

From: [Karl Swanson](#)
To: [DLCD PR-UGB](#)
Cc: [Donnelly, Jennifer](#)
Subject: UGB amendment fails Goal 5
Date: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 12:00:58 PM

Goal 5: The proposed UGB amendment b Metro did not adequately assess and preserve resources sites. The Metro council's own approved map (below) highlights important resource sites and shows that within the proposed UGB addition (circled in red) is divided into multiple areas that will be difficult and expensive to develop. This was brought up repeatedly with King City and Urbsworks during the planning process, but they failed on Goal 5 and Metro council failed to adequately consider the King City proposal with respect to Goal 5, especially in the area of transportation where they included several resource site crossings for an unnecessary east-west road when it is undeniable that north-south connections to Beef Bend Road are much less destructive to resources and much more economical.



<https://databasin.org/maps/new#datasets=afdbf390255549418f26855af59b2f79>

Description:

- *Adopted by the Metro council in September of 2005, the chief mapping data for the Metro Title 13 Resource Inventory combines Regionally Significant Riparian & Upland Wildlife habitat, Habitats of Concern, and impact areas into one integrated layer based on Metro's GIS models for mapping riparian functions and wildlife values.*

Code for Title 13 Inventory Resource Class:

10: Riparian Corridors / Wildlife Habitat Class I - Areas support 3 or more riparian functions

11: Riparian Corridors / Wildlife Habitat Class II - areas supporting 1 or 2 primary riparian functions

12: Riparian Corridors Class III - Areas supporting only secondary riparian functions outside wildlife areas

31: Upland Impact Areas - areas within 25 feet of any regionally significant resources except riparian class III. Could adversely affect resource

21: Upland Wildlife habitat class B - areas with secondary riparian value that have medium value for wildlife habitat

22: Upland Wildlife habitat class C - areas with secondary riparian value that have low value for wildlife habitat

30: Aquatic Impact Areas - areas within 150 of streams, river, lakes, or wetlands that are not considered regionally significant resources but could have some adverse impacts.

20: Upland Wildlife habitat class A - areas with secondary riparian value that have high value for wildlife habitat