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Benefits of Narrow Streets

- Safer
- Traffic calming - lower speeds
- Multi-modal - encourage walking & biking
- High quality neighborhood - encourages socializing
- Less costly to build and maintain
- Less land used - less land taken off tax rolls
- Less pavement - less stormwater runoff
- Less heat build up - better tree canopy
Benefits of Narrow Streets

Narrow streets are safer:

- Shorter crossing distance -> Fewer pedestrian crashes
- Slower speeds -> Crashes less fatal

The probability of a pedestrian being severely injured and/or killed when struck by a vehicle increases as the motorist speed increases. Figure 1 shows the correlation of vehicle impact speed and pedestrian death rates. As vehicle speeds increase, the ability of the driver to stop in time for crossing pedestrians also significantly decreases.

**Figure 1: Pedestrian’s chances of death if hit by a motor vehicle**

Reducing traffic speeds not only reduces the severity of pedestrian crashes, but may reduce their occurrence. Faster vehicle speeds result in increased breaking distances, and also an increase in the distance a vehicle will travel during the 2.5 second perception/reaction time as shown in Figure 2.

**Figure 2: Relationship between safe stopping distance and travel speed**
Benefits of Narrow Streets

Which street would you prefer to live on?
Principles of Narrow Streets

- Grid network
- Emergency access
- Queuing
- Parking
- Cross sections from *Neighborhood Street Design Guidelines*
- Where to go Wider

*NEIGHBORHOOD STREET DESIGN GUIDELINES*

An Oregon Guide for Reducing Street Widths

A Consensus Agreement by the Stakeholder Design Team

November 2000

Prepared by the Neighborhood Streets Project Stakeholders
Narrow streets should be well connected with relatively short blocks.
Principles of Narrow Streets

Street Connectivity

Limited Street Connections

Well-Connected Street Network
Principles of Narrow Streets
Emergency vehicles should have room to access streets and set up equipment.
Queuing

• How can one lane serve traffic both ways?
  “Opposing conflicting traffic will yield and pause on the parking lane area until there is sufficient width to pass.”

• Does it work?
  “The level of user inconvenience occasioned by the lack of two moving lanes is remarkably low”
Gaps in on-street parking provide room to pass an oncoming car, if any.
Parking should be prohibited at intersections.
Principles of Narrow Streets

*Neighborhood Street Design Guidelines*

28-foot wide - Parking on both sides
Principles of Narrow Streets

Neighborhood Street Design Guidelines

28-foot wide - Parking on both sides
Principles of Narrow Streets

*Neighborhood Street Design Guidelines*

24-foot wide - Parking on one side
Principles of Narrow Streets

*Neighborhood Street Design Guidelines*

24-foot wide - Parking on one side
Principles of Narrow Streets

*Neighborhood Street Design Guidelines*

20-foot wide - No on-street parking

(less dense neighborhood)
Principles of Narrow Streets

Neighborhood Street Design Guidelines

20-foot wide - No on-street parking
(less dense neighborhood)
Principles of Narrow Streets

Wider Streets are Appropriate for:

- High-density neighborhoods
- Higher-volume, "neighborhood" collector or "subcollector"
- Evacuation routes
- Farm equipment access roads
Recent Examples

Redmond

Jacksonville

7 ft
20 ft
28 ft
Northwest Crossing, Bend
State Involvement:

Transportation Planning Rule (TPR)

- Adopted 1991 by the Land Development and Conservation Commission (LCDC)
- Consistent planning for land use and transportation
- Planning for all modes
- Encourage pedestrian-friendly development
- No specific mention of local street standards
State Involvement:

1995 TPR Update

Local governments requiring wide local residential streets
State Involvement: 1995 TPR Update

National research recommending narrow streets

ITE
ULI
ASCE
NAHB
APA
AASHTO
AASHTO recommends narrow streets:

• Where?
  “On residential streets where the primary function of the street is to:
  • provide access to adjacent development and
  • foster a safe and
  • pleasant environment”

• What is required?
  “at least one unobstructed moving lane must be ensured even where parking occurs on both sides.”
State Involvement: 1995 TPR Update

National Examples

Kentlands

Celebration
State Involvement: 1995 TPR Update

Oregon Examples

Yamhill

Corvallis
Transportation Planning Rule (TPR)  
-1995 Update -

**Requirement**

“Local governments shall establish standards for local streets and accessways that minimize pavement width and total right-of-way consistent with the operational needs of the facility.”

Oregon Administrative Rule 660-012-0045 (7)
Transportation Planning Rule (TPR)
-1995 Update -

**Intent**

“…consider and reduce excessive standards for local streets and accessways in order to

•reduce the cost of construction,

•provide for more efficient use of urban land,

•provide for emergency vehicle access while

•discouraging inappropriate traffic volumes and speeds, and which

•accommodate convenient pedestrian and bicycle circulation.”

Oregon Administrative Rule 660-012-0045 (7)
Guidance to local governments

• Avoid “one size fits all” approach
• Allow as little as 28-foot street for most residential streets
• Justify local residential standards based on operational needs
• Eliminate code barriers to narrow streets
Further Information

- Transportation & Growth Management
  www.oregon.gov/LCD/TGM/
  (look for Local Street Planning)

- Matt Crall
  matthew.crall@state.or.us
  503-373-0050 x272
  Department of Land Conservation and Development
  635 Capitol St. NE, Suite 150
  SALEM OR 97301-2564