OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (MEASURE 49) SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW OF MEASURE 37 CLAIM
Final Order and Home Site Authorization

STATE ELECTION NUMBER: H132890A

CLAIMANTS: Nina L. Simmons
            Wayne Simmons
            Allen Simmons
            3287 Orchard Heights Road NW
            Salem, OR 97304

MEASURE 37 PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION:
Township 7S, Range 4W, Section 14
Tax lot 600
Polk County

AGENT CONTACT INFORMATION: Alan Sorem
                          Saalfeld Griggs PC
                          PO Box 470
                          Salem, OR 97308

The claimants, Nina Simmons, Wayne Simmons and Allen Simmons, filed a claim with the state under ORS 197.352 (2005) (Measure 37) on December 1, 2006, for property located at 1895 Best Road, near Salem, in Polk County. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49) entitles claimants who filed Measure 37 claims to elect supplemental review of their claims. However, as initially enacted in 2007, a claimant was not eligible for relief under Measure 49 if the claimant

1 Claimants also filed claim M119385 for the same property. Measure 49 Section 6(5) provides:
   "If multiple claims were filed for the same property, the number of lots, parcels or dwellings that may be
   established for purposes of subsection (2)(a) of this section is the number of lots, parcels or dwellings in the
   most recent waiver issued by the state before the effective date of this 2007 Act or, if a waiver was not issued,
   the most recent claim filed with the state, but not more than three in any case."
This Final Order addresses M132890 because that claim is the most recent claim filed with the state.

2 Claim H132890B has been divided into three claims because the claim includes multiple tax lots or parcels that are
not in the same ownership. H132890A refers to tax lot 600 and claimants Nina Simmons, Wayne Simmons and
Allen Simmons. H132890B refers to tax lot 601 and claimants Wayne Simmons and Allen Simmons. H132890C
refers to tax lot 100 and claimants Nina Simmons, Wayne Simmons and Allen Simmons.

3 Information included with the election material indicates that claimant Nina Simmons passed away on January 10,
2007. Under ORS 197.355(3), if a claimant filed a claim on or after November 1, 2006, and died following
submission of the claim, entitlement to prosecute the claim passes to the person who acquires the claim property by
device or by operation of law.

4 The Measure 37 claim property consisted of tax lot 600. Tax lot 600 has since been partitioned into tax lots 600,
602 and 603. The claimants have since sold off tax lot 600 and its associated dwelling.
checked only “vested” on the Measure 49 election form. Nina Simmons, Wayne Simmons and Allen Simmons were not entitled to Measure 49 relief on that basis.

However, the Oregon State Legislative Assembly subsequently amended this Measure 49 requirement through the passage of House Bill 3225 (Chapter 855 (2009 Laws)) (HB 3225). As a result, this requirement no longer prevents the claimants, Nina Simmons, Wayne Simmons and Allen Simmons, from obtaining Measure 49 relief. The claimants elected to seek relief under Measure 49, as amended by HB 3225, and submitted the $175 fee required by Section 18 of HB 3225 in order to have the claim reviewed.

This Final Order and Home Site Authorization is the conclusion of the supplemental review of this claim.

I. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

A. Maximum Number of Home Sites for Which the Claimants May Qualify

Under Section 6 of Measure 49, the number of home site approvals authorized by the department cannot exceed the lesser of the following: three; the number stated by the claimant in the election materials; or the number described in a Measure 37 waiver issued by the state, or if no waiver was issued, the number of home sites described in the Measure 37 claim filed with the state. The claimants have requested supplemental review under Section 6 of Measure 49. No waiver was issued for this claim. The Measure 37 claim filed with the state describes more than three home sites. Therefore, the claimants may qualify for a maximum of three home site approvals under Section 6 of Measure 49.

B. Qualification Requirements

To qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49, as amended by HB 3225, the claimants must meet each of the following requirements:

1. Timeliness of Claim

A claimant must have filed a Measure 37 claim for the property with the state before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007. If the claimant filed their state Measure 37 claim after December 4, 2006, the claimant must also have either (a) filed the claim in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect; (b) submitted a land use application as described in OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect prior to June 28, 2007; or (c) filed a Measure 37 claim with the county on or before December 4, 2006.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

The claimants, Nina Simmons, Wayne Simmons and Allen Simmons, filed a Measure 37 claim, M132890, with the state on December 1, 2006. The claimants filed a Measure 37 claim, M06-216, with Polk County before December 4, 2006. The state claim was filed prior to December 4, 2006.
The claimants filed a timely Measure 37 claim with the state along with any additional claims or applications that the claimants had to have filed in order to be eligible for review under Measure 49, as amended by HB 3225.

2. The Claimant Is an Owner of the Property

Measure 49 defines “Owner” as: “(a) The owner of fee title to the property as shown in the deed records of the county where the property is located; (b) The purchaser under a land sale contract, if there is a recorded land sale contract in force for the property; or (c) If the property is owned by the trustee of a revocable trust, the settlor of a revocable trust, except that when the trust becomes irrevocable only the trustee is the owner.”

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

According to the deed and trust documents submitted by the claimants, Wayne Simmons and Allen Simmons are the trustees of an irrevocable trust into which the Measure 37 property has been conveyed and, therefore, are owners of tax lot 602 and 603 under Measure 49.

According to the deeds submitted by the claimants, Nina Simmons is the settlor of a revocable trust into which she conveyed the Measure 37 claim property and, therefore, is an owner of tax lot 602 and 603 under Measure 49.

Polk County has confirmed that the claimants are the current owners of tax lots 602 and 603 of the property.

According to the information submitted by the claimants, Nina Simmons, Wayne Simmons and Allen Simmons have not established their ownership of tax lot 600 of the Measure 37 property for the purposes of Measure 49. Because this requirement has not been met, the claimants are not entitled to any relief on tax lot 600 under Measure 49, and, therefore, the remaining approval criteria will not be evaluated for that portion of the Measure 37 claim property.

3. All Owners of the Property Have Consented in Writing to the Claim

All owners of the property must consent to the claim in writing.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

All owners of tax lots 602 and 603 of the Measure 37 claim property have consented to the claim in writing.
4. The Majority of the Measure 37 Claim Property Is Located Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary and Outside the Boundaries of Any City or the Measure 37 Claim Property is Located within the Boundaries of A City and Entirely Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary

Either the majority of the Measure 37 claim property must be located outside any urban growth boundary and outside the boundaries of any city or the Measure 37 claim property must be located within the boundaries of a city and entirely outside any urban growth boundary.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

The Measure 37 claim property is located in Polk County and the property is located outside any urban growth boundary and outside the city boundary of the nearest city, Salem.

5. One or More Land Use Regulations Prohibit Establishing the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling

One or more land use regulations must prohibit establishing the requested lot, parcel or dwelling.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

The property is currently zoned Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) by Polk County, in accordance with ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 33, because the property is “agricultural land” as defined by Goal 3. Goal 3 requires agricultural land to be zoned exclusive farm use. Applicable provisions of ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 33, enacted or adopted pursuant to Goal 3, generally prohibit the establishment of a lot or parcel less than 80 acres in size in an EFU zone and regulate the establishment of dwellings on new or existing lots or parcels.

The claimants’ property consists of 106.8 acres. Therefore, state land use regulations prohibit the claimants from establishing on the Measure 37 claim property the three home sites the claimants may qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49.

6. The Establishment of the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling Is Not Prohibited by a Land Use Regulation Described in ORS 195.305(3)

ORS 195.305(3) exempts from claims under Measure 49 land use regulations:

(a) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law;
(b) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety;
(c) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law; or
(d) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing.
Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Based on the documentation submitted by the claimants, it does not appear that the establishment of the three home sites for which the claimants may qualify on the property is prohibited by land use regulations described in ORS 195.305(3).

7. On the Claimant’s Acquisition Date, the Claimant Lawfully Was Permitted to Establish at Least the Number of Lots, Parcels or Dwellings on the Property That Are Authorized Under Section 6 of Measure 49

A claimant’s acquisition date is “the date the claimant became the owner of the property as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located. If there is more than one claimant for the same property under the same claim and the claimants have different acquisition dates, the acquisition date is the earliest of those dates.”

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Polk County deed records indicate that claimant Nina Simmons acquired the property on December 15, 1943, and claimants Wayne Simmons and Allen Simmons acquired the property on August 8, 1989. Therefore, for purposes of Measure 49, the claimants’ acquisition date is December 15, 1943.

On December 15, 1943, the Measure 37 claim property was not subject to any local or state laws that would have prohibited the claimants from establishing at least three lots or parcels and at least three dwellings. Therefore, the claimants lawfully could have established the three home sites the claimants may qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49.

II. COMMENTS ON THE PRELIMINARY EVALUATION

The department issued its Preliminary Evaluation for this claim on July 22, 2010. Pursuant to OAR 660-041-0090, the department provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties. Comments received have been taken into account by the department in the issuance of this Final Order and Home Site Authorization. Specifically, Friends of Polk County commented that the claimants are not eligible to seek relief because they received a vesting determination from Polk County, which determined that the claimants’ partition of their property, which was done pursuant to their Measure 37 waiver, but not their use of the property, was “vested” prior to the date Measure 49 came into effect. The Friends rely on a final order in a Marion County claim, Schmidt (E118475) to argue that the vested use determination precludes the claimants from obtaining relief under Measure 49.

The Friends’ reliance on the order in Schmidt is misplaced. The final order quoted in the comment was superseded and replaced by an order on reconsideration, which determined that, in fact, in partitioning their property, the claimants to that claim did not in fact rely on and implement a vested right to continue and complete a use allowed under the Measure 37 waiver. The Friends are correct that, if a claimant has a vested right at common law to complete and continue a use described in a Measure 37 waiver, the claimant is not entitled to relief under
Measure 49. Accordingly, had the claimants in this claim been determined to have a vested right to complete and continue the use allowed under their Measure 37 waiver, they would not be entitled to relief. The Polk County vested right determination, however, determined only that the claimants had a vested right in the partition of their property. The county determined that they did not have a vested right to continue and complete the use of their property. Accordingly, under Measure 49, as amended by HB 3225, the claimants are entitled to supplemental review of their Measure 37 claim.

III. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis above, the claimants qualify for up to three home sites. However, the number of lots, parcels or dwellings that a claimant may establish pursuant to a home site authorization is reduced by the number of lots, parcels or dwellings currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and any contiguous property under the same ownership according to the methodology stated in Section 6(2)(b) and 6(3) of Measure 49.

Because the Measure 37 claim property includes one lot or parcel that has been transferred to a different owner, the number of home site approvals that the claimants qualify for on tax lots 602 and 603 is reduced to two.

Based on the documentation provided by the claimants and information from Polk County, the Measure 37 claim property includes two lots or parcels in the ownership of the claimants that are developed with no dwellings, and one lot or parcel that is not in the ownership of the claimants that is developed with one dwelling. There is no contiguous property under the same ownership. Therefore, the two home site approvals the claimants qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49 will authorize the claimants to authorize tax lots 602 and 603 as parcels and establish two dwellings on tax lots 602 and 603 of the Measure 37 claim property in the ownership of the claimants.

IV. HOME SITE AUTHORIZATION

Based on the analysis set forth above, this claim is approved, and the claimants qualify for two home site approvals. As explained in section III above, after taking into account the number of existing lots, parcels or dwellings, the claimants to authorize tax lots 602 and 603 as parcels and establish two dwellings on tax lots 602 and 603 on the property on which the claimants are eligible for Measure 49 relief, subject to the following terms:

1. Each dwelling must be on a separate lot or parcel, and must be contained within the property on which the claimants are eligible for Measure 49 relief. The establishment of a land division or dwelling based on this home site authorization must comply with all applicable standards governing the siting or development of the land division or dwelling. However, those standards must not be applied in a manner that prohibits the establishment of the land

---

5 The claimants have appealed the county’s denial of their application of vested rights determination. In the event that appeal is successful and the county determines the claimants have a vested right to complete and continue the use allowed under the Measure 37 waiver, this authorization will be void. (See Condition 8.)
division or dwelling, unless the standards are reasonably necessary to avoid or abate a nuisance, to protect public health or safety, or to carry out federal law.

2. This home site authorization will not authorize the establishment of a land division or dwelling in violation of a land use regulation described in ORS 195.305(3) or in violation of any other law that is not a land use regulation as defined by ORS 195.300(14).

3. A claimant is not eligible for more than 20 home site approvals under Sections 5 to 11 of Measure 49 regardless of how many properties a claimant owns or how many claims a claimant filed. If the claimants have developed the limit of twenty home sites under Measure 49, the claimants are no longer eligible for the home site approvals that are the subject of this order.

4. The number of lots, parcels or dwellings a claimant may establish under this home site authorization is reduced by the number of lots, parcels and dwellings currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property in the same ownership, regardless of whether evidence of their existence has been provided to the department. If, based on the information available to the department, the department has calculated the number of currently existing lots, parcels or dwellings to be either greater than or less than the number of lots, parcels or dwellings actually in existence on the Measure 37 claim property or contiguous property under the same ownership, then the number of additional lots, parcels or dwellings a claimant may establish pursuant to this home site authorization must be adjusted according to the methodology stated in Section 6(2)(b) and 6(3) of Measure 49. Statements in this final order regarding the number of lots, parcels or dwellings currently existing on the Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property are not a determination on the current legal status of those lots, parcels or dwellings.

5. Temporary dwellings are not considered in determining the number of existing dwellings currently on the property. The claimants may choose to convert any temporary dwelling currently located on the property on which the claimants are eligible for Measure 49 relief to an authorized home site pursuant to a home site approval. Otherwise, any temporary dwelling is subject to the terms of the local permit requirements under which it was approved, and is subject to removal at the end of the term for which it is allowed.

6. A home site approval only authorizes the establishment of a new lot, parcel or dwelling on the property on which the claimants are eligible for Measure 49 relief. No additional development is authorized on contiguous property for which no Measure 37 claim was filed or on Measure 37 claim property on which the claimants are not eligible for Measure 49 relief. A lot or parcel established pursuant to a home site approval must either be the site of a dwelling that is currently in existence or be the site of a dwelling that may be established pursuant to the home site approval.

7. The claimants may use a home site approval to convert a lot, parcel or dwelling currently located on the property on which the claimants are eligible for Measure 49 relief to an authorized home site. If the number of lots, parcels or dwellings existing on the property on which the claimants are eligible for Measure 49 relief exceeds the number of home site
approvals the claimants qualify for under a home site authorization, the claimants may select which existing lots, parcels or dwellings to convert to authorized home sites; or may reconfigure existing lots, parcels or dwellings so that the number is equivalent to the number of home site approvals.

8. The claimants may not implement the relief described in this Measure 49 home site authorization if a claimant has been determined to have a common law vested right to a use described in a Measure 37 waiver for the property. Therefore, if a claimant has been determined in a final judgment or final order that is not subject to further appeal to have a common law vested right as described in Section 5(3) of Measure 49 to any use on the Measure 37 claim property, then this Measure 49 Home Site Authorization is void. However, so long as no claimant has been determined in such a final judgment or final order to have a common law vested right to a use described in a Measure 37 waiver for the property, a use that has been completed on the property pursuant to a Measure 37 waiver may be converted to an authorized home site.

9. A home site approval does not authorize the establishment of a new dwelling on a lot or parcel that already contains one or more dwellings. The claimants may be required to alter the configuration of the lots or parcels currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property so that each additional dwelling established on the property on which the claimants are eligible for Measure 49 relief, pursuant to this home site authorization, is sited on a separate lot or parcel.

10. If the property described in a claim is divided by an urban growth boundary, any new dwelling, lot or parcel established on the property pursuant to a home site approval must be located on the portion of the property outside the urban growth boundary.

11. Because the property is located in an exclusive farm use zone, the home site authorization does not authorize new lots or parcels that exceed five acres. However, existing or remnant lots or parcels may exceed five acres. Before beginning construction, the owner must comply with the requirements of ORS 215.293. Further, the home site authorization will not authorize new lots or parcels that exceed two acres if the new lots or parcels are located on high-value farmland, on high-value forestland or on land within a ground water restricted area. However, existing or remnant lots or parcels may exceed two acres.

12. Because the property is located in an exclusive farm use zone, Measure 49 requires new home sites to be clustered so as to maximize suitability of the remnant lot or parcel for farm or forest use. Further, if an owner of the property is authorized by other home site authorizations to subdivide, partition, or establish dwellings on other Measure 37 claim properties, Measure 49 authorizes the owner to cluster some or all of the authorized lots, parcels or dwellings that would otherwise be located on land in an exclusive farm use zone, a forest zone or a mixed farm and forest zone on a single Measure 37 claim property that is zoned residential use or is located in an exclusive farm use zone, a forest zone or a mixed farm and forest zone but is less suitable for farm or forest use than the other Measure 37 claim properties.
13. If the claimants transferred ownership interest in the Measure 37 claim property prior to the date of this order, this order is rendered invalid and authorizes no home site approvals. Provided this order is valid when issued, a home site approval authorized under this order runs with the property and transfers with the property. A home site approval will not expire, except that if a claimant who received this home site authorization later conveys the property to a party other than the claimant’s spouse or the trustee of a revocable trust in which the claimant is the settlor, the subsequent owner of the property must establish the authorized lots, parcels and dwellings within 10 years of the conveyance. A lot or parcel lawfully created based on this home site authorization will remain a discrete lot or parcel, unless the lot or parcel lines are vacated or the lot or parcel is further divided, as provided by law. A dwelling lawfully created based on a home site approval is a permitted use.

14. To the extent that any law, order, deed, agreement or other legally enforceable public or private requirement provides that the subject property may not be used without a permit, license or other form of authorization or consent, this home site authorization will not authorize the use of the property unless the claimants first obtain that permit, license or other form of authorization or consent. Such requirements may include, but are not limited to: a building permit, a land use decision, a permit as defined in ORS 215.402 or 227.160, other permits or authorizations from local, state or federal agencies, and restrictions on the use of the subject property imposed by private parties.
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Final Order and Home Site Authorization is entered by the Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development as a final order of the department and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.300 to ORS 195.336 and OAR 660-041-0000 to 660-041-0160.

FOR THE DEPARTMENT AND THE LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION:

Kristin May, Division Manager
Dept. of Land Conservation and Development
Dated this 20th day of October, 2010.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to judicial remedies including the following:

1. Judicial review is available to anyone who is an owner of the property as defined in Measure 49 that is the subject of this final determination, or a person who timely submitted written evidence or comments to the department concerning this final determination.

2. Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 must be filed in the Circuit Court in the county in which the affected property is located. Upon motion of any party to the proceedings, the proceedings may be transferred to any other county with jurisdiction under ORS 183.484 in the manner provided by law for change of venue.

3. Judicial review of this final determination is limited to the evidence in the record of the department at the time of its final determination. Copies of the documents that comprise the record are available for review at the department’s office at 635 Capitol St. NE, Suite 150, Salem, OR 97301-2540. Judicial review is only available for issues that were raised before the department with sufficient specificity to afford the department an opportunity to respond.