OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (MEASURE 49) SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW OF MEASURE 37 CLAIM
Final Order and Authorization

STATE ELECTION NUMBER: D135028
CLAIMANT: Emily Eymann
91947 Marcola Road
Springfield, OR 97478

MEASURE 37 PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION:
Township 16S, Range 2W
Section 23, Tax lot 1301
Section 24, Tax lot 1209
Lane County

PRIMARY CONTACT INFORMATION: Penelope (Penny) Jordan
91947 Marcola Road
Springfield, OR 97478

The claimant, Emily Eymann, filed a claim with Lane County under ORS 197.352 (2005) (Measure 37) on December 14, 2005, for property located at 91947 Marcola Road, near Springfield, in Lane County. The claimant did not file a state Measure 37 claim. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49), as amended by Senate Bill 1049 (SB 1049) entitles claimants who filed Measure 37 claims only with the county in which the claim property is located to elect supplemental state review of their claims; and allows the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) to authorize one dwelling approval to qualified claimants.

The claimant has elected supplemental review of her Lane County Measure 37 claim under SB 1049, and has submitted the $2500 fee required by Section 7(2) of SB 1049 for that review.

This Final Order and Authorization is the conclusion of the supplemental review of this claim.

1 This Final Order considers tax lots 1209 and 1301 as one claim because the two Measure 37 claim tax lots and tax lot 2500 (an old railroad right-of-way), which separates the two claim tax lots, are in the same ownership. These three tax lots together are contiguous. Per OAR 660-041-0150 the Department of Land Conservation and Development must combine lots or parcels into one claim if the Measure 37 claim property contains multiple contiguous lots or parcels that are in the same ownership.
I. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

A. Maximum Relief for Which the Claimant May Qualify

Under Measure 49, as amended by SB 1049, the department may authorize one dwelling approval and, if the property does not include a vacant parcel for that dwelling, a parcel on which to site the dwelling.

B. Qualification Requirements

To qualify for a dwelling approval under Section 6 of Measure 49, as amended by SB 1049, the claimant must meet each of the following requirements:

1. Timeliness of Claim

To qualify for approval of a dwelling under Measure 49, as amended by SB 1049, a claimant must have filed, and not withdrawn, a valid Measure 37 claim with the county in which the claim property is located before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007; and the county must have provided a certified copy of the claim to the department no later than June 30, 2010.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

The claimant, Emily Eymann, filed a Measure 37 claim, PA05-6785, with Lane County on December 14, 2005. Lane County provided a certified copy of that claim to the department on May 18, 2010.

The claimant filed a timely Measure 37 claim with Lane County in order to be eligible for supplemental review under SB 1049.

2. The Claimant Is an Owner of the Property

Measure 49 defines “Owner” as: “(a) The owner of fee title to the property as shown in the deed records of the county where the property is located; (b) The purchaser under a land sale contract, if there is a recorded land sale contract in force for the property; or (c) If the property is owned by the trustee of a revocable trust, the settlor of a revocable trust, except that when the trust becomes irrevocable only the trustee is the owner.”

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

According to the deeds submitted to the county by the claimant and provided by the claimant, Emily Eymann is the owner of fee title to the property as shown in the Lane County deed records and, therefore, is an owner of the property under Measure 49.

Lane County has confirmed that the claimant is the current owner of the property.
3. All Owners of the Property Have Consented in Writing to the Claim

All owners of the property must consent to the claim in writing.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

All owners of the property have consented to the claim in writing.

4. The Majority of the Measure 37 Claim Property Is Located Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary and Outside the Boundaries of Any City or the Measure 37 Claim Property Is Located within the Boundaries of A City and Entirely Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary

Either the majority of the Measure 37 claim property must be located outside any urban growth boundary and outside the boundaries of any city or the Measure 37 claim property must be located within the boundaries of a city and entirely outside any urban growth boundary.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

The Measure 37 claim property is located in Lane County, outside any urban growth boundary and outside the city boundary of the nearest city, Springfield.

