STATE ELECTION NUMBER: E133843

CLAIMANT: Conway L. Cruickshank
95987 N Brookside Drive
Brookings, OR 97415

MEASURE 37 PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION: Township 40S, Range 14W, Section 11C
Tax lot 600
Curry County

The claimant, Conway Cruickshank, filed a claim with the state under ORS 197.352 (2005) (Measure 37) on December 4, 2006, for property located at 95990 Eggers Road, near Brookings, in Curry County. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49) entitles claimants who filed Measure 37 claims to elect supplemental review of their claims. The claimant has elected supplemental review of his Measure 37 claim under Section 6 of Measure 49, which allows the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) to authorize up to three home site approvals to qualified claimants.

This Final Order and Home Site Authorization is the conclusion of the supplemental review of this claim.

I. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

A. Maximum Number of Home Sites for Which the Claimant May Qualify

Under Section 6 of Measure 49, the number of home site approvals authorized by the department cannot exceed the lesser of the following: three; the number stated by the claimant in the election materials; or the number described in a Measure 37 waiver issued by the state, or if no waiver was issued, the number of home sites described in the Measure 37 claim filed with the state. The claimant has requested three home site approvals under Section 6 in the election material. No waiver was issued for this claim. The Measure 37 claim filed with the state describes five home sites. Therefore, the claimant may qualify for a maximum of three home site approvals under Section 6 of Measure 49.
B. Qualification Requirements

To qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49, the claimant must meet each of the following requirements:

1. Timeliness of Claim

A claimant must have filed a Measure 37 claim for the property with either the state or the county in which the property is located on or before June 28, 2007, and must have filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and the county before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007. If the state Measure 37 claim was filed after December 4, 2006, the claim must also have been filed in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

The claimant, Conway Cruickshank, filed a Measure 37 claim, M133843, with the state on December 4, 2006. The claimant filed a Measure 37 claim, M37-0673, with Curry County on December 1, 2006. The state claim was filed on December 4, 2006.

The claimant timely filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and Curry County.

2. The Claimant Is an Owner of the Property

Measure 49 defines “Owner” as: “(a) The owner of fee title to the property as shown in the deed records of the county where the property is located; (b) The purchaser under a land sale contract, if there is a recorded land sale contract in force for the property; or (c) If the property is owned by the trustee of a revocable trust, the settlor of a revocable trust, except that when the trust becomes irrevocable only the trustee is the owner.”

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

According to the deed submitted by the claimant, Conway Cruickshank is the owner of fee title to the property as shown in the Curry County deed records and, therefore, is an owner of the property under Measure 49.

Curry County has confirmed that the claimant is the current owner of the property.

3. All Owners of the Property Have Consented in Writing to the Claim

All owners of the property must consent to the claim in writing.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

All owners of the property have consented to the claim in writing.
4. The Property Is Located Entirely Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary and Entirely Outside the Boundaries of Any City

The Measure 37 claim property must be located entirely outside any urban growth boundary and entirely outside the boundaries of any city.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

The Measure 37 claim property is located in Curry County, outside the urban growth boundary and outside the city limits of the nearest city, Brookings.

5. One or More Land Use Regulations Prohibit Establishing the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling

One or more land use regulations must prohibit establishing the requested lot, parcel or dwelling.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

The property is currently zoned Rural Residential (RR-5) by Curry County, in accordance with Goal 14, which prohibits the urban use of rural land and requires local comprehensive plans to identify and separate urbanizable from rural land in order to provide for the orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban use. State laws, namely Goal 14 and OAR 660-004-0040, prohibit the establishment of a lot or parcel less than the size established in the County rural residential zone in existence on October 4, 2000, if the zone in existence on that date had a minimum lot size of two or more acres. Curry County’s RR-5 zone requires a minimum lot size of five acres.

The claimant’s property consists of 5.07 acres. Therefore, state land use regulations prohibit the claimant from establishing on the Measure 37 claim property the three home sites the claimant may qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49.

6. The Establishment of the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling Is Not Prohibited by a Land Use Regulation Described in ORS 195.305(3)

ORS 195.305(3) exempts from claims under Measure 49 land use regulations:

(a) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law;
(b) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety;
(c) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law; or
(d) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing.
Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Based on the documentation submitted by the claimant, it does not appear that the establishment of the three home sites for which the claimant may qualify on the property is prohibited by land use regulations described in ORS 195.305(3).

7. On the Claimant’s Acquisition Date, the Claimant Lawfully Was Permitted to Establish at Least the Number of Lots, Parcels or Dwellings on the Property That Are Authorized Under Section 6 of Measure 49

A claimant’s acquisition date is “the date the claimant became the owner of the property as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located. If there is more than one claimant for the same property under the same claim and the claimants have different acquisition dates, the acquisition date is the earliest of those dates.”

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Curry County deed records indicate that the claimant acquired the property on June 10, 1985.

The claimant acquired the Measure 37 claim property after adoption of the statewide planning goals, but before the Commission acknowledged Curry County’s comprehensive plan and land use regulations to be in compliance with those goals pursuant to ORS 197.250 and 197.251. At that time, the Measure 37 claim property was zoned Residential RR-2.5 by Curry County. However, the Commission had not acknowledged that zone for compliance with the goals when the claimant acquired the property on June 10, 1985. Accordingly, the statewide planning goals, and in particular Goal 14, applied directly to the Measure 37 claim property when the claimant acquired it.

On May 6, 1987, the Commission acknowledged the application of Curry County’s Rural Residential (RR-2.5) zone to the Measure 37 claim property. The Commission’s acknowledgement of Curry County’s RR-2.5 zone confirmed that zone’s compliance with Goal 14. Curry County’s acknowledged RR-2.5 zone required 2.5 acres for the creation of a new lot or parcel on which a dwelling could be established. The claimant’s property consists of 5.07 acres. Therefore, the claimant lawfully could have created no more than two lots or parcels on which dwellings could be established on the claimant’s date of acquisition. The claimant, therefore, qualifies for up to two home sites under Section 6 of Measure 49.

II. COMMENTS ON THE PRELIMINARY EVALUATION

The department issued its Preliminary Evaluation for this claim on December 15, 2009. Pursuant to OAR 660-041-0090, the department provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties. No written comments were received in response to the 28-day notice.
III. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis above, the claimant qualifies for up to two home sites. However, the number of lots, parcels or dwellings that a claimant may establish pursuant to a home site authorization is reduced by the number of lots, parcels or dwellings currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and any contiguous property under the same ownership according to the methodology stated in Section 6(2)(b) and 6(3) of Measure 49.

Based on the documentation provided by the claimant and information from Curry County, the Measure 37 claim property includes one lot or parcel and one dwelling. There is no contiguous property under the same ownership. Therefore, the two home site approvals the claimant qualifies for under Section 6 of Measure 49 will authorize the claimant to establish up to one additional lot or parcel and one additional dwelling on the Measure 37 claim property.

IV. HOME SITE AUTHORIZATION

Based on the analysis set forth above, this claim is approved, and the claimant qualifies for two home site approvals. As explained in section III above, after taking into account the number of existing lots, parcels or dwellings, the claimant is authorized for one additional lot or parcel and one additional dwelling on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief, subject to the following terms:

1. Each dwelling must be on a separate lot or parcel, and must be contained within the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief. The establishment of a land division or dwelling based on this home site authorization must comply with all applicable standards governing the siting or development of the land division or dwelling. However, those standards must not be applied in a manner that prohibits the establishment of the land division or dwelling, unless the standards are reasonably necessary to avoid or abate a nuisance, to protect public health or safety, or to carry out federal law.

2. This home site authorization will not authorize the establishment of a land division or dwelling in violation of a land use regulation described in ORS 195.305(3) or in violation of any other law that is not a land use regulation as defined by ORS 195.300(14).

3. A claimant is not eligible for more than 20 home site approvals under Sections 5 to 11 of Measure 49 regardless of how many properties a claimant owns or how many claims a claimant filed. If the claimant has developed the limit of twenty home sites under Measure 49, the claimant is no longer eligible for the home site approvals that are the subject of this order.

4. The number of lots, parcels or dwellings a claimant may establish under this home site authorization is reduced by the number of lots, parcels and dwellings currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property in the same ownership, regardless of whether evidence of their existence has been provided to the department. If, based on the information available to the department, the department has calculated the number of currently existing lots, parcels or dwellings to be either greater than or less than the number of lots, parcels or dwellings actually in existence on the Measure 37 claim property or
contiguous property under the same ownership, then the number of additional lots, parcels or
dwellings a claimant may establish pursuant to this home site authorization must be adjusted
according to the methodology stated in Section 6(2)(b) and 6(3) of Measure 49. Statements in
this final order regarding the number of lots, parcels or dwellings currently existing on the
Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property are not a determination on the current
legal status of those lots, parcels or dwellings.

5. Temporary dwellings are not considered in determining the number of existing dwellings
currently on the property. The claimant may choose to convert any temporary dwelling
currently located on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief to an
authorized home site pursuant to a home site approval. Otherwise, any temporary dwelling is
subject to the terms of the local permit requirements under which it was approved, and is
subject to removal at the end of the term for which it is allowed.

6. A home site approval only authorizes the establishment of a new lot, parcel or dwelling on
the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief. No additional
development is authorized on contiguous property for which no Measure 37 claim was filed
or on Measure 37 claim property on which the claimant is not eligible for Measure 49 relief.
A lot or parcel established pursuant to a home site approval must either be the site of a
dwelling that is currently in existence or be the site of a dwelling that may be established
pursuant to the home site approval.

7. The claimant may use a home site approval to convert a lot, parcel or dwelling currently
located on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief to an
authorized home site. If the number of lots, parcels or dwellings existing on the property on
which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief exceeds the number of home site
approvals the claimant qualifies for under a home site authorization, the claimant may select
which existing lots, parcels or dwellings to convert to authorized home sites; or may
reconfigure existing lots, parcels or dwellings so that the number is equivalent to the number
of home site approvals.

8. The claimant may not implement the relief described in this Measure 49 home site
authorization if a claimant has been determined to have a common law vested right to a use
described in a Measure 37 waiver for the property. Therefore, if a claimant has been
determined in a final judgment or final order that is not subject to further appeal to have a
common law vested right as described in Section 5(3) of Measure 49 to any use on the
Measure 37 claim property, then this Measure 49 Home Site Authorization is void. However,
so long as no claimant has been determined in such a final judgment or final order to have a
common law vested right to a use described in a Measure 37 waiver for the property, a use
that has been completed on the property pursuant to a Measure 37 waiver may be converted
to an authorized home site.

9. A home site approval does not authorize the establishment of a new dwelling on a lot or
parcel that already contains one or more dwellings. The claimant may be required to alter the
configuration of the lots or parcels currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property
and contiguous property so that each additional dwelling established on the property on
which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief, pursuant to this home site authorization, is sited on a separate lot or parcel.

10. If an owner of the property is authorized by other home site authorizations to subdivide, partition, or establish dwellings on other Measure 37 claim properties, Measure 49 authorizes the owner to cluster some or all of the authorized lots, parcels or dwellings that would otherwise be located on land in an exclusive farm use zone, a forest zone or a mixed farm/forest zone on a single Measure 37 claim property that is zoned residential use or is located in an exclusive farm use zone, a forest zone or a mixed farm/forest zone, but is less suitable for farm or forest use than the other Measure 37 claim properties.

11. If the claimant transferred ownership interest in the Measure 37 claim property prior to the date of this order, this order is rendered invalid and authorizes no home site approvals. Provided this order is valid when issued, a home site approval authorized under this order runs with the property and transfers with the property. A home site approval will not expire, except that if a claimant who received this home site authorization later conveys the property to a party other than the claimant’s spouse or the trustee of a revocable trust in which the claimant is the settlor, the subsequent owner of the property must establish the authorized lots, parcels and dwellings within 10 years of the conveyance. A lot or parcel lawfully created based on this home site authorization will remain a discrete lot or parcel, unless the lot or parcel lines are vacated or the lot or parcel is further divided, as provided by law. A dwelling lawfully created based on a home site approval is a permitted use.

12. To the extent that any law, order, deed, agreement or other legally enforceable public or private requirement provides that the subject property may not be used without a permit, license or other form of authorization or consent, this home site authorization will not authorize the use of the property unless the claimant first obtains that permit, license or other form of authorization or consent. Such requirements may include, but are not limited to: a building permit, a land use decision, a permit as defined in ORS 215.402 or 227.160, other permits or authorizations from local, state or federal agencies, and restrictions on the use of the subject property imposed by private parties.
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Final Order and Home Site Authorization is entered by the Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development as a final order of the department and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.300 to ORS 195.336 and OAR 660-041-0000 to 660-041-0160.

FOR THE DEPARTMENT AND THE LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION:

Judith Moore, Division Manager
Dept. of Land Conservation and Development
Dated this 1/14 day of February 2010

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to judicial remedies including the following:

1. Judicial review is available to anyone who is an owner of the property as defined in Measure 49 that it the subject of this final determination, or a person who timely submitted written evidence or comments to the department concerning this final determination.

2. Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 must be filed in the Circuit Court in the county in which the affected property is located. Upon motion of any party to the proceedings, the proceedings may be transferred to any other county with jurisdiction under ORS 183.484 in the manner provided by law for change of venue.

3. Judicial review of this final determination is limited to the evidence in the record of the department at the time of its final determination. Copies of the documents that comprise the record are available for review at the department’s office at 635 Capitol St. NE, Suite 150, Salem, OR 97301-2540. Judicial review is only available for issues that were raised before the department with sufficient specificity to afford the department an opportunity to respond.