OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (MEASURE 49) SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW OF MEASURE 37 CLAIM
Final Order and Home Site Authorization

January 16, 2009

STATE ELECTION NUMBER: E129715

CLAIMANT: John H. and Jane G. Frederick
13622 SW Pleasant Valley Road
Beaverton, Oregon 97007

MEASURE 37 PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION: Township 2S, Range 2W, Section 1
Tax lot 3100 (1)
Washington County

The claimants, John and Jane Frederick, filed a claim under ORS 197.352 (2005) (Measure 37) on July 20, 2006, for property located on the east side of SW Pleasant Valley Road, south of its intersection with Scholls Ferry Road, near Beaverton, in Washington County. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49) entitles claimants who filed Measure 37 claims to elect supplemental review of their claims. The claimants elected supplemental review of their Measure 37 claim under Section 6 of Measure 49, which allows the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) to authorize up to three home site approvals to qualified claimants. This Final Order and Home Site Authorization is the conclusion of the supplemental review of this claim.

I. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

A. Maximum Number of Home Sites for Which the Claimants May Qualify

Under Section 6 of Measure 49, the number of home site approvals authorized by the department cannot exceed the lesser of the following: three; the number stated by the claimant in the election materials; or the number described in a Measure 37 waiver issued by the state, or if no waiver was issued, the number of home sites described in the Measure 37 claim filed with the state. The

(1) As stated in the Measure 37 Final Staff Report and Recommendation, the Measure 37 claim as filed on July 20, 2006, also included tax lots 2101 and 2303, which adjoin tax lot 3100. Claimants withdrew the claim as to tax lots 2101 and 2303 and a portion of tax lot 3100 that lies in Section 12 (T2S R2W). As a result, the Final Order only related to the remaining 17.89-acre portion of tax lot 3100 that lies in Section 1 (T2S R2W). Accordingly, for purposes of this claim, the subject property is identified as only the 17.89-acre portion of tax lot 3100 located in Section 1 (T2S R2W).
claimants have requested three home site approvals in the election material. The Measure 37 waiver issued for this claim describes four home sites. Therefore, the claimants may qualify for a maximum of three home site approvals under Section 6 of Measure 49.

B. Qualification Requirements

To qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49 the claimants must meet each of the following requirements:

1. Timeliness of Claim

A claimant must have filed a Measure 37 claim for the property with either the state or the county in which the property is located on or before June 28, 2007, and must have filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and the county before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007. If the state Measure 37 claim was filed after December 4, 2006, the claim must also have been filed in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

The claimants, John and Jane Frederick, filed a Measure 37 claim, M129715, with the state on July 20, 2006. The claimants filed a Measure 37 claim, 37CL0245, with Washington County on June 14, 2005. The state claim was filed prior to December 4, 2006. The claimants timely filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and Washington County.

2. The Claimant Is an Owner of the Property

Measure 49 defines “Owner” as: “(a) The owner of fee title to the property as shown in the deed records of the county where the property is located; (b) The purchaser under a land sale contract, if there is a recorded land sale contract in force for the property; or (c) If the property is owned by the trustee of a revocable trust, the settlor of a revocable trust, except that when the trust becomes irrevocable only the trustee is the owner.”

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

According to the deeds submitted by the claimants, John and Jane Frederick are the owners of fee title to the property as shown in the Washington County deed records and, therefore, are owners of the property under Measure 49. Washington County has confirmed that the claimants are the current owners of the property.

3. All Owners Have Consented in Writing to the Claim

All owners of the property must consent to the claim in writing.
Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

All owners of the property have consented to the claim in writing.

4. The Property Is Located Entirely Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary and Entirely Outside the Boundaries of Any City

The Measure 37 claim property must be located entirely outside any urban growth boundary and entirely outside the boundaries of any city.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

The Measure 37 claim property is located in Washington County, outside the urban growth boundary and outside the city limits of the nearest city, Beaverton.

5. One or More Land Use Regulations Prohibit Establishing the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling

One or more land use regulations must prohibit establishing the requested lot, parcel or dwelling.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

The southern 11.2 acres of the subject property are currently zoned Agriculture and Forest (AF-20) by Washington County in accordance with ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 33, because the property is “agricultural land” as defined by Goal 3. Goal 3 requires agricultural land to be zoned exclusive farm use (EFU). Applicable provisions of ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 33, enacted or adopted pursuant to Goal 3, generally prohibit the establishment of a lot or parcel less than 80 acres in size in an EFU zone, and regulate the establishment of dwellings on new or existing lots or parcels.

The remaining 6.7-acre portion of the subject property is zoned Agriculture and Forest 10-Acre (AF-10) by Washington County in accordance with Goal 14, which prohibits the urban use of rural land and requires local comprehensive plans to identify and separate urbanizable from rural land in order to provide for the orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban use. State laws, namely Goal 14 and OAR 660-004-0040, prohibit the establishment of a lot or parcel less than the size established in the County rural residential zone in existence on October 4, 2000, if the zone in existence on that date had a minimum lot size of two or more acres. Washington County’s AF-10 zone requires a minimum lot size of 10 acres.

The claimants’ property consists of 11.2 acres of property zoned AF-20 and 6.7 acres of property zoned AF-10. Therefore, state land use regulations prohibit the claimants from establishing on the Measure 37 claim property the three home sites the claimants may qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49.
6. The Establishment of the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling Is Not Prohibited by a Land Use Regulation Described in ORS 195.305(3)

ORS 195.305(3) exempts from claims under Measure 49 land use regulations:

(a) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law;
(b) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety;
(c) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law; or
(d) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Based on the documentation submitted by the claimants, the establishment of the three home sites for which the claimants may qualify on the property is not prohibited by land use regulations described in ORS 195.305(3).

7. On the Claimant’s Acquisition Date, the Claimant Lawfully Was Permitted to Establish at Least the Number of Lots, Parcels or Dwellings on the Property That Are Authorized Under Section 6 of Measure 49

A claimant’s acquisition date is “the date the claimant became the owner of the property as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located. If there is more than one claimant for the same property under the same claim and the claimants have different acquisition dates, the acquisition date is the earliest of those dates.”

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Washington County deed records indicate that the claimants acquired the southern 11.2 acres of the property and the central 2.7 acres of the property on August 14, 1965; and the northern 4 acres of the property on February 10, 1977.

On August 14, 1965, the Measure 37 claim property consisted of 13.9 acres and was subject to Washington County’s Agricultural (F-1) zone. Washington County’s F-1 zone did not prohibit the establishment of three home sites on a 13.9-acre property. Therefore, the claimants lawfully could have established the three home sites on the southern and central portions of the Measure 37 claim property on their date of acquisition.

On February 10, 1977, the Measure 37 property included 17.89 acres and was subject to state statutes, the statewide planning goals, and implementing regulations. Because the Commission had not acknowledged the county’s comprehensive plan and land use regulations as being in compliance with the statewide planning goals, the statewide planning goals, and goals 3 and 14 in particular, and ORS chapter 215 applied directly to the claimants’ property.
On July 30, 1984, the Commission acknowledged the application of Washington County’s AF-10 and AF-20 zones to the Measure 37 claim property. The Commission’s acknowledgement of Washington County’s AF-10 and AF-20 zones confirmed those zones’ compliance with Goals 3 and 14 and ORS Chapter 215. Washington County’s AF-20 zone required 80 acres for the establishment of a dwelling on a lot or parcel and Washington County’s AF-10 zone required 10 acres for the establishment of a dwelling on a lot or parcel. The claimants’ property consisted of 11.2 acres of property zoned AF-20 and 6.7 acres of property zoned AF-10.

Therefore the claimants lawfully could not have established any home sites on February 10, 1977, in the zones that were ultimately acknowledged to comply with the law that controlled the establishment of dwellings and land divisions on the property on February 10, 1977.

The claimants do not qualify for any home sites on the northern 4 acres of the property because the claimants have not shown that a direct application of the Goals and ORS chapter 215 would have allowed the claimants to establish additional home sites on the northern 4 acres of the property.

II. COMMENTS ON THE PRELIMINARY EVALUATION

The department issued its Preliminary Evaluation for this claim on November 4, 2008. Pursuant to OAR 660-041-0090, the department provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties. Comments received have been taken into account by the department in the issuance of this Final Order and Home Site Authorization.

III. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis above, the claimants qualify for up to three home sites. However, the number of lots, parcels or dwellings that a claimant may establish pursuant to a home site authorization is reduced by the number of lots, parcels or dwellings currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and any contiguous property under the same ownership.

Based on the documentation provided by the claimants and Washington County, the Measure 37 claim property includes one lot or parcel and no dwellings. As demonstrated by information from the county, the claimants also own tax lots 2101, 2303 and a portion of tax lot 3100 that lies in Section 12 (Township 2S, Range 2W), which are contiguous to the Measure 37 claim property. Together, the Measure 37 claim property and the contiguous property in the same ownership include three lots or parcels and two dwellings. Therefore, the three home site approvals the claimants qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49 will authorize the claimants to establish one dwelling. This dwelling must be located on the southern 11.2 acres or the central 2.7 acres of the Measure 37 claim property.

A claimant is not eligible for more than 20 home site approvals under Sections 5 to 11 of Measure 49 regardless of how many properties a claimant owns or how many claims a claimant filed. If the claimants have developed the limit of twenty home sites under Measure 49, the claimants are no longer eligible for the home site approvals that are the subject of this order.
IV. HOME SITE AUTHORIZATION

Based on the analysis set forth above, this claim is approved, and the claimants qualify for three home site approvals. As explained in section III above, after taking into account the number of existing lots, parcels or dwellings the claimants are authorized for one additional dwelling on the portion of the Measure 37 property on which the claimants are eligible for Measure 49 relief, subject to the following terms:

1. Each dwelling must be on a separate lot or parcel, and must be contained within the property on which the claimants are eligible for Measure 49 relief. The establishment of a land division or dwelling based on this home site authorization must comply with all applicable standards governing the siting or development of the land division or dwelling. However, those standards must not be applied in a manner that prohibits the establishment of the land division or dwelling, unless the standards are reasonably necessary to avoid or abate a nuisance, to protect public health or safety, or to carry out federal law.

2. This home site authorization will not authorize the establishment of a land division or dwelling in violation of a land use regulation described in ORS 195.305(3) or in violation of any other law that is not a land use regulation as defined by ORS 195.300(14).

3. The number of lots, parcels or dwellings a claimant may establish under this home site authorization is reduced by the number of lots, parcels and dwellings currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property in the same ownership, regardless of whether evidence of their existence has been provided to the department. If lots, parcels or dwellings currently exist on the Measure 37 claim property or on contiguous property under the same ownership and the lots, parcels or dwellings have not been disclosed to the department, then the number of additional lots, parcels or dwellings a claimant may establish pursuant to this home site authorization must be reduced according to the methodology stated in Section 6(2)(b) and 6(3) of Measure 49.

4. Temporary dwellings are not considered in determining the number of existing dwellings currently on the property. The claimants may choose to convert any temporary dwelling currently located on the property on which the claimants are eligible for Measure 49 relief to an authorized home site pursuant to a home site approval. Otherwise, any temporary dwelling is subject to the terms of the local permit requirements under which it was approved, and is subject to removal at the end of the term for which it is allowed.

5. A home site approval only authorizes the establishment of a new lot, parcel or dwelling on the property on which the claimants are eligible for Measure 49 relief. No additional development is authorized on contiguous property for which no Measure 37 claim was filed or on Measure 37 claim property on which the claimants are not eligible for Measure 49 relief. A lot or parcel established pursuant to a home site approval must either be the site of a dwelling that is currently in existence or be the site of a dwelling that may be established pursuant to the home site approval.

6. The claimants may use a home site approval to convert a lot, parcel or dwelling currently located on the property on which the claimants are eligible for Measure 49 relief to an
authorized home site. If the number of lots parcels or dwellings existing on the property on
which the claimants are eligible for Measure 49 relief exceeds the number of home site
approvals the claimants qualify for under a home site authorization, the claimants may select
which existing lots, parcels or dwellings to convert to authorized home sites; or may
reconfigure existing lots, parcels or dwellings so that the number is equivalent to the number
of home site approvals.

7. A home site approval does not authorize the establishment of a new dwelling on a lot or
parcel that already contains one or more dwellings. The claimants may be required to alter
the configuration of the lots or parcels currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim
property and contiguous property so that each additional dwelling established on the
property on which the claimants are eligible for Measure 49 relief, pursuant to this home site
authorization, is sited on a separate lot or parcel.

8. If the claimants transferred their ownership interest in the Measure 37 claim property prior
to the date of this order, this order is rendered invalid and authorizes no home site approvals.
Provided this order is valid when issued, a home site approval authorized under this order
runs with the property and transfers with the property. A home site approval will not expire,
except that if a claimant who received this home site authorization later conveys the
property to a party other than the claimant’s spouse or the trustee of a revocable trust in
which the claimant is the settlor, the subsequent owner of the property must establish the
authorized lots, parcels and dwellings within 10 years of the conveyance. A lot or parcel
lawfully created based on this home site authorization will remain a discrete lot or parcel,
unless the lot or parcel lines are vacated or the lot or parcel is further divided, as provided
by law. A dwelling lawfully created based on a home site approval is a permitted use.

9. Because the property is located in an exclusive farm use zone, the home site authorization
does not authorize new lots or parcels that exceed five acres. However, existing lots or
parcels may exceed five acres. Before beginning construction, the owner must comply with
the requirements of ORS 215.293. Further, the home site authorization will not authorize
new lots or parcels that exceed two acres if the new lots or parcels are located on high-value
farmland, on high-value forestland or on land within a ground water restricted area.
However, existing lots or parcels may exceed two acres.

10. Because the property is located in an exclusive farm use zone, Measure 49 requires new
home sites to be clustered so as to maximize suitability of the remnant lot or parcel for farm
or forest use. Further, if an owner of the property is authorized by other home site
authorizations to subdivide, partition, or establish dwellings on other Measure 37 claim
properties, Measure 49 authorizes the owner to cluster some or all of the authorized lots,
parcels or dwellings that would otherwise be located on land in an exclusive farm use zone,
a forest zone or a mixed farm and forest zone on a single Measure 37 claim property that is
zoned residential use or is located in an exclusive farm use zone, a forest zone or a mixed
farm and forest zone but is less suitable for farm or forest use than the other Measure 37
claim properties.
11. To the extent that any law, order, deed, agreement or other legally enforceable public or private requirement provides that the subject property may not be used without a permit, license or other form of authorization or consent, this home site authorization will not authorize the use of the property unless the claimants first obtain that permit, license or other form of authorization or consent. Such requirements may include, but are not limited to: a building permit, a land use decision, a permit as defined in ORS 215.402 or 227.160, other permits or authorizations from local, state or federal agencies, and restrictions on the use of the subject property imposed by private parties.
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Final Order and Home Site Authorization is entered by the Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development as a final order of the department and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.300 to ORS 195.336 and OAR 660-041-0000 to 660-041-0160.

FOR THE DEPARTMENT AND THE LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION:

______________________________
Cora R. Parker, Deputy Director
Dept. of Land Conservation and Development
Dated this ____ day of January 2009.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to judicial remedies including the following:

1. Judicial review is available to anyone who is an owner of the property as defined in Measure 49 that it the subject of this final determination, or a person who timely submitted written evidence or comments to the department concerning this final determination.

2. Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 must be filed in the Circuit Court in the county in which the affected property is located. Upon motion of any party to the proceedings, the proceedings may be transferred to any other county with jurisdiction under ORS 183.484 in the manner provided by law for change of venue.

3. Judicial review of this final determination is limited to the evidence in the record of the department at the time of its final determination. Copies of the documents that comprise the record are available for review at the department’s office at 635 Capitol St. NE, Suite 150, Salem, OR 97301-2540. Judicial review is only available for issues that were raised before the department with sufficient specificity to afford the department an opportunity to respond.