



Housing Actions Work Group | HAWG

Oregon Housing Needs Analysis

Meeting #7: April 16, 2025 | 9:00 am - 12:00 pm

PRIMARY MEETING OBJECTIVES:

- Integrate DLCD's Racial Equity Framework for Decision Making into today's rulemaking efforts
- Review and discuss the proposed compliance pathway alternatives for cities to identify needed housing types, housing characteristics, and housing locations in developing their Contextualized Housing Need
- Hear feedback and preferences on the Alternative Compliance Pathways for CHN

HAWG Meeting #7 AGENDA		
Time	Item	Purpose
900a - 905a (5 min)	Welcome & Agenda Review: Overview and Meeting Flow	<i>Orient Meeting</i>
905a - 915a (10 min)	HAWG Member Responsibilities and Ground Rules <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Review of process and ground rules● Clarifying Q&A	<i>Inform</i>
915a - 920a (5 min)	Racial Equity Framework for Decision Making RACIAL EQUITY FRAMEWORK FOR DISCUSSION <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Data Equity and Data Justice● Community Engagement and Empowerment● Restorative Justice <i>Materials:</i> DLCD Racial Equity Framework for Decision Making and accompanying Working Definitions Memo for the Racial Equity Framework for Decision Making	<i>Outline Racial Equity focus areas for today's discussion</i>
920a - 1000a (40 min)	PRESENTATION: Contextualized Housing Need Compliance Pathway Alternatives <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Recap the purpose of the CHN and its connection to the Housing Production Strategy and Housing Capacity Analysis● Present proposed Compliance Pathway Alternatives for cities to identify their Contextualized Housing Need● Clarifying Q&A <i>Materials:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none">● CHN Compliance Pathways Memo (April 10, 2025)● Oregon Administrative Rules Chapter 660 Division 8 (CHN: 660-008-0075)● HAWG Policy Objectives	<i>Inform:</i> <i>Orient to CHN Compliance Pathway Alternatives</i>

HAWG Meeting #7 AGENDA

Time	Item	Purpose
1000a - 1040a (40 min)	FACILITATED DISCUSSION #1: CHN Compliance Pathway Alternatives Poll and discussion of alternatives: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Which CHN Compliance Pathway Alternative aligns best with the policy objectives and the goals of redressing historic and current inequities? Which CHN Compliance Pathway Alternative might be most widely adopted (if optional)? Are there two alternatives that you wish could be merged - some elements from one and some elements from another? Or is there a way to modify an alternative to most accurately identify fair housing needs while creating greater balance of simplicity, flexibility, and predictability? These CHN compliance pathways seem to be most functionally applied to specific key needs. As the compliance pathway work has evolved, are the key needs identified throughout the memo still the “right” key needs to pursue? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Types: multi-unit and middle housing Characteristics: affordability, accessible units, wealth-building opportunities, etc. Locations: high-opportunity areas, areas that are segregated or concentrated by race and income, and areas at risk of displacement 	<i>Input:</i> <i>Top preferences for CHN Compliance Pathway by location, characteristics, and type</i>
1040-1050a BREAK 10 min		
1050a - 1130a (40 min)	FACILITATED DISCUSSION #2: CHN Compliance Pathway Alternatives Feedback on specific functional details: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Which alternative(s) for location, characteristic, and type best support the next Goal 10 steps of the HPS and HCA/BLI? What are your impressions of the alternatives to sourcing and using data in the CHN Compliance Pathway Alternatives? Are there specific alternatives you think are achievable for most cities and that address data equity and justice given the current data environment? What do you think about the specific numbers for each need? Which CHN Compliance Pathway Alternative strikes the best balance to carry out the commitment to equitable community engagement and empowerment while also addressing the policy objectives and program goals of simplicity, flexibility, and reducing burden on community and government capacity? What potential unintended consequences might result from any of the CHN Compliance Pathway Alternatives and/or elements therein? Is there a better option to mitigate the potential consequences? 	<i>Input:</i> <i>Exploring potential effectiveness, functionality, and tradeoffs of the CHN Compliance Pathway Alternatives</i>

HAWG Meeting #7 AGENDA		
Time	Item	Purpose
1130a - 1150a (20 min)	FACILITATED DISCUSSION #3: CHN Compliance Pathway Alternatives Poll and Discussion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Given the earlier discussion and second round of polling, does one CHN Compliance Pathway Alternative stand out as most likely to achieve the right balance of simplicity, predictability and flexibility for CHN completion and localized identification of fair housing issues? What is your impression of the responses to the poll at the beginning and end of discussion? Is there anything else we haven't heard yet, but the team should know about in drafting a proposed approach to CHN Compliance? 	<i>Input:</i> <i>High level takeaways and most promising CHN Compliance Pathways</i>
1150a-1200p (10 min)	Recap and Adjourn <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Check in on racial equity considerations from today's discussion Look ahead, including HAWG Meeting #8 April 23 at 9a 	

Where does this conversation go from here?

☒ RAC
☒ Continues in HAWG
☒ Project team for development
☐ Other: