities may enable the city to prioritize key connections or better plan for expansion in the future.

B07: Flexible Regulatory Concessions for Affordable Housing

Considering that cities within the 10,000-24,999 population range are in one of the lower ranges for prescriptive CFA standards, enabling affordable housing to move into some of the upper thresholds could present a unique advantage further attract affordable housing. Furthermore, this strategy enables a CFA to evolve directly in response to its City's population growth, possibly resulting in a CFA pre-emptively meeting the next threshold's requirements.

B19: Survey Applicant on Development Program Decision-Making

User feedback can help illustrate frustrations or pitfalls in the planning process not seen by staff. Utilizing a survey as litmus test for ease of development within a CFA can serve as an asset not only to the CFA, but the City's Planning department as a whole. City Staff notes that in February 2023 the City Community Development Department surveyed all individuals that obtained a Planning Permit, or Building Permit, from 2018-2022. The City is in the process of establishing a Development Process Management Advisory Committee made up developers, builders, architects, and private planners, to assist in reviewing the survey and to recommend areas to improve the permitting process and reduce barriers to the development of needed housing.

Category C: Financial Incentives

C01: Reduce or exempt System Development Charges (SDCs) for needed housing.

SDC's are often seen as necessary yet prohibitive cost associated with new development. Granting exemptions for needed dense and affordable housing helps clear the way for development, while commercial developers seeking to capitalize on attractive areas by constructing recreational or tourism oriented, or general luxury developments can bear a larger part of the burden when it comes to needed infrastructural growth. City Staff notes that Ashland presently waives all SDCs for qualified affordable housing.

C04: Incentivize Manufactured and Modular Housing.

Manufactured and modular housing could be a popular option in vacant CFA areas as it can be constructed for less cost and added on to as a larger population occupies the CFA. Modular housing also supports homeownership rather rented housing, a notion that could ensure a CFA acts as equitable community for permanent residents and doesn't become an area merely for vacation rentals. City Staff notes the City's adopted Housing Production Strategy includes a strategic action to create a Manufactured Park Zone to preserve existing parks and potentially identify opportunities for additional manufactured home parks. Manufactured and Modular housing are presently permitted outright on



individual residentially zoned lots within the City with the exception of designated National Register Historic Districts.

Category D: Financial Resources

D02: Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC).

Federal tax credits represent an external opportunity for an affordable housing development to feasibly occur within a city. Disclaiming these opportunities to developers comes at little cost to the city, and can facilitate mixed income housing that contributes to a more diverse set of demographics within a CFA.

D09: Demolition Taxes

A demolition tax can ensure that new development within a CFA introduces a greater density than the existing structure or be forced to be pay a tax to fund a housing trust fund. Demolition taxes help mitigate the effects of higher density, aging housing being replaced by lower density, newer, market-rate homes, which could occur if the CFA is sited in a more historic area of a community, or the introduction of the CFA regulation induces more affluent populations seeking proximity to mixed uses.

D09: Construction Excise Tax

Seeing as the CFA's are located on vacant land, a construction excise tax (CET) seems to be an apt solution to ensure development of a CFA accrues funds for affordable housing projects both within the CFA and elsewhere. City Staff notes the City's adopted Housing Production Strategy includes a strategic action to evaluate establishing a CET to support affordable housing development within the community.

Category E: Tax Exemption and Abatement

E03: Vertical Housing Development Zone Tax Abatement

This housing production strategy authorized ORS 307.841 directly aligns with the live work environment that's meant to appear within CFA's and is natural candidate to assist in mixed use development. The effectiveness of this strategy could be somewhat bound by a CFA's respective height limits but coupled with affordable housing density bonuses could be quite effective. City Staff notes that Ashland presently established a Vertical Housing Development Zone to correspond with the Transit Triangle Overlay area. As this Transit Triangle area is a candidate for a CFA, this strategy is in already place within one of the potential CFA areas under consideration.

E04 & E05: Multiple Unit Tax Exemptions (Property and Limited taxes)

Similar to the Vertical Housing Tax Abatement, the multiple unit tax exemptions could serve as a symbiotic strategy to the type of development intended to occur within a CFA. Whether this strategy seeks to aid in overall feasibility by being a long-term exemption or aid in the initial

E10: Delayed tax Exemptions

Delayed tax exemptions can be seen as a viable strategy to allow new development recoup construction costs and establish a profitable base before falling below 80% AMI. This strategy could benefit initial



developments in CFA's, and later assist them in serving a new economic bracket when the area becomes more developed.

Category F: Land, Acquisition, Lease, and Partnerships

F17: Designated Affordable Housing Sites

Designating CFA's partly or entirely as affordable housing sites can ensure the best use of the land in the future. While price control measures may ward off developers initially, highlighting tax exemptions and streamlined planning process coupled with the relative newness of the CFA regulations may highlight these areas as feasible location for affordable housing.

F19: Affordable Housing Preservation Inventory

Identifying and inventorying areas currently hosting affordable housing enables staff to examine what contextual factors have led them to appear in their community, and informs areas to proceed with caution when expanding the CFA.

City staff are encouraged to review and evaluate the list of strategies when it comes time for phase 2 zoning reform.

City Staff emphasizes that the strategic actions outlined in the approved Ashland Housing Production Strategies will be evaluated in the context of identifying and implementing Climate Friendly Areas (CFAs). A new CFA land use designation would be crafted with the primary goal of encouraging the development of transit supported mixed-use, higher-density environments that actively diminish the dependence on fossil fuels. The evaluation process will pay particular attention to addressing the potential displacement of existing affordable housing within any designated CFA area while simultaneously seizing the opportunities to foster necessary housing options within the designated areas. This comprehensive approach underscores the city's commitment to both sustainable urban development and the preservation of affordable housing for its residents.