5. One or More Land Use Regulations Prohibit Establishing the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling

One or more land use regulations must prohibit establishing the requested lot, parcel or dwelling.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

The property is currently zoned Exclusive Farm Use (E40) by Lane County, in accordance with ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 33, because the property is “agricultural land” as defined by Goal 3. Goal 3 requires agricultural land to be zoned exclusive farm use. Applicable provisions of ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 33, enacted or adopted pursuant to Goal 3, regulate the establishment of dwellings on new or existing lots or parcels and include restrictions on establishing more than one dwelling on a single tract. Under ORS 215.780(2)(a), counties may adopt minimum lot sizes smaller than 80 acres, subject to approval by the Land Conservation and Development Commission (the Commission). The Commission has approved Lane County’s E40 zone, which requires a minimum lot size of 40 acres.

The claimant’s claim property consists of 256.90 acres in two parcels and is developed with one dwelling. Therefore, state land use regulations prohibit the claimant from establishing one dwelling on the Measure 37 claim property.

---

2 The documentation for this claim indicates that there may be one temporary dwelling currently located on the subject property. Temporary dwellings are not considered in determining the number of dwellings currently existing on the property.
6. The Establishment of the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling Is Not Prohibited by a Land Use Regulation Described in ORS 195.305(3)

ORS 195.305(3) exempts from claims under Measure 49 land use regulations:

(a) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law;
(b) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety;
(c) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law; or
(d) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Based on the documentation submitted by the claimant, it does not appear that the establishment of the dwelling is prohibited by land use regulations described in ORS 195.305(3).

7. On the Claimant’s Acquisition Date, the Claimant Lawfully Was Permitted to Establish at Least the Number of Lots, Parcels or Dwellings on the Property That Are Authorized Under Section 6 of Measure 49

A claimant’s acquisition date is “the date the claimant became the owner of the property as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located. If there is more than one claimant for the same property under the same claim and the claimants have different acquisition dates, the acquisition date is the earliest of those dates.”

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Lane County deed records indicate that the claimant acquired tax lot 1301 (29.67 acres) on August 22, 1958, and tax lot 1209 (227.03 acres) on August 15, 1973.

On August 22, 1958, and August 14, 1973, the Measure 37 claim property was not subject to any local or state laws that would have prohibited the claimant from establishing at least one additional dwelling. Therefore, the claimant lawfully could have established one dwelling on the Measure 37 claim property under Section 6 of Measure 49, as amended by SB 1049.

II. COMMENTS ON THE PRELIMINARY EVALUATION

The department issued its Preliminary Evaluation for this claim on December 7, 2010. Pursuant to OAR 660-041-0090, the department provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties. No written comments were received in response to the 28-day notice.
III. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis above, the claimant qualifies for one dwelling. Because the property includes a vacant parcel, the dwelling must be sited on the existing vacant parcel (tax lot 1301) within the Measure 37 claim property, and the claimant does not qualify to create an additional parcel on which to site the dwelling. Therefore, the one dwelling approval the claimant qualifies for under Section 6 of Measure 49, as amended by SB 1049, will authorize the claimant to establish one dwelling on the Measure 37 claim property.

IV. AUTHORIZATION

Based on the analysis set forth above, this claim is approved, and the claimant qualifies for one dwelling approval. As explained in section III above, the claimant is authorized for one dwelling on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief, subject to the following terms:

1. The establishment of a dwelling based on a Measure 49 authorization must comply with all applicable standards governing siting or development. However, those standards must not be applied in a manner that prohibits the establishment of the dwelling unless the standards are reasonably necessary to avoid or abate a nuisance, to protect public health or safety, or to carry out federal law.

2. An authorization under Measure 49 does not allow the establishment of a dwelling in violation of a land use regulation described in ORS 195.305(3) or in violation of any other law that is not a land use regulation as defined by ORS 195.300(14).

