OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (MEASURE 49) SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW OF MEASURE 37 CLAIM
Final Order and Home Site Authorization

STATE ELECTION NUMBER: E131148A

CLAIMANTS: Tim L. and Janice L. Kerns
45917 Quail Road
Haines, OR 97833

MEASURE 37 PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION:
Township 8S, Range 38E
Sections 1 and 12, Tax lot 100
Section 2, Tax lots 400, 500, 600 and 700
Section 11, Tax lots 2000 and 2200
Section 13, Tax lot 2300
Section 14, Tax lot 200
Baker County

The claimants, Tim and Janice Kerns, filed a claim with the state under ORS 197.352 (2005) (Measure 37) on November 24, 2006, for property located near Haines, in Baker County. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49) entitles claimants who filed Measure 37 claims to elect supplemental review of their claims. The claimants have elected supplemental review of their Measure 37 claim under Section 6 of Measure 49, which allows the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) to authorize up to three home site approvals to qualified claimants.

This Final Order and Home Site Authorization is the conclusion of the supplemental review of this claim.

---

1 This claim has been divided due to non-contiguous property in the same ownership. E131148A addresses the claimants' relief for tax lots 100 (T8S R38E), 400, 500, 600 and 700 (T8S R38E S2), 2000 and 2200 (T8S R38E 11) and 2300 (T8S R38E), and 200 (T8S R38E S14). E131148B addresses the claimants' relief for tax lots 2700 (T8S R38E), 200, 300 and 400 (T8S R39E S18), 100 and 200 (T8S R39E S19). E131148C addresses the claimants' relief for tax lot 1700 (T8S R38E). E131148D addresses the claimants' relief for tax lot 2300 (T8S R39E S7). E131148E addresses the claimants' relief for tax lot 2500 (T8S R38E).
I. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

A. Maximum Number of Home Sites for Which the Claimants May Qualify

Under Section 6 of Measure 49, the number of home site approvals authorized by the department cannot exceed the lesser of the following: three; the number stated by the claimant in the election materials; or the number described in a Measure 37 waiver issued by the state, or if no waiver was issued, the number of home sites described in the Measure 37 claim filed with the state. However, if the number of lots, parcels and dwellings currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and the contiguous property under the same ownership is equal to or greater than the maximum number of home sites a claimant could otherwise qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49, a claimant may qualify for only one home site approval.

The claimants have requested three home site approvals in the election material. No waiver was issued for this claim. The Measure 37 claim filed with the state describes 18 home sites. However, because it appears that the Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property in the same ownership contain three or more lots or parcels that are developed with dwellings the claimants may qualify for a maximum of one home site approval.

B. Qualification Requirements

To qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49, the claimants must meet each of the following requirements:

1. Timeliness of Claim

A claimant must have filed a Measure 37 claim for the property with either the state or the county in which the property is located on or before June 28, 2007, and must have filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and the county before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007. If the state Measure 37 claim was filed after December 4, 2006, the claim must also have been filed in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

The claimants, Tim and Janice Kerns, filed a Measure 37 claim, M131148, with the state on November 24, 2006. The claimants filed a Measure 37 claim, M37-06-038, with Baker County prior to January 18, 2007. The state claim was filed prior to December 4, 2006.

The claimants timely filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and Baker County.

2. The Claimant Is an Owner of the Property

Measure 49 defines “Owner” as: “(a) The owner of fee title to the property as shown in the deed records of the county where the property is located; (b) The purchaser under a land sale contract,

---

2 The Measure 37 claim described the use for all tax lots included in the claim for M131148.
if there is a recorded land sale contract in force for the property; or (c) If the property is owned by the trustee of a revocable trust, the settlor of a revocable trust, except that when the trust becomes irrevocable only the trustee is the owner.”

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions:**

According to the deeds submitted by the claimants, Tim and Janice Kerns are the owners of fee title to the property as shown in the Baker County deed records and, therefore, are owners of the property under Measure 49.

Baker County has confirmed that the claimants are the current owners of the property.

**3. All Owners Have Consented in Writing to the Claim**

All owners of the property must consent to the claim in writing.

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions:**

All owners of the property have consented to the claim in writing.

