OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (MEASURE 49) SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW OF MEASURE 37 CLAIM
Final Order of Denial

STATE ELECTION NUMBER: E134479

CLAIMANTS: Eugene H. and Edna Louise Williams
2555 Glory C Road
Medford, OR 97501

MEASURE 37 PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION:
Township 38S, Range 2W, Section 11A
Tax lot 1200
Jackson County

AGENT CONTACT INFORMATION: Rick Riker
560 NE F Street PMB #224
Grants Pass, OR 97526

The claimants, Eugene and Edna Williams, filed a claim with the state under ORS 197.352 (2005) (Measure 37) on December 4, 2007, for property located at 2555 Glory C Road, near Medford, in Jackson County. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49) entitles claimants who filed Measure 37 claims to elect supplemental review of their claims. The claimants have elected supplemental review of their Measure 37 claim under Section 6 of Measure 49, which allows the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) to authorize up to three home site approvals to qualified claimants.

This Final Order of Denial is the conclusion of the supplemental review of this claim.

I. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

A. Maximum Number of Home Sites for Which the Claimants May Qualify

Under Section 6 of Measure 49, the number of home site approvals authorized by the department cannot exceed the lesser of the following: three; the number stated by the claimant in the election materials; or the number described in a Measure 37 waiver issued by the state, or if no waiver was issued, the number of home sites described in the Measure 37 claim filed with the state. The claimants have requested three home site approvals in the election material. No waiver was issued for this claim. The Measure 37 claim filed with the state describes three home sites. Therefore, the claimants may qualify for a maximum of three home site approvals under Section 6 of Measure 49.
B. Qualification Requirements

To qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49, the claimants must meet each of the following requirements:

1. Timeliness of Claim

A claimant must have filed a Measure 37 claim for the property with either the state or the county in which the property is located on or before June 28, 2007, and must have filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and the county before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007. If the state Measure 37 claim was filed after December 4, 2006, the claim must also have been filed in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

The claimants, Eugene and Edna Williams, filed a Measure 37 claim, M134479, with the state on December 4, 2007. The claimants filed a Measure 37 claim, M372006-00229, with Jackson County on November 30, 2006.

It appears that the claimants timely filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and Jackson County. However, the state claim was filed after December 4, 2006 and was thus subject to the requirements of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

OAR 660-041-0020 required in relevant part that Measure 37 claims based on existing DLCD regulations and filed after December 4, 2006:

(b) Include one of the following:

(A) A copy of the final written decision by a city, a county, or Metro on a Land Use Application that includes the Property and that requests authorization for the specific use that the Claim is based on, in which the city, county, or Metro determined that one or more Existing DLCD Regulations or city, county or Metro Land Use Regulations that implement Existing DLCD Regulations were approval criteria for the decision; or

(B) A copy of the final written action by an Agency on a complete application to the Agency, in which the Agency determined that one or more existing DLCD regulations were approval criteria for the application.

The claimant has provided a final written decision indicating that an existing regulation of DLCD, another agency or a county was an approval criterion for a completed application that the claimant had submitted to a city, county, Metro or an agency. Therefore the claim appears to have been filed in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

In addition to filing a claim with both the state and the county in which the property is located, to qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49 the claimants must establish each of the following:
The claimant timely filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and Jackson County.

2. The Claimant Is an Owner of the Property

Measure 49 defines “Owner” as: “(a) The owner of fee title to the property as shown in the deed records of the county where the property is located; (b) The purchaser under a land sale contract, if there is a recorded land sale contract in force for the property; or (c) If the property is owned by the trustee of a revocable trust, the settlor of a revocable trust, except that when the trust becomes irrevocable only the trustee is the owner.”

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

According to the deed submitted by the claimants, Eugene and Edna Williams are the owners of fee title to the property as shown in the Jackson County deed records and, therefore, are owners of the property under Measure 49.

Jackson County has confirmed that the claimants are the current owners of the property.

3. All Owners of the Property Have Consented in Writing to the Claim

All owners of the property must consent to the claim in writing.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

All owners of the property have consented to the claim in writing.

4. The Property Is Located Entirely Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary and Entirely Outside the Boundaries of Any City

The Measure 37 claim property must be located entirely outside any urban growth boundary and entirely outside the boundaries of any city.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

The Measure 37 claim property is located in Jackson County, outside the urban growth boundary and outside the city limits of the nearest city, Medford.

5. One or More Land Use Regulations Prohibit Establishing the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling

One or more land use regulations must prohibit establishing the requested lot, parcel or dwelling.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

The property is currently zoned Rural Residential (RR-2.5) by Jackson County, in accordance with Goal 14, which prohibits the urban use of rural land and requires local comprehensive plans to identify and separate urbanizable from rural land in order to provide for the orderly and
efficient transition from rural to urban use. State laws, namely Goal 14 and OAR 660-004-0040, prohibit the establishment of a lot or parcel less than the size established in the County rural residential zone in existence on October 4, 2000, if the zone in existence on that date had a minimum lot size of two or more acres. Jackson County's RR-2.5 zone requires a minimum lot size of 2.5 acres.

The claimants' property consists of 2.60 acres. Therefore, state land use regulations prohibit the claimants from establishing on the Measure 37 claim property the three home sites the claimants may qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49.

6. The Establishment of the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling Is Not Prohibited by a Land Use Regulation Described in ORS 195.305(3)

ORS 195.305(3) exempts from claims under Measure 49 land use regulations:

(a) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law;
(b) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety;
(c) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law; or
(d) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Based on the documentation submitted by the claimants, it does not appear that the establishment of the three home sites for which the claimants may qualify on the property is prohibited by land use regulations described in ORS 195.305(3).

7. On the Claimant's Acquisition Date, the Claimant Lawfully Was Permitted to Establish at Least the Number of Lots, Parcels or Dwellings on the Property That Are Authorized Under Section 6 of Measure 49

A claimant's acquisition date is "the date the claimant became the owner of the property as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located. If there is more than one claimant for the same property under the same claim and the claimants have different acquisition dates, the acquisition date is the earliest of those dates."
Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Jackson County deed records indicate that the claimants acquired the property on January 21, 1991.¹

On January 21, 1991, the Measure 37 claim property was subject to Jackson County’s acknowledged Rural Residential (RR-2.5) zone. Jackson County’s RR-2.5 zone required 2.5 acres for the creation of a new lot or parcel on which a dwelling could be established. The claimants’ property consists of 2.60 acres and is developed with one dwelling. Therefore, under the local zoning then in effect, the claimants lawfully could not have established any additional home sites on their date of acquisition.

II. COMMENTS ON THE PRELIMINARY EVALUATION

The department issued its Preliminary Evaluation for this claim on July 20, 2009. Pursuant to OAR 660-041-0090, the department provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties. Comments received have been taken into account by the department in the issuance of this Final Order of Denial.

III. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis above, the claimants do not qualify for Measure 49 home site approvals because the claimants were not lawfully permitted to establish any additional home sites on the claimants’ date of acquisition.

¹ By letter dated September 4, 2009, claimants commented on the conclusions reached in the Preliminary Evaluation concerning claimants’ date of acquisition. Claimants assert they are entitled to an acquisition date of April 30, 1964. Measure 49 Section 2(16) defines “owner” as the “owner of fee title as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located.” Measure 49 Section 21(1) requires the department to determine a claimant’s acquisition date from the “deed records of the county in which the property is located.” Measure 49 Section 21(3) provides: “If a claimant conveyed the property to another person and re-acquired the property, whether by foreclosure or otherwise, the claimant’s acquisition date is the date the claimant re-acquired ownership of the property.” In this instance, the deed records reveal that claimants originally acquired fee title to the property on April 30, 1964, conveyed fee title to a third-party on July 19, 1990, and reacquired fee title by deed dated January 21, 1991. Although claimants assert that the July 19, 1990, deed was for security purposes only, the department must confine its determination to the information contained in the deed records.
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Final Order of Denial is entered by the Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development as a final order of the department and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.300 to ORS 195.336 and OAR 660-041-0000 to 660-041-0160.

FOR THE DEPARTMENT AND THE LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION:

Judith Moore, Division Manager
Dept. of Land Conservation and Development
Dated this 21st day of January 2010

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to judicial remedies including the following:

1. Judicial review is available to anyone who is an owner of the property as defined in Measure 49 that it the subject of this final determination, or a person who timely submitted written evidence or comments to the department concerning this final determination.

2. Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 must be filed in the Circuit Court in the county in which the affected property is located. Upon motion of any party to the proceedings, the proceedings may be transferred to any other county with jurisdiction under ORS 183.484 in the manner provided by law for change of venue.

3. Judicial review of this final determination is limited to the evidence in the record of the department at the time of its final determination. Copies of the documents that comprise the record are available for review at the department’s office at 635 Capitol St. NE, Suite 150, Salem, OR 97301-2540. Judicial review is only available for issues that were raised before the department with sufficient specificity to afford the department an opportunity to respond.