3. A claimant is not eligible for more than 20 home site approvals under Sections 5 to 11 of Measure 49 regardless of how many properties a claimant owns or how many claims a claimant filed.

4. Temporary dwellings are not considered in determining the number of existing dwellings currently on the property. The claimant may choose to convert a temporary dwelling currently located on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief to an authorized home site pursuant to a Measure 49 dwelling approval. Otherwise, any temporary dwelling is subject to the terms of the local permit requirements under which it was approved, and is subject to removal at the end of the term for which it is allowed.

5. An authorization under Measure 49 only allows the establishment of a new dwelling on the property for which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief. No additional development is authorized on contiguous property for which no Measure 37 claim was filed, or on Measure 37 claim property on which a claimant is not eligible for Measure 49 relief.

6. The claimant may use an authorization to convert an unauthorized or nonconforming dwelling currently located on the claim property into an allowed use.

7. The claimant may not implement the relief described in a Measure 49 authorization if a claimant has been determined to have a common law vested right to a use described in a
Measure 37 waiver for the property. Therefore, if a claimant has been determined in a final judgment or final order that is not subject to further appeal to have a common law vested right as described in Section 5(3) of Measure 49 to any use on the Measure 37 claim property, then any Measure 49 authorization for the property will be void. However, so long as no claimant has been determined in such a final judgment or final order to have a common law vested right to a use described in a Measure 37 waiver for the property, a use that has been completed on the property pursuant to a Measure 37 waiver may be authorized using this approval.

8. An authorization under Measure 49 does not allow the establishment of a new dwelling on a lot or parcel that already contains one or more dwellings. The claimant may be required to partition a lot or parcel currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property so that the authorized dwelling established on the property is sited on a separate lot or parcel.

9. If the property described in a claim is divided by an urban growth boundary, any new dwelling that is established on the property pursuant to an authorization must be located on the portion of the property outside the urban growth boundary.

10. Because the property is located in an exclusive farm use zone, the owner must comply with the requirements of ORS 215.293 before beginning construction.

11. If an owner of the property is authorized by other home site approvals to subdivide, partition, or establish dwellings on other Measure 37 claim properties, Measure 49 authorizes the owner to cluster some or all of the authorized lots, parcels or dwellings that would otherwise be located on land in an exclusive farm use zone, a forest zone or a mixed farm and forest zone on a single Measure 37 claim property that is zoned residential use or is located in an exclusive farm use zone, a forest zone or a mixed farm and forest zone but is less suitable for farm or forest use than the other Measure 37 claim properties.

12. Once the department issues a final authorization, a dwelling established pursuant to that authorization will run with the property and will transfer with the property. An authorization will not expire, except that if a claimant who received an authorization later conveys the property to a party other than the claimant’s spouse or the trustee of a revocable trust in which the claimant is the settlor, the subsequent owner of the property must establish the authorized dwelling, within 10 years of the conveyance. A dwelling lawfully created based on this authorization is a permitted use.
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Final Order and Authorization is entered by the Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development as a final order of the department and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.300 to ORS 195.336 and OAR 660-041-0000 to 660-041-0160.

FOR THE DEPARTMENT AND THE LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION:

[Signature]
Kristen May, Division Manager
Dept. of Land Conservation and Development
Dated this ___ day of January, 2011.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to judicial remedies including the following:

1. Judicial review is available to anyone who is an owner of the property as defined in Measure 49 that is the subject of this final determination, or a person who timely submitted written evidence or comments to the department concerning this final determination.

2. Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 must be filed in the Circuit Court in the county in which the affected property is located. Upon motion of any party to the proceedings, the proceedings may be transferred to any other county with jurisdiction under ORS 183.484 in the manner provided by law for change of venue.

3. Judicial review of this final determination is limited to the evidence in the record of the department at the time of its final determination. Copies of the documents that comprise the record are available for review at the department’s office at 635 Capitol St. NE, Suite 150, Salem, OR 97301-2540. Judicial review is only available for issues that were raised before the department with sufficient specificity to afford the department an opportunity to respond.