**4. The Property Is Located Entirely Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary and Entirely Outside the Boundaries of Any City**

The Measure 37 claim property must be located entirely outside any urban growth boundary and entirely outside the boundaries of any city.

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions:**

The Measure 37 claim property is located in Baker County, outside the urban growth boundary and outside the city limits of the nearest city, Haines.

**5. One or More Land Use Regulations Prohibit Establishing the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling**

One or more land use regulations must prohibit establishing the requested lot, parcel or dwelling.

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions:**

Tax lots 100 (439.09 acres), 400 (5.09 acres), 500 (34.00 acres), 600 (40.00 acres), 700 (160.00 acres) and 2300 (80.00 acres) are currently zoned Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) by Baker County, in accordance with ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 33, because the property is “agricultural land” as defined by Goal 3. Goal 3 requires agricultural land to be zoned exclusive farm use. Applicable provisions of ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 33, enacted or adopted pursuant to Goal 3, generally prohibit the establishment of a lot or parcel less than 80 acres in size in an EFU zone. Those provisions also regulate the establishment of dwellings on new or existing lots or parcels and include restrictions on establishing more than one dwelling on a single tract.
Tax lots 2000 (360.00 acres), 2200 (299.84 acres) and 200 (36.08 acres) are currently zoned Timber-Grazing (TG) by Baker County, in accordance with ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 6, because the property is “forest land” under Goal 4. Applicable provisions of ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660 division 6, enacted or adopted pursuant to Goal 4, generally prohibit the establishment of a lot or parcel less than 80 acres in size in a forest zone. Those provisions also regulate the establishment of dwellings on new or existing lots or parcels and include restrictions on establishing more than one dwelling on a single tract.

The claimants’ property consists of 1,454.10 acres that make up a single tract developed with two dwellings. Therefore, state land use regulations prohibit the claimants from establishing on the Measure 37 claim property the one home site the claimants may qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49.

6. The Establishment of the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling Is Not Prohibited by a Land Use Regulation Described in ORS 195.305(3)

ORS 195.305(3) exempts from claims under Measure 49 land use regulations:

(a) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law;
(b) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety;
(c) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law; or
(d) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Based on the documentation submitted by the claimants, it does not appear that the establishment of the one home site for which the claimants may qualify on the property is prohibited by land use regulations described in ORS 195.305(3).

7. On the Claimant’s Acquisition Date, the Claimant Lawfully Was Permitted to Establish at Least the Number of Lots, Parcels or Dwellings on the Property That Are Authorized Under Section 6 of Measure 49

A claimant’s acquisition date is “the date the claimant became the owner of the property as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located. If there is more than one claimant for the same property under the same claim and the claimants have different acquisition dates, the acquisition date is the earliest of those dates.”

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Baker County deed records indicate that the claimants acquired tax lots 100 (439.09 acres), 400 (5.09 acres), 500 (34.00 acres) and 700 (160.00 acres) on August 18, 1977, tax lot 600 (40.00
acres) on July 1, 1977, and tax lots 2000 (360.00 acres), 2200 (299.84 acres), 2300 (80.00 acres) and 200 (36.08 acres) on June 2, 1992.

On June 2, 1992, tax lots 100, 400, 500, 600, 700 and 2300 of the Measure 37 claim property were subject to Baker County’s acknowledged Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) zone. Baker County’s EFU zone required 40 irrigated or 160 non-irrigated acres for the creation of a new lot or parcel on which a dwelling could be established. Tax lots 100, 400, 500, 600, 700 and 2300 consist of 758.18 acres and are developed with one dwelling.

On June 2, 1992, tax lots 2000, 2200 and 200 of the Measure 37 claim property were subject to Baker County’s acknowledged Timber-Grazing (TG) zone. Baker County’s TG zone required 80 acres for the creation of a new lot or parcel on which a dwelling could be established. Tax lots 200, 2200 and 200 consist of 695.92 acres that are developed with one dwelling.

The claimants were lawfully permitted to establish more than one dwelling on a tract on their date of acquisition. Therefore, the claimants lawfully could have established the one home site for which the claimants are eligible on their date of acquisition.

II. COMMENTS ON THE PRELIMINARY EVALUATION

The department issued its Preliminary Evaluation for this claim on December 29, 2009. Pursuant to OAR 660-041-0090, the department provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties. No written comments were received in response to the 28-day notice.

III. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis above, the claimants qualify for the one home site approval.

The number of lots, parcels or dwellings that a claimant is authorized to establish pursuant to a home site authorization is reduced by the number of lots, parcels or dwellings currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and any contiguous property under the same ownership according to the methodology stated in Section 6(2)(b) and 6(3) of Measure 49. If a claimant otherwise qualifies for relief under Section 6 of Measure 49, the claimant will be able to establish at least one additional lot, parcel or dwelling, regardless of the number of dwellings currently in existence.

Based on the documentation provided by the claimants and information from Baker County, the Measure 37 claim property includes nine lots or parcels and two dwellings. As demonstrated by the supplemental information submitted by the claimants and information from Baker County, the claimants also own tax lot 100 (T8S R38E S2) which is contiguous to the Measure 37 claim property.

---

3 Baker County’s EFU zone required 40 irrigated acres (i.e., 40 acres fully covered with adjudicated water rights); or in the alternative, a combination of irrigated acres and non-irrigated acres whereby four non-irrigated acres were considered equal to one irrigated acre, up to 160 non-irrigated acres.

4 Because the claimants were lawfully permitted to establish on the Measure 37 claim property the maximum number of home sites for which they may qualify based on the latest acquisition date, the department has omitted the lawfully permitted analyses based on the earlier acquisition dates.
property. The contiguous property under the same ownership includes one parcel and one
dwelling. Together the Measure 37 claim property and the contiguous property in the same
ownership include ten lots or parcels and three dwellings. Therefore, the one home site approval
the claimants qualify for will allow the claimants to authorize an existing lot or parcel and
establish one additional dwelling on the Measure 37 claim property.

IV. HOME SITE AUTHORIZATION

Based on the analysis set forth above, this claim is approved, and the claimants qualify for one
home site approval. As explained in section III above, after taking into account the number of
existing lots, parcels or dwellings, the claimants are authorized to authorize an existing lot or
parcel and establish one additional dwelling on the property on which the claimants are eligible
for Measure 49 relief, subject to the following terms:

1. Each dwelling must be on a separate lot or parcel, and must be contained within the property
on which the claimants are eligible for Measure 49 relief. The establishment of a land
division or dwelling based on a Measure 49 home site authorization must comply with all
applicable standards governing the siting or development of the land division or dwelling.
However, those standards must not be applied in a manner that prohibits the establishment of
the land division or dwelling, unless the standards are reasonably necessary to avoid or abate
a nuisance, to protect public health or safety, or to carry out federal law.

2. A home site authorization will not authorize the establishment of a land division or dwelling
in violation of a land use regulation described in ORS 195.305(3) or in violation of any other
law that is not a land use regulation as defined by ORS 195.300(14).

3. A claimant is not eligible for more than 20 home site approvals under Sections 5 to 11 of
Measure 49 regardless of how many properties a claimant owns or how many claims a
claimant filed. If the claimants have developed the limit of twenty home sites under
Measure 49, the claimants are no longer eligible for the home site approval that is the subject
of this order.

4. Statements in this final order regarding the number of lots, parcels or dwellings currently
existing on the Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property are not a determination
on the current legal status of those lots, parcels or dwellings.

5. Temporary dwellings are not considered in determining the number of existing dwellings
currently on the property. The claimants may choose to convert any temporary dwelling
currently located on the property on which the claimants are eligible for Measure 49 relief to
an authorized home site pursuant to a Measure 49 home site approval. Otherwise, any
temporary dwelling is subject to the terms of the local permit requirements under which it
was approved, and is subject to removal at the end of the term for which it is allowed.

6. A home site approval only authorizes the establishment of a new lot, parcel or dwelling on
property on which the claimants are eligible for Measure 49 relief. No additional
development is authorized on contiguous property for which no Measure 37 claim was filed
or on Measure 37 claim property on which a claimant is not eligible for Measure 49 relief.
lot or parcel established pursuant to a home site approval must either be the site of a dwelling
that is currently in existence or be the future site of a dwelling that may be established
pursuant to the home site approval.

7. The claimants may use a home site approval to convert a lot, parcel or dwelling currently
located on the property on which the claimants are eligible for Measure 49 relief to an
authorized home site.

8. The claimants may not implement the relief described in this Measure 49 home site
authorization if a claimant has been determined to have a common law vested right to a use
described in a Measure 37 waiver for the property. Therefore, if a claimant has been
determined in a final judgment or final order that is not subject to further appeal to have a
common law vested right as described in Section 5(3) of Measure 49 to any use on the
Measure 37 claim property, then this Measure 49 Home Site Authorization is void. However,
so long as no claimant has been determined in such a final judgment or final order to have a
common law vested right to a use described in a Measure 37 waiver for the property, a use
that has been completed on the property pursuant to a Measure 37 waiver may be converted
to an authorized home site.

9. A home site approval does not authorize the establishment of a new dwelling on a lot or
parcel that already contains one or more dwellings.

10. If the claimants transferred ownership interest in the Measure 37 claim property prior to the
date of this order, this order is rendered invalid and authorizes no home site approvals.
Provided this order is valid when issued, a home site approval authorized under this order
runs with the property and transfers with the property. A home site approval will not expire,
except that if a claimant who received this home site authorization later conveys the property
to a party other than the claimant’s spouse or the trustee of a revocable trust in which the
claimant is the settlor, the subsequent owner of the property must establish the authorized lot,
parcel or dwelling within 10 years of the conveyance. A lot or parcel lawfully created based
on this home site authorization will remain a discrete lot or parcel, unless the lot or parcel
lines are vacated or the lot or parcel is further divided, as provided by law. A dwelling
lawfully created based on a home site approval is a permitted use.

11. Because the property is located in an exclusive farm use zone, the home site authorization
will not authorize new lots or parcels that exceed five acres. However, existing or remnant
lots or parcels may exceed five acres. Before beginning construction in one of these zones,
the owner must comply with the requirements of ORS 215.293. Further, the home site
authorization will not authorize new lots or parcels that exceed two acres if the new lots or
parcels are located on high-value farmland, on high-value forestland or on land within a
ground water restricted area. However, existing or remnant lots or parcels may exceed two
acres.

12. Because the property is located in an exclusive farm use zone, Measure 49 requires new
home sites to be clustered so as to maximize suitability of the remnant lot or parcel for farm
or forest use. Further, if an owner of the property is authorized by other home site approvals
to subdivide, partition, or establish dwellings on other Measure 37 claim properties, Measure 49 authorizes the owner to cluster some or all of the authorized lots, parcels or dwellings that would otherwise be located on land in an exclusive farm use zone, a forest zone or a mixed farm and forest zone on a single Measure 37 claim property that is zoned residential use or is located in an exclusive farm use zone, a forest zone or a mixed farm and forest zone but is less suitable for farm or forest use than the other Measure 37 claim properties.

13. To the extent that any law, order, deed, agreement or other legally enforceable public or private requirement provides that the subject property may not be used without a permit, license or other form of authorization or consent, this home site authorization will not authorize the use of the property unless the claimants first obtain that permit, license or other form of authorization or consent. Such requirements may include, but are not limited to: a building permit, a land use decision, a permit as defined in ORS 215.402 or 227.160, other permits or authorizations from local, state or federal agencies, and restrictions on the use of the subject property imposed by private parties.
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Final Order and Home Site Authorization is entered by the Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development as a final order of the department and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.300 to ORS 195.336 and OAR 660-041-0000 to 660-041-0160.

FOR THE DEPARTMENT AND THE LAND
CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
COMMISSION:

Judith Moore, Division Manager
Dept. of Land Conservation and Development
Dated this 12th day of March 2010

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to judicial remedies including the following:

1. Judicial review is available to anyone who is an owner of the property as defined in Measure 49 that it the subject of this final determination, or a person who timely submitted written evidence or comments to the department concerning this final determination.

2. Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 must be filed in the Circuit Court in the county in which the affected property is located. Upon motion of any party to the proceedings, the proceedings may be transferred to any other county with jurisdiction under ORS 183.484 in the manner provided by law for change of venue.

3. Judicial review of this final determination is limited to the evidence in the record of the department at the time of its final determination. Copies of the documents that comprise the record are available for review at the department's office at 635 Capitol St. NE, Suite 150, Salem, OR 97301-2540. Judicial review is only available for issues that were raised before the department with sufficient specificity to afford the department an opportunity to respond.