



Housing Actions Work Group | HAWG

Oregon Housing Needs Analysis

Meeting #6: March 19, 2025 | 9:00 am - 12:00 pm

PRIMARY MEETING OBJECTIVES:

- Integrate DLCD's Racial Equity Framework for Decision Making into today's rulemaking efforts
- Review the Contextualized Housing Need rules and program elements
- Understand the high level differences between completing the Contextualized Housing Need under the current rules and completing it with a potential compliance pathway
- Discuss the compliance pathway options that may best fit policy objectives based on the context of each element (housing types, housing locations, housing characteristics)

HAWG Meeting #6 AGENDA		
Time	Item	Purpose
900- 905a (5 min)	Welcome & Agenda Review: Overview and Meeting Flow	<i>Orient Meeting</i>
905- 910a (5 min)	Racial Equity Framework for Decision Making RACIAL EQUITY FRAMEWORK FOR DISCUSSION <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Data equity and Data Justice● Community Engagement and Empowerment● Restorative Justice <i>Materials:</i> DLCD Racial Equity Framework for Decision Making and accompanying Working Definitions Memo for the Racial Equity Framework for Decision Making	<i>Outline Racial Equity focus areas for today's discussion</i>
910- 925a (15 min)	Introduce the Contextualized Housing Need PRESENTATION: <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Recap the purpose of the CHN and its connection to the Housing Production Strategy and Housing Capacity Analysis● Explore the potential use and utility of compliance pathways in the Contextualized Housing Needs identification, including the challenges they aim to address● Clarifying Q&A <i>Materials:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Introduction to Compliance Pathways for the Contextualized Housing Need- Oregon Administrative Rules Chapter 660 Division 8 (CHN: 660-008-0075)- HAWG Policy Objectives	<i>Inform</i>

HAWG Meeting #6 AGENDA

Time	Item	Purpose
925- 1010a (45min)	<p>Present Housing Location Compliance Pathway Options</p> <p>PRESENTATION:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update on tool development: status, data environment, initial focus on defining and illustrating high-opportunity areas Review of locational considerations under Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing and the HAWG Policy Objectives Clarifying Q&A <p>FACILITATED DISCUSSION #1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Which compliance tool might best help achieve the policy objectives and Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (e.g. increasing housing choice especially in high opportunity areas)?</i> <i>Which might best help reduce local staff capacity for analysis and increase predictability in the Contextualized Housing Need?</i> <i>If those above two answers are different, what is the best outcome keeping both of those goals in mind?</i> <i>Can any of these variations acceptably meet the goals that the program seeks to achieve in responsiveness to local needs? If it can't, what gaps are left that seem to require local analysis?</i> <i>How helpful would it be to include specific housing types and characteristics as related to locations as part of this compliance pathway (e.g. 25% of land zoned for middle housing being in high-opportunity areas)?</i> 	Inform and Input
1010-1055a (45 min)	<p>Present Housing Characteristics Compliance Pathway Options</p> <p>PRESENTATION:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review of characteristic considerations under Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing and the HAWG Policy Objectives Review of options for a compliance pathway in identifying needed housing characteristics Clarifying Q&A <p>FACILITATED DISCUSSION #2:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Which of the options, paired with which compliance tool, might best help achieve the policy objectives and Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (e.g. increasing diversity and housing choice)?</i> <i>Which best help reduce local staff capacity for analysis and increase predictability in the Contextualized Housing Need?</i> <i>If those above two answers are different, what is the best outcome keeping both of those goals in mind?</i> <i>Can any of these variations acceptably meet the goals that the program seeks to achieve in responsiveness to local needs? If it can't, what gaps are left that seem to require local analysis?</i> <i>What do you think about quantifying some of these that are more quantifiable?</i> <i>What do we do with the characteristics that seem fairly impossible to quantify?</i> 	Inform and Input

HAWG Meeting #6 AGENDA		
Time	Item	Purpose
1055 -1105a BREAK - 10 mins		
1105-1150a (45 min)	Present Housing Types Compliance Pathway Options PRESENTATION: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review of types considerations under Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing and the HAWG Policy Objectives Review of options for a compliance pathway in identifying needed housing types Clarifying Q&A FACILITATED DISCUSSION #3: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Which of the options, paired with which compliance tool, might best help achieve the policy objectives and Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (e.g. increasing housing diversity and choice)?</i> <i>Which best help reduce local staff capacity for analysis and increase predictability in the Contextualized Housing Need?</i> <i>If those above two answers are different, what is the best outcome keeping both of those goals in mind?</i> <i>Can any of these variations acceptably meet the goals that the program seeks to achieve in responsiveness to local needs? If it can't, what gaps are left that seem to require local analysis?</i> <i>What are the merits of scaling any type mix approach by city size? What about by income bracket? Both?</i> 	Inform and Input
1150a-1200p (10 min)	Recap and Adjourn <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Next meeting and next steps 	

Where does this conversation go from here?

☒ RAC ☐ Continues in HAWG ☐ Project team for development ☐ Other: