



DLCD

Department of
Land Conservation
& Development

Summary of Proposed Amendments

Transportation Planning Rulemaking – 2026

Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development

July 17, 2026

This document includes a summary of proposed changes to Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) chapter 660, [division 12](#) (Transportation Planning Rules) and [division 44](#) (Metropolitan Greenhouse Gas Reduction Targets Rules).

This document provides a summary of proposed changes. The [Proposed Amendments](#) document lists proposed changes to rule text. Changes with numbers refer to that document.

Contents

Repeal OAR 660-012-0210	2
Amend a rule about progress reports consistent with direction from the commission.....	2
Repeal OAR 660-044-0060	3
Correct small typos, inconsistencies, and errors	3
Change to definition	3
Update terms for “Metro Region 2040 Centers”	3
Remove obsolete MPO parking plan language	3
Use the term “approve” consistently	4
Update tribal engagement language.....	4
Remove unnecessary modifier word	4
Correct reference language.....	4

Fix confusing language or grammar	4
Use the term “method” consistently	5
Update references.....	5
Clarify application of a provision to TSPs	5
Remove explanatory text accidentally added to rule.....	5
Remove a conflicting provision.....	5
Clarify parking structure requirement.....	5
Update reference document.....	6
Provide more flexibility to use available data.....	6
Use the term “community engagement” consistently	6
Clarify applicability of rule.....	6
Remove inapplicable provision.....	6

Repeal OAR 660-012-0210

This change repeals a rule adopted in 2022. The rule was reviewed as part of the rulemaking in 2023, however the advisory committee could not come to agreement on the rule prior to the end of the process. The commission postponed implementation of the rule until 2027 with the expectation that the rule would be addressed before then.

The original intent of the rule has been implemented in parts of other rules. The rule could also have undesired impacts if implemented as written. Staff have recommended repeal of this rule.

Proposed change: 11

Amend a rule about progress reports consistent with direction from the commission

Consistent with direction from the commission, this change requires each missed performance target set under OAR 660-012-0910 to have proposed corrective actions. One corrective action may still be useful for multiple

missed targets, but report must be clear about which corrective action or actions will be used for each missed target.

Proposed change: 34

Repeal OAR 660-044-0060

This change repeals a rule adopted in 2012 requiring Metro to report on progress “implementing the preferred scenario including status of performance measures and performance targets adopted as part of the preferred scenario.” OAR 660-012-0900, adopted in 2022, largely supplants the need for the reporting requirement in OAR 660-044-0060. Staff have recommended repeal of this rule.

Proposed change: 37

Correct small typos, inconsistencies, and errors

Change to definition

This change removes an outdated term from the definition of “committed transportation facilities.”

Proposed change: 1

Update terms for “Metro Region 2040 Centers”

Some places in the rules use the term “Metro Region 2040 Centers,” and in others “regional and town centers” to mean the same thing. The proposed changes make this consistent.

Proposed changes: 2, 9

Remove obsolete MPO parking plan language

This rule applies to areas outside of metropolitan areas. An older requirement that only applied to MPO areas remained in this rule. The proposed change removes it.

Proposed change: 3

Use the term “approve” consistently

Some places in the rules use the term “approve” and in others “grant” to mean the same thing. The proposed changes make this consistent.

Proposed changes: 4, 5, 35

Update tribal engagement language

This change directs cities and counties to obtain a list of tribal governments for notification from the Oregon Legislative Commission on Indian Services (LCIS). The proposed change removes the need for cities and counties to make their own determinations on the proper tribal contacts, and relies on LCIS. LCIS works with tribal governments to maintain lists of applicable areas. DLCD and LCIS have been working together to make this process consistent for tribes, cities, and counties for UGB, housing, and transportation planning work.

Proposed change: 6

Remove unnecessary modifier word

This change removes the word “significantly” from the rule. The word is not necessary.

Proposed change: 7

Correct reference language

This change clarifies that the reference is to a series of subsections, not sections.

Proposed change: 8

Fix confusing language or grammar

These changes change a word to be either less confusing, or correct.

Proposed changes: 10, 33

Use the term “method” consistently

Some places in the rules use the term “method,” and in others “methodology” to mean the same thing. The proposed changes make this consistent.

Proposed changes: 12, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22

Update references

Other rulemaking activities changed a series of references in OAR chapter 660, division 8. These changes update the references in division 12 to the correct locations.

Proposed changes: 13, 15, 17, 18, 19

Clarify application of a provision to TSPs

This change adds a phrase to exclude TSP amendments from findings otherwise required by the rule.

Proposed change: 23

Remove explanatory text accidentally added to rule

Staff accidentally included explanatory text that the commission did not intend to be part of the rule language in the rule as part of the rule filing process. The proposed changes remove this text.

Proposed change: 24

Remove a conflicting provision

This change removes a provision in this rule that conflicts with another provision in the rules.

Proposed change: 25

Clarify parking structure requirement

This change clarifies a provision that applies to larger parking structures in cities over 200,000 to make it easier to implement locally.

Proposed change: 26

Update reference document

This change updates a reference to the Urban Bikeway Design Guide from the second to third edition, as the guide has been updated since the rule was adopted.

Proposed change: 27

Provide more flexibility to use available data

This change removes a limitation for selecting a period local governments may use for reported injuries and deaths.

Proposed change: 28

Use the term “community engagement” consistently

Some places in the rules use the term “community engagement,” and in others “public involvement” to mean the same thing. The proposed changes make this consistent.

Proposed changes: 29, 30, 31, 32

Clarify applicability of rule

This change clarifies that the request for an exemption in the rule must come from a city or county.

Proposed change: 35

Remove inapplicable provision

This change removes a provision in OAR 660-012-0920 that the Court of Appeals found to be inapplicable.

Proposed change: 36