

# OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

# ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (MEASURE 49) SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW OF MEASURE 37 CLAIM Final Order and Home Site Authorization

STATE ELECTION NUMBER:

E131020

**CLAIMANTS:** 

Bryce H. Johnston

3732 North Clarey Street Eugene, Oregon 97402-8744

**MEASURE 37 PROPERTY** 

**IDENTIFICATION:** 

Township 17S, Range 12W:

Section 22, Tax lot 400 Section 23, Tax lot 800

Lane County

**AGENT CONTACT INFORMATION:** 

Roy Carver III

Carver Property Management Corp.

PO Box 51505 Eugene, OR 97405

The claimant, Bryce Johnston, filed a claim with the state under ORS 197.352 (2005) (Measure 37) on November 21, 2006, for property located at 90000 and 90019 Highway 101, near Florence, in Lane County. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49) entitles claimants who filed Measure 37 claims to elect supplemental review of their claims. The claimant has elected supplemental review of his Measure 37 claim under Section 7 of Measure 49, which allows the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) to authorize up to ten home site approvals to qualified claimants.

This Final Order and Home Site Authorization is the conclusion of the supplemental review of this claim.

# I. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

## A. Maximum Number of Home Sites for Which the Claimant May Qualify

Under Section 7 of Measure 49, the number of home site approvals authorized by the department cannot exceed the lesser of the following: ten; the number stated by the claimant in the election materials; or the number described in a Measure 37 waiver issued by the state, or if no waiver was issued, the number of home sites described in the Measure 37 claim filed with the state; or the number of home site approvals with a total value that represents just compensation for the

reduction in fair market value caused by the enactment of one or more land use regulations that were the basis for the claim. The Measure 37 waiver issued for this claim describes sixty-one (61) home sites. The claimant has requested ten home site approvals in the election material. The appraisal and addendum submitted by the claimant indicates that the value of ten home site approvals is equal to or less than the loss of value caused by the enactment of land use regulations. Therefore, the claimant may qualify for a maximum of ten home site approvals under Section 7 of Measure 49.

#### **B.** Qualification Requirements

To qualify for a home site approval under Section 7 of Measure 49, the claimants must meet each of the following requirements:

### 1. Property not high-value farm, forest or groundwater restricted

The Measure 37 claim property must not be high-value farmland or high-value forestland, nor in a ground water restricted area, as defined in Section 2 of Measure 49.

#### Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Based on documentation submitted by the claimant with his election and a certified forester's report submitted by the claimant on August 20, 2009, in response to the preliminary review it appears that the property is not high-value farmland or high-value forestland and is not in a ground water restricted area.

#### 2. Timeliness of Claim

A claimant must have filed a Measure 37 claim for the property with either the state or the county in which the property is located on or before June 28, 2007, and must have filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and the county before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007. If the state Measure 37 claim was filed after December 4, 2006, the claim must also have been filed in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

#### Findings of Fact and Conclusions

The claimant, Bryce Johnston, filed a Measure 37 claim, M131020, with the state on November 21, 2006. The claimant filed a Measure 37 claim, PA06-6213, with Lane County on or prior to July 7, 2006. The state claim was filed prior to December 4, 2006.

The claimant timely filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and Lane County.

### 3. The Claimant Is an Owner of the Property

Measure 49 defines "Owner" as: "(a) The owner of fee title to the property as shown in the deed records of the county where the property is located; (b) The purchaser under a land sale contract,

if there is a recorded land sale contract in force for the property; or (c) If the property is owned by the trustee of a revocable trust, the settlor of a revocable trust, except that when the trust becomes irrevocable only the trustee is the owner."

## Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

According to the deeds submitted by the claimant, Bryce Johnston is the settlor of a revocable trust into which he conveyed the Measure 37 claim property and, therefore, is an owner of the property under Measure 49.

Lane County has confirmed that the claimant is the current owner of the property.

# 4. All Owners of the Property Have Consented in Writing to the Claim

All owners of the property must consent to the claim in writing.

#### **Findings of Fact and Conclusions:**

All owners of the property have consented to the claim in writing.

# 5. The Property Is Located Entirely Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary and Entirely Outside the Boundaries of Any City

The Measure 37 claim property must be located entirely outside any urban growth boundary and entirely outside the boundaries of any city.

#### Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

The Measure 37 claim property is located in Lane County, outside the urban growth boundary and outside the city limits of the nearest city, Florence.

# 6. One or More Land Use Regulations Prohibit Establishing the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling

One or more land use regulations must prohibit establishing the requested lot, parcel or dwelling.

### **Findings of Fact and Conclusions:**

The property is currently zoned Impacted Forest Lands Zone (F-2) by Lane County, in accordance with ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 6, because the property is "forest land" under Goal 4. Applicable provisions of ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660 division 6, enacted or adopted pursuant to Goal 4, generally prohibit the establishment of a lot or parcel less than 80 acres in size in a forest zone and regulate the establishment of dwellings on new or existing lots or parcels.

Based on Lane County Assessor's data, the claimant's property consists of 115.7 acres. Therefore, state land use regulations prohibit the claimants from establishing on the Measure 37 claim property the ten home sites the claimants may qualify for under Section 7 of Measure 49.

# 7. The Establishment of the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling Is Not Prohibited by a Land Use Regulation Described in ORS 195.305(3)

ORS 195.305(3) exempts from claims under Measure 49 land use regulations:

- (a) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law;
- (b) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety;
- (c) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law; or
- (d) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing.

## Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Based on the documentation submitted by the claimants, it does not appear that the establishment of the ten home sites for which the claimants may qualify on the property is prohibited by land use regulations described in ORS 195.305(3).

# 8. On the Claimant's Acquisition Date, the Claimant Lawfully Was Permitted to Establish at Least the Number of Lots, Parcels or Dwellings on the Property That Are Authorized Under Section 7 of Measure 49

A claimant's acquisition date is "the date the claimant became the owner of the property as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located. If there is more than one claimant for the same property under the same claim and the claimants have different acquisition dates, the acquisition date is the earliest of those dates."

# Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Lane County deed records indicate that the claimant acquired the property on October 19, 1943.

On October 19, 1943, the Measure 37 claim property was not subject to any local or state laws that would have prohibited the claimant from establishing at least ten lots or parcels and at least ten dwellings. Therefore, the claimant lawfully could have established the ten home sites the claimant is requesting under Section 7 of Measure 49.

# 9. The enactment of one or more land use regulations that are the basis for this claim, caused a reduction in the fair market value of the Measure 37 claim property that is equal to or greater than the fair market value of the home site approvals requested

Sections 7 and 8 of Measure 49 require that the reduction in the fair market value of the property be demonstrated through an appraisal that meets the following requirements:

a) The appraisal must be submitted within 180 days after the Measure 49 election is filed with the department.

The claimant submitted his election on February 27, 2008, and his appraisal on August 12, 2008. Therefore, the appraisal was submitted within 180 days of the election filing.

b) The appraisal must be prepared by a person certified under ORS chapter 674 or a person registered under ORS chapter 308.

The appraisers, Paul H. Schaffner, Bradford J. Thompson and Charles P. Thompson, signing the appraisal and appraisal addendum submitted are state-certified general appraisers; therefore, this requirement has been met.

c) The appraisal must comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, as authorized by the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989.

An appraisal review commissioned by the department stated that when combined with the appraisal addendum filed by the claimant's representative on August 20, 2009, the claimant's appraisal filed for the Measure 37 claim property meets the threshold requirements for Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice compliance; therefore, this requirement has been met.

d) The appraisal must expressly determine the highest and best use of the property at the time the land use regulation was enacted and the highest and best use must be determined to be residential use.

The appraisal and appraisal addendum submitted determines that the highest and best use of the Measure 37 claim property at the time the land use regulation was enacted was an 88-lot subdivision; therefore, this requirement has been met.

e) The appraisal must show the fair market value of the property one year before and one year after the enactment of the regulation(s) the claimants assert have resulted in a reduction of the fair market value of the Measure 37 claim property.

The claimant asserts that the enactment of State Land Use Planning Goal 4 reduced the fair market value of the Measure 37 claim property. The appraisal submitted by the claimant values the property on December 28, 1973, one year before Goal 4 was enacted, and on

December 28, 1975, one year after Goal 4 was enacted; therefore, this requirement has been met.

f) The reduction in fair market value of the Measure 37 property determined by the appraisal shall be adjusted by any ad valorem property taxes not paid, any severance taxes paid and any recapture of additional tax liability that the claimant has paid or will pay for the property if the property is disqualified from special assessment under ORS 308A.703 as required by Section 7 (6) and (7).

In the appraisal submitted by the claimant the reduction in fair market value based on the difference between the value of the Measure 37 claim property on December 28, 1975, and December 28, 1973, has been adjusted for interest and reduced by tax savings adjusted for interest; therefore, this requirement has been met.

g) The appraisal must show the present fair market value of each lot, parcel or dwelling that the claimant is seeking under section 7(2) of Measure 49.

The appraisal and materials submitted by the claimant on August 20, 2009, in response to the preliminary evaluation indicate the present fair market value of the requested ten home sites to be approximately \$1,111,000.

Considering that the criteria included in subsections (a) through (g) above have been met, the appraisal submitted by the claimants meets the requirements of Sections 7 and 8 of Measure 49.

The appraisal submitted by the claimants estimates the claimant's loss in property value due to the enactment of Goal 4 at approximately \$1,760,699. Therefore, because the value of the requested ten home sites is less than the loss of value to the property due to the enactment of Goal 4 as established by the appraisal submitted by the claimant, the claimant qualifies for the maximum of ten home site approvals under Section 7 of Measure 49.

#### II. COMMENTS ON THE PRELIMINARY EVALUATION

The department issued its Preliminary Evaluation for this claim on September 1, 2009. Pursuant to OAR 660-041-0090, the department provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties. Comments received have been taken into account by the department in the issuance of this Final Order and Home Site Authorization.

#### III. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis above, the claimant qualifies for up to ten home sites. However, the number of lots, parcels or dwellings that a claimant may establish pursuant to a home site authorization is reduced by the number of lots, parcels or dwellings currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and any contiguous property under the same ownership according to the methodology stated in Section 7 of Measure 49.

Based on the documentation provided by the claimant and information from Lane County, the Measure 37 claim property includes two lots or parcels that are undeveloped. There is no contiguous property under the same ownership. Therefore, the ten home site approvals the claimant qualifies for under Section 7 of Measure 49 will authorize the claimant to establish up to eight additional lots or parcels and ten additional dwellings on the Measure 37 claim property.

#### IV. HOME SITE AUTHORIZATION

Based on the analysis set forth above, this claim is approved, and the claimant qualifies for ten home site approvals. As explained in section III above, after taking into account the number of existing lots, parcels or dwellings, the claimants are authorized for eight additional lots or parcels and ten additional dwellings on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief, subject to the following terms:

- 1. Each dwelling must be on a separate lot or parcel, and must be contained within the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief. The establishment of a land division or dwelling based on this home site authorization must comply with all applicable standards governing the siting or development of the land division or dwelling. However, those standards must not be applied in a manner that prohibits the establishment of the land division or dwelling, unless the standards are reasonably necessary to avoid or abate a nuisance, to protect public health or safety, or to carry out federal law.
- 2. This home site authorization will not authorize the establishment of a land division or dwelling in violation of a land use regulation described in ORS 195.305(3) or in violation of any other law that is not a land use regulation as defined by ORS 195.300(14).
- 3. A claimant is not eligible for more than 20 home site approvals under Sections 5 to 11 of Measure 49 regardless of how many properties a claimant owns or how many claims a claimant filed. If the claimant has developed the limit of twenty home sites under Measure 49, the claimant is no longer eligible for the home site approvals that are the subject of this order.
- 4. The number of lots, parcels or dwellings a claimant may establish under this home site authorization is reduced by the number of lots, parcels and dwellings currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property in the same ownership, regardless of whether evidence of their existence has been provided to the department. If, based on the information available to the department, the department has calculated the number of currently existing lots, parcels or dwellings to be either greater than or less than the number of lots, parcels or dwellings actually in existence on the Measure 37 claim property or contiguous property under the same ownership, then the number of additional lots, parcels or dwellings a claimant may establish pursuant to this home site authorization must be adjusted according to the methodology stated in Section 7(2)(b) of Measure 49. Statements in this final order regarding the number of lots, parcels or dwellings currently existing on the Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property are not a determination on the current legal status of those lots, parcels or dwellings.

- 5. Temporary dwellings are not considered in determining the number of existing dwellings currently on the property. The claimant may choose to convert any temporary dwelling currently located on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief to an authorized home site pursuant to a home site approval. Otherwise, any temporary dwelling is subject to the terms of the local permit requirements under which it was approved, and is subject to removal at the end of the term for which it is allowed.
- 6. A home site approval only authorizes the establishment of a new lot, parcel or dwelling on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief. No additional development is authorized on contiguous property for which no Measure 37 claim was filed or on Measure 37 claim property on which the claimant is not eligible for Measure 49 relief. A lot or parcel established pursuant to a home site approval must either be the site of a dwelling that is currently in existence or be the site of a dwelling that may be established pursuant to the home site approval.
- 7. The claimant may use a home site approval to convert a lot, parcel or dwelling currently located on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief to an authorized home site. If the number of lots, parcels or dwellings existing on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief exceeds the number of home site approvals the claimant qualifies for under a home site authorization, the claimant may select which existing lots, parcels or dwellings to convert to authorized home sites; or may reconfigure existing lots, parcels or dwellings so that the number is equivalent to the number of home site approvals.
- 8. The claimant may not implement the relief described in this Measure 49 home site authorization if a claimant has been determined to have a common law vested right to a use described in a Measure 37 waiver for the property. Therefore, if a claimant has been determined in a final judgment or final order that is not subject to further appeal to have a common law vested right as described in Section 5(3) of Measure 49 to any use on the Measure 37 claim property, then this Measure 49 Home Site Authorization is void. However, so long as no claimant has been determined in such a final judgment or final order to have a common law vested right to a use described in a Measure 37 waiver for the property, a use that has been completed on the property pursuant to a Measure 37 waiver may be converted to an authorized home site.
- 9. A home site approval does not authorize the establishment of a new dwelling on a lot or parcel that already contains one or more dwellings. The claimant may be required to alter the configuration of the lots or parcels currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property so that each additional dwelling established on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief, pursuant to this home site authorization, is sited on a separate lot or parcel.
- 10. Because the property is located in a forest zone, the home site authorization does not authorize new lots or parcels that exceed five acres. However, existing or remnant lots or parcels may exceed five acres. Before beginning construction, the owner must comply with the requirements of ORS 215.293. Further, the home site authorization will not authorize new

- lots or parcels that exceed two acres if the new lots or parcels are located on high-value farmland, on high-value forestland or on land within a ground water restricted area. However, existing or remnant lots or parcels may exceed two acres.
- 11. Because the property is located in a forest zone, Measure 49 requires new home sites to be clustered so as to maximize suitability of the remnant lot or parcel for farm or forest use. Further, if an owner of the property is authorized by other home site authorizations to subdivide, partition, or establish dwellings on other Measure 37 claim properties, Measure 49 authorizes the owner to cluster some or all of the authorized lots, parcels or dwellings that would otherwise be located on land in an exclusive farm use zone, a forest zone or a mixed farm and forest zone on a single Measure 37 claim property that is zoned residential use or is located in an exclusive farm use zone, a forest zone or a mixed farm and forest zone but is less suitable for farm or forest use than the other Measure 37 claim properties.
- 12. If the claimant transferred ownership interest in the Measure 37 claim property prior to the date of this order, this order is rendered invalid and authorizes no home site approvals. Provided this order is valid when issued, a home site approval authorized under this order runs with the property and transfers with the property. A home site approval will not expire, except that if a claimant who received this home site authorization later conveys the property to a party other than the claimant's spouse or the trustee of a revocable trust in which the claimant is the settlor, the subsequent owner of the property must establish the authorized lots, parcels and dwellings within 10 years of the conveyance. A lot or parcel lawfully created based on this home site authorization will remain a discrete lot or parcel, unless the lot or parcel lines are vacated or the lot or parcel is further divided, as provided by law. A dwelling lawfully created based on a home site approval is a permitted use.
- 13. To the extent that any law, order, deed, agreement or other legally enforceable public or private requirement provides that the subject property may not be used without a permit, license or other form of authorization or consent, this home site authorization will not authorize the use of the property unless the claimant first obtains that permit, license or other form of authorization or consent. Such requirements may include, but are not limited to: a building permit, a land use decision, a permit as defined in ORS 215.402 or 227.160, other permits or authorizations from local, state or federal agencies, and restrictions on the use of the subject property imposed by private parties.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Final Order and Home Site Authorization is entered by the Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development as a final order of the department and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.300 to ORS 195.336 and OAR 660-041-0000 to 660-041-0160.

FOR THE DEPARTMENT AND THE LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION:

Judith Moore, Measure 49 Division Manager Dept. of Land Conservation and Development Dated this 41 day of November 2009.

#### NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to judicial remedies including the following:

- 1. Judicial review is available to anyone who is an owner of the property as defined in Measure 49 that it the subject of this final determination, or a person who timely submitted written evidence or comments to the department concerning this final determination.
- 2. Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 must be filed in the Circuit Court in the county in which the affected property is located. Upon motion of any party to the proceedings, the proceedings may be transferred to any other county with jurisdiction under ORS 183.484 in the manner provided by law for change of venue.
- 3. Judicial review of this final determination is limited to the evidence in the record of the department at the time of its final determination. Copies of the documents that comprise the record are available for review at the department's office at 635 Capitol St. NE, Suite 150, Salem, OR 97301-2540. Judicial review is only available for issues that were raised before the department with sufficient specificity to afford the department an opportunity to respond.