OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (MEASURE 49) SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW
OF MEASURE 37 CLAIM
Final Order and Home Site Authorization

STATE ELECTION NUMBER: E129550

CLAIMANT:
Clara M. Ottaway
3500 Liberty Road
Dallas, OR 97338

MEASURE 37 PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION:
Township 8S, Range 6W, Section 13
Tax lots 100, 104, and 200
Polk County

AGENT CONTACT INFORMATION:
Anthony James
Shetterly, Irick & Ozias
P O Box 105
Dallas, OR 97338

The claimant, Clara Ottaway, filed a claim with the state under ORS 197.352 (2005) (Measure 37) on June 20, 2006, for property located on Liberty Road, near Dallas, in Polk County. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49) entitles claimants who filed Measure 37 claims to elect supplemental review of their claims. The claimant has elected supplemental review of her Measure 37 claim under Section 6 of Measure 49, which allows the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) to authorize up to three home site approvals to qualified claimants.

This Final Order and Home Site Authorization is the conclusion of the supplemental review of this claim.

1 Information included with the election material indicates that Clara Ottaway died on February 7, 2008. Under Measure 49, if a claimant dies on or after December 6, 2007, entitlement to prosecute a claim passes to the person that acquires the claim property by devise or by operation of law. George Ottaway was also a claimant under Measure 37. However, he died on November 19, 2006. ORS 197.353(3) controls cases where a claimant died prior to the effective date of Measure 49. Under ORS 197.353(3) the deceased claimant must have filed the Measure 37 claim on or after November 1, 2006, in order for the deceased claimant’s heirs or devisees to prosecute a claim. In this case, the Measure 37 claim was filed on June 20, 2006, prior to November 1, 2006. Therefore George Ottaway’s heirs or devisees may not prosecute his former claim.

2 The Measure 37 claim property consisted of tax lots 100, 104, and 200. The claimant did not elect supplemental review for tax lots 100 and 200; however, a claim cannot be amended to remove claim property. Tax lot 100 (113.88 acres) has since been partitioned into two parcels consisting of tax lot 100 (56.92 acres) and 107 (56.94 acres). Tax lot 104 (102 acres) has since been partitioned into tax lot 104 (49.07 acres) and tax lot 105 (47.35 acres). Tax lot 200 (14.9 acres) has been remapped and reconfigured to consist of 20.56 acres.
I. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

A. Maximum Number of Home Sites for Which the Claimant May Qualify

Under Section 6 of Measure 49, the number of home site approvals authorized by the department cannot exceed the lesser of the following: three; the number stated by the claimant in the election materials; or the number described in a Measure 37 waiver issued by the state, or if no waiver was issued, the number of home sites described in the Measure 37 claim filed with the state. However, if the number of lots, parcels and dwellings currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and the contiguous property under the same ownership is equal to or greater than the maximum number of home sites a claimant could otherwise qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49, a claimant may qualify for only one home site approval.

The claimant has requested four home site approvals in the election material. The Measure 37 waiver issued for this claim describes 13 home sites. However, because it appears that the Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property in the same ownership contain three or more lots or parcels that are developed with dwellings or have been sold, the claimant may qualify for a maximum of one home site approval.

B. Qualification Requirements

To qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49, the claimant must meet each of the following requirements:

1. Timeliness of Claim

A claimant must have filed a Measure 37 claim for the property with either the state or the county in which the property is located on or before June 28, 2007, and must have filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and the county before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007. If the state Measure 37 claim was filed after December 4, 2006, the claim must also have been filed in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

The claimant, Clara Ottaway, filed a Measure 37 claim, M129550, with the state on June 20, 2006. The claimant filed a Measure 37 claim, M06-34, with Polk County before June 15, 2006. The state claim was filed prior to December 4, 2006.

The claimant timely filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and Polk County.

2. The Claimant Is an Owner of the Property

Measure 49 defines “Owner” as: “(a) The owner of fee title to the property as shown in the deed records of the county where the property is located; (b) The purchaser under a land sale contract, if there is a recorded land sale contract in force for the property; or (c) If the property is owned
by the trustee of a revocable trust, the settlor of a revocable trust, except that when the trust becomes irrevocable only the trustee is the owner.”

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions:**

According to the deeds submitted by the claimant, Clara Ottaway is the settlor of a revocable trust into which she conveyed reconfigured tax lot 104 the Measure 37 claim property and, therefore, is an owner of the property under Measure 49.

Polk County has confirmed that the claimant is the current owner of the property.

According to the information submitted by the claimant, Clara Ottaway is no longer an owner of the Measure 37 claim property consisting of reconfigured tax lot 100, newly created tax lot 105, newly created tax lot 107 and reconfigured tax lot 200 for the purposes of Measure 49.

3. All Owners Have Consented in Writing to the Claim

All owners of the property must consent to the claim in writing.

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions:**

All owners of the property have consented to the claim in writing.

4. The Property Is Located Entirely Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary and Entirely Outside the Boundaries of Any City

The Measure 37 claim property must be located entirely outside any urban growth boundary and entirely outside the boundaries of any city.

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions:**

The Measure 37 claim property is located in Polk County, outside the urban growth boundary and outside the city limits of the nearest city, Dallas.

5. One or More Land Use Regulations Prohibit Establishing the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling

One or more land use regulations must prohibit establishing the requested lot, parcel or dwelling.

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions:**

The property is currently zoned Farm/Forest (FF) by Polk County, in accordance with Goals 3 and 4, as implemented by OAR 660-006-0050. State land use regulations, including applicable provisions of ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, divisions 6 and 33, generally prohibit the establishment of a lot or parcel less than 80 acres in size in a mixed farm/forest zone and regulate the establishment of dwellings on new or existing lots or parcels. Those provisions also regulate the establishment of dwellings on new or existing lots or parcels and include restrictions on
establishing more than one dwelling on a single tract. Under ORS 215.780(2)(a), counties may adopt minimum lot sizes smaller than 80 acres, subject to approval by the Land Conservation and Development Commission (the Commission). The Commission has approved Polk County's FF zone, which requires a minimum lot size of 40 acres.

The Measure 37 claim property consists of 230.84 acres that make up a single tract. Therefore, state land use regulations prohibit the claimant from establishing on the Measure 37 claim property the one home site the claimant may qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49.

6. The Establishment of the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling Is Not Prohibited by a Land Use Regulation Described in ORS 195.305(3)

ORS 195.305(3) exempts from claims under Measure 49 land use regulations:

(a) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law;
(b) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety;
(c) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law; or
(d) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Based on the documentation submitted by the claimant, it does not appear that the establishment of the one home site for which the claimant may qualify on the property is prohibited by land use regulations described in ORS 195.305(3).

7. On the Claimant's Acquisition Date, the Claimant Lawfully Was Permitted to Establish at Least the Number of Lots, Parcels or Dwellings on the Property That Are Authorized Under Section 6 of Measure 49

A claimant's acquisition date is "the date the claimant became the owner of the property as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located. If there is more than one claimant for the same property under the same claim and the claimants have different acquisition dates, the acquisition date is the earliest of those dates."

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Polk County deed records indicate that the claimant acquired the property on November 22, 1968.

On November 22, 1968, the Measure 37 claim property was not subject to any local or state laws that would have prohibited the claimant from establishing the one home site for which the claimant may be eligible in addition to existing development. Therefore, the claimant lawfully
could have established the one home site the claimant qualifies for under Section 6 of Measure 49.

II. COMMENTS ON THE PRELIMINARY EVALUATION

The department issued its Preliminary Evaluation for this claim on February 23, 2010. Pursuant to OAR 660-041-0090, the department provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties. No written comments were received in response to the 28-day notice.

III. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis above, the claimant does not qualify for Measure 49 home site approvals on the Measure 37 claim property consisting of reconfigured tax lot 100, newly created tax lot 105, newly created tax lot 107 and reconfigured tax lot 200 because she is no longer an owner for the purposes of Measure 49.

Based on the analysis above, the claimant qualifies for one home site approval on reconfigured tax lot 104.

The number of lots, parcels or dwellings that a claimant is authorized to establish pursuant to a home site authorization is reduced by the number of lots, parcels or dwellings currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and any contiguous property under the same ownership according to the methodology stated in Section 6(2)(b) and 6(3) of Measure 49. If a claimant otherwise qualifies for relief under Section 6 of Measure 49, the claimant will be able to establish at least one additional lot, parcel or dwelling, regardless of the number of dwellings currently in existence.

Based on the documentation provided by the claimant’s attorney and information from Polk County, the Measure 37 claim property that the claimant owns includes one lot or parcel and one dwelling. As demonstrated by the supplemental information submitted by the claimant, information from Polk County obtained by the department and the claim record, the claimant no longer owns reconfigured tax lot 100, newly created tax lots 105 and 107 and reconfigured tax lot 200 (T8S R6W S13) which are contiguous to tax lot 104 and are part of the entire Measure 37 claim property. Therefore, the one home site approval the claimant qualifies for under Section 6 of Measure 49 will authorize the claimant to establish one additional lot or parcel and one additional dwelling on reconfigured tax lot 104 of the Measure 37 claim property. The dwelling must be on a separate lot or parcel, and must be contained within reconfigured tax lot 104 of the Measure 37 claim property.

IV. HOME SITE AUTHORIZATION

Based on the analysis set forth above, this claim is approved, and the claimant qualifies for one home site approval. As explained in section III above, after taking into account the number of existing lots, parcels or dwellings, the claimant is authorized to establish one additional lot or parcel and one additional dwelling on reconfigured tax lot 104 of the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief, subject to the following terms:
1. Each dwelling must be on a separate lot or parcel, and must be contained within the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief. The establishment of a land division or dwelling based on a Measure 49 home site authorization must comply with all applicable standards governing the siting or development of the land division or dwelling. However, those standards must not be applied in a manner that prohibits the establishment of the land division or dwelling, unless the standards are reasonably necessary to avoid or abate a nuisance, to protect public health or safety, or to carry out federal law.

2. A home site authorization will not authorize the establishment of a land division or dwelling in violation of a land use regulation described in ORS 195.305(3) or in violation of any other law that is not a land use regulation as defined by ORS 195.300(14).

3. A claimant is not eligible for more than 20 home site approvals under Sections 5 to 11 of Measure 49 regardless of how many properties a claimant owns or how many claims a claimant filed. If the claimant has developed the limit of twenty home sites under Measure 49, the claimant is no longer eligible for the home site approval that is the subject of this order.

4. Statements in this final order regarding the number of lots, parcels or dwellings currently existing on the Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property are not a determination on the current legal status of those lots, parcels or dwellings.

5. Temporary dwellings are not considered in determining the number of existing dwellings currently on the property. The claimant may choose to convert any temporary dwelling currently located on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief to an authorized home site pursuant to a Measure 49 home site approval. Otherwise, any temporary dwelling is subject to the terms of the local permit requirements under which it was approved, and is subject to removal at the end of the term for which it is allowed.

6. A home site approval only authorizes the establishment of a new lot, parcel or dwelling on property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief. No additional development is authorized on contiguous property for which no Measure 37 claim was filed or on Measure 37 claim property on which a claimant is not eligible for Measure 49 relief. A lot or parcel established pursuant to a home site approval must either be the site of a dwelling that is currently in existence or be the future site of a dwelling that may be established pursuant to the home site approval.

7. The claimant may use a home site approval to convert a lot, parcel or dwelling currently located on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief to an authorized home site.

8. The claimant may not implement the relief described in this Measure 49 home site authorization if a claimant has been determined to have a common law vested right to a use described in a Measure 37 waiver for the property. Therefore, if a claimant has been determined in a final judgment or final order that is not subject to further appeal to have a common law vested right as described in Section 5(3) of Measure 49 to any use on the Measure 37 claim property, then this Measure 49 Home Site Authorization is void. However,
so long as no claimant has been determined in such a final judgment or final order to have a
common law vested right to a use described in a Measure 37 waiver for the property, a use
that has been completed on the property pursuant to a Measure 37 waiver may be converted
to an authorized home site.

9. A home site approval does not authorize the establishment of a new dwelling on a lot or
parcel that already contains one or more dwellings.

10. If the claimant transferred ownership interest in the Measure 37 claim property prior to the
date of this order, this order is rendered invalid and authorizes no home site approvals.
Provided this order is valid when issued, a home site approval authorized under this order
runs with the property and transfers with the property. A home site approval will not expire,
except that if a claimant who received this home site authorization later conveys the property
to a party other than the claimant’s spouse or the trustee of a revocable trust in which the
claimant is the settlor, the subsequent owner of the property must establish the authorized lot,
parcel or dwelling within 10 years of the conveyance. A lot or parcel lawfully created based
on this home site authorization will remain a discrete lot or parcel, unless the lot or parcel
lines are vacated or the lot or parcel is further divided, as provided by law. A dwelling
lawfully created based on a home site approval is a permitted use.

11. Because the property is located in a mixed farm and forest zone, the home site authorization
will not authorize new lots or parcels that exceed five acres. However, existing or remnant
lots or parcels may exceed five acres. Before beginning construction in one of these zones,
the owner must comply with the requirements of ORS 215.293. Further, the home site
authorization will not authorize new lots or parcels that exceed two acres if the new lots or
parcels are located on high-value farmland, on high-value forestland or on land within a
ground water restricted area. However, existing or remnant lots or parcels may exceed two
acres.

12. Because the property is located in a mixed farm and forest zone, Measure 49 requires new
home sites to be clustered so as to maximize suitability of the remnant lot or parcel for farm
or forest use. Further, if an owner of the property is authorized by other home site approvals
to subdivide, partition, or establish dwellings on other Measure 37 claim properties, Measure
49 authorizes the owner to cluster some or all of the authorized lots, parcels or dwellings that
would otherwise be located on land in an exclusive farm use zone, a forest zone or a mixed
farm and forest zone on a single Measure 37 claim property that is zoned residential use or is
located in an exclusive farm use zone, a forest zone or a mixed farm and forest zone but is
less suitable for farm or forest use than the other Measure 37 claim properties.

13. To the extent that any law, order, deed, agreement or other legally enforceable public or
private requirement provides that the subject property may not be used without a permit,
license or other form of authorization or consent, this home site authorization will not
authorize the use of the property unless the claimant first obtains that permit, license or other
form of authorization or consent. Such requirements may include, but are not limited to: a
building permit, a land use decision, a permit as defined in ORS 215.402 or 227.160, other
permits or authorizations from local, state or federal agencies, and restrictions on the use of
the subject property imposed by private parties.
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Final Order and Home Site Authorization is entered by the Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development as a final order of the department and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.300 to ORS 195.336 and OAR 660-041-0000 to 660-041-0160.

FOR THE DEPARTMENT AND THE LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION:

[Signature]
Judith Moore, Division Manager
Dept. of Land Conservation and Development
Dated this 1st day of April 2010.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to judicial remedies including the following:

1. Judicial review is available to anyone who is an owner of the property as defined in Measure 49 that is the subject of this final determination, or a person who timely submitted written evidence or comments to the department concerning this final determination.

2. Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 must be filed in the Circuit Court in the county in which the affected property is located. Upon motion of any party to the proceedings, the proceedings may be transferred to any other county with jurisdiction under ORS 183.484 in the manner provided by law for change of venue.

3. Judicial review of this final determination is limited to the evidence in the record of the department at the time of its final determination. Copies of the documents that comprise the record are available for review at the department’s office at 635 Capitol St. NE, Suite 150, Salem, OR 97301-2540. Judicial review is only available for issues that were raised before the department with sufficient specificity to afford the department an opportunity to respond.