



Capacity and Urbanization

Technical Advisory Committee | CAUTAC

Oregon Housing Needs Analysis

Meeting #21: June 5, 2025, 1:30-3:00pm

Primary meeting objectives:

- Present draft rule concepts regarding Urban Reserve Areas (URAs).
- Discuss the proposed approaches to rules and guidance to achieve the desired outcomes.

Primary discussion needs (technical options, test viability, identify opportunities and tradeoffs):

- Gauge support for draft rule language and concepts.
- Determine if further refinements are needed.
- Determine what should be in rule and what should be in guidance, and why.

DLCD Racial Equity Framework: Benefits and Burdens

- What are the benefits and burdens (including financial, environmental, social) of the proposed approach for including land in Urban Reserve areas?
- Identify possible unintended consequences of the proposed approach for Urban Reserve areas and which areas may be considered "cost prohibitive." How could these potential consequences be mitigated?

CAUTAC Meeting #20 AGENDA

Time	Item	Who	Purpose + Outcome
1:30-1:40 (10m)	Welcome & Overview <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous meeting recap• Today's agenda and objectives• Focus areas for the Racial Equity Framework <u>REFERENCE MATERIALS</u> Charter and Operating Principles DLCD Racial Equity Framework	Steve Jena	<i>Orient meeting</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Urban Reserve areas<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Determinations of URAs- Expanding the UGB to include URAs- Amending a URA
1:40-2:50 (70m)	Urban Reserve Areas <u>CAUTAC MEMBERS</u> Part I <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Does the prioritization of land proposed, from exception areas to designated agriculture or forestry	Karen	<i>Information, Clarifying Questions, Poll/Discussion</i> TAC recommendations: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Rules vs guidance• Concerns or areas for further refinement POLL: Gauge support for proposed rule text rules

	<p>land, provide greater clarity and certainty for local governments?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the proposed language consistent with the statutorily required protection of resource lands? <p>Part II</p> <p>Is the proposed language consistent with the legislative direction in SB 1129 (2025) allowing cities to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> give lower priority to lands within exception areas if planned developments or subdivisions are present? give lower priority to lands where providing urban services is not reasonable or cost effective? <p><u>REFERENCE MATERIAL</u></p> <p>Discussion memo: Draft rule and guidance concepts</p>		
2:50-3:00 (10m)	<p>Next Steps, Recap and Adjourn</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Brief recap Next steps and topic(s) 	Steve	<p><i>Summary information and wrap-up</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review TAC guidance on URAs Note dates for upcoming TAC and RAC meetings

Where does this conversation go from here?

☒ Staff for rule refinement
 ☒ Continues in CAUTAC
 ☒ RAC
 ☒ LCDC
 ☐ Other: