OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (MEASURE 49) SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW OF MEASURE 37 CLAIM
Final Order and Home Site Authorization

STATE ELECTION NUMBER: H134428

CLAIMANT: Rodrick J. Hinshaw, MD
16128 Squaw Flat Road
Sisters, OR 97759

MEASURE 37 PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION: Township 13S, Range 11E
Section 31, Tax lot 4100
Section 32, Tax lots 100 and 200
Jefferson County

AGENT CONTACT INFORMATION: D. Joe Willis
Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt
360 SW Bond Street, Suite 400
Bend, OR 97702

The claimant, Rodrick Hinshaw, filed a claim with the state under ORS 197.352 (2005)
(Measure 37) on August 17, 2007, for property located at 16128 Squaw Flat Road, near Sisters,
in Jefferson County. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49) entitles claimants who filed
Measure 37 claims to elect supplemental review of their claims. The claimant elected
supplemental review of his Measure 37 claim under Section 6 of Measure 49, which allows the
Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) to authorize up to three
home site approvals to qualified claimants. However, as initially enacted in 2007, a claimant was
not eligible for relief under Measure 49 if the claimant did not file a county Measure 37 claim or
filed a Measure 37 claim with the state after June 28, 2007 without having filed a county claim
before that date. Rodrick Hinshaw was not entitled to Measure 49 relief on both of these bases.

However, the Oregon State Legislative Assembly subsequently amended these Measure 49
requirements through the passage of House Bill 3225 (Chapter 855 (2009 Laws)) (HB 3225). As
a result, these requirements no longer prevent the claimant, Rodrick Hinshaw, from obtaining

1 The Measure 37 claim property consisted of tax lot 4100 (T13S R11E S31) and tax lots 100 and 200 (T13S R11E
S32). According to information obtained from Jefferson County, tax lots 4100, 100 and 200 have been reconfigured
and remapped through a property line adjustment as tax lots 4100, 200 and 600. The information from Jefferson
County reflects that newly configured tax lots 4100 and 600 are one legal parcel, and newly configured tax lot 200 is
one legal parcel. In reliance on that information, this final order considers the Measure 37 claim property as two
parcels.
Measure 49 relief. The claimant elected to seek relief under Measure 49, as amended by HB 3225, and submitted the $175 fee required by Section 18 of HB 3225 in order to have the claim reviewed.²

This Final Order and Home Site Authorization is the conclusion of the supplemental review of this claim.

I. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

A. Maximum Number of Home Sites for Which the Claimant May Qualify

Under Section 6 of Measure 49, the number of home site approvals authorized by the department cannot exceed the lesser of the following: three; the number stated by the claimant in the election materials; or the number described in a Measure 37 waiver issued by the state, or if no waiver was issued, the number of home sites described in the Measure 37 claim filed with the state. The claimant has requested supplemental review under Section 6 in the election material. No waiver was issued for this claim. The Measure 37 claim filed with the state describes land division and development that could have resulted in more than three home sites. Therefore, the claimant may qualify for a maximum of three home site approvals under Section 6 of Measure 49.

B. Qualification Requirements

To qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49, as amended by HB 3225, the claimant must meet each of the following requirements:

1. Timeliness of Claim

A claimant must have filed a Measure 37 claim for the property with the state before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007. If the claimant filed their state Measure 37 claim after December 4, 2006, the claimant must also have either (a) filed the claim in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect; (b) submitted a land use application as described in OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect prior to June 28, 2007; or (c) filed a Measure 37 claim with the county on or before December 4, 2006.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

The claimant, Rodrick Hinshaw, filed a Measure 37 claim, M134428, with the state on August 17, 2007. The claimant did not file a county Measure 37 claim. The state claim was filed after December 4, 2006 and the claimant also submitted a land use application as described in OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect prior to June 28, 2007.

² The claimant submitted the required $175 payment with his HB 3225 election. The election was originally determined to be late, and the payment was refunded. Upon reconsideration, the election was found to be timely filed.
The claimant filed a timely Measure 37 claim with the state along with any additional claims or applications that the claimant had to have filed in order to be eligible for review under Measure 49, as amended by HB 3225.

2. The Claimant Is an Owner of the Property

Measure 49 defines “Owner” as: “(a) The owner of fee title to the property as shown in the deed records of the county where the property is located; (b) The purchaser under a land sale contract, if there is a recorded land sale contract in force for the property; or (c) If the property is owned by the trustee of a revocable trust, the settlor of a revocable trust, except that when the trust becomes irrevocable only the trustee is the owner.”

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

According to the deed submitted by the claimant, Rodrick Hinshaw is the owner of fee title to the property as shown in the Jefferson County deed records and, therefore, is an owner of the property under Measure 49.

Jefferson County has confirmed that the claimant is the current owner of the property.

3. All Owners of the Property Have Consented in Writing to the Claim

All owners of the property must consent to the claim in writing.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

The Jefferson County Assessor property records indicate that there is one non-claimant owner. The claimant has submitted a consent form signed by the non-claimant owner.

4. The Majority of the Measure 37 Claim Property Is Located Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary and Outside the Boundaries of Any City or the Measure 37 Claim Property is Located within the Boundaries of A City and Entirely Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary

Either the majority of the Measure 37 claim property must be located outside any urban growth boundary and outside the boundaries of any city or the Measure 37 Claim Property must be located within the boundaries of a city and entirely outside any urban growth boundary.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

The Measure 37 claim property is located in Jefferson County and the property is located outside any urban growth boundary and outside the city boundary of the nearest city, Sisters.

5. One or More Land Use Regulations Prohibit Establishing the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling

One or more land use regulations must prohibit establishing the requested lot, parcel or dwelling.
Findings of Fact and Conclusions:

The property is currently zoned Range Land (RL) by Jefferson County, in accordance with ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 33, because the property is “agricultural land” as defined by Goal 3. Goal 3 requires agricultural land to be zoned exclusive farm use. Applicable provisions of ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 33, enacted or adopted pursuant to Goal 3, generally prohibit the establishment of a lot or parcel less than 160 acres in size in an EFU zone that is designated rangeland. Those provisions also regulate the establishment of dwellings on new or existing lots or parcels and include restrictions on establishing more than one dwelling on a single tract.

The claimant’s property consists of 677.82 acres that make up a single tract. Therefore, state land use regulations prohibit the claimant from establishing on the Measure 37 claim property the three home sites the claimant may qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49.

6. The Establishment of the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling Is Not Prohibited by a Land Use Regulation Described in ORS 195.305(3)

ORS 195.305(3) exempts from claims under Measure 49 land use regulations:

(a) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law;
(b) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety;
(c) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law; or
(d) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Based on the documentation submitted by the claimant, it does not appear that the establishment of the three home sites for which the claimant may qualify on the property is prohibited by land use regulations described in ORS 195.305(3).

7. On the Claimant’s Acquisition Date, the Claimant Lawfully Was Permitted to Establish at Least the Number of Lots, Parcels or Dwellings on the Property That Are Authorized Under Section 6 of Measure 49

A claimant’s acquisition date is “the date the claimant became the owner of the property as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located. If there is more than one claimant for the same property under the same claim and the claimants have different acquisition dates, the acquisition date is the earliest of those dates.”

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Jefferson County deed records indicate that the claimant acquired the property on April 6, 1990.
On April 6, 1990, the Measure 37 claim property was subject to Jefferson County’s acknowledged Range Land (RL) zone. Jefferson County’s RL zone required 320 acres for the creation of a new lot or parcel on which a dwelling could be established as a permitted use. The claimant was lawfully permitted to establish more than one dwelling on a tract on his date of acquisition. The claimant’s property consists of 677.82 acres. Therefore, the claimant lawfully could have established two home sites on his date of acquisition.

II. COMMENTS ON THE PRELIMINARY EVALUATION

The department issued its Preliminary Evaluation for this claim on August 3, 2010. Pursuant to OAR 660-041-0090, the department provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties. Comments received have been taken into account by the department in the issuance of this Final Order and Home Site Authorization. Specifically, the claimant’s attorney submitted an analysis done by Jefferson County with regard to the claimant’s Measure 37 claim, in which the county explained that in some instances, and subject to compliance with discretionary approval criteria, it was possible in 1990 that a parcel of 40 acres or greater could be created in the RL zone. On that basis, the attorney concludes that the RL zone allowed dwellings on new 40-acre parcels and that, therefore, the claimant was lawfully permitted to establish three home sites on the property when he acquired it. To the contrary, in 1990, the Jefferson County RL zone had a 320 acre minimum parcel size, unless an applicant could satisfy highly discretionary approval criteria to allow a parcel of 40- or 80-acres.\(^3\) Dwellings on those parcels required findings based on additional discretionary review and approval. The claimant does not contend that he was lawfully permitted to establish an 80-acre parcel (and dwelling) at that time, and there is no evidence in the record for this claim that the claimant satisfied, or could satisfy the criteria for approval of a 40-acre parcel.\(^4\)

III. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis above, the claimant qualifies for up to two home sites. However, the number of lots, parcels or dwellings that a claimant may establish pursuant to a home site

---

\(^3\) Section 302 of the 1990 Jefferson County Code established the requirements for the RL (Rangeland) zone as follows:

1. In an R-L Zone, the minimum lot size for new lots shall be 40 acres if the lots meet the standards set forth in ORS 215.283 (3)(a) through (e).
2. In an R-L Zone, the minimum lot size for new lots not meeting the standards set forth in ORS 215.283 (3)(a) through (e) shall be 320 acres.
3. In an RL zone, the minimum lot size for new lots that meet the standards set forth in 215.213 shall be 80 acres when the following conditions apply.
   a. Sufficient irrigation water exists to farm 80 acres.
   b. Parcel has been in Farm Deferral past five years.
   c. Parcel has been in production past five years.

\(^4\) Moreover, even if he could have satisfied those criteria, the claimant has not established that he could not satisfy those criteria today. The discretionary allowance for a 40-acre parcel was based on compliance with criteria to establish a non-farm parcel and dwelling. Non-farm dwellings are subject to criteria that have not substantively changed since the claimant acquired the Measure 37 claim property. The question of whether the claimant could have qualified for or could currently qualify for a non-farm dwelling is independent of the issue relevant to the Measure 49 inquiry, which is statutorily limited to whether a claimant was lawfully permitted to establish one or more home sites on the claimant’s acquisition date and, due to regulations established subsequent to that acquisition, is currently prohibited from establishing that use.
authorization is reduced by the number of lots, parcels or dwellings currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and any contiguous property under the same ownership according to the methodology stated in Section 6(2)(b) and 6(3) of Measure 49.

Based on the documentation provided by the claimant and information from Jefferson County, the Measure 37 claim property includes two lots or parcels and one dwelling. There is no contiguous property under the same ownership. Therefore, the two home site approvals the claimant qualifies for under Section 6 of Measure 49 will authorize the claimant to establish no additional lots or parcels and one additional dwelling on the Measure 37 claim property.

IV. HOME SITE AUTHORIZATION

Based on the analysis set forth above, this claim is approved, and the claimant qualifies for two home site approvals. As explained in section III above, after taking into account the number of existing lots, parcels or dwellings, the claimant is authorized for no additional lots or parcels and one additional dwelling on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief, subject to the following terms:

1. Each dwelling must be on a separate lot or parcel, and must be contained within the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief. The establishment of a land division or dwelling based on this home site authorization must comply with all applicable standards governing the siting or development of the land division or dwelling. However, those standards must not be applied in a manner that prohibits the establishment of the land division or dwelling, unless the standards are reasonably necessary to avoid or abate a nuisance, to protect public health or safety, or to carry out federal law.

2. This home site authorization will not authorize the establishment of a land division or dwelling in violation of a land use regulation described in ORS 195.305(3) or in violation of any other law that is not a land use regulation as defined by ORS 195.300(14).

3. A claimant is not eligible for more than 20 home site approvals under Sections 5 to 11 of Measure 49 regardless of how many properties a claimant owns or how many claims a claimant filed. If the claimant has developed the limit of twenty home sites under Measure 49, the claimant is no longer eligible for the home site approvals that are the subject of this order.

4. The number of lots, parcels or dwellings a claimant may establish under this home site authorization is reduced by the number of lots, parcels and dwellings currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property in the same ownership, regardless of whether evidence of their existence has been provided to the department. If, based on the information available to the department, the department has calculated the number of currently existing lots, parcels or dwellings to be either greater than or less than the number of lots, parcels or dwellings actually in existence on the Measure 37 claim property or contiguous property under the same ownership, then the number of additional lots, parcels or dwellings a claimant may establish pursuant to this home site authorization must be adjusted according to the methodology stated in Section 6(2)(b) and 6(3) of Measure 49. Statements in this final order regarding the number of lots, parcels or dwellings currently existing on the
Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property are not a determination on the current legal status of those lots, parcels or dwellings.

5. Temporary dwellings are not considered in determining the number of existing dwellings currently on the property. The claimant may choose to convert any temporary dwelling currently located on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief to an authorized home site pursuant to a home site approval. Otherwise, any temporary dwelling is subject to the terms of the local permit requirements under which it was approved, and is subject to removal at the end of the term for which it is allowed.

6. A home site approval only authorizes the establishment of a new lot, parcel or dwelling on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief. No additional development is authorized on contiguous property for which no Measure 37 claim was filed or on Measure 37 claim property on which the claimant is not eligible for Measure 49 relief. A lot or parcel established pursuant to a home site approval must either be the site of a dwelling that is currently in existence or be the site of a dwelling that may be established pursuant to the home site approval.

7. The claimant may use a home site approval to convert a lot, parcel or dwelling currently located on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief to an authorized home site. If the number of lots, parcels or dwellings existing on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief exceeds the number of home site approvals the claimant qualifies for under a home site authorization, the claimant may select which existing lots, parcels or dwellings to convert to authorized home sites; or may reconfigure existing lots, parcels or dwellings so that the number is equivalent to the number of home site approvals.

8. The claimant may not implement the relief described in this Measure 49 home site authorization if a claimant has been determined to have a common law vested right to a use described in a Measure 37 waiver for the property. Therefore, if a claimant has been determined in a final judgment or final order that is not subject to further appeal to have a common law vested right as described in Section 5(3) of Measure 49 to any use on the Measure 37 claim property, then this Measure 49 Home Site Authorization is void. However, so long as no claimant has been determined in such a final judgment or final order to have a common law vested right to a use described in a Measure 37 waiver for the property, a use that has been completed on the property pursuant to a Measure 37 waiver may be converted to an authorized home site.

9. A home site approval does not authorize the establishment of a new dwelling on a lot or parcel that already contains one or more dwellings. The claimant may be required to alter the configuration of the lots or parcels currently in existence on the Measure 37 claim property and contiguous property so that each additional dwelling established on the property on which the claimant is eligible for Measure 49 relief, pursuant to this home site authorization, is sited on a separate lot or parcel.
10. If the property described in a claim is divided by an urban growth boundary, any new
dwelling, lot or parcel established on the property pursuant to a home site approval must be
located on the portion of the property outside the urban growth boundary.

11. Because the property is located in an exclusive farm use zone, the home site authorization
does not authorize new lots or parcels that exceed five acres. However, existing or remnant
lots or parcels may exceed five acres. Before beginning construction, the owner must comply
with the requirements of ORS 215.293. Further, the home site authorization will not
authorize new lots or parcels that exceed two acres if the new lots or parcels are located on
high-value farmland, on high-value forestland or on land within a ground water restricted
area. However, existing or remnant lots or parcels may exceed two acres.

12. Because the property is located in an exclusive farm use zone, Measure 49 requires new
home sites to be clustered so as to maximize suitability of the remnant lot or parcel for farm
or forest use. Further, if an owner of the property is authorized by other home site
authorizations to subdivide, partition, or establish dwellings on other Measure 37 claim
properties, Measure 49 authorizes the owner to cluster some or all of the authorized lots,
parcels or dwellings that would otherwise be located on land in an exclusive farm use zone, a
forest zone or a mixed farm and forest zone on a single Measure 37 claim property that is
zoned residential use or is located in an exclusive farm use zone, a forest zone or a mixed
farm and forest zone but is less suitable for farm or forest use than the other Measure 37
claim properties.

13. If the claimant transferred ownership interest in the Measure 37 claim property prior to the
date of this order, this order is rendered invalid and authorizes no home site approvals.
Provided this order is valid when issued, a home site approval authorized under this order
runs with the property and transfers with the property. A home site approval will not expire,
except that if a claimant who received this home site authorization later conveys the property
to a party other than the claimant’s spouse or the trustee of a revocable trust in which the
claimant is the settlor, the subsequent owner of the property must establish the authorized
lots, parcels and dwellings within 10 years of the conveyance. A lot or parcel lawfully
created based on this home site authorization will remain a discrete lot or parcel, unless the
lot or parcel lines are vacated or the lot or parcel is further divided, as provided by law. A
dwelling lawfully created based on a home site approval is a permitted use.

14. To the extent that any law, order, deed, agreement or other legally enforceable public or
private requirement provides that the subject property may not be used without a permit,
license or other form of authorization or consent, this home site authorization will not
authorize the use of the property unless the claimant first obtains that permit, license or other
form of authorization or consent. Such requirements may include, but are not limited to: a
building permit, a land use decision, a permit as defined in ORS 215.402 or 227.160, other
permits or authorizations from local, state or federal agencies, and restrictions on the use of
the subject property imposed by private parties.
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Final Order and Home Site Authorization is entered by the
Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development as a final order of the
department and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.300 to
ORS 195.336 and OAR 660-041-0000 to 660-041-0160.

FOR THE DEPARTMENT AND THE LAND
CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
COMMISSION:

Kristin May, Division Manager
Dept. of Land Conservation and Development
Dated this ___ day of September, 2010.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to judicial remedies including the following:

1. Judicial review is available to anyone who is an owner of the property as defined in
Measure 49 that is the subject of this final determination, or a person who timely submitted
written evidence or comments to the department concerning this final determination.

2. Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60
days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 must be
filed in the Circuit Court in the county in which the affected property is located. Upon motion of
any party to the proceedings, the proceedings may be transferred to any other county with
jurisdiction under ORS 183.484 in the manner provided by law for change of venue.

3. Judicial review of this final determination is limited to the evidence in the record of the
department at the time of its final determination. Copies of the documents that comprise the
record are available for review at the department’s office at 635 Capitol St. NE, Suite 150,
Salem, OR 97301-2540. Judicial review is only available for issues that were raised before the
department with sufficient specificity to afford the department an opportunity to respond.