



**OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND
DEVELOPMENT**

**ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (MEASURE 49) SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW
OF MEASURE 37 CLAIM
Final Order of Denial**

STATE ELECTION NUMBER:

E134289

CLAIMANTS:

Earl, Tim and Donna Doman
2 Progress Way
Woodburn, OR 97071

**MEASURE 37 PROPERTY
IDENTIFICATION:**

Township 5 South, Range 1 West,
Section 16, tax lot 500;
Section 16B, tax lots 500, 700, 800 and 900
Marion County

I. ELECTION

The claimants, Earl, Tim and Donna Doman, filed a claim with the state under ORS 197.352 (2005) (Measure 37) on February 7, 2007 for property located at 2330 East Lincoln Road, near Woodburn, in Marion County. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49) entitles claimants who filed Measure 37 claims to elect supplemental review of their claims. The claimants elected supplemental review of their Measure 37 claim under Section 7 of Measure 49, which allows the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) to authorize up to ten home site approvals to qualified claimants. This Final Order of Denial is the conclusion of the supplemental review of this claim.

I. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

A. Qualification Requirements

To qualify for a home site approval under Section 7 of Measure 49 the claimants must meet each of the following requirements:

1. Timeliness of Claim

A claimant must have filed a Measure 37 claim for the property with either the state or the county in which the property is located on or before June 28, 2007, and must have filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and the county before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007. If the state Measure 37 claim was filed after December 4, 2006, the claim

must also have been filed in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

OAR 660-041-0020 required, in relevant part that Measure 37 claims based on existing DLCD regulations and filed after December 4, 2006:

(b) Include one of the following:

(A) A copy of the final written decision by a city, a county, or Metro on a Land Use Application that includes the Property and that requests authorization for the specific use that the Claim is based on, in which the city, county, or Metro determined that one or more Existing DLCD Regulations or city, county or Metro Land Use Regulations that implement Existing DLCD Regulations were approval criteria for the decision; or

(B) A copy of the final written action by an Agency on a complete application to the Agency, in which the Agency determined that one or more Existing DLCD Regulations were approval criteria for the application.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

The claimants, Earl, Tim and Donna Doman, filed a Measure 37 claim, M134289, with the state on February 21, 2007.

At the time of filing their Measure 37 claim, the claimants did not include a final written decision denying their proposed use based on an existing land use regulation of DLCD, another agency or a county.

Because this requirement has not been met, the claimants are not entitled to any relief under Measure 49, and, therefore, the remaining approval criteria will not be evaluated.

II. COMMENTS ON THE PRELIMINARY EVALUATION

The department issued its Preliminary Evaluation for this claim on October 21, 2008. Pursuant to OAR 660-041-0090, the department provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties.

In a letter dated November 14, 2008, the claimants questioned the department's determination that their state Measure 37 claim did not meet the requirements of OAR 660-041-0020, which applied to all state Measure 37 claims filed after December 4, 2006.

The claimants included evidence with their comment that Marion County issued a final written decision on Marion County Measure 37 claim, M06-284, on January 15, 2008. However, a decision on a county Measure 37 claim would not have satisfied the requirement that was set forth in OAR 660-041-0020. That rule required the claimants to file with their state Measure 37 claim, a final written decision by Marion County on a land use application that requested authorization for the specific use that they sought under their state Measure 37 claim.

III. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis above, the claimants, Earl, Tim and Donna Doman, do not qualify for any Measure 49 home site approvals. At the time of filing, the claimants' Measure 37 claim did not comply with the requirements of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Final Order of Denial is entered by the Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development as a final order of the department and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.300 to ORS 195.336 and OAR 660-041-0000 to 660-041-0160.

FOR THE DEPARTMENT AND THE LAND
CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
COMMISSION:

_____/S/_____
Richard Whitman, Director
Dept. of Land Conservation and Development
Dated this ____ day of January, 2009.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to judicial remedies including the following:

1. Judicial review is available to anyone who is an owner of the property as defined in Measure 49 that it the subject of this final determination, or a person who timely submitted written evidence or comments to the department concerning this final determination.
2. Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 must be filed in the Circuit Court in the county in which the affected property is located. Upon motion of any party to the proceedings, the proceedings may be transferred to any other county with jurisdiction under ORS 183.484 in the manner provided by law for change of venue.
3. Judicial review of this final determination is limited to the evidence in the record of the department at the time of its final determination. Copies of the documents that comprise the record are available for review at the department's office at 635 Capitol St. NE, Suite 150, Salem, OR 97301-2540. Judicial review is only available for issues that were raised before the department with sufficient specificity to afford the department an opportunity to